

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Uusi-Heikkilä, Silva; Salonen, Jouni, K.; Karjalainen, Juha S.; Väisänen, Ari;
Paris Arisa (kitaraläinen, Jakanna) Ušmänne, Taamuu Kunasinen, Arisa Hippeläinen, Johanna; Hämärvuo, Teemu; Kuparinen, Anna

Title: Fish with slow life-history cope better with chronic manganese exposure than fish with $\frac{1}{2}$ fast life‐history

Year: 2024

Version: Published version

Version: Published version
Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s). Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Rights: CC BY 4.0

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Please cite the original version:

Uusi‐Heikkilä, S., Salonen, J., Karjalainen, J. S., Väisänen, A., Hippeläinen, J., Hämärvuo, T., & Kuparinen, A. (2024). Fish with slow life‐history cope better with chronic manganese exposure than fish with fast life-history. Ecology and Evolution, 14(8), Article e70134. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.70134

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ecology and Evolution

 \blacksquare WILEY

Fish with slow life-history cope better with chronic manganese exposure than fish with fast life-history

1 Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, Jyvaskyla, Finland

2 Department of Chemistry, University of Jyväskylä, Jyvaskyla, Finland

Correspondence

Silva Uusi-Heikkilä, Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, 40014 Jyvaskyla, Finland. Email: silva.k.uusi-heikkila@jyu.fi

Funding information

Academy of Finland, Grant/Award Number: 325107 and 317495; European Research Council, Grant/Award Number: 770884; Emil Aaltosen Säätiö

Silva Uusi-Heikkilä[1](#page-1-0) | **Jouni K. Salonen[1](#page-1-0)** | **Juha S. Karjalainen[1](#page-1-0)** | **Ari Väisänen[2](#page-1-1)** | **Johanna Hippeläinen[1](#page-1-0)** | **Teemu Hämärvuo[1](#page-1-0)** | **Anna Kuparinen[1](#page-1-0)**

Abstract

Animals with different life-history types vary in their stress-coping styles, which can affect their fitness and survival in changing environments. We studied how chronic exposure to manganese sulfate (MnSO₄), a common aquatic pollutant, affects lifehistory traits, physiology, and behavior of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) with two life-history types: fast (previously selected for fast juvenile growth, early maturation, and small adult body size) and slow life histories (selected for slow juvenile growth, late maturation, and large adult body size). We found that $MnSO₄$ had negative effects on growth and condition factors, but the magnitude of these effects depended on the lifehistory type. Individuals with fast life histories were more susceptible to $MnSO_4$ than fish with slow life histories as they had lower growth rate, condition factor and feeding probability in high MnSO₄ concentrations. Our results demonstrate that MnSO₄ can impair fish performance, and life-history variation can modulate the stress-coping ability of individuals.

KEYWORDS

feeding behavior, growth, life-history type, manganese sulfate, standard metabolic rate, stress coping

TAXONOMY CLASSIFICATION Evolutionary ecology

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

Animals experience various abiotic and biotic stressors in their environment and often many of them simultaneously. These stressors challenge individuals and require them to adjust their behavior and/ or physiology (e.g., Killen et al., [2013](#page-10-0); Kolonin et al., [2022](#page-10-1); Øverli et al., [2006\)](#page-10-2). Individuals vary in their reactions to stress, hence there is also variation in stress-associated physiological and behavioral traits (Kolonin et al., [2022;](#page-10-1) Koolhaas et al., [1999\)](#page-10-3). The variation in

stress-coping can be a consequence of the amount of trait variation individuals harbor (plasticity) and the level of sensitivity they have (Engell Dahl et al., [2021](#page-10-4); Radley et al., [2015\)](#page-11-0). This has been demonstrated not only among species but also within species. An example of the former comes from Lake Tahoe where larvae of a native fish species were shown to be better able to cope with increased ultraviolet radiation by increasing their pigmentation than those of non-native species (Gevertz et al., [2012](#page-10-5)). Individual variation towards confinement stress has been demonstrated in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2024 The Author(s). *Ecology and Evolution* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

2 of 11 [|] UUSI-HEIKKILÄ et al.

mykiss; Pottinger et al., [1992\)](#page-11-1). Sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) originating from different populations showed different stress reactions towards predator cue and confinement (Bell et al., [2010\)](#page-9-0).

Different life-history strategies can also affect individuals' ability to respond to stress. Animals with 'fast life histories' (fast juvenile growth, early maturation, small adult body size, and reduced life span) are suggested to invest less in functions that are not directly related to growth and reproduction yet require a considerable amount of energy, such as detoxification (Congdon et al., [2001](#page-10-6)). Thus, individuals with fast life histories can have lower stresscoping capabilities than ones with 'slow life histories' (slow juvenile growth, late maturation, large adult body size, and extended life span). This hypothesis has been tested only by a handful of studies. In an experiment where four damselfly species were exposed to pesticides, Debecker et al. ([2016](#page-10-7)) demonstrated a stress response in the fastest-living species, in terms of pesticide-induced effect on the covariance between life history and boldness. Fast-growing sticklebacks exposed to thermal stress had higher stress response in oxidative DNA damage (Kim et al., [2018](#page-10-8)) and birds with fast life histories suffered more from oxidative stress than birds with slow life histories (Vágási et al., [2019\)](#page-11-2). Humans are changing environment at an increasing pace and exposing animals to different extrinsic stressors (Ceballos et al., [2015](#page-9-1); Coleman & Williams, [2002](#page-10-9); Häder et al., [2020\)](#page-10-10). Therefore, it is important to understand individual variation in stress-coping styles, what might be the mechanisms underlying these and what are the consequences of stress for populations consisting of individuals with, for example, different lifehistory types. Indeed, stress coping is of fundamental importance to fitness and understanding individual differences in coping ability has become a paramount task in stress research (e.g., Bartolomucci et al., [2005;](#page-9-2) Cavigelli & McClintock, [2003](#page-9-3); Korte et al., [2005](#page-10-11)).

Heavy metal and mineral pollution in aquatic environments are a global threat to fish populations (e.g., Kakade et al., [2023](#page-10-12); Zamora-Ledezma et al., [2021\)](#page-11-3). Particularly manganese (Mn) and manganese sulfate ($MnSO_A$) are contaminants elevated in aquatic ecosystems due to anthropogenic activities, such as mining and metal industry (Arola et al., [2017](#page-9-4), [2019\)](#page-9-5), tilling of acid sulfate soils (Nyman et al., [2023](#page-10-13)), and from wastewater and sewage systems (Howe et al., 2004). Short-term exposures to Mn and MnSO₄ have been shown to reduce growth in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) at early life stages (Stubblefield et al., [1997](#page-11-4)) and increase larval and egg mortality in rainbow trout and whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus*; Arola et al., [2017;](#page-9-4) Lewis, [1976](#page-10-15)). Although at high concentrations Mn is known to be harmful for aquatic organisms (Barnhoorn et al., [1999](#page-9-6); Howe et al., [2004;](#page-10-14) Pinsino et al., [2010](#page-10-16); Stubblefield et al., [1997\)](#page-11-4) very little is known about the long-term effects of moderately elevated (i.e., sublethal) concentrations of Mn to fish life histories, physiology, and behavior. Mn occurs naturally in surface waters at concentrations of 0.01-1.0 mgL^{-1} (Lydersen et al., [2002](#page-10-17)) and the long-term freshwater environmental quality standards for Mn determined by species sensitivity distribution varies from 0.073 (0.033–0.466) mg L −1 in acid soft waters in Australia (Harford et al., [2015](#page-10-18)) to 0.062– 0.123 mg L−1 in the UK (Peters et al., [2010](#page-10-19)).

In this study, we demonstrate long-term effects of elevated concentration of $MnSO_4$ to various phenotypic traits in fish with fast and slow life histories. To study the mechanisms of potential effects of $MnSO₄$ on growth and condition factors, we additionally investigated its effects on physiology (metabolic rate) and behavior (activity and feeding). We used zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) populations which had been selected for body size (Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2015\)](#page-11-5). This directional selection resulted in two different life-history types: fast life-history fish (selected for small body size; hereafter smallselected fish) and slow life-history fish (selected for large body size; hereafter large-selected fish). To understand the effect of size selection on fish ability to cope with abiotic stress, we exposed both small- and large-selected fish to manganese sulfate in a laboratory environment and monitored them from embryo to adulthood.

2 | **METHODS**

2.1 | **Experimental design**

The experimental fish originated from wild zebrafish (Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2010\)](#page-11-6), which had been reared in the laboratory for 13 generations and from two different size-selected populations with two replicates. Fish were selected for either large or small body size for five generations (Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2015\)](#page-11-5). The size-selective harvesting induced substantial phenotypic and genetic differences between the selection lines, which were consistent between the two selection-line replicates (Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2015,](#page-11-5) [2017\)](#page-11-7). Largeselected fish had lower juvenile growth rates than small-selected fish but reached higher maximum body size (L_∞), had higher condition factors and reproductive success, and behaved differently (i.e., were more active, explorative, and bold; Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2015,](#page-11-5) [2017\)](#page-11-7). Fish used in this experiment had recovered (i.e., no harvesting) eight generations from size selection. Although some phenotypic differences might have eroded during the recovery period, there were still large-scale genetic differences between the selection lines (Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2017](#page-11-7)) and certain behavioral and cognitional differences between the selection lines have been shown to remain after up to 10 generations of recovery (Roy et al., [2023;](#page-11-8) Sbragaglia et al., [2019](#page-11-9)). The selection line refers to the life-history type and we use these two terms interchangeably (small-selected fish = fast life-history type and large-selected fish = slow life-history type). Selection-line replicate refers to the two replicated populations within a selection line.

We used 10 females and 20 males from each selection-line replicate as parental fish. One female and two males were placed in a spawning tank and eggs were collected over 5 days. Embryos (and subsequently larvae and adult fish) were exposed to five different concentrations of MnSO₄: 0.17, 0.5, 1.5, 3.5, and 7.5 mg L⁻¹. Embryos of the control treatment were kept in tap water (approximately 0.02 Mn mg L⁻¹). In preliminary experiments with concentrations of MnSO₄ higher than 7.5 mg L^{-1} , larval survival was extremely poor and therefore this was the highest concentration we utilized in this UUSI-HEIKKILÄ et al. **[|] 3 of 11**

experiment. After hatching, larvae were moved to rearing tanks and fed daily with dry food (TetraMin) and Rotifers. Rearing temperature was kept at 26–27°C and the water exchange took place once a week.

At the age of 70 days post fertilization (dpf), fish were considered robust enough to survive from handling and measuring. For that, five individuals per selection-line replicate were moved to rearing containers, which were placed in 30 L aquaria with eight containers in each (Figure [S1](#page-11-10)). Because of uneven hatching and larval survival rate, the number of containers slightly varied per selection line per concentration. From now on, fish in the rearing containers are referred to as "rearing group":

Despite weekly water exchange, Mn concentrations measured from the rearing water were low compared to the nominal concentrations (Table [1](#page-3-0)) likely because Mn accumulated in fish and absorbed on the surfaces of the aquaria and filters. The observed Mn concentrations (0.19 and 0.41 mg L^{-1}) in our highest exposure treatments were, however above the recommended chronic no-effect concentrations (Harford et al., [2015\)](#page-10-18). Sulfate concentrations in our experiments remained well below the predicted no-effect concentration of sulfate (39 mg L⁻¹) in soft freshwaters (Karjalainen et al., [2023\)](#page-10-20).

More details about the experimental design can be found in the [Supplementary Material](#page-11-11).

2.2 | **Growth experiment**

To explore the differences in growth, which we used as a proxy for fitness (e.g., Perez & Munch, [2010](#page-10-21)), between the selection lines, we measured the average growth rate of fish reared in different $MnSO₄$ concentrations. The standard length (SL) of each fish was measured once a week to the nearest 0.1 mm. For that, fish were anesthetized and photographed, and SL was measured from the photos with ImageJ image processing software ([www.imagej.nih.](http://www.imagej.nih.gov) [gov\)](http://www.imagej.nih.gov). The measurements started at the age of 70 dpf, when fish were robust enough for anesthesia, and continued weekly until the age of 161 dpf. Because fish at age 70 dpf were assumed

TABLE 1 Nominal concentrations of $MnSO_4$, Mn and SO_4 (mg L^{-1}) and the average measured Mn concentrations (mg L^{-1}) during the experiment.

Nominal concentrations			Measured concentrations
MnSO ₄ mg L^{-1}	Mn mg L^{-1}	SO_4 mg 1^{-1}	Mn mg L^{-1}
0.17	0.06	0.11	0.01
0.50	0.18	0.32	0.03
1.50	0.55	0.95	0.05
3.50	1.27	2.23	0.19
7.50	2.73	4.77	0.41

to be too fragile to survive individual marking, we did not have individual-level body size data but used rearing group averages for SL. A final body size (SL) together with wet mass (WM) was measured at the age of 218 dpf. Then, we calculated the relative condition factor (*K*) for each fish.

2.3 | **Behavioral experiment**

To explore the mechanisms behind potential differences in growth between the selection lines and among concentrations, we also studied differences in fish activity and feeding behavior. We subsampled eight fish per selection line per concentration at age 91 dpf and conducted a behavioral experiment in three concentrations: control, low (0.5 Mn mg L⁻¹) and high (3.5 Mn mg L⁻¹). The test arena was a 15 L aquarium divided into two sections with a plastic, transparent divider and with a grid with 3×3 cm squares attached to the walls and the bottom. Canon EOS 80D systems camera was set to record the fish movement in an aquarium on both sides, while another camera (GoPro Hero +) was set to record the aquarium from the third dimension (above). Individual fish were first acclimatized for 5 min in a plastic container after which the container was removed, and the fish could swim freely. We recorded fish movements for 5 min. After that, the fish was removed from the test arena and placed in a 4 L aquarium for an hour, after which the experiment was repeated to study whether the behavior was repeatable. Finally, we measured the SL of the fish.

Approximately one month later, we conducted the feeding experiment using the same fish (age 119 dpf) as in the activity experiment. Fish were fasting 24 h before the experiment to increase their motivation to feed. Feeding behavior was monitored in a separate aquarium (volume 15 L) and the behavior was recorded with a Canon EOS 80D camera which was set to record the fish movements on the side wall of the aquarium for 5 min. Each fish was first acclimatized for 5 min, after which a small amount of dry food was carefully dropped on the surface of the water. We then monitored feeding probability (i.e., whether the fish fed during the feeding trial or not) for 5 min. After the experiment, the fish was removed from the test aquarium and returned to its original rearing aquaria. The experiment was repeated after another 24 h fasting period. Finally, the SL of the fish was measured.

2.4 | **Metabolic rate measurements**

Mass-specific metabolic rates (mg O_2 per g fish WM per h) of adult zebrafish (age 217 dpf) were estimated from the oxygen consumption of the fish measured in an intermittent-flow respirometer connected to a fiber-optic oxygen sensor (Loligo Systems 3-channel OXY-4 sensors). Fish were fasting 24 h before the measurement as feeding increases oxygen consumption (Ferreira et al., [2019](#page-10-22)). Oxygen consumption was measured at 25.3°C. We placed one rearing group (N = 5) at a time in the respirometer chamber (mean **4 of 11 NII FY** Ecology and Evolution **All and All an**

volume 313.5 mL). Oxygen consumption was measured for 3 min with a 3-minute flush and a 2-min wait period over a 20–21 h period resulting in altogether a total of 120–130 observations per group per measurement period. The chambers were kept for the first 2 hours in light and the following 18–19 h in the dark. There are different ways to define standard metabolic rate (SMR) and maximum metabolic rate (MMR; e.g., Hvas & Oppedal, [2019;](#page-10-23) Lucas et al., [2016;](#page-10-24) Svendsen et al., [2017\)](#page-11-12). Here, SMR was based on the mean of the three lowest oxygen consumption values during the measurement period in the dark while MMR was assumed as the mean of the three highest oxygen consumption values in light. To exclude potential bacterial oxygen consumption, the oxygen consumption of empty chambers was measured before and after each measurement with fish in the chambers. If the difference between these two measurements of bacterial oxygen consumption was more than 1 mg $O₂$ per min, a linear interpolation was applied over the data. After the respirometer measurements, we measured the SL and WM of each fish.

2.5 | **Manganese concentration analyses**

We explored Mn accumulation to better understand the effects of Mn exposure on fish. Single organs (e.g., liver, brain) were too small to provide sufficient dry weight for Mn detection. Instead, we focused on the head (e.g., brain, gills) and the rest of the body (e.g., liver, muscle tissue), i.e., we used two samples per fish in the analyses. Samples were freeze dried for 48 h (Christ Alpha 2–4), smashed and digested in aqua regia (HNO3:HCl 1:3). Then, the digested tissue samples were filtered and filled to a final volume of 10 mL with ultrapure water, after which the samples were analyzed similarly than the water samples with Perkin-Elmer Avio 500 ICP OES.

2.6 | **Statistical analyses**

To reveal the potential effects of $MnSO_A$ concentration and selection line on fish growth, we extracted the average relative growth rate of the rearing groups with

$$
\left(SL_{2}/SL_{1}\right) ^{(1/t)}-1
$$

where $SL₂$ is the average SL at the age 218 dpf (the last measurement), $SL₁$ is the average SL at the age 70 dpf (the first measurement) and *t* is the time, the experiment lasted (148 days). We then used a generalized additive model (GAM) with Gaussian error distribution and maximum likelihood estimation with the relative growth rate and condition factor as response variables and concentration, selection line, and their interaction as predictive variables (1). Selection-line replicate was not set as a random variable because in some concentrations, we only had one rearing group per selection-line replicate. As fish SL varied among concentrations and selection lines at the time we started the experiment, we additionally added the average body size at the time the growth experiment was initiated (SL at the age 70 dpf) as a predictive variable in the model (1). SL at the age 70 dpf was not explained by the selection line (*F*= 0.226, *p*= .637) or by the MnSO₄ concentration (F=0.014, p=.905). Growth rate was estimated as an average relative growth rate across all individuals within a rearing group, hence the rearing group could not be added as a random variable in the model.

(1) GAM (Growth rate∼Selection line×Concentration $+$ SL at age 70 dpf, family = Gaussian)

Condition factor, on the other hand, was calculated for each individual fish at the end of the experiment (at age 218 dpf), not as rearing group averages as when estimated growth rates. Therefore, the effect of rearing group could be added as a random factor in the model (edf = 2.611, $p = 0.108$). Selection-line replicate (both selection lines had two replicates) could not be added as a random variable as in some concentrations we only had five fish, i.e., one rearing group, per selection-line replicate. The relative condition factor (Froese, [2006;](#page-10-25) Le Cren, [1951](#page-10-26)) was calculated for each fish as

$$
WM / (a \times SL^b)
$$

where WM is the wet mass (g) at age 218 dpf, SL is the standard length (mm) at age 218 dpf, *a* is the intercept and *b* is the slope of a linear regression of ln(WM) on ln(SL) (*t*= 5.406, *p*< .01). The standard length– weight regression parameters of our zebrafish population were estimated as $a = 0.0555$ and $b = 2.619$ using fish in the control treatment (i.e., 0 Mn mg L^{-1}).

(2) GAM Condition factor∼Selection line×Concentration + SL at age 70 dpf + (1|Rearing group), family = Gaussian

Behavioral traits (activity and feeding probability) were tested twice to demonstrate consistency in fish behavior over time. This was studied with a correlation test between the two measurements per individual fish. We used a (generalized) linear mixed-effect model (G/LMER) to study the effects of MnSO₄ concentration and selection line on fish behavior. Activity (distance moved in cm) and feeding behavior (feeding probability) were set as response variables and selection line, $MnSO₄$ concentration, their interaction, and fish SL at the time of measuring the behavior as predictive variables (3,4). Individual fish (as each fish was measured twice) and rearing group were set as random variables.

(4) GLMER (Feeding probability∼Selection line×Concentration ⁺SL+(1|Fish ID)+(1|Rearing group), family=Binomial)

A generalized additive model was used to study the effects of $MnSO_A$ concentration and selection line (predictive variables) on average metabolic rates (SMR and MMR) and aerobic scope

(response variables). As metabolic rates were estimated for groups of fish originating from one rearing group, it could not be added as a random variable in the model (5). The number of fish in one respirometer chamber during the measurement varied slightly (i.e., we did not always have exactly five fish in a chamber at a time) and therefore the effect of number of fish in a chamber during the measurement could be tested as adding it as a random variable (edf = 0.5927, *p*= .122).

$$
GAM (SMR/MMR/AS \sim Selection line
$$

×Concentration + (1|Number of fish in chamber)) (5)

Finally, we used a linear model (LM) to study the effects of $MnSO₄$ concentration and selection line (predictive variables) on Mn-concentration (mg Mn/g fish WM) accumulated in the fish head and in the body (6).

LM (Mn − concentration ∼ Selection line × Concentration) (6)

To estimate differences in growth rate, condition factor, standard metabolic rate, behavior and Mn accumulation in fish head and body in different Mn concentrations and between the selection lines, we first fitted the full model and then used the stepwise model reduction. Results were considered statistically significant at *p*< .05. Data was analyzed using R version 4.1.2 (R Core Team, [2022](#page-11-13)) and packages nlme, lme4, mgcv and pscl.

3 | **RESULTS**

3.1 | The effect of MnSO_A concentration and **selection line on growth and condition**

Large-selected fish had higher average growth rates than smallselected fish (*t*= 2.546, *p*= .011; Figure [1a,](#page-5-0) Table [S1\)](#page-11-11) and fish also had lower growth rates at high concentrations compared to low concentrations (*t*= 2.062, *p*= .039; Table [S1\)](#page-11-11), particularly the small-selected fish (Figure [1a](#page-5-0)). The average body size at the beginning of the experiment (SL at age 70 dpf) also significantly affected the growth rate (*t*= −16.72, *p*< .001; Table [S1](#page-11-11)). Generally, the smaller the fish when the experiment started, the higher the relative growth rate.

The relative condition factor at age 218 dpf was significantly affected by the interaction of the selection line and concentration (t = −1.983, *p*= .023; Table [S1](#page-11-11)). Large-selected fish had higher condition factors than small-selected fish in all concentrations except in 0.5 and 1.5 Mn mg L^{-1} (Figure [1b](#page-5-0)). Among both selection lines, the condition factor was clearly lowest in the highest MnSO₄ concentration compared to the other concentrations (Figure [1b\)](#page-5-0). Similarly, as in the growth experiment, the size of the fish when the experiment started (at age 70 dpf) had an effect on the adult condition factor: the smaller the fish when the experiment started the higher the

FIGURE 1 (a) The average relative growth rate of fish from the small-selected (red symbols) and large-selected (turquoise symbols) fish at different MnSO₄ concentrations (gray bars on top; Mn mg L⁻¹). Data are shown as the average relative growth rate (open circles) per rearing cage and the mean (filled circles) with standard errors. (b) Relative condition factor at age 218 days post fertilization of the small-selected (red symbols) and large-selected (turquoise symbols) fish at different $MnSO_4$ concentrations. Data are shown as individual observation (open circles) per fish and the mean (filled circles) with standard errors.

3.2 | **The effect of MnSO4 concentration and selection line on behavior**

The activity was a repeatable behavioral trait (Pearson's correlation = 0.437; *p*= .002). There was no significant interaction between the selection line and concentration neither concentration affected fish activity (Figure [2a,](#page-6-0) Table [S2\)](#page-11-11). Large-selected fish had overall higher activity levels than small-selected fish (*t*= 2.633, *p*< .01; Figure [2a](#page-6-0), Table [S2](#page-11-11)) and larger fish had higher activity levels irrespective of the selection line (*t*= 2.988, *p*= .006; Table [S2](#page-11-11)).

Feeding probability was also a repeatable behavioral trait (Kendall's tau = 0.479 ; $p = .001$). Among small- and large-selected fish, 22% and 9% of the fish, respectively, did not feed during the feeding trial. The interaction between the selection line and concentration explained differences in feeding probability (*z*= 1.580, *p*= .002; Table [S2](#page-11-11)). While among large-selected fish the feeding probability increased with $MnSO_A$ concentration, among smallselected fish the feeding probability slightly increased first but then decreased distinctively in the highest concentration (Figure [2b\)](#page-6-0). Fish body size did not affect feeding probability (Table [S2](#page-11-11)).

3.3 | **The effect of MnSO4 concentration and selection line on physiology**

The standard metabolic rate (Figure [S2A\)](#page-11-10), maximum metabolic rate (Figure [S2B\)](#page-11-10) and aerobic scope (Figure [S2C\)](#page-11-10) were not affected either by the selection line or by $MnSO₄$ concentration (Table [S3\)](#page-11-11).

3.4 | The effect of MnSO₄ concentration and **selection line on accumulated Mn concentration in tissues**

Manganese tended to accumulate more in the head than in the body of the fish (Figure [3a,b\)](#page-7-0). The selection line had no significant effect on Mn concentration either in the head or in the body (Table [S4\)](#page-11-11), however the higher the Mn concentration in the water the higher it was in the body $(t=12.47, p=.003;$ Figure [3a\)](#page-7-0) and in the head (*t*= 17.67, *p*= .001; Figure [3b](#page-7-0)).

4 | **DISCUSSION**

Life-history type (i.e., selection line) affected fish performance under stressful environmental conditions. Large-selected fish, which

FIGURE 2 The effect of MnSO₄ concentration and selection line on (a) general activity measured as a distance moved (cm) and (b) feeding activity measured as feeding probability during the five-minute behavioral trial. Small-selective fish indicated by red symbols and large-selected fish by turquoise symbols. Data are shown as individual observation (open circles) per fish and the mean (filled circles) with standard errors.

FIGURE 3 The effect of MnSO₄ concentration in the rearing environment and selection line on the accumulated amount of manganese in the (a) body and (b) head of the fish. Small-selective fish indicated by red symbols and large-selected fish by turquoise symbols. Data are shown as individual observation (open circles) per fish and the mean (filled circles) with standard errors.

had been selected for large body size, slow juvenile growth rate and old age at maturity ("slow life-history type") seemed to tolerate better high $MnSO₄$ concentrations than small-selected fish with small body size, fast juvenile growth rate and young age at maturity ("fast life-history type"; Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2015](#page-11-5)). Large-selected fish had higher growth rate and condition factor in elevated $MnSO_4$ concentrations than small-selected fish despite no significant differences in standard metabolic rate. They were also more active and had higher feeding probability. These results suggest, that potentially owing to different energy allocation strategies, personalities or stress coping styles, individuals with slow life histories may be better able to cope with chronic environmental stress. Therefore, we should react with caution to statements that a certain stress response is common across all individuals within a species (Balasch & Tort, [2019](#page-9-7)). Instead, it may depend on individual characteristics, such as life-history types or personalities (Caizergues et al., [2022;](#page-9-8) Prentice et al., [2022](#page-11-14); but see Santicchia et al., [2020\)](#page-11-15).

Although manganese accumulated in a similar manner to fish with both life-history types (Figure [3](#page-7-0)), the growth response differed between them. Fish with a selection history for slow life performed better than fish with a selection history for fast life in terms of growth (Figure [1\)](#page-5-0). The difference was absent in the control treatment, which indicates recovery of this trait from the past selection but became visible when individuals experienced more stressful environments

(i.e., elevated $MnSO₄$ concentrations). Previous study has shown that large-selected fish exhibit more variation in growth and respond to starvation stress differently than small-selected fish (Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2016](#page-11-16)). These observations imply that large-selected fish are more plastic and better able to cope with environmental stressors than small-selected fish. Indeed, it has been suggested by other studies that stress-coping abilities are affected by the degree of individual phenotypic plasticity (Balasch & Tort, [2019](#page-9-7)).

The mechanisms behind the higher growth rate of large-selected fish may be related to differences in, for example, stress physiology and/or energy metabolism. We did not detect any significant trends in the standard metabolic rate, which neither differed between the life-history types nor was linearly affected by the $MnSO_A$ concentration. This finding could be related to the small sample size, high variation, as fish metabolic rates were measured in groups, and/or to the age of measured fish (i.e., they had already exceeded the period of fast growth). Furthermore, as the $MnSO₄$ concentrations could not be controlled in the respirometer chambers, the measurements were done in pure water. In addition to the metabolic rate, there might be other physiological traits (e.g., feed conversion ratio or metabolic efficiency) that could explain the higher growth rate of large-selected fish in high $MnSO₄$ concentrations.

The effective Mn concentrations in our long-term experiment (measured mean concentrations in water 0.19 and 0.41 mg L−1, nominal **8 of 11 [|]** UUSI-HEIKKILÄ et al.

concentrations 1.27 and 2.73 mgL^{-1}) were higher than the predicted no-effect concentrations for aquatic organisms recommended (Harford et al., [2015;](#page-10-18) Peters et al., [2010\)](#page-10-19). Acute effective or lethal concentrations of Mn are clearly higher, for example, the effective concentration (EC10) of zebrafish embryos is 4.63 mg L⁻¹ (Peters et al., [2010](#page-10-19)). Mn concentration of more than 4.5 mg L^{-1} (10 times higher than our highest measured concentration) has been shown to decrease growth in brown trout early stages (*Salmo trutta*) and concentrations higher than 15.5 mgL^{-1} can be lethal (Stubblefield et al., [1997\)](#page-11-4). Interestingly, even very high Mn concentration (100mgL⁻¹) did not affect brown trout hatching success (Stubblefield et al., [1997\)](#page-11-4). Arola et al. [\(2017](#page-9-4)) demonstrated slightly lower concentrations (approx. 15–30 mgl^{-1}) as LC50 value for whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus*) offspring, whereas Lewis [\(1976](#page-10-15)) noticed only 1 mgL^{-1} MnSO₄ to increase embryonic mortality in rainbow trout. Several studies have demonstrated different sublethal physiological effects of Mn in fish, for example, altered hematological parameters (Aliko et al., [2018](#page-9-9)), such as decreased number of red blood cells and hemoglobin value (Sharma & Langer, [2014\)](#page-11-17) even without mortality itself (Wepener et al., [1992\)](#page-11-18). Furthermore, the toxicity level of Mn is suggested to be associated with oxidative stress (Vieira et al., [2012](#page-11-19); but see Baden et al., [1995](#page-9-10)). Increase in water temperature also increases the uptake of Mn in fish (Howe et al., [2004\)](#page-10-14), also raising the potential effect of climate-induced changes in water temperature in this context.

Large-selected fish were not only able to grow faster but they were also able to potentially allocate more energy into fat production particularly in high concentrations indicated by higher condition factors (Figure [1b\)](#page-5-0). This process was, however, severely disrupted in the highest concentration (7.5 mgL^{-1}) where the condition factor of both large- and small-selected fish was five times lower than in other concentrations and could reflect altered metabolic homeostasis. In the highest concentration, there were more individuals with very low than high condition factors among both life-history types. One explanation underlying this bimodal distribution could be related to social structure in zebrafish shoals. In stressful conditions, the competition for food might become more intense as energy demand increases and creates more pronounced social hierarchies where few individuals dominate the resources. Among large-selected fish, there seemed to be more of these potentially dominating individuals than among small-selected fish. Similar decreases in condition factors in fish exposed to high heavy metal concentrations have been reported earlier because of reduced feed intake or metabolic activity (Baudou et al., [2017;](#page-9-11) Eastwood & Couture, [2002;](#page-10-27) but see Dethloff et al., [2001](#page-10-28); Farag et al., [1995\)](#page-10-29). While the effect of environmental toxins and heavy metals on fish condition has been well studied and demonstrated, less attention has been paid to the interaction between heavy metal concentrations and individual differences in life histories. Therefore, it is important to consider the heterogeneity of stress response among individuals in an environment where several human-induced selection pressures operate simultaneously and potentially antagonistically (e.g., size-selective harvesting and heavy metal exposure).

Energy demand typically increases under stress and growth may be compromised if the animal cannot balance their energetic

requirements (Rueda-Jasso, [2004](#page-11-20)). Indeed, toxic agents may lead to an imbalance between energy supply and demand by negatively affecting feeding behavior either directly or indirectly (e.g., damaging sensory, and/or nervous system; Hoskins & Volkoff, [2012\)](#page-10-30). Studies have reported reduced intake of food in cadmium-exposed fish together with altered swimming activity (Baudou et al., [2017;](#page-9-11) Ferrari et al., [2011;](#page-10-31) Sloman et al., [2003\)](#page-11-21). In fish, appetite is commonly associated with increased swimming activity as they search for food. The lower feeding probability (Figure [2b\)](#page-6-0) likely underlies, at least partly, the lower growth rate and condition factor of small-selected fish compared to large-selected fish in our study. Small-selected fish were also less active (Figure [2a\)](#page-6-0), and this could indicate that they were not searching food as effectively as large-selected fish or alternatively they were saving energy. This, in turn, could indicate that they had lower appetite. However, differences in feeding behavior and activity between the two life-history types were already present in the control treatment. Large-selected fish have also been previously shown to be more active and explorative than small-selected fish (Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2015\)](#page-11-5), thus it seems that these behavioral differences are correlating with other morphological and life-history differences characterizing these different life-history types.

Behavioral responses to stress have been described as reactive (often characterized by freezing behavior) or proactive (e.g., highly active fight or flight behavior). In high $MnSO₄$ concentrations, smallselected fish appeared to adopt a reactive behavior (less active, low feeding probability) whereas large-selected fish behaved evidently proactively (more active, high feeding probability). These behavioral types are considered as adaptations for life in unstable and stable environments, respectively; thus, reactive individuals are characterized by higher levels of physiological stress responses than proactive individuals (Cockrem, [2007\)](#page-10-32). In vertebrates, the physiological stress response involves activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, where exposure to stress stimulates the secretion of glucocorticoids (e.g., Cockrem, [2007](#page-10-32)). In turn, glucocorticoid secretion elicits a cascade of physiological and behavioral processes that are essential to cope with stressful events (Landys et al., [2006;](#page-10-33) Wingfield & Ramenofsky, [1999\)](#page-11-22). The stress-coping style hypothesis makes the specific predictions that proactive individuals should have lower baseline concentrations of glucocorticoids and a less reactive HPA axis. Although we did not measure cortisol concentrations of the fish in the present study, we have shown earlier that cortisol concentration in zebrafish correlates negatively with body size and feed intake (Merino et al., unpublished; Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2018](#page-11-23)).

Finally, small-selected fish have been shown to differ genetically (both at sequence and gene expression levels) from largeselected fish (Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2015](#page-11-5), [2017](#page-11-7)). Although gene expression profiles of the experimental fish were not investigated in the present study, it is possible that there were differences in certain stress-related regulatory mechanisms between the lifehistory types leading to differences in the ability to compensate the effects of the stressor. This type of a response is often associated with chronic stress since heavy acute stressors may result in death, and mild ones in recovery.

In the present study, we demonstrated that life-history type may affect stress-coping ability in fish. Considering the complex response to stress becomes important when human activities are imposing different selection pressures on wild animal populations. For example, fisheries is often size-selective and select for small body size and fast life-history types (e.g., Jørgensen & Fiksen, [2010](#page-10-34); Olsen et al., [2004](#page-10-35); Uusi-Heikkilä et al., [2015\)](#page-11-5). Harvested populations may experience other human-induced selection pressures in their environment operating, for example, on stress coping abilities. Therefore, if a population mostly consists of individuals with fast lifehistories and potentially low stress-coping abilities, the two selection pressures operating antagonistically may exacerbate population decline. It is also good to keep in mind that human-induced selection pressures are often directional and may reduce plasticity in a population, which has been suggested to help coping with maladaptive stressors (Balasch & Tort, [2019](#page-9-7)). While complicating the predictions of how organisms may respond to stress, these are important factors to consider when anticipating the effects of multiple, simultaneous human-induced stressors on heterogeneous populations. **Silva Uusi-Heikkilä:** Conceptualization (equal); data curation **ORCID REFERENCES**

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

(equal); formal analysis (lead); investigation (equal); methodology (equal); supervision (equal); visualization (lead); writing – original draft (lead); writing – review and editing (equal). **Jouni K. Salonen:** Conceptualization (equal); data curation (equal); investigation (equal); methodology (equal); supervision (equal); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Juha S. Karjalainen:** Data curation (supporting); investigation (supporting); resources (supporting); writing – review and editing (equal). **Ari Väisänen:** Data curation (supporting); investigation (supporting); methodology (supporting); resources (supporting); writing – review and editing (equal). **Johanna Hippeläinen:** Conceptualization (supporting); formal analysis (supporting); investigation (supporting); methodology (supporting); writing – review and editing (equal). **Teemu Hämärvuo:** Data curation (supporting); formal analysis (supporting); investigation (supporting); writing – review and editing (equal). **Anna Kuparinen:** Conceptualization (equal); formal analysis (supporting); funding acquisition (lead); project administration (lead); resources (lead); writing – review and editing (equal).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Juho Kotikoski for helping out with building the experimental setup, assisting in data collection and zebrafish husbandry. We also thank Emma Pajunen, Mervi Koistinen and Nina Honkanen for taking care of the experimental animals. Finally, we are grateful to Professor Jussi Kukkonen for advice in planning the experiment. We thank Robert Arlinghaus for his contribution in initiating the zebrafish selection lines.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This study was funded by the Academy of Finland (project grants 325107 to SUH and 317495 to AK), Emil Aaltonen Foundation

(through a project grant to AK). This project has also received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (grant agreement No 770884).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no competing interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data and codes are available in the Jyväskylä University Digital Repository (jyx.jyu.fi) upon publication.

Silva Uusi-Heikkilä <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6503-455X> *Anna Kuparinen* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7807-8946>

- Aliko, V., Qirjo, M., Sula, E., Morina, V., & Faggio, C. (2018). Antioxidant defense system, immune response and erythron profile modulation in gold fish, *Carassius auratus*, after acute manganese treatment. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, *76*, 101–109.
- Arola, H. E., Karjalainen, A. K., Syrjänen, J. T., Hannula, M., Väisänen, A., & Karjalainen, J. (2019). Assessment of fish embryo survival and growth by in situ incubation in acidic boreal streams undergoing biomining effluents. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, *76*, 51–65.
- Arola, H. E., Karjalainen, J., Vehniäinen, E.-R., Väisänen, A., Kukkonen, J. V. K., & Karjalainen, A. K. (2017). Tolerance of whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus*) early life stages to manganese sulfate in affected by parents. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, *36*, 1343–1353.
- Baden, S. P., Eriksson, S. P., & Weeks, J. M. (1995). Uptake, accumulation and regulation of manganese during experimental hypoxia and normoxia by the decapod *Nephrops norvegicus* (L.). *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, *31*, 93–102.
- Balasch, J. C., & Tort, L. (2019). Netting the stress responses in fish. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*, *10*, 62.
- Barnhoorn, I., van Vuren, J. H. J., & du Preez, H. H. (1999). Sublethal effects of manganese on the carbohydrate metabolism of *Oreochromis mossambicus* after acute and chronic exposure. *South African Journal of Zoology*, *34*, 102–107.
- Bartolomucci, A., Palanza, P., Sacerdote, P., Panerai, A. E., Sgoifo, A., Dantzer, R., & Parmigiani, S. (2005). Social factors and individual vulnerability to chronic stress exposure. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *29*, 67–81.
- Baudou, F. G., Ossana, N. A., Castañé, P. M., Mastrángelo, M. M., & Ferrari, L. (2017). Cadmium effects on some energy metabolism variables in *Cnesterodon decemmaculatus* adults. *Ecotoxicology*, *26*, 1250–1258.
- Bell, A. M., Henderson, L., & Huntingford, F. A. (2010). Behavioral and respiratory responses to stressors in multiple populations of threespined sticklebacks that differ in predation pressure. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, *180*, 211–220.
- Caizergues, A. E., Grégoire, A., Choquet, R., Perret, S., & Charmantier, A. (2022). A behavior and stress-related phenotypes in urban birds adaptive? *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *91*, 1627–1641.
- Cavigelli, S. A., & McClintock, M. K. (2003). Fear of novelty in infant rats predicts adult corticosterone dynamics and an early death. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *100*, 16131–16136.
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., Barnosky, A. D., García, A., Pringle, R. M., & Palmer, T. M. (2015). Accelerated modern human–induced species

losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science Advances*, *1*, e1400253.

- Cockrem, J. (2007). Stress, corticosterone responses and avian personalities. *Journal of Ornithology*, *148*, 169–178.
- Coleman, F. C., & Williams, S. L. (2002). Overexploiting marine ecosystem engineers: Potential consequences for biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *17*, 40–44.
- Congdon, J. D., Dunham, A. E., Hopkins, W. A., Rowe, C. L., & Hinton, T. G. (2001). Resource allocation-based life histories: A conceptual basis for studies of ecological toxicology. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, *20*, 1698–1703.
- Debecker, S., Sanmartín-Villar, I., de Guinea-Luengo, M., Cordero-Rivera, A., & Stoks, R. (2016). Integrating the pace-of-life syndrome across species, sexes and individuals: Covariation of life history and personality under pesticide exposure. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *85*, 726–738.
- Dethloff, G. M., Bailey, H. C., & Maier, K. J. (2001). Effects of dissolved copper on select hematological, biochemical, and immunological parameters of wild rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, *40*, 371–380.
- Eastwood, S., & Couture, P. (2002). Seasonal variations in condition and liver metal concentrations of yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) from a metal-contaminated environment. *Aquatic Toxicology*, *58*, 43–56.
- Engell Dahl, J., Marti, S.-L., Colinet, H., Wiegand, C., Holmstrup, M., & Renault, D. (2021). Thermal plasticity and sensitivity to insecticides in populations of an invasive beetle: Cyfluthrin increases vulnerability to extreme temperature. *Chemosphere*, *274*, 129905.
- Farag, A. M., Stansbury, M. A., Hogstrand, C., MacConnell, E., & Bergman, H. L. (1995). The physiological impairment of free-ranging brown trout exposed to metals in the Clark Fork river, Montana. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *52*, 2038–2050.
- Ferrari, L., Eissa, B. L., & Salibián, A. (2011). Energy balance of juvenile *Cyprinus carpio* after a short-term exposure to sublethal waterborne cadmium. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, *37*, 853–862.
- Ferreira, M. S., Wood, C. M., Harter, T. S., Dal Pont, G., Val, A. L., & Matthews, P. G. D. (2019). Metabolic fuel use after feeding in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*): A respirometric analysis. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *222*, jeb194217.
- Froese, R. (2006). Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: History, meta-analysis and recommendations. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, *22*, 241–253.
- Gevertz, A. K., Tucker, A. J., Bowling, A. M., Williamson, C. E., & Oris, J. T. (2012). Differential tolerance of native and nonnative fish exposed to ultraviolet radiation and fluoranthene in Lake Tahoe (California/Nevada), USA. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, *31*, 1129–1135.
- Häder, D.-P., Banaszak, A. T., Villafañe, V. E., Narvarte, M. A., González, R. A., & Helbling, L. W. (2020). Anthropogenic pollution of aquatic ecosystems: Emerging problems with global implications. *Science of the Total Environment*, *713*, 136586.
- Harford, A. J., Mooney, T. J., Trenfield, M. A., & van Dam, R. A. (2015). Manganese toxicity to tropical freshwater species in low hardness water. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, *34*, 2856–2863.
- Hoskins, L. J., & Volkoff, H. (2012). The comparative endocrinology of feeding in fish: Insights and challenges. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, *176*, 327–335.
- Howe, P. D., Malcolm, H. M., & Dobson, S. (2004). *Manganese and its compounds: Environmental aspects*. Concise international chemical assessment document 63. World Health Organization.
- Hvas, M., & Oppedal, F. (2019). Influence of experimental set-up and methodology for measurements of metabolic rates and critical swimming speed in Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. *Journal of Fish Biology*, *95*, 893–902.
- Jørgensen, C., & Fiksen, Ø. (2010). Modelling fishing-induced adaptations and consequences for natural mortality. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *67*, 1086–1097.
- Kakade, A., Sharma, M., Salama, E.-S., Zhang, P., Zhang, L., Xing, X., Yue, J., Song, Z., Nan, L., Yujun, S., & Li, X. (2023). Heavy metals (HMs) pollution in the aquatic environment: Role of probiotics and gut microbiota in HMs remediation. *Environmental Research*, *223*, 115186.
- Karjalainen, J., Hu, X., Mäkinen, M., Karjalainen, A., Järvistö, J., Järvenpää, K., Sepponen, M., & Leppänen, M. T. (2023). Sulfate sensitivity of aquatic organism in soft freshwaters explored by toxicity tests and species sensitivity distribution. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, *258*, 114984.
- Killen, S. S., Marras, S., Metcalfe, N., McKenzie, D. J., & Domenici, P. (2013). Environmental stressors alter relationships between physiology and behaviour. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *28*, 651–658.
- Kim, S.-Y., Noguera, J. C., & Velando, A. (2018). Carry-over effects of early thermal conditions on somatic and germline oxidative damages are mediated by compensatory growth in sticklebacks. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *88*, 473–483.
- Kolonin, A. M., Bókony, V., Bonner, T. H., Zúñiga-Vega, J. J., Aspbury, A. S., Guzman, A., Molina, R., Calvillo, P., & Gabor, C. R. (2022). Coping with urban habitats via glucocorticoid regulation: Physiology, behavior, and life history in stream fishes. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *62*, 90–103.
- Koolhaas, J. M., Korte, S. M., De Boer, S. F., Van Der Vegt, B. J., Van Reenen, C. G., Hopster, H., De Jong, I. C., Ruis, M. A., & Blokhuis, H. J. (1999). Coping styles in animals: Current status in behavior and stress-physiology. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *23*, 925–935.
- Korte, S. M., Koolhaas, J. M., Wingfield, J. C., & McEwen, B. S. (2005). The Darwinian concept of stress: Benefits of allostasis and costs of allostatic load and the trade-offs in health and disease. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *29*, 3–38.
- Landys, M. M., Ramenofsky, M., & Wingfield, J. (2006). Actions of glucocorticoids at a seasonal baseline as compared to stress-related levels in the regulation of periodic life processes. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, *148*, 132–149.
- Le Cren, E. D. (1951). The length–weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *20*, 201–219.
- Lewis, M. (1976). Effects of low concentrations of manganous sulfate on eggs and fry of rainbow trout. *The Progressive Fish-Culturist*, *38*, 63–65.
- Lucas, J., Bonnieux, A., Lyphout, L., Cousin, X., Miramand, P., & Lefrançois, C. (2016). Trophic contamination by pyrolytic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons does not affect aerobic metabolic scope in zebrafish *Danio rerio*. *Journal of Fish Biology*, *88*, 433–442.
- Lydersen, E., Löfgren, S., & Arnesen, R. T. (2002). Metals in Scandinavian surface waters: Effects of acidification, liming, and potential reacidification. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, *32*, 73–295.
- Nyman, A., Johnson, A., Yu, C., Dopson, M., & Åström, M. (2023). Multielement features of active acid sulfate soils across the Swedish coastal plains. *Applied Geochemistry*, *152*, 105653.
- Olsen, E. M., Heino, M., Lilly, G. R., Morgan, M. J., Brattey, J., Ernande, B., & Dieckmann, U. (2004). Maturation trends indicative of rapid evolution preceded the collapse of northern cod. *Nature*, *428*, 932–935.
- Øverli, Ø., Sørensen, C., & Nilsson, G. E. (2006). Behavioral indicators of stress-coping style in rainbow trout: Do males and females react differently to novelty? *Physiology and Behavior*, *87*, 506–512.
- Perez, K. O., & Munch, S. B. (2010). Extreme selection on size in the early lives of fish. *Evolution*, *64*, 2450–2457.
- Peters, A., Crane, M., Maycock, D., Merrington, G., & Simpson, P. (2010). *Proposed EQS for water framework directive annex VIII substances: Manganese (bioavailable) (for consultation)*. Water Framework Directive – United Kingdom Technical Advisory Group (WFD-UKTAG).
- Pinsino, A., Matranga, V., Trinchella, F., & Roccheri, M. C. (2010). Sea urchin embryos as an in vivo model for the assessment of manganese

 LEERELARGE EXAGLE EXAGLE EXAGLE 21 OF 11 of 11 OF 11 of 11 of 11 of 11 of 11 of 11

toxicity - developmental and stress response effects. *Ecotoxicology*, *19*, 555–562.

- Pottinger, T. G., Pickering, A. D., & Hurley, M. A. (1992). Consistency in the stress response of individuals of two strains of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture*, *103*, 275–289.
- Prentice, P. M., Houslay, T. M., & Wilson, A. J. (2022). Exploiting animal personality to reduce chronic stress in captive fish populations. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, *9*, 1046205.
- R Core Team. (2022). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. [https://www.R-proje](https://www.r-project.org/) [ct.org/](https://www.r-project.org/)
- Radley, J., Morilak, D., Viau, V., & Campeau, S. (2015). Chronic stress and brain plasticity: Mechanisms underlying adaptive and maladaptive changes and implications for stress-related CNS disorders. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *58*, 79–91.
- Roy, T., Rohr, T., & Arlinghaus, R. (2023). Size-selective harvesting impacts learning and decision-making in zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. *Behavioral Ecology*, *34*, 682–694. [https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/](https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arad037) [arad037](https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arad037)
- Rueda-Jasso, R. (2004). Effect of dietary non-protein energy levels on condition and oxidative status of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*) juveniles. *Aquaculture*, *231*, 417–433.
- Santicchia, F., Wauters, L. A., Dantzer, B., Westrick, S. E., Ferrari, N., Romeo, C., Palme, R., Preatoni, D. G., & Martinoli, A. (2020). Relationship between personality traits and the physiological stress response in a wild mammal. *Current Zoology*, *66*, 197–204.
- Sbragaglia, V., Alós, J., Fromm, K., Monk, C. T., Díaz-Gil, C., Uusi-Heikkilä, S., Honsey, A. E., Wilson, A. D. M., & Arlinghaus, R. (2019). Experimental size-selective harvesting affects behavioral types of a social fish. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, *148*, 552–568.
- Sharma, J., & Langer, S. (2014). Effect of manganese on haematological parameters of fish, *Garra gotyla gotyla*. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, *2*, 77–81.
- Sloman, K. A., Scott, G. R., Diao, Z., Rouleau, C., Wood, C. M., & McDonald, D. G. (2003). Cadmium affects the social behaviour of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquatic Toxicology*, *65*, 171–185.
- Stubblefield, W. A., Brinkman, S. F., Davies, P. H., Garrison, T. D., Hockett, J. R., & McIntyre, M. W. (1997). Effects of water hardness on the toxicity of manganese to developing brown trout (*Salmo trutta*). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, *16*, 2082–2089.
- Svendsen, M. B. S., Christensen, E. A. F., & Steffensen, J. F. (2017). To scale or not to scale: A perspective on describing fish energy budgeting. *Conservation Physiology*, *5*, cox056.
- Uusi-Heikkilä, S., Bierbach, D., Alós, J., Tscheligi, P., Wolter, C., & Arlinghaus, R. (2018). Relatively large males lower reproductive success in female zebrafish. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, *101*, 1625–1638.
- Uusi-Heikkilä, S., Lindström, K., Parre, N., Arlinghaus, R., Alós, J., & Kuparinen, A. (2016). Altered trait variability in response to sizeselective mortality. *Biology Letters*, *12*, 20160584.
- Uusi-Heikkilä, S., Sävilammi, T., Leder, E., Arlinghaus, R., & Primmer, C. (2017). Rapid, broad-scale gene expression evolution in experimentally harvested fish populations. *Molecular Evolution*, *26*, 3954–3967.
- Uusi-Heikkilä, S., Whiteley, A. R., Kuparinen, A., Matsumura, S., Venturelli, P. A., Wolter, C., Slate, J., Primmer, C. R., Meinelt, T., Killen, S. S., Bierbach, D., Polverino, G., Ludwig, A., & Arlinghaus, R. (2015). The evolutionary legacy of size-selective harvesting extends from genes to populations. *Evolutionary Applications*, *8*, 597–620.
- Uusi-Heikkilä, S., Wolter, C., Meinelt, T., & Arlinghaus, R. (2010). Sizedependent reproductive success of wild zebrafish *Danio rerio* in the laboratory. *Journal of Fish Biology*, *77*, 552–569.
- Vágási, C. I., Vincze, O., Patras, L., Osváth, G., Pénzes, J., Haussmann, M. F., Barta, Z., & Pap, P. L. (2019). Longevity and life history coevolve with oxidative stress in birds. *Functional Ecology*, *33*, 152–161.
- Vieira, M. C., Torronteras, R., Córdoba, F., & Canalejo, A. (2012). Acute toxicity of manganese in goldfish *Carassius auratus* is associated with oxidative stress and organ specific antioxidant responses. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, *78*, 212–217.
- Wepener, V., Van Vuren, J. H. J., & Du Preez, H. H. (1992). Effect of manganese and iron at a neutral and acidic pH on the hematology of the banded Tilapia (*Tilapia sparrmanii*). *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, *49*, 613–619.
- Wingfield, J. C., & Ramenofsky, M. (1999). Hormones and the behavioral ecology of stress. In P. H. M. Palm (Ed.), *Stress physiology in animals. Biological sciences series* (pp. 1–51). Sheffield Academic Press.
- Zamora-Ledezma, C., Negrete-Bolagay, D., Figueroa, F., Zamora-Ledezma, E., Ni, M., Alexis, F., & Guerrero, V. H. (2021). Heavy metal water pollution: A fresh look about hazards, novel and conventional remediation methods. *Environmental Technology and Innovation*, *22*, 101504.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Uusi-Heikkilä, S., Salonen, J. K., Karjalainen, J. S., Väisänen, A., Hippeläinen, J., Hämärvuo, T., & Kuparinen, A. (2024). Fish with slow life-history cope better with chronic manganese exposure than fish with fast life-history. *Ecology and Evolution*, *14*, e70134. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.70134) [org/10.1002/ece3.70134](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.70134)