

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Popovic, Relja; Fabre, Margarete; Schutzman, Jennifer; Kulkarni, Diptee; Porello, Alessandro; Loboda, Andrey; Lehtonen, Heli; McDonough, Stefan; Vuoti, Sauli; Kaarniranta, Kai; Turunen, Joni A.; Ollila, Terhi; Uusitalo, Hannu; Karjalainen, Juha; Liu, Mengzhen; Loomis, Stephanie; Strauss, Erich; Chen, Hao; Tasanen, Kaisa; Huilaja, Laura; Hannula-Jouppi, Katariina; Salmi, Teea; Peltonen, Sirkku;

Title: Novel loci and biomedical consequences of iron homoeostasis variation

Year: 2024

Version: Published version

Copyright: © The Author(s) 2024

Rights: CC BY 4.0

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Please cite the original version:

Popovic, R., Fabre, M., Schutzman, J., Kulkarni, D., Porello, A., Loboda, A., Lehtonen, H., McDonough, S., Vuoti, S., Kaarniranta, K., Turunen, J. A., Ollila, T., Uusitalo, H., Karjalainen, J., Liu, M., Loomis, S., Strauss, E., Chen, H., Tasanen, K., . . . Di Angelantonio, E. (2024). Novel loci and biomedical consequences of iron homoeostasis variation. Communications Biology, 7, Article 1631. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-07115-3

0

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-07115-3

Novel loci and biomedical consequences of iron homoeostasis variation

Check for updates

Elias Allara (0^{1,2,3,146}, Steven Bell (0^{4,5,146}, Rebecca Smith^{1,3}, Spencer J. Keene^{1,3}, Dipender Gill (0⁶, Liam Gaziano (1,3,7,8, Deisy Morselli Gysi^{7,9,10}, Feiyi Wang (1, Vinicius Tragante (1, Amy Mason (1,3, Savita Karthikeyan^{1,3}, R. Thomas Lumbers 🕲 ¹³, Emmanuela Bonglack^{1,3,14}, Willem Ouwehand^{15,16,17,18}, David J. Roberts^{19,20}, Joseph Dowsett ²¹, Sisse Rye Ostrowski ^{21,22}, Margit Hørup Larsen²¹, Henrik Ullum²³, Ole Birger Pedersen 1^{22,24}, Søren Brunak 1²⁵, Karina Banasik 1²⁵, Christian Erikstrup 1²⁶, DBDS Genomic Consortium*, Jonathan Mitchell²⁷, Christian Fuchsberger²⁷, Cristian Pattaro²⁷, Peter P. Pramstaller 10^{27,28}, Domenico Girelli 10²⁹, Mikko Arvas 10³⁰, Jarkko Toivonen 10³⁰, Sophie Molnos^{31,32}, Annette Peters^{32,33,34}, Ozren Polasek ³⁵, Igor Rudan³⁶, Caroline Hayward ³⁷, Ciara McDonnell^{36,38}, Nicola Pirastu 1 36,39, James F. Wilson 1 36,37, Katja van den Hurk^{40,41}, Franke Quee^{40,41}, Luigi Ferrucci 🖲 42, Stefania Bandinelli 43, Toshiko Tanaka 42, Giorgia Girotto 🖻 44,45, Maria Pina Concas 🖲 44, Alessandro Pecori⁴⁴, Niek Verweij^{46,47}, Pim van der Harst^{46,48}, Yordi J. van de Vegte 🖲 ⁴⁶, Lambertus A. Kiemeney ^{14,50}, Fred C. Sweep ⁵¹, Tessel E. Galesloot⁴⁹, Patrick Sulem¹², Daniel Gudbjartsson^{12,52}, Egil Ferkingstad¹², FinnGen Consortium^{*}, Luc Djousse^{7,8,10,53}, Kelly Cho^{7,8,10}, Michael Inouye (13,14,54,55,56, Stephen Burgess (13,14,57, Beben Benyamin (158,59, Konrad Oexle^{60,61}, Dorine Swinkels^{51,62}, Kari Stefansson^{12,63}, Magnus Magnusson D^{12,63}, Andrea Ganna D¹¹, Michael Gaziano^{7,8,10}, Kerry Ivey^{7,8,10}, John Danesh^{1,2,3,14,55,64,147}, Alexandre Pereira ^{(7,8,10,147}, Angela M. Wood (1,2,3,14,55,65,147, Adam S. Butterworth (1,2,3,14,55,147 & Emanuele Di Angelantonio D^{1,2,3,14,55,66,147}

Iron homoeostasis is tightly regulated, with hepcidin and soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR) playing significant roles. However, the genetic determinants of these traits and the biomedical consequences of iron homoeostasis variation are unclear. In a meta-analysis of 12 cohorts involving 91,675 participants, we found 43 genomic loci associated with either hepcidin or sTfR concentration, of which 15 previously unreported. Mapping to putative genes indicated involvement in iron-trait expression, erythropoiesis, immune response and cellular trafficking. Mendelian randomisation of 292 disease outcomes in 1,492,717 participants revealed associations of iron-related loci and iron status with selected health outcomes across multiple domains. These associations were largely driven by *HFE*, which was associated with the largest iron variation. Our findings enhance understanding of iron homoeostasis and its biomedical consequences, suggesting that lifelong exposure to higher iron levels is likely associated with lower risk of anaemia-related disorders and higher risk of genitourinary, musculoskeletal, infectious and neoplastic diseases.

Iron is essential for various biological functions, including respiration, energy production, DNA synthesis, and cell proliferation^{1,2}. Iron homoeostasis in healthy individuals is tightly regulated, with hepcidin and soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR) playing significant roles. Hepcidin, a liverproduced peptide hormone, regulates systemic iron levels by suppressing dietary iron absorption and recycling in response to elevated iron levels^{1,3}. sTfR, the circulating extracellular part of transferrin receptor 1, serves as a biomarker indicating iron demand relative to supply, although its biological function is largely unknown^{1,4}. Despite their relevance to iron homoeostasis and potential clinical utility for assessing iron status in adults¹, the genetic

A full list of affiliations appears at the end of the paper. *Lists of authors and their affiliations appear at the end of the paper. ed303@medschl.cam.ac.uk; ed303@medschl.cam.ac.uk

determinants of hepcidin and sTfR concentrations remain poorly understood^{5,6}, with previous large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWASs) primarily focusing on conventional clinical biomarkers such as serum iron, ferritin, transferrin saturation (TSAT), and either transferrin or total iron-binding capacity (TIBC)⁷⁻⁹.

Disruption in iron homoeostasis can cause iron deficiency and iron overload. Iron deficiency affects over two billion people worldwide¹, which underscores the need to understand its long-term consequences on population health. Although iron overload is less prevalent, its extreme form— hemochromatosis—can lead to severe clinical manifestations¹⁰. Previous research on iron-regulating pathways has primarily focused on exploring the long-term biomedical consequences of perturbations in HFE^{11} , a genetic locus involved in the aetiology of hemochromatosis. The long-term clinical associations of systemic iron status have been assessed in multiple observational studies^{12–19}, Mendelian randomisation (MR) investigations^{20–25}, and randomised trials^{26–30}, with uncertainty mainly arising from residual bias in observational studies, limited statistical power and pleiotropy in MR investigations, and the breadth of health outcomes analysed in randomised trials.

To enhance the understanding of the genetic regulation of hepcidin and sTfR, we combined data from 12 original GWASs. We identified and described 43 genomic loci, including 2 new loci associated with hepcidin and 13 new loci associated with sTfR that had not been reported in any previous GWAS of iron-related biomarkers. To address the uncertainties related to the long-term consequences of individual iron-regulating pathways and systemic iron status on health outcomes, we performed locus-based and polygenic phenome-wide MR analyses on 292 clinical outcomes in up to 1,492,717 participants from deCODE, FinnGen, the Million Veteran Programme (MVP) and UK Biobank (UKBB), and 47 biomedical traits in up to 860,060 participants from MVP and UKBB.

Results

Genetic predictors of hepcidin and sTfR

We included 12 cohorts with imputed genotype array data comprising up to 91,675 participants and 16,261,412 variants with assessments of hepcidin concentration and up to 45,330 participants and 13,606,859 variants with measurements of sTfR concentration (Fig. 1; Supplementary Data 1). Across participating cohorts, the mean age ranged between 40 and 67 years, and the percentage of female participants ranged between 47% and 61% (Supplementary Data 1). All studies included admixed European-ancestry participants. Using LD Score regression and the 1000 G EUR reference panel, common SNP-based heritability estimates were 4.1% for hepcidin and 16.5% for sTfR (by comparison, heritability ranged between 15-48% in recent GWASs of conventional iron biomarkers^{8,9}), and genetic associations were typically weaker for hepcidin compared to sTfR (Fig. 2A). Please note that we provide definitions of common genetic terminology in Table 1. Sensitivity analyses only adjusted for age and sex show similar results (Supplementary Information, page 9). Sensitivity analyses adjusted for C-reactive protein, in addition to the other covariates included in the main analysis, also show results similar to the main model (Supplementary Information, pages 9-10). Genetic and phenotypic correlations between hepcidin, sTfR and other iron traits (serum iron, ferritin, TSAT, TIBC) were broadly concordant (Fig. 2B; Supplementary Data 2).

We found 52 genome-wide significant ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), conditionally independent and uncorrelated ($r^2 < 0.01$) signals mapped to 43 loci (Table 2; Supplementary Data 3; Supplementary Data 4). Of these, we found 20 associations with hepcidin (mapped to 16 loci) and 32 associations with sTfR (27 loci). All these 16 loci are associated with hepcidin for the first time, and two of them have not been reported in previous GWASs of iron biomarkers⁷⁻⁹. Twenty-four loci are associated with sTfR for the first time and 13 are previously unreported in GWASs of iron biomarkers. In Supplementary Data 3, we annotate the studies where the loci were previously reported. Three loci (*DUOX2, HFE, TMPRSS6*) contained signals for both hepcidin and sTfR, suggesting some shared genetic aetiology for these two biomarkers. We found 13 out of 52 sentinel variants with the strongest evidence for association ($P < 1 \times 10^{-15}$) in known loci such as *DUOX2*, *HFE* and *PCSK7*, and two variants in new loci (rs116816795, $P = 3.13 \times 10^{-46}$, nearest gene: *NDFIP1*; rs885122, $P = 2.28 \times 10^{-18}$, *LVRN*) (Table 2; Supplementary Data 3; Supplementary Data 4), suggesting potential involvement of immune response (*LVRN*) in affecting hepcidin and a potential connection between iron import regulation (*NDFIP1*) and sTfR.

Among 17 of the 52 sentinel variants, or their strong proxies ($r^2 > 0.7$) at novel loci (Supplementary Data 3), 7 were missense, 6 were intronic, 2 were intergenic and 2 were downstream (Supplementary Data 5). Two of these variants at novel loci had a minor allele frequency (MAF) of <0.01 and both were associated with sTfR (rs143437464, intronic, and rs200307986, missense, near *TMEM181*).

Phenome-wide scans using PhenoScanner v.2 showed associations of multiple variants with a wide array of phenotypic traits across multiple domains. In addition to associations with haematologic traits (e.g., haemoglobin concentration, erythrocyte count), we also observed strong associations with traits relating to the cardiovascular system, autoimmune activity, infectious diseases, respiratory and hepatorenal function. Taken together, these results indicate involvement in multiple biological functions across several human body systems for nearly all the genetic variants associated with hepcidin and/or sTfR concentrations (Supplementary Data 6).

We mapped the 52 sentinel variants to 43 non-overlapping loci based on the nearest gene, of which 16 were associated with hepcidin and 27 with sTfR. We used colocalization with expression and protein quantitative trait loci to guide the selection of putative causal genes, in combination with evidence from functional studies (Supplementary Information, pp 7-8). Among the 16 candidate genes associated with hepcidin, we were able to annotate 14 putative causal genes based on either biology or a combination of colocalization and biology ('biologically plausible genes'), one gene based on colocalization only and one gene based on vicinity to the sentinel variant (Supplementary Data 7, Supplementary Data 8). Biologically plausible genes were involved in hepcidin synthesis (HAMP), iron-sensing and hepcidin modulation (AXIN1, HFE, TMPRSS6), iron absorption and recycling (DUOX2, FUT2, SLC11A2, SLC40A1), reaction to hypoxia and haematopoiesis (ARHGAP9/R3HDM2, EGLN3, IARS2, SOX7), and immune reaction to pathogens (LVRN, MPO) (Fig. 3A). Of these, two putative causal genes (ARHGAP9/R3HDM2 and LVRN) were not previously associated with iron traits. Among the 27 candidate genes annotated for sTfR, we were able to identify 19 biologically plausible genes (Supplementary Data 8), including genes involved in transferrin receptor synthesis, modulation, transport, degradation, recycling and shedding (GALNT6, MARCH8, PCSK7, PGS1, RPS6KB1, TFRC, TFR2, UBXN6), iron-sensing and hepcidin modulation (HFE, TMPRSS6, ZFPM1), intestinal iron absorption (DUOX2, NDFIP1), erythropoiesis (CPS1, HBS1L/MYB, HK1, IRS2, SLC22A5), and immune response (MFSD6) (Fig. 3A, B). Of these, 8 putative causal genes (IRS2, MARCH8, MFSD6, NDFIP1, PGS1, SLC22A5, UBXN6 and ZFPM1) were at loci previously not reported in GWASs of iron traits.

Putative causal effects of iron-related loci and iron status on disease outcomes and biomedical traits

To mitigate pleiotropy and collider bias when defining instruments for MR analysis, we first collated 197 genetic variants associated with either hepcidin or sTfR in this study, and with serum iron, ferritin, TSAT or TIBC in a previous study⁹ (Fig. 1). We then removed variants affected by horizontal pleiotropy (i.e. influencing non-iron traits via pathways not mediated by iron traits, such as *ABO*), indirect vertical pleiotropy (i.e. influencing iron traits, such as *ABO*), indirect vertical pleiotropy (i.e. influencing iron traits via pathways not mediated by iron traits, such as *ABO*), indirect vertical pleiotropy (i.e. influencing iron traits via pathways not mediated by iron traits, such as *F5*) and affected by collider bias (which can result in spurious genetic associations and invalid MR instruments) (Supplementary Data 9). For each non-pleiotropic and non-collider-biased variant associated with iron traits, we defined a 200 Kb region around its putative causal gene, selected conditionally independent variants using GCTA-COJO with summary statistics for the most strongly associated iron trait (Supplementary Data 10; Supplementary Data 11), and then performed *cis*-MR (i.e. locus-specific MR rescaled by genetic

Fig. 1 | Study overview. GWAS, genome-wide association study. sTfR soluble transferrin receptor, TSAT transferrin saturation, TIBC total ironbinding capacity, eQTL expression quantitative trait loci, pQTL protein quantitative trait loci, MR Mendelian randomisation, MVP Million Veteran Programme. The genetic variants from Moksnes 2022 were obtained from the paper's Supplementary Data 1.

associations with iron traits) and colocalization. We found Bonferronisignificant log-linear associations of 19 loci with 47 diseases in 1,492,717 deCODE, FinnGen, MVP, and UKBB participants (Supplementary Fig. 1A; Supplementary Data 12). Of these, we found evidence of colocalization for four loci and six diseases (Fig. 4A; Supplementary Fig. 1B; Supplementary Data 12; Supplementary Data 13), highlighting the usefulness of this method in addressing residual genetic confounding. HFE (rescaled by TSAT) and TMPRSS6 (iron) were strongly associated with inverse risk of irondeficiency anaemia. We also found that EPAS1 (TIBC) was inversely associated with hypertension and that SLC25A28 (ferritin) was positively associated with colorectal cancer and benign neoplasm of colon; however, no other iron-related loci were associated and colocalized with these diseases, suggesting that these effects may be driven by horizontal pleiotropy. These four loci were associated with multiple biomedical traits, showing evidence of positive association of HFE, TMPRSS6 and EPAS1 with haemoglobin and inverse associations of EPAS1 and HFE with total cholesterol,

suggesting that iron may play a role in affecting these traits via these loci, as well as several other associations of isolated loci with glycaemic, inflammatory, hepatorenal and other traits (Fig. 4B; Supplementary Fig. 2; Supplementary Data 12).

We then generated a polygenic instrument of systemic iron status composed by six variants mapped to ERFE, HAMP, HFE, SLC25A37, TFR2 and TMPRSS6 (Supplementary Data 11), that were not affected by horizontal pleiotropy, indirect vertical pleiotropy, or collider bias and that: (i) were associated $(P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$ with at least one trait; (ii) were nominally associated (P < 0.05) with all the other iron traits except for hepcidin (as its levels are influenced by systemic iron status); and (iii) displayed a direction of association consistent across all traits (e.g., positive for iron, ferritin, TSAT and negative for TIBC and sTfR). To reduce the impact of study-specific estimates that may disproportionately affect meta-analytic estimates, we present Bonferroni-significant and nominal results for diseases and traits having MR estimates with the same direction (regardless of their p value) in



New GWAS meta-analysis of hepcidin and sTfR

sTfR

CROATIA Vis

FinDonor_1

FinDonor 2

InCHIANTI

INTERVAL

Hepcidin

CHRIS

DBDS

deCODE

INGI-VB

INTERVAL

NBS

Previous genetic

discovery study of

common iron traits

(Moksnes et al, 2022)

Iron

Ferritin

Α



Fig. 2 | Results of GWAS meta-analysis of hepcidin and sTfR and correlations with common iron traits. A Miami plot for hepcidin (upper plot, N = 91,675 participants) and sTfR (lower plot, N = 45,330 participants). For each locus (N = 16 loci for hepcidin, N = 27 for sTfR), we show the candidate gene name for the sentinel variant with the lowest *p* value. B Genetic and phenotypic correlations between the

iron traits analysed in this study (hepcidin, sTfR) and those investigated in previous studies (ferritin, iron, TIBC, TSAT). Phenotypic correlations were estimated in the INTERVAL study (up to 40,197 participants). Genetic correlations were estimated using associations from the present study (hepcidin, sTfR; up to 91,675 participants) and Moksnes et al. 2022 (ferritin, iron, TIBC, TSAT; up to 257,953 participants).

-0.32

0.30

-0.28

0.27

TSAT

-0.52

-0.2

0.02

0.05

Table 1 | Glossary of genetic terms

Term	Acronym	Description
Colocalization	-	Statistical method used to determine whether two traits share a causal variant within a specific locus.
Expression quantitative trait locus	eQTL	Genetic loci that explain variation in mRNA expression levels.
Genome-wide association study	GWAS	Research approach for identifying genomic variants that are statistically linked to a particular trait.
Linkage disequilibrium	LD	Non-random association of alleles at different loci. It can be estimated statistically using the correlation coefficient between pairs of loci (r^2).
Locus	-	Specific position on a chromosome where a particular gene or genetic marker is located.
Mendelian randomization	MR	Statistical method for assessing causal relationships that tests whether genetic variants associated with an exposure (e.g., iron status) are also associated with an outcome (e.g., diseases or intermediate traits).
Minor allele frequency	MAF	Frequency at which the second most common allele occurs in a given population. Common alleles typically have a MAF \ge 0.1.
Protein quantitative trait locus	pQTL	Genetic loci that explain variation in protein levels.
Single nucleotide polymorphism	SNP	Genomic variant occurring at a single nucleotide position in the DNA sequence.
Variant	-	A change in the most common DNA nucleotide sequence.

all the studies included in the meta-analysis. In an agnostic analysis of 292 disease outcomes in 1,492,717 deCODE, FinnGen, MVP and UKBB participants, we found four expected Bonferroni-significant log-linear associations of genetically predicted higher iron status with lower risk of mineral deficiency (a cluster of conditions that includes iron-deficiency), irondeficiency anaemia and other deficiency anaemia, and with higher risk of disorders of mineral metabolism (a cluster of conditions that includes haemochromatosis). We also found six Bonferroni-significant associations with higher risk of cystitis and urethritis, dermatophytosis/dermatomycosis, postoperative infection, acquired foot deformities, arthropathy associated with other disorders, and liver cancer (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Data 14). Genetically predicted systemic iron status was also nominally associated with multiple clinical outcomes spanning various domains: circulatory, dermatologic, digestive, endocrine/metabolic, genitourinary, haematopoietic, infectious-disease, musculoskeletal, neoplasms, respiratory, sense organs and symptoms. Sensitivity analyses showed general robustness of findings when using MR Egger regression and the weighted median estimator (Supplementary Fig. 3). Although some between-variant heterogeneity was present for specific outcomes (e.g., disorders of mineral metabolism and other anaemias; Supplementary Data 14), MR Egger intercepts generally showed no evidence of residual horizontal pleiotropy (Supplementary Fig. 3). However, when removing the pC282Y variant in *HFE* from the polygenic instrument, most of these associations did not reach significance, except for iron-deficiency anaemia and iron-metabolism disorders, suggesting that these associations may be largely driven by *HFE*, although reduced statistical power might play a role in widening confidence intervals (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Data 14). We also found ten Bonferronicorrected log-linear associations of genetically predicted iron concentration with multiple biomedical traits in up to 860,060 MVP and UKBB participants across the following domains: glycaemic indices, haematologic,

Table 2	Con	ditionally in	dependent a	In pu	ncorre	elated	sentine	el varia	ints assoc	iated with	h hepci	din (N = 20) a	ind sTfR ($N = 32$)			
Trait	Chr	Position	RsID	EA	NEA	EAF	Beta	SE	P value	Het. P value	z	Nearest gene	Candidate gene	Locus novelty (hepcidin and sTfR)	Variant novelty (all iron traits)	Locus novelty (all iron traits)
Hepcidin	-	22,584,002	rs75965181	A	T	0.023	-0.118	0.017	2.76E-12	2.13E-01	91675	MIR4418	MIR4418	Novel	Known	Known
	-	220,291,414	rs201255457	A	ATC	0.989	-0.156	0.026	3.15E-09	3.77E-01	75972	IARS2	IARS2	Novel	Known	Known
	2	121,310,269	rs6706968	A	C	0.424	0.030	0.005	1.43E-08	6.11E-01	82690	AC073257.1	AC073257.2	Novel	Known	Known
	0	190,390,963	rs149682241	0	U	0.026	0.101	0.015	4.33E-11	8.47E-01	91675	SLC40A1	SLC40A1	Novel	Novel	Known
	0	190,521,054	rs7568449	F	0	0.762	0.043	0.006	1.88E-13	2.24E-01	89099	ASNSD1	SLC40A1	Novel	Novel	Known
	5	115,331,335	rs885122	A	U	0.598	-0.043	0.005	2.28E-18	8.07E-09	91675	LVRN	LVRN	Novel	Novel	Novel
	9	26,098,474	rs79220007	F	0	0.930	0.094	0.010	1.12E-22	1.25E-03	91675	HFE	HFE	Novel	Known	Known
	8	10,577,987	rs10090558	A	U	0.918	-0.060	0.010	4.64E-10	2.32E-03	78548	SOX7	SOX7	Novel	Known	Known
	12	51,439,858	rs9739943	F	o	0.059	0.079	0.010	3.78E-14	2.04E-01	91675	LETMD1	SLC11A2	Novel	Known	Known
	12	57,735,045	rs11609805	A	U	0.270	-0.032	0.006	5.24E-09	4.48E-01	91675	R3HDM2	ARHGAP9/R3HDM2	Novel	Novel	Novel
	14	34,410,892	rs996347	г	c	0.645	-0.033	0.005	6.06E-11	2.04E-02	91675	EGLN3	EGLN3	Novel	Known	Known
	15	45,274,530	rs549876436	F	o	0.907	0.082	0.011	7.30E-15	8.99E-02	83099	TERB2	DUOX2	Novel	Novel	Known
	15	45,319,959	rs2600895	A	IJ	0.913	0.082	0.012	2.73E-11	7.53E-02	88174	SORD	DUOX2	Novel	Novel	Known
	15	45,320,493	rs113000093	с	G	0.089	-0.078	0.013	7.01E-10	4.66E-02	85598	SORD	DUOX2	Novel	Novel	Known
	15	45,387,550	rs199138	A	U	0.076	-0.122	0.009	1.55E-40	3.41E-03	91675	DUOX2	DUOX2	Novel	Known	Known
	16	353,122	rs71378510	A	U	0.907	0.050	0.009	1.62E-08	5.31E-01	91675	AXIN1	AXIN1	Novel	Novel	Known
	17	56,436,109	rs34523089	г	c	0.162	0.063	0.007	8.15E-21	8.61E-01	89817	RNF43	MPO	Novel	Known	Known
	19	35,775,902	rs104894696	A	IJ	0.003	-0.387	0.054	4.90E-13	2.09E-04	76690	HAMP	HAMP	Novel	Novel	Known
	19	49,207,255	rs485073	A	U	0.453	0.046	0.005	4.64E-21	5.91E-01	91675	FUT2	FUT2	Novel	Known	Known
	22	37,462,936	rs855791	A	IJ	0.429	0.042	0.005	1.34E-17	8.89E-02	91675	TMPRSS6	TMPRSS6	Novel	Known	Known
sTfR	-	205,041,952	rs6696846	F	O	0.484	-0.043	0.007	6.22E-11	7.35E-01	45330	CNTN2	CNTN2/DSTYK/ RBBP5/TMCC2/ TMEM81	Novel	Novel	Novel
	2	191,357,694	rs35095338	A	Γ	0.556	-0.046	0.007	9.67E-12	8.77E-01	44341	TMEM194B	MFSD6	Novel	Novel	Novel
	0	211,543,055	rs715	⊢	U	0.688	-0.041	0.007	2.28E-08	4.12E-01	45330	CPS1	CPS1	Novel	Known	Known
	ю	133,539,500	rs11371594	G	GA	0.845	0.058	0.010	2.54E-09	3.95E-01	40837	SRPRB	SRPRB	Novel	Novel	Known
	З	195,795,618	rs112856048	A	G	0.243	-0.100	0.008	5.93E-38	5.28E-01	45330	TFRC	TFRC	Novel	Known	Known
	ю	195,921,311	rs9325434	A	G	0.141	-0.062	0.010	2.27E-10	8.47E-01	45330	ZDHHC19	TFRC	Novel	Novel	Known
	4	151,199,080	rs2290846	A	U	0.277	-0.041	0.007	3.11E-08	9.61E-01	45330	LRBA	LRBA	Novel	Novel	Novel
	5	131,784,393	rs12521868	Г	G	0.427	0.052	0.007	4.41E-15	7.83E-01	45330	C5orf56	SLC22A5	Novel	Novel	Novel
	5	141,482,333	rs116816795	н	U	0.168	0.127	0.009	3.13E-46	7.55E-01	45330	NDFIP1	NDFIP1	Novel	Novel	Novel
	5	141,602,204	rs2906082	⊢	U	0.783	0.057	0.008	5.34E-12	5.65E-01	44584	NDFIP1	NDFIP1	Novel	Novel	Novel
	9	25,957,426	rs72832593	⊢	U	0.897	0.130	0.011	8.09E-33	5.91E-01	45330	TRIM38	HIST1H4I	Novel	Known	Known
	9	26,093,141	rs1800562	A	G	0.075	-0.253	0.013	2.88E-88	2.95E-02	45330	HFE	HFE	Known	Known	Known
	9	135,427,159	rs9389269	⊢	U	0.729	0.059	0.007	3.10E-15	6.84E-01	45330	HBS1L	HBS1L/MYB	Novel	Known	Known
	9	159,020,121	rs143437464	A	G	0.009	-0.234	0.037	3.81E-10	5.08E-01	43595	TMEM181	TMEM181	Novel	Novel	Novel

Trait	Chr	Position	RsID	EA	NEA	EAF	Beta	SE	P value	Het. P value	z	Nearest gene	Candidate gene	Locus novelty (hepcidin and sTfR)	Variant novelty (all iron traits)	Locus novelty (all iron traits)
	9	159,026,327	rs200307986	۲	G	0.003	0.358	0.065	2.69E-08	1.29E-01	42671	TMEM181	TMEM181	Novel	Novel	Novel
	2	1,080,897	rs186044114	F	υ	0.852	-0.061	0.010	4.19E-10	4.27E-01	41188	C7orf50	C7orf50/GPR146	Novel	Novel	Novel
	7	50,427,982	rs6592965	۷	G	0.458	-0.058	0.007	2.43E-18	9.60E-02	45330	IKZF1	IKZF1	Novel	Known	Known
	2	100,235,970	rs7385804	۷	υ	0.627	-0.051	0.007	1.49E-13	8.85E-01	45330	TFR2	TFR2	Novel	Known	Known
	9	45,953,767	rs7908745	۷	G	0.687	-0.054	0.007	5.61E-14	1.38E-01	45330	MARCH8	MARCH8	Novel	Novel	Novel
	10	71,093,392	rs16926246	⊢	υ	0.133	0.056	0.010	1.05E-08	9.71E-01	45330	HK1	HK1	Novel	Known	Known
	=	117,021,097	rs187669805	υ	U	0.994	0.407	0.046	1.94E-18	3.86E-01	43487	PCSK7	PCSK7	Known	Novel	Known
	÷	117,081,500	rs11216316	۷	U	0.892	-0.273	0.011	1.77E-137	5.68E-02	45330	PCSK7	PCSK7	Known	Novel	Known
	=	117,088,082	rs2238005	⊢	υ	0.061	0.118	0.014	2.71E-17	7.23E-01	45330	PCSK7	PCSK7	Known	Novel	Known
	12	51,783,420	rs10876169	۲	F	0.410	0.039	0.007	1.85E-08	1.15E-01	44584	GALNT6	GALNT6	Novel	Novel	Known
	13	110,401,304	rs76944188	F	c	0.942	-0.090	0.015	5.45E-10	4.07E-01	45330	IRS2	IRS2	Novel	Novel	Novel
	15	45,395,901	rs75922593	G	GT	0.066	0.112	0.014	3.64E-15	5.67E-01	40837	DUOX2	DUOX2	Novel	Known	Known
	16	88,567,333	rs74035509	⊢	υ	0.083	0.083	0.013	6.36E-11	6.39E-01	44584	ZFPM1	ZFPM1	Novel	Novel	Novel
	17	43,556,807	rs55925547	⊢	с	0.801	-0.057	0.009	2.46E-11	7.60E-01	43244	PLEKHM1	AC003070.1/ AC091132.2/ LINC02210	Novel	Novel	Novel
	17	57,925,649	rs1292072	۷	G	0.794	-0.048	0.008	7.13E-09	9.06E-01	45330	RNU6-450P	RPS6KB1	Novel	Known	Known
	17	76,401,328	rs1976703	F	с	0.493	0.039	0.007	1.57E-08	1.08E-01	41826	PGS1	PGS1	Novel	Novel	Novel
	19	4,502,282	rs13041	⊢	c	0.518	0.048	0.007	8.53E-12	8.38E-01	44584	PLIN4	UBXN6	Novel	Novel	Novel
	22	37,462,936	rs855791	۷	G	0.434	0.134	0.007	2.70E-89	8.92E-01	45330	TMPRSS6	TMPRSS6	Known	Known	Known
Chromoson previously n high linkage	al positic ported g disequili	ons are in GRCh37 jenetic variant assc ibrium (r ² ≥ 0.2) with al curration and pro-	assembly. Beta esti- sciated with other in a previously repor- vimity (see Sunder-	imates ; on traits ted ger	are per-al s ⁷⁻⁹ ; loci th netic varia	lele dosagi iat are nov ant associá	e increase o el with respe ated with iro	f the effect sct to previ n traits ^{7,3} . ¹	allele (EA). NEA ous GWASs of I variants that are	indicates non-∈ hepcidin and sT e novel accordi	effect allele. FfR ^{5,6} are inc ing to both	. EAF is EA frequency dicated in the 'Locus criteria across all irc	y. SE, standard error. 'Locus n i novelty (hepcidin and sTfR)' in traits are highlighted in red	ovelty' indicates whether t solumn. 'Variant novelty' ir on grey background. Car	the variant is within a f ndicates whether a va ndidate genes are ass	00-Kb window from (riant is in moderate o igned based on
colocalizatio	m, manu	al curation and pro	ximity (see Suppler	mentary	y Method	s, Suppler	nentary Data	a 7 and Su _l	oplementary Dɛ	ata 8).	2					



hepatorenal function and respiratory (Fig. 5B; Supplementary Data 14). Sensitivity analyses showed general robustness of findings when using MR Egger regression and the weighted median estimator (Supplementary Fig. 4). Three associations persisted after removing the pC282Y variant in *HFE*: an inverse association of genetically predicted iron concentration with glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), and positive associations with direct bilirubin and total bilirubin.

Discussion

In this meta-analysis of 12 original GWASs of over 90,000 participants, we identify 43 loci associated with hepcidin and sTfR concentrations, including 15 novel loci not previously associated with iron biomarkers. Through manual curation and colocalization, we mapped the new loci to several putative genes, suggesting potential roles in iron-trait expression (*PGS1*, *ZFPM1*), erythropoiesis (*ARHGAP9/R3HDM2*, *IRS2*, *SLC22A5*), immune

Fig. 3 | Established and potential candidate genes mapped to variants associated with hepcidin or sTfR: summary of their role and contextual information. A This figure summarises the genes mentioned in Table 2 of this study, as well as other ironhomoeostasis genes provided for contextual information. Genes with an established role in iron homoeostasis are shown in red and italic; genes with a potential role are presented in dark grey and italic. Relevant references to other studies are included in Supplementary Data 8 0 Hepcidin is tightly regulated by several pathways. TMPRSS6, ERFE (via the BMP pathway), and ZFPM1 suppress hepcidin expression in hepatocytes. HFE, TFR2, the Wnt pathway, and the JAK/STAT pathway increase hepcidin expression. Activation of the Wnt pathways is observed in iron overload, with involvement of AXIN1. Activation of JAK/STAT signalling has been proposed as a possible link between inflammation and iron homoeostasis. 2 In presence of iron abundance, hepcidin suppresses function of ferroportin (FPN), an iron transporter coded by SLC40A1 that mediates dietary intestinal iron uptake and iron recycling by macrophages from senescent erythrocytes. NDFIP1 prevents degra-EPAS1 and regulated by EGLN3, also controls duodenal iron absorption by promoting the expression of divalent metal transporter 1 (DMT1), coded by SLC11A2, on the luminal side of enterocytes. NDFIP1 regulates DMT1 expression in mice. EGLN3 hydroxylates key prolyl residues on HIF-2a, providing a recognition motif for its degradation. • Several genes appear relevant to intestinal iron absorption: (i) DUOX2 regulates interactions between the intestinal microbiota and the mucosa to maintain immune homoeostasis in mice, which likely enables intestinal iron absorption; (ii) FUT2 codes for fucosyltransferase 2, an enzyme responsible for maintaining host-microbiota symbiosis via fucosylation of intestinal epithelial cells; (iii) VANGL1 encodes a protein involved in mediating intestinal trefoil factorinduced wound healing in the intestinal mucosa. 6 Iron released through ferroportin is bound to iron carrier transferrin (referred to as apotransferrin when not bound to iron), forming iron-loaded transferrin (holotransferrin), which delivers iron to most cells, especially erythrocytes. 6 In presence of hypoxia, raised levels of HIF-2 α result in increased erythropoietin (EPO) production. @ EPO stimulates erythropoiesis, which is also modulated by several genes involved in erythroblast proliferation and differentiation: (i) the HBS1L/MYB intergenic region regulates erythroid cell proliferation, maturation, and foetal haemoglobin expression; (ii) HK1 mutations lead to haemolytic anaemia via hexokinase deficiency, which in turn likely affects erythropoiesis; (iii) IRS2 expression plays a role in erythroid cell differentiation through binding to cellular receptors involved in normal haematopoiesis; (iv) ARHGAP9 regulates adhesion of haematopoietic cells to the extracellular matrix, which can influence their localisation and differentiation potential, and R3HDM2 has been mapped to haemoglobin and red blood cell traits in large-scale GWASs; (v) CPS1 is directly related to glycine, which is an essential requirement for haem synthesis; (vi) SLC22A5 is involved in the active cellular uptake of carnitine, which stimulates erythropoiesis; (vii) SOX7 blocks differentiation of hematopoietic progenitors to erythroid and myeloid lineages. In erythroblasts, TFR2 is a sensor of holotransferrin, and is thought to protect against excessive erythrocytosis in the

presence of iron deficiency. ⁽¹⁾ Finally, the immune response to external pathogens, which compete for iron, may also influence overall iron availability. Among the genes identified, LVRN may play a role in the synthesis of defensins and defensin-like peptides such as hepcidin, potentially contributing to iron homoeostasis via immune response; (ii) MFSD6 recognises major histocompatibility complex type I (MHC-I) molecules and mediates MHC-I restricted killing by macrophages; (iii) MPO catalyses the production of hypohalous acids, primarily hypochlorous acid in physiologic situations, and other toxic intermediates that greatly enhance microbicidal activity. Images from Servier Medical Art (https://smart.servier.com), licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported (CC BY 3.0) Licence. B This figure summarises the genes mentioned in Table 2 of this study, as well as other ironhomoeostasis genes provided for contextual information. Genes with an established role in transferrin receptor synthesis, recycling, or degradation are shown in red and italic; genes with a potential role are presented in dark grey and italic. Relevant references to other studies are included in Supplementary Data 8. O TFRC codes for transferrin receptor 1, which is constitutively expressed in most cells, especially erythrocytes. TFR2 codes for transferrin receptor 2, linked to iron sensing and maintenance of body iron homoeostasis. PGS1 is involved in the synthesis of cardiolipin, a phospholipid of mitochondrial membranes implicated in the regulation of transferrin receptor expression. 2 After O-linked glycosylation, possibly mediated by the protein product of GALNT6, transferrin receptor 1 is expressed on the external surface of the cytoplasmic membrane. ⁽¹⁾ *HFE* interacts with transferrin receptor 1, facilitating cellular iron-sensing function and playing an important part in the regulation of hepcidin expression in response to body iron status. @ Ironloaded transferrin (holotranferrin) binds to the receptor and the complex is internalised through clathrin-mediated endocytosis. 6 A proton pump acidifies the endosome, which causes release of iron from holotransferrin; iron-deprived transferrin (apotransferrin) remains bound to its receptor. ⁽³⁾ The endosome is usually recycled to the plasma membrane, a process likely regulated by (i) LRBA, known to influence recycling of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) via the classical recycling pathway used by receptors such as transferrin and (ii) UBXN6, which negatively regulates the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) hydrolytic activity of valosin containing protein (VCP), an ATP-driven segregase; VCP depletion delays transferrin receptor recycling. • At neutral pH, apotransferrin dissociates from transferrin receptor and is ready to bind to free iron. The transferrin receptor may also be ubiquitinated and directed to lysosomal degradation, which is mediated by MARCH8, a membrane-associated zinc-finger factor, and, possibly, also by RPS6KB1, a protein kinase involved in the mammalian target of rapamycin-protein S6 kinase (mTOR-S6K) pathway, which is implicated in the degradation of transferrin receptor 1. ⁽¹⁾ Finally, PCSK7 mediates the shedding of soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR) from the transferrin receptor. When iron availability is limited, sTfR levels increase at least in part by downregulating expression of PCSK7 or neighbouring genes. Images from Servier Medical Art (https://smart.servier.com), licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported (CC BY 3.0) Licence.

response (LVRN, MFSD6) and cellular trafficking (MARCH8, NDFIP1, UBXN6), although functional confirmation of candidate loci and variants is required. In the first large-scale MR study, involving over 1.4 million participants from four studies, we investigated the causal effects of iron-related pathways and systemic iron status on over 292 major health outcomes and conditions. Our findings showed that higher genetically predicted systemic iron status was inversely associated with mineral deficiency and anaemiarelated disorders, suggesting that, aside from anaemia, iron deficiency is unlikely to be associated with major diseases explored in this analysis. Conversely, higher systemic iron status was positively associated with a range of conditions, including genitourinary, musculoskeletal, infectious, and neoplastic diseases. These associations attenuated after using the polygenic instrument without the pC282Y variant in HFE, which increases the risk for iron overload and, in its homozygous form, accounts for the majority of hemochromatosis cases¹⁰, suggesting that they might be driven by very high iron levels.

We identified two new putative causal loci associated with hepcidin, *ARHGAP9/R3HDM2*, and *LVRN*, whose role in hepcidin metabolism is not yet fully understood. *ARHGAP9/R3HDM2* is involved in regulating adhesion of hematopoietic cells to the extracellular matrix, which can influence their localisation and differentiation potential³¹. Erythropoietic expansion,

in turn, depresses hepcidin production¹. LVRN codes for laeverin, an aminopeptidase cleaving N-terminal amino acids of peptides³². β-defensins, implicated in innate immunity, feature two sites under positive selection in the N-terminal region that may contribute to their functional diversity in primates³³. LVRN may play a role in the synthesis of defensins and could affect hepcidin through an inflammation-mediated pathway. We also found biologically plausible candidate genes for 8 of the 13 new loci mapped to sTfR-associated sentinel variants. Of these, IRS2, MARCH8 and NDFIP1 appear to have a more established role in iron biology. IRS2 is involved in erythroid cell differentiation³⁴, which in turn affects iron availability and transferrin receptor presentation¹, MARCH8 mediates the lysosomal degradation of the transferrin receptor³⁵, and NDFIP1 regulates iron import^{36,37}. Five additional genes mapped to new sTfR-associated variants likely play a role in iron homoeostasis. MFSD6 contributes to shaping the gut microbiome³⁸, possibly increasing iron availability; additionally, as it is an MHC-I receptor homologue³⁹, could potentially compete with transferrin receptor 1 for interacting with HFE, an MHC-I homologue⁴⁰. PGS1 could affect expression of transferrin receptor 1 via cardiolipin⁴¹. SLC22A5 is involved in the cellular uptake of carnitine⁴², which stimulates erythropoiesis⁴³. UBXN6 regulates endosome recycling to the plasma membrane⁴⁴, likely mediating transferrin receptor presentation and sTfR



Fig. 4 | Putative causal effects of genetically predicted iron-related loci: Bonferroni-significant and nominal associations (null findings not presented). A Locus-based MR associations with disease outcomes in up to 1,469,361 deCODE, FinnGen, MVP, and UK Biobank participants. Only loci that are associated ($P < 5.2 \times 10^{-6}$) with at least one disease and have suggestive evidence of

colocalization are shown. The terms in parenthesis indicate the trait that has been used for rescaling. **B** Locus-based MR associations with biomedical traits in up to 854,977 MVP and UK Biobank participants. Only loci that are associated with at least one disease outcome are shown. The terms in parenthesis indicate the trait that has been used for rescaling.

concentration. Finally, *ZFPM1* suppresses GATA-mediated activation of hepcidin expression⁴⁵, although its connection with sTfR remains unclear. It is worth noting that GWASs rely on population-level natural variation, which can lead to both overstatement and understatement of the role of individual modulators due to their natural variants being over- or under-represented in human genomes. At the population level, the impact of common variants that have a relatively minor role in iron biology (e.g., *HFE* variants) may be overstated, whereas the impact of rarer variants with a major effect on iron homoeostasis (e.g., *HAMP* variants) may be understated.

In addition to the expected association with anaemia-related phenotypes, the only other Bonferroni-significant associations of individual biological pathways that persisted in colocalization were *EPAS1* with hypertension and *SLC25A28* with colorectal cancer and benign neoplasm of colon. However, no other loci were associated with these diseases, suggesting that mediation through pathways specific to these loci (rather than through iron-related pathways) is more likely. For example, *EPAS1* codes for hypoxia-inducible factor 2-alpha, a transcription factor that contributes to maintaining oxygen homoeostasis in response to hypoxia through activation of several biological pathways, such as raising norepinephrine levels⁴⁶, in addition to iron absorption and transport.

The finding that multiple positive associations of systemic iron status with diseases attenuated after removing the pC282Y variant in *HFE* constitutes one of the key results of this study, suggesting that these associations may be driven by extreme iron overload and that moderate iron overload may be unlikely to affect health outcomes other than mineral metabolism disorders. In keeping with this interpretation, the strongest association with non-haematologic and non-metabolic disease outcomes was with greater risk of liver cancer, which is consistent with reports showing associations of the pC282Y variant with liver cancer¹¹, and mentioning hepatocellular carcinoma as a common manifestation of hemochromatosis¹⁰. The second-strongest association with nonhaematologic and non-metabolic disease outcomes was with arthropathy, which is also consistent with reports of associations of pC282Y with osteoarthritis¹¹ and mentioning joint pain as a common symptom of hemochromatosis¹⁰. It is also possible, however, that the wider confidence intervals observed after removing the pC282Y variant in *HFE* may be due to reduced statistical power.

We also found positive associations of systemic iron status with greater risk of dermatophytosis/dermatomyositis, postoperative infection and cystitis/urethritis, broadly consistent with previous research that showed associations with skin²⁰ and bacterial²⁴ infections. It is worth noting that we did not observe associations with heart failure, which is consistent with a recent randomised trial³⁰ but in disagreement with previous trials^{26–29}. We did find an inverse nominal association with ischaemic heart disease, in keeping with previous MR studies^{20,21} but in disagreement with some observational evidence^{12–15}. We also found a strong inverse association of genetically predicted systemic iron status with HbA1c, which may reflect greater erythrocyte turnover driven by iron excess⁴⁷. Our findings reinforce previous warnings about interpreting HbA1c concentration in patients with iron-status imbalances⁴⁷, leading to potential underestimation of type-2 diabetes in individuals with iron overload.

Our investigation has several strengths. Firstly, the GWASs of hepcidin and sTfR have the largest sample size collected to date for genomic studies of these traits, enabling the discovery of the first genetic loci associated with hepcidin and multiple new loci associated with sTfR. Secondly, to assess the biomedical consequences of iron-altering biological pathways and systemic iron status, we employed an MR design on a wide range of major clinical outcomes, which reduces the impact of common sources of bias present in observational studies, such as confounding and reverse causality. It is, however, possible that this analysis may not capture rarer conditions and diseases not included in the curated list of health outcomes. Finally, by Α



Fig. 5 | Putative causal effects of genetically predicted systemic iron status: Bonferroni-significant and nominal associations (null findings not presented). A MR associations of systemic iron status with disease outcomes in up to 1,492,717 deCODE, FinnGen, MVP, and UK Biobank participants. The estimates are expressed in odds ratio per one standard deviation (SD) higher transferrin saturation (TSAT) with confidence intervals shown between brackets. The plot shows estimates with the pC282Y variant in *HFE* (left-hand Forest plot) and without that variant (right-hand Forest plot), presenting diseases that have MR point estimates with the same direction in all the biobanks included in the meta-analysis. The instrument was generated using six variants mapped to *ERFE*, *HAMP*, *HFE*, *SLC25A37*, *TFR2* and *TMPRSS6* not affected by

leveraging the largest sample size in an iron MR conducted to date, we had very good (>90%) statistical power for the majority of the 292 outcomes included in our analysis.

However, there are also some limitations. Firstly, in our GWASs we focused on variants with MAF \geq 0.001. Despite identifying some associations with rare variants, the role of rarer variants remains to be fully investigated. Secondly, the focus on European ancestry participants limits the generalisability of these findings, particularly in countries and ethnicities where the majority of the burden of iron deficiency lies. Thirdly, methodological differences in the GWASs, such as diverse adjustments for covariates and varying limits of detection, may have reduced homogeneity in meta-analysis, despite all GWASs adhering to the same analysis plan. Fourthly, our phenome scans demonstrated the extensive influence of genetic pleiotropy on iron traits. This study, however, utilises a systematic approach to reduce its impact on MR analyses by selecting only variants that are likely non-pleiotropic, and complementing locus-based MR with colocalization analysis to further reduce the impact of genetic confounding. Finally, this study assumes additive genetic associations of instrumental variables with iron traits, potentially missing between-variant interactions, and focuses on the linear effects of iron, potentially overlooking non-linear associations.

Taken together, this study increases knowledge of iron homoeostasis and its biomedical consequences in humans, suggesting that long-term exposure to higher iron levels is likely associated with lower risk of anaemiarelated disorders and higher risk of genitourinary, musculoskeletal, infectious and neoplastic diseases.

Methods

Genetic discovery study of emerging iron traits

All studies included in the GWASs of hepcidin and sTfR followed the same analysis plan, described in the Supplementary Information, p 2. The



horizontal pleiotropy, indirect vertical pleiotropy, or collider bias and that: (i) were associated ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with at least one trait; (ii) were nominally associated (P < 0.05) with all the other iron traits except for hepcidin (as its levels are influenced by systemic iron status); and (iii) displayed a direction of association consistent across all traits. **B** MR associations of systemic iron status, using the same instrument, with biomedical traits in up to 860,060 MVP and UK Biobank participants. The estimates are expressed in mean change (beta) per one SD higher TSAT. The plot shows estimates with the pC282Y variant in *HFE* (left-hand Forest plot) and without that variant (right-hand Forest plot), presenting traits that have MR point estimates with the same direction in all the biobanks included in the meta-analysis.

characteristics of the cohorts included in this study are described in the Supplementary Information, pp 2–6 and in Supplementary Data 1.

We established a data-management and quality-check pipeline for study-specific GWAS results (Supplementary Information, p 6). We performed fixed-effect meta-analysis in METAL using the SCHEME STDERR command for all variants with MAF \geq 0.001. After removing variants available in only one study and with a combined sample size lower than 20,000 participants, we estimated SNP-based heritability and genomic inflation factor using LDSC v. v1.0.1 (Supplementary Information, p 6).

To identify genetic variants independently associated with either hepcidin or sTfR concentration, we performed approximate conditional analysis using stepwise algorithm ('--cojo-slct') in gcta64 v. 1.26.0 on the whole genome. We selected all single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ in the meta-analysis of each trait and we specified the same p value for the '--cojo p' argument, to ensure that conditionally independent SNPs were still genome-wide significant. Consistently with a previous study⁴⁸, we then clumped all resulting GWAS variants using PLINK v1.9 to include only independent variants not in linkage disequilibrium (LD) with one another within a 1 Mb window ($r^2 < 0.01$). We performed both GCTA and clumping using LD information from 41,845 unrelated participants in the INTERVAL study.

We provisionally mapped conditionally independent (sentinel) variants to their nearest gene using PhenoScanner v.2, a phenome scan tool that includes mapping to nearest gene retrieved from BEDOPS v. 2.4.26, with additional manual verification using the Ensembl genome browser (https://grch37.ensembl.org/). We assessed the novelty of association using two approaches. Firstly, we defined a 'novel variant' as any SNP (or its $r^2 \ge 0.7$ proxy) not associated with any iron traits in previous genome-wide studies^{6–9}. Secondly, we defined as 'novel locus' any genomic locus (within 500 Kb window from each independent variant) not including one or more variants discovered in previous studies^{6–9}.

We used Ensembl Variant Effect Prediction to obtain information for several measures of functional consequence for each sentinel variant and their proxy variants ($r^2 > 0.7$) (Supplementary Information, pp 6–7)⁴⁹. We conducted phenome scans drawing on the curated database of >65 billion genetic summary statistics available in PhenoScanner v.2 (Supplementary Information, p 7). We estimated genetic correlation using summary statistics from the present study (meta-analysis of hepcidin and sTfR concentration) and from a previous GWAS of conventional iron traits⁹, using LDSC with the '--rg' argument. We estimated phenotypic Pearson correlation and its precision in up to 40,197 INTERVAL participants.

To map sentinel variants to candidate genes, we used a combination of manual curation and colocalization with expression and protein quantitative data. We first mapped the above-defined conditionally independent and uncorrelated GWAS signals to their nearest gene and then collapsed overlapping genes within 200 Kb from each other. The process was performed independently for hepcidin- and sTfR-associated variants. This led to the definition of 43 non-overlapping loci. Of these, 21 already had a biologically plausible candidate gene (e.g., *HFE, TMPRSS6, HAMP, TFRC*). For the remaining 22 loci, we performed conditional colocalization in Sum of Single Effects (SuSiE) v. 0.11.92 and Coloc v. 5.1.0, following the procedure described in Supplementary Information, pp 7–8. Locus-specific information on our candidate gene mapping process, including a summary of our manual curation, is available in Supplementary Data 8.

Locus-based and polygenic phenome-wide MR analysis

We collated 197 genetic variants (189 after deduplication) associated with iron traits, of which 52 associated with either hepcidin or sTfR (in the present study) and 145 associated with serum iron, ferritin, TSAT and TIBC (reported previously⁹). Because iron is involved in multiple biological processes, genetic variants associated with iron traits are often associated also with other traits (pleiotropy). This may lead to biased MR associations if genetic associations with iron traits are distinct (horizontal pleiotropy) or mediated by a non-iron trait (indirect vertical pleiotropy). To reduce the impact of horizontal and indirect vertical pleiotropy in our analysis, we performed phenome scans in MR Base and retained 57 genetic variants mapped to genes that (i) included only sentinel variants associated with iron traits or iron-related traits (such as haemoglobin concentration and erythrocyte count); (ii) affected iron homoeostasis directly and not via a noniron phenotype (e.g., variants mapped to HFE, TMPRSS6 and HAMP). We then assessed potential collider bias by comparing the genetic associations with and without adjustment for covariates that may result in collider bias (e.g., body mass index, smoking and others) in up to N = 40,197 INTERVAL participants (Supplementary Information, p 8). This analysis showed very high correlation ($r^2 \approx 1.00$) between estimates of these two approaches. All effect estimates had the same direction in the two models, apart from two variants (rs79694859 and rs10804630) that we removed from our list of MR instruments, leaving 55 variants for further analysis (Supplementary Data 9).

To select locus-based MR instruments, firstly, we mapped these 55 variants to their most plausible or nearest genes as defined in their source GWAS, leading to 39 non-overlapping loci. To ensure better generalisability of associations with clinical outcomes, we further selected 33 (out of 39) loci including at least one variant available in all the studies involved in the MR (Supplementary Data 10). We performed stepwise approximate conditional analysis for the 200 Kb region around each variant's mapped candidate gene $(P < 10^{-5}, r^2 < 0.1)$ in gcta64 v. 1.26.0 using genetic summary statistics for the most strongly associated iron trait at each locus. This returned locus-based instruments for 33 loci with variance explained between <0.1%-4.1% (Supplementary Information, p 8; Supplementary Data 10; Supplementary Data 11). To select the polygenic MR instrument of systemic iron status, we filtered the above-mentioned 55 variants and included those that were: (i) associated ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with at least one iron trait, (ii) nominally associated (P < 0.05) with all the other iron traits considered; and (iii) with a direction consistent across all traits (e.g., positive for iron, ferritin, TSAT and negative for TIBC and sTfR; or the other way round) (Supplementary Fig. 5; Supplementary Data 11). Because hepcidin is influenced by systemic iron status and therefore it is difficult to disentangle whether genetic associations with hepcidin affect this trait directly or through other iron traits, we did not consider hepcidin associations in the definition of the polygenic instrument. We selected six variants for the polygenic instrument of systemic iron status mapped to ERFE, HAMP, HFE, SLC25A37, TFR2 and TMPRSS6. In MR analysis, we rescaled the polygenic instrument by TSAT as it had the highest variance explained, 4.4%. We performed sensitivity analyses for key MR results utilising more liberal sets of polygenic instruments, illustrating the value of the 6-variant instrument in mitigating pleiotropy and heterogeneity (Supplementary Data 15). We estimated statistical power for this instrument, showing \geq 90% power for \geq 50% disease outcomes while assuming an OR of 1.5 (Supplementary Information, p 8; Supplementary Fig. 6). Because variants in HFE had the strongest genetic associations across all traits analysed (Supplementary Fig. 7), we performed a sensitivity analysis using a polygenic instrument without the HFE variant to identify MR association driven by HFE.

Before performing MR analysis, we estimated genetic associations of all instruments with health outcomes from deCODE, FinnGen data freeze 10 (R10), MVP and UKBB and with biomedical traits from MVP and UKBB in European-ancestry participants. We adjusted for age, sex (for non-sexspecific outcomes) and either the first 10 principal components of ancestry (FinnGen, MVP and UKBB) or county (deCODE). Information on the deCODE⁵⁰, FinnGen⁵¹, MVP⁵² and UKBB⁵³ cohorts is available elsewhere. We meta-analysed study-specific genetic associations using fixed-effects models in the 'metafor' R package. We defined 292 binary disease outcomes available in all four studies using a curated list of major phecodes available in the 'PheWAS' R package. To restrict our analysis to major health outcomes of interest, we discarded any sub-categories (i.e. phecodes with four or more characters), removed hereditary/poisoning-related/accident-related outcomes and those with less than 100 events in each study. The disease outcomes were grouped in the following domains: circulatory system, dermatologic, digestive, endocrine/metabolic, genitourinary, haematopoietic, infectious diseases, mental disorders, musculoskeletal, neoplasms, neurological, pregnancy complications, respiratory, sense organs, symptoms. We grouped biomedical traits in the following domains: blood pressure and cardiac pulse, glycaemic indices, haematologic, inflammation, lipids and apolipoproteins, renal and liver function, respiratory, other.

We performed univariable MR using the inverse-variance weighted method for each locus-based and polygenic instrument while accounting for between-variant correlation estimated in INTERVAL. We performed sensitivity analyses using MR Egger regression and weighted median estimator. We used fixed-effect models in locus-based analyses and random-effects models in polygenic analyses. We quantified between-variant heterogeneity using the I-squared statistic. To account for multiple testing, we used Bonferroni-corrected thresholds for all analyses. For locus-based analyses, these were $P < 0.05/(33 \times 292)$ (5.2 × 10⁻⁶) for diseases and P < 0.05/ (33×47) (3.2×10^{-5}) for traits. For polygenic analyses, the thresholds were $P < 0.05/292 (1.7 \times 10^{-4})$ for diseases and $P < 0.05/47 (1.1 \times 10^{-3})$ for traits. To reduce the impact of individual study-specific estimates that may disproportionately affect meta-analytic estimates, in the main figures we present Bonferroni-significant and nominal results for diseases and traits that have MR estimates in the same direction (regardless of their *p* value) in all the biobanks included in the meta-analysis, although all results are available in the Supplementary Data. Associations with p values below 0.05 but above the Bonferroni thresholds are described as 'nominal associations'. For locusbased MR nominal associations, we performed colocalization analysis to remove associations chiefly driven by genetic confounding (Supplementary Information, p 8).

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

GWAS summary statistics are publicly available through the NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalogue (hepcidin: accession number GCST90451683; soluble transferrin receptor: accession number GCST90451684).

Received: 22 March 2024; Accepted: 21 October 2024; Published online: 06 December 2024

References

- 1. Camaschella, C. Iron-deficiency anemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **372**, 1832–1843 (2015).
- Hentze, M. W., Muckenthaler, M. U., Galy, B. & Camaschella, C. Two to tango: regulation of Mammalian iron metabolism. *Cell* **142**, 24–38 (2010).
- Nemeth, E. & Ganz, T. Hepcidin and iron in health and disease. Annu. Rev. Med. 74, 261–277 (2023).
- 4. Beguin, Y. Soluble transferrin receptor for the evaluation of erythropoiesis and iron status. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **329**, 9–22 (2003).
- 5. Galesloot, T. E. et al. Meta-GWAS and meta-analysis of exome array studies do not reveal genetic determinants of serum hepcidin. *PLoS One* **11**, e0166628 (2016).
- Oexle, K. et al. Novel association to the proprotein convertase PCSK7 gene locus revealed by analysing soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR) levels. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 20, 1042–1047 (2011).
- Benyamin, B. et al. Novel loci affecting iron homeostasis and their effects in individuals at risk for hemochromatosis. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4926 (2014).
- Bell, S. et al. A genome-wide meta-analysis yields 46 new loci associating with biomarkers of iron homeostasis. *Commun. Biol.* 4, 156 (2021).
- 9. Moksnes, M. R. et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of iron status biomarkers and the effect of iron on all-cause mortality in HUNT. *Commun. Biol.* **5**, 591 (2022).
- 10. Brissot, P. et al. Haemochromatosis. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim.* **4**, 18016 (2018).
- Pilling, L. C. et al. Common conditions associated with hereditary haemochromatosis genetic variants: cohort study in UK Biobank. *BMJ* 364, k5222 (2019).
- Sempos, C. T., Looker, A. C., Gillum, R. F. & Makuc, D. M. Body iron stores and the risk of coronary heart disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 330, 1119–1124 (1994).
- Danesh, J. & Appleby, P. Coronary heart disease and iron status: meta-analyses of prospective studies. *Circulation* 99, 852–854 (1999).
- 14. Sempos, C. T. Do body iron stores increase the risk of developing coronary heart disease? *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **76**, 501–503 (2002).
- Munoz-Bravo, C., Gutierrez-Bedmar, M., Gomez-Aracena, J., Garcia-Rodriguez, A. & Navajas, J. F. Iron: protector or risk factor for cardiovascular disease? Still controversial. *Nutrients* 5, 2384–2404 (2013).
- Das De, S., Krishna, S. & Jethwa, A. Iron status and its association with coronary heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Atherosclerosis* 238, 296–303 (2015).
- Liu, J., Li, Q., Yang, Y. & Ma, L. Iron metabolism and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *J. Diabetes Investig.* 11, 946–955 (2020).
- Fonseca-Nunes, A., Jakszyn, P. & Agudo, A. Iron and cancer risk-a systematic review and meta-analysis of the epidemiological evidence. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 23, 12–31 (2014).
- Chang, V. C., Cotterchio, M. & Khoo, E. Iron intake, body iron status, and risk of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* **19**, 543 (2019).
- Gill, D. et al. Associations of genetically determined iron status across the phenome: a mendelian randomization study. *PLoS Med.* 16, e1002833 (2019).

- Gill, D. et al. The effect of iron status on risk of coronary artery disease: a mendelian randomization study-brief report. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **37**, 1788–1792 (2017).
- Gill, D. et al. Effects of genetically determined iron status on risk of venous thromboembolism and carotid atherosclerotic disease: a mendelian randomization study. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 8, e012994 (2019).
- Galesloot, T. E. et al. Iron and hepcidin as risk factors in atherosclerosis: what do the genes say? *BMC Genet.* 16, 79 (2015).
- 24. Butler-Laporte, G. et al. Increasing serum iron levels and their role in the risk of infectious diseases: a Mendelian randomization approach. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **52**, 1163–1174 (2023).
- Yuan, S. et al. Iron status and cancer risk in UK biobank: a two-sample mendelian randomization study. *Nutrients* 12, https://doi.org/10. 3390/nu12020526 (2020).
- von Haehling, S., Jankowska, E. A., van Veldhuisen, D. J., Ponikowski, P. & Anker, S. D. Iron deficiency and cardiovascular disease. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 12, 659–669 (2015).
- Anker, S. D. et al. Ferric carboxymaltose in patients with heart failure and iron deficiency. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 361, 2436–2448 (2009).
- Ponikowski, P. et al. Beneficial effects of long-term intravenous iron therapy with ferric carboxymaltose in patients with symptomatic heart failure and iron deficiencydagger. *Eur. Heart J.* **36**, 657–668 (2015).
- 29. Kalra, P. R. et al. Intravenous ferric derisomaltose in patients with heart failure and iron deficiency in the UK (IRONMAN): an investigatorinitiated, prospective, randomised, open-label, blinded-endpoint trial. *Lancet* **400**, 2199–2209 (2022).
- Mentz, R. J. et al. Ferric carboxymaltose in heart failure with iron deficiency. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 389, 975–986 (2023).
- Klamer, S. & Voermans, C. The role of novel and known extracellular matrix and adhesion molecules in the homeostatic and regenerative bone marrow microenvironment. *Cell Adh. Migr.* 8, 563–577 (2014).
- Maruyama, M. et al. Laeverin/aminopeptidase Q, a novel bestatinsensitive leucine aminopeptidase belonging to the M1 family of aminopeptidases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 20088–20096 (2007).
- Semple, C. A. et al. The complexity of selection at the major primate beta-defensin locus. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 5, 32 (2005).
- Machado-Neto, J. A. et al. Downregulation of IRS2 in myelodysplastic syndrome: a possible role in impaired hematopoietic cell differentiation. *Leuk. Res.* 36, 931–935 (2012).
- Fujita, H., Iwabu, Y., Tokunaga, K. & Tanaka, Y. Membraneassociated RING-CH (MARCH) 8 mediates the ubiquitination and lysosomal degradation of the transferrin receptor. *J. Cell Sci.* **126**, 2798–2809 (2013).
- Foot, N. J. et al. Ndfip1-deficient mice have impaired DMT1 regulation and iron homeostasis. *Blood* 117, 638–646 (2011).
- Traeger, L. et al. UBA6 and NDFIP1 regulate the degradation of ferroportin. *Haematologica* 107, 478–488 (2022).
- Yoshida, R. MHC class I recognition by monocyte-/macrophagespecific receptors. *Adv. Immunol.* **124**, 207–247 (2014).
- Boldison, J. et al. Gene expression profiling in NOD mice reveals that B cells are highly educated by the pancreatic environment during autoimmune diabetes. *Diabetologia* 66, 551–566 (2023).
- Reuben, A., Chung, J. W., Lapointe, R. & Santos, M. M. The hemochromatosis protein HFE 20 years later: an emerging role in antigen presentation and in the immune system. *Immun. Inflamm. Dis.* 5, 218–232 (2017).
- Li, Y. et al. Cardiolipin-deficient cells have decreased levels of the ironsulfur biogenesis protein frataxin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295, 11928–11937 (2020).
- Tamai, I. Pharmacological and pathophysiological roles of carnitine/ organic cation transporters (OCTNs: SLC22A4, SLC22A5 and Slc22a21). *Biopharm. Drug Dispos.* 34, 29–44 (2013).

- 43. Kitamura, Y., Satoh, K., Satoh, T., Takita, M. & Matsuura, A. Effect of L-carnitine on ervthroid colony formation in mouse bone marrow cells. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant, 20, 981-984 (2005).
- 44. Trusch, F. et al. The N-terminal region of the ubiguitin regulatory X (UBX) domain-containing protein 1 (UBXD1) modulates interdomain communication within the valosin-containing protein p97. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 29414-29427 (2015).
- 45. Bagu, E. T. & Santos, M. M. Friend of GATA suppresses the GATAinduced transcription of hepcidin in hepatocytes through a GATAregulatory element in the HAMP promoter. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 47, 299-313 (2011).
- 46. Peng, Y. J. et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor 2alpha (HIF-2alpha) heterozygous-null mice exhibit exaggerated carotid body sensitivity to hypoxia, breathing instability, and hypertension. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 108, 3065-3070 (2011).
- 47. Ahmad, J. & Rafat, D. HbA1c and iron deficiency: a review. Diabetes Metab. Syndr. 7, 118-122 (2013).
- Codd, V. et al. Polygenic basis and biomedical consequences of 48. telomere length variation. Nat. Genet. 53, 1425-1433 (2021).
- 49. McLaren, W. et al. The ensembl variant effect predictor. Genome Biol. 17, 122 (2016).
- 50. Hakonarson, H., Gulcher, J. R. & Stefansson, K. deCODE genetics, Inc. Pharmacogenomics 4, 209-215 (2003).
- 51. Kurki, M. I. et al. FinnGen provides genetic insights from a wellphenotyped isolated population. Nature 613, 508-518 (2023).
- Gaziano, J. M. et al. Million veteran program: a mega-biobank to study 52. genetic influences on health and disease. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 70, 214-223 (2016).
- 53. Bycroft, C. et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature 562, 203-209 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Clara Camaschella for her contribution to the definition of non-pleiotropic loci. This research was supported by the British Heart Foundation (RG/18/13/33946), National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20014; NIHR203312) [*] and Health Data Research UK, which is funded by the UK Medical Research Council, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council, Department of Health and Social Care (England), Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorates, Health and Social Care Research and Development Division (Welsh Government), Public Health Agency (Northern Ireland), British Heart Foundation and Wellcome. S.Be. is supported by Cancer Research UK (A27657). A.M. is funded by the NIHR Blood and Transplant Research Unit (BTRU) in Donor Health and Behaviour (NIHR203337) [*]. E.B. is supported by Schmidt Science Fellows, in partnership with the Rhodes Trust. S.Bu. is supported by the Wellcome Trust (225790/Z/22/Z) and the United Kingdom Research and Innovation Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00002/7). This research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203312). J.Da. holds a British Heart Foundation Professorship and a NIHR Senior Investigator Award [*]. A.M.W. is part of the BigData@-Heart Consortium, funded by the Innovative Medicines Initiative-2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No 116074. *The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The CHRIS study is conducted in collaboration between the Eurac Research Institute for Biomedicine and the Healthcare System of the Autonomous Province of Bolzano-South Tyrol. Investigators thank all study participants, general practitioners of the Vinschgau/Val Venosta district, and Schlanders/Silandro and Autonomous Province of Bolzano-South Tyrol Healthcare System staff for their support and collaboration. They also thank the study team in Silandro/Schlanders, CHRIS Biobank personnel, and all Institute for Biomedicine colleagues who contributed to the study. Extensive acknowledgment is reported at DOI: 10.1186/s12967-015-0704-9. CHRIS Bioresource Research Impact Factor whose contribution made this work possible. FinDonor_1 & FinDonor_2. These studies were supported by the Finnish Funding Agency for Technology and Innovation (Tekes) to the Salwe GID (Personalised Diagnostics and Care) programme (ID 3982/31/2013) and by the VTR funding from the Finnish Government. FinnGen. We want to acknowledge the participants and investigators of FinnGen study. The FinnGen project is funded by two grants from Business Finland (HUS 4685/31/2016 and UH 4386/31/2016) and the following industry partners: AbbVie Inc., AstraZeneca UK Ltd, Biogen MA Inc., Bristol Myers Squibb (and Celgene Corporation & Celgene International II Sarl), Genentech Inc., Merck Sharp & Dohme LCC, Pfizer Inc., GlaxoSmithKline Intellectual Property Development Ltd., Sanofi US Services Inc., Maze Therapeutics Inc., Janssen Biotech Inc, Novartis AG, and Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH. Following biobanks are acknowledged for delivering biobank samples to FinnGen: Auria Biobank (www.auria.fi/biopankki), THL Biobank (www.thl.fi/biobank), Helsinki Biobank (www.helsinginbiopankki.fi), Biobank Borealis of Northern Finland (https://www.ppshp.fi/Tutkimus-ja-opetus/Biopankki/Pages/Biobank-Borealis-briefly-in-English.aspx), Finnish Clinical Biobank Tampere (www. tays.fi/en-US/Research_and_development/Finnish_Clinical_Biobank_ Tampere), Biobank of Eastern Finland (www.ita-suomenbiopankki.fi/en), Central Finland Biobank (www.ksshp.fi/fi-Fl/Potilaalle/Biopankki), Finnish Red Cross Blood Service Biobank (www.veripalvelu.fi/verenluovutus/ biopankkitoiminta), Terveystalo Biobank (www.terveystalo.com/fi/ Yritystietoa/Terveystalo-Biopankki/Biopankki/) and Arctic Biobank (https:// www.oulu.fi/en/university/faculties-and-units/faculty-medicine/northernfinland-birth-cohorts-and-arctic-biobank). All Finnish Biobanks are members of BBMRI.fi infrastructure (www.bbmri.fi). Finnish Biobank Cooperative -FINBB (https://finbb.fi/) is the coordinator of BBMRI-ERIC operations in Finland. The Finnish biobank data can be accessed through the Fingenious® services (https://site.fingenious.fi/en/) managed by FINBB. The FinnGen study is approved by Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (permit numbers: THL/2031/6.02.00/2017, THL/1101/5.05.00/2017, THL/341/6.02.00/ 2018, THL/2222/6.02.00/2018, THL/283/6.02.00/2019, THL/1721/5.05.00/ 2019 and THL/1524/5.05.00/2020), Digital and population data service agency (permit numbers: VRK43431/2017-3, VRK/6909/2018-3, VRK/4415/ 2019-3), the Social Insurance Institution (permit numbers: KELA 58/522/ 2017, KELA 131/522/2018, KELA 70/522/2019, KELA 98/522/2019, KELA 134/522/2019, KELA 138/522/2019, KELA 2/522/2020, KELA 16/522/2020), Findata permit numbers THL/2364/14.02/2020, THL/4055/14.06.00/ 2020,,THL/3433/14.06.00/2020, THL/4432/14.06/2020, THL/5189/14.06/ 2020, THL/5894/14.06.00/2020, THL/6619/14.06.00/2020, THL/209/ 14.06.00/2021, THL/688/14.06.00/2021, THL/1284/14.06.00/2021, THL/ 1965/14.06.00/2021, THL/5546/14.02.00/2020, THL/2658/14.06.00/2021, THL/4235/14.06.00/202, Statistics Finland (permit numbers: TK-53-1041-17

(BRIF) code: BRIF6107. The CHRIS study is funded by the Department of

Innovation. Research. and the University of the Autonomous Province of

Bolzano-South Tyrol and supported by the European Regional Development

Fund (FESR1157). We thank the LURM Research Facility at the University

Hospital of Verona for supporting in measuring hepcidin. CROATIA Vis. This

study was funded by the Medical Research Council (UK), European Commission Framework 6 project EUROSPAN (Contract No. LSHG-CT-2006-

018947), and Republic of Croatia Ministry of Science, Education, and Sports research grants to I.R. (108-1080315-0302). CH was supported by an MRC

Human Genetics Unit programme grant, 'Quantitative traits in health and

disease' (U. MC UU 00007/10). We would like to acknowledge the staff of

several institutions in Croatia that supported the fieldwork, including but not

limited to The University of Split and Zagreb Medical Schools, the Institute

for Anthropological Research in Zagreb, and the Croatian Institute for Public

pendent Research (09-069412 and 0602-02634B) and the Bio- and Genome

Bank Denmark. The DBDS genetic infrastructure was supported by the Novo

donors for their valuable participation in the Danish Blood Donor Study, as

well as the staff at the blood centres for making this study possible. deCODE. We would like to thank the individuals who participated in the study and

Nordisk Foundation (NNF17OC0027594). We thank the Danish blood

Health. DBDS. This study was supported by the Danish Council for Inde-

and TK/143/07.03.00/2020 (earlier TK-53-90-20) TK/1735/07.03.00/2021, TK/3112/07.03.00/2021) and Finnish Registry for Kidney Diseases permission/extract from the meeting minutes on 4th July 2019. The Biobank Access Decisions for FinnGen samples and data utilised in FinnGen Data Freeze 10 include: THL Biobank BB2017 55, BB2017 111, BB2018 19, BB 2018 34, BB 2018_67, BB2018_71, BB2019_7, BB2019_8, BB2019_26, BB2020_1, Finnish Red Cross Blood Service Biobank 7.12.2017, Helsinki Biobank HUS/ 359/2017, HUS/248/2020, Auria Biobank AB17-5154 and amendment #1 (August 17 2020), AB20-5926 and amendment #1 (April 23 2020) and it's modification (22 Sep 2021), Biobank Borealis of Northern Finland 2017 1013, Biobank of Eastern Finland 1186/2018 and amendment 22 § /2020, Finnish Clinical Biobank Tampere MH0004 and amendments (21.02.2020 & 06.10.2020), Central Finland Biobank 1-2017, and Terveystalo Biobank STB 2018001 and amendment 25th Aug 2020. InCHIANTI. The study baseline (1998-2000) was supported by the Italian Ministry of Health (ICS110.1/RF97.71) and by the U.S. National Institute on Aging (Contracts: 263 MD 9164 and 263 MD 821336). INGI-VB. The research was supported by funds from Compagnia di San Paolo, Torino, Italy; Fondazione Cariplo, Italy and Ministry of Health, Ricerca Finalizzata 2008 and CCM 2010, and Telethon, Italy to Daniela Toniolo, Italian Ministry of Health, through the contribution given to the Institute for Maternal and Child Health IRCCS Burlo Garofolo, Trieste, Italy-RC 01/21 to MPC, and D70-RESRICGIROTTO to GG. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. We thank the inhabitants of the VB that made this study possible, the local administrations, the Tortona and Genova archdiocese, and the ASL-22, Novi Ligure (AL) for their support. We also thank Prof. Daniela Toniolo for the project supervision, Clara Camaschella for data collection supervision and organisation of the clinical data collection, Fiammetta Viganò for technical help, and Corrado Masciullo and Massimiliano Cocca for building the analysis platform. INTERVAL. The academic coordinating centre for INTERVAL was supported by core funding from NIHR Blood and Transplant Research Unit in Donor Health and Genomics (NIHR BTRU-2014-10024), UK Medical Research Council (MR/L003120/1), British Heart Foundation (SP/09/002; RG/13/13/30194; RG/18/13/33946) and the NIHR Cambridge BRC. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The academic coordinating center would like to thank the blood donor center staff and blood donors for participating in the INTERVAL trial. KORA_F3. The study was initiated and financed by the Helmholtz Zentrum München-German Research Center for Environmental Health, which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and by the State of Bavaria. Furthermore, KORA research was supported within the Munich Center of Health Sciences (MC-Health), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, as part of LMUinnovativ. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. NBS. The Nijmegen Biomedical Study is a population-based survey conducted at the Department for Health Evidence and the Department of Laboratory Medicine of the Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands. Principal investigators of the Nijmegen Biomedical Study are Lambertus Kiemeney, André Verbeek, Dorine Swinkels, and Barbara Franke. We thank Doorlène van Tienoven and Anneke Geurts-Moespot for serum hepcidin measurements. PREVEND. PREVEND genetics was supported by the Dutch Kidney Foundation (Grant E033), the EU project grant GENECURE (FP-6 LSHM CT 2006 037697), the National Institutes of Health (grant 2R01LM010098), The Netherlands organisation for health research and development (NWO-Groot grant 175.010.2007.006, NWO VENI grant 916.761.70, ZonMw grant 90.700.441), and the Dutch Inter University Cardiology Institute Netherlands (ICIN). N. Verweij is supported by NWO VENI grant 016.186.125. We thank all individuals for participating in the PREVEND

study. UK Biobank. This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank resource (Reference 88349).

Author contributions

Analysis: E.A., S.Be., R.S., S.J.K., L.G., D.M.G., F.W., V.T., A.M., S.K., J.Do., J.M., C.F., M.A., J.T., S.M., C.H., T.T., M.P.C., N.V., Y.J.V., T.E.G., E.F., M.M., A.G., K.I., A.Per. Data acquisition: W.O., D.J.R., J.Do., S.R.O., M.H.L., H.U., O.B.P., S.Br., K.B., C.E., DBDS, C.P., P.P.P., M.A., A.Pec., P.H., L.A.K., F.C.S., T.E.G., FinnGen, M.G., J.Da., A.S.B., E.D.A. Design: E.A., S.Be., D.Gil., L.G., R.T.L., M.H.L., H.U., L.F., S.Ba., G.G., P.H., L.A.K., S.S., M.G., A.M.W., A.S.B., E.D.A. Interpretation: E.A., S.Be., D.Gil., L.G., V.T., A.M., E.B., W.O., D.J.R., C.M.D., N.P., J.F.W., K.H., F.Q., P.S., D.Gu., L.D., K.C., M.I., S.Bu., B.B., K.O., D.S., K.S., M.M., K.I., J.Da., A.Per., A.M.W., A.S.B., E.D.A. Supervision: S.R.O., H.U., O.B.P., C.P., P.P.P., D.Gir., M.A., J.T., A.Pet., O.P., I.R., G.G., K.O., D.S., K.S., M.M., A.G., M.G., K.I., J.Da., A.Per., A.M.W., A.Per., A.M.W., A.S.B., E.D.A. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

R.S. is currently employed at Astra Zeneca. N.V. is an employee and stockholder of Regeneron Pharmaceuticals. J.Da. serves on scientific advisory boards for AstraZeneca, Novartis, and UK Biobank, and has received multiple grants from academic, charitable, and industry sources outside of the submitted work. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-07115-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Elias Allara or Emanuele Di Angelantonio.

Peer review information *Communications Biology* thanks Carla Cerami, Christopher D. Vulpe and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Primary Handling Editors: Joao Valente.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024

¹BHF Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ²NIHR Blood and Transplant Research Unit in Donor Health and Behaviour, Cambridge, UK. ³Victor Phillip Dahdaleh Heart and Lung Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁴Precision Breast Cancer Institute, Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁵Cancer Research UK Cambridge Centre, Li Ka Shing Centre, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁶Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK. ⁷Massachusetts Veterans Epidemiology Research and Information Center (MAVERIC), VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA. ⁸Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ⁹Department of Statistics, Federal University of Parana, Curitiba, Brazil. ¹⁰Division of Aging, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ¹¹Genetic Epidemiology Lab, Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland, Helsinki, Finland. ¹²deCODE genetics/Amgen Inc., Reykjavik, Iceland. ¹³UCL Institute of Health Informatics, London, UK. ¹⁴BHF Centre of Research Excellence, School of Clinical Medicine, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK.¹⁵Department of Haematology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.¹⁶NHS Blood and Transplant, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge, UK. ¹⁷Department of Haematology, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust, Cambridge, UK. ¹⁸Department of Haematology, University College London Hospitals NHS Trust, London, UK. ¹⁹Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK. ²⁰Department of Haematology, Churchill Hospital, Headington, Oxford, UK.²¹Department of Clinical Immunology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark.²²Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.²³Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark.²⁴Department of Immunology, Zealand University Hospital, Køge, Denmark.²⁵Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.²⁶Department of Immunology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark. ²⁷Eurac Research, Institute for Biomedicine, Bolzano, Italy. ²⁸Department of Neurology, General Central Hospital, Bolzano, Italy. ²⁹Department of Medicine, Section of Internal Medicine, EuroBloodNet Referral Center, University Hospital of Verona, Verona, Italy.³⁰Finnish Red Cross Blood Service, Helsinki, Finland. ³¹msg life central europe gmbh, München, Germany.³²Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Munich, Neuherberg, Germany.³³German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Neuherberg, Germany, ³⁴Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometry and Epidemiology, Medical Faculty, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, München, Germany. 35 Faculty of Medicine, University of Split, Split, Croatia. 36 Centre for Global Health Research, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland Edinburgh, UK. ³⁷Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Cancer, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. ³⁸Centre for Cardiovascular Sciences, Queens Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK. 39 Genomics Research Centre, Human Technopole, Milan, Italy. ⁴⁰Donor Studies, Department of Donor Medicine Research, Sanguin Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁴¹Department of Public and Occupational Health, Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁴²Longitudinal studies section, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, MD, USA. 43 Geriatric Unit, Azienda Sanitaria Firenze (ASF), Florence, Italy. 44 Institute for Maternal and Child Health - IRCCS, Burlo Garofolo, Trieste, Italy. ⁴⁵Department of Medicine, Surgery and Health Sciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy.⁴⁶Department of Cardiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. 47 Regeneron Genetics Center, Tarrytown, NY, USA. 48 Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ⁴⁹IQ Health, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁵⁰Department of Urology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁵¹Department of Laboratory Medicine, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁵²School of Engineering and Natural Sciences, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland. 53 Department of Nutrition, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA. 54 Cambridge Baker Systems Genomics Initiative, Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Australia.⁵⁵Health Data Research UK Cambridge, Wellcome Genome Campus and University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. 56 Cambridge Baker Systems Genomics Initiative, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. ⁵⁷Medical Research Council Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge, UK. ⁵⁸Australian Centre for Precision Health & Allied Health and Human Performance, University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia.⁵⁹South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Adelaide, Australia.⁶⁰Neurogenetic Systems Analysis Group, Institute of Neurogenomics, Helmholtz Munich, Neuherberg, Germany.⁶¹Institute of Human Genetics, School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, München, Germany. 62 Sanguin Blood Bank, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. 63 Faculty of Medicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland. ⁶⁴Department of Human Genetics, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK.⁶⁵Cambridge Centre of Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, Cambridge, UK.⁶⁶Health Data Science Centre, Human Technopole, Milan, Italy. ¹⁴⁶These authors contributed equally: Elias Allara, Steven Bell. ¹⁴⁷These authors jointly supervised this work: John Danesh, Alexandre Pereira, Angela M. Wood, Adam S. Butterworth, Emanuele Di Angelantonio. 🖂 e-mail: ea431@medschl.cam.ac.uk; ed303@medschl.cam.ac.uk

DBDS Genomic Consortium

Karina Banasik \mathbb{O}^{25} , Jakob Bay²⁴, Jens Kjærgaard Boldsen²⁶, Thorsten Brodersen²⁴, Søren Brunak \mathbb{O}^{25} , Alfonso Buil Demur⁶⁷, Lea Arregui Nordahl Christoffersen²⁴, Maria Didriksen²¹, Khoa Manh Dinh^{21,26}, Joseph Dowsett \mathbb{O}^{21} , Christian Erikstrup^{26,68}, Bjarke Feenstra^{21,69}, Frank Geller^{21,69}, Daniel Gudbjartsson⁷⁰, Thomas Folkmann Hansen⁷¹, Dorte Helenius Mikkelsen⁶⁷, Lotte Hindhede²⁶, Henrik Hjalgrim^{69,72}, Jakob Hjorth von Stemann²¹, Bitten Aagaard Jensen⁷³, Andrew Joseph Schork⁶⁷, Kathrine Kaspersen²⁶, Bertram Dalskov Kjerulff²⁶, Mette Kongstad²¹, Susan Mikkelsen²⁶, Christina Mikkelsen²¹, Janna Nissen²¹, Mette Nyegaard⁷⁴, Sisse Rye Ostrowski $\mathbb{O}^{21,22}$, Ole Birger Pedersen $\mathbb{O}^{22,24}$, Liam James Elgaard Quinn²⁴, Þórunn Rafnar⁷⁰, Palle Duun Rohde⁷⁴, Klaus Rostgaard^{69,72}, Michael Schwinn²¹, Erik Sørensen²¹, Kari Stefansson⁷⁰, Hreinn Stefánsson⁷⁰, Lise Wegner Thørner²¹, Unnur Þorsteinsdóttir⁷⁰, Mie Topholm Bruun⁷⁵, Henrik Ullum²³, Thomas Werge^{22,67} & David Westergaard²⁵

⁶⁷Institute of Biological Psychiatry, Mental Health Centre, Sct. Hans, Copenhagen University Hospital, Roskilde, Denmark. ⁶⁸Department of Clinical Medicine, Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. ⁶⁹Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁷⁰deCODE Genetics, Reykjavik, Iceland. ⁷¹Danish Headache Center, Department of Neurology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet-Glostrup, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁷²Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁷³Department of Clinical Immunology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark. ⁷⁴Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark. ⁷⁵Department of Clinical Immunology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark.

FinnGen Consortium

Aarno Palotie^{76,77,78}, Mark Daly^{76,77,78}, Bridget Riley-Gills⁷⁹, Howard Jacob⁷⁹, Dirk Paul⁸⁰, Slavé Petrovski⁸⁰, Chia-Yen Chen⁸¹, Sally John⁸¹, George Okafo⁸², Robert Plenge⁸³, Joseph Maranville⁸³, Mark McCarthy⁸⁴, Rion Pendergrass⁸⁴, Margaret G. Ehm⁸⁵, Kirsi Auro⁸⁶, Simonne Longerich⁸⁷, Anders Mälarstig⁸⁸, Anna Vlahiotis⁸⁸, Katherine Klinger⁸⁹, Clement Chatelain⁸⁹, Matthias Gossel⁸⁹, Karol Estrada⁹⁰, Robert Graham⁹⁰, Dawn Waterworth⁹¹, Chris O'Donnell⁹², Nicole Renaud⁹², Tomi P. Mäkelä⁹³, Jaakko Kaprio⁷⁶, Minna Ruddock⁹⁴, Petri Virolainen⁹⁵, Antti Hakanen⁹⁵, Terhi Kilpi⁹⁶, Markus Perola⁹⁶, Jukka Partanen⁹⁷, Taneli Raivio⁹⁸, Jani Tikkanen⁹⁹, Raisa Serpi⁹⁹, Tarja Laitinen^{100,101}, Veli-Matti Kosma¹⁰², Jari Laukkanen^{103,104}, Marco Hautalahti¹⁰⁵, Outi Tuovila¹⁰⁶, Raimo Pakkanen¹⁰⁶, Jeffrey Waring⁷⁹, Fedik Rahimov⁷⁹, Ioanna Tachmazidou⁸⁰, Zhihao Ding⁷, Marc Jung⁸², Hanati Tuoken⁸², Shameek Biswas⁸³, David Pulford¹⁰⁷, Neha Raghavan⁸⁷, Adriana Huertas-Vazguez⁸⁷, Jae-Hoon Sul⁸⁷, Xinli Hu⁸⁸, Åsa Hedman⁸⁸, Ma´en Obeidat⁹², Jonathan Chung⁹², Jonas Zierer⁹², Mari Niemi⁹², Samuli Ripatti⁷⁶, Johanna Schleutker^{95,115}, Mikko Arvas⁹⁷, Olli Carpén^{98,117}, Reetta Hinttala⁹⁹, Johannes Kettunen^{99,111}, Arto Mannermaa¹⁰², Katriina Aalto-Setälä¹¹², Mika Kähönen^{100,101}, Johanna Mäkelä¹⁰⁵, Reetta Kälviäinen¹²⁰, Valtteri Julkunen¹²⁰, Hilkka Soininen¹²⁰, Anne Remes¹¹¹, Mikko Hiltunen¹²¹, Jukka Peltola¹⁰¹, Minna Raivio¹¹⁷, Pentti Tienari¹¹⁷, Juha Rinne¹¹⁵, Roosa Kallionpää¹¹⁵, Juulia Partanen¹¹⁶, Adam Ziemann⁷⁹, Nizar Smaoui⁷⁹, Anne Lehtonen⁷⁹, Susan Eaton⁸¹, Heiko Runz⁸¹, Sanni Lahdenperä⁸¹, Natalie Bowers⁸⁴, Edmond Teng⁸⁴, Fanli Xu¹²³, Laura Addis¹²³, John Eicher¹²³, Qingqin S. Li¹²⁶, Karen He⁹¹, Ekaterina Khramtsova⁹¹, Martti Färkkilä¹¹⁷, Jukka Koskela¹¹⁷, Sampsa Pikkarainen¹¹⁷, Airi Jussila¹⁰¹, Katri Kaukinen¹⁰¹, Timo Blomster¹¹¹, Mikko Kiviniemi¹²⁰, Markku Voutilainen¹¹⁵, Tim Lu⁸⁴, Linda McCarthy¹²³, Amy Hart⁹¹, Meijian Guan⁹¹, Jason Miller⁸⁷, Kirsi Kalpala⁸⁸, Melissa Miller⁸⁸, Xinli Hu⁸⁸, Kari Eklund¹¹⁷, Antti Palomäki¹¹⁵, Pia Isomäki¹⁰¹, Laura Pirilä¹¹⁵, Oili Kaipiainen-Seppänen¹²⁰, Johanna Huhtakangas¹¹¹, Nina Mars¹, Apinya Lertratanakul⁷⁹, Coralie Viollet⁸⁰, Marla Hochfeld⁸³, Jorge Esparza Gordillo¹²³, Fabiana Farias⁸⁷, Nan Bing⁸⁸, Xinli Hu⁸⁸, Margit Pelkonen¹²⁰, Paula Kauppi¹¹⁷, Hannu Kankaanranta^{108,109,125}, Terttu Harju¹¹¹, Riitta Lahesmaa¹¹⁵, Susan Eaton⁸¹, Hubert Chen⁸⁴, Joanna Betts¹²³, Rajashree Mishra¹²³, Majd Mouded¹²⁹, Debby Ngo¹²⁹, Teemu Niiranen¹¹⁰, Felix Vaura¹¹⁰, Veikko Salomaa¹¹⁰, Kaj Metsärinne¹¹⁵, Jenni Aittokallio¹¹⁵, Jussi Hernesniemi¹⁰¹, Daniel Gordin¹¹⁷, Juha Sinisalo¹¹⁷, Marja-Riitta Taskinen¹¹⁷, Tiinamaija Tuomi¹¹⁷, Timo Hiltunen¹¹⁷, Amanda Elliott^{76,78,127}, Mary Pat Reeve⁷⁶, Sanni Ruotsalainen⁷⁶, Audrey Chu¹²³, Dermot Reilly¹²⁸, Mike Mendelson¹²², Jaakko Parkkinen⁸⁸, Tuomo Meretoja¹³⁰, Heikki Joensuu¹¹³, Johanna Mattson¹¹⁷, Eveliina Salminen¹¹⁷, Annika Auranen¹⁰¹, Peeter Karihtala¹¹³, Päivi Auvinen¹²⁰, Klaus Elenius¹¹⁵, Esa Pitkänen⁷⁶, Nina Mars⁷⁶, Mark Daly^{76,77,78}, Relja Popovic⁷⁹, Margarete Fabre⁸⁰, Jennifer Schutzman⁸⁴, Diptee Kulkarni¹²³, Alessandro Porello⁹¹, Andrey Loboda⁸⁷, Heli Lehtonen⁸⁸, Stefan McDonough⁸⁸, Sauli Vuoti¹³¹, Kai Kaarniranta^{118,120}, Joni A. Turunen^{119,134}, Terhi Ollila¹¹⁷, Hannu Uusitalo¹⁰¹, Juha Karjalainen⁷⁶, Mengzhen Liu⁷⁹, Stephanie Loomis⁸¹, Erich Strauss⁸⁴, Hao Chen⁸⁴, Kaisa Tasanen¹¹¹, Laura Huilaja¹¹¹, Katariina Hannula-Jouppi¹¹⁷, Teea Salmi¹⁰¹, Sirkku Peltonen¹¹⁵, Leena Koulu¹¹⁵, David Choy⁸⁴, Ying Wu⁸⁸, Pirkko Pussinen¹¹⁷, Aino Salminen¹¹⁷, Tuula Salo¹¹⁷, David Rice¹¹⁷, Pekka Nieminen¹¹⁷, Ulla Palotie¹¹⁷, Maria Siponen¹²⁰, Liisa Suominen¹²⁰, Päivi Mäntylä¹²⁰, Ulvi Gursoy¹¹⁵, Vuokko Anttonen¹¹¹, Kirsi Sipilä^{114,135}, Hannele Laivuori⁷⁶, Venla Kurra¹⁰¹, Laura Kotaniemi-Talonen¹⁰¹, Oskari Heikinheimo¹¹⁷, Ilkka Kalliala¹¹⁷, Lauri Aaltonen¹¹⁷, Varpu Jokimaa¹¹⁵, Marja Vääräsmäki¹¹¹, Outi Uimari¹¹¹, Laure Morin-Papunen¹¹¹, Maarit Niinimäki¹¹¹, Terhi Piltonen¹¹¹, Katja Kivinen⁷⁶, Elisabeth Widen⁷⁶, Taru Tukiainen⁷⁶, Niko Välimäki¹³⁷, Eija Laakkonen¹³⁸, Jaakko Tyrmi^{139,140}, Heidi Silven¹⁴⁰, Eeva Sliz¹⁴⁰, Riikka Arffman¹⁴⁰, Susanna Savukoski¹⁴⁰, Triin Laisk¹³³, Natalia Pujol¹³³, Mengzhen Liu⁷⁹, Janet Kumar⁸⁵, Iiris Hovatta¹³⁷, Erkki Isometsä¹¹⁷, Hanna Ollila⁷⁶, Jaana Suvisaari¹¹⁰, Antti Mäkitie¹³², Argyro Bizaki-Vallaskangas¹⁰¹, Sanna Toppila-Salmi^{136,141}, Tytti Willberg¹¹⁵, Elmo Saarentaus⁷⁶, Antti Aarnisalo¹¹⁷, Elisa Rahikkala¹¹¹, Kristiina Aittomäki¹²⁴, Fredrik Åberg¹⁴², Mitja Kurki^{76,127}, Aki Havulinna^{76,110}, Juha Mehtonen⁷⁶, Priit Palta⁷⁶, Shabbeer Hassan⁷⁶, Pietro Della Briotta Parolo⁷⁶, Wei Zhou¹²⁷, Mutaamba Maasha¹²⁷, Susanna Lemmelä⁷⁶, Manuel Rivas¹⁴³, Aoxing Liu⁷⁶, Arto Lehisto⁷⁶, Andrea Ganna⁷⁶, Vincent Llorens⁷⁶, Henrike Heyne⁷⁶, Nina Mars⁷⁶, Joel Rämö⁷⁶, Rodos Rodosthenous⁷⁶, Satu Strausz⁷⁶, Tuula Palotie¹⁴⁴, Kimmo Palin¹³⁷, Javier Garcia-Tabuenca¹³⁹, Harri Siirtola¹³⁹, Tuomo Kiiskinen¹, Jiwoo Lee^{76,127}, Kristin Tsuo^{76,127}, Kati Kristiansson⁹⁶, Kati Hyvärinen³⁰, Jarmo Ritari³⁰, Katri Pylkäs¹⁴⁰, Minna Karjalainen¹⁴⁰, Tuomo Mantere⁹⁹, Eeva Kangasniemi¹⁰⁰, Sami Heikkinen¹²¹, Nina Pitkänen⁹⁵, Samuel Lessard⁸⁹, Lila Kallio⁹⁵, Tiina Wahlfors⁹⁶, Eero Punkka⁹⁸, Sanna Siltanen¹⁰⁰, Teijo Kuopio¹⁰³, Anu Jalanko⁷⁶, Huei-Yi Shen⁷⁶, Risto Kajanne⁷⁶, Mervi Aavikko⁷⁶, Helen Cooper⁷⁶, Denise Öller⁷⁶, Rasko Leinonen^{76,145}, Henna Palin¹⁰⁰, Malla-Maria Linna⁹⁸, Masahiro Kanai¹²⁷, Zhili Zheng¹²⁷, L. Elisa Lahtela⁷⁶, Mari Kaunisto⁷⁶, Elina Kilpeläinen⁷⁶, Timo P. Sipilä⁷⁶, Oluwaseun Alexander Dada⁷⁶, Awaisa Ghazal⁷⁶, Anastasia Kytölä⁷⁶, Rigbe Weldatsadik⁷⁶, Kati Donner⁷⁶, Anu Loukola⁹⁸, Päivi Laiho⁹⁶, Tuuli Sistonen⁹⁶, Essi Kaiharju⁹⁶, Markku Laukkanen⁹⁶, Elina Järvensivu⁹⁶, Sini Lähteenmäki⁹⁶, Lotta Männikkö⁹⁶, Regis Wong⁹⁶, Auli Toivola⁹⁶, Minna Brunfeldt⁹⁶, Hannele Mattsson⁹⁶, Sami Koskelainen⁹⁶, Tero Hiekkalinna⁹⁶, Teemu Paajanen⁹⁶, Shuang Luo⁷⁶, Shanmukha Sampath Padmanabhuni⁷⁶, Marianna Niemi¹³⁹, Javier Gracia-Tabuenca¹³⁹, Mika Helminen¹³⁹, Tiina Luukkaala¹³⁹, Iida Vähätalo¹³⁹, Jyrki Tammerluoto⁷⁶, Sarah Smith¹⁰⁵, Tom Southerington¹⁰⁵ & Petri Lehto¹⁰⁵

⁷⁶Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ⁷⁷Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Boston, MA, USA. ⁷⁸Massachusetts General Hospital, New Boston, NY, USA. ⁷⁹Abbvie, Chicago, IL, USA. ⁸⁰Astra Zeneca, Cambridge, United Kingdom. ⁸¹Biogen, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁸²Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany. ⁸³Bristol Myers Squibb, New York, NY, USA. ⁸⁴Genentech, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁸⁵GlaxoSmithKline, Collegeville, PA, USA. 86 GlaxoSmithKline, Espoo, Finland. 87 Merck, Kenilworth, NJ, USA. 88 Pfizer, New York, NY, USA. 89 Translational Sciences, Sanofi R&D, Framingham, MA, USA, ⁹⁰Maze Therapeutics, San Francisco, CA, USA, ⁹¹Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Spring House, PA, USA, ⁹²Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁹³HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ⁹⁴Arctic biobank/University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ⁹⁵Auria Biobank/ University of Turku/Hospital District of Southwest Finland, Turku, Finland. 96 THL Biobank/Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), Helsinki, Finland. 97 Finnish Red Cross Blood Service/Finnish Hematology Registry and Clinical Biobank, Helsinki, Finland. 98 Helsinki Biobank/Helsinki University and Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa, Helsinki, Finland, ⁹⁹Northern Finland Biobank Borealis/University of Oulu/Northern Ostrobothnia Hospital District, Oulu, Finland, ¹⁰⁰Finnish Clinical Biobank Tampere/University of Tampere/Pirkanmaa Hospital District, Tampere, Finland, ¹⁰¹Pirkanmaa Hospital District, Tampere, Finland, ¹⁰²Biobank of Eastern Finland/ University of Eastern Finland/Northern Savo Hospital District, Kuopio, Finland. ¹⁰³Central Finland Biobank/University of Jyväskylä/Central Finland Health Care District, Jyväskylä, Finland. ¹⁰⁴Central Finland Health Care District, Jyväskylä, Finland. ¹⁰⁵FINBB - Finnish biobank cooperative, Kuopio, Finland. ¹⁰⁶Business Finland, Helsinki, Finland.¹⁰⁷GlaxoSmithKline, Stevenage, United Kingdom.¹⁰⁸University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden.¹⁰⁹Seinäjoki Central Hospital, Seinäjoki, Finland. ¹¹⁰Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), Helsinki, Finland. ¹¹¹Northern Ostrobothnia Hospital District, Oulu, Finland. ¹¹²Faculty of Medicine and Health Technology, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland, ¹¹³Department of Oncology, Helsinki University Hospital Comprehensive Cancer Center and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ¹¹⁴Research Unit of Oral Health Sciences Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ¹¹⁵Hospital District of Southwest Finland, Turku, Finland.¹¹⁶Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland, HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.¹¹⁷Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa, Helsinki, Finland. ¹¹⁸Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland. ¹¹⁹Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ¹²⁰Northern Savo Hospital District, Kuopio, Finland. ¹²¹University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland. ¹²²Novartis, Boston, MA, USA. ¹²³GlaxoSmithKline, Brentford, United Kingdom. ¹²⁴Department of Medical Genetics, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland. ¹²⁵Tampere University, Tampere, Finland. ¹²⁶Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Titusville, NJ 08560, USA. 127 Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA. 128 Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Boston, MA, USA. 129 Novartis, Basel, Switzerland. ¹³⁰Department of Breast Surgery, Helsinki University Hospital Comprehensive Cancer Center and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. 131 Janssen-Cilag Oy, Espoo, Finland. 132 Department of Otorhinolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland.¹³³Estonian biobank, Tartu, Estonia.¹³⁴Eye Genetics Group, Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland.¹³⁵Medical Research Center, Oulu, Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ¹³⁶University of Eastern Finland and Kuopio University Hospital, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Kuopio, Finland. ¹³⁷University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ¹³⁸University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland. ¹³⁹University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland. ¹⁴⁰University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. 141 Department of Allergy, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. 142 Transplantation and Liver Surgery Clinic, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki University, Helsinki, Finland. 143 University of Stanford, Stanford, CA, USA. 144 University of Helsinki and Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa, Helsinki, Finland. ¹⁴⁵European Molecular Biology Laboratory, European Bioinformatics Institute, Cambridge, UK.