

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Pérez-Prieto, Inmaculada; Plaza-Florido, Abel; Ubago-Guisado, Esther; Ortega, Francisco, B.; Altmäe, Signe

Title: Physical activity, sedentary behavior and microbiome : A systematic review and metaanalysis

Year: 2024

Version: Published version

Copyright: © 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Sports Medicine Austra

Rights: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Please cite the original version:

Pérez-Prieto, I., Plaza-Florido, A., Ubago-Guisado, E., Ortega, F., & Altmäe, S. (2024). Physical activity, sedentary behavior and microbiome : A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 37(11), 793-804. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2024.07.003

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jsams

Physical activity, sedentary behavior and microbiome: A systematic review and meta-analysis



^a Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology I, Faculty of Sciences, University of Granada, Spain

^b Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.GRANADA, Spain

^c Department of Physical Education and Sports, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Sport and Health University Research Institute (iMUDS), University of Granada, Spain

^d Pediatric Exercise and Genomics Research Center, UC Irvine School of Medicine, United States

^e CIBER de Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBEROBN), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Granada, Spain

^f Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

^g Division of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Department of Clinical Science, Intervention and Technology, Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge, Stockholm, Sweden

^h Department of Gynaecology and Reproductive Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital, Huddinge, Stockholm, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 May 2023 Received in revised form 18 May 2024 Accepted 2 July 2024 Available online 9 July 2024

Keywords: Exercise Microbiota Omics 165 rRNA gene sequencing Metagenomics

ABSTRACT

Background: The effects of physical activity and sedentary behavior on human health are well known, however, the molecular mechanisms are poorly understood. Growing evidence points to physical activity as an important modulator of the composition and function of microbial communities, while evidence of sedentary behavior is scarce. We aimed to synthesize and meta-analyze the current evidence about the effects of physical activity and sedentary behavior on microbiome across different body sites and in different populations.

Methods: A systematic search in PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus and Cochrane databases was conducted until September 2022. Random-effects meta-analyses including cross-sectional studies (active vs. inactive/athletes vs. non-athletes) or trials reporting the chronic effect of physical activity interventions on gut microbiome alpha-diversity in healthy individuals were performed.

Results: Ninety-one studies were included in this systematic review. Our meta-analyses of 2632 participants indicated no consistent effect of physical activity on microbial alpha-diversity, although there seems to be a trend toward a higher microbial richness in athletes compared to non-athletes. Most of studies reported an increase in short-chain fatty acid-producing bacteria such as *Akkermansia*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Veillonella* or *Roseburia* in active individuals and after physical activity interventions.

Conclusions: Physical activity levels were positively associated with the relative abundance of short-chain fatty acid-producing bacteria. Athletes seem to have a richer microbiome compared to non-athletes. However, high heterogeneity between studies avoids obtaining conclusive information on the role of physical activity in microbial composition. Future multi-omics studies would enhance our understanding of the molecular effects of physical activity and sedentary behavior on the microbiome.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Sports Medicine Australia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Key points

 Most studies indicate that physical activity alters the microbiome composition, mainly affecting the relative abundance of short-chain fatty acid-producing bacteria with health benefits, although its influence on microbiome diversity is unclear. Therefore, the existing evidence needs to be quantified using meta-analytic methods in the

Corresponding authors.
E-mail addresses: inmapprieto@ugr.es (I. Pérez-Prieto), abeladrian@ugr.es
(A. Plaza-Florido), ortegaf@ugr.es (F.B. Ortega), signealtmae@ugr.es (S. Altmäe).

Social media: J@InmaPerezPrieto (I. Pérez-Prieto).

physical activity-microbiome field.

- Our search identified high heterogeneity in study populations and characteristics of physical activity interventions, most of evidence based on cross-sectional studies using self-reported questionnaires to assess physical activity, lack of reference pipelines for microbiome analysis and relevant covariates missing in statistical analyses, especially diet.
- More research on the effect of sedentary behavior on microbiome composition is needed.
- Integrated multi-omics studies on bigger sample size are warranted to clarify the molecular effects of physical activity and sedentary behavior on human microbial communities.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2024.07.003



Review



^{1440-2440/© 2024} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Sports Medicine Australia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

• Our systematic review and meta-analysis suggests that (1) physical activity levels were positively associated with the relative abundance of short-chain fatty acid-producing bacteria; and that (2) athletes seem to have a richer microbiome compared to non-athletes.

1. Introduction

It is well known that physical activity (PA) (i.e., any movement produced by skeletal muscles which demands a higher energy expenditure than in resting conditions) can improve different health-related outcomes such as insulin resistance, adiposity, and fitness, among others.^{1,2} A related yet different construct is sedentary behavior (SB) (i.e., a behavior characterized by an energy expenditure of 1.5 or fewer metabolic equivalents [METs]), and is associated with a higher risk of different diseases.^{3,4} Thus, increasing PA and reducing SB have been considered to prevent and treat multiple chronic diseases.⁵ However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the health benefits of PA (acute or chronic effects) and the adverse effects of SB on health are poorly understood.⁶

In the last decades, a new insight of the human being as a set of microbial and human cells has emerged.⁷ The collection of microorganisms including bacteria, viruses, fungi and archaea that inhabits our body is defined as the microbiota and is at least as abundant as the number of human cells.⁸ The genomes of the abovementioned microorganisms (i.e., microbiota) are called the microbiome, which is considered "our second genome" and "our last organ" due to its important role in human physiology.^{9,10} Microbiome composition is profiled through metagenomics approaches such as marker gene and shotgun metagenomic sequencing. While marker gene sequencing targets a specific sequence of a gene (e.g., 16S rRNA gene) to provide a microbial classification that lacks accuracy at the species level, shotgun metagenomics consists of the sequencing of all microbial genomes within a sample, allowing a deeper taxonomic composition at species level and detecting viral and eukaryotic DNA. Metagenomics studies (e.g., marker gene sequencing and shotgun metagenomic sequencing) led to the characterization of microbial composition using three common analyses: (1) alpha-diversity, that characterizes the microbial diversity within a sample considering richness and evenness (i.e., the number and the relative abundance of microbes); (2) beta-diversity, which measures the diversity between samples assigning a numerical value for every pair of samples to determine microbial community-level dissimilarities; and (3) differential abundance analysis, that identifies those microorganisms that differ in abundance when compared different samples.

There is evidence indicating that environmental and lifestyle factors such as pollutants, drugs, diet, lack of PA and increased SB, among others, may have a negative impact on microbiome composition and function leading to the disruption of the microbial homeostasis.¹¹⁻¹⁴ In fact, microbial imbalances have been associated with the development of multiple diseases such as obesity,^{15,16} type 2 diabetes,¹⁷ and cancer,^{18,19} among others. Thus, there is a growing interest to determine the composition of the "healthy core" microbiome and the factors that could shape the microbial communities, such as PA and SB, in order to design new therapeutic interventions.^{20,21}

Particularly, PA has been proposed as one of the modulators of the host-associated microbiome, while little is known about the effect of SB on microbial communities due to the limited number of studies.^{22–24} Recent advances in meta-omics-based studies (i.e., marker gene sequencing, metagenomics, meta-transcriptomics, meta-proteomics, and meta-metabolomics) allow the identification of the molecular pathways regulated by PA.²⁵ Thus, the effect of PA on the microbiome, especially on the gut microbial communities, is a research topic of increasing interest.^{26,27} In the last years, several systematic reviews reported the effects of PA on the gut microbiome of healthy adults,^{23,28–30} older adults³¹ and adults with obesity and type 2 diabetes.^{32,33} In addition, a systematic review on the effect of aerobic athletic performance has been recently published.³⁴ However, the aforementioned systematic reviews showed inconsistent findings from observational and

intervention studies.^{23,28–33} Therefore, there is a need to synthesize the whole body of knowledge about the effect of PA and SB on the microbiome including healthy (e.g., non-athletes and professional athletes), unhealthy populations (e.g., obesity, diabetes, cancer), different stages of life (i.e., children, young and older adults), and different body niches (e.g., gut, saliva, vagina, etc.) through metagenomics approaches. In addition, there is still a lack of meta-analytic studies quantifying the effect of PA on the microbiome within non-athletic populations so far.

Therefore, the current study aimed: (1) to summarize all the studies available about the relationship of PA and SB (observational and intervention studies) with the human-associated microbiome performing metagenomics and (2) meta-analyze the available data.

2. Material and methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (PRISMA).³⁵ The review protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO) with the reference number: CRD42022298526.

2.1. Search strategy

A systematic search was conducted in PubMed, Web of Science, SCOPUS, and Cochrane electronic databases from inception to September 29, 2022. Search terms were included based on the sport science and microbiome terms of interest. Table 1 includes a list with the

Table 1

Definition of main microbiome-related terms used in this systematic review.

Term	Definition
Microbiota	Collection of microorganisms, including bacteria, archaea, viruses and fungal communities, that collectively inhabit a particular environment (e.g., gut, blood, vagina, etc.)
Microbiome	Collection of genomes of the microorganisms inhabiting a particular environment
Alpha-diversity	, iversity within a sample taking into account both the number of microorganisms in a sample (richness) and their distribution (evenness)
Shannon Diversity Index	Alpha-diversity estimator of microbial richness and evenness within a sample or niche
Chao1 Index	Alpha-diversity abundance-based estimator of microbial richness within a sample or niche
Beta-diversity	Diversity between samples taking into account a distance matrix that reflects how compositionally different the samples are from one another (i.e., dissimilarity between samples)
Meta-omics	Refers to those techniques, including marker gene sequencing, metagenomics, meta-transcriptomics, meta-proteomics, and meta-metabolomics, which directly examine the phylogenetic markers, genes, transcripts, proteins, or metabolites from a microbial community
Marker gene sequencing (e.g., 16S rRNA gene sequencing)	DNA sequencing method to identify the microbes present in a given microbial community through the analysis of a sequence variation (i.e., hypervariable region) of a single ubiquitous gene (e.g., 16S ribosomal RNA gene)
Metagenomics (or shotgun metagenomics)	DNA sequencing method to assess the entire functional gene content of a given microbial community. It provides a much greater specific identification of the microbes compared to marker gene analysis (e.g., 16S rRNA gene), in which classification is normally limited to the genus level as multiple species may have the same sequence within the studied by pervariable region.
Meta-transcriptomics	RNA sequencing method to assess the transcriptionally active microbes of a given microbial community, providing knowledge of the functional activity of these microorganisms
Meta-proteomics	Method to characterize the entire microbial protein complement of a sample
Meta-metabolomics	Method to identify the microbial metabolites present in a sample

main terms and their definitions related to microbiome field used in this systematic search. Electronic Supplementary Table S1 illustrates the search terms and strategy for each database.

2.2. Study selection criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) all observational studies (longitudinal or cross-sectional) that report the association of PA and/or SB with microbiome; and (2) all original studies that included the effect of PA (acute and/or chronic effects) on microbiome. The exclusion criteria were: (1) studies addressing the effect of PA (acute or chronic effects) on microbiome containing diet modifications, probiotics and prebiotic supplements or caloric restriction, in which it was not possible to isolate the independent effect of PA, (2) non-eligible publication types, such as editorials, study protocols, letters to the editors, meeting abstracts, or review articles, and (3) studies written in any language other than English or Spanish.

The selection process of the studies resulting from the literature search was performed using the software "Covidence" (https://www.covidence. org/), which detected duplicates. After removing the duplicates, the articles were first independently filtered by title/abstract screening by two researchers (I.P.P and A.P.F). Those articles that met the inclusion criteria were selected for the full-text review. Conflictive articles were solved through common consensus by the same researchers (I.P.P and A.P.F). Any article that did not meet the eligibility criteria was excluded. The quality assessment of the included studies was independently conducted by I.P.P and A.P.F (see Electronic Supplementary Material Appendix S1).

2.3. Data extraction

For each study, one researcher (I.P.P) conducted the data extraction including the following information: (1) author's name and date of publication, (2) study design, (3) characteristics of the population (number of participants, sex, age and ethnicity), (4) characteristics of the exposure (i.e., PA or SB), (5) sample origin, (6) dependent outcome (i.e., DNA extraction method, detection method of the microbiome and sequencing platform), (7) dietary record, (8) microbiome analyses, (9) main findings and (10) raw data availability. A second researcher (A.P.F) performed a double-check for data correction.

2.4. Data synthesis and meta-analysis

We conducted three meta-analyses including cross-sectional studies (active vs. inactive/athletes vs. non-athletes) or trials reporting the chronic effect of PA interventions on gut microbiome diversity (specifically alpha-diversity, expressed by the Shannon diversity and Chao1 indexes) in healthy individuals. This decision was made considering the limited availability of microbiome data and the heterogeneity observed across the identified studies (see Electronic Supplementary Material Appendix S1 for detailed explanation).

Statistical analyses were performed using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software (version 3; Biostat Inc., 1385, NJ, USA). The effect size was calculated using Cohen's *d* and 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) for standardized mean difference (SMD). Pooled SMD was estimated using a random-effects model. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the I² statistics, which represents the percentage of total variation across studies, considering I² values of 25 %, 50 %, and 75 % as low, moderate and high heterogeneity, respectively.³⁶ A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. General overview

PRISMA checklist 2020 reflects the appropriateness of the methods performed in this systematic review and meta-analysis (Electronic Supplementary Tables S2, S3). Fig. 1 illustrates the PRISMA flow diagram of the search process. A total of 12,503 articles were detected across the four databases, and after removing the duplicates and noneligible articles, 91 studies were included in this systematic review: 50 observational studies (all cross-sectional),^{37–86} 9 studies reported the acute effects of PA (e.g., following a marathon, rowing, etc.) on microbiome,^{25,87–94} and 32 studies reported the chronic effects of PA on microbiome (17 non-RCT, 13 RCT and 2 randomized controlled cross-over trials).^{24,95–125} Of the 50 cross-sectional studies, 8 were eligible (based on availability of microbiome diversity data and healthy participants) for the first meta-analysis comparing groups of high and low PA levels in non-athletes,^{41,42,44,46,53,73,76,84} and 11 were included in the second meta-analysis comparing athletes vs. non-athletes.^{42,56,57,59,64,67,80,82,84,85,112} Of the 32 intervention studies, 7 were selected for the third meta-analysis, to evaluate the chronic effects of PA on microbiome alpha-diversity.^{102,104,105,107,116,119,125}

Seventeen studies reported significant associations between PA^{40,44–47,50,52,53,70,72–75,78} or SB^{39,41,71} and microbial diversity (i.e., alpha- and/or beta-diversity), and 19 studies found significant differences in the relative abundance of specific bacteria in active vs. inactive participants^{37,39–41,45–48,50,51,53–55,70,73,75–77,84} (Table S8). Sixteen studies found significant differences in microbial diversity^{56,57,59,60,62–66,68,69,80–82,85,86} and 13 in the abundance of specific microbial taxa^{56,60,61,63–66,80–82,84,85,112} between athletes vs. non-athletes, professional vs. amateur or athletes from different sports. Three studies detected significant differences in alpha-diversity,^{90,91,93} while 8 studies described significant changes in the relative abundance of certain bacteria after acute PA interventions.^{25,87,88,90–94} Seventeen studies detected significant differences in alpha- and/or beta-diversity,^{24,95,97,98,101,103,106,109,110,112,115,118,119,121,122,124,125} and 24 studies described significant changes in the relative abundance of certain bacteria after chronic PA interventions.^{24,95,97,99–103,105–107,109,110,113–116,118–123,125}

The sample sizes ranged from 1^{91} to 2183,⁷² Fifty-three studies involved both male and female participants, while 12 were exclusively conducted on women and 25 on men (see Table S8). One study did not report the gender of the participants.¹¹⁸ Regarding age, 5 studies recruited children (i.e., 7–12 years) and/or adolescents (i.e., 13–17 years), 67 included young and middle-aged adults (i.e., 18–64 years), 12 older adults (i.e., ≥65 years), 3 studies combined adolescents and adults and 4 adults of different ages (Table S8). Fiftynine studies were performed on healthy individuals, while 32 studies included participants with different diseases such as obesity or breast cancer, among others (Table S8).

Regarding the exposure, 26 cross-sectional studies recorded PA using self-reported questionnaires, ^{37–42,44–55,72–75,77–79,84} whereas 8 studies included PA data registered by accelerometry^{39,43,45,46,51,70,73,76} (Table S8). Additionally, four studies reported SB data expressed as time per sedentary breaks/bouts or screen time.^{39,41,71,79} Twenty-two cross-sectional studies recruited athletes from different sports such as rugby, athletics or football, among others.^{56–69,80–86,112} Six studies analyzed the effects of a marathon, footrace or rowing race on microbiomes,^{25,88,90,91,93,94} three reported the effect of a single bout of PA (i.e., no sport competition) on microbiomes^{87,89,92} and 32 conducted a long-term PA intervention ranged from two weeks⁹⁹ to thirty-four weeks,¹²² mostly consisting of aerobic training^{24,95,98,99,105,106,111,113,114,116,118–120,122,125} or a combination of aerobic and resistance training.^{96,97,100–104,107–110,112,115,117,121,123,124}

Most of the studies analyzed the gut microbiome, with the exception of ten which collected saliva, oral, oropharyngeal, muscle, blood or vaginal samples.^{37,52,60,71,86,87,89,110,120,123} Concerning the detection method, 78 studies conducted the 16S rRNA gene sequencing approach to characterize the microbiome, 16 performed metagenomics analyses and two studies focused on meta-transcriptomics (i.e., microbial RNA-sequencing) (see Table S8). Twenty-one studies did not report dietary data for all the participants (Table S8). One study performed a control of diet (each



Fig. 1. Search process according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 flow diagram.

participants received the same kind of food) during the PA intervention. 88

Fig. 2 shows a graphical summary of the main findings. Specific outcomes of microbial composition identified in the articles are discussed and interpreted in the context of the current knowledge in the Discussion section. For further details, see Electronic Supplementary Appendix S2.

3.2. Quality assessment

Among the 50 cross-sectional studies, 26 were categorized as high quality (quality score \geq 75 %), whereas 24 as low quality (quality score < 75) (Electronic Supplementary Table S4). Regarding the 9 studies about the acute effects of PA, 8 studies were considered to have a high quality and 1 showed a low quality (Electronic Supplementary Table S5). Concerning the 32 studies (15 RCTs and 17 non-RCTs) that reported the chronic effects of PA interventions, one RCT presented a high quality and 14 a low quality (Electronic Supplementary Table S6), while 12 non-RCTs were categorized as high quality and 5 as low quality studies (Electronic Supplementary Table S7).

3.3. Meta-analysis

3.3.1. First meta-analysis (cross-sectional studies): high vs. low PA levels

This meta-analysis united 1814 participants from 8 studies, where 1157 belonged to the high PA and 657 participants to the low PA groups. No significant differences were reported between the groups of high and low PA levels on alpha-diversity represented by the Shannon diversity index (SMD = -0.101, 95 % CI -0.386-0.184, p = 0.488, I² = 33.581) and Chao1 index (SMD = -0.127, 95 % CI -0.563-0.309, p = 0.568, I² = 13.774) (Fig. 3A).

3.3.2. Second meta-analysis (cross-sectional studies): athletes vs. non-athletes

This meta-analysis comprised 651 participants from 11 studies, including 329 athletes and 322 non-athletes. No significant differences were reported between the groups of athletes and non-athletes on alpha-diversity using the Shannon diversity index (SMD = -0.113, 95% CI -0.441-0.215, p = 0.501, I² = 0.000). However, athletes tended to present a higher alpha-diversity compared to non-athletes when Chao1 index was used as an indicator of microbial alpha-diversity (SMD = 0.482, 95% CI -0.026-0.991, p = 0.063, I² = 0.000) (Fig. 3B).



Fig. 2. Summary of the main characteristics and findings of the studies included in this systematic review. A) Exposure: in cross-sectional studies, the effect of physical activity (PA), sedentary behavior (SB) or athletic performance on microbiome was analyzed. In intervention studies, the acute or chronic effects of PA on microbiome were evaluated. B) Microbiome outcomes: samples from different body sites (gut, saliva, blood, muscle and vagina, among others) were analyzed by distinct detection methods (16S rRNA sequencing and shotgun metagenomic sequencing for DNA-based microbiome analysis; meta-transcriptomic [RNA sequencing] for RNA-based microbiome analysis. C) Main findings: relevant concerning alpha- and beta-diversity and differential abundance analysis are shown. D) Metabolic effects of PA-microbiome interaction. Growing evidence indicates that PA increases the abundance of members of the Firmicutes phylum, bacteria able to produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs). SCFAs produced by the gut microbiome by processing nutrients from diet may have positive effects in the intestine, improving barrier function and inflammation state. A crosstalk between the gut microbiome and skeletal muscle through lactate (generated during PA) and its conversion to SCFAs may improve athletic performance. SCFAs have been also linked to promoting neurogenesis (through brain-derived neurotrophic factor [BDNF]), improving hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis control, reducing inflammation and the risk of psychological diseases (e.g., depression, anxiety). A microbiome-dependent gut-brain connection mediated by microbial metabolites (i.e., fatty acid amides [FAAs], such as N-oleoylethanolamide [OEA]) has been discovered in mice, which enhances exercise performing and motivation by increasing dopamine signaling during PA. Recent studies suggest that disruption of microbial ecosystem may lead to the growth of proteolytic microbes able to produce trimethylamine–N-oxide (TMAO), an important metabolite that in elevated concent

3.3.3. Third meta-analysis (intervention studies): chronic effects of PA

The third meta-analysis united 167 participants from 7 studies, where 118 were allocated to a PA group and 49 to a control group. No significant differences were found between the PA and control groups on alpha-diversity using the Shannon diversity index (PA group: SMD = 0.132, 95 % CI - 0.124-0.388, p = 0.312, I² = 0.000; control group: SMD = 0.110, 95 % CI - 0.288-0.508, p = 0.587; I² = 0.000) or Chao1 index (PA group: SMD = -0.080, 95 % CI - 0.454--0.295, p = 0.677, I² = 0.000; control group: SMD = 0.001, 95 % CI - 0.454--0.457, p = 0.995; I² = 0.000) (Fig. 3C).

4. Discussion

This is the first systematic review that summarizes the current evidence about the effects of PA and SB on the human-associated microbiome across different body sites and in different populations. The main findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis were: (1) there was no consistent effect of PA on modifying microbial alphadiversity, although most of studies support that PA (observational and intervention studies) induces changes in microbiome composition with the increase of short-chain fatty acid (SCFA)-producing bacteria such as *Akkermansia, Roseburia* or *Veillonella*, among others; (2) there is very limited evidence of the effect of SB on microbiome; (3) few studies assessed PA data by objective methods (i.e., accelerometry); (4) there are few studies about the acute effect of PA on microbiome; (5) available studies are hardly comparable due to heterogeneity of the participants (i.e., age, sex, health status), wide use of different self-reported questionnaires to record PA, lack of standardized criteria to stratify participants in active/sedentary groups in cross-sectional studies and different characteristics of PA interventions (e.g., type, intensity, duration); (6) most of studies did not include diet as a confounder in their statistical analyses; and (7) well-designed multi-omics studies (i.e., metagenomics, meta-transcriptomics, meta-proteomics and meta-metabolomics) are warranted to clarify the effect of PA and SB on the human-associated microbiomes.

4.1. Cross-sectional studies: physical activity and sedentary behavior (non-athletes)

Microbiome diversity is considered a direct measure of gut health in humans, and a loss of diversity has been linked to a higher risk of obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cancer, among others.¹²⁶ In this systematic review, four studies found that the gut microbiome of children and adults with higher PA levels showed higher alpha-diversity, compared to those who rarely or never exercised.^{40,46,72,73} Similarly, two studies reported a positive association between PA level and gut alpha-



Fig. 3. Panel A) shows the meta-analysis of the high-PA vs. low-PA level's effects on Shannon diversity and Chao1 indexes (i.e., alpha-diversity metrics). Eight studies were finally included (Shannon diversity index^{42,44,46,53,73,76,84}, Chao1 index^{41,42,46,63,84}). Panel B) indicates the meta-analysis of the athletes vs. non-athletes' effects on both alpha-diversity metrics, i.e., Shannon diversity (8 studies^{42,56,57,59,67,82,84,85}) and Chao1 indexes (9 studies^{42,56,59,64,80,82,84,85,112}). Panel C) shows the meta-analysis of the PA intervention (up) vs. control's effects (down) on the Shannon diversity (7 studies^{102,104,105,107,116,119,125}) and Chao1 index (3 studies^{105,107,119}). Due to the lack of studies, we included both RCTs^{104,105,107,116,125} and non-RCTs^{102,119} in the same meta-analysis. The bottom meta-analyses reflect the effect of time in the absence of PA intervention since it only includes the control groups that were available from the RCTs. We did not use the control groups of Cronin et al.¹⁰⁴ and Bielik et al.¹²⁵, as they consumed a protein or probiotic supplement.

diversity in participants with different diseases.^{47,74} A positive correlation between average PA intensity and vaginal microbiome alpha-diversity was also found in healthy college-aged women.⁵² However, other studies in individuals with different age and health conditions reported negative or no associations,^{37,39,41–45,48,50,53,70,75-77,84} as is also detected in our meta-analysis of 1814 participants (Fig. 3A). Heterogeneity in study population (i.e., health status, sex, age), methodological aspects (i.e., use of diverse self-reported questionnaires, different pipelines to analyze the microbiome, etc.), varying criteria to stratify participants based on PA level and lack of control of relevant covariates (e.g., diet) in statistical analyses may contribute to the discrepant findings across studies. In fact, Langsetmo et al. demonstrated different results depending on the method for measuring PA, where self-reported PA was positively associated with beta-diversity,⁴⁵ while objectively measured PA recorded by accelerometry (expressed as step counts) showed no associations.⁴⁵

Regarding SB, Bressa et al. reported that less time in sedentary bouts was positively associated with alpha-diversity (Shannon and Chao1 indexes) in premenopausal women.³⁹ In contrast, there were no significant differences in alpha-diversity when compared the gut microbiome of physically active women (those who perform at least 3 h of PA per week) and sedentary women (i.e., those who perform < 3 h).³⁹ Whisner et al. did not find any significant differences in alpha-diversity parameters across quartiles of SB in a cohort of college students.⁴¹ However, a later study detected a lower alpha-diversity in the saliva of children who reported high sedentary screen

(sitting) times.⁷¹ Interestingly, recent evidence indicates a positive association between SB and *Streptococcus*, detected in feces and saliva.^{70,71} *Streptococcus* has been described as a key bacteria in disease such as old-onset colorectal cancer.¹²⁷ The existence of an oral-gut microbiome crosstalk has been proposed, highlighting a possible association between oral microbial alterations, oral-gut microbiome axis and the pathogenesis of different diseases such as gastrointestinal disease or colorectal cancer.¹²⁸ Thus, more future research is needed to unravel the role of SB as a potential modulator of microbial communities.

There are more consistent findings about the associations between PA and the gut microbiome, mostly at lower taxonomic categories. At phylum level, Firmicutes seems to be more abundant in the gut of those individuals with higher PA levels,^{40,50} although several studies found the inverse association.^{53–55} Since Firmicutes has been associated with fiber,¹²⁹ different dietary habits may be partially explaining variability between the studies. Interestingly, growing evidence supports that PA increases the abundance of a Firmicutes-belonging group of commensal bacteria able to produce SCFAs from non-digestible carbohydrates ingested through diet, such as butyrate, propionate and acetate.¹³⁰ Most of the included studies reported higher abundances of SCFA-producing bacteria from Lachnospiraceae and Erysipelotrichaceae families, 40,41,51,70,73 and Roseburia, Coprococcus, Lachnospira, Blautia and Faecalibacterium genera, among others, in more active individuals compared to those with lower PA levels. 40,41,45,46,51,77 Particularly, Bressa et al. quantified

the relative abundance of *Akkermansia muciniphila*, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* and *Roseburia hominis* by real-time PCR (qPCR) and detected higher abundances in physically active compared to inactive women.³⁹ SCFAs have been linked to good health due to their role on metabolic function, being substrates for energy metabolism as well as important signaling molecules implicated in the gut-microbiota axis and in the regulation of the immune response.^{131,132} Since the availability of SCFAs is influenced by both, the ingestion of nutritional components and their digestion directed by the gut microbes,¹³⁰ the previous results could indicate SCFAs as the key molecular link between PA, diet and microbiome.

4.2. Cross-sectional studies: athletes vs. non-athletes

Available evidence generally agrees on a trend toward the increase of the gut microbial diversity in athletes of different sport disciplines compared to non-athletes (see our meta-analysis of 651 participants; Fig. 3B). Further, a recent meta-analysis evaluated microbial alphadiversity of shotgun metagenomics data of the gut microbiomes of 207 athletes of different sports and 107 non-athletes and found a significantly higher species richness in athletes compared to non-athletes.¹³³ However, it is also well known that specific dietary requirements are usually implemented based on the duration and intensity of PA training,¹³⁴ which makes it difficult to determine the isolated effect of athletic performance on the microbial communities. In 2014, Clarke et al. reported, for the first time, a positive association between athletic performance and alpha-diversity parameters, when compared the gut microbiome by 16S rRNA sequencing of a group of professional rugby players and sedentary participants with low and high BMI (i.e., BMI \leq 25 or > 28, respectively).⁵⁶ However, the athletes' enhanced diversity was also associated with high protein consumption in this group. Barton et al.⁵⁷ re-analyzed the participants from Clarke et al. to evaluate the microbiome diversity with the shotgun metagenomic sequencing, confirming the previous results.⁵⁶ More recently, Penney et al. analyzed the combined effects of diet and athletic performance in the gut microbiome of those participants, and found a significant association with alpha-diversity when combined the effect of both athletic performance and dietary habits.⁶⁷ Later studies described an enriched microbial diversity in athletes with special diets, compared to sedentary participants,^{68,69} and others did not find any significant differences between athletes and non-athletes with similar dietary patterns.^{60,61,65} In contrast, 2 studies reported a higher alpha-diversity in athletes compared to sedentary participants with similar dietary habits.^{59,64} Large variety of sports disciplines included in the abovementioned studies (marathon runners, bodybuilders, cross-country skiers, rugby players, etc.) can be also contributing to inconsistency of the results. So far, the isolated effect of athletic performance, independently of diet, is still unclear.

Since diet is one of the most important modulators of the microbiome, differences in nutritional habits may also affect the relative abundance of specific microorganisms.¹³⁵ In fact, high-digestible carbohydrate diets have been related to the growth of SCFA-producing bacteria. Clarke et al. reported a higher abundance of Firmicutes phylum and a decreased abundance of Bacteroidetes in rugby players compared to sedentary individuals with high BMI.⁵⁶ Both groups presented a distinct nutritional profile, with an increased consumption of protein, fiber, carbohydrate and monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fat in the athletes group. A later study also described a higher abundance of Firmicutes and lower levels of Bacteroidetes in rugby players compared to non-athletes.⁸² Accordingly to these findings, animal and human studies have positively associated Firmicutes to fiber intake but negatively to fat consumption, while Bacteroidetes showed the opposite association.¹²⁹ Additionally, later metabolic pathway analyses revealed that rugby players had an enriched profile of SCFAs.⁵⁷ Other SCFAproducer, F. prausnitzii, was also found to be more abundant in senior athletes compared to older sedentary participants after adjusting for different covariates, including diet.⁶⁵ Morishima et al. found an increase of *Faecalibacterium* in female runners compared to non-athletes, and a higher abundance of succinate, a SCFA that can be produced by *Faecalibacterium*.⁸⁰

Liang et al. reported that professional martial art athletes had an enriched microbiome compared to amateurs, and identified changes in the abundance of several bacteria after adjusting for different confounders including diet.⁶³ Furthermore, one study found higher diversity and Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio in female elite compared to non-elite athletes.⁶⁶ However, metagenomics and meta-transcriptomics analyses conducted by Petersen et al. only detected differences at transcriptomic (RNA) level, highlighting the need for more microbiome studies at functional level.⁵⁸

Few studies have identified significant microbial shifts in relation with the type of sport.^{61,62,64} Interestingly, O'Donovan et al. compared athletes from 16 different sports and found specific bacterial taxa such as *Anaerostipes hadrus*, *F. prausnitzii* and *Bacteroides caccae*, differently abundant between sports with a moderate-dynamic component (e.g., fencing), high-dynamic and low-static components (e.g., field hockey), and high-dynamic and static components (e.g., rowing).⁶²

4.3. Acute effects of PA

Most of the studies aimed to analyze potential changes in the gut microbial composition following a marathon.^{25,88,91} In this sense, two studies detected an increase in Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio of the gut microbiome in long-distance runners post-race.^{91,93} Significantly, Grosicki et al. also detected a higher abundance of Veillonella, accordingly to the results obtained by Scheiman et al.^{25,91} The last study proposed a microbiome-encoded enzymatic mechanism that could partially explain how microbiome and its metabolites (i.e., SCFAs) may contribute to enhance athletic performance.²⁵ After detecting a higher abundance of Veillonella in runners after the race, they observed that administration of Veillonella atypica in a mouse model improved run time and demonstrated its capability of metabolically converting the exercise-induced lactate into propionate in the colon to subsequently re-enter the systemic circulation. In search of confirming these findings, Moitinho-Silva et al. quantified the relative abundance of *V. atypica* by gPCR and sequencing in a subset of elite athletes (mainly cyclists and triathletes) and sedentary participants, but failed to find any significant differences between the groups.¹¹² These contrasting results could be partially explained by several limitations of the last study such as the lack of dietary data for the athletes group. Other studies have detected an increase in several SCFA-producing bacteria, including Coprococcus_2, Dorea or Roseburia after a marathon or a transoceanic rowing race.^{88,90} Although the number of human studies is still limited, these findings support emerging evidence of the existence of a crosstalk between the gut microbiota and skeletal muscle through lactate (generated during exercise) and its conversion to SCFAs by the gut microbes which, consequently, could improve athletic performance.²⁷ In fact, SCFAs have been recently defined as "biotics" (substances able to modulate the microbiome by increasing the abundance of beneficial microbes) that could be used as an exogenous microbiome modulation approach for improving health and athletic performance.¹³⁶ Interestingly, a recent study discovered a gut-brain connection in mice that enhances athletic performance by increasing dopamine signaling during PA.¹³⁷ These results indicate that motivation for PA is influenced by the gut microbes derived-metabolites, suggesting a microbiomedependent mechanism for explaining inter-individual variability in PA motivation and performance.

On the other hand, the acute effect of a bout of PA on the microbiome continues to be a scarcely investigated topic. Tabone et al. followed this approach analyzing fecal samples from athletes who underwent a moderate-intensity treadmill session until volitional exhaustion and detected changes in six bacteria (*Romboutsia, Escherichia coli* TOP498, *Ruminococcaceae* UCG-005, *Blautia, Ruminiclostridium* 9 and *Clostridium* phoceensis).⁹² Overall, acute interventions collect serum samples where

potential changes can be detected earlier compared to fecal ones. In this context, one study collected blood and fecal samples of myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome participants and detected changes at major bacterial phyla such as Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, in both samples after a cycle ergometer maximal exercise test.⁸⁷ A later study exclusively analyzed viral reads (i.e., virome) from blood samples by RNA-seq and did not detect any differences after acute PA.⁸⁹ Thus, more research directed to analyze blood microbiome is needed to accurately assess the short-term effect of PA, specially, meta-transcriptomics and meta-metabolomics could be a novel and useful approach to study the active microbiome in the context of acute effects of PA.

4.4. Chronic effects of PA

To further deepen the overall knowledge of the chronic effects of PA on human microbiome and, generally, the host health, several clinical trials have been published in the last years.^{24,95–125} Our meta-analysis of 167 participants is the first analysis that quantifies those trials in healthy participants, indicating that controversial results for alphadiversity are consistently found (Fig. 3C). Two studies performed in healthy adults that underwent a 12-week aerobic PA intervention (3 sessions of 30 min per week) or 7-week high-intensity interval training (consisting of swimming lengths) reported an increase in microbial alpha-diversity.^{118,125} Conversely, Moitinho-Silva et al. detected a slight decrease in alpha-diversity after an aerobic PA intervention (6 weeks; 3 sessions of 30 min per week) in healthy adults,¹¹² although no differences were observed in another group subjected to a strength training.¹¹² Another study recruited healthy adults to undergo a PA intervention (aerobic and resistance training; 8 weeks; 3 sessions of 90 min per week), but no significant changes in alpha-diversity were detected after the intervention.¹⁰⁴ Most of the studies in unhealthy individuals did not report any significant changes in alpha-diversity after a PA intervention.^{24,95,96,99,100,102,103,110,114,115,121,122,124} However, the chronic effect of PA on microbiome composition becomes clearer in the beta-diversity analysis, where more studies agree on a significant dissimilarity in the microbial communities of the individuals after longterm PA.^{24,95,98,101,103,106,109,110,115,118,119,121,122,124,125} Interestingly. Allen et al. observed how differences in the beta-diversity detected at baseline between the participants with normal-weight and obesity disappeared after an aerobic PA intervention (6 weeks; 3 of 30–60 min sessions per week).²⁴ Different study designs (17 non-RCT, 13 RCT and 2 randomized controlled cross-over trials), health status of participants (15 studies with healthy and 17 with unhealthy populations), characteristics of PA interventions (type, duration, and intensity), and methodological differences in microbiome analysis, diet, among other factors, might partially influence the varying results obtained.

In accordance with observational studies, ^{39,40,46,47,70,73,76,77,80} an increase in SCFA-producing bacteria such as Lachnospiraceae, Verrucomicrobiaceae, Lachnospira, Akkermansia, Veillonella, Faecalibacterium, Bifidobacterium and Roseburia was also reported in participants with different age and health conditions (including obesity, prediabetes and insulin resistance, among others) after PA interventions ranging from 2 to 34 weeks.^{24,95,99–102,109,110,114,115,119,121,122} More specifically, Liu et al. described an increase in A. muciniphila and an improvement in insulin sensitivity after a 12 weeks-concurrent PA intervention in men with prediabetes that were classified as responders compared to non-responders.¹⁰⁰ Later studies have also reported an increase in A. muciniphila in participants with overweight/obesity or type 2 diabetes after long-term PA.^{109,121} A. muciniphila has been related to prevention of multiple metabolic diseases such as obesity, metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes.¹³⁸ In a recent publication, a multi-omics approach (transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics and lipidomics) investigated the underlying molecular mechanism of A. muciniphila in obesity. It concluded that A. muciniphila reduced lipid accumulation and downregulated the expression of genes related to adipogenesis and lipogenesis in adypocites.¹³⁹ These studies point to *A. muciniphila* as a promising microbial target (potentially modulated by PA) with therapeutic effects in obesity and other metabolic diseases. At genus level, *Akkermansia* has also been widely found to be positively associated with PA in cross-sectional studies^{39,56} and increased after PA interventions.^{95,100}

4.5. Future directions

Our comprehension about the effect of PA (little research is conducted on SB) on microbial communities is still in its infancy, which is partially attributed to significant methodological variability between the studies. This heterogeneity highlights the need to perform well-designed studies focusing on specific detailed populations and establishing reference pipelines to ensure the accuracy and comparability of the results. To ensure the reproducibility and comparability of the future studies in the field, we recommend the researchers to follow the recent good practice guidelines^{140,141} when microbiome analyses are performed.

Most of cross-sectional studies in this systematic review recorded PA measures by self-reported questionnaires. Accelerometry has been widely demonstrated to be a more valid and comparable method for objectively collecting participants' PA and SB levels.¹⁴² Therefore, more accelerometry-based studies will allow researchers to apply standardized criteria to classify participants based on the use of cut-points for PA and SB which will reduce the inconsistency between study findings and reveal the accurate association of PA and SB with microbiome. In intervention studies that assess the chronic effects of PA on microbiome, we detected a low quality in the RCTs. These results could be partially explained by the use of a checklist¹⁴³ with a stricter scale for the quality assessment.

Since most of the studies analyzed DNA sequences regardless of microbial variability or functionality (only two studies performed a meta-transcriptomic analysis), we are not close to determine the functional microbes susceptible to PA. Moreover, future multiomics analyses (i.e., combining metagenomics, meta-transcriptomics, meta-proteomics and meta-metabolomics) would further unravel the complex host-microbial molecular pathways implicated in the molecular response to PA. In this regard, the Molecular Transducers of Physical Activity Consortium (MoTrPAC)⁶ will provide a powerful source of information to advance our understanding of PA's effects on the microbiome in humans and animal models performing multi-omics analyses.

4.6. Limitations and strengths

Due to the lack of available information, an important limitation of our meta-analyses was the use and transformation of directly reported data from the articles instead of re-analyzing raw data to reduce potential bias introduced by applying different methodologies and pipelines across studies. Besides, limited information prevented us from additionally analyzing other microbiome outcomes of interest, such as the differential abundance of key bacteria. Future studies should make publicly available raw sequences generated from sequencing platforms to allow future meta-analyses to cover these gaps in the literature. To our knowledge, we present the first meta-analysis conducted within non-athletes population, including more than 2600 participants from 24 studies. We also performed sub-group analyses according to study design to ensure homogeneity. Moreover, we followed a rigorous and reliable methodology previously validated^{144,145} to obtain numerical data when they were unavailable. Additional strengths of our systematic review are the elaboration according to PRISMA guidelines, use of four different search databases (PubMed, Web of Science, SCOPUS and Cochrane), and performance of quality assessment with validated tools specific for each study design, which ensure the scientific rigor.

Our systematic review summarizes the available knowledge about the relationship between PA and SB and the microbiome from multiple body sites and across different human populations. So far, growing evidence points to higher abundances of SCFA-producing bacteria in more active individuals or after a PA intervention. Our meta-analysis uniting 2632 participants indicated no consistent effect of PA on microbial alpha-diversity, although there seems to be a trend toward a higher richness in athletes compared to non-athletes. Thus, accelerometrybased observational studies and RCTs are needed to face this inconsistency. Additionally, there is scarce information about the effect of SB on microbiome. In conclusion, precisely-designed, well-controlled and multi-omics studies are needed to reduce heterogeneity, obtain comparable results and, therefore, gain reliable knowledge about the effect of PA and SB on the human microbiome.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

I.P.P conceptualized, designed, and wrote the manuscript. A.P. F participated in all systematic review phases (except for writing) and reviewed the manuscript draft for important intellectual content. E.U.G participated in elaborating the meta-analysis and reviewed the initial manuscript draft for important intellectual content. F.B.O and S.A conceptualized and designed the review, supervised all the article processes and reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Funding information

This work was supported by the projects Endo-Map PID2021-1272800B-I00, PID2020-120249RB-100 and ROSY CNS2022-135999 funded by MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by FEDER, EU; Project P20_00124 funded by Junta de Andalucía; Unidad de excelencia SOMM17/6107/UGR funded by Plan Propio de Investigación/Universidad de Granada; E.U.G and I.P.P are supported by grants RYC2022-038011-I and FPU19/05561 funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033 and FSE "EI FSE invierte en tu futuro". A.P.F contribution was funded in part by NIH grant #: U01 TR002004 (REACH project). E.U.G is supported by the María Zambrano fellowship by the Ministerio de Universidades and the Unión Europea– NextGenerationEU. Funding for open access charge: Universidad de Granada / CBUA.

Confirmation of ethical compliance

Hereby, I Inmaculada Pérez-Prieto warrant that I am expressly authorized to submit the manuscript entitled "Physical activity, sedentary behavior and microbiome: A systematic review and meta-analysis" and to agree to the following conditions on behalf of myself and every co-author of this manuscript:

- (1) This material is the authors' own original work, which has not been previously published elsewhere.
- (2) The paper is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere.
- (3) The paper reflects the authors' own research and analysis in a truthful and complete manner.
- (4) The paper properly credits the meaningful contributions of co-authors.
- (5) The results are appropriately placed in the context of prior and existing research.
- (6) All authors have been personally and actively involved in substantial work leading to the paper, and will take public responsibility for its content.

Declaration of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work is part of a Ph.D. thesis conducted in the Biomedicine Doctoral Studies of the University of Granada, Spain.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jsams.2024.07.003.

References

- Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med 2020;54(24):1451-1462. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955.
- Ekelund U, Tarp J, Steene-Johannessen J et al. Dose-response associations between accelerometry measured physical activity and sedentary time and all cause mortality: systematic review and harmonised meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2019;366:14570. doi:10. 1136/bmj.14570.
- Patterson R, McNamara E, Tainio M et al. Sedentary behaviour and risk of all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality, and incident type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and dose response meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2018;33(9):811-829. doi:10.1007/ s10654-018-0380-1.
- Katzmarzyk PT, Powell KE, Jakicic JM et al. Sedentary behavior and health: update from the 2018 physical activity guidelines advisory committee. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2019;51(6):1227-1241. doi:10.1249/MSS.000000000001935.
- Pedersen BK, Saltin B. Exercise as medicine evidence for prescribing exercise as therapy in 26 different chronic diseases. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2015;25(Suppl 3): 1-72. doi:10.1111/sms.12581.
- Sanford JA, Nogiec CD, Lindholm ME et al. Molecular transducers of physical activity consortium (MoTrPAC): mapping the dynamic responses to exercise. *Cell* 2020;181 (7):1464-1474. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.004.
- Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Hamady M et al. The human microbiome project. Nature 2007;449(7164):804-810. doi:10.1038/nature06244.
- Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Revised estimates for the number of human and bacteria cells in the body. *PLoS Biol* 2016;14(8):e1002533. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002533.
- Lynch SV, Pedersen O. The human intestinal microbiome in health and disease. N Engl J Med 2016;375(24):2369-2379. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1600266.
- 10. Baquero F, Nombela C. The microbiome as a human organ. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012;18(Suppl 4):2-4. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03916.x.
- Redondo-Useros N, Nova E, González-Zancada N et al. Microbiota and lifestyle: a special focus on diet. *Nutrients* 2020;12(6):1-54. doi:10.3390/nu12061776.
- 12. Levy M, Kolodziejczyk AA, Thaiss CA et al. Dysbiosis and the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol 2017;17(4):219-232. doi:10.1038/nri.2017.7.
- Gilbert JA, Blaser MJ, Caporaso JG et al. Current understanding of the human microbiome. Nat Med 2018;24(4):392-400. doi:10.1038/nm.4517.
- Vich Vila A, Collij V, Sanna S et al. Impact of commonly used drugs on the composition and metabolic function of the gut microbiota. *Nat Commun* 2020;11(1):362. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-14177-z.
- Angelakis E, Armougom F, Carrière F et al. A metagenomic investigation of the duodenal microbiota reveals links with obesity. *PLoS One* 2015;10(9):e0137784. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137784.
- Liu R, Hong J, Xu X et al. Gut microbiome and serum metabolome alterations in obesity and after weight-loss intervention. *Nat Med* 2017;23(7):859-868. doi:10.1038/nm.4358.
- Deshpande AD, Harris-Hayes M, Schootman M. Epidemiology of diabetes and diabetes-related complications. *Phys Ther* 2008;88(11):1254-1264. doi:10.2522/ptj. 20080020.
- Koliarakis I, Messaritakis I, Nikolouzakis TK et al. Oral bacteria and intestinal dysbiosis in colorectal cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20(17). doi:10.3390/ijms20174146.
- Parida S, Sharma D. The power of small changes: comprehensive analyses of microbial dysbiosis in breast cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer* 2019;1871(2):392-405. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2019.04.001.
- Young VB. Therapeutic manipulation of the microbiota: past, present, and considerations for the future. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2016;22(11):905-909. doi:10.1016/j.cmi. 2016.09.001.
- Zhang X, Li L, Butcher J et al. Advancing functional and translational microbiome research using meta-omics approaches. *Microbiome* 2019;7(1):154. doi:10.1186/ s40168-019-0767-6.
- Wegierska AE, Charitos IA, Topi S et al. The connection between physical exercise and gut microbiota: implications for competitive sports athletes. *Sports Med* 2022;52(10): 2355-2369. doi:10.1007/s40279-022-01696-x.
- Dziewiecka H, Buttar HS, Kasperska A et al. Physical activity induced alterations of gut microbiota in humans: a systematic review. BMC Sport Sci Med Rehabil 2022;14(1): 122. doi:10.1186/s13102-022-00513-2.

- Allen JM, Mailing LJ, Niemiro GM et al. Exercise alters gut microbiota composition and function in lean and obese humans. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2018;50(4):747-757. doi:10.1249/MSS.00000000001495.
- Scheiman J, Luber JM, Chavkin TA et al. Meta-omics analysis of elite athletes identifies a performance-enhancing microbe that functions via lactate metabolism. *Nat Med* 2019;25(7):1104-1109. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-0485-4.
- Mailing JJ, Allen JM, Buford TW et al. Exercise and the gut microbiome: a review of the evidence, potential mechanisms, and implications for human health. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 2019;47(2):75-85. doi:10.1249/JES.00000000000183.
- Hawley JA. Microbiota and muscle highway two way traffic. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2020;16(2):71-72. doi:10.1038/s41574-019-0291-6.
- Ortiz-Alvarez I, Xu H, Martinez-Tellez B. Influence of exercise on the human gut microbiota of healthy adults: a systematic review. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 2020;11(2): e00126. doi:10.14309/ctg.00000000000126.
- Aya V, Flórez A, Perez L et al. Association between physical activity and changes in intestinal microbiota composition: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2021;16(2):e0247039. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0247039.
- Dorelli B, Gallè F, De Vito C et al. Can physical activity influence human gut microbiota composition independently of diet? A systematic review. *Nutrients* 2021;13(6):1-14. doi:10.3390/nu13061890.
- Ramos C, Gibson GR, Walton GE et al. Systematic review of the effects of exercise and physical activity on the gut microbiome of older adults. *Nutrients* 2022;14(3). doi:10.3390/nu14030674.
- Silva JSC, Seguro CS, Naves MMV. Gut microbiota and physical exercise in obesity and diabetes - a systematic review. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* January 29, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2022.01.023.
- Zheng C, Chen X-K, Tian XY et al. Does the gut microbiota contribute to the antiobesity effect of exercise? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2022;30(2):407-423. doi:10.1002/oby.23345.
- Bonomini-Gnutzmann R, Plaza-Díaz J, Jorquera-Aguilera C et al. Effect of intensity and duration of exercise on gut microbiota in humans: a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19(15). doi:10.3390/ijerph19159518.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;372:n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71.
 Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses.
- *BMJ* 2003;327(7414):557-560. doi:10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557.
- Lim MY, Yoon HS, Rho M et al. Analysis of the association between host genetics, smoking, and sputum microbiota in healthy humans. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:23745. doi: 10.1038/srep23745.
- Shin J-H, Sim M, Lee J-Y et al. Lifestyle and geographic insights into the distinct gut microbiota in elderly women from two different geographic locations. J Physiol Anthropol 2016;35(1):31. doi:10.1186/s40101-016-0121-7.
- Bressa C, Bailén-Andrino M, Pérez-Santiago J et al. Differences in gut microbiota profile between women with active lifestyle and sedentary women. *PLoS One* 2017;12(2):e0171352. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171352.
- Bai J, Hu Y, Bruner DW. Composition of gut microbiota and its association with body mass index and lifestyle factors in a cohort of 7-18 years old children from the American Gut Project. *Pediatr Obes* 2019;14(4):e12480. doi:10.1111/ijpo.12480.
- 41. Whisner CM, Maldonado J, Dente B et al. Diet, physical activity and screen time but not body mass index are associated with the gut microbiome of a diverse cohort of college students living in university housing: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Microbiol* 2018;18(1):210. doi:10.1186/s12866-018-1362-x.
- Mahnic A, Rupnik M. Different host factors are associated with patterns in bacterial and fungal gut microbiota in Slovenian healthy cohort. *PLoS One* 2018;13(12): e0209209. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0209209.
- Carter SJ, Hunter GR, Blackston JW et al. Gut microbiota diversity is associated with cardiorespiratory fitness in post-primary treatment breast cancer survivors. *Exp Physiol* 2019;104(4):529-539. doi:10.1113/EP087404.
- Zhang W, Li J, Lu S et al. Gut microbiota community characteristics and disease-related microorganism pattern in a population of healthy Chinese people. *Sci Rep* 2019;9(1):1594. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-36318-y.
- Langsetmo L, Johnson A, Demmer RT et al. The association between objectively measured physical activity and the gut microbiome among older community dwelling men. J Nutr Health Aging 2019;23(6):538-546. doi:10.1007/s12603-019-1194-x.
- Castellanos N, Diez GG, Antúnez-Almagro C et al. A critical mutualism competition interplay underlies the loss of microbial diversity in sedentary lifestyle. Front Microbiol 2019;10:3142. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.03142.
- Lin T-Y, Wu P-H, Lin Y-T et al. Characterization of gut microbiota composition in hemodialysis patients with normal weight obesity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2020;105(6):2006-2014. doi:10.1210/clinem/dgaa166.
- Zhu Q, Jiang S, Du G. Effects of exercise frequency on the gut microbiota in elderly individuals. *Microbiologyopen* 2020;9(8):e1053. doi:10.1002/mbo3.1053.
- Yoon H, Lee DH, Lee JH et al. Characteristics of the gut microbiome of healthy young male soldiers in South Korea: the effects of smoking. *Gut Liver* 2021;15(2):243-252. doi:10.5009/gnl19354.
- Heinzel S, Aho VTE, Suenkel U et al. Gut microbiome signatures of risk and prodromal markers of Parkinson disease. Ann Neurol 2020;88(2):320-331. doi:10.1002/ana.25788.
- Castellanos N, Diez GG, Antúnez-Almagro C et al. Key bacteria in the gut microbiota network for the transition between sedentary and active lifestyle. *Microorganisms* 2020;8(5). doi:10.3390/microorganisms8050785.
- Song SD, Acharya KD, Zhu JE et al. Daily vaginal microbiota fluctuations associated with natural hormonal cycle, contraceptives, diet, and exercise. *mSphere* 2020;5(4): 1-14. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00593-20.
- 53. Gallè F, Valeriani F, Cattaruzza MS et al. Mediterranean diet, physical activity and gut microbiome composition: a cross-sectional study among healthy young Italian adults. *Nutrients* 2020;12(7). doi:10.3390/nu12072164.

- Valeriani F, Gallè F, Cattaruzza MS et al. Are nutrition and physical activity associated with gut microbiota? A pilot study on a sample of healthy young adults. *Ann Ig* 2020;32(5):521-527. doi:10.7416/ai.2020.2372.
- Palmas V, Pisanu S, Madau V et al. Gut microbiota markers associated with obesity and overweight in Italian adults. *Sci Rep* 2021;11(1):5532. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-84928-w.
- Clarke SF, Murphy EF, O'Sullivan O et al. Exercise and associated dietary extremes impact on gut microbial diversity. *Gut* 2014;63(12):1913-1920. doi:10.1136/ gutjnl-2013-306541.
- Barton W, Penney NC, Cronin O et al. The microbiome of professional athletes differs from that of more sedentary subjects in composition and particularly at the functional metabolic level. *Gut* 2018;67(4):625-633. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313627.
- Petersen LM, Bautista EJ, Nguyen H et al. Community characteristics of the gut microbiomes of competitive cyclists. *Microbiome* 2017;5(1):98. doi:10.1186/s40168-017-0320-4.
- Mörkl S, Lackner S, Müller W et al. Gut microbiota and body composition in anorexia nervosa inpatients in comparison to athletes, overweight, obese, and normal weight controls. Int J Eat Disord 2017;50(12):1421-1431. doi:10.1002/eat.22801.
- Minty M, Canceill T, Lê S et al. Oral health and microbiota status in professional rugby players: a case-control study. J Dent 2018;79:53-60. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2018.10.001.
- Jang L-G, Choi G, Kim S-W et al. The combination of sport and sport-specific diet is associated with characteristics of gut microbiota: an observational study. J Int Soc Sports Nutr 2019;16(1):21. doi:10.1186/s12970-019-0290-y.
- O'Donovan CM, Madigan SM, Garcia-Perez I et al. Distinct microbiome composition and metabolome exists across subgroups of elite Irish athletes. J Sci Med Sport 2020;23(1):63-68. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2019.08.290.
- Liang R, Zhang S, Peng X et al. Characteristics of the gut microbiota in professional martial arts athletes: a comparison between different competition levels. *PLoS One* 2019;14(12):e0226240. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0226240.
- 64. Kulecka M, Fraczek B, Mikula M et al. The composition and richness of the gut microbiota differentiate the top Polish endurance athletes from sedentary controls. *Gut Microbes* 2020;11(5):1374-1384. doi:10.1080/19490976.2020.1758009.
- Fart F, Rajan SK, Wall R et al. Differences in gut microbiome composition between senior orienteering athletes and community-dwelling older adults. *Nutrients* 2020;12(9). doi:10.3390/nu12092610.
- Han M, Yang K, Yang P et al. Stratification of athletes' gut microbiota: the multifaceted hubs associated with dietary factors, physical characteristics and performance. *Gut Microbes* 2020;12(1):1-18. doi:10.1080/19490976.2020.1842991.
- Penney N, Barton W, Posma JM et al. Investigating the role of diet and exercise in gut microbe-host cometabolism. *mSystems* 2020;5(6). doi:10.1128/mSystems. 00677-20.
- Genç A. Does exercise and nutrition style affect intestinal microbiota diversity? Prog Nutr 2020;22(4). doi:10.23751/pn.v22i4.9062.
- Özkan A, Demirhan B, Genç A et al. Effect of different intensity exercise on intestinal microbiota. Prog Nutr 2020;22(4):1-8. doi:10.23751/pn.v22i4.10192.
- Zhong X, Powell C, Phillips CM et al. The influence of different physical activity behaviours on the gut microbiota of older Irish adults. J Nutr Health Aging 2021;25(7):854-861. doi:10.1007/s12603-021-1630-6.
- Engberg E, Raju SC, Figueiredo RAO et al. Saliva microbiota differs between children with low and high sedentary screen times. *Hum Microbiome J* 2021;20:100080. doi: 10.1016/j.humic.2021.100080.
- Jie Z, Liang S, Ding Q et al. Dairy consumption and physical fitness tests associated with fecal microbiome in a Chinese cohort. *Med Microecol* 2021;9(June):100038. doi:10.1016/j.medmic.2021.100038.
- Houttu V, Boulund U, Nicolaou M et al. Physical activity and dietary composition relate to differences in gut microbial patterns in a multi-ethnic cohort-the HELIUS study. *Metabolites* 2021;11(12). doi:10.3390/metabo11120858.
- Walker RL, Vlamakis H, Lee JWJ et al. Population study of the gut microbiome: associations with diet, lifestyle, and cardiometabolic disease. *Genome Med* 2021;13(1): 188. doi:10.1186/s13073-021-01007-5.
- Santarossa S, Sitarik AR, Johnson CC et al. Associations of physical activity with gut microbiota in pre-adolescent children. *Phys Act Nutr* 2021;25(4):24-37. doi:10. 20463/pan.2021.0023.
- Magzal F, Shochat T, Haimov I et al. Increased physical activity improves gut microbiota composition and reduces short-chain fatty acid concentrations in older adults with insomnia. *Sci Rep* 2022;12(1):2265. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-05099-w.
- Shivani S, Kao C-Y, Chattopadhyay A et al. Uremic toxin-producing Bacteroides species prevail in the gut microbiota of Taiwanese CKD patients: an analysis using the new Taiwan microbiome baseline. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2022;12:726256. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.726256.
- Lubomski M, Xu X, Holmes AJ et al. Nutritional intake and gut microbiome composition predict Parkinson's disease. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2022;14:881872. doi:10. 3389/fnagi.2022.881872.
- Visuthranukul C, Sriswasdi S, Tepaamorndech S et al. Association of human intestinal microbiota with lifestyle activity, adiposity, and metabolic profiles in Thai children with obesity. J Nutr Metab 2022;2022:3029582. doi:10.1155/2022/3029582.
- Morishima S, Aoi W, Kawamura A et al. Intensive, prolonged exercise seemingly causes gut dysbiosis in female endurance runners. J Clin Biochem Nutr 2021;68(3): 253-258. doi:10.3164/jcbn.20-131.
- Šoltys K, Lendvorský L, Hric I et al. Strenuous physical training, physical fitness, body composition and Bacteroides to Prevotella ratio in the gut of elderly athletes. *Front Physiol* 2021;12:670989. doi:10.3389/fphys.2021.670989.
- Morishima S, Oda N, Ikeda H et al. Altered fecal microbiotas and organic acid concentrations indicate possible gut dysbiosis in university rugby players: an observational study. *Microorganisms* 2021;9(8). doi:10.3390/ microorganisms9081687.

- Babszky G, Torma F, Aczel D et al. COVID-19 infection alters the microbiome: elite athletes and sedentary patients have similar bacterial flora. *Genes (Basel)* 2021;12(10). doi:10.3390/genes12101577.
- Xu Y, Zhong F, Zheng X et al. Disparity of gut microbiota composition among elite athletes and Young adults with different physical activity independent of dietary status: a matching study. Front Nutr 2022;9:843076. doi:10.3389/fnut.2022.843076.
- Hintikka JE, Munukka E, Valtonen M et al. Gut microbiota and serum metabolome in elite cross-country skiers: a controlled study. *Metabolites* 2022;12(4). doi:10.3390/ metabo12040335.
- Cuthbertson L, Turner SEG, Jackson A et al. Evidence of immunometabolic dysregulation and airway dysbiosis in athletes susceptible to respiratory illness. *EBioMedicine* 2022;79:104024. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104024.
- Shukla SK, Cook D, Meyer J et al. Changes in gut and plasma microbiome following exercise challenge in myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome (ME/ CFS). PLoS One 2015;10(12):e0145453. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145453.
- Zhao X, Zhang Z, Hu B et al. Response of gut microbiota to metabolite changes induced by endurance exercise. Front Microbiol 2018;9:765. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00765.
- Bouquet J, Li T, Gardy JL et al. Whole blood human transcriptome and virome analysis of ME/CFS patients experiencing post-exertional malaise following cardiopulmonary exercise testing. *PLoS One* 2019;14(3):e0212193. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0212193.
- Keohane DM, Woods T, O'Connor P et al. Four men in a boat: ultra-endurance exercise alters the gut microbiome. J Sci Med Sport 2019;22(9):1059-1064. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2019.04.004.
- Grosicki GJ, Durk RP, Bagley JR. Rapid gut microbiome changes in a world-class ultramarathon runner. *Physiol Rep* 2019;7(24):e14313. doi:10.14814/phy2.14313.
- 92. Tabone M, Bressa C, García-Merino JA et al. The effect of acute moderate-intensity exercise on the serum and fecal metabolomes and the gut microbiota of cross-country endurance athletes. *Sci Rep* 2021;11(1):3558. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-82947-1.
- 93. Fukuchi M, Sugita M, Banjo M et al. The impact of a competitive event and the efficacy of a lactic acid bacteria-fermented soymilk extract on the gut microbiota and urinary metabolites of endurance athletes: an open-label pilot study. *PLoS One* 2022;17(1):e0262906. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0262906.
- 94. Sato M, Suzuki Y. Alterations in intestinal microbiota in ultramarathon runners. *Sci Rep* 2022;12(1):6984. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-10791-y.
- Munukka E, Ahtiainen JP, Puigbó P et al. Six-week endurance exercise alters gut metagenome that is not reflected in systemic metabolism in over-weight women. *Front Microbiol* 2018;9:2323. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02323.
- 96. Cronin O, Barton W, Moran C et al. Moderate-intensity aerobic and resistance exercise is safe and favorably influences body composition in patients with quiescent inflammatory bowel disease: a randomized controlled cross-over trial. BMC Gastroenterol 2019;19(1):29. doi:10.1186/s12876-019-0952-x.
- Huber Y, Pfirrmann D, Gebhardt I et al. Improvement of non-invasive markers of NAFLD from an individualised, web-based exercise program. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2019;50(8):930-939. doi:10.1111/apt.15427.
- Kern T, Blond MB, Hansen TH et al. Structured exercise alters the gut microbiota in humans with overweight and obesity-a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Obes* 2020;44(1):125-135. doi:10.1038/s41366-019-0440-y.
- Motiani KK, Collado MC, Eskelinen J-J et al. Exercise training modulates gut microbiota profile and improves endotoxemia. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2020;52(1):94-104. doi:10.1249/MSS.00000000002112.
- Liu Y, Wang Y, Ni Y et al. Gut microbiome fermentation determines the efficacy of exercise for diabetes prevention. *Cell Metab* 2020;31(1):77-91.e5. doi:10.1016/j. cmet.2019.11.001.
- 101. Quiroga R, Nistal E, Estébanez B et al. Exercise training modulates the gut microbiota profile and impairs inflammatory signaling pathways in obese children. *Exp Mol Med* 2020;52(7):1048-1061. doi:10.1038/s12276-020-0459-0.
- Rettedal EA, Cree JME, Adams SE et al. Short-term high-intensity interval training exercise does not affect gut bacterial community diversity or composition of lean and overweight men. *Exp Physiol* 2020;105(8):1268-1279. doi:10.1113/EP088744.
- 103. Warbeck C, Dowd AJ, Kronlund L et al. Feasibility and effects on the gut microbiota of a 12-week high-intensity interval training plus lifestyle education intervention on inactive adults with celiac disease. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2021;46 (4):325-336. doi:10.1139/apnm-2020-0459.
- 104. Cronin O, Barton W, Skuse P et al. A prospective metagenomic and metabolomic analysis of the impact of exercise and/or whey protein supplementation on the gut microbiome of sedentary adults. *mSystems* 2018;3(3):1-17. doi:10.1128/mSystems.00044-18.
- 105. Taniguchi H, Tanisawa K, Sun X et al. Effects of short-term endurance exercise on gut microbiota in elderly men. *Physiol Rep* 2018;6(23):e13935. doi:10.14814/phy2.13935.
- Hampton-Marcell JT, Eshoo TW, Cook MD et al. Comparative analysis of gut microbiota following changes in training volume among swimmers. *Int J Sports Med* 2020;41(5):292-299. doi:10.1055/a-1079-5450.
- 107. Zhong F, Wen X, Yang M et al. Effect of an 8-week exercise training on gut microbiota in physically inactive older women. *Int J Sports Med* 2021;42(7):610-623. doi:10.1055/a-1301-7011.
- Bycura D, Santos AC, Shiffer A et al. Impact of different exercise modalities on the human gut microbiome. Sport (Basel, Switzerland) 2021;9(2). doi:10.3390/ sports9020014.
- Barton W, Cronin O, Garcia-Perez I et al. The effects of sustained fitness improvement on the gut microbiome: a longitudinal, repeated measures case-study approach. *Transl* Sport Med 2021;4(2):174-192. doi:10.1002/tsm2.215.
- Uchida F, Oh S, Shida T et al. Effects of exercise on the oral microbiota and saliva of patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18(7). doi:10.3390/ijerph18073470.
- 111. Feng T, Hilal MG, Wang Y et al. Differences in gut microbiome composition and antibiotic resistance gene distribution between Chinese and Pakistani university

students from a common peer group. *Microorganisms* 2021;9(6). doi:10.3390/microorganisms9061152.

- 112. Moitinho-Silva L, Wegener M, May S et al. Short-term physical exercise impacts on the human holobiont obtained by a randomised intervention study. *BMC Microbiol* 2021;21(1):162. doi:10.1186/s12866-021-02214-1.
- Craven J, Cox AJ, Bellinger P et al. The influence of exercise training volume alterations on the gut microbiome in highly-trained middle-distance runners. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2022;22(8):1222-1230. doi:10.1080/17461391.2021.1933199.
- Verheggen RJHM, Konstanti P, Smidt H et al. Eight-week exercise training in humans with obesity: marked improvements in insulin sensitivity and modest changes in gut microbiome. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2021;29(10):1615-1624. doi:10.1002/oby.23252.
- 115. Erlandson KM, Liu J, Johnson R et al. An exercise intervention alters stool microbiota and metabolites among older, sedentary adults. *Ther Adv Infect Dis* 2021;8: 20499361211027068. doi:10.1177/20499361211027067.
- 116. Resende AS, Leite GSF, Lancha Junior AH. Changes in the gut bacteria composition of healthy men with the same nutritional profile undergoing 10-week aerobic exercise training: a randomized controlled trial. *Nutrients* 2021;13(8). doi:10.3390/ nu13082839.
- 117. Oliveira CB, Marques C, Abreu R et al. Gut microbiota of elite female football players is not altered during an official international tournament. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2022;32(Suppl 1):62-72. doi:10.1111/sms.14096.
- Lkhagva E, Chung H-J, Ahn J-S et al. Host factors affect the gut microbiome more significantly than diet shift. *Microorganisms* 2021;9(12). doi:10.3390/ microorganisms9122520.
- 119. Donati Zeppa S, Amatori S, Sisti D et al. Nine weeks of high-intensity indoor cycling training induced changes in the microbiota composition in non-athlete healthy male college students. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr* 2021;18(1):74. doi:10.1186/s12970-021-00471-z.
- Villarroel J, Donkin I, Champion C et al. Endurance training in humans modulates the bacterial DNA signature of skeletal muscle. *Biomedicines* 2021;10(1). doi:10. 3390/biomedicines10010064.
- 121. Torquati L, Gajanand T, Cox ER et al. Effects of exercise intensity on gut microbiome composition and function in people with type 2 diabetes. *Eur J Sport Sci* March 23, 2022:1-12. doi:10.1080/17461391.2022.2035436.
- 122. Cheng R, Wang L, Le S et al. A randomized controlled trial for response of microbiome network to exercise and diet intervention in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Commun* 2022;13(1):2555. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-29968-0.
- 123. Soriano S, Curry K, Sadrameli SS et al. Alterations to the gut microbiome after sport-related concussion in a collegiate football players cohort: a pilot study. Brain, Behav Immun Health 2022;21(October 2021):100438. doi:10.1016/j. bbih.2022.100438.
- Dupuit M, Rance M, Morel C et al. Effect of concurrent training on body composition and gut microbiota in postmenopausal women with overweight or obesity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2022;54(3):517-529. doi:10.1249/MSS. 00000000002809.
- Bielik V, Hric I, Ugrayová S et al. Effect of high-intensity training and probiotics on gut microbiota diversity in competitive swimmers: randomized controlled trial. Sport Med Open 2022;8(1):64. doi:10.1186/s40798-022-00453-8.
- 126. Fan Y, Pedersen O. Gut microbiota in human metabolic health and disease. Nat Rev Microbiol 2021;19(1):55-71. doi:10.1038/s41579-020-0433-9.
- 127. Yang Y, Du L, Shi D et al. Dysbiosis of human gut microbiome in young-onset colorectal cancer. Nat Commun 2021;12(1):6757. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27112-y.
- Park S-Y, Hwang B-O, Lim M et al. Oral-gut microbiome axis in gastrointestinal disease and cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* 2021;13(9):2124. doi:10.3390/ cancers13092124.
- Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C et al. Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. *Science* 2011;334(6052):105-108. doi:10.1126/science.1208344.
- Flint HJ, Duncan SH, Scott KP et al. Links between diet, gut microbiota composition and gut metabolism. Proc Nutr Soc 2015;74(1):13-22. doi:10.1017/S0029665114001463.
- Morrison DJ, Preston T. Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota and their impact on human metabolism. *Gut Microbes* 2016;7(3):189-200. doi:10. 1080/19490976.2015.1134082.
- Daisley BA, Koenig D, Engelbrecht K et al. Emerging connections between gut microbiome bioenergetics and chronic metabolic diseases. *Cell Rep* 2021;37(10): 110087. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110087.
- Tarracchini C, Fontana F, Lugli GA et al. Investigation of the ecological link between recurrent microbial human gut communities and physical activity. *Microbiol Spectr* 2022;10(2):e0042022. doi:10.1128/spectrum.00420-22.
- Mancin L, Rollo I, Mota JF et al. Optimizing microbiota profiles for athletes. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2021;49(1):42-49. doi:10.1249/JES.00000000000236.
- Marttinen M, Ala-Jaakkola R, Laitila A et al. Gut microbiota, probiotics and physical performance in athletes and physically active individuals. *Nutrients* 2020;12(10): 2936. doi:10.3390/nu12102936.
- 136. Sales KM, Reimer RA. Unlocking a novel determinant of athletic performance: the role of the gut microbiota, short-chain fatty acids, and "biotics" in exercise. J Sport Health Sci September 9, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jshs.2022.09.002.
- Dohnalová L, Lundgren P, Carty JRE et al. A microbiome-dependent gut-brain pathway regulates motivation for exercise. *Nature* December 14, 2022. doi:10.1038/ s41586-022-05525-z.
- Everard A, Belzer C, Geurts L et al. Cross-talk between Akkermansia muciniphila and intestinal epithelium controls diet-induced obesity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013;110 (22):9066-9071. doi:10.1073/pnas.1219451110.
- 139. Lee J-S, Song W-S, Lim JW et al. An integrative multiomics approach to characterize anti-adipogenic and anti-lipogenic effects of Akkermansia muciniphila in adipocytes. *Biotechnol J* 2022;17(2):e2100397. doi:10.1002/ biot.202100397.

- Molina NM, Sola-Leyva A, Haahr T et al. Analysing endometrial microbiome: methodological considerations and recommendations for good practice. *Hum Reprod* 2021;36(4):859-879. doi:10.1093/humrep/deab009.
- Mirzayi C, Renson A, Genomic Standards Consortium et al. Reporting guidelines for human microbiome research: the STORMS checklist. *Nat Med* 2021;27(11):1885-1892. doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01552-x.
- 142. Migueles JH, Cadenas-Sanchez C, Ekelund U et al. Accelerometer data collection and processing criteria to assess physical activity and other outcomes: a systematic review and practical considerations. *Sports Med* 2017;47(9):1821-1845. doi:10. 1007/s40279-017-0716-0.
- 143. Moola S, Munn Z, Tufanau C et al. Chapter 7: systematic reviews of etiology and risk, Systematic Reviews of Etiology and Risk. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. 667 JBI, Edited by E A, Z M, 2020.
- 144. Drevon D, Fursa SR, Malcolm AL. Intercoder reliability and validity of WebPlotDigitizer in extracting graphed data. *Behav Modif* 2017;41(2):323-339. doi:10.1177/0145445516673998.
- Dhakal B, Szabo A, Chhabra S et al. Autologous transplantation for newly diagnosed multiple myeloma in the era of novel agent induction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol 2018;4(3):343-350. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.4600.