UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

UNIVERSITÄT JYVÄSKYLÄ INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND STATISTIK BERICHT 155

REPORT 155

CALDERÓN'S PROBLEM FOR p-LAPLACE TYPE EQUATIONS

TOMMI BRANDER

To be presented, with permission of the Faculty of Mathematics and Science of the University of Jyväskylä, for public criticism in Auditorium Agora Beeta on April 16th, 2016, at 12 o'clock noon.

Editor: Pekka Koskela

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

P.O. Box 35 (MaD)

FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä

Finland

ISBN 978-951-39-6575-4 (print) ISBN 978-951-39-6576-1 (pdf) ISSN 1457-8905

Copyright © 2016, Tommi Brander and University of Jyväskylä

University Printing House Jyväskylä 2016

Abstract

We investigate a generalization of Calderón's problem of recovering the conductivity coefficient in a conductivity equation from boundary measurements. As a model equation we consider the p-conductivity equation

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma\left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2}\nabla u\right)=0$$

with 1 , which reduces to the standard conductivity equation when <math>p = 2.

The thesis consists of results on the direct problem, boundary determination and detecting inclusions. We formulate the equation as a variational problem also when the conductivity σ may be zero or infinity in large sets. As a boundary determination result we recover the first order derivative of a smooth conductivity on the boundary. We use the enclosure method of Ikehata to recover the convex hull of an inclusion of finite conductivity and find an upper bound for the convex hull if the conductivity within an inclusion is zero or infinite.

Tiivistelmä

Calderónin ongelma kysyy: voidaanko johtavuuden arvo johtavuusyhtälössä määrittää reunamittauksia käyttäen? Tutkimme Calderónin ongelman yleistystä tilanteeseen, jossa suoraa ongelmaa kuvaa p-johtavuusyhtälö

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma\left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2}\nabla u\right)=0,$$

missä 1 . Yhtälö on tavallinen johtavuusyhtälö, jos <math>p = 2.

Väitöskirjan tulokset koskevat suoraa ongelmaa, reunamääritystä ja sisältymän (eli inkluusion tai esteen) etsimistä. Yleistämme suoran ongelman tilanteeseen, jossa johtavuus σ voi saada arvon nolla tai ääretön suurissa joukoissa. Reunamääritystuloksena määritämme siistin johtavuuden gradientin tutkitun alueen reunalla. Käytämme Ikehatan kotelointimenetelmää määrittääksemme äärellisjohtavuuksisen sisältymän kuperan verhon, ja ylärajan verholle, jos johtavuus sisältymässä on nolla tai ääretön.

Acknowledgements

I dedicate this thesis to the memory of Juha Brander.

I would like to to thank my advisor, professor Mikko Salo, for his guidance and help, professor Sergey Repin for showing me that research is a possible path for me, and fellow students and faculty for an encouraging environment.

The research was partially funded by Academy of Finland through the Finnish Centre of Excellence in Inverse Problems Research, for which I am grateful.

Finally, most of my gratitude belongs to Terhi for everything and to Noora for all the joy she brought to us.

List of included articles

This thesis is based on the work contained within the following publications:

- [I] Enclosure method for the p-Laplace equation
 - T. Brander, M. Kar, and M. Salo, Inverse Problems 31(4):045001 (2015).
- [II] Calderón problem for the p-Laplacian: First order derivative of conductivity on the boundary
 - T. Brander,

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 144(1):177–189 (2016).

[III] Superconductive and insulating inclusions for non-linear conductivity equations T. Brander, J. Ilmavirta, and M. Kar, preprint.

The author has participated actively in development of the joint papers [I, III].

	V
Contents of the introductory part	
Abstract	i
Tiivistelmä	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of included articles	iv
1. Inverse problems	1
2. Calderón's problem and electrical impedance tomography	1
2.1. Physical background	1
2.2. One dimensional case	2
2.3. Electrical impedance tomography	5
3. p-conductivity equation	7
3.1. Solutions of Wolff	8
3.2. Dirichlet to Neumann map	9
4. p-Calderón's problem	10
5. Boundary determination	11
6. Detecting inclusions	12
7. Other interior results	14
References	15

1. Inverse problems

The field of inverse problems is motivated by practical problems, where the objective is to recover information on a medium by indirect measurements; for example:

- To what extent do X-rays penetrate different human tissue and how much of them is absorbed? After measuring the absorption of X-rays, the inverse problem is to calculate which materials the rays passed through.
- How does the composition of the crust of Earth affect the movement of shock waves and sound waves? The inverse problem is to use measurements of the waves to find out the material properties of Earth.
- How does the value of an option (a financial instrument) depend on its volatility? The inverse problem is to determine the volatility of an option from the prices with which it is bought and sold in the free market.
- How does the heat conductivity of an object affect the distribution of heat within it? The inverse problem is to determine the heat conductivity by measuring heat and heat flow on the surface of the object.
- Electrical impedance tomography asks the previous question, but with heat replaced by electricity.

The inverse problems examined in this thesis are related to recovering the conductivity of heat or electricity from surface measurements.

2. Calderón's problem and electrical impedance tomography

We investigate p-Calderón's problem in one dimension before posing the standard Calderón's problem in higher dimensions; in our nomenclature, the standard problem is called 2-Calderón's problem.

We use the following notations throughout the thesis:

- Open bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is the dimension. We assume $d \geq 2$ except in section 2.2, where d = 1.
- Potential $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. The potential solves the *p*-conductivity equation, which will be discussed below. The potential may be electric potential (electric field potential or electrostatic potential), or temperature. We only consider steady state equations, where the potential does not depend on time.
- Conductivity $\sigma \colon \Omega \to [0, \infty]$, which we always assume to be measurable. The conductivity may be electrical conductivity or heat conductivity. Sometimes we consider an extension to $\overline{\Omega}$, which we also write as σ .

Also, in the following physical introduction only, we use the current flux or heat flux $j: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

2.1. Physical backgrond. The negative current flux is proportional to differences in potential and to conductivity by Ohm's law or by Fourier's law of thermal

conduction:

$$(2.1) -j = \sigma \nabla u$$

We assume there are no sources or sinks of electricity or heat inside the object Ω , whence by conservation of energy (or Gauss's law or Kirchhoff's law)

Thus, by equations (2.1) and (2.2), the conductivity equation

$$(2.3) -\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0$$

models the steady state of conduction of heat or electricity.

The thesis discusses a non-linear variant of the conductivity equation, where the linear relation (2.1) is replaced with a non-linear power law relation

$$(2.4) -j = \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u,$$

which leads to the p-conductivity equation

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma\left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) = 0.$$

The materials that do not obey Ohm's law are called non-Ohmic. The power-law behaviour is one possible non-Ohmic behaviour and may be a fine approximation in more complicated non-Ohmic situations. In context of electricity, power-law behaviour has been observed in certain polycrystalline materials near the superconducting-normal transition [16, 11]. Other applications of the p-Laplace equation, which is the p-conductivity equation with $\sigma \equiv 1$, include nonlinear dielectrics [11, 20, 21, 39, 53, 54] and plastic moulding [2]. It is also used to model electro-rheological and thermorheological fluids [1, 5, 48], fluids governed by a power law [3], viscous flows in glaciology [23] and some plasticity phenomena [4, 30, 45, 46, 52]. There are further applications to image processing [37] and conformal geometry [41, 35].

2.2. One dimensional case. As a simple special case we investigate a one-dimensional situation, where the domain Ω is an interval, which we write as the open¹ interval]a,b[with $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$. The treatment is somewhat heuristical, as the precise function space where the problem is solved is not defined here, the variational formulation for the forward problem is not justified and the definition of the Dirichlet to Neumann map is not justified. We also use the strong formulation of the forward problem without any worries concerning regularity when doing so is expedient. We give a rigorous treatment in article [III]; see also section 3.

Here and elsewhere the parameter p may always take values strictly between one and infinity, unless otherwise mentioned. The one-dimensional case with p=2 can be found at least in the unpublished manuscript [17].

¹I use the customary Finnish notation for open interval,]a, b[, rather than the notation (a, b), since the first is clearly distinct from a vector in dimension two.

We consider the conductivity to be given by a measurable function σ : $]a, b[\to [0, \infty]$, which we assume to be essentially bounded from above and away from zero in the complement of $D_0 \cup D_\infty$, where and henceforth we write $D_j = \sigma^{-1}(\{j\})$. We also assume that D_0 and D_∞ are unions of finite numbers of open intervals, the closures of which are disjoint from each other and the boundary $\{a, b\}$.

Given some Dirichlet boundary values $(A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the Dirichlet problem for the one-dimensional p-conductivity equation is

(2.6)
$$\begin{cases} \left(\sigma(x) |u'(x)|^{p-2} u'(x)\right)' = 0 \text{ for } a < x < b \\ u(a) = A \\ u(b) = B. \end{cases}$$

The set of both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values is \mathbb{R}^2 , so the strong Dirichlet to Neumann map is $\Lambda_{\sigma} \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$,

(2.7)
$$(A,B) \mapsto \left(-\sigma(a) |u'(a)|^{p-2} u'(a), \sigma(b) |u'(b)|^{p-2} u'(b) \right),$$

where u solves the p-conductivity equation (2.6) and when u and σ are smooth enough. The weak definition is $\Lambda_{\sigma} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \{L : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}; L \text{ linear}\},$

(2.8)
$$\langle \Lambda_{\sigma}((A,B)), (\alpha,\beta) \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} \sigma |u'|^{p-2} u' h' dx,$$

where $h \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $h(a) = \alpha$, $h(b) = \beta$, $h'|_{D_{\infty}} = 0$, and u solves the problem (2.6). Supposing the conductivity is somewhat regular near a and b, the strong Dirichlet to Neumann map can be recovered from the weak one by using test functions h with

(2.9)
$$\begin{cases} h'(x) = 1/\varepsilon & \text{while } x \ge b - \varepsilon \\ h'(x) = 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and similar test functions with slope $-1/\varepsilon$ near a that are constant elsewhere.

Before proceeding further with the inverse problem, we consider the issues of existence and uniqueness for the forward problem (2.6). We find out that the correct space for the solutions is a close relative of the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with the correct Dirichlet boundary values. For rigorous and more precise treatment of the issues see article [III] and section 3.

The solution is discovered by minimizing the energy

(2.10)
$$I(v) = \int_{a}^{b} \sigma(x) |v'(x)|^{p} dx.$$

We shall explicitly solve the problem (2.6) by using both the strong formulation of the problem and the energy minimization formulation (2.10).

We first assume that $D_0 \neq \emptyset$. A solution for our problem is

(2.11)
$$u(x) = \begin{cases} A \text{ for } x \leq \inf D_0 \\ g(x) \text{ for } \inf D_0 \leq x \leq \sup D_0 \\ B \text{ for } x \geq \sup D_0, \end{cases}$$

where $g(\inf D_0) = A$, $g(\sup D_0) = B$, and g' = 0 outside D_0 . We may take g to be smooth. We have I(u) = 0, so u minimizes the energy. Any other minimizer must be constant outside D_0 , since its energy must vanish. In particular, $\Lambda_{\sigma}((A, B)) = 0$.

Next we consider the more interesting situation $D_0 = \emptyset$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus we calculate the solution of problem (2.6) to be

(2.12)
$$u(x) = A + \frac{B - A}{\int_a^b \sigma^{1/(1-p)}(t) dt} \int_a^x \sigma^{\frac{1}{1-p}}(t) dt.$$

This makes sense even when $\sigma = \infty$; the integrand is then zero and thence the solution u is locally constant in regions of infinite conductivity.

Now we have explicitly solved the forward problem:

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, which we write as the open interval]a,b[, and $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let σ be a measurable function mapping]a,b[to $[0,\infty]$. We assume it is essentially bounded from above and away from zero in the complement of $D_0 \cup D_\infty$. We also assume that D_0 and D_∞ are unions of finite numbers of open intervals and $\Omega \setminus D_\infty$ is a set of positive Lebesgue measure.

Then the function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ defined as

(2.13)
$$u = \begin{cases} w_0 & \text{if } D_0 \neq \emptyset \\ w_1 & \text{if } D_0 = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

with

(2.14)
$$w_0(x) = \begin{cases} A & \text{for } x \leq \inf D_0 \\ g(x) & \text{for } \inf D_0 \leq x \leq \sup D_0 \\ B & \text{for } x \geq \sup D_0, \end{cases}$$

where $g(\inf D_0) = A$, $g(\sup D_0) = B$, $g'|_{\Omega \setminus D_0} = 0$ and $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and

(2.15)
$$w_1(x) = A + \frac{B - A}{\int_a^b \sigma^{1/(1-p)}(t) dt} \int_a^x \sigma^{\frac{1}{1-p}}(t) dt,$$

solves the one-dimensional p-conductivity equation (2.6) in the weak sense with Dirichlet boundary values (A, B). We interpret $\infty^{1/(1-p)}$ as zero.

The strong Dirichlet to Neumann map is

(2.16)
$$\Lambda_{\sigma}(A,B) = \left(\frac{A-B}{\int_a^b \sigma^{1/(1-p)}(t) dt}, \frac{B-A}{\int_a^b \sigma^{1/(1-p)}(t) dt}\right),$$

since

(2.17)
$$\sigma |u'|^{p-2} u' = \frac{B - A}{\int_a^b \sigma^{1/(1-p)}(t) dt}.$$

Since A - B is known from the Dirichlet data, all we can learn is the quantity

(2.18)
$$\int_a^b \sigma^{1/(1-p)}(t) dt.$$

We can slightly weaken our assumptions by accepting that either $a \in \overline{D_{\infty}}$ or $b \in \overline{D_{\infty}}$ with no loss in results, but if both are true, then that is all we can observe. In a similar way the weak Dirichlet to Neumann map gives

(2.19)
$$\langle \Lambda_{\sigma} (A, B), (\alpha, \beta) \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} \sigma |u'|^{p-2} u' h' dx$$

$$= \frac{B - A}{\int_{a}^{b} \sigma^{1/(1-p)}(t) dt} \int_{a}^{b} h' dx$$

$$= \frac{(B - A)(\beta - \alpha)}{\int_{a}^{b} \sigma^{1/(1-p)}(t) dt}$$

and thus provides the same information as the strong map.

We have proven the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2 (p-Calderón's problem in one dimension). Suppose the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded open interval]a,b[. Suppose the conductivity is a measurable function $\sigma:]a,b[\to [0,\infty]$ that is essentially bounded from above and away from zero in the complement of $D_0 \cup D_\infty$. We also assume that D_0 and D_∞ are unions of finite numbers of open intervals. Further, we assume that the sets $\overline{D_0}$, $\overline{D_\infty}$, and $\partial\Omega$ are disjoint.

We can recover the following information from the Dirichlet to Neumann map:

- (1) If $D_0 \neq \emptyset$, then we know that this is the case, but can say nothing more.
- (2) If $D_0 = \emptyset$, then we recover the quantity

$$(2.20) \qquad \qquad \int_a^b \sigma^{1/(1-p)} \mathrm{d}t.$$

2.3. Electrical impedance tomography. Alberto Pedro Calderón considered a method for detecting oil wells by electrical measurements in the article 'On an inverse boundary value problem' [13], which initiated the modern mathematical study of electrical impedance tomography. The paper was published in 1980 and reprinted in 2006 [14].

The physical principles were outlined in section 2.1. We now consider the equation

$$(2.21) -\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0$$

in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where u is the electrical potential (or voltage) and $\sigma \nabla u$ is the current flux. The Dirichlet data $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ corresponds to boundary measurements

of the potential and the Neumann data $\sigma \nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega}$ corresponds to current flux density across the boundary.

The idea of electrical impedance tomography is to prescribe either the Dirichlet or the Neumann data and measure the other. Since both the Dirichlet and the Neumann boundary value problems for the conductivity equation have a unique solution for any applicable boundary data², the Dirichlet to Neumann map Λ_{σ} and the Neumann to Dirichlet map, which we do not use in this thesis, are well-defined. The strong formulation for the Dirichlet to Neumann map is

(2.22)
$$\Lambda_{\sigma}(f) = \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega},$$

where u solves the conductivity equation with Dirichlet boundary data f. For the rigorous weak formulation of the Dirichlet to Neumann map we refer to section 3.2.

At least the following kinds of results are known for Calderón's problem:

- (1) boundary uniqueness
- (2) boundary reconstruction
- (3) higher order derivatives at the boundary
- (4) stability at the boundary
- (5) recovering inclusions; of finite positive, zero or infinite conductivity
- (6) detecting cracks
- (7) interior uniqueness
- (8) interior reconstruction
- (9) interior stability
- (10) numerical algorithms

The unpublished manuscript of Feldman, Salo and Uhlmann [17] is a good introduction. Uhlmann [55] has written a survey of the 2-Calderón's problem. For review of more numerical nature we refer to Borcea [6].

At least the following kinds of variants or generalizations have been considered:

- (1) linearized problem
- (2) partial data
- (3) anisotropic problem
- (4) different base equation or system of equations

This thesis concerns the final kind of generalization; we consider the quasilinear p-conductivity equation or weighted p-Laplace equation, which we discuss in section 3. Most of the results mentioned above are open for p-conductivity equations.

²In case of Neumann data, the solution is unique up to constants.

3. p-conductivity equation

The Dirichlet problem for the linear conductivity equation, $\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0$, is solved by minizing the energy functional

$$(3.1) v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$$

in the Sobolev space $W_f^{1,2}(\Omega) = f + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, where the Dirichlet boundary values are f.

The *p*-conductivity equation generalizes the linear conductivity equation much as the *p*-Laplace equation generalizes the linear Laplace equation. Let 1 . The*p* $-conductivity equation is <math>\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma \left|\nabla u\right|^{p-2} \nabla u\right) = 0$. The Dirichlet problem is solved by minimizing the energy

$$(3.2) v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla v|^p \, \mathrm{d}x$$

in the Sobolev space $W_f^{1,p}(\Omega) = f + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where the Dirichlet boundary values are f. The quasilinear p-Laplace equation, which is the special case of p-conductivity equation where $\sigma \equiv 1$, has been widely studied. A good introduction is provided by the lecture notes of Peter Lindqvist [42]. The even more general A-harmonic functions and related quasilinear elliptic partial differential equations of second order have been widely covered in various monographs [38, 22, 29].

By the direct method of calculus of variations, the equation is well-defined for measurable $\sigma \colon \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ bounded from above and below by positive constants. In article [III] the conductivity can take values zero and infinity in large sets:

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain and let $\sigma \colon \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ be a measurable function. We write $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(\{0\})$ and $D_\infty = \sigma^{-1}(\{\infty\})$ and suppose the following:

- The sets D_0 and D_{∞} are open.
- The sets \overline{D}_0 , \overline{D}_{∞} and $\partial\Omega$ are disjoint.
- The conductivity σ is essentially bounded from below and above by positive constants in $\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty)$.
- The set D_0 has Lipschitz boundary.

Fix $p \in]1, \infty[$. Given any $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there is a minimizer $u \in f + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to the energy

(3.3)
$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u|^p.$$

The minimal energy is finite and the minimizer is unique up to functions that have zero gradient outside D_0 and zero Dirichlet boundary values on $\partial\Omega$. The minimizer satisfies $\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus (\overline{D}_0 \cup \overline{D}_\infty)$ and $\nabla u = 0$ in D_∞ in the weak sense.

The solutions are locally constant in D_{∞} and can take essentially arbitrary values in D_0 . Elsewhere they satisfy the usual p-conductivity equation in the weak sense.

The classical interpretation for the problem is as a partial differential equation in $\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cap D_\infty)$ with different boundary conditions on ∂D_0 and ∂D_∞ , as follows:

Remark 3.2. Let the connected components of D_{∞} be written as C. The conductivity equation can be reformulated as

$$(3.4) \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\sigma | \nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus (\overline{D}_0 \cup \overline{D}_\infty) \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial \Omega \\ \sigma | \nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial D_0 \\ \text{for each component } C \text{ of } D_\infty \end{cases} \begin{cases} u|_C = \text{constant} \\ \int_{\partial C} \sigma | \nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u = 0. \end{cases}$$

The constant may be different for different components and the constant values depend on the boundary data f.

The inverse problem of studying inclusions with finite or zero conductivity is well-known [34, 15, 12, 36, 56, 31, 47, 33, 44, 57]. The region of infinite conductivity has been investigated in a few papers; Gorb and Novikov [24] consider the behaviour of the forward problem when there are two inclusions close to each other for the p-conductivity equation for $2 \le p \in \mathbb{N}$. We are not aware of any results concerning the inverse problem for $p \ne 2$, but there are results when p = 2. Brühl [10, section 4.3.1] and Schmitt [50, section 2.2.2] have used the factorization method to detect perfectly conducting inclusions. Ramdani and Munnier [43] detect the infinitely conductive bodies from the Dirichlet to Neumann map in two dimensional domain for the linear conductivity equation. Their method is based on geometry in the complex plane, in particular Riemann mappings. Friedman and Vogelius [18] have shown that one can recover the location and scale of a finite number of small inclusions with zero or infinite conductivity in an inhomogeneous background from the DN map.

3.1. Solutions of Wolff. We call certain special solutions for the p-Laplace equation the Wolff solutions, as they were originally used by Thomas Wolff [58, section 3] when investigating the boundary behaviour of p-harmonic functions. Wolff only defined the solutions for 2 , though his proof works when <math>p > 3/2. Lewis [40] extended Wolff's work to the case 1 by duality arguments. Salo and Zhong [49, section 3] provided a unified treatment for all <math>1 .

Lemma 3.3. Let $\rho, \rho^{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy $|\rho| = |\rho^{\perp}| = 1$ and $\rho \cdot \rho^{\perp} = 0$. Define $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ by $h(x) = e^{-\rho \cdot x} w(\rho^{\perp} \cdot x)$, where the function w solves the differential equation

(3.5)
$$w''(s) + V(w, w')w = 0$$

with

(3.6)
$$V(a,b) = \frac{(2p-3)b^2 + (p-1)a^2}{(p-1)b^2 + a^2}.$$

The function h is then p-harmonic.

Given any initial conditions $(a_0, b_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ there exists a solution $w \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ to the differential equation (3.5) which is periodic with period $\lambda_p > 0$, satisfies the initial conditions $(w(0), w'(0)) = (a_0, b_0)$, satisfies $\int_0^{\lambda_p} w(s) ds = 0$, and furthermore there exist constants c and C depending on a_0, b_0, p such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

(3.7)
$$C > w(s)^2 + w'(s)^2 > c > 0.$$

When p=2 the equation (3.5) is simpler: w+w''=0. The solution in this special case is a linear combination of sine and cosine functions. The solutions for general p behave in a similar way: they oscillate with mean zero and period $\lambda_p > 0$.

3.2. Dirichlet to Neumann map. The strong formulation for the Dirichlet to Neumann map, which we simply call the strong Dirichlet to Neumann map, associates Neumann boundary values to Dirichlet data f:

(3.8)
$$\Lambda_{\sigma}(f) = \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial\Omega}$$

The strong Dirichlet to Neumann map is defined pointwise and hence requires the conductivity σ to be extendable pointwise to $\partial\Omega$ and also requires ∇u to be defined pointwise on the boundary. Due to these difficulties the weak formulation of the Dirichlet to Neumann map, or the weak Dirichlet to Neumann map, is used. For properties of the Dirichlet to Neumann map with constant conductivity we refer to Hauer [28]. For p-conductivity equation the weak Dirichlet to Neumann map was introduced by Salo and Zhong [49] and used in the included articles [I, II]. In article [III] we extend the weak Dirichlet to Neumann map to conductivities that include regions of zero or infinite conductivity, as follows.

We assume Ω and σ to be as in theorem 3.1. Let $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and X' be its dual. The DN map $\Lambda_{\sigma} \colon X \to X'$ is defined by

(3.9)
$$\langle \Lambda_{\sigma} f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sigma \left| \nabla \bar{f} \right|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{f} \cdot \nabla \bar{g} \, dx,$$

where $\bar{f} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is any minimizer of the energy functional E with boundary values $f \in X$ and $\bar{g} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is an extension of $g \in X$ with $\nabla \bar{g} = 0$ in D_{∞} . Since $\overline{D}_{\infty} \cap \partial \Omega = \emptyset$, there always exists such an extension \bar{g} .

In article [II] we give sufficient conditions for recovering the strong Dirichlet to Neumann map from the weak one. We state the result here with slightly relaxed assumptions:

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that Ω has C^3 -smooth boundary, boundary values $f \in C^3(\partial\Omega)$ and that $\nabla \sigma$ is Hölder-continuous and the conductivity bounded and strictly positive

in $\overline{\Omega}$. Then we can recover the pointwise values of the strong Dirichlet to Neumann map

(3.10)
$$\sigma(x_0) |\nabla u(x_0)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x_0) \cdot \nu(x_0)$$

from the weak Dirichlet to Neumann map

(3.11)
$$\langle \Lambda_{\sigma}(f), g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{g} dx.$$

4. p-Calderón's problem

The inverse problem of Calderón was posed in the setting of the p-conductivity equation by Salo and Zhong [49]. See section 5 for their results.

There are some differences between the 2-Calderón's problem and p-Calderón's problem. In particular, many results in the linear case are based on reducing the conductivity equation to Schrödinger's equation

$$(4.1) \qquad (-\Delta + q) u = 0$$

with potential

$$(4.2) q = \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sigma}}.$$

It is not clear if a similar reduction is available with the p-conductivity equation.

A standard method in investigating Calderón-type problems for equations with weak non-linearities [51] is taking the Gâteaux derivative of the Dirichlet to Neumann map at constant boundary values. The method does not work in case of the p-Calderón's problem. We follow the presentation of Salo and Zhong [49, appendix]. To see the problem, interpret $a \in \mathbb{R}$ as Dirichlet boundary data, suppose t > 0 and $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Write the solution of p-conductivity equation with boundary data g as u_g . Observe that $u_{a+tf} = a + u_{tf} = a + tu_f$. Then the Gâteaux derivative is the limit as $t \to 0$ of

(4.3)
$$\frac{1}{t} \left(\Lambda_{\sigma}(a + tf) - \Lambda_{\sigma}(a) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} \sigma \left| \nabla \left(a + tu_f \right) \right|^{p-2} \nabla \left(a + tu_f \right) \cdot \nu - 0$$

$$= t^{p-2} \Lambda_{\sigma}(f).$$

In particular, the Gâteaux derivative does not even exist when p < 2. This calculation does not provide any new information, unlike in the article of Sun [51].

Unique continuation results often play a role in Calderón's problem. The results are much more restricted in the case of the p-conductivity equation [25, 26]. We are not aware of any work on the related Runge approximation property for the p-Laplace equation.

5. Boundary determination

In an article published in 2012, Salo and Zhong [49] showed how to recover conductivity on the boundary of a domain with reasonable regularity assumptions on the conductivity and the boundary of the domain. Their proof is similar to that of Brown [8], and Brown and Salo [9], who only considered the linear situation where p = 2.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain with C^1 boundary, $d \geq 2$, and the conductivity σ is continuous at a point $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$. Then the weak Dirichlet to Neumann map determines $\sigma(x_0)$.

They proved the theorem by first using complex-valued and then real-valued boundary values – complex geometric optics solutions and Wolff solutions (defined in section 3.1), respectively, multiplied by a cutoff function focused at x_0 . Since results using only real-valued boundary values are stronger than those that use complex-valued functions, further work has used real-valued boundary values exclusively.

In particular, Salo and Zhong use the following lemma with $g = \sigma$. They do not explicitly mention the lemma in their paper.

Lemma 5.2. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, is a bounded open set with C^1 -smooth boundary, and $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$.

Then there exists an explicit sequence of real-valued Dirichlet boundary values f_N such that the corresponding solutions of the p-conductivity equation satisfy

(5.1)
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} q(N) \int_{\Omega} g(x) |\nabla u_N|^p dx = g(x_0),$$

where q(N) is an explicit scaling constant and g is any function that is continuous in a neighbourhood of the boundary point x_0 .

We improve the result in [II] by determining the gradient of conductivity on the boundary:

Theorem 5.3. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, is a bounded open set with C^3 -smooth boundary. If the conductivity σ is positive and of class $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, then one can recover $\nabla \sigma|_{\partial\Omega}$ from the weak Dirichlet to Neumann map.

The extra regularity assumptions on conductivity σ and boundary $\partial\Omega$ are needed to establish a Rellich-type identity (theorem 5.4) and to derive the strong Dirichlet-to-Neumann map from the weak one in lemma 3.4. The assumptions in the theorem 5.3 presented above are stronger than in the paper, as are the assumptions of the Rellich-type identity (theorem 5.4).

A similar Rellich-type identity was used in the linear situation, where p=2, by Brown, García, and Zhang [19, appendix].

Theorem 5.4 (Rellich identity, [II]). Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, is a bounded domain with C^2 -smooth boundary. Suppose that a function u solves the p-conductivity equation with $1 and conductivity <math>\sigma \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ bounded above zero. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

(5.2)
$$\int_{\Omega} (\alpha \cdot \nabla \sigma) |\nabla u|^{p} dx$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Omega} \alpha \cdot \nu \, \sigma |\nabla u|^{p} dS(x) - p \int_{\partial \Omega} \alpha \cdot \nabla u \, \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \, \partial_{\nu} u \, dS(x).$$

The identity gives the integral $\int_{\Omega} g |\nabla u|^p dx$ in lemma 5.2 with $g = \alpha \cdot \nabla \sigma$ a formulation that only depends on known quantities: σ and ∇u on the boundary. The conductivity is known due to results of Salo and Zhong, while the gradient can be recovered from the strong Dirichlet to Neumann map, see lemma 3.4.

6. Detecting inclusions

An inclusion is a set where conductivity is significantly higher or lower than in the rest of the domain. We only consider inclusions embedded in constant background conductivity, which we take to be one.

That is, let $D \subset \Omega$ be an open set so that $\sigma(x) = 1$ whenever $x \notin D$ and let exactly one of the following be true for all $x \in D$:

- (1) $\sigma(x) = 0$
- (2) $0 < c < \sigma(x) < C < 1$
- (3) $1 < c < \sigma(x) < C < \infty$
- (4) $\sigma(x) = \infty$.

Here c and C are constants that do not depend on the spatial variable x.

In case of finite non-zero conductivity we show that for 1 we can detect the convex hull of the inclusion; this is done in article [I]. In case of zero or infinite conductivity and <math>1 we can detect some convex set <math>K, which is a superset of the convex hull of the inclusion; this is done in article [III]. We use the enclosure method of Ikehata [32]. We next formulate the results as theorems:

Theorem 6.1. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is open and bounded with a priori known constant conductivity σ outside an obstacle $D = D_0 \cup D_\infty$. Suppose $\sigma \colon \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ is measurable. Suppose either D_0 or D_∞ is empty, the sets $\partial\Omega$, $\overline{D_0}$ and $\overline{D_\infty}$ are pairwise disjoint, and the inclusion D has Lipschitz boundary.

Then we can, from knowledge of the Dirichlet to Neumann map, find a set D', which is a superset of the convex hull of D. Furthermore, we can detect whether D_0 or D_{∞} is non-empty.

The proof of the previous theorem can be found in the article [III]. By the boundary reconstruction result of Salo and Zhong, theorem 5.1, if the domain Ω is of class C^1 , then we don't need to know the value of the background conductivity a priori.

Theorem 6.2. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, is a bounded domain and the inclusion $D \subset \Omega$ is a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary. Furthermore assume that the measurable conductivity σ has a jump discontinuity along the interface ∂D ; that is, $\sigma(x) := 1 + \sigma_D(x)\chi_D(x)$, where σ_D is bounded away from zero and either positive and bounded from above or negative and bounded from below by a constant greater than minus one, and where χ_D is the characteristic function of D.

Then the convex hull of D can be recovered from the Dirichlet to Neumann map. Further, we know if σ_D is positive or negative.

The previous theorem is proven in article [I].

The enclosure method relies on an indicator function, which is a difference of Dirichlet to Neumann maps that take particlar Wolff solutions as input.

Definition 6.3. The indicator function I is defined as

(6.1)
$$I\left(t,\rho,\rho^{\perp},\tau\right) = \tau^{d-p} \left\langle \left(\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_{1}\right) f, f\right\rangle,$$

where $\tau > 0$,

(6.2)
$$f\left(x,t,\rho,\rho^{\perp},\tau\right) = e^{\tau(\rho\cdot x - t)} w\left(\tau\rho^{\perp}\cdot x\right)$$

is a Wolff solution (considered in the space of boundary values) and $(\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_{1}) f = \Lambda_{\sigma}(f) - \Lambda_{1}(f)$.

Note that $|\nabla u(x)| \to 0$ as $\tau \to \infty$ when $\rho \cdot x < t$ and $|\nabla u(x)|$ blows up as $\tau \to \infty$ when $\rho \cdot x > t$. Here u is the Wolff solution with boundary values f. Hence, at least heuristically, the parameters t and ρ divide the space so that one half-space has plenty of energy while the other has very little. The idea is that the indicator function reveals if the inclusion intersects the high-energy half space or is disjoint from it. Combining this information for all parameters t and ρ yields the convex hull of the inclusion.

When the inclusion is of finite non-zero conductivity (as investigated in article [I]) we have the following theorem:

Theorem 6.4. There exist c, C > 0 such that

(6.3)
$$c < \left| I\left(\sup_{x \in D} \left(x \cdot \rho \right), \rho, \rho^{\perp}, \tau \right) \right| < C\tau^{d}$$

for $\tau \gg 1$.

We only need to consider the case $t = \sup_{x \in D} x \cdot \rho$, since the identity

(6.4)
$$I\left(t,\rho,\rho^{\perp},\tau\right) = e^{2\tau(t_0-t)}I\left(t_0,\rho,\rho^{\perp},\tau\right)$$

with $t_0 = \sup_{x \in D} (x \cdot \rho)$ shows that the behaviour of the indicator function is exponentially increasing or decreasing as a function of τ for other values of t. The previous theorem is proven with the help of a monotonicity inequality:

Theorem 6.5. If $0 < c < \sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $1 , and if <math>f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then

(6.5)
$$(p-1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1^{1/(p-1)}} \left(\sigma_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - \sigma_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) |\nabla u_0|^p dx$$

$$(6.6) \leq ((\Lambda_{\sigma_1} - \Lambda_{\sigma_0})f, f) \leq \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) |\nabla u_0|^p dx,$$

where $u_0 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ solves $\operatorname{div}(\sigma_0 |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \nabla u_0) = 0$ in Ω with $u_0|_{\partial\Omega} = f$.

Monotonicity inequalities have been used in the linear case [57].

In case of inclusion with zero or perfect conductivity, as discussed in article [III], we only detect when the inclusion intersects the half-space of high energy, hence possibly detecting too large a set. This means that we only have the lower bound in theorem 6.4.

7. Other interior results

Very recently, Guo, Kar and Salo [27] used the monotonicity inequality, theorem 6.5, to show injectivity for the Dirichlet to Neumann map under a monotonicity assumption. Their result show injectivity in two dimensions for Lipschitz conductivities. In higer dimensions they need an additional assumption; one of the conductivities must be almost constant.

References

- [1] Stanislav N. Antontsev and José F. Rodrigues. On stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows. *Annali dell'Universita di Ferrara*, 52(1):19–36, 2006.
- [2] Gunnar Aronsson. On p-harmonic functions, convex duality and an asymptotic formula for injection mould filling. European Journal of Applied Mathematics, 7:417–437, October 1996.
- [3] Gunnar Aronsson and Ulf Janfalk. On Hele-Shaw flow of power-law fluids. European Journal of Applied Mathematics, 3:343–366, December 1992.
- [4] Colin Atkinson and C. R. Champion. Some boundary-value problems for the equation $\nabla \cdot \left(\left| \nabla \phi \right|^N \nabla \phi \right)$. The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics, 37(3):401–419, August 1984.
- [5] Luigi C. Berselli, Lars Diening, and Michael Růžička. Existence of strong solutions for incompressible fluids with shear dependent viscosities. *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, 12(1):101–132, 2010.
- [6] Liliana Borcea. Electrical impedance tomography. *Inverse Problems*, 18(6):R99, 2002. With an addendum [7].
- [7] Liliana Borcea. Addendum to 'Electrical impedance tomography'. *Inverse Problems*, 19(4):997, 2003. Addendum to [6].
- [8] Russell M. Brown. Recovering the conductivity at the boundary from the Dirichlet to Neumann map: a pointwise result. J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl., 9(6):567–574, 2001.
- [9] Russell M. Brown and Mikko Salo. Identifiability at the boundary for first-order terms. *Applicable Analysis*, 85(6–7):735–749, 2006.
- [10] Martin Brühl. Gebietserkennung in der elektrischen Impedanztomographie. PhD thesis, Universität Karlsruhe, January 1999.
- [11] Paulo R. Bueno, José A. Varela, and Elson Longo. SnO₂, ZnO and related polycrystalline compound semiconductors: An overview and review on the voltage-dependent resistance (non-ohmic) feature. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 28(3):505–529, 2008.
- [12] Fioralba Cakoni and David Colton. Qualitative methods in inverse scattering theory. Interaction of Mechanics and Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [13] Alberto Pedro Calderón. On an inverse boundary value problem. In W.H. Meyer and M.A. Raupp, editors, *Seminar on numerical analysis and its applications to continuum physics*, pages 65–73. Sociedade Brasileira de Matematica, 1980. Reprinted as [14].
- [14] Alberto Pedro Calderón. On an inverse boundary problem. Computation and applied mathematics, 25(2–3):133–138, 2006. Reprint of [13].
- [15] David Colton and Andreas Kirsch. A simple method for solving inverse scattering problems in the resonance region. *Inverse Problems*, 12(4):383–393, 1996.
- [16] M. A. Dubson, S. T. Herbert, J. J. Calabrese, D. C. Harris, B. R. Patton, and J. C. Garland. Non-Ohmic dissipative regime in the superconducting transition of polycrystalline Y₁Ba₂Cu₃O_x. Phys. Rev. Lett., 60:1061–1064, March 1988.
- [17] J. Feldman, Mikko Salo, and Gunther Uhlmann. The Calderón problem. 2012.
- [18] Avner Friedman and Michael Vogelius. Identification of small inhomogeneities of extreme conductivity by boundary measurements: a theorem on continuous dependence. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 105(4):299–326, December 1989.
- [19] Andoni García and Guo Zhang. Reconstruction from boundary measurements for less regular conductivities. *arXiv*, December 2012. With an appendix by R. Brown and the authors.
- [20] Adriana Garroni and Robert V. Kohn. Some three-dimensional problems related to dielectric breakdown and polycrystal plasticity. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 459(2038):2613–2625, 2003.

- [21] Adriana Garroni, Vincenzo Nesi, and Marcello Ponsiglione. Dielectric breakdown: optimal bounds. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 457(2014):2317–2335, 2001.
- [22] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, volume 224 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1983.
- [23] Roland Glowinski and Jacques Rappaz. Approximation of a nonlinear elliptic problem arising in a non-Newtonian fluid flow model in glaciology. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 37:175–186, January 2003.
- [24] Yuliya Gorb and Alexei Novikov. Blow-up of solutions to a p-Laplace equation. Multiscale Model. Simul., 10(3):727–743, 2012.
- [25] Seppo Granlund and Niko Marola. On the problem of unique continuation for the *p*-Laplace equation. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 101:89–97, 2014.
- [26] Chang-Yu Guo and Manas Kar. Quantitative uniqueness estimates for *p*-Laplace type equations in the plane. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2015.
- [27] Chang-Yu Guo, Manas Kar, and Mikko Salo. Inverse problems for p-Laplace type equations under monotonicity assumptions. $ArXiv\ e$ -prints, February 2016.
- [28] Daniel Hauer. The *p*-Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator with applications to elliptic and parabolic problems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 259(8):3615–3655, October 2015.
- [29] Juha Heinonen, Tero Kilpeläinen, and Olli Martio. *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, Oxford, 1993. Oxford Science Publications.
- [30] Martín I. Idiart. The macroscopic behavior of power-law and ideally plastic materials with elliptical distribution of porosity. *Mechanics Research Communications*, 35(8):583–588, 2008.
- [31] Masaru Ikehata. Reconstruction of the shape of the inclusion by boundary measurements. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 23(7-8):1459–1474, 1998.
- [32] Masaru Ikehata. Enclosing a polygonal cavity in a two-dimensional bounded domain from Cauchy data. *Inverse Problems*, 15(5):1231, October 1999.
- [33] Masaru Ikehata. How to draw a picture of an unknown inclusion from boundary measurements. Two mathematical inversion algorithms. *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, 7(3):255–271, January 1999.
- [34] Victor Isakov. On uniqueness of recovery of a discontinuous conductivity coefficient. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 41(7):865–877, 1988.
- [35] Vesa Julin, Tony Liimatainen, and Mikko Salo. p-harmonic coordinates for Hölder metrics and applications. ArXiv e-prints, July 2015.
- [36] Andreas Kirsch and Natalia Grinberg. The factorization method for inverse problems, volume 36 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [37] Arjan Kuijper. p-Laplacian driven image processing. In *Image Processing*, 2007. ICIP 2007. IEEE International Conference on, volume 5, pages V-257. IEEE, 2007.
- [38] Olga A. Ladyzhenskaya and N. N. Ural'tseva. Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations. Mathematics in Science and Engineering: a series of monographs and textbooks. Academic Press, 1968.
- [39] Ohad Levy and Robert V. Kohn. Duality relations for non-Ohmic composites, with applications to behavior near percolation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 90(1–2):159–189, 1998.
- [40] John L. Lewis. Note on a theorem of Wolff. In *Holomorphic Functions and Moduli I*, volume 10 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*, pages 93–100. Springer US, 1988.

- [41] Tony Liimatainen and Mikko Salo. *n*-harmonic coordinates and the regularity of conformal mappings. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 21:341–361, 2014.
- [42] Peter Lindqvist. Notes on the p-Laplace equation, volume 102 of Reports of University of Jyväskylä Department of Mathematics and Statistics. University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland, 2006.
- [43] Alexandre Munnier and Karim Ramdani. Conformal mapping for cavity inverse problem: an explicit reconstruction formula. September 2015. arXiv:1509.02693.
- [44] Gen Nakamura, Gunther Uhlmann, and Jenn-Nan Wang. Oscillating-decaying solutions, Runge approximation property for the anisotropic elasticity system and their applications to inverse problems. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 84(1):21–54, 2005.
- [45] Pedro Ponte Castañeda and Pierre Suquet. Nonlinear composites. Advances in Applied Mechanics, 34(998):171–302, 1998.
- [46] Pedro Ponte Castañeda and J. R. Willis. Variational second-order estimates for nonlinear composites. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 455(1985):1799–1811, 1999.
- [47] Roland Potthast. Sampling and probe methods—an algorithmical view. *Computing*, 75(2-3):215–235, 2005.
- [48] Michael Růžička. Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory. Number 1748 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [49] Mikko Salo and Xiao Zhong. An inverse problem for the *p*-Laplacian: Boundary determination. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 44(4):2474–2495, March 2012.
- [50] Susanne Schmitt. Detection and characterization of inclusions in impedance tomography. PhD thesis, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, December 2010.
- [51] Ziqi Sun. On a quasilinear inverse boundary value problem. Math. Z., 221(2):293–305, January 1996.
- [52] P.M. Suquet. Overall potentials and extremal surfaces of power law or ideally plastic composites. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 41(6):981–1002, 1993.
- [53] D. R. S. Talbot and J. R. Willis. Upper and lower bounds for the overall properties of a nonlinear composite dielectric. I. Random microgeometry. *Proceedings of the Royal Society* of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 447(1930):365–384, 1994. With second part [54].
- [54] D. R. S. Talbot and J. R. Willis. Upper and lower bounds for the overall properties of a nonlinear composite dielectric. II. Periodic microgeometry. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 447(1930):385–396, 1994. With first part [53].
- [55] Gunther Uhlmann. Electrical impedance tomography and Calderón's problem. *Inverse problems*, 25(12):123011, 2009.
- [56] Bastian von Harrach. Recent progress on the factorization method for electrical impedance tomography. Comput. Math. Methods Med., 2013:Art. ID 425184, 8, 2013.
- [57] Bastian von Harrach and Marcel Ullrich. Monotonicity-based shape reconstruction in electrical impedance tomography. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 45(6):3382–3403, 2013.
- [58] Thomas H. Wolff. Gap series constructions for the p-Laplacian. Journal d'Analyse Mathematique, 102(1):371–394, August 2007. Preprint written in 1984.

Enclosure method for the p-Laplace equation,

T. Brander, M. Kar, M. Salo, Inverse Problems 31(4):045001 (2015).

© IOP Publishing Ltd. Reprinted with kind permission.

Calderón problem for the p-Laplacian: First order derivative of conductivity on the boundary,

T. Brander, Proceedings of American Mathematical Society 144 (2016), 177-189.

> © American Mathematical Society. Reprinted with permission.

Volume 144, Number 1, January 2016, Pages 177–189 http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/proc/12681 Article electronically published on July 24, 2015

CALDERÓN PROBLEM FOR THE p-LAPLACIAN: FIRST ORDER DERIVATIVE OF CONDUCTIVITY ON THE BOUNDARY

TOMMI BRANDER

(Communicated by Joachim Krieger)

ABSTRACT. We recover the gradient of a scalar conductivity defined on a smooth bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^d from the Dirichlet to Neumann map arising from the p-Laplace equation. For any boundary point we recover the gradient using Dirichlet data supported on an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of the boundary point. We use a Rellich-type identity in the proof. Our results are new when $p \neq 2$. In the p = 2 case boundary determination plays a role in several methods for recovering the conductivity in the interior.

1. Introduction

Throughout the article we assume 1 , unless explicitly written otherwise. $We investigate a generalisation of the Calderón problem to the case of the p-Laplace equation: Given a bounded open set <math>\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and a bounded conductivity $\gamma > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$ we have the Dirichlet problem

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p^{\gamma}(u) = \operatorname{div} \left(\gamma(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

For $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there exists a unique weak solution that satisfies $||u||_{W^{1,p}} \le C||v||_{W^{1,p}}$, where the constant C does not depend on v. This is completely standard; see e.g. [43] Proposition A.1]. The equation arises from the variational problem of minimising the energy $\int_{\Omega} \gamma |\nabla u|^p dx$.

We next define the Dirichlet to Neumann map (hereafter DN map). We use the notation X' for the dual space of continuous linear functionals on a Banach space X. In the p = 2 case the DN map gives the stationary measurements of electrical current for given voltage v.

Definition 1.1 (Dirichlet to Neumann map). Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded open C^1 -set and $0 < \gamma_0 < \gamma \in L^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some constant γ_0 . The weak DN map

$$\Lambda_{\gamma}^{w} \colon W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega) \to \left(W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega)\right)'$$

is defined by

$$\langle \Lambda_{\gamma}^{w}(v), g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \gamma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \tilde{g} dx,$$
(1.2)

Received by the editors March 4, 2014 and, in revised form, November 26, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35R30, 35J92.

Key words and phrases. Calderón's problem, inverse problems, p-Laplace equation, boundary determination.

where u solves the boundary value problem (1.1) with boundary values v, and $\tilde{g} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with trace g on the boundary. The strong DN map is defined pointwise on $\partial\Omega$ by the expression

(1.3)
$$\Lambda_{\gamma}^{s}(v)(x_0) = \gamma(x_0)|\nabla u(x_0)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x_0) \cdot \nu(x_0)$$

when it is well-defined.

We also write $\Lambda_{\gamma}(v)$ when the function v is continuous and defined on a superset of $\partial\Omega$. With sufficient regularity we can recover the values of the strong DN map from the weak DN map (see Lemma 4.4).

Given knowledge of the DN map we determine $\nabla \gamma|_{\partial\Omega}$, which in the p=2 case stands for the gradient of conductivity on the boundary.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, d > 1, is a bounded open $C^{2,\beta}$ set for some $0 < \beta < 1$ and the conductivities γ_1 and γ_2 are bounded from below by a positive constant and are continuously differentiable with Hölder-continuous derivatives in $\overline{\Omega}$. If $\Lambda^w_{\gamma_1} = \Lambda^w_{\gamma_2}$, then $\nabla \gamma_1|_{\partial\Omega} = \nabla \gamma_2|_{\partial\Omega}$.

We use an explicit sequence of boundary values to reconstruct $\nabla \gamma(x_0)$ at a boundary point x_0 . The sequence is supported in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of x_0 . The boundary values we use were first introduced by Wolff [49] and used by Salo and Zhong [43] to recover the conductivity on the boundary.

Salo and Zhong [43] show that for all boundary points $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ there is a sequence of solutions $(u_M)_M$ such that

$$(1.4) M^{n-1}N^{1-p} \int_{\Omega} g(x)|\nabla u_M|^p dx \to c_p g(x_0)$$

as $M, N(M) \to \infty$, with explicitly computable constant c_p (see (3.6)). They recover the integrals with $g = \gamma$ from the DN map. We recover the integrals with $g = \alpha \cdot \nabla \gamma$ from a Rellich-type identity, Theorem 4.1 for arbitrary direction $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The Calderón problem was first introduced in [13]. For a review see [48].

There have been several boundary determination results in the p=2 case. Boundary uniqueness for derivatives of the conductivity was first proved by Kohn and Vogelius [28]. Sylvester and Uhlmann in [47] recovered, for smooth conductivity in a smooth domain, conductivity and all its derivatives on the boundary by considering Λ_{γ} as a pseudodifferential operator. Nachman [36] recovered $\gamma|_{\partial\Omega}$ in the Lipschitz domain when $\gamma \in W^{1,q}$, q > d, and its first derivative when $\gamma \in W^{2,q}$, q > d/2. Alessandrini [1] used singular solutions with singularity near the boundary to recover all derivatives of $\gamma|_{\partial\Omega}$ with less regularity assumptions on γ . There have been several local boundary determination results; e.g. [25,38]. Other reasonably recent boundary determination results include [2,12].

A Rellich identity was used by Brown, Garcia and Zhang [16] appendix] to recover the gradient of conductivity on the boundary in the p = 2 case. The Rellich identity was, to the best of our knowledge, introduced in [40].

Several results (e.g. \square) rely on investigating the difference $\Lambda_{\gamma_1} - \Lambda_{\gamma_2}$ of DN maps at different conductivities. This is difficult in the present setting due to non-linearity of the p-Laplace equation. We use the Rellich identity, Theorem 4.1 to avoid this problem.

Electrical impedance tomography has applications in medical (e.g. [11]) and industrial imaging (e.g. [26]), and geophysics and environmental sciences; see e.g. the review [9] and references therein. There are practical numerical algorithms for boundary determination in the p=2 case; e.g. [37]. Boundary determination is used in recovering the conductivity in the interior; e.g. [19]. For example, the algorithm in [45] uses the values of conductivity and its first derivative on the boundary to extend the conductivity, thence applying to conductivities that are not constant on the boundary.

For more on the p-Laplace equation see e.g. 15 22 33. The equation has applications in e.g. image processing 29, fluid mechanics 6, plastic moulding 4, and modelling of sand-piles 5.

The Calderón problem for the p-Laplace equation was first introduced in [43]. The authors consider the real and the complex case separately. In the complex case they define p-harmonic versions of complex geometrical optics solutions to create highly oscillating functions focused around a given boundary point and use them as Dirichlet data, thus recovering conductivity at the boundary point in question. In the real case they replace the p-harmonic CGO solutions with real-valued functions having similar behaviour. The real-valued functions were originally introduced by Wolff [49].

Further progress on the p-Calderón problem was made by the author, Kar and Salo [10]. In the article we show that we can detect the convex hulls of inclusions, which are regions of significantly higher or lower conductivity, from the DN map. Our main tools are the p-harmonic functions of Wolff and a monotonicity inequality.

Hauer 21 has investigated the DN map related to the p-Laplace equation.

One method of investigating the Calderón-type inverse problems for non-linear equations is based on studying the Gâteaux derivatives of the map Λ_{γ} at constant boundary values a. In our case this does not work [43] appendix]:

(1.5)
$$\Lambda_{\gamma}(a + tf) = t^{p-1}\Lambda_{\gamma}(f)$$

for positive t. For p < 2 the Gâteaux derivates do not exist and for p > 2 the higher derivates fail to exist, or vanish, although one of them might equal $\Lambda_{\gamma}(f)$. Hence non-linear methods are necessary.

Other inverse problems related to non-linear equations similar to the p-Laplace equation have been investigated before; the 1-Laplace equation is used in current density imaging (e.g. [23,[24,[27,[35,[44]]]), and the 0-Laplace equation is related to ultrasound modulated electrical impedance tomography (e.g. [3,[7,8,[17]]).

In section 2 we introduce our notation and state lemmata. In section 3 we restate boundary determination results we use in this article. In the final section 4 we reconstruct $\nabla \gamma|_{\partial\Omega}$ from the DN map.

2. Preliminaries

We use the following notation: We write $\partial_{\alpha} = \alpha \cdot \nabla$ for vectors α . On the C^1 boundary $\partial\Omega$ of an open set Ω we decompose the gradient of a function f defined in $\overline{\Omega}$ as $\nabla f(x_0) = \nabla_{\nu} f(x_0) + \nabla_T f(x_0)$, where ∇_{ν} is the normal component of the derivative, i.e. the orthogonal projection of the gradient to the normal space of the boundary, and ∇_T is the tangential derivative, i.e. the orthogonal projection of the gradient on the tangent space of the boundary. We have the following identity: $|\nabla_{\nu} f|^2 = (\partial_{\nu} f)^2$. We denote the outer unit normal by ν .

We write the Hölder seminorm of order k as $|f|_{k,\beta}$ and the characteristic function of a set A as

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ when } x \in A, \\ 0 \text{ when } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

We need the following elementary inequality:

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $0 \le A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ and $2 \le q < \infty$. Then for some C > 0 we have

$$|A^{q-1} - B^{q-1}| \le C (A + B)^{q-2} |A - B|.$$

Proof. By the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$|A^{q-1} - B^{q-1}| = (q-1)|A - B| \left| \int_0^1 (A + t(B - A))^{q-2} dt \right|,$$

from which the estimate follows by observing that $0 \le A + t(B - A) \le A + B$. \square

It is well-known that the solutions of the p-Laplace equation are in $C^{1,\beta}$. For a proof of the following lemma see e.g. [31].

Lemma 2.2 (Regularity result). Suppose Ω is a bounded open C^{1,β_1} set with $0 < \beta_1 \le 1$, and suppose the conductivity $0 < \gamma \in C^{0,\beta_2}(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded from above and away from zero. Consider the weighted p-Laplace equation [1.1] with boundary values $v \in C^{1,\beta_1}(\partial\Omega)$. Then the solution u of the weighted p-Laplace equation [1.1] is in $C^{1,\beta_3}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta_3 > 0$.

In general the solutions are not twice continuously differentiable 34.

To establish the Rellich identity, Theorem 4.1 we use the following interpolation lemma similar to 32 lemma A.1:

Lemma 2.3 (Interpolation lemma). Suppose Ω is a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying the measure density condition; that is, suppose there exist $\delta_0, C > 0$ such that for all $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and for all $y \in \overline{\Omega}$ we have

$$(2.2) |B(y, \delta)| \le C|B(y, \delta) \cap \overline{\Omega}|.$$

Also suppose $f \in C^{\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\beta > 0$. Take $1 \le p < \infty$. Let M > 0 be a constant such that $|f|_{0,\beta} \le M$. Then

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C_{d,p,\beta,\Omega} M^{\frac{d}{d+\beta p}} ||f||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{\frac{\beta p}{d+\beta p}}.$$

The measure density condition (2.2) is satisfied in a wide variety of situations (20). In particular, domains that admit a Sobolev extension theorem satisfy the condition (20) proposition 1]. Domains of class $C^{1,\alpha}$, and more generally Lipschitz domains, certainly admit a Sobolev extension theorem (14) theorem (12), (46) chapter VI, section (3.1), theorem (5). A bounded Lipschitz set has a finite number of connected components, and thus also satisfies the condition.

The proof of the interpolation lemma is almost the same as in [32].

Proof. Let $y \in \overline{\Omega}$ and write $B_{\delta} = B(y, \delta)$. For $\delta > 0$ we have

$$||f||_{L^{p}(\overline{\Omega} \cap B_{\delta})} \ge ||f(y)||_{L^{p}(\overline{\Omega} \cap B_{\delta})} - ||f(x) - f(y)||_{L^{p}(\overline{\Omega} \cap B_{\delta})}$$

$$= |f(y)| |\overline{\Omega} \cap B_{\delta}|^{1/p} - \left(\int_{\overline{\Omega} \cap B_{\delta}} |f(x) - f(y)|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\ge c_{d,p,\Omega} \delta^{d/p} |f(y)| - |f|_{0,\beta} \left(\int_{\overline{\Omega} \cap B_{\delta}} |x - y|^{\beta p} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\ge c_{d,p,\Omega} \delta^{d/p} |f(y)| - C_{d,p,\beta} \delta^{\beta + d/p} M,$$

whereby it follows that

$$(2.4) ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})} \le C_{d,p,\Omega} \delta^{-d/p} ||f||_{L^{p}(\overline{\Omega})} + C_{d,p,\beta} \delta^{\beta} M.$$

Choose

$$\delta = \left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^{p}(\overline{\Omega})}}{M}\right)^{\frac{p}{d+\beta p}}.$$

In our proofs we use the ε -perturbed p-Laplace equation. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and define u_{ε} as the solution of the boundary value problem

(2.6)
$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \left(\gamma(x) \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \right) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_{\varepsilon} = v & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

By calculus of variations there exists a unique solution to (2.6) when Ω is a bounded open set and $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The energy corresponding to (2.6) is

(2.7)
$$\int_{\Omega} \gamma (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon)^{p/2} dx.$$

Lemma 2.4 (Regularity result for perturbed equation). Suppose Ω is a bounded open set with C^{1,β_1} boundary. Suppose that boundary values $v \in C^{1,\beta_1}(\partial\Omega)$ and conductivity γ is Hölder-continuous. Then $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{1,\beta_2}(\overline{\Omega})$ with some $0 < \beta_2 < 1$ independent of ε and the norm $|u_{\varepsilon}|_{1,\beta_2}$ has an upper bound independent of ε . Furthermore, suppose that Ω has C^{2,β_3} boundary, $v \in C^{2,\beta_3}(\partial\Omega)$ and that $\nabla \gamma$ is

Furthermore, suppose that Ω has C^{2,β_3} boundary, $v \in C^{2,\beta_3}(\partial \Omega)$ and that $\nabla \gamma$ is Hölder-continuous. Then $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{2,\beta_4}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $0 < \beta_4 < 1$, which depends on ε .

Proof. That ∇u_{ε} are Hölder-continuous with β_2 and the corresponding norm independent of ε follows from [31]. The C^2 -regularity is also standard; see for example [30] part 4, section 8] or [18] section 15.5].

Lemma 2.5 (Convergence of the perturbed equations). Suppose Ω is a bounded open set with $C^{1,\beta}$ boundary, $0 < \beta < 1$, and boundary values v are in $C^{1,\beta}$. Also assume that the conductivity γ is Hölder continuous. Then $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ in $C^{1}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. We first show that the energy (2.7) of the perturbed equation (2.6) converges to the energy of the non-perturbed equation (1.1): The solution u minimises energy,

and so we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \gamma |\nabla u|^{p} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \gamma |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \gamma (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon)^{p/2} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \gamma (|\nabla u|^{2} + \varepsilon)^{p/2} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \gamma (|\nabla u|^{2} + \varepsilon)^{p/2} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \gamma |\nabla u|^{p} dx + C \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon (2|\nabla u|^{2} + \varepsilon)^{-1+p/2} dx & \text{when } p > 2, \\ \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{p/2} dx & \text{when } p \leq 2, \end{cases}$$

where the latter integrals vanish as $\varepsilon \to 0$ since $|\nabla u|$ is bounded (Lemma 2.2). We used the fact that u_{ε} minimises the perturbed energy (2.7), and also Lemma 2.1 with q = 1 + p/2 > 2 when p > 2, and the fact that for non-negative numbers $(a + b)^q \le a^q + b^q$ when $0 \le q = p/2 \le 1$, in the final inequality.

We established that the energy of u_{ε} converges to the energy of u as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Since $\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}}$ are uniformly bounded, it follows that u_{ε} are bounded in $W^{1,p}$ with weight. This implies that the sequence has a weakly converging subsequence with limit that has lesser or equal energy than u. But u uniquely minimises energy, so $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ weakly in weighted $W^{1,p}$. Since the weighted L^p norms of ∇u_{ε} converge to weighted L^p norm of ∇u , and the sequence converges weakly, we get that $\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \to \nabla u$ strongly in weighted L^p space; this is the Radon-Riesz property proved in [39]41[42]. Since the weighted and standard norms are equivalent, $\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \to \nabla u$ strongly in standard L^p .

Since ∇u_{ε} are uniformly Hölder in $\overline{\Omega}$ (Lemma 2.4) we can use the interpolation Lemma 2.3 to deduce convergence of ∇u_{ε} to ∇u in $C(\overline{\Omega})$. The same argument holds for u_{ε} , since by the Friedrichs-Poincaré inequality $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ strongly in L^p . \square

3. Previous results on boundary determination for p-Laplacian

We use several results in the paper [43] and restate them here for convenience. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open bounded C^1 set and suppose $\rho \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is its boundary defining function; that is,

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \rho(x) > 0\}, \quad \partial\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \rho(x) = 0\}$$

and $\nabla \rho \neq 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. By translation we may assume that we are trying to recover $\nabla \gamma$ at the origin $0 \in \partial \Omega$, and by rotation and scaling we may assume that

$$-\nabla \rho(0) = \nu(0) = -e_d$$
,

the dth coordinate vector. We define the map $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d_+$ by

$$f(x', x_d) = (x', \rho(x))$$

for $x = (x', x_d)$. Since ρ is a C^1 function, the map is close to the identity near the origin, and hence invertible in some neighbourhood of the origin.

We now introduce the p-harmonic oscillating functions of Wolff [49].

Lemma 3.1. Define the function $h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ by $h(x) = e^{-x_d}a(x_1)$, where $a: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the solution to the differential equation

$$(3.1) a''(x_1) + V(a, a')a = 0$$

with

$$V(a, a') = \frac{(2p - 3)(a')^2 + (p - 1)a^2}{(p - 1)(a')^2 + a^2}.$$

Then $\Delta_p^1(h) = 0$ and the function a is smooth and periodic with period $\lambda(p)$, so that $\int_0^{\lambda} a(x_1) dx_1 = 0.$

For a proof of the lemma see [43] lemma 3.1].

We define a smooth positive cutoff function $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that $\zeta(x) = 1$ when |x| < 1/2 and supp $\zeta \subset B(0,1)$. We write

$$(3.3) \quad v_M(x) = h(Nf(x))\zeta(Mx),$$

where M and N = N(M) are large positive numbers, M = o(N). We define u_M to be the solutions of the initial value problem

(3.4)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p^{\gamma}(u_M) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_M = v_M & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In [43] lemma 3.3] Salo and Zhong show that

$$(3.5) \quad M^{d-1}N^{1-p} \int_{\Omega} \gamma |\nabla v_M|^p dx \rightarrow c_p \gamma(0)$$

as $M \to \infty$. The constant c_p is explicit:

(3.6)
$$c_p = \frac{K}{p} \int_{p,d-1} (\eta(x',0))^p dx'$$
; with $K = \lambda^{-1} \int_0^{\lambda} (a^2(t) + (a'(t))^2)^{p/2} dt$.

The proof also holds when γ is replaced by any other function continuous at 0, so as $M \to \infty$ we have

$$(3.7) M^{d-1}N^{1-p} \int_{\Omega} \partial_{\alpha} \gamma |\nabla v_M|^p dx \rightarrow c_p \partial_{\alpha} \gamma(0).$$

Using [43] lemma 3.4] we get the following result:

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded open set with C^1 boundary, $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$, $d \geq 2$, and g is continuous. Then

$$(3.8) M^{d-1}N^{1-p}\int_{\Omega} g(x)|\nabla u_M|^p dx \rightarrow c_p g(x_0)$$

as $M \to \infty$.

4. Proof of the main result

In this section we establish that the quantity

$$(4.1) \int_{\Omega} \partial_{\alpha} \gamma(x) |\nabla u|^{p} dx$$

can be calculated from the measurements represented by the DN map, with the function u solving the weighted p-Laplace equation [1.1] with sufficiently smooth boundary values. Then it follows from Lemma [3.2] that we can recover $\partial_{\alpha}\gamma(x_0)$ at any boundary point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. The main result, Theorem [1.2] immediately follows.

Theorem 4.1 (Rellich identity). Suppose $1 and that <math>\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded open set with $C^{2,\beta}$ boundary for some $0 < \beta < 1$. Let $0 < \gamma \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and let u be a weak solution of the equation $\Delta_p^{\gamma} u = 0$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Then

$$(4.2) \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{\alpha} \gamma) |\nabla u|^{p} dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma(\alpha \cdot \nu) |\nabla u|^{p} dS - p \int_{\partial \Omega} (\partial_{\alpha} u) \gamma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u dS.$$

Proof. Suppose we have the following identity for the solution u_ε of the perturbed p-Laplace equation (2.6):

$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial_{\alpha} \gamma) (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon)^{p/2} dx$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma (\alpha \cdot \nu) (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon)^{p/2} dS - p \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon} \gamma (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} dS.$$

Then, when $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \to \nabla u$ uniformly (by Lemma 2.5), so we get the claimed identity.

We now prove the perturbed identity, integrating by parts twice:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\alpha \cdot \nu) \gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{p/2} dS - \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{\alpha} \gamma) \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{p/2} dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \gamma \partial_{\alpha} \left(\left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{p/2} \right) dx$$

$$= p \int_{\Omega} \gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \partial_{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon} dx$$

$$= p \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon} \gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} dS$$

$$- p \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \right) \partial_{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon} dx$$

$$= p \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon} \gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \right) \partial_{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon} dx$$

$$= p \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\alpha} u_{\varepsilon} \gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} dS.$$

We need to recover $\gamma|_{\partial\Omega}$ and $\nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$ to recover $\int_{\Omega} \partial_{\alpha} \gamma |\nabla u|^p dx$ from the DN map. Supposing Ω is an open set with C^1 boundary and γ is continuous, we can recover $\gamma(x_0)$ for all boundary points x_0 . This follows directly from 43.

To calculate $\partial_{\nu}u$ we use $C^{1,\beta}$ boundary values v so that the solution u of the boundary value problem [1.1] is continuously differentiable up to the boundary (by Lemma [2.2]), so $\partial_{\nu}u$ is defined pointwise. Recovering $\partial_{\nu}u$ from the strong definition of the DN map is straightforward, and we do so in Lemma [4.2]. However, we do not a priori have access to the strong DN map, and must recover it from the weak Definition [1.1]. This we do in Lemma [4.4] which requires more smoothness on Ω and boundary values.

We have

$$\Lambda_{\gamma}^{s}(v) = \gamma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u,$$
(4.3)

from which by expanding ∇u we get the equation

$$\gamma |\nabla_T u + \nabla_\nu u|^{p-2} \partial_\nu u = \Lambda^s_\gamma(v).$$

Taking absolute values and reorganising we have

$$(|\nabla_T u|^2 + |\nabla_\nu u|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} |\partial_\nu u| = \frac{1}{\gamma} |\Lambda_\gamma^s(v)|.$$

We write the left-hand side as a function of $|\partial_{\nu}u| = |\nabla_{\nu}u| = t$:

(4.4)
$$F(t) = (|\nabla_T u|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}t = \frac{1}{\gamma}|\Lambda_{\gamma}^s(v)|.$$

There is a unique $t_0 \in [0, \infty[$ with $F(t_0) = \frac{1}{\gamma} |\Lambda_{\gamma}^s(v)|$. To see this, observe that $\lim_{t\to 0} F(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t\to \infty} F(t) = \infty$, and that the function F is strictly increasing and continuous.

Returning to the original formulation and expanding $|\nabla u|^2$ we therefore get

$$\gamma(|\nabla_T u|^2 + t_0^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_\nu u = \Lambda_{\gamma}^s(v),$$
(4.5)

from which we can solve $\partial_{\nu}u$. We have proven the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. Suppose Ω is a bounded open set with $C^{1,\beta}$ boundary. Let the boundary voltage $v \in C^{1,\beta}$ be known. Then, for any boundary point $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$, we can compute $\partial_{\nu}u(x_0)$ from the strong DN map with the following algorithm:

Solve t from the equation

$$(|\nabla_T u(x_0)|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} t = \frac{1}{\gamma(x_0)} |\Lambda_{\gamma}^s(v)(x_0)|.$$

The following remark is not used in this paper, but in general locating the points where $\nabla u = 0$ is interesting for p-harmonic functions u.

Remark 4.3. We know the boundary points x_0 where $\nabla u(x_0) = 0$, since $\partial_{\nu} u(x_0) = 0$ if and only if $\Lambda_{\gamma}^{s}(v)(x_0) = 0$, and the Dirichlet data determines $\nabla_T u$ at boundary points.

We still need to recover the strong DN map from the weak one.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that Ω has $C^{2,\beta}$ boundary, boundary values $v \in C^{2,\beta}(\partial\Omega)$ and that $\nabla \gamma$ is Hölder-continuous. Then we can recover the pointwise values of the strong DN map

$$(4.6) \quad \gamma(x_0) |\nabla u(x_0)|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u(x_0)$$

from the weak DN map (see Definition 1.1)

$$\langle \Lambda_{\gamma}^{w}(v), g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \gamma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \bar{g} dx.$$

Proof. For $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ define test functions $\eta_{\delta} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\eta_{\delta}(x) = c_d \delta^{-d} (\delta - |x - x_0|) \chi_{B(x_0, \delta)}$$
(4.8)

where $c_d > 0$ is selected so that $\int_L \eta_\delta dx = 1$, where L is the tangent space of $\partial\Omega$ at x_0 . Then η_δ lies in all first order Sobolev spaces with $|\nabla \eta_\delta(x)| = c_d \delta^{-d}$ in $B(x_0, \delta)$, and 0 elsewhere.

Suppose $\nabla u(x_0) = 0$. By Hölder continuity of $|\nabla u|^{p-1}$ we get

$$|\langle \Lambda_{\gamma}^{w}(v), \eta_{\delta} \rangle| \lesssim |||\nabla u||^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \eta_{\delta}||_{L^{1}(\Omega \cap B(x_{0}, \delta))}$$

 $\lesssim |||\nabla u||^{p-1} ||\nabla \eta_{\delta}|||_{L^{1}(B(x_{0}, \delta))} \lesssim ||\delta^{\beta_{1}} \delta^{-d}||_{L^{1}(\Omega \cap B(x_{0}, \delta))}$
 $\leq \delta^{\beta_{1}-d} \delta^{d} = \delta^{\beta_{1}} \rightarrow 0 = \Lambda_{s}^{s}(x_{0})$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Suppose, then, that $\nabla u(x_0) \neq 0$. Since $\nabla u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \nabla u$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, there is a > 0 such that

$$(4.9) \quad |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x_0)| \ge |\nabla u(x_0)|/2$$

holds whenever $a > \varepsilon \ge 0$.

We next prove that the equality

(4.10)

$$\gamma(x_0) \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon}(x_0) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\delta} dx$$

holds. By taking the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ we get the original claim if the rate of convergence in (4.10) is uniform in ε . By integrating by parts we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \eta_{\delta} dx$$

$$= -\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \right) \eta_{\delta} dx$$

$$+ \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta_{\delta} \gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} dS$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Omega \cap B(x_{0}, \delta)} \eta_{\delta} \gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon} dS,$$

$$\partial \Omega \cap B(x_{0}, \delta)$$
(4.11)

since u_{ε} solves the perturbed equation, and the integral (4.11) equals

$$\int_{\partial\Omega\cap B(x_{0},\delta)} \eta_{\delta}\gamma(x_{0}) \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x_{0})|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nu(x_{0}) \cdot \nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x_{0}) dS$$

$$+ \int_{\partial\Omega\cap B(x_{0},\delta)} \eta_{\delta} \left(\gamma \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon}$$

$$- \gamma(x_{0}) \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x_{0})|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon}(x_{0}) \right) dS$$

$$= \left(\gamma(x_{0}) \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x_{0})|^{2} + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon}(x_{0}) + o_{\delta \to 0}(1) \right) \int_{\partial\Omega} \eta_{\delta} dS,$$

since γ and ∇u_{ε} are continuous. By normalisation of the test function this proves the equality (4.10).

The rate of convergence is uniform in ε when δ is so small that $|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)|$ is bounded away from zero for $x \in B(x_0, \delta)$, since then the following ε -indexed family of functions (4.12) are equicontinuous with parameter ε :

$$(4.12) \quad x \mapsto \gamma(x) \left(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)|^2 + \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \partial_{\nu} u_{\varepsilon}(x).$$

The family is Hölder-continuous on $\partial\Omega$ when $x-x_0$ is so small that $|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)| \ge m > 0$ for some m and all $\varepsilon < a$: By Lemma 2.4 the functions $x \mapsto \nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ are equicontinuous with parameter ε , and the functions $w \mapsto \gamma \left(|w|^2 + \varepsilon\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} w \cdot \nu$ are equicontinuous when |w| is bounded from above and away from zero.

Remark 4.5. We have shown that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\partial_{\alpha} \gamma) |\nabla u|^p \, dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \gamma (\alpha \cdot \nu) |\nabla u|^p \, dS - p \int_{\partial \Omega} (\partial_{\alpha} u) \Lambda_{\gamma}^s(u) \, dS.$$

Since we know $\gamma|_{\partial\Omega}$ by [43] theorem 1.1], $\nabla u|_{\partial\Omega}$ by Lemma [4.2] and the strong DN map by Lemma [4.4] we also know $\int_{\Omega} (\partial_{\alpha}\gamma) |\nabla u|^p dx$.

Remark 4.6. In using the Rellich identity we need to know the values of the conductivity γ on the entire boundary $\partial\Omega$. The gradient ∇u_M should be large near x_0 and small elsewhere (we have not made this precise), so our proof is essentially local in nature.

The main Theorem 1.2 now follows, since the value of the integral

$$(4.13) \qquad \int_{\Omega} \partial_{\alpha} \gamma |\nabla u_{M}|^{p} dx$$

is known (see Remark 4.5) and it converges to $\partial_{\alpha} \gamma(x_0)$ by Lemma 3.2

Acknowledgements

This research was partly supported by the Academy of Finland. Part of the research was done during a visit to the Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden). The author is grateful to Mikko Salo and Joonas Ilmavirta for several discussions.

References

- Giovanni Alessandrini, Singular solutions of elliptic equations and the determination of conductivity by boundary measurements, J. Differential Equations 84 (1990), no. 2, 252-272, DOI 10.1016/0022-0396(90)90078-4. MR1047569 (91e:35210)
- [2] Giovanni Alessandrini and Romina Gaburro, The local Calderòn problem and the determination at the boundary of the conductivity, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 34 (2009), no. 7-9, 918-936, DOI 10.1080/03605300903017397. MR 2560305 (2010h:35409)
- [3] H. Ammari, E. Bonnetier, Y. Capdeboscq, M. Tanter, and M. Fink, Electrical impedance tomography by elastic deformation, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 68 (2008), no. 6, 1557–1573, DOI 10.1137/070686408. MR2424952 (2009h:35439)
- [4] Gunnar Aronsson, On p-harmonic functions, convex duality and an asymptotic formula for injection mould filling, European J. Appl. Math. 7 (1996), no. 5, 417–437, DOI 10.1017/S0956792500002473. MR[1419641] (97i:76007)
- [5] G. Aronsson, L. C. Evans, and Y. Wu, Fast/slow diffusion and growing sandpiles, J. Differential Equations 131 (1996), no. 2, 304–335, DOI 10.1006/jdeq.1996.0166. MR 1419017 (97i:35068)
- [6] Gunnar Aronsson and Ulf Janfalk, On Hele-Shaw flow of power-law fluids, European J. Appl. Math. 3 (1992), no. 4, 343–366, DOI 10.1017/S0956792500000905. MR1196816 (94d:76001)
- [7] Guillaume Bal, Cauchy problem for ultrasound-modulated EIT, Anal. PDE 6 (2013), no. 4, 751–775, DOI 10.2140/apde.2013.6.751. MR3092728
- [8] Guillaume Bal and John C. Schotland, Inverse scattering and acousto-optic imaging, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010), no. 4.
- [9] Liliana Borcea, Electrical impedance tomography, Inverse Problems 18 (2002), no. 6, R99–R136, DOI 10.1088/0266-5611/18/6/201. MR1955896
- [10] Tommi Brander, Manas Kar, and Mikko Salo, Enclosure method for the P-Laplace equation, Inverse Problems 31 (2015), no. 4, 045001, 16, DOI 10.1088/0266-5611/31/4/045001.
 MR 3320025
- [11] B. H. Brown, D. C. Barber, and A. D. Seagar, Applied potential tomography: possible clinical applications, Clin. Phys. Physiol. Meas. 6 (1985), no. 2, 109.
- [12] R. M. Brown, Recovering the conductivity at the boundary from the Dirichlet to Neumann map: a pointwise result, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. 9 (2001), no. 6, 567–574, DOI 10.1515/jiip.2001.9.6.567. MR 1881563 (2003a:35196)

- [13] Alberto-P. Calderón, On an inverse boundary value problem, Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics (Rio de Janeiro, 1980), Soc. Brasil. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 1980, pp. 65–73. MR[590275] (81k:35160)
- [14] A.-P. Calderón, Lebesgue spaces of differentiable functions and distributions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. IV, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1961, pp. 33–49. MR0143037 (26 #603)
- [15] Luigi D'Onofrio and Tadeusz Iwaniec, Notes on p-harmonic analysis, The p-harmonic equation and recent advances in analysis, Contemp. Math., vol. 370, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 25–49, DOI 10.1090/conm/370/06828. MR2126700 (2006d:35070)
- [16] Andoni García and Guo Zhang, Reconstruction from boundary measurements for less regular conductivities, arXiv (2012), With an appendix by R. Brown and the authors.
- [17] Bastian Gebauer and Otmar Scherzer, Impedance-acoustic tomography, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 69 (2008), no. 2, 565–576, DOI 10.1137/080715123. MR2465856 (2009j:35381)
- [18] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, 2nd ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. MR737190 (86c:35035)
- [19] Boaz Haberman and Daniel Tataru, Uniqueness in Calderón's problem with Lipschitz conductivities, Duke Math. J. 162 (2013), no. 3, 496-516, DOI 10.1215/00127094-2019591. MR3024091
- [20] Piotr Hajłasz, Pekka Koskela, and Heli Tuominen, Measure density and extendability of Sobolev functions, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 24 (2008), no. 2, 645-669, DOI 10.4171/RMI/551. MR2459208 (2009):46065)
- [21] Daniel Hauer, The p-Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator with applications to elliptic and parabolic problems, (2014), Preprint, retrieved 18.9.2014 from www.maths.usyd.edu.au/u/pubs/ publist/preprints/2014/hauer-9.html.
- [22] Juha Heinonen, Tero Kilpeläinen, and Olli Martio, Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. Oxford Science Publications. MR[1207810] (94e:31003)
- [23] Nicholas Hoell, Amir Moradifam, and Adrian Nachman, Current density impedance imaging of an anisotropic conductivity in a known conformal class, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46 (2014), no. 3, 1820–1842, DOI 10.1137/130911524. MR3206987
- [24] M. Joy, G. Scott, and M. Henkelman, In vivo detection of applied electric currents by magnetic resonance imaging, Magnetic Resonance Imaging 7 (1989), no. 1, 89–94.
- [25] Hyeonbae Kang and Kihyun Yun, Boundary determination of conductivities and Riemannian metrics via local Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 34 (2002), no. 3, 719– 735 (electronic), DOI 10.1137/S0036141001395042. MR[1970890] (2004c:35416)
- [26] Kimmo Karhunen, Electrical resistance tomography imaging of concrete, Ph.D. thesis, University of Eastern Finland, 2013.
- [27] Sungwhan Kim, Ohin Kwon, Jin Keun Seo, and Jeong-Rock Yoon, On a nonlinear partial differential equation arising in magnetic resonance electrical impedance tomography, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 34 (2002), no. 3, 511–526 (electronic), DOI 10.1137/S0036141001391354. MR[1970881] (2004f:35185)
- [28] Robert Kohn and Michael Vogelius, Determining conductivity by boundary measurements, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984), no. 3, 289–298, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160370302. MR 739921 (85f:80008)
- [29] Arjan Kuijper, p-Laplacian driven image processing, ICIP 2007. IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, vol. 5, IEEE, 2007, pp. V-257.
- [30] Olga A. Ladyzhenskaya and Nina N. Ural'tseva, Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations, Translated from the Russian by Scripta Technica, Inc. Translation editor: Leon Ehrenpreis, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968. MR0244627 (39 #5941)
- [31] Gary M. Lieberman, Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, Non-linear Anal. 12 (1988), no. 11, 1203–1219, DOI 10.1016/0362-546X(88)90053-3. MR 969499 (90a:35098)
- [32] Tony Liimatainen and Mikko Salo, n-harmonic coordinates and the regularity of conformal mappings, Math. Res. Lett. 21 (2014), no. 2, 341–361, DOI 10.4310/MRL.2014.v21.n2.a11. MR3247061

- [33] Peter Lindqvist, Notes on the p-Laplace equation, Report. University of Jyväskylä Department of Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 102, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, 2006. MR[2242021 (2007h:35102)]
- [34] Tadeusz Iwaniec and Juan J. Manfredi, Regularity of p-harmonic functions on the plane, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 5 (1989), no. 1-2, 1-19, DOI 10.4171/RMI/82. MR1057335 (91i:35071)
- [35] Adrian Nachman, Alexandru Tamasan, and Alexandre Timonov, Recovering the conductivity from a single measurement of interior data, Inverse Problems 25 (2009), no. 3, 035014, 16, DOI 10.1088/0266-5611/25/3/035014. MR[2480184] (2010g:35340)
- [36] Adrian I. Nachman, Global uniqueness for a two-dimensional inverse boundary value problem, Ann. of Math. (2) 143 (1996), no. 1, 71–96, DOI 10.2307/2118653. MR1370758 (96k:35189)
- [37] G. Nakamura, S. Siltanen, K. Tanuma, and S. Wang, Numerical recovery of conductivity at the boundary from the localized Dirichlet to Neumann map, Computing 75 (2005), no. 2-3, 197-213, DOI 10.1007/s00607-004-0095-x. MR[2163666] (2006d:35298)
- [38] Gen Nakamura and Kazumi Tanuma, Formulas for reconstructing conductivity and its normal derivative at the boundary from the localized Dirichlet to Neumann map, Recent development in theories & numerics, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003, pp. 192–201, DOI 10.1142/9789812704924.0017. MR 2088202
- [39] Johann Radon, Theorie und Anwendungen der absolut additiven Mengenfunktionen, S.-B. Akad. Wiss. Wien 122 (1913), 1295–1438.
- [40] Franz Rellich, Darstellung der Eigenwerte von Δu+λu = 0 durch ein Randintegral (German), Math. Z. 46 (1940), 635–636. MR 0002456 (2,56d)
- [41] Frédéric Riesz, Sur la convergence en moyenne, I, Acta Sci. Math (Szeged) 4 (1928-1929), 58-64.
- [42] Frédéric Riesz, Sur la convergence en moyenne, II, Acta Sci. Math (Szeged) 4 (1928–1929), 182–185.
- [43] Mikko Salo and Xiao Zhong, An inverse problem for the p-Laplacian: boundary determination, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 44 (2012), no. 4, 2474–2495, DOI 10.1137/110838224. MR3023384
- [44] G. C. Scott, M. L. G. Joy, R. L. Armstrong, and R. M. Henkelman, Measurement of nonuniform current density by magnetic resonance, IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging 10 (1991), no. 3, 362–374.
- [45] Samuli Siltanen and Janne P. Tamminen, Reconstructing conductivities with boundary corrected D-bar method, J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. 22 (2014), no. 6, 847–870, DOI 10.1515/jip-2013-0042. MR3284728
- [46] Elias M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970. MR 0290095
- [47] John Sylvester and Gunther Uhlmann, Inverse boundary value problems at the boundary—continuous dependence, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), no. 2, 197–219, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160410205. MR[924684] (89f:35213)
- [48] Gunther Uhlmann, Electrical impedance tomography and Calderón's problem, Inverse problems 25 (2009), no. 12, 123011.
- [49] Thomas H. Wolff, Gap series constructions for the p-Laplacian, J. Anal. Math. 102 (2007), 371–394, DOI 10.1007/s11854-007-0026-9. Paper completed by John Garnett and Jang-Mei Wu. MR2346563 (2008k:35182)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 35 (MaD) FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland

E-mail address: tommi.o.brander@jyu.fi

[III]

Superconductive and insulating inclusions for non-linear conductivity equations,

T. Brander, J. Ilmavirta, M. Kar, preprint.

SUPERCONDUCTIVE AND INSULATING INCLUSIONS FOR NON-LINEAR CONDUCTIVITY EQUATIONS

TOMMI BRANDER, JOONAS ILMAVIRTA, AND MANAS KAR

ABSTRACT. We rigorously treat the forward problem for the partial differential equation $\operatorname{div}(\sigma|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)=0$, where the measurable conductivity $\sigma\colon\Omega\to[0,\infty]$ is zero or infinity in large sets and $1< p<\infty$. We use the enclosure method to find an upper bound for the convex hull of an inclusion with zero or infinite conductivity.

1. Introduction

We study inverse boundary value problems for the partial differential equation

(1.1)
$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma(x) |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)) = 0,$$

where the measurable coefficient $\sigma \geq 0$ is allowed to take the values 0 and ∞ in large sets and the exponent is in the range 1 . This includes the case <math>p=2 where our PDE becomes the linear conductivity equation $\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u) = 0$ which appears in Calderón's inverse problem [16].

To arrive at the PDE from a physical starting point, we consider an electric potential (voltage) $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. By Ohm's law current density is given by $J(x) = -\sigma(x)\nabla u(x)$ and Kirchhoff's law entails $\operatorname{div}(J(x)) = 0$. To arrive at the non-linear equation (1.1) instead of $\operatorname{div}(\sigma\nabla u) = 0$, we replace Ohm's law with the non-linear law $J(x) = -\sigma(x) |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)$. Physically such non-linear laws can occur in dielectrics [12, 21, 22, 39, 52, 53], plastic moulding [3, 35], electro-rheological and thermo-rheological fluids [2, 7, 48], viscous flows in glaciology [25] and plasticity phenomena [6, 30, 45, 46, 51].

Kirchhoff's law retains its linear form and consequently our PDE is of divergence form. This is convenient for the study of weak solutions and calculus of variations. Weak solutions of (1.1) in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are minimizers of the energy functional

(1.2)
$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x) |\nabla u(x)|^p dx$$

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.$ Primary 35R30, 35J92; Secondary 35H99.

Key words and phrases. p-harmonic functions, Calderón problem, inclusion, inverse boundary value problem, enclosure method, probe method.

in the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with some prescribed boundary values. This is true even if σ takes the values 0 and ∞ in non-empty open sets. This is our main result for the direct problem, and the details will be discussed in sections 1.1 and 2.

Our PDE can be classified as a quasilinear elliptic equation. We do not, however, bound the coefficient σ away from zero or infinity, so ellipticity holds in a weaker sense than usual. If $\sigma \equiv 1$, the solutions of (1.1) are known as p-harmonic functions. They have been studied extensively (see for instance [38, 24, 29, 41] and the references therein), but inverse problems for elliptic equations of this type have received considerably less attention.

We assume our potential to be real-valued since this is physically most relevant. For complex-valued functions u one can obtain essentially the same results with the same tools, but we restrict our attention to the real case.

Our goal is, given Dirichlet and Neumann boundary values of all solutions of (1.1), to reconstruct the shape of an unknown obstacle having zero or infinite conductivity. This data is encoded in the so-called Dirichlet-to-Neumann map which we will describe in section 1.1 and in more detail in section 2.2. When $p \neq 2$, there are very few results in this direction. When p = 2, this is Calderón's famous inverse boundary value problem. We will summarize earlier results in section 1.2 and new results proven in this article in section 1.3.

1.1. **The direct problem.** Before embarking on a study of inverse problems, it is good to show that the direct problem is well-posed. The well-posedness result we present is, to the best of our knowledge, new in its generality.

Let Ω be a bounded domain and $\sigma \colon \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ a measurable map. For simplicity, we make the standing assumption that the sets $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ and $D_{\infty} = \sigma^{-1}(\infty)$ are open and the three sets $\partial\Omega$, \bar{D}_0 and \bar{D}_{∞} are disjoint. We also assume that outside the sets D_0 and D_{∞} the function σ is bounded away from both zero and infinity.

In this setting we look for minimizers of the energy (1.2) in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, given boundary values $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Minimization of this energy corresponds to solving an Euler–Lagrange equation.

Usually such problems are posed on the domain $\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty)$ and one would impose suitable boundary conditions on ∂D_0 and ∂D_∞ . We prefer to work with all of Ω when possible, for in the inverse problem the function σ and therefore the sets D_0 and D_∞ are unknown. See remark 2.10 for the usual formulation.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, be a bounded open set. Let $\sigma \colon \Omega \to [0,\infty]$ be a measurable function, and denote $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ and $D_\infty = \sigma^{-1}(\infty)$. Assume that both D_0 and D_∞ are open and Lipschitz, and the three sets $\partial \Omega$, \bar{D}_0 and \bar{D}_∞ are disjoint. Assume furthermore

that σ is bounded away from zero and infinity outside the sets D_0 and D_{∞} .

Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Fix any boundary value $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The energy (1.2) has a minimizer $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with boundary values $u|_{\partial\Omega} = f$. The minimizer is unique up to functions that have vanishing gradient outside D_0 and that vanish on $\partial\Omega$.

A function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is such a minimizer if and only if it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation $\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$ weakly in the sense that

(1.3)
$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma \left| \nabla u \right|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi dx = 0$$

for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\nabla \phi = 0$ in D_{∞} .

For a proof, see theorems 2.4 and 2.7 and their proofs.

This theorem is true, in particular, in the linear case p=2. Then the PDE is $\operatorname{div}(\sigma \nabla u)=0$.

We point out that even though the minimizer u of the energy is not unique, the vector-valued function $\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u$ is unique. Since the exceptional sets D_0 and D_{∞} do not reach the boundary, the boundary values can be interpreted in the Sobolev sense as usual.

The information about boundary values of solutions is encoded in the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (DN map) Λ_{σ} from the quotient space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to its dual. Let $f,g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be any functions and let $\bar{f},\bar{g} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be their extensions so that $\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla \bar{f}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{f}) = 0$, while the extension \bar{g} satisfies $\nabla \bar{g} = 0$ in D_{∞} . We define Λ_{σ} so that

(1.4)
$$\langle \Lambda_{\sigma} f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sigma \left| \nabla \bar{f} \right|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{f} \cdot \nabla \bar{g}.$$

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map is linear if and only if p = 2.

1.2. **Known results.** Let us first fix p = 2. If σ is assumed to be bounded away from zero and infinity, the DN map Λ_{σ} determines σ in two dimensions [5]. In higher dimensions this is true if σ is additionally assumed to be Lipschitz [18]. For more information about Calderón's problem, we refer to [16, 54]. Astala, Lassas and Päivärinta [4] have investigated anisotropic conductivities that are not bounded from above or away from zero.

A different kind of problem is to reconstruct an inclusion — a subdomain of Ω with zero, infinite or non-zero finite conductivity in a constant background conductivity — from the DN map. Problems of this kind are our object of study.

There are several methods for recovering inclusions with zero or finite conductivity by making boundary measurements of both voltages and currents [33, 19, 13, 36, 55, 31, 47, 32, 44, 56]. For regions of infinite

conductivity a recent article by Ramdani and Munnier [43] shows how to detect infinitely conductive bodies from the Dirichlet to Neumann map in two dimensional domain for the linear conductivity equation. Their method is based on geometry in the complex plane, in particular Riemann mappings. Brühl [11, section 4.3.1] and Schmitt [50, section 2.2.2 have used the factorization method to detect perfectly conducting inclusions. Alessandrini and Valenzuela [1] detect perfectly conducting or insulating cracks with two bondary measurements. Friedman and Vogelius [20] have shown that one can recover the location and scale of a finite number of small inclusions with zero or infinite conductivity in an inhomogeneous background from the DN map. Superconductive but grounded inclusions have been detected in, for example, [37, 8]. Moradifam, Nachman and Tamasan [42] consider a single interior measurement for conductivity equation and detect insulating or perfectly conducting inclusions, though their approach to the direct problem is not variational. Perfectly conducting inclusions in the context of the Maxwell equations have been detected by sampling methods [23, 14].

Other results relating to infinitely conducting obstacles concern the situation of two such obstacles being close to each other and the main concern is the blow-up of the solutions [34, 26].

For other values of p much less is known. We assume 1 throughout this article. The <math>p-conductivity equation (1.1) with infinite conductivity has been considered by Gorb and Novikov [26] for $2 \le p \in \mathbb{N}$ in dimensions two and three. We are not aware of a rigorous treatment of the forward problem in the literature, so we provide one in section 2 as summarized above in section 1.1.

The p-Calderón's problem, or Calderón's problem related to the p-conductivity equation, was introduced by Salo and Zhong [49]. They recover the conductivity on the boundary of the domain. Brander [9] improved the result to first order derivative of conductivity on the boundary, but with increased regularity assumptions. A recent result by Brander, Kar and Salo [10] shows that one can detect the convex hull of an inclusion with conductivity bounded away from zero and infinity. Very recently Guo, Kar and Salo [27] proved that under a monotonicity assumption the DN map is injective for Lipschitz conductivities when n=2 for general p, and when $n\geq 3$ when one of the conductivities is almost constant. Their results assume the conductivity to be bounded from above and away from zero.

1.3. New results. We use the enclosure method to detect the convex hull of an inclusion. We assume that one of D_0 and D_∞ is empty and the other domain (called D) has Lipschitz boundary. We are unable to detect the convex hull of D, but we can recover a larger set, giving an estimate from above for the inclusion. We can also determine whether $D = \emptyset$ or not. See corollary 3.9 for more details.

If we allow σ to take the values 0 or ∞ in large sets, the DN map Λ_{σ} no longer determines σ uniquely. For example, consider the domain $\Omega = B(0,3) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a function $\sigma \colon \Omega \to [0,\infty]$ which is zero or infinity on $B(0,2) \setminus \bar{B}(0,1)$. Then the values of σ in B(0,1) have no effect on the DN map. We can only ever hope to recover σ up to the "outermost boundaries of D_0 and D_{∞} " and whether or not these boundaries belong to D_0 or D_{∞} .

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank professor Eric Bonnetier for letting us know of the paper of Kang, Lim and Yun [34] and thereby the paper of Gorb and Novikov [26]. Part of the work was done during a visit to Institut Henri Poincaré with financial support from the institute. J.I. and M.K. were partially supported by an ERC Starting Grant (grant agreement no 307023). T.B. was partially supported by the Academy of Finland through the Finnish Centre of Excellence in Inverse Problems Research. We would also like to thank professor Mikko Salo for several discussions.

2. The direct problem

2.1. **Well-posedness.** Let us now carefully formulate the direct problem and see that it is well-posed.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set with a finite number of connected components, such that each connected component is a Sobolev extension domain (with exponent p) and the closures of the components are disjoint. Let $\Gamma \subset \partial \Omega$ be open and meet all connected components of Ω . There exists a constant C so that any function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfying $u|_{\Gamma} = 0$ in the Sobolev sense satisfies

(2.1)
$$||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \le C ||\nabla u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Let $W_{0'}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the closure under the $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ norm of the space of smooth functions in $\bar{\Omega}$ supported away from Γ . The prime reminds that the zero boundary value in the Sobolev sense is only assumed on Γ which may be a proper subset of $\partial\Omega$.

Suppose there was no such constant C. Then there is a sequence of functions $u_k \in W_{0'}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ so that

$$(2.2) ||u_k||_{L^p(\Omega)} \ge k ||\nabla u_k||_{L^p(\Omega)} > 0.$$

We may normalize this sequence so that $||u_k||_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ and $||\nabla u_k||_{L^p(\Omega)} < 1/k$. By the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem there is a subsequence converging in $L^p(\Omega)$. The Rellich-Kondrachov theorem holds in Sobolev extension domains, and a finite union of Sobolev extension domains with positive distance from each other also admits an extension operator. We denote the subsequence by (u_k) and the limit function by u.

For any test function $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we have

(2.3)
$$\int_{\Omega} u \nabla \eta = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_k \nabla \eta = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \eta \nabla u_k = 0,$$

using $W^{1,p}$ regularity of each u_k and the norm bound on ∇u_k . Therefore u is weakly differentiable and its weak gradient is identically zero.

We have in fact $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and the convergence $u_k \to u$ happens also in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, so $u \in W^{1,p}_{0'}(\Omega)$. Since u has zero weak gradient, it must be constant on each connected component. The only possible constant value is zero due to the zero boundary value on Γ and the connectedness assumption. This contradicts the normalization $||u_k||_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ for all k.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\omega, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded domains with Lipcshitz boundaries. Assume that the closure of each connected component of $\Omega \setminus \bar{\omega}$ meets $\partial \Omega$. (This happens, in particular, if $\bar{\omega} \subset \Omega$ and $\Omega \setminus \bar{\omega}$ is connected.) There exists a constant C so that

$$(2.4) ||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega\setminus\bar{\omega})} \le C ||\nabla u||_{L^{p}(\Omega\setminus\bar{\omega})}$$

for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus \bar{\omega})$ that vanish (in the Sobolev sense) on $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. This is a special case of lemma 2.1. In particular, Lipschitz domains are Sobolev extension domains [15, theorem 12]. \Box

Lemma 2.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. Any p-harmonic function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfies

(2.5)
$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \le \|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W^{1,p}_{o}(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. We simply observe that

(2.6)
$$||u|_{\partial\Omega}||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \inf_{v-u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} ||v||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$$
$$\geq \inf_{v-u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} ||\nabla v||_{L^p(\Omega)}$$
$$= ||\nabla u||_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

since a p-harmonic function minimizes, by definition, the L^p norm of the gradient.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain and let $\sigma \colon \Omega \to [0, \infty]$ be a measurable function. Denote $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ and $D_\infty = \sigma^{-1}(\infty)$. Suppose the following:

- The sets D_0 and D_{∞} are open.
- The sets \bar{D}_0 , \bar{D}_{∞} and $\partial\Omega$ are disjoint.
- The quantity $\log \sigma$ is essentially bounded in $\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty)$.
- The set D_0 has Lipschitz boundary.

Fix $p \in (1, \infty)$. Given any $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there is a minimizer $u \in f + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to the energy

(2.7)
$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

The minimal energy is finite and the minimizer is unique modulo functions that have zero gradient outside D_0 but still satisfy the Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$. The minimizer satisfies $\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus (\bar{D}_0 \cup \bar{D}_\infty)$ and $\nabla u = 0$ in D_∞ in the weak sense.

Note that the essential boundedness of $\log \sigma$ is equivalent to the existence of c > 0 such that for almost all x we have $1/c < \sigma(x) < c$.

Remark 2.5. The result which states that the minimizer is unique modulo functions that have zero gradient outside D_0 is simplified when the closure of each connected component of $\Omega \setminus D_0$ intersects $\partial \Omega$ and in particular when $\Omega \setminus D_0$ is connected. Under this assumption the uniqueness holds modulo $W_0^{1,p}(D_0)$.

The minimizer can be made unique with a small number of adjustments.

Remark 2.6. Suppose u and v are any two minimizers of the energy in theorem 2.4. Define u_0 to equal u in all connected components of $\Omega \setminus D_0$ that touch the boundary $\partial \Omega$, set $u_0 = 0$ in connected components of $\Omega \setminus D_0$ that do not touch the boundary $\partial \Omega$ and let u_0 be p-harmonic in D_0 with Dirichlet boundary values determined by the previous conditions. Define v_0 in a similar way, but based on v. Then $u_0 = v_0$ in Ω and $\nabla (u - u_0) = \nabla (v - v_0) = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus D_0$.

Proof of theorem 2.4. First of all, the energy E(u) is finite if and only if $\nabla u = 0$ in D_{∞} ; in fact, for such functions we have

(2.8)
$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega \setminus D_{\infty}} \sigma |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

(We use the convention $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.) Since \bar{D}_{∞} is disjoint from $\partial\Omega$, there are such functions with the prescribed boundary values. The space $A = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); \nabla u|_{D_{\infty}} = 0\}$ is a closed subspace of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and so is $B = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega); \nabla u|_{\Omega \setminus D_0} = 0\}$.

It is clear that changing the function u in D_0 does not change E(u). Therefore we consider the quotient space

$$(2.9) S = A/B.$$

The energy functional E is well defined on this quotient space and $E(u) < \infty$ for all $u \in S$.

Since the only thing that matters about f are its boundary values and the sets $\partial\Omega$, \bar{D}_0 , and \bar{D}_{∞} are disjoint, we may assume that f vanishes on D_0 and D_{∞} .

We denote the equivalence of $u \in A$ by [u] = u + B. Let us define $S_f = \{[u] \in S; u - f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\}$. Notice that the truth value of $u - f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ does not depend on the choice of the representative of the equivalence class $[u] \subset A$, so S_f is well-defined. We will also use the space S_0 where the boundary value is assumed to be zero instead of that of f. We shall show that there is a unique minimizer of E in S_f .

Let U be a connected component of $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}_0$ so that $U \cap \partial \Omega = \emptyset$. Then one can shift the values of $u \in S$ in U by a constant without changing u as an element of S. A minimizer must clearly have vanishing gradient in U, so we may assume that the minimizer (and all functions in a minimizing sequence) vanishes in U. We therefore assume, to the end of simplifying presentation, from now on that there are no such components U.

For any $u \in S$ we pick a preferred representative $\bar{u} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by demanding \bar{u} to be p-harmonic in D_0 . The boundary values of \bar{u} on ∂D_0 are determined by u.

From lemma 2.3 we obtain

By continuity of the quotient map and lemma 2.2 we have

$$(2.11) ||u|_{\partial D_0}||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus D_0)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus D_0)} \le C ||\nabla u||_{L^p(\Omega \setminus D_0)}$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since the boundary norms on ∂D_0 from different sides are comparable — in fact both are comparable to the Besov norm on $B_{p,p}^{1-1/p}(\partial D_0)$ — we have

(2.12)
$$\|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^{p}(D_{0})} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega \setminus D_{0})}$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Let now (u_k) be a minimizing sequence of E in S_f . Using the estimate (2.12) and $u_k - f \in S_0$, we get

so for some other constant C' we have

It follows from the assumption on $\log \sigma$ that $\sigma|_{\Omega \setminus D_0} \ge \lambda$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Therefore

(2.15)
$$\lambda^{-1/p} E(u_k)^{1/p} \ge \|\nabla u_k\|_{L^p(\Omega \setminus D_0)} \\ \ge \|\nabla (u_k - f)\|_{L^p(\Omega \setminus D_0)} - \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega \setminus D_0)} \\ \ge C' \|\nabla (\overline{u_k - f})\|_{L^p(D_0)} - \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega \setminus D_0)}.$$

Since $E(u_k)$ is bounded, this estimate guarantees that also the sequence $(\overline{u_k - f})$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore there is a subsequence (which

we denote by the sequence itself) which converges weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Let $u_0 - f$ be the limit function.

The energy functional E(u) is just a weighted Dirichlet energy of $u|_{\Omega\setminus(D_0\cup D_\infty)}$ with weight bounded away from zero and infinity. Such functionals are weakly lower semicontinuous and $\overline{u_k-f}$ converges weakly to u_0-f also in $W^{1,p}(\Omega\setminus(D_0\cup D_\infty))$ (with the functions restricted appropriately). Therefore

$$(2.16) E(u_0) \le \lim_{k \to \infty} E(u_k),$$

so u_0 indeed minimizes the energy.

Suppose there are two minimizers u_0 and v_0 of E in S_f . Since the functions are distinct, they must differ in $\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty)$, and so the set $\{x \in \Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty); \nabla u_0(x) \neq \nabla v_0(x)\}$ must have positive measure. By strict convexity of $t \mapsto t^p$ this implies $E(\frac{1}{2}u_0 + \frac{1}{2}v_0) < \frac{1}{2}E(u_0) + \frac{1}{2}E(v_0)$. But this is impossible because u_0 and v_0 minimize the energy, so $u_0 = v_0$ as elements in S_f .

The fact that our unique minimizer solves the PDE follows from standard techniques in the calculus of variations. \Box

We remark that the weak limit of a locally uniformly bounded sequence of p-harmonic functions is p-harmonic.¹ Therefore the minimizer constructed in the proof above is p-harmonic in D_0 at least if f is bounded. It is also p-harmonic (weighted with σ) in $\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty)$, but it need not be p-harmonic in any sense across ∂D_0 . Using p-harmonic extensions to D_0 was just a matter of convenience; the values in D_0 are irrelevant for the energy. All minimizers are p-harmonic in D_∞ since the gradient must vanish identically there.

The variational problem also leads to a PDE in the whole domain Ω despite σ being zero or infinite as we shall see next, as we provide a weak formulation for the equation $\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$.

Theorem 2.7. Let Ω and σ be as in theorem 2.4. Fix any $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and only consider the functions satisfying the constraint $u-f \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$. Such a function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ minimizes the energy functional E if and only if $\nabla u = 0$ in D_{∞} and

(2.17)
$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi = 0$$

for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfying $\nabla \phi = 0$ on D_{∞} .

Proof. Let us again denote $A = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega); \nabla u|_{D_{\infty}} = 0\}$ and in addition $A_0 = A \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Suppose u is a minimizer of E in $\tilde{f} + A_0$,

 $^{^{1}}$ Every locally uniformly bounded family of weak solutions to the p-Laplace equation is equicontinuous [29, Theorem 6.12] and thus by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem has a uniformly convergent subsequence. A locally uniform limit of solutions is still a solution [29, Theorem 3.78]. A uniform limit is also a weak $W^{1,p}$ limit.

where $f - \tilde{f} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla \tilde{f} = 0$ in D_{∞} . Take any $\phi \in A_0$. Now

(2.18)
$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} E(u + t\phi) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\Omega} \sigma \left| \nabla (u + t\phi) \right|^{p} \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \sigma \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left| \nabla (u + t\phi) \right|^{p} \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= p \int_{\Omega} \sigma \left| \nabla u \right|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi.$$

Commuting differentiation and integration is possible by the dominated convergence theorem and the mean value theorem for the map $t \mapsto |\nabla(u+t\phi)|^p$.

Conversely, suppose (2.17) holds for all $\phi \in A_0$. The map $\mathbb{R}^n \ni \xi \mapsto |\xi|^p \in \mathbb{R}$ is convex and smooth outside the origin, so

$$(2.19) |\nabla u(x)|^{p} + p |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \le |\nabla (u + \phi)(x)|^{p}$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. Integrating this with weight σ and using (2.17), we have $E(u) \leq E(u + \phi)$ for all $\phi \in A_0$.

We also have a result for general ϕ – not just $\phi \in A_0$ – by formal integration by parts.

Remark 2.8. The minimizer of theorem 2.7 also satisfies²

(2.20)
$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi = \int_{\partial D_{\infty}} \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\partial_{\nu} u) \phi$$

for any $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, provided that the integral over the boundary is well-defined. This is true, for example, when $\sigma \in C(\Omega \setminus (D_0 \cup D_\infty))$.

Remark 2.9. Given the boundary values, a minimizer u of the energy E is not unique, but the function $\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u$ is unique. This is the only quantity appearing in the weak Euler–Lagrange equation (2.17). In fact, this function is in $L^{p'}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ because $\nabla u = 0$ whenever $\sigma = \infty$, with the conjugate exponent p' defined by

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1.$$

Remark 2.10. We can also formulate the partial differential equation $\operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0$ (or in weak form (2.17)) in the domain $\Omega \setminus (\bar{D}_0 \cup \bar{D}_\infty)$. We only need to find the correct boundary conditions on ∂D_0 and ∂D_∞ .

Let C be a connected component of D_{∞} . Since ∇u must vanish in C, u is constant on C, but there is also another condition. We can

²The unit normal ν is pointing outward from the perspective of $\Omega \setminus D_{\infty}$. This means towards the interior of D_{∞} .

choose a function $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}$ so that $\phi \equiv 1$ on C and $\phi \equiv 0$ in $D_{\infty} \setminus C$. Comparing the two equations in theorem 2.7 (or integrating by parts), we observe that $\int_{\partial C} \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u = 0$. Let us then find the boundary conditions on ∂D_0 . To that end, we

take an arbitrary test function $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ vanishing in D_{∞} for weak Euler-Lagrange equation (2.17). Since σ vanishes in D_0 , the integral over Ω in (2.17) is in fact an integral over $\Omega \setminus D_0$. Integration by parts gives $\int_{\partial D_0} \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\partial_{\nu} u) \phi = 0$. If this is to hold for all such ϕ , we obtain the Neumann boundary condition $\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u = 0$ on ∂D_0 .

Therefore the equation of theorem 2.7 can be reformulated as

(2.21)
$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus (\bar{D}_0 \cup \bar{D}_\infty) \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial D_0 \\ \text{for each component } C \text{ of } D_\infty \end{cases} \begin{cases} u|_C = \text{constant} \\ \int_{\partial C} \sigma |\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_{\nu} u = 0. \end{cases}$$

The constant may be different for different components and the constant values depend on the boundary data f.

The next lemma shows that the minimal energy depends monotonically on the conductivity σ . We remind the reader that the functions u_{σ} and u_{γ} in the lemma are not unique but the minimal energy is.

Lemma 2.11. Suppose σ and γ are conductivities satisfying the assumptions of theorem 2.4 with $\sigma \leq \gamma$ almost everywhere. Fix some $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and let u_{σ} and u_{γ} solve the p-conductivity equation in the sense of theorem 2.4 with the boundary values f and conductivities σ and γ , respectively. Then

(2.22)
$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma(x) |\nabla u_{\sigma}|^{p} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x) |\nabla u_{\gamma}|^{p} dx.$$

Proof. We define $E_{\gamma} \colon W^{1,p}(\Omega) \to [0,\infty]$ by

(2.23)
$$E_{\gamma}(v) = \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x) |\nabla v|^p dx$$

and E_{σ} similarly. For every $v \in f + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we have $E_{\sigma}(v) \leq E_{\gamma}(v)$. Taking the infimimum over $v \in f + W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ gives $E_{\sigma}(u_{\sigma}) \leq E_{\gamma}(u_{\gamma})$ as desired. The minimizers exist by theorem 2.4.

2.2. The weak Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. We can now define the weak Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (DN map). We assume Ω and σ to be as in theorems 2.4 and 2.7 above. The simpler case where $D_0 = D_{\infty} = \emptyset$ was treated in [49, 28]. Let $X = W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and X' be its dual. The DN map $\Lambda_{\sigma} \colon X \to X'$ is defined by

(2.24)
$$\langle \Lambda_{\sigma} f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sigma \left| \nabla \bar{f} \right|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{f} \cdot \nabla \bar{g},$$

where $\bar{f} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is any minimizer of the energy functional E with boundary values $f \in X$ and $\bar{g} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is an extension of $g \in X$ with $\nabla \bar{g} = 0$ in D_{∞} . Since $\bar{D}_{\infty} \cap \partial \Omega = \emptyset$, there always exists such an extension \bar{g} , and the existence of a minimizer \bar{f} follows from theorem 2.4.

Under the same assumptions we also have

(2.25)
$$\langle \Lambda_{\sigma} f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega \setminus D_{\infty}} \sigma \left| \nabla \bar{f} \right|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{f} \cdot \nabla \bar{g}.$$

For a sufficiently nice conductivity σ we can use arbitrary extensions $\bar{g} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$; their gradient does not have to vanish in D_{∞} . See remark 2.8 for more details. The DN map $\Lambda_{\sigma} \colon X \to X'$ has an extra term in such cases:

$$(2.26) \qquad \langle \Lambda_{\sigma} f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sigma \left| \nabla \bar{f} \right|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{f} \cdot \nabla \bar{g} - \int_{\partial D_{\infty}} \sigma \left| \nabla \bar{f} \right|^{p-2} (\partial_{\nu} \bar{f}) \bar{g},$$

where $\bar{f} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is any minimizer of the energy functional E with boundary values $f \in X$ and $\bar{g} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is any extension of $g \in X$.

Let us see why the DN map is well-defined. As pointed out in remark 2.9, the minimizer \bar{f} is not unique, but $\sigma \left| \nabla \bar{f} \right|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{f}$ is. To see that the definition is independent of the choice of \bar{g} , we need to show that the above expression for $\langle \Lambda_{\sigma} f, g \rangle$ vanishes when $\bar{g} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla \bar{g} = 0$ in D_{∞} or the extra integral over ∂D_{∞} is present. But this is just the claim of theorem 2.7 and remark 2.8.

Linearity of $\Lambda_{\sigma}f$ as a functional on X is evident (although $f \mapsto \Lambda_{\sigma}f$ is linear if and only if p=2). To see that $\Lambda_{\sigma}f$ is continuous consider the estimate

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \sigma \left| \nabla \bar{f} \right|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{f} \cdot \nabla \bar{g} \right| \leq C \left\| \nabla \bar{f} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p-1} \|\bar{g}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

which gives

The constant C depends on σ but not on f.

3. ENCLOSURE METHOD FOR p-CALDERÓN PROBLEM

For any subset $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we define the (convex) support function $h_D \colon \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ as

(3.1)
$$h_D(\rho) = \sup_{x \in D} x \cdot \rho.$$

We will use specific solutions that are oscillating in one direction and have exponential behaviour in a perpendicular direction. The solutions were first introduced by Wolff [57, section 3] (see also [40]) and later applied to inverse problems by Salo and Zhong [49, section 3].

Definition 3.1 (Wolff solutions). For directions $\rho, \rho^{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, parameters $t \in \mathbb{R}, \tau > 0$, and for points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define the functions

(3.2)
$$u(x, \tau, t, \rho, \rho^{\perp}) = \exp\left(\tau \left(x \cdot \rho - t\right)\right) w \left(\tau x \cdot \rho^{\perp}\right),$$

where w is defined in lemma 3.2. When $\rho, \rho^{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $|\rho| = |\rho^{\perp}| = 1$ and $\rho \cdot \rho^{\perp} = 0$, we call them the Wolff solutions to the p-Laplace equation. We also write $f = u|_{\partial\Omega}$.

The solutions are p-harmonic:

Lemma 3.2. Let $\rho, \rho^{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $|\rho| = |\rho^{\perp}| = 1$ and $\rho \cdot \rho^{\perp} = 0$. Define $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by $h(x) = e^{-\rho \cdot x} w(\rho^{\perp} \cdot x)$, where the function w solves the differential equation

(3.3)
$$w''(s) + V(w, w')w = 0$$

with

(3.4)
$$V(w,w') = \frac{(2p-3)(w')^2 + (p-1)w^2}{(p-1)(w')^2 + w^2},$$

The function h is then p-harmonic.

Given any initial conditions $(a_0, b_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ there exists a solution $w \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ to the differential equation (3.3) which is periodic with period $\lambda_p > 0$, satisfies the initial conditions $(w(0), w'(0)) = (a_0, b_0)$, satisfies $\int_0^{\lambda_p} w(s) ds = 0$, and furthermore there exist constants c and C depending on a_0, b_0, p such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

(3.5)
$$C > w(s)^2 + w'(s)^2 > c > 0.$$

For proof see [49, Lemma 3.1] and [10, Lemma 3.1]. In particular the gradient of the Wolff solutions is

(3.6)
$$\nabla u = \tau \exp\left(\tau(x \cdot \rho - t)\right) \left(\rho w \left(\tau x \cdot \rho^{\perp}\right) + \rho^{\perp} w' \left(\tau x \cdot \rho^{\perp}\right)\right).$$

In this section we assume that the conductivity σ is constant 1 outside the possibly empty open Lipschitz sets $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(\{0\})$ and $D_{\infty} = \sigma^{-1}(\{\infty\})$. Note that this conductivity function satisfies the assumptions of theorem 2.4, so the forward problem is well-posed. For definition of the DN map Λ_{σ} , see section 2.2.

Definition 3.3 (Indicator function). Let f denote the Wolff solutions defined in definition 3.1. Then we define the indicator function I by

(3.7)
$$I\left(t,\tau,\rho,\rho^{\perp}\right) = \tau^{n-p} \left\langle \left(\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_{\emptyset}\right) f, f\right\rangle,$$

where Λ_{\emptyset} is the DN map associated with no obstacle and conductivity 1. We use the shorthand notation $(\Lambda_{\sigma} - \Lambda_{\emptyset})f := \Lambda_{\sigma}f - \Lambda_{\emptyset}f$ although the DN maps are non-linear. As we keep the directions ρ and ρ^{\perp} fixed, we often omit them from our notation and write the indicator function simply as $I(t,\tau)$.

We record the following equality, which follows from the definition of the Wolff solutions (definition 3.1) and the indicator function (definition 3.3).

Lemma 3.4.
$$I(t,\tau) = \exp(2\tau(h_D(\rho) - t))I(h_D(\rho),\tau).$$

Recall that $h_D(\rho)$ is the convex support function.

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of the lower bound:

Lemma 3.5. Suppose $1 and <math>D \subset \Omega$ has Lipschitz boundary. Then, for sufficiently large $\tau > 0$, we have

(3.8)
$$\int_{D} \exp\left(-p\tau \left(h_{D}(\rho) - x \cdot \rho\right)\right) dx \ge C\tau^{-n}.$$

For proof, see [10, Lemma 4.7].

Lemma 3.6. Suppose $D_{\infty} = \emptyset$ and D_0 has Lipschitz boundary. Then

(3.9)
$$|I(h_{D_0}(\rho), \tau)| > C > 0$$

for sufficiently large τ .

Proof. We write $D = D_0$.

Note that $\partial D_{\infty} = \emptyset$ and so the indicator function can be rewritten as

(3.10)
$$I(t,\tau) = \tau^{n-p} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_z|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right),$$

where u_z solves the Zaremba problem (see equation (2.21))

(3.11)
$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_z|^{p-2} \nabla u_z) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D} \\ u_z = f & \text{on } \partial \Omega \\ |\nabla u_z|^{p-2} \nabla u_z \cdot \nu = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \end{cases}$$

and u are the Wolff solutions.

By theorem 2.7 we get

(3.12)
$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_z|^{p-2} \nabla u_z \cdot \nabla (u_z - u) dx = 0,$$

since $u|_{\partial\Omega} = u_z|_{\partial\Omega} = f$. For 1 , we now recall the following inequality

(3.13)
$$|\eta|^{p} \ge |\zeta|^{p} + p|\zeta|^{p-2} \zeta \cdot (\eta - \zeta),$$

for all $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Replacing η by ∇u and ζ by ∇u_z in the above inequality and then integrating over $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$, we obtain

(3.14)
$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_z|^p \, dx + p \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_z|^{p-2} \, \nabla u_z \cdot \nabla (u - u_z) \, dx.$$

Finally, using (3.12) we have

(3.15)
$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_z|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \le \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Therefore, the estimate for the indicator function becomes

$$I(t,\tau) = \tau^{n-p} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_z|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right)$$

$$\leq \tau^{n-p} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right)$$

$$= -\tau^{n-p} \int_{D} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

that is,

$$(3.17) -I(t,\tau) \ge \tau^{n-p} \int_D |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Hence, combining the gradient of Wolff functions (3.6) and lemma 3.5 we obtain at $t = h_D(\rho)$

$$|I(h_D(\rho), \tau)| \ge C\tau^{n-p} \int_D \tau^p e^{-p\tau(h_D(\rho) - x \cdot \rho)} dx$$

$$\ge C \int_D \tau^n e^{-p\tau(h_D(\rho) - x \cdot \rho)} dx$$

$$\ge C > 0.$$

This estimate concludes the proof.

Lemma 3.7. Suppose $D_0 = \emptyset$ and D_{∞} has Lipschitz boundary. Then (3.19) $|I(h_{D_{\infty}}(\rho), \tau)| > C > 0$

for sufficiently large τ .

Proof. We write $D = D_{\infty}$. Recall that

(3.20)
$$\langle \Lambda_D f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\infty} \cdot \nabla \phi dx$$

where u_{∞} satisfies (see equation (2.21))

(3.21)
$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_{\infty}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\infty}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D} \\ u_{\infty} = \text{constant} & \text{in each component of } D \\ \int_{\partial D} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{\infty}}{\partial \nu} = 0 \\ u_{\infty} = f & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

and $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is an extension of $g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\phi|_{\partial\Omega} = g$ and $\nabla \phi = 0$ in D_{∞} . Recall that u is the Wolff solution for the p-Laplacian and u = f on $\partial\Omega$. Replacing ϕ in (3.20) by u_{∞} we obtain

(3.22)
$$\langle \Lambda_D f, f \rangle = \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^p \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

On the other hand, for the free DN map we write

(3.23)
$$\langle \Lambda_{\emptyset} f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx$$

where $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is an extension of g with $g = \phi|_{\partial\Omega} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)/W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Since $u = u_{\infty} = f$ on $\partial\Omega$, by replacing ϕ in (3.23) by u or u_{∞} we get

(3.24)
$$\langle \Lambda_{\emptyset} f, f \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{\infty} dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p} dx.$$

Therefore,

(3.25)

$$\langle (\Lambda_D - \Lambda_{\emptyset}) f, f \rangle = \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= (p-1) \int_{D} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$- \left[p \int_{D} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^p \, \mathrm{d}x \right]$$

$$= (p-1) \int_{D} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x - \mathcal{I}.$$

Now, by using $\nabla u_{\infty} = 0$ in D and the identity (3.24), we get

(3.26)

$$\mathcal{I} = p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^p \, dx + (1 - p) \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u|^p \, dx$$

$$= p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \, \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{\infty} dx - \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^p \, dx - (p-1) \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u|^p \, dx$$

$$= p \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \, \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{\infty} dx - \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u_{\infty}|^p \, dx - (p-1) \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{D}} |\nabla u|^p \, dx.$$

Replacing η by ∇u_{∞} and ζ by ∇u in the inequality

we obtain that $\mathcal{I} \leq 0$, i.e.,

$$(3.28) I_{\rho}(t,\tau) = \tau^{n-p} \left\langle (\Lambda_D - \Lambda_{\emptyset})f, f \right\rangle \ge (p-1)\tau^{n-p} \int_{D} |\nabla u|^p \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

By the properties of the Wolff solutions (3.6) we get

(3.29)
$$I_{\rho}(h_D(\rho), \tau) \ge C\tau^n \int_D \exp\left(-p\tau \left(h_D(\rho) - x \cdot \rho\right)\right) dx.$$

Lemma 3.5 suffices to finish the proof.

Theorem 3.8 (Lower bound for the indicator function). When $t < h_D(\rho)$ and either $D_0 = \emptyset$ or $D_\infty = \emptyset$, and the non-empty D has Lipschitz boundary, then there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that for sufficiently large τ we have

$$(3.30) |I(t,\tau)| \ge C_1 \exp(C_2 \tau).$$

Furthermore, the sign of the indicator function depends on the nonempty D; if D_0 is non-empty, then the indicator function is negative, and if D_{∞} is non-empty, then the indicator function is positive.

Proof. By lemmata 3.7 and 3.6 the indicator function at time h_D is bounded from below. By lemma 3.4 the main part of the theorem holds.

The sign of the indicator function agrees with the sign of

$$(3.31) \qquad \langle (\Lambda_D - \Lambda_{\emptyset}) f, f \rangle.$$

where the f are the Wolff solutions. That is, we want to prove

$$(3.32) \qquad \langle \Lambda_D f, f \rangle \ge \langle \Lambda_{\emptyset} f, f \rangle$$

when $D = D_{\infty}$ and the opposite inequality when $D = D_0$. On the left-hand side, let the extension of f solve the equation with the inclusion D and on the right-hand side without it. The desired estimate then follows from lemma 2.11.

Corollary 3.9. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and bounded with a priori known constant conductivity σ outside an obstacle $D = D_0 \cup D_\infty$. Suppose $\sigma \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$ is measurable with $D_0 = \sigma^{-1}(\{0\})$ and $D_\infty = \sigma^{-1}(\{\infty\})$. Suppose either D_0 or D_∞ is empty, the sets $\partial\Omega$, $\overline{D_0}$ and $\overline{D_\infty}$ are pairwise disjoint, the set D has Lipschitz boundary, and $\sigma|_{\Omega\setminus\overline{D}}$ is constant.

Then we can find a set D', which is a superset of the convex hull of D. Furthermore, we can detect whether D_0 or D_{∞} is non-empty.

References

- [1] Giovanni Alessandrini and Alvaro Diaz Valenzuela. Unique determination of multiple cracks by two measurements. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 34(3):913–921, May 1996.
- [2] Stanislav N. Antontsev and José F. Rodrigues. On stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows. Annali dell'Universita di Ferrara, 52(1):19–36, 2006.
- [3] Gunnar Aronsson. On *p*-harmonic functions, convex duality and an asymptotic formula for injection mould filling. *European Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 7:417–437, October 1996.
- [4] Kari Astala, Matti Lassas, and Lassi Päivärinta. The borderlines of the invisibility and visibility for Calderon's inverse problem. arXiv preprint arXiv:1109.2749, September 2011.
- [5] Kari Astala and Lassi Päivärinta. Calderón's inverse conductivity problem in the plane. *Annals of Mathematics*, 163(1):265–299, 2006.
- [6] Colin Atkinson and C. R. Champion. Some boundary-value problems for the equation $\nabla \cdot (|\nabla \phi|^N \nabla \phi)$. The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics, 37(3):401–419, August 1984.

- [7] Luigi C. Berselli, Lars Diening, and Michael Růžička. Existence of strong solutions for incompressible fluids with shear dependent viscosities. *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, 12(1):101–132, 2010.
- [8] D. Borman, D. B. Ingham, B. T. Johansson, and D. Lesnic. The method of fundamental solutions for detection of cavities in EIT. J. Integral Equations Applications, 21(3):383–406, 09 2009.
- [9] Tommi Brander. Calderón problem for the p-Laplacian: First order derivative of conductivity on the boundary. Proceedings of American mathematical society, (144):177–189, January 2016. Preprint arXiv:1403.0428.
- [10] Tommi Brander, Manas Kar, and Mikko Salo. Enclosure method for the *p*-Laplace equation. *Inverse Problems*, 31(4):045001, 16, February 2015. Preprint arXiv:1410.4048.
- [11] Martin Brühl. Gebietserkennung in der elektrischen Impedanztomographie. PhD thesis, Universität Karlsruhe, January 1999.
- [12] Paulo R. Bueno, José A. Varela, and Elson Longo. SnO₂, ZnO and related polycrystalline compound semiconductors: An overview and review on the voltage-dependent resistance (non-ohmic) feature. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 28(3):505–529, 2008.
- [13] Fioralba Cakoni and David Colton. Qualitative methods in inverse scattering theory. Interaction of Mechanics and Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [14] Fioralba Cakoni, M'Barek Fares, and Houssem Haddar. Analysis of two linear sampling methods applied to electromagnetic imaging of buried objects. *Inverse Problems*, 22(3):845, May 2006.
- [15] Alberto Pedro Calderón. Lebesgue spaces of differentiable functions and distributions. In Partial differential equations, volume 4 of Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, pages 33–49, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, 1961. American mathematical society.
- [16] Alberto Pedro Calderón. On an inverse boundary value problem. In W.H. Meyer and M.A. Raupp, editors, Seminar on numerical analysis and its applications to continuum physics, pages 65–73. Sociedade Brasileira de Matematica, 1980. Reprinted as [17].
- [17] Alberto Pedro Calderón. On an inverse boundary problem. Computation and applied mathematics, 25(2–3):133–138, 2006. Reprint of [16].
- [18] Pedro Caro and Keith Rogers. Global uniqueness for the Calderón problem with Lipschitz conductivities. *arXiv*, November 2014.
- [19] David Colton and Andreas Kirsch. A simple method for solving inverse scattering problems in the resonance region. *Inverse Problems*, 12(4):383–393, 1996.
- [20] Avner Friedman and Michael Vogelius. Identification of small inhomogeneities of extreme conductivity by boundary measurements: a theorem on continuous dependence. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 105(4):299–326, December 1989.
- [21] Adriana Garroni and Robert V. Kohn. Some three—dimensional problems related to dielectric breakdown and polycrystal plasticity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 459(2038):2613–2625, 2003.
- [22] Adriana Garroni, Vincenzo Nesi, and Marcello Ponsiglione. Dielectric breakdown: optimal bounds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 457(2014):2317–2335, 2001.
- [23] Bastian Gebauer, Martin Hanke, Andreas Kirsch, Wagner Muniz, and Christoph Schneider. A sampling method for detecting buried objects using electromagnetic scattering. *Inverse Problems*, 21(6):2035, November 2005.

- [24] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, volume 224 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1983.
- [25] Roland Glowinski and Jacques Rappaz. Approximation of a nonlinear elliptic problem arising in a non-Newtonian fluid flow model in glaciology. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 37:175–186, January 2003.
- [26] Yuliya Gorb and Alexei Novikov. Blow-up of solutions to a *p*-Laplace equation. *Multiscale Model. Simul.*, 10(3):727–743, 2012.
- [27] Chang-Yu Guo, Manas Kar, and Mikko Salo. Inverse problems for *p*-Laplace type equations under monotonicity assumptions. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2016.
- [28] Daniel Hauer. The *p*-Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator with applications to elliptic and parabolic problems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 259(8):3615–3655, October 2015.
- [29] Juha Heinonen, Tero Kilpeläinen, and Olli Martio. Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, Oxford, 1993. Oxford Science Publications.
- [30] Martín I. Idiart. The macroscopic behavior of power-law and ideally plastic materials with elliptical distribution of porosity. *Mechanics Research Commu*nications, 35(8):583–588, 2008.
- [31] Masaru Ikehata. Reconstruction of the shape of the inclusion by boundary measurements. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 23(7-8):1459–1474, 1998.
- [32] Masaru Ikehata. How to draw a picture of an unknown inclusion from boundary measurements. Two mathematical inversion algorithms. *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, 7(3):255–271, January 1999.
- [33] Victor Isakov. On uniqueness of recovery of a discontinuous conductivity coefficient. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 41(7):865–877, 1988.
- [34] Hyeonbae Kang, Mikyoung Lim, and KiHyun Yun. Asymptotics and computation of the solution to the conductivity equation in the presence of adjacent inclusions with extreme conductivities. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 99(2):234–249, February 2013.
- [35] J.R. King and G. Richardson. The Hele-Shaw injection problem for an extremely shear-thinning fluid. *European Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 26:563–594, October 2015.
- [36] Andreas Kirsch and Natalia Grinberg. The factorization method for inverse problems, volume 36 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [37] Rainer Kress and William Rundell. Nonlinear integral equations and the iterative solution for an inverse boundary value problem. *Inverse Problems*, 21(4):1207, May 2005.
- [38] Olga A. Ladyzhenskaya and N. N. Ural'tseva. *Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations*. Mathematics in Science and Engineering: a series of monographs and textbooks. Academic Press, 1968.
- [39] Ohad Levy and Robert V. Kohn. Duality relations for non-Ohmic composites, with applications to behavior near percolation. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 90(1–2):159–189, 1998.
- [40] John L. Lewis. Note on a theorem of Wolff. In Holomorphic Functions and Moduli I, volume 10 of Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, pages 93–100. Springer US, 1988.

- [41] Peter Lindqvist. Notes on the p-Laplace equation, volume 102 of Reports of University of Jyväskylä Department of Mathematics and Statistics. University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland, 2006.
- [42] Amir Moradifam, Adrian Nachman, and Alexandru Tamasan. Conductivity imaging from one interior measurement in the presence of perfectly conducting and insulating inclusions. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 44(6):3969–3990, November 2012.
- [43] Alexandre Munnier and Karim Ramdani. Conformal mapping for cavity inverse problem: an explicit reconstruction formula. September 2015. arXiv:1509.02693.
- [44] Gen Nakamura, Gunther Uhlmann, and Jenn-Nan Wang. Oscillating-decaying solutions, Runge approximation property for the anisotropic elasticity system and their applications to inverse problems. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), 84(1):21–54, 2005.
- [45] Pedro Ponte Castañeda and Pierre Suquet. Nonlinear composites. Advances in Applied Mechanics, 34(998):171–302, 1998.
- [46] Pedro Ponte Castañeda and J. R. Willis. Variational second-order estimates for nonlinear composites. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 455(1985):1799–1811, 1999.
- [47] Roland Potthast. Sampling and probe methods—an algorithmical view. Computing, 75(2-3):215–235, 2005.
- [48] Michael Růžička. Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory. Number 1748 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [49] Mikko Salo and Xiao Zhong. An inverse problem for the *p*-Laplacian: Boundary determination. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 44(4):2474–2495, March 2012.
- [50] Susanne Schmitt. Detection and characterization of inclusions in impedance tomography. PhD thesis, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, December 2010.
- [51] P.M. Suquet. Overall potentials and extremal surfaces of power law or ideally plastic composites. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 41(6):981– 1002, 1993.
- [52] D. R. S. Talbot and J. R. Willis. Upper and lower bounds for the overall properties of a nonlinear composite dielectric. I. Random microgeometry. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 447(1930):365–384, 1994. With second part [53].
- [53] D. R. S. Talbot and J. R. Willis. Upper and lower bounds for the overall properties of a nonlinear composite dielectric. II. Periodic microgeometry. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 447(1930):385–396, 1994. With first part [52].
- [54] Gunther Uhlmann. Electrical impedance tomography and Calderón's problem. *Inverse problems*, 25(12):123011, 2009.
- [55] Bastian von Harrach. Recent progress on the factorization method for electrical impedance tomography. Comput. Math. Methods Med., 2013:Art. ID 425184, 8, 2013.
- [56] Bastian von Harrach and Marcel Ullrich. Monotonicity-based shape reconstruction in electrical impedance tomography. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 45(6):3382–3403, 2013.
- [57] Thomas H. Wolff. Gap series constructions for the *p*-Laplacian. *Journal d'Analyse Mathematique*, 102(1):371–394, August 2007. Preprint written in 1984.