

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Tirkkonen, Anna; Törmäkangas, Timo; Kulmala, Jenni; Hänninen, Tuomo; Neely, Anna Stigsdotter; Sipilä, Sarianna

Title: Participant characteristics associated with the effects of a physical and cognitive training program on executive functions

Year: 2022

Version: Published version

Copyright: © 2022 Tirkkonen, Törmäkangas, Kulmala, Hänninen, Neely and Sipilä.

Rights: CC BY 4.0

Rights url: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Please cite the original version:

Tirkkonen, A., Törmäkangas, T., Kulmala, J., Hänninen, T., Neely, A. S., & Sipilä, S. (2022). Participant characteristics associated with the effects of a physical and cognitive training program on executive functions. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 14, Article 1038673. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2022.1038673>



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Rubem C. A. Guedes,
Federal University of Pernambuco,
Brazil

REVIEWED BY

Kenju Shimomura,
Fukushima Medical University, Japan
Natalia Sharashkina,
Pirogov Russian National Research
Medical University, Russia

*CORRESPONDENCE

Anna Tirkkonen
anna.a-k.tirkkonen@jyu.fi

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to
Neurocognitive Aging and Behavior,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience

RECEIVED 07 September 2022

ACCEPTED 06 October 2022

PUBLISHED 25 October 2022

CITATION

Tirkkonen A, Törmäkangas T,
Kulmala J, Hänninen T, Neely AS and
Sipilä S (2022) Participant
characteristics associated with
the effects of a physical and cognitive
training program on executive
functions.

Front. Aging Neurosci. 14:1038673.
doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.1038673

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Tirkkonen, Törmäkangas,
Kulmala, Hänninen, Neely and Sipilä.
This is an open-access article
distributed under the terms of the
[Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is
permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original
publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

Participant characteristics associated with the effects of a physical and cognitive training program on executive functions

Anna Tirkkonen^{1*}, Timo Törmäkangas¹, Jenni Kulmala^{2,3,4},
Tuomo Hänninen⁵, Anna Stigsdotter Neely^{6,7} and
Sarianna Sipilä¹

¹Gerontology Research Center, Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland, ²Faculty of Social Sciences (Health Sciences) and Gerontology Research Centre, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland, ³Division of Clinical Geriatrics, Center for Alzheimer Research, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences, and Society (NVS), Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, ⁴Population Health Unit, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland, ⁵NeuroCenter, Department of Neurology, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland, ⁶Department of Social and Psychological Studies, Karlstad University, Karlstad, Sweden, ⁷Engineering Psychology, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden

Background: Physical and cognitive interventions have been shown to induce positive effects on older adults' executive functioning. However, since participants with different background characteristics may respond differently to such interventions, we investigated whether training effects on executive functions were associated with sex, training compliance, and age. We also investigated if change in global cognition was associated with physical and cognitive training intervention-induced changes in executive functions.

Methods: Exploratory data from a randomized controlled trial were analyzed. Participants were 70–85-year-old men and women who received a 12-month physical (PT) or physical and cognitive training (PTCT) intervention. Measurements of executive functions related to inhibition (Stroop), set shifting (Trail Making Test B) and updating (Verbal Fluency) were performed at baseline and 12 months. Data were analyzed using a longitudinal linear path model for the two measurements occasion.

Results: Stroop improved significantly more in women and participants in the low compliance subgroup who received PTCT than in counterparts in the PT subgroup (difference -8.758 , $p = 0.001$ and difference -8.405 , $p = 0.010$, respectively). In addition, TMT B improved after the intervention in the low compliance PTCT subgroup and worsened in the corresponding PT subgroup (difference -15.034 , $p = 0.032$). No other significant associations were observed.

Conclusion: Executive functions in women and in the participants, who only occasionally engaged in training showed greater improvement after the PTCT than PT intervention. However, the additional extra benefit gained from the PTCT intervention was uniquely expressed in each executive function measured in this study.

KEYWORDS

older adults, executive functions, training response, physical training, physical and cognitive training

Introduction

Executive functions are high-order cognitive functions that enables independent, appropriate and self-serving behavior (Harada et al., 2013). It is generally agreed that executive functions are consist of three sub-domains, inhibition, set shifting and updating that are united but show also diversity and serve as a base, for example, for problem solving, reasoning and planning (Miyake et al., 2000; Diamond, 2013). Executive functions has been shown to be prone age-related decline (Harada et al., 2013), however this decline can be attenuated with training (Diamond, 2013).

Physical and cognitive interventions have been shown to induce positive effects on older adults' executive functioning (Ten Brinke et al., 2020; Sipilä et al., 2021; Han et al., 2022). However, participants with different baseline characteristics may respond differently to different training interventions. To develop optimized interventions and guidelines for executive functioning among older people, the factors that may influence training responses need to be identified. The results of PASSWORD, our earlier randomized controlled study (Sipilä et al., 2021), showed that a 12-month multicomponent physical training program combined with computer-based cognitive training improved executive functions related to inhibition more compared to physical training alone among older adults who did not meet physical activity guidelines at baseline. However, no significant intervention-induced changes between the study groups were observed in other domains of executive functions namely set shifting or updating.

Previous research findings suggest that the training response of physical and cognitive training (PTCT) interventions are depended on sex, training frequency and age, although of the previous studies are somewhat inconsistent. For example, meta-analysis by Barha et al. (2017) found that women executive functioning gained greater benefit from physical training interventions compared to men. However, recent randomized controlled trial (Roig-Coll et al., 2020) did not found sex differences in exercise efficacy after combined PTCT. Additionally, previous meta-analysis has suggested that high frequency of combined PTCT (5 times a week or more) is

inefficient for executive functions (Zhu et al., 2016). Physical training, in turn, has been suggested to be most beneficial for executive functions when training frequency is rather frequent (3–5 times a week) in meta-analysis by Karr et al. (2014). Moreover, previous meta-analyses have been shown that, among older adults, older age was associated with greater positive intervention induced change in executive functions after combined PTCT (Zhu et al., 2016; Han et al., 2022). Concerning the physical training it might be that age does not have a similar role (Karr et al., 2014). Finally, better global cognition has been show to correlate with better performance in executive functions (Shao et al., 2020).

In this hypothesis-generating analysis, we investigated whether the training responses observed in different domains of executive functioning, inhibition, set shifting and updating were dependent on sex, training compliance or age. We further investigated if change in global cognition was associated with intervention-induced change in executive functions sub-domains.

Methods

Study design

This study utilized data from our earlier assessor-blinded randomized controlled trial.¹ The study design and the results have been published previously (Sipilä et al., 2018, 2021). Ethical approval of the study was obtained from the Ethical Committee of Central Finland Health Care District (14/12/2016, ref: 11/2016). All participants gave a written consent before the baseline measurements.

Participants

Participants were 70–85-year-old community-dwelling men and women living in Jyväskylä, Finland and were randomly

¹ <http://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN52388040>

extracted from Finland's Population Information System administered by the Population Register Center. The inclusion criteria were willingness to participate, not meeting physical activity guidelines (less than 150 min of moderate activity/week and no regular resistance training), ability to walk 500 meters without assistance, and a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score ≥ 24 . The exclusion criteria were a severe chronic condition and/or medication affecting cognitive and/or physical performance, any contraindication for walking for physical training or walking tests, depressive mood (GDS-15 > 5 points and not having the self-reported or physician and primary investigator-assessed resources to commit to the study), risk-level use of alcohol (> 7 units per week for women and 14 for men), or any other contraindications for physical training or another member of the household participating in the PASSWORD-study. After exclusions, 314 participants were recruited to the study.

Randomization and blinding

Participants were randomized in a 1:1 ratio and stratified by age (70–74, 75–79, 80–85) and sex into the PTCT ($n = 155$) or Physical Training alone (PT) ($n = 159$) groups.

Interventions

The interventions have been described previously (Sipilä et al., 2021). In brief, both groups received a 12-month multicomponent progressive physical training intervention which was designed on the basis of physical activity guidelines and earlier studies (Sihvonen et al., 2004; Portegijs et al., 2008; Fielding et al., 2011). The PT intervention included two supervised training sessions a week, one for resistance and balance exercises and the other for walking and dynamic balance exercises. Resistance and balance exercises included short warm-up, balance exercises and strengthening resistance exercises for lower limbs, trunk, and upper body. Walking and dynamic balance sessions included 10-min warm-up and dynamic balance training, following 10–20 min continuously walk with target intensity of somewhat hard to hard. Supervised resistance training session took place in senior gyms equipped with machines utilizing air pressure technology.² Supervised walking and dynamic balance training sessions took place outdoors except during winter months indoor sports hall. In addition, participants received a progressive home exercise program which was instructed to perform 2–3 times a week. Home exercise program included strength exercises for lower limbs, balance exercises and stretching. The PTCT group received also 12-month progressive computer-based cognitive

training intervention targeted at improving executive functions. Participants were instructed to perform cognitive training 3–4 times a week.

Measurements

Executive functions were assessed at baseline, 6 and 12 months. Other outcomes were assessed at baseline and 12 months. This study utilized data from the baseline and 12 months measurements for all outcomes.

Executive functions

Inhibition was assessed with the Color-Word Stroop test. In this test participants were requested to name colors in incongruent and congruent conditions. Finally, the time difference between two conditions was calculated and used as the outcome (Graf et al., 1995). *Set shifting* was assessed with the Trail Making Test Part B. This test requires participants to alternately combine numbers and letters as quickly as possible (Reitan, 1958). *Updating* was assessed with the Verbal Fluency Test. This test requires participants to name as many words beginning with P, A or S as they can in three separate 1-min trials (Koivisto et al., 1992). The total score is the summed number of the named words.

Participant characteristics

Subgroup analyses on age, sex, global cognition, and training compliance were pre-specified for walking speed, the main outcome, of PASSWORD (Sipilä et al., 2021). Participant sex and age were drawn from Finland's Population Information System administered by the Population Register Center. *Global cognition* was assessed at baseline and after the interventions with the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) (Chandler et al., 2005; Paajanen et al., 2010). Compliance was based on participation in supervised training sessions. The high-compliance PT subgroup participated in at least 50% and the low-compliance PT subgroup in less than 50% of the supervised PT sessions. The high-compliance PTCT subgroup participated in at least 50% of the supervised PT sessions and performed Cognitive training (CT) at least twice a week. The low compliance PTCT subgroup participated in less than 50% of the supervised PT sessions and/or performed CT less than twice a week. The mean compliance for each program (walking and dynamic balance sessions: 59% in the PT group and 62% in the PTCT group. Resistance and balance sessions: 72% in PT group and 77% in PTCT group. Cognitive training: on average 1.9 times a week) has been published previously (Sipilä et al., 2021).

Descriptive variables

Body height and weight were measured at baseline, and BMI was calculated. Education, current physical activity, smoking

² <http://www.hur.fi/en>

status, and self-rated health were self-reported. Education was categorized as low (primary school or less) medium (middle school, folk high school, vocational school, or secondary school) or high (high school or university). Current physical activity was assessed with a seven-point scale (Hirvensalo et al., 2000) and re-categorized as high (categories 5–7) medium (categories 3 and 4) or low (categories 1 and 2). Smoking status was categorized as never smokers (never smoker/less than 100 times), former smokers (never smoked regularly but smoked over 100 times) or current smoker (current smoker, regularly or occasionally). Self-rated health was reported as very good/good or average/poor.

Statistical analyses

As descriptive statistics we report the means and standard deviations for continuous variables and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables separately for PT and PTCT groups.

To identify potential outliers for the outcome variables of the main analyses, the outcome distributions were inspected graphically using univariate histograms and quantile plots and bivariate scatter plots. Skew and kurtosis were considered as summary statistics of distribution shape. While the plots, skew, and kurtosis (absolute value less than unity) indicated acceptable shape of distribution for all variables.

For the analyses, the outcomes were regressed within measurement waves in a two-group linear path model accounting for longitudinal correlation in the outcome variables. Using custom contrasts, we computed the differences in the regression coefficients from the measurement waves as the effects of time, and the difference in these time effects between groups was computed as the interaction effect.

Outcomes were tested for group-interaction over time using an interaction contrast in a linear model for the two longitudinal measurements. The model structure was set similar to the linear mixed model in order to account for within-person correlation, but it also permitted more general outcome variance structure specification and flexible handling of missing data with the maximum likelihood approach based on the missing-at-random (MAR) assumption. For continuous exposure variables (age and Cerad) the model included a within-subject part for the repeated measurements for each subject, and a between-subjects part contrasting the PTCT and PT groups based on regression coefficient differences. A similar model was used for binary exposure variables (sex and training compliance), but now the contrast was based on differences in expected marginal means. We report group means for each available measurement wave and group-by-time interactions as primary significance tests. As further subgroup contrasts, we also compared if the background factors and descriptive variables differed between PTCT and PT groups among men and women and high and low compliance groups. This analysis was carried out in SPSS for windows,

version 26. The specific contrasts used for all comparisons are shown in the model and contrast specification section of the supplementary document. The path model analyses were conducted in Mplus, version 7.4 (Muthén and Muthén, 2017).

Results

Participants' mean age was in PT group was 74.4 and in PTCT group 74.5 years, approximately 60% of participants were women in both groups, 25% in PT group and 18% in PTCT group had the high level of education (Table 1).

Our previous study, suggest that PTCT group improved significantly more their performance in Stroop than PT group (Sipilä et al., 2021). This subgroup analysis shows that women, and the low-compliance groups in PTCT improved Stroop performance significantly more than in the corresponding PT subgroups (difference -8.758, $p = 0.001$ and difference -8.405, $p = 0.010$, respectively) (Table 2). In men and the high-compliance subgroups, no significant differences between

TABLE 1 Participant's characteristics.

	PTCT ($n = 155$)	PT ($n = 159$)
Age (years)	74.4 ± 3.9	74.5 ± 3.8
BMI	28.0 ± 4.9	27.9 ± 4.5
MMSE	27.9 ± 1.4	27.4 ± 1.5
CERAD	79.5 ± 8	79.0 ± 8.2
SEX, no (%)		
Woman	96 (62)	92 (58)
Man	59 (38)	67 (42)
Education, no (%)		
Low	23 (15)	25 (16)
Medium	94 (61)	106 (67)
High	38 (25)	28 (18)
Current physical activity, no (%)		
Low	56 (36)	70 (44)
Medium	80 (52)	68 (43)
High	19 (12)	21 (13)
Smoking status, no (%)		
Never	94 (61)	97 (61)
Former	52 (34)	57 (36)
Current	9 (6)	5 (3)
Self-rated health, no (%)		
Very good/good	73 (47)	68 (43)
Average/poor	82 (53)	91 (57)

Means and standard deviations. Frequencies and percentages. PTCT, Physical and cognitive training; PT, Physical training; BMI, Body Mass Index; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; CERAD, Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease.

TABLE 2 Means with 95% confidence intervals and unstandardized regression coefficients (B) with 95% confidence intervals for subgroup analysis of the Stroop outcomes.

Stroop ^a	Subgroup	N	PTCT			PT			PTCT-PT			P-value		
			Mean	95% CI		Mean	95% CI		Difference	95% CI				
				Lower	Upper		N	Lower		Upper	Lower		Upper	
	Overall	155	BL	45.1	41.9	48.4	159	48.1	43.7	52.6	-6.766	-11.111	-2.421	0.002
			FU	34.0	31.2	36.8		43.8	40.5	47.1				
	Men	59	BL	42.5	38.0	47.1	67	50.8	42.5	59.2	-3.575	-10.942	3.793	0.342
			FU	34.6	29.8	39.4		46.5	40.8	52.2				
	Women	96	BL	46.7	42.3	51.2	95	46.2	41.6	50.8	-8.758	-13.873	-3.643	0.001
			FU	33.6	30.2	37.0		41.8	38.0	45.7				
	Low compliance	95	BL	46.2	42.1	50.2	55	48.2	42.5	53.9	-8.405	-14.780	-2.031	0.010
			FU	35.1	31.5	38.7		45.5	39.9	51.1				
	High compliance	60	BL	43.5	38.1	48.9	103	48.3	42.2	54.4	-5.966	-12.736	0.804	0.084
			FU	32.3	28.0	36.6		43.0	39.0	47.1				
				B	Lower	Upper		B	Lower	Upper	Difference	Lower	Upper	P-value
	Age	155	BL	0.860	0.026	1.695	159	1.037	-0.126	2.200	0.654	-0.482	1.790	0.259
			FU	1.368	0.686	2.049		0.890	0.032	1.749				
	CERAD ^b	141	BL	-0.538	-0.939	-0.136	147	-0.691	-1.141	-0.241	-0.090	-0.655	0.474	0.754
			FU	-0.514	-0.810	-0.218		-0.577	-0.902	-0.252				

^aLower time indicates better performance, ^bComprises verbal fluency, modified Boston naming test, word lists constructional praxis, word list recall and word list recognition discriminability (total sum score range 0–100). B, unstandardized regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval; PTCT, physical and cognitive training; PT, physical training; CERAD, Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease. Men vs. Women, $p = 0.257$, Training less vs. more than 50% (PT only), $p = 0.596$, Training less vs. more than 50% (PT and CT), $p = 0.607$.

the PT and PTCT interventions were observed. Age or global cognition were not significantly associated with the interventions-induced changes in Stroop performance.

Post-intervention TMT B performance improved significantly in the low compliance PTCT subgroup and worsened, i.e., was below the baseline level, in the low compliance PT subgroup (difference -15.034, $p = 0.032$) (Table 3). No significant differences in the change in TMT B change were observed among men, women, or the high-compliance subgroups. Moreover, no significant associations of age or global cognition with change in TMT B by intervention type were observed.

No significant differences in change in Verbal Fluency were observed between the study subgroups (Table 4) and none of the participant characteristics were significantly associated with change in Verbal Fluency by intervention type.

Discussion

In this hypothesis-generating analysis, we investigated whether the training responses of different sub-domains of executive functioning were dependent on age, sex, training compliance or change in global cognition. Our earlier study suggested that the 1-year PTCT intervention provided additional benefit for inhibition compared to physical training

alone (Sipilä et al., 2021). The present subgroup analyses suggests that the benefit found for inhibition may be driven by women sex and/or low compliance, defined as participation in less than half of the training. However, we found no statistically significant interactions between the sex subgroups and high- and low-compliance subgroups. The set shifting results showed that the participants in the low-compliance subgroup benefitted more from the PTCT than from physical training alone.

We found that women who received the PTCT showed a significantly greater improvement in their post-intervention inhibition performance than those who received physical training alone. Women who received PTCT improved their performance in Stroop by 28% whereas women who received physical training alone improved their performance by 9%. The corresponding changes among men indicated a similar but lower, statistically non-significant effect. Men receiving PTCT improved their performance in Stroop by 19% and men receiving physical training by 9%. The mechanism underlying the lower mean response rate among men remains unclear. It may be that activation of the neural circuits and/or molecular mechanisms (Grissom and Reyes, 2019) utilized by the women to solve the challenges presented by our cognitive training contributed to their superior performance in inhibition. Additionally, women tend to experience less decline over time in executive functions compared to men (McCarrey et al., 2016) and it might be that therefore they were more responsive to

funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement (Grant no. 675003). TT was an Academy of Finland Postdoctoral Researcher (Grant no. 286536) during the implementation of this study. JK was supported by funding from the Ministry of Education and Culture.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- Barha, C. K., Davis, J. C., Falck, R. S., Nagamatsu, L. S., and Liu-Ambrose, T. (2017). Sex differences in exercise efficacy to improve cognition: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials in older humans. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 46:71–85. doi: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2017.04.002
- Chandler, M. J., Lacritz, L. H., Hynan, L. S., Barnard, H. D., Allen, G., Deschner, M., et al. (2005). A total score for the CERAD neuropsychological battery. *Neurology* 65, 102–106. doi: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000167607.63000.38
- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 64, 135–168. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750
- Fielding, R. A., Rejeski, W. J., Blair, S., Church, T., Espeland, M. A., Gill, T. M., et al. (2011). The lifestyle interventions and independence for elders study: Design and methods. *J. Gerontol. Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 66, 1226–1237. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glr123
- Graf, P., Uttl, B., and Tuokko, H. (1995). Color- and picture-word Stroop tests: Performance changes in old age. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* 17, 390–415. doi: 10.1080/01688639508405132
- Grissom, N. M., and Reyes, T. M. (2019). Let's call the whole thing off: Evaluating gender and sex differences in executive function. *Neuropsychopharmacol. Off. Publ. Am. Coll. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 44, 86–96. doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0179-5
- Han, K., Tang, Z., Bai, Z., Su, W., and Zhang, H. (2022). Effects of combined cognitive and physical intervention on enhancing cognition in older adults with and without mild cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 14:878025. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.878025
- Harada, C. N., Natelson Love, M. C., and Triebel, K. L. (2013). Normal cognitive aging. *Clin. Geriatr. Med.* 29, 737–752. doi: 10.1016/j.cger.2013.07.002
- Hirvensalo, M., Rantanen, T., and Heikkinen, E. (2000). Mobility difficulties and physical activity as predictors of mortality and loss of independence in the community-living older population. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 48, 493–498. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2000.tb04994.x
- Karr, J. E., Areshenkoff, C. N., Rast, P., and Garcia-Barrera, M. A. (2014). An empirical comparison of the therapeutic benefits of physical exercise and cognitive training on the executive functions of older adults: A meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Neuropsychology* 28, 829–845. doi: 10.1037/neu0000101
- Koivisto, K., Helkala, E. L., Reinkainen, K. J., Hanninen, T., Mykkanen, L., Laakso, M., et al. (1992). Population-based dementia screening program in Kuopio: The effect of education, age, and sex on brief neuropsychological tests. *J. Geriatr. Psychiatry Neurol.* 5, 162–171. doi: 10.1177/002383099200500306
- McCarrey, A. C., An, Y., Kitner-Triolo, M. H., Ferrucci, L., and Resnick, S. M. (2016). Sex differences in cognitive trajectories in clinically normal older adults. *Psychol. Aging* 31, 166–175. doi: 10.1037/pag0000070
- Miyake, A., Friedman, N. P., Emerson, M. J., Witzki, A. H., Howerter, A., and Wager, T. D. (2000). The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex "Frontal Lobe" tasks: A latent variable analysis. *Cognit. Psychol.* 41, 49–100. doi: 10.1006/cogp.1999.0734
- Muthén, L. K., and Muthén, B. O. (2017). *Mplus User's Guide*, Eighth Edn. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén.
- Paajanen, T., Hanninen, T., Tunnard, C., Mecocci, P., Sobow, T., Tsolaki, M., et al. (2010). CERAD neuropsychological battery total score in multinational mild cognitive impairment and control populations: The AddNeuroMed study. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 22, 1089–1097. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2010-100459
- Portegijs, E., Kallinen, M., Rantanen, T., Heinonen, A., Sihvonen, S., Alen, M., et al. (2008). Effects of resistance training on lower-extremity impairments in older people with hip fracture. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 89, 1667–1674. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2008.01.026
- Reitan, R. M. (1958). Validity of the Trail Making Test as an Indicator of Organic Brain Damage. *Percept. Mot. Skills* 8, 271–276. doi: 10.2466/PMS.8.7.271-276
- Roig-Coll, F., Castells-Sánchez, A., Lamonja-Vicente, N., Torán-Monserrat, P., Pera, G., García-Molina, A., et al. (2020). Effects of aerobic exercise, cognitive and combined training on cognition in physically inactive healthy late-middle-aged adults: The projecte moviment randomized controlled trial. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 12:590168. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2020.590168
- Shao, K., Wang, W., Guo, S. Z., Dong, F. M., Yang, Y. M., Zhao, Z. M., et al. (2020). Assessing executive function following the early stage of mild Ischemic stroke with three brief screening tests. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis. Off. J. Natl. Stroke Assoc.* 29:104960. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2020.104960
- Sihvonen, S. E., Sipilä, S., and Era, P. A. (2004). Changes in postural balance in frail elderly women during a 4-week visual feedback training: A randomized controlled trial. *Gerontology* 50, 87–95. doi: 10.1159/000075559
- Sipilä, S., Tirkkonen, A., Hänninen, T., Laukkanen, P., Alen, M., Fielding, R. A., et al. (2018). Promoting safe walking among older people: The effects of a physical and cognitive training intervention vs. physical training alone on mobility and falls among older community-dwelling men and women (the PASSWORD study): Design and methods of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Geriatr.* 18:215. doi: 10.1186/s12877-018-0906-0
- Sipilä, S., Tirkkonen, A., Savikangas, T., Hänninen, T., Laukkanen, P., Alen, M., et al. (2021). Effects of physical and cognitive training on gait speed and cognition in older adults: A randomized controlled trial. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 31, 1518–1533. doi: 10.1111/sms.13960
- Ten Brinke, L. F., Best, J. R., Chan, J. L. C., Ghag, C., Erickson, K. I., Handy, T. C., et al. (2020). The effects of computerized cognitive training with and without physical exercise on cognitive function in older adults: An 8-week randomized controlled trial. *J. Gerontol. Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 75, 755–763. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glz115
- Zhu, X., Yin, S., Lang, M., He, R., and Li, J. (2016). The more the better? A meta-analysis on effects of combined cognitive and physical intervention on cognition in healthy older adults. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 31, 67–79. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2016.07.003

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2022.1038673/full#supplementary-material>