

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Lämsä, Anna-Maija; Ojasoo, Merle; Jyrkinen, Marjut; Pučėtėitė, Raminta

Title: Appearance-based discrimination against young women in the workplace

Year: 2023

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2023 Emerald

Rights: In Copyright

Rights url: <http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en>

Please cite the original version:

Lämsä, A.-M., Ojasoo, M., Jyrkinen, M., & Pučėtėitė, R. (2023). Appearance-based discrimination against young women in the workplace. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/10.1108/qrom-02-2022-2292>



Appearance-based discrimination against young women in the workplace

Journal:	<i>Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management</i>
Manuscript ID	QROM-02-2022-2292.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Appearance, Discrimination, Estonia, Gender, Narrative inquiry, Case study

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Appearance-based discrimination against young women in the workplace

Abstract

Purpose

Appearance-based discrimination in workplaces based on an employee's physical appearance is a legal and ethical problem. This study provides important research findings concerning such discrimination in Estonia.

Methodology

A qualitative case study strategy and narrative inquiry were used. The information which was gathered for the research consists of three cases which concern appearance-based discrimination against young women. Information for two of the cases was gathered together by means of open interviews. Information for the third case is based on articles which were found in Estonian daily newspapers.

Findings

Covert and overt discrimination based on an employee's physical appearance can occur in Estonian workplaces, even though discrimination and inequality are not tolerated in public and all forms of discrimination are illegal in Estonia. The appearance norms, which frame perceptions of attractiveness and unattractiveness, may at times be rather narrow and stereotypical in Estonian workplaces. The attempts by employees to resist such discrimination in an early phase of their careers are generally not successful.

Originality

Through real-life cases, this study makes empirically visible a problem at the workplace related to employees' physical appearance in Estonia. It makes suggestions for preventing this kind of discrimination in organisations. Narrative inquiry offers a fruitful approach for how researchers can address a sensitive problem, such as the appearance-based discrimination against the employees discussed in this study.

Paper type

Original article/Research paper

Keywords

Appearance; Case study; Discrimination; Ethical problem, Estonia; Gender, Narrative inquiry, Woman

Introduction

Previous studies have found that physical appearance can be a mechanism of discrimination in work life (e.g., Dipboye and Colella, 2005; Harwood, 2007; Mahajan, 2007; Puhl and Brownell, 2001; Rhode, 2009; Author C). According to Rhode (2009), of all the problems connected to discrimination, those linked to appearance have shown the least improvement over time, despite the fact that recent discussions and events (e.g., the #Metoo and #TimesUp movements) have increased interest in the topic. Appearance-based discrimination persists in both formal human resources management procedures and informal organisational practices (Dipboye and Colella, 2005). The centrality of appearance is accentuated by communication technologies that offer forums for an increasingly visual culture (Fardouly *et al.*, 2018). As a result, many organisations prefer to employ people who fit a specific appearance (Harwood, 2007).

Appearance-based discrimination in working life results in illegal discrimination (Cavico *et al.*, 2012; Harwood, 2007; Rhode, 2009). Moreover, it is an ethical problem (Geva, 2006; Harwood, 2007; Rhode, 2009; Author A) because it offends individual dignity and prevents equal opportunities for all. Dealing with the problem constructively is a challenge in organisational life. However, changing undesirable habits is also challenging. Inappropriate behaviour, which might have previously seemed innocent and fun, may nowadays be understood as insulting and harassing. The normative call for organisations to prevent all forms of discriminatory practices (DeSouza *et al.*, 2017; Kaptein, 2008) also challenges researchers to explore appearance-based discrimination in the workplace.

1
2
3 The present study utilises a case study approach and narrative inquiry to increase knowledge about
4 workplace discrimination based on physical appearance. In this study, we focus on working life in
5 Estonia using three exemplary cases. The focus here is on discrimination, which is based on
6 employee's physical appearance traits that are not critical to work performance (Bartlett, 2009;
7 Cavico *et al.*, 2013; Rhode, 2009). Our research questions are as follows:

- 14 • What kind of discrimination due to appearance took place in the exemplary cases?
- 15 • How did the appearance-based discrimination affect both the employees who were
16 discriminated against and the organisation?

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 In this explorative study, our purpose is to produce in-depth information regarding how
25 appearance-based discrimination occurs within the context of Estonian working life. The study
26 offers unique and important knowledge of the topic in this context. To our knowledge, no previous
27 studies of this nature have been carried out which have focussed on appearance-based
28 discrimination in the workplace. Drawing on narrative inquiry (Ricoeur, 1984; Polkinghorne,
29 1988), narratives which are based on the experiences of young women are constructed so that they
30 can provide an insight into appearance-based discrimination within Estonia. The study adds
31 knowledge to discussions which cover appearance-based discrimination in organisational life, with
32 this being a research field area which has not previously received enough of a focus in terms of
33 workplace discrimination (Rhode, 2009, Author C), at least from a contextual viewpoint. In line
34 with Vickers (2009), we believe that the case study method adopted here, which stresses the
35 understanding of the specific cases, can be a source of learning for readers by helping them to
36 recognise, reflect on and aim to reduce appearance-based discrimination in the workplace. The
37 case study approach has the potential to offer information and ideas into the sensitive and complex

1
2
3 topic under investigation, which may not be reached using other approaches, such as a survey
4 method (Mills *et al.*, 2010; Rowley, 2002; Tight, 2017). In all, we hope to offer information that
5
6 can be helpful in the study context by drawing attention to conventions, norms and stereotypes
7
8 based on appearance that can result in problems for employees and organisations.
9
10

11
12
13
14 The cases for this study involved women. **Women in the workplace in Estonia are tied more closely**
15 **to the masculine corporeal realm than are men but, at the same time, there are embedded societal**
16 **norms which entail women having to conform to a feminine role and ensure appearance-based**
17 **beauty requirements (Aavik, 2015; Meriküll and Tverdostup, 2021). Therefore, in the workplace,**
18 **they tend to be evaluated much more strictly in terms of their appearance than are men (Burns,**
19 **2004; Cavico et al, 2012, 2013; Harwood, 2007). In general, in Estonia, women face more**
20 **discrimination than men in working life (World Economic Forum, 2022), and some of these**
21 **problems are likely to take the form of appearance-based discrimination (Kaskla, 2003). To our**
22 **knowledge, appearance-based discrimination has not before been made visible in the Estonian**
23 **working life context, although, as Kaskla (2003) observed, appearance-based beauty requirements**
24 **for women are typical in Estonia.**
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Context of the study**

43
44
45
46

47 As in many other democratic countries, the Estonian Constitution forbids discrimination based on
48 any grounds (Constitution, Amend. 15, Art. 12, Para. 1 and 2). However, a study carried out by
49 the think tank Praxis in 2015 found that managers and employers had little awareness of the
50 legislation prohibiting discrimination in the recruitment process and that discrimination, especially
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 based on gender, was widespread in Estonian work life (Turk *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, awareness
4 of the role and impact of stereotypes related to, for example, appearance in the recruitment process
5 is low, and employers tend not to use measures to reduce discrimination in the process (Aavik *et*
6 *al.*, 2020; Täht *et al.*, 2019).
7
8
9
10
11

12
13
14 Turk *et al.* (2015) pointed out that most employers in Estonia do not see any point in promoting
15 equality. Both Täht *et al.* (2019) and Aavik *et al.* (2020) argued that little has changed at the
16 workplace level in Estonia to advance equality over the past 30 years. According to these studies,
17 organisations lack systematic and targeted actions to promote equality. A study by Ukhova (2020)
18 found that inequality has not changed substantially since the post-socialist period anywhere in
19 Central and Eastern Europe.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Estonian work life is highly segregated both vertically and horizontally. According to Eurostat
32 (2019), Estonia had the highest gender pay gap in favour of men in the European Union in 2017.
33 The wage gap has survived the transition period from communism to capitalism (Meriküll and
34 Tverdostup, 2021). As in many other post-Soviet societies, Estonian women are well-educated and
35 active in the labour market (World Economic Forum, 2022). This is related to the requirement to
36 work full time under the Soviet regime (Bienefeld *et al.*, 2007; Kaskla, 2003). Soviet social
37 expectations were, however, paternalistic with respect to women's roles and duties (Kaskla, 2003;
38 Meriküll and Tverdostup, 2021; Reingardiene, 2003; Vöormann, 2009). After the collapse of the
39 USSR, one example of expectations for women in Estonia was beauty contests, which became a
40 part of the national identity movement and created beauty norms for women. In the post-USSR,
41 Estonian women wanted to look more like Western women and stressed opportunities for women
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to assume an identity other than that of a Soviet working mother (Davidenko, 2018; Kaskla, 2003;
4 Mihäilä, 2017). Social expectations regarding certain standards of beauty were reflected in job
5 advertisements in the newspapers at the time, in particular in the search for frontline employees
6 who were expected to meet the criteria of youth and attractiveness (Mihäilä, 2017).
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 In Estonia, gender roles have remained traditional, supported by pro-natalist views on motherhood
15 and the understanding that housework is the responsibility of women (Kosyakova, 2018;
16 Vöormann and Helemäe, 2016). Nevertheless, positive changes have occurred in society. For
17 instance, in 2017, the government launched official career counselling to address gender
18 stereotypes and started to promote general social and educational campaigns to raise public
19 awareness about discrimination and address gender issues.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Literature review**

32
33
34

35 According to Warhurst and Nickson (2007, p. 132), appearance-based discrimination refers to the
36 favouring of people perceived as better looking and penalising those perceived as less physically
37 attractive or having the 'wrong look'. Since it can be intentional and implicit, employees often
38 lack adequate evidence to prove discrimination on the basis of physical appearance (Bartlett, 2009;
39 Selmi, 2016). Women often encounter unwanted emphasis on appearance (Cavico *et al.*, 2012,
40 2013; Harwood, 2007; Mahajan, 2007; Rhode, 2009, 2016; Author C). All workplace
41 discrimination causes stress and strain for employees (Cruwys *et al.*, 2014) as well as feelings of
42 marginalisation (Dhanani *et al.*, 2018; Grandy, 2008; Rhode, 2009). It has negative effects on an
43 individual's identity construction, job satisfaction and organisational commitment, and it harms
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the employer's reputation (Del Carmen Triana *et al.*, 2019; Grandy, 2008; Langlois *et al.*, 2000).

4
5 The emphasis on appearance instead of an individual's capabilities and work performance is likely
6
7 to have a negative effect on the person's credibility, self-esteem and social power at work as well
8
9 as on their quality of life in general (Rhode, 2016). Discrimination is not only illegal in
10
11 organisations (Dhanani *et al.*, 2018) but also immoral because it violates the principles of human
12
13 dignity, justice and equality (Rhode, 2009, 2016).
14
15
16
17
18

19 Appearance-based discrimination in the workplace has gained attention in previous studies to some
20
21 extent (Toledano, 2013). The topic has been analysed especially from the viewpoint of lawsuits,
22
23 often in the U.S. context (e.g., Corbett, 2007, 2011; Kwan and Trautner, 2009; Rhode, 2009, 2016;
24
25 Trautner and Kwan, 2010). Trautner and Kwan (2010) offered a comprehensive analysis of
26
27 appearance-based employment discrimination lawsuits from 1970 to 2008 in federal courts in the
28
29 United States. They concluded that both work organisations and courts tend to support appearance
30
31 norms that maintain traditional views about masculinity and femininity. Moreover, they found that
32
33 both women and men sued their employers for appearance-based discrimination, but women were
34
35 more successful in their claims than men. Requests concerning women's clothing, height and
36
37 weight were typical cases.
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Harwood (2007) investigated the legal process and discrimination using court decisions in New
45
46 Zealand. In this analysis, it was shown that the law failed to address appearance-based
47
48 discrimination and that gender stereotyping existed in the legal process in New Zealand. Harwood
49
50 suggested that a valuable approach to reducing appearance-based discrimination would be to aim
51
52 to change the social attitudes of New Zealanders.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Toledano (2013) provided an overview of numerous studies from different disciplines. This
7
8 investigation showed that people who are evaluated as attractive in the workplace are seen as more
9
10 competent and successful compared to their counterparts who are not perceived to be as attractive.
11
12 In the context of employment, people who are seen as physically attractive tend to be hired more
13
14 often, get more promotions and be paid more than people who are considered less attractive
15
16 (Corbett, 2011; Kwan and Trautner, 2009; Liu and Sierminska, 2014; Watkins and Johnston,
17
18 2000). The results of research on appearance-based discrimination, especially against women in
19
20 work life, have shown that it is difficult for women to advance in their careers because of their
21
22 appearance. For example, being small in stature can be understood to be negatively connected to
23
24 their credibility as managers (Author A). Martin and Barnard (2013) studied women in male-
25
26 dominated organisations and found that one of the main issues that led to discrimination was
27
28 women's physical appearance.
29
30
31
32
33
34

35
36 Covert or sometimes openly expressed demands for specific appearance types are based on norms
37
38 regarding the suitability of a person's appearance, which tend to emphasise 'attractiveness'. An
39
40 'unattractive' appearance can be an additional career hurdle (Corbett, 2007; Harwood, 2007;
41
42 Rhode, 2009, 2016; Warhurst and Nickson, 2007; Author C). According to Tolendo (2013),
43
44 evaluations based on appearance are simultaneously influenced by social norms and the interplay
45
46 of gender, race, age and other diversity dimensions. Kwan and Trautner (2009) found that many
47
48 studies concerning appearance in the workplace concentrated on people's motivations for
49
50 accepting appearance norms. The researchers argued that various benefits in hiring, career
51
52 advancement and salary as well as social and personal rewards (e.g., satisfaction at work, higher
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 self-esteem and positive perceptions of others) are linked to conformity to norms and people's
4
5 willingness to strengthen a social system that stresses attractiveness. Women in particular are
6
7 expected to meet social definitions of feminised attractiveness and follow the implicit and explicit
8
9 appearance norms of the organisation as part of the wage-labour exchange and as partners in a
10
11 psychological contract (Author C). Tolendo (2013) indicated that appearance norms and
12
13 expectations tend to be subconscious and therefore difficult to identify and prove.
14
15
16
17
18

19 Management can also specifically request that their employees 'look good'. Women in
20
21 organisations tend to especially be framed by their gender (Collinson, 2003, p. 541; Harwood,
22
23 2007), and their workplace selves are scrutinised by the objectifying male gaze in gendered and
24
25 sexualised organisational cultures. However, women may also critically evaluate other women's
26
27 appearances and participate in the projection of the self-policing gaze (Evans *et al.*, 2010, p. 116;
28
29 Author C).
30
31
32
33
34

35 The norms of appearance, which define what is considered attractive and unattractive, are shaped
36
37 by contextual norms (Mahajan, 2007), and therefore, discriminatory attitudes are powerful and
38
39 consistent precisely because they are often based on normalisation of fundamental biases and
40
41 prejudices (Puhl and Brownell, 2001). According to the framework by Ashford and Anand (2003),
42
43 the normalisation of behaviour (i.e., the process whereby practices become accepted and are no
44
45 longer questioned), such as appearance-based discrimination, is based on institutionalisation,
46
47 rationalisation and socialisation in organisational life.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Institutionalisation means that appearance-based discrimination rooted in expectations about a
4 particular appearance is embedded in organisational norms, habits and processes. Rationalisation
5 is linked to ideologies and beliefs, which are used to justify the discriminatory behaviour.
6
7 Socialisation occurs when inexperienced newcomers are taught, typically implicitly, to accept this
8 kind of discrimination as permissible. In many organisations, normalisation is built upon
9
10 heterosexuality, attractiveness, whiteness and physical ability. Trethewey's (1999) study indicated
11 that there is a fine line between an appropriate and inappropriate appearance code. Women are
12 especially expected to 'reveal their bodies in very specific and specialised ways' (p. 443); revealing
13 too much or emphasising the 'wrong' aspects of one's body can undermine a female employee's
14 credibility or increase unwanted flirtation or sexualised comments.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Methods**

29
30
31
32
33 This study utilised an instrumental case study approach and narrative inquiry. In this approach, a
34 case is analysed and used to understand the phenomenon of interest (Mills et al., 2020; Stake,
35 1995). The strength of the instrumental case study approach is that it offers an entry point to
36 understanding the complexity of the studied phenomenon, which is ethically sensitive by nature
37 and often difficult to make visible and address (Campbell and Cowton, 2015). The term 'narrative'
38 refers to a textual account of a sequence of the events in the working lives of the studied cases
39 (Riessman, 2008). Within the narrative inquiry, various heterogeneous events were organised
40 appropriately into descriptions, a process which is known as emplotment, in order to highlight how
41 they relate to one another and how they form sequences (Ricoeur, 1984; Polkinghorne, 1988;
42 Riessman, 2008). The use of emplotment allowed us to build up a narrative of each case so that
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 we could elaborate how the events were linked to each other. Emplotment offered a lens through
4
5 which we were able to account for the forms of discrimination which were taking place, why
6
7 discrimination was occurring in the first place, what specifically happened in each case, who was
8
9 involved, and what were the outcomes. Emplotment is of major significance in any narrative
10
11 inquiry because it makes it possible to explain various events which may be related to the
12
13 phenomenon being studied by building up a coherent narrative of events instead of simply listing
14
15 them (Ricoeur, 1984; Polkinghorne, 1988).
16
17
18
19
20

21 The research data consist of the narratives of/about three young Estonian women. In our study, a
22
23 minimum number of cases was not necessary, but the three cases used here helped us to gain
24
25 insight into and provide an intensive description of the topic under investigation (Vickers, 2009).
26
27 By selecting different cases, all of which dealt with some form of appearance-based discrimination
28
29 against young women within the context of the study, it was possible to explore and understand
30
31 similarities and variations in any such discrimination (Tight, 2017). According to Tight (ibid, p
32
33 162-163), multiple cases have the potential to form a description which is more intensely grounded
34
35 within broader empirical evidence, as compared to the outcome of studying a single case. Thanks
36
37 to their contextual nature, each of the cases are unique and useful for this study: they contribute to
38
39 the overall understanding of the complex and challenging nature of the phenomenon being studied,
40
41 ie. appearance-based discrimination, within the context of Estonian working life (Stake, 2005).
42
43 While conducting this research, we noticed that it was difficult to find people who were willing to
44
45 speak of the sensitive topic under examination. Contrary to court cases, which are common in
46
47 studies of appearance-based discrimination (Trautner and Kwan, 2010), we wanted to make visible
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the voices of people who had been personally involved in the process of appearance-based
4 discrimination in the Estonian working life context.
5
6
7
8
9

10 To guarantee anonymity, the participants in the cases have been pseudonymised. The first case is
11 a personal description provided by a woman who experienced appearance-based discrimination in
12 the workplace (pseudonym Tiina). Thus, this case provides insight into a victim's experience. Data
13 for this case were gathered by means of an open interview. The interview outline covered four
14 thematic areas: career challenges, gender equality in the workplace, physical appearance and the
15 problem in question, and organisational culture. Tiina talked about her experiences with her male
16 manager and colleagues. The second case is a personal description told by a manager who
17 discriminated against a female employee (pseudonym Malle) based on her appearance. Through
18 this case, we gained insight into the viewpoint of a discriminator. During the interview, the
19 manager engaged in self-reflection to gain a deeper understanding of biased and discriminatory
20 treatment in the workplace. In both cases, in addition to recordings, the interviewer carefully made
21 notes and kept a research diary. The interviews lasted approximately 1.5 hours.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 The information for the third case (pseudonym Silvi) was collected from four different articles
41 published in daily Estonian newspapers. This case was seen as being appropriate, and was selected
42 because it yielded a degree of understanding on the part of both parties in regard to how
43 appearance-based discriminatory can occur in the workplace As the material originated from the
44 media, those journalists who wrote the articles which were analysed made choices regarding how
45 the case was presented. However, the voices of the parties involved were highlighted quite clearly
46 in the articles. The selection of this case served to add diversification to the sampling by drawing
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 into it not only the perspectives of the discriminated and discriminator, but also a new perspective
4
5 which surrounds active resistance towards appearance-based discrimination and the act of making
6
7 it public.
8
9

10
11
12 We used two criteria for finding the cases for our study. First, we wanted to address appearance-
13
14 based discrimination towards young women at an early stage in their professional careers. Prior
15
16 research indicates that young women especially suffer from discrimination in the Estonian
17
18 working-life context (Anspal *et al.*, 2010), and they may be particularly vulnerable to it during
19
20 their early career phase when they do not have an influential position in their organisation. Second,
21
22 since the focus of prior research on appearance has highlighted the service sector (e.g., retail and
23
24 hospitality industries) and ‘style labour market’ businesses (e.g., designer retailers and style
25
26 restaurants; Warhurst & Nickson 2007), we wanted to expand the scope by also targeting male-
27
28 dominated sector fields, such as defence and banking.
29
30
31

32
33
34
35 We used a theory-orientated method in our analysis, the aim of which is not to test a specific
36
37 framework but rather to emphasise the importance of the theoretical background and previous
38
39 research results as a lens through which the new data can be interpreted (Tight, 2017). In addition
40
41 to prior research results and relevant contextual knowledge surrounding the Estonian working life,
42
43 in this study we used normalisation as a framework (Ashford & Anand, 2003), as well as adding
44
45 in the idea of emplotment in order to be able to analyse and interpret the data. The analysis
46
47 proceeded as follows. First, the texts were read several times to thoroughly familiarise ourselves
48
49 with their content. Then, the text corpus was grouped according to specific descriptive categories
50
51 and related key ideas, including various forms of appearance-based discrimination, the outcomes
52
53 of such discrimination, key actors in the discriminatory interactions and the types of behaviour, so
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 that text blocks related to the topics could be built. Finally, we constructed the narratives of the
4
5 cases according to the idea of emplotment (Ricoeur, 1984).
6
7
8
9

10 **Analysis and discussion**

11
12
13

14
15 Below, we present the outlines and analyses of the three cases.
16
17
18

19 *Tiina's narrative*

20
21

22 Outline of the case

23
24
25
26

27 Tiina was a highly educated, internationally experienced and outspoken young professional who
28 was considered attractive. Tiina was keen to work for the defence force, which is a male-dominated
29 field in Estonia. Both the management board and her superiors praised her knowledge and hard
30 work. Despite her achievements, her attractive appearance was always a topic of interest to her
31 colleagues, whose comments Tiina experienced as sexist: 'I was there because, as it was gossiped,
32 the head of the firm likes young, slim and blonde women. Despite work being exciting and
33 interesting, I did not see much future for my career in such a work environment'.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 *At the same time, her competence was often ignored, which depressed, embarrassed, and*
47 *disappointed Tiina, as well as causing her a degree of stress, as highlighted in the following*
48 *comment: 'I was able to get attention from someone, but it was almost always because of my looks*
49 *and not because of my work results or expertise'. She also felt angry. She felt that the*
50 *organisational culture of her workplace belittled women, which made it difficult for her and other*
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 women to advance in their careers. She heard that male colleagues were spreading rude and sexist
4
5 rumours about her – namely, that she was too young and too pretty to do her job well. Additionally,
6
7 she heard that some of her colleagues, both women and men, stated that she was only considered
8
9 a good employee because management found her appearance appealing.
10
11
12
13

14
15 Tiina spoke to the head of the organisation about her concerns. She said that she experienced the
16
17 behaviour as problematic and that she wished employees would be acknowledged for their work
18
19 rather than for what they wore or their appearance. Tiina described the manager's response, saying
20
21 that 'the manager, who was a middle-aged man and had always supported me, being more of a
22
23 mentor than a superior for me, agreed and said that this kind of behaviour was completely
24
25 unacceptable'. During the discussion, Tiina felt that the top manager understood her concern, was
26
27 uncomfortable with the attitude being exhibited by her colleagues and promised to do something
28
29 about the rude behaviour. Nevertheless, the discussion with the manager did not lead to any
30
31 concrete actions or results in the organisation. However, she did not ask the manager later whether
32
33 he had tried to make some changes in the workplace. Tiina believed that the manager failed to put
34
35 an end to the problem.
36
37
38
39
40
41

42
43 Tiina faced a dilemma: on the one hand, she loved her job, but on the other, she felt uncomfortable,
44
45 embarrassed and angry. She realised that the successful career that she had always dreamed of
46
47 would not be possible under such circumstances. She ended up leaving both the organisation and
48
49 the country to find a better work environment.
50
51
52
53

54 Analysis and interpretation
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In this case, Tiina experienced gender-based discrimination towards her appearance. The gap
4
5 between the discriminatory practices and the desired equal treatment was normalised in the
6
7 organisational culture so that Tiina's struggle to cope with and change the situation on her own
8
9 had no impact. Although Tiina showed a willingness to stand up for herself by bringing the
10
11 problem to her manager, her position of power within the organisation was too weak to alter the
12
13 discriminatory and sexist habits. It should be noted that she did not raise her concerns directly with
14
15 the people who she felt were treating her badly. Thus, the problem was not discussed with those
16
17 persons who were the crux of the problem. Gendered appearance-based discrimination is a
18
19 sensitive topic, and it can be difficult for victims to make it visible and prove it in public. Similar
20
21 finding have been reported in previous studies (e.g., Bartlett, 2009; Selmi, 2016; Toleno, 2013).
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 The masculine culture of the organisation belittled Tiina based on her looks and by sexualising
29
30 her. Even though the manager showed politeness and expressed empathy towards Tiina in their
31
32 private discussion, he failed to secure change. Moreover, in this case, Tiina felt that the colleagues
33
34 engaged in practices of discrimination without giving them any thought (Ashford and Anand,
35
36 2003). Since Tiina chose not to make the problem visible to other employees, and the manager
37
38 failed to put an end to the problem, the discriminatory treatment continued to be considered normal
39
40 – and thus difficult to identify and change – within the organisation. According to Kaptein (2008),
41
42 the organisational characteristics that constitute the self-correcting capacity of organisational
43
44 culture; the degree to which unethical practices are made visible within the organisation; and the
45
46 degree to which they can be discussed, resisted and changed are crucial to the elimination of any
47
48 ethical problem. In Tiina's case, because the unfavourable gendered conditions were
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 institutionalised in the organisation's culture, the opportunity to transform the unethical norms and
4
5 practices within the organisation was lost.
6
7
8
9

10 Drawing on Ashford and Anand (2003, p. 14), an organisation that allows such behaviour is
11 considered immoral today because it was immoral yesterday. Seen in the Estonian context, Tiina's
12 experience may, on the one hand, be an echo of socially gendered expectations stemming from
13 long-standing tradition and reinforced during Soviet times (Kaskla, 2003), which stressed
14 paternalism with respect to women's roles and status, making it easy to regard women as
15 employees without any real power (Bienefeld *et al.*, 2007; Kaskla, 2003). On the other hand, the
16 sexualisation of Tiina's appearance and its connection to her perceived skills, which occurred in
17 various arenas in the organisation, can be related especially to the sexualisation of the culture.
18 After the end of the Soviet era, women's bodies began to receive increasing attention as sexual
19 objects (Kaskla, 2003). These tendencies led to women in Estonian working life having to cope
20 with undervaluation, undesirable attention and biased behaviour based on sex and appearance
21 (Bienefeld *et al.*, 2007; Kaskla, 2003). This may have affected the organisational reality in this
22 case.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 ***Malle's narrative***

43 44 Outline of the case 45 46 47 48

49 At the time, Malle was in her final year at university and specialising in hotel management. The
50 hotel manager said that she knew it was Malle's dream to become a hotel receptionist, and Malle
51 worked hard to achieve the best possible results in her training as an investment in her future
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 career. The manager described Malle as a friendly and helpful young woman, but she was
4
5 sometimes self-conscious because of facial skin problems, as she had many visible signs of acne.
6
7
8
9

10 The manager interviewed said that not long before graduation, Malle applied for a position as a
11
12 porter at the hotel. Malle passed the first stage of the application process (curriculum vitae and
13
14 application letter) successfully, but she failed during the second stage, which involved a face-to-
15
16 face interview with the hotel manager, the service manager and a human resources specialist, all
17
18 women. After a brief talk, the recruitment interview was over. The next day, Malle was sent a
19
20 rejection letter. The hotel manager admitted that the letter might have suggested to Malle that she
21
22 was not recruited because her appearance was considered unsuitable for the hotel's image,
23
24 although this was not explicitly stated in the letter. The position was given to a 'good-looking'
25
26 student from the same university programme.
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 The manager reported that a few weeks later, Malle was appointed to a final internship as part of
34
35 her studies at the same hotel. She worked in different positions during her internship, always
36
37 receiving excellent feedback from her supervisors and from guests. When Malle worked as a
38
39 receptionist, the rating for her reception services was even higher than usual. The hotel manager
40
41 said that at the end of the internship, she offered Malle a position in reception. Although the
42
43 compensation package was impressive, Malle turned the offer down. She told the manager that she
44
45 had heard rumours that her appearance was not considered suitable for customer service and that
46
47 she perceived a discriminatory attitude in the recruitment process. The manager knew and
48
49 understood that she had behaved inappropriately: 'Co-working with Malle during her internship
50
51 made me feel guilty about the decision we made in the recruitment process' (Malle's manager).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Analysis and interpretation

Malle's appearance was considered 'not so proper and attractive' to work in customer service. Her competency in the recruitment process seemed to be evaluated based more on her appearance than her work performance. This is obviously a legal problem in Estonia (Constitution, Amend. 15, Art. 12, Para. 1 and 2) in addition to an ethical problem (Rhode, 2009, 2016). However, in this case, it is not evident that Malle's gender played a role in the discrimination.

In Malle's case, based on the hotel manager's description, it seems that unethical practices had not been deeply normalised by the organisational culture of the hotel. Instead, the practices seem to have been based more on the thinking of those who conducted the recruitment interview. At first, the recruiters were likely convinced of the legitimacy of their appearance-based discriminatory behaviour because of their belief that customer satisfaction would be threatened if a 'not-so-good-looking' person was working in the organisation. Without considering the potential negative effects on the organisation of the illegality and immorality of their behaviour, the recruiters believed that Malle's appearance would be harmful to organisational outcomes.

The recruiters' reliance on their 'rational' belief in negative business results obviously helped them distance themselves from the immorality of their behaviour. It is possible that the recruiters were conscious, at least to some extent, of the illegality and immorality of their actions, but they did not wish to think of it in such a light. Therefore, the business case rationale that managers in Estonian business life often tend to follow (Kooskora, 2006) was obviously preferred over morality and even legal obligations. Although in this case the rationalisation offered can be regarded as a means

1
2
3 of legitimising appearance-based discriminatory behaviour within the organisation, the
4
5 undervaluation of the demand for legality in the situation is likely to have been based on the
6
7 normalisation of unethical practices embedded in societal-level institutionalisation (Author D).
8
9
10 This interpretation is further supported by other research findings, which indicate that Estonian
11
12 employers tend to be unaware of the legislation prohibiting discrimination during the recruitment
13
14 process (Aavik *et al.*, 2020; Täht *et al.*, 2019; Turk *et al.*, 2015).
15
16
17
18

19 While in Tiina's case, the manager failed to put an end to the problem, Malle's complaint
20
21 ultimately had a positive impact on the manager's way of thinking: the manager's awareness of
22
23 appearance-based discrimination improved. The manager admitted her failure to tackle the ethical
24
25 problem in question, regretted the decision and realised that she had done wrong. Consequently,
26
27 in the end, the manager exhibited moral awareness (Carroll, 2000), even though it did not alter
28
29 Malle's decision to not accept a job with the organisation. Losing Malle, who was a service-
30
31 oriented, highly motivated and competent employee, may have contributed to the manager's
32
33 understanding of her problematic behaviour.
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 In Malle's case, the manager's initial behaviour was likely harmful to the self-esteem of the
41
42 employee, who was in the early phase of her career. It is important to note, however, that when
43
44 Malle raised the issue and made it visible, the manager listened to her and regretted what she had
45
46 done. This can be seen as a sign of the first step towards making changes to reduce discrimination.
47
48
49
50

51 *Silvi's narrative*

52
53
54 Outline of the case
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Silvi was a 28-year-old psychology student who applied for a position as a loan consultant at a
7 bank. Before this, Silvi had spent several years working internationally in service and sales without
8 any complications. In a newspaper article, Silvi reported that she had received a friendly phone
9 call from the human resource manager of the bank and been invited to a job interview. From the
10 very first moment she entered the recruitment office, however, Silvi felt she was given a
11 contemptuous and cold reception. Without introducing herself, a female manager told Silvi that
12 she would have to take a test. Soon after, though, she was told that the option of taking the test had
13 been cancelled. Silvi highlighted in the newspaper article that she was told the test would be
14 pointless because of her physical appearance: 'You yourself should understand that you don't fit
15 the position; you are different from other people'.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31 Silvi was deemed 'different' because of her height, 120 centimetres. She made her negative
32 treatment publicly known through the media and spoke to a journalist. The female bank manager
33 admitted in the newspaper article that she had told Silvi that she was not suitable for the position
34 of customer service representative. The manager, looking surprised, asked the journalist who wrote
35 about the incident whether the journalist felt that such a person would be suitable for customer
36 service.

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47 When talking to the journalist again later, the manager understood that she had made a mistake
48 and changed her story, stating that Silvi had not been given the job because all the positions in the
49 bank were already filled and that the confusion had been caused by a mix-up in internal
50 communications within the bank. When the journalist asked the manager why she did not tell Silvi

1
2
3 this during the first meeting with her, the manager replied that she could not remember what she
4
5 had said at that time.
6
7
8
9

10 In the end, Silvi was not only upset and sad but also angry. Afterwards, the male chairperson of
11
12 the bank publicly apologised to Silvi through the media and said that the manager would be
13
14 punished for her serious mistake. Nevertheless, Silvi filed a complaint with the Chancellor of
15
16 Justice of Estonia.
17
18
19
20

21 Analysis and interpretation

22
23 Silvi's case is similar to Malle's in that her physical appearance was not considered appropriate
24
25 for the organisation during the recruitment process. As with Malle's case, Silvi's case is both a
26
27 legal problem in Estonia and an ethical problem (Rhode, 2009, 2016). Moreover, Silvi's gender
28
29 did not seem to play a role in her discrimination.
30
31
32
33
34

35 As noted in the outline, Silvi spoke of her experience with the press, which resulted in the manager
36
37 of the organisation publicly trying to deny the problem. As a result, no constructive discussion of
38
39 the problem took place between the parties involved. However, Silvi showed conviction, courage
40
41 and a personal willingness to stand up for herself by speaking publicly of the discrimination she
42
43 had experienced.
44
45
46
47
48

49 In this case, unlike the cases of Tiina and Malle, the reason for the discrimination was not so clearly
50
51 embedded in the values and habits of the organisation (Ashforth and Anand, 2003) but rather the
52
53 behaviour of an individual manager. This fits with the idea of a 'bad apple' within an organisation,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 that is, that a certain individual rather than organisational conditions tends to be the driver of an
4
5 inappropriate act. The manager's discriminatory behaviour and lying in Silvi's case was not only
6
7 immoral but also illegal in Estonia (Constitution, Amend. 15, Art. 12, Para. 1 and 2). The fact that
8
9 the manager later tried to save face with the media demonstrates that although Silvi was explicitly
10
11 discriminated against based on her appearance, the manager did not see her own behaviour as
12
13 improper.
14
15
16
17
18

19 However, the head of the organisation showed courage in admitting the problem, though this was
20
21 likely due to the publicity given to the case and the salience of the middle manager's dishonesty.
22
23 He made it clear, at least in public **communications**, that the manager was going to be punished.
24
25 However, reporting on the case did not reveal the nature of the resulting punishment. Kooskora
26
27 (2006) argued that often when Estonian companies display a sense of corporate social
28
29 responsibility, they do so because the issue is important for reputational reasons – not necessarily
30
31 for ethical reasons. The difference between the manager's behaviour and that of the head of the
32
33 organisation may also be a sign of a fragmented organisational culture. The top management may
34
35 not have communicated their organisational values and principles clearly in terms of
36
37 discrimination.
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 In this case, the protagonist, Silvi, adopted an active strategy of public resistance. She made an
45
46 official complaint, and fought for her right to be treated with respect and without discrimination
47
48 **with publicity which was provided by the media**. Although this may have meant a personal
49
50 sacrifice on her part, the publicity of the case may have resulted in better awareness of appearance-
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 based discrimination not only in the organisation but in Estonian society in general. Finally, the
4
5 manager's attempt to hide the problem damaged the reputation of the organisation.
6
7
8
9

10 **Discussion**

11
12
13
14

15 A summary of the results is shown in Table 1.
16
17
18

19 Insert Table 1 here
20
21
22
23

24 Table 1 shows that despite the good education and good work performance of the three young
25
26 female employees in this study, each experienced different forms of appearance-based
27
28 discrimination, resulting many negative outcomes for both the employees and the organisations.
29

30 **The constructed narratives in this research** confirm earlier findings that in organisational life,
31
32 appearance-based discrimination can exist in both formal procedures and informal practices (see
33
34 Cavico *et al.*, 2012; Dipboye and Colella, 2005; Harwood, 2007; Trautner and Kwan, 2010).
35
36

37 According to Toledano (2013), employee interviews, hiring and compensation are typical roots of
38
39 appearance-based discrimination in the workplace. In this study, such discrimination occurred
40
41 especially as part of the organisational procedures of recruitment and hiring as well as career
42
43 management. Moreover, informal discriminatory practices, such as spreading sexist rumours and
44
45 undervaluing the role and competency of women, were prevalent in this study.
46
47
48
49
50

51 In Tiina's case, the traditional masculine organisational culture seemingly normalised
52
53 discrimination (Ashford and Anand, 2003), providing fertile ground for gendered appearance-
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 based discrimination against Tiina. As found by Martin and Barnard (2013), traditional masculine
4 culture tends to be one of the main reasons for women's appearance-based discrimination in the
5 workplace. Thus, it is possible that other women in the workplace have faced similar
6 discrimination due to their gender. Many previous studies have suggested that physical
7 'attractiveness' tends to contribute positively to an employee's success in working life (e.g.,
8 Corbett, 2011; Kwan and Trautner, 2009; Langlois *et al.*, 2000; Liu and Sierminska, 2014;
9 Toledano, 2013; Watkins and Johnston, 2000; Author C). **Yet, interestingly,** Tiina's case also
10 revealed the dark side of physical attractiveness for young women, whose 'good looks' are not
11 necessarily beneficial but rather cause problems in their careers due to sexist attitudes and
12 behaviour on the part of both male and female colleagues. The appearance-based discrimination
13 against Tiina addressed in this study may be a legacy of the beauty expectations faced by Estonian
14 women generally (Kaskla, 2003) and the sexualised attention given to (young) women's bodies
15 (Mihăilă, 2017). **Although in Estonia women tend to face demands to meet appearance-based
16 beauty expectations (Kaskla, 2003; Aavik, 2015; Meriküll and Tverdostup, 2021), and Tiina did
17 indeed meet those expectations, the paradox was that looking attractive and beautiful was not an
18 advantage to her within her specific workplace culture. In the masculine realm of her workplace
19 her appearance became sexualised both by men and women alike, and that appearance also
20 undermined her credibility as a competent employee.** In general, our study lends support to
21 Trethewey's (1999) argument that women need to be able to reveal their bodies in an appropriate
22 way in the workplace.

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52 Although previous research has argued that appearance-based discrimination against women is
53 most often caused by men (e.g., Collinson, 2003), here, it was also practiced by women against
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 other women. We suggest that the evaluating gaze and discriminatory acts can be gendered in
4
5 complex ways in the Estonian working life context and perhaps elsewhere (Evans *et al.*, 2010;
6
7 Author C).
8
9

10
11
12 While Tiina's case can be said to present gendered appearance-based discrimination in a
13
14 particularly subtle and informal form (sexist rumours and belittling), in the cases of Malle and
15
16 Silvi, the discrimination was more explicit and easier to notice. Based on our findings, we cannot
17
18 claim that Malle's or Silvi's discrimination was based on either gender or age. It is impossible to
19
20 know whether a young man with a similar appearance as Malle or Silvi would have been treated
21
22 the same or differently. Thus, we can only say that the cases represent discrimination based on
23
24 specific appearance characteristics: visible skin problems and height.
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 In line with several studies (e.g., Author A; Rhode, 2009, 2016; Warhurst and Nickson, 2007;
32
33 Author C), we found that appearance-based discrimination, whether covert or overt, was harmful
34
35 to both the individuals and organisations. The investigated organisations lost talent, and especially
36
37 in the Silvi's case the reputation and image as employer suffered; this may cause problems for
38
39 future recruitment efforts and negatively impact collaboration with stakeholders. The studied
40
41 women's career prospects also likely suffered, and they experienced stress, decreased self-esteem,
42
43 frustration and disappointment (Cruwys *et al.*, 2014; Dhanani *et al.*, 2018; Toledano, 2013). In
44
45 general, discriminatory practices can foster organisational cultures in which appearance-based
46
47 discrimination is easily normalised (Ashford and Anand, 2003).
48
49
50
51
52

53 We believe that those organisations in which Tiina, Malle, and Silvi were employed should adopt
54
55 various measures to ensure the prevention of appearance-based discrimination and to avoid
56
57

1
2
3 damage to the business in question (such as in terms of reputation, motivation, work morale, or
4 turnover). These exemplary and cautionary cases show how discrimination, which is harmful,
5
6 illegal, and unethical, tends to be difficult to recognise and even to have its existence admitted by
7
8 managers and other employees within their respective organisations. Therefore managers and other
9
10 employees within such organisations require more information about appearance-based and
11
12 gender-based discrimination within the workplace. Training employees to recognise unconscious
13
14 bias and prejudice, and power elements which are embedded in discrimination can help to raise
15
16 awareness in organisations. A case-based teaching method could be effective in achieving this
17
18 goal. Improving the transparency, discuss-ability, rules and formality of human resource
19
20 management (e.g., public recruitment, fair procedures and systems for reporting misbehaviour or
21
22 making complaints) could also be useful. Regular assessment and analysis of ethical practices in
23
24 the workplace (e.g., an ethics audit) can help the organisations and their management bodies to
25
26 recognise potential risks related to also this kind of discrimination and thus prevent damage
27
28 (Author B). Kantceva (2016) suggested that an effective way for any organisation to prevent
29
30 discrimination is to adopt best practices from more advanced organisations. It should not be
31
32 forgotten that organisations can learn from unsuccessful initiatives as well as from successful ones.
33
34 We think that the suggested measures are important enough to be deserving of consideration in
35
36 other organisations within Estonia and, most likely, elsewhere too in order to reduce appearance-
37
38 based discrimination in the workplace.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **Limitations and future research**

50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 To our knowledge, no studies concerning appearance-based discrimination in the Estonian
4
5 working life context had been conducted previously. Thus, this study may be the first attempt to
6
7 tackle this problem. To extend our understanding of the topic in the study context, diverse
8
9 examples (e.g., different genders, age groups, occupations, languages, ethnic groups, social classes
10
11 and career phases) are needed. In future research, it would be beneficial to address appearance-
12
13 based discrimination through intersectional analysis as a way to explore different social positions
14
15 and categories.
16
17
18
19
20

21
22 The relationship between organisational and societal expectations regarding the topic of workplace
23
24 discrimination also requires more attention in the future. Multi-level analyses in different
25
26 organisational contexts would be a welcome addition to future research as well. Studying the
27
28 subject in other societies, whether other post-socialist societies or elsewhere, might also yield
29
30 valuable information about the societal and socio-cultural influences on organisational behaviour
31
32 with respect to appearance-based discrimination.
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 The topic of appearance-based discrimination requires more empirical research in Estonia and
40
41 elsewhere. This is especially true given the increased emphasis on appearance that is emerging due
42
43 to the visualisation of cultural presentation and communication. Discrimination on the basis of
44
45 appearance may also extend to men and other genders; the issue should be made part of a future
46
47 research agenda.
48
49
50

51
52 Finally, we believe that the case study and narrative inquiry approach used in this paper was a
53
54 relevant methodological choice because it yielded important knowledge on the topic. This kind of
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 approach can be considered a significant way of gaining knowledge about an ethically sensitive
4 and complex issue by making it easier to understand and fully grasp the moral salience of the issue
5 and people's experiences with it (Jackall, 1988). Moreover, instead of providing only a cross-
6 sectional view, the application of narrative inquiry offered a means to reveal the temporal
7 dynamics of the topic (Ricoeur, 1984; Riessman, 2008; Author A). In line with Campbell and
8 Cowton (2015), we suggest that more qualitative studies on ethically sensitive issues in
9 organisational life are needed because many ethical issues are essentially qualitative in nature.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Conclusion**

22
23
24
25
26 This study demonstrates that covert and overt discrimination based on an employee's physical
27 appearance can occur in Estonian workplaces, even though discrimination and inequality are not
28 tolerated in **official** public discourse, and all forms of discrimination are illegal in Estonia
29 (Constitution, Amend. 15, Art. 12, Para. 1 and 2; Kaskla, 2003). Job-related decisions and
30 behaviour based on subjective reasoning harm an employee's self-esteem and also result in the
31 loss of talent as well as negative publicity for an organisation.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 Based on the findings, we conclude that appearance norms, which frame perceptions of
43 attractiveness and unattractiveness (Mahajan, 2007), may at times be rather narrow and
44 stereotypical in Estonian workplaces. The studied cases indicate that an individual employee's
45 physical appearance can be interpreted as 'too attractive', 'unprofessional' or 'strange' and be
46 treated as an indication of a general lack of competence.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Disclosure Statement

No potential conflict of interest is reported by the authors.

References

Aavik, K. (2015), "Resistance to gender equality at work: Discursive practices of Estonian male managers", In: Flood, M. and Howson, R. (Ed.) *Engaging men in building gender equality*, Cambridge Scholars Publishing, pp.182–192.

Aavik, K., Ubakivi-Hadachi, P., Raudsepp, M. and Roosalu, T. (2020), *Soolise palgalõhe v hendamine: mitmetasandiline kvalitatiivuurim s*. RASI toimetised No. 11, Tallinna  likool; Tallinn.

Anspal, S., Kraut, L., and R  m, T. (2010), *Sooline palgal he Eestis: empiiriline anal is*. *Uuringuraport*. Eesti Rakendusuurimiste Keskus CentAR, Poliitikauuringute Keskus PRAXIS, Sotsiaalministeerium.

Ashford, B.E. and Anand, V. (2003), "The normalization of corruption in organisations", *Research in Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 25, pp.1–52.

Bartlett, K.T. (2009), "Making good on good intentions: The critical role of motivation in reducing implicit workplace discrimination", *Virginia Law Review*, Vol. 95 No. 8, pp.1893-1972.

1
2
3
4
5
6 Bienefeld, M., Chetvernina, T. and Lakunina, I. (2007), "Russia's reforms and women's work: in
7 the labour market and in society", *Journal of Social Policy Studies*, Vol. 53 No. 5, pp.387–404.
8
9

10
11
12 Burns, M. (2004), "Eating like an ox: Femininity and dualistic constructions of bulimia and
13 anorexia", *Feminism & Psychology*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp.269–295.
14
15
16

17
18
19 Campbell, D. and Cowton, C. (2015), "Method issues in business ethics research: finding credible
20 answers to questions that matter", *Business Ethics: A European Review*, Vol. 24 No. S1, pp.S3–
21 S10.
22
23
24

25
26
27
28 Carroll, A.B. (2000), "Ethical challenges for business in the new millennium: Corporate social
29 responsibility and models of management morality", *Business Ethics Quarterly*, Vol 10 No. 1,
30 pp.33–42.
31
32
33

34
35
36
37 Cavico, F.J., Muffler, S.C. and Mujtaba, B.G. (2012), "Appearance discrimination, "lookism" and
38 "lookphobia" in the workplace", *The Journal of Applied Business Research*, Vol. 28 No. 5,
39 pp.791–802.
40
41
42
43

44
45
46
47 Cavico, F.J., Muffler, S.C. and Mujtaba, B.G. (2013), "Appearance discrimination in
48 employment", *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp.83–119.
49
50
51
52

1
2
3 Collinson, D.L. (2003), “Identities and insecurities: Selves at work”, *Organization*, Vol. 10 No. 3,
4 pp.527–547.
5
6
7

8
9
10 Constitution, Amend. 15, Art. 12, Para. 1 and 2, *Estonia's Constitution of 1992 with Amendments*
11 *through 2015*. Accessed 15 December 2021.
12
13

14 Available from: https://www.constituteproject.org/constitution/Estonia_2015.pdf?lang=en
15
16
17

18
19 Corbett, W.R. (2007), “The ugly truth about appearance discrimination and the beauty of our
20 employment discrimination law”, *Duke Journal of Gender Law & Policy*, Vol. 153 No. 14,
21 pp.153–178.
22
23
24
25

26
27
28 Corbett, W.R. (2011), “Hotness discrimination: Appearance discrimination as a mirror for
29 reflecting on the body of employment discrimination law”, *Catholic University Law Review*, Vol
30 60 No. 3, pp.615–652.
31
32
33
34

35
36
37 Cruwys, T., Haslam, S.A., Dingle, G.A., Haslam, C. and Jetten, J. (2014), “Depression and social
38 identity: An integrative review”, *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, Vol. 18 No. 3,
39 pp.215–238.
40
41
42
43
44

45
46
47 Davidenko, M. (2018), “Multiple femininities in two Russian women’s magazines, 1970s–1990s”,
48 *Journal of Gender Studies*, Vol. 27 No. 4, pp.445–463.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

1
2
3 Dhanani, L.Y., Beus, J.M. and Joseph, D.L. (2018), “Workplace discrimination: A meta-analytic
4 extension, critique, and future research agenda”, *Personnel Psychology*, Vol. 71 No. 2, pp.147-
5
6 179.
7
8
9

10
11
12 Dipboye, R.L. and A. Colella, A. (2005), “The dilemmas of workplace discrimination”, In:
13
14 Dipboye, R. and Colella, A. (Ed.) *The psychological and organizational bases of discrimination*
15
16 *at work*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp.425–462.
17
18
19

20
21 Del Carmen Triana, M., Jayasinghe, M., Pieper, J.R., Delgado, D.M. and Li, M. (2019), “Perceived
22
23 workplace gender discrimination and employee consequences: A meta-analysis and
24
25 complementary studies considering country context”, *Journal of Management*, Vol. 45 No. 6,
26
27 pp.2419–2447.
28
29
30

31
32
33 DeSouza, E.R., Wesselmann, E.D. and Ispas, D. (2017), “Workplace discrimination against sexual
34
35 minorities: subtle and not-so-subtle”, *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 34 No.
36
37 2, pp.121–132.
38
39
40

41
42 Eurostat (2019). *Gender pay gap statistics*. Accessed 24 August 2022. Available from:
43
44 https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Gender_pay_gap_statistics
45
46
47
48

49 Evans, A., Riley, S. and Shankar, A. (2010), “Technologies of sexiness: Theorizing women’s
50
51 engagement in the sexualization of culture”, *Feminism and Psychology*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp.114–
52
53 131.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 Fardouly, J., Brydie, K., Willburger, F.K. and Vartanian, L.R. (2018), "Instagram use and young
6 women's body image concerns and self-objectification: Testing mediational pathways", *New*
7
8 *Media and Society*, Vol. 20 No. 4, pp.1380–1395.
9

10
11
12
13
14 Geva, A. (2006), "A typology of moral problems in business: A framework for ethical
15 management", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 69 No. 2, pp.133–147.
16
17
18

19
20
21 Grandy, G. (2008), "Managing spoiled identities: dirty workers' struggles for a favourable sense
22 of self", *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management: An International Journal*, Vol.
23
24 3 No. 3, pp.176-198.
25
26
27

28
29
30
31 Harwood, C. (2007), "Dressed for success? Gendered appearance discrimination at the
32 workplace", *Victoria University of Wellington Law Review*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp.583–602.
33
34
35

36
37
38 *Kantceva, A. (2016), The main hinderers of corporate social responsibility activities within*
39
40 *Estonian companies, Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications, the Republic of Estonia.*

41
42 *Accessed on 3 January 2022. Available from:*

43
44
45 [http://www.csr.ee/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/The-Main-Hinderers-of-Corporate-Social-](http://www.csr.ee/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/The-Main-Hinderers-of-Corporate-Social-Responsibility-Activities-within-Estonian-Companies.pdf)
46
47 [Responsibility-Activities-within-Estonian-Companies.pdf](http://www.csr.ee/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/The-Main-Hinderers-of-Corporate-Social-Responsibility-Activities-within-Estonian-Companies.pdf)
48

49
50
51 Kaptein. M. (2008), "Developing and testing a measure for the ethical culture of organizations: the
52 corporate ethical virtues model", *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 29 No. 7, pp.923–947.
53
54
55

1
2
3
4
5 Kaskla, E. (2003), “The national woman: Constructing gender roles in Estonia”, *Journal of Baltic*
6
7
8 *Studies*, Vol. 34 No., pp.298–312.
9

10
11
12 Kooskora, M. (2006), “Perceptions of business purpose and responsibility in the context of radical
13
14
15 political and economic development: The case of Estonia”, *Business Ethics: A European Review*,
16
17
18 Vol. 15 No. 2, pp.183–199.
19

20
21
22 Kosyakova, Y., Saar, E. and Dämmrich, J. (2018), “Institutional change and gender inequalities at
23
24
25 labour market entry: A comparison of Estonia, Russia, and East and West Germany”, *Studies of*
26
27
28 *Transition States and Societies*, Vol. 92 No. 2, pp.17–40.
29

30
31
32 Kwan, S. and Trautner, M.N. (2009), “Beauty work: Individual and institutional rewards, the
33
34
35 reproduction of gender, and questions of agency “, *Sociology Compass*, Vol 3 No. 1, pp.49–71.
36

37
38
39 Langlois, J.H., Kalakanis, L., Rubenstein, A.J., Larson, A., Hallam, M. and Smoot, M. (2000),
40
41
42 “Maxims or myths of beauty? A meta-analytic and theoretical review”, *Psychological Bulletin*,
43
44
45 Vol. 126 No. 3, pp.390-423.
46

47
48
49 Liu, X. and Sierminska, E. (2014), *Evaluating the effect of beauty on labor market outcomes: A*
50
51
52 *review of the literature*. IZA Discussion Papers, No. 8526, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Mahajan, R. (2007), "The naked truth: Appearance discrimination, employment, and the law",
4
5 *Asian American Law Journal*, Vol. 14 May, pp.165–203.
6
7

8
9
10 Martin, P. and Barnard, A. (2013), "The experience of women in male-dominated occupations: A
11
12 constructivist grounded theory inquiry", *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, Vol. 39 No. 2, Art.
13
14 #1099, 12 pages. [http:// dx.doi.org/10.4102/sajip. v39i2.1099](http://dx.doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v39i2.1099)
15
16

17
18
19 Meriküll, J. and Tverdostup, M. (2021), *The gap that survived the transition: The gender wage*
20
21 *gap over three decades in Estonia*, wiiw Working Paper, No. 206, The Vienna Institute for
22
23 International Economic Studies (wiiw), Vienna.
24
25

26
27
28 Mihăilă, V. (2017), "The making of modern beauty pageants - traditions, myth, tales, and
29
30 histories". In Yearbook of the 'Gheorghe Sincai' Institute for Social Sciences & the
31
32 Humanities of the Romanian Academy 20, pp. 107–125.
33
34

35
36
37 Mills, A.J., Durepos, G. and Wieben, E. (2010), *Encyclopedia of case study research* (Vol.
38
39 1), London, Sage.
40
41

42
43
44 Polkinghorne, D.E. (1988), *Narrative knowing and the human sciences*, Suny Press.
45
46

47
48
49 Puhl, R. and Brownell, K.D. (2001), "Bias, discrimination, and obesity", *Obesity Research*, Vol.
50
51 9 No. 12, pp.788-805.
52
53

1
2
3 Reingardiene J. (2003), “Dilemmas in private/public discourse: Contexts for gender-based
4 violence against women in Lithuania”, *Journal of Baltic Studies*, Vol. 34 No. 3, pp.354-368.
5
6
7
8

9
10 Ricoeur, P. (1984), *Time and narrative*, Volume 1, The University of Chicago Press.
11
12
13

14
15 Riessman, C.K. (2008), *Narrative methods for the human sciences*, Sage.
16
17
18

19
20 Rhode, D.L. (2009), “The injustice of appearance”, *Stanford Law Review*, Vol. 61 No. 5, pp.1033–
21 1101.
22
23
24
25

26
27 Rhode, D.L. (2016), “Appearance as a feminist issue”, *SMU Law Review*, Vol. 69 No. 4, pp.697–
28 710.
29
30
31
32

33
34 Rowley, (2002), “Using case studies in research”, *Management Research News*, Vol. 25 No. 1,
35 pp. 16–27.
36
37
38
39

40
41 Selmi, M. (2016), “Statistical inequality and intentional (not implicit) discrimination”, *Law and*
42 *Contemporary Problems*, Vol. 79 No. 3, pp.199–222.
43
44
45
46

47
48 Stake, R. E. (1995) *The art of case study research*, Thousand Oaks, CA, Sage.
49
50

51
52 Täht, K. (Ed.) (2019), *Soolise palgalõhe kirjeldamine ja seletamine – tehniline ülevaade*. RASI
53 toimetised nr 10 (Tallinn, Tallinna Ülikool).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Tight, M. (2017), *Understanding case study research*, Sage.

7
8
9
10 Toledano, E. (2013), "The looking-glass ceiling: Appearance-based discrimination in the
11 workplace. *Cardozo Journal of Law and Gender*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp.683–714.

12
13
14
15
16
17 Trautner, M.N. and Kwan, S. (2010), "'Gendered appearance norms: An analysis of employment
18 discrimination lawsuits, 1970–2008", In: Williams, C.L. and Dellinger, K. (Ed.) *Gender and
19 Sexuality in the Workplace (Research in the Sociology of Work, Vol. 20)*, Emerald Group
20 Publishing Limited, Bingley, pp.127–150.

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 Trethewey, A. (1999), "Disciplined bodies: Women's embodied identities at work", *Organization
29 Studies*, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp.423–450.

30
31
32
33
34
35 Turk, P., Anniste, K., Masso, M., Karu, M. and Kriger, T. (2015), *Uuring Soolise vördõiguslikkuse
36 seaduse rakendamisest Eesti era-, avaliku ja kolmanda sektori organisatsioonides ning
37 indikaatorite väljatõõtamine seaduse mõjude hindamiseks*. Poliitikauuringute Keskus Praxis.

38
39
40
41
42
43
44 Ukhova, D. (2020), "Gender division of domestic labor in post-socialist Europe (1994–2012): Test
45 of class gradients hypothesis", *Social Inclusion*, Vol. 8 No. 4, pp.23–34.

46
47
48
49
50
51 Warhurst, C. and Nickson, N. (2007), "A new labour aristocracy? Aesthetic labour and routine
52 interactive", *Work, Employment and Society*, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp.785–798.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Watkins, L.M. and Johnston, L. (2000), "Screening job applicants: The impact of physical attractiveness and application quality", *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp.76–84.

World Economic Forum (2022), *Global gender gap report*. Accessed 27 August 2022. Available from: <https://www.weforum.org/reports/global-gender-gap-report-2022/>

Vickers, M.H. (2009), "Bullying, disability and work: a case study of workplace bullying", *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management*, Vol. 4 No. 3, pp. 255-272.

Vöörmann, R. (2009), "Gender segregated labour markets in the Baltics: What are prevailing - similarities or differences?", *Studies of Transition States and Societies*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp.66–80.

Vöörmann, R. and Helemäe, J. (2016), "Estonia", In: Razzu, G. (Ed.), *Gender inequality in the Eastern European labour market: Twenty-five years of transition since the fall of communism*, Routledge, pp. 100–135.

Table I Summary of the results

	Tiina	Malle	Silvi
Appearance as a basis for discrimination	'Too' good-looking: blonde and slim	'Too' unattractive: visible skin problems	'Too' strange-looking: short stature
Genders involved in discriminatory behaviour	Male to female, female to female	Female to female	Female to female
Key reason for discrimination	Normalisation through institutionalisation	Normalisation through rationalisation	Individual act
Outcomes of the discrimination for the woman	Emotional outcomes: embarrassment, anger, stress. Behavioural outcome: exit from the job and country	Emotional outcomes: disappointment, decreased self-esteem. Behavioural outcomes: missed and rejected work offer	Emotional outcomes: sadness, anger, personal sacrifice. Behavioural outcomes: was not recruited, filed a complaint with the chancellor, potentially stigmatised as a difficult employee in the labour market
Outcomes of the discrimination for the company	Loss of talent; maintenance of discriminatory organisational culture	Loss of an employee with strong potential; emerging awareness of discrimination	Public damage to the organisation's reputation and image, awareness of appearance-based discrimination improved