

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Liu, Jia; Zhu, Yongjie; Cong, Fengyu; Björkman, Anders; Malesevic, Nebojsa; Antfolk, Christian

Title: Analysis of modulations of mental fatigue on intra-individual variability from single-trial event related potentials

Year: 2024

Version: Published version

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V.

Rights: _{CC BY 4.0}

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Please cite the original version:

Liu, J., Zhu, Y., Cong, F., Björkman, A., Malesevic, N., & Antfolk, C. (2024). Analysis of modulations of mental fatigue on intra-individual variability from single-trial event related potentials. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 406, Article 110110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2024.110110



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Neuroscience Methods



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jneumeth

Analysis of modulations of mental fatigue on intra-individual variability from single-trial event related potentials

Jia Liu^{a,*}, Yongjie Zhu^f, Fengyu Cong^{b,c,d,e}, Anders Björkman^g, Nebojsa Malesevic^a, Christian Antfolk^a

^a Department of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Lund University, Lund 22100, Sweden

^b Faculty of Information Technology, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä 40014, Finland

^c School of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Medicine, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

^d School of Artificial Intelligence, Faculty of Electronic Information and Electrical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

e Key Laboratory of Integrated Circuit and Biomedical Electronic System, Liaoning Province. Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

^f Department of Computer Science, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00560, Finland

g Department of Hand Surgery, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg and Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Mental fatigue Intra-individual variability (IIV) Event-related potentials (ERPs) Temporal principal component analysis (PCA) Residue iteration decomposition (RIDE) Single-trial analysis

ABSTRACT

Background: Intra-individual variability (IIV), a measure of variance within an individual's performance, has been demonstrated as metrics of brain responses for neural functionality. However, how mental fatigue modulates IIV remains unclear. Consequently, the development of robust mental fatigue detection methods at the single-trial level is challenging.

New methods: Based on a long-duration flanker task EEG dataset, the modulations of mental fatigue on IIV were explored in terms of response time (RT) and trial-to-trial latency variations of event-related potentials (ERPs). Specifically, latency variations were quantified using residue iteration decomposition (RIDE) to reconstruct latency-corrected ERPs. We compared reconstructed ERPs with raw ERPs by means of temporal principal component analysis (PCA). Furthermore, a single-trial classification pipeline was developed to detect the changes of mental fatigue levels.

Results: We found an increased IIV in the RT metric in the fatigue state compared to the alert state. The same sequence of ERPs (N1, P2, N2, P3a, P3b, and slow wave, or SW) was separated from both raw and reconstructed ERPs using PCA, whereas differences between raw and reconstructed ERPs in explained variances for separated ERPs were found owing to IIV. Particularly, a stronger N2 was detected in the fatigue than alert state after RIDE. The single-trial fatigue detection pipeline yielded an acceptable accuracy of 73.3%.

Comparison with existing methods: The IIV has been linked to aging and brain disorders, and as an extension, our finding demonstrates IIV as an efficient indicator of mental fatigue.

Conclusions: This study reveals significant modulations of mental fatigue on IIV at the behavioral and neural levels and establishes a robust mental fatigue detection pipeline.

1. Introduction

Prolonged working hours and high cognitive demand tasks are common experiences in modern life. However, human cognitive resources are limited and our mental fatigue level increases when we engage in work and tasks for a long period of time. Mental fatigue generally leads to behavioral performance deterioration, reduced motivation, and failure of sustaining attention (Liu, Zhu, et al., 2020). This is referred to as the time-on-task effect (Gao et al., 2022) and/or vigilance decrement (Reteig et al., 2019). Mental fatigue has been reported as the main factors of traffic accidents and poor work efficiency (Liu et al., 2023). In order to alleviate such consequences, efforts have been made to reveal the underlying mechanisms of mental fatigue (Liu et al., 2024) and to monitor the levels of mental fatigue (Chen et al., 2023). The modulatory effects of mental fatigue have been examined on numerous cognitive functions, such as inhibition responses (Guo et al., 2018; Kato et al., 2009), visual selective attention (Faber et al., 2012), sustained attention (Boksem et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2023), and top-down

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2024.110110

Received 29 September 2023; Received in revised form 13 January 2024; Accepted 15 March 2024 Available online 16 March 2024

0165-0270/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address: jialiu15@foxmail.com* (J. Liu).

cognitive control (Kok, 2022; Lorist, 2008). Nevertheless, the majority of cognitive and neurophysiological studies have assessed average differences across trials between alert and fatigue states, and thus overshadowed the neural underpinnings on intra-individual variability (IIV). In particular, the changes of IIV modulated by mental fatigue have been poorly studied in the literature.

IIV, or response variability, reflects dynamic, transient, and withinsubject changes in behavioral performance and brain functions (Wei et al., 2021). Together with inter-subject variability and inter-group variability, they have been shown to be three empirical sources of intrinsic variations in cognitive functions (Braver, 2012). Here, we aim to gain more insights into the mechanisms that are central to mental fatigue and in the intra-individual response variability. Growing evidence has suggested that IIV is not a random phenomenon, but a result of different neurological processes (Fjell and Walhovd, 2007; Leue et al., 2013; Mirajkar and Waring, 2023). Furthermore, response variability is generally discussed in several attributes such as: magnitude, latency, intensity, or quality (Fiske and Rice, 1955; Joly-Burra et al., 2018). IIV in behavior performance (e.g., increased fluctuations in reaction time) has been shown to be a common component of brain disorders and aging-related cognitive decline (MacDonald et al., 2006). Similarly, IIV in brain responses has been suggested as an effective indicator of neural functionality and neurophysiological characteristics of the brain (Ouyang et al., 2017).

High-temporal-resolution electroencephalography (EEG), especially event-related potentials (ERPs) is a well-known approach to characterizing neural dynamics of the brain during cognitive processes (Zhang and Luck, 2023). Response variability from single-trial ERPs have been used for depicting within-subject variations at the neural level (Leue et al., 2013). Specifically, IIV is generally found in late ERP components such as N2 and late positive component (LPC) (Barry et al., 2020; Polich, 2020). The LPC has been shown to consist of three subcomponents, namely P3a, P3b, and slow wave (SW). Leue et al. (Leue et al., 2013) have shown that response variability in N2 amplitude incorporates systematic variance derived from a cognitive control task. Furthermore, intra-individual P3a amplitude variation has been found to be positively associated with age and negatively related to fluid intelligence and cortical thickness (Fjell and Walhovd, 2007; Joly-Burra et al., 2018). Recent IIV studies go beyond ERP amplitude and also seek to exploit the ERP latency variability associated with cognitive functions and mental abilities (Ouyang et al., 2017). The latency of P3 component, an efficient measure of mental chronometry, has been reported to be closely related to the corresponding reaction time (Duncan et al., 2009). Although response variability from ERPs has been used in brain research, retrieving objective information from single-trial ERP, especially estimating component latency, has proven challenging owing to the low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and overlapping spectrum of noises and signals (Da Pelo et al., 2018).

Several single-trial ERP latency estimation methods have been proposed in the literature. They can roughly be divided into four categories: filtering and peak-picking, template matching, maximum likelihood estimation, and decomposition methods (Ouyang et al., 2017). Among these methods, temporal filtering is a typical approach, which assumes that an ERP component possesses a specific frequency band. For example, a low-pass filter at 3-5 Hz has been applied to estimate single-trial P3 latency by removing high frequency distracting peaks (Jaškowski and Verleger, 2000). Temporal filtering is restricted by the fact that EEG noises are mixed in the low frequency components. The basic assumption of template matching is a specified waveform morphology of the ERP component. In previous template matching studies (Alvarado-Gonzalez et al., 2016; Woody, 1967), single-trial P3 latency was characterized by using an iterative procedure. Still, EEG noises cannot be excluded from the mimicking morphology. The maximum likelihood methods were developed based on the hypothesis that the statistical properties of EEG noises follow the normally distributed Fourier coefficients across trials, and the likelihood of noises

will be maximised when there is the best Gaussian properties approximation (Jaškowski and Verleger, 1999). A major restriction of the method is that it is greatly affected by EEG noises, even leading to convergence problems. In terms of decomposition methods, they mainly depend on a variety of definitions of ERP components such as topography, temporal, or statistical properties. Principal component analysis (PCA) is one of the most widely used decomposition methods, it is generally efficient for separating average-based ERP components without considering latency variations (Dien, 2012; Zhang et al., 2020). Taking into consideration the advantages of the above-mentioned methods, Ouyang et al. (Ouyang et al., 2011, 2015a) proposed the residue iteration decomposition (RIDE) method to assess trial-to-trial latency variations from single-trial ERP. RIDE integrates ERP decomposition based on latency variation and single-trial latency estimation using template matching, low-pass filtering, and likelihood methods.

In addition to revealing how mental fatigue modulates intraindividual response variability, it is important to develop robust methods to detect and assess mental fatigue (Lin et al., 2022). With the development of brain-computer interface (BCI) (Blankertz et al., 2011; Lotte et al., 2007, 2018), decoding mental states from single-trial ERP has become an important branch of modern neuroscience. Although great efforts have been made in single-trial analyses, it remains a challenging task to achieve good performance owing to trial-to-trial variability and low single-trial SNR.

In the present study, based on an EEG dataset recorded from a long period of flanker task, we explored how mental fatigue affected IIV in behavioral performance and single-trial ERPs. Within-subject variations of response time (RT) has been used to measure a subject's inconsistency of behavioral performance (Adleman et al., 2016). Trial-to-trial ERP latency variability was estimated from comparisons of latency-corrected ERPs with raw ERPs. Especially, latency-corrected ERPs were reconstructed from single trials by using RIDE. To cope with challenges during ERP analysis such as a mixture of latent underlying components, temporal PCA was used to separate ERP components from both raw and reconstructed ERPs. We then compared temporal PCA results to explore the influence of mental fatigue on latency variations. In our previous study (Liu, Zhang, Zhu, Ristaniemi, et al., 2020), a single-trial analysis pipeline integrating discrete wavelet packet transformation (DWPT) and multilinear principal component analysis (MPCA) was used to detect and localize heart diseases by using electrocardiography (ECG). Here, we extended our previously established single-trial analysis pipeline to monitor changes in mental fatigue. Altogether, this study provided new insights for the application of RIDE to investigate the modulations of mental fatigue on ERP latency variability and proposed a feasible analysis pipeline to detect mental fatigue from single-trial ERP.

2. Materials and methods

We used our previously recorded EEG dataset and shortly summarized experimental setup and recording sessions here. The details of participants, experimental task, procedures, and acquisitions can be found in an earlier study (Liu, Zhang, Zhu, Liu, et al., 2020).

2.1. Participants

Twenty right-handed university students (12 females, mean age = 21.9, SD = 2.4, range 18-28 years) participated in the experiment. They all have normal or corrected-to-normal visions, regular sleep patterns, and no history of prescription medications. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Liaoning Normal University and was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants were informed about the contents of the experiments and gave their informed and written consent.

2.2. Stimuli and task

Participants were asked to perform a modified Eriksen flanker task (Eriksen and Eriksen, 1974), in which a five-letter string consisting of the letters M and N was used. Congruent (e.g., MMMMM) and incongruent (e.g., NNMNN) stimuli were respectively presented with a proportion of 60% and 40% of the trials in a random order. The participants were instructed to respond to the central letter M or N on the keyboard. Each trial lasted a total of 3 seconds, starting from a fixation cross in the middle of the screen. After 1000 ms, a stimulus was presented for 200 ms and then a response was required within a maximum of 600 ms. Following the responses, an interval of 200 ms was provided for error response awareness, and then the final feedback of responses (e.g., 'Correct') was shown for 1000–1500 ms.

2.3. Procedures

Participants did a practice session in order to be familiar with the flanker task. In addition, they were asked to abstain from coffee, tea, and alcohol 24 h before the experiment. On the experiment day, participants were instructed to hand over their mobile phones and watches to remove the effects of time indication during the experiment. Thereafter, the participants performed the task for 140 min without a break in a soundattenuated and electrical-shielded room. The experiment included seven 20-min blocks, each block consisting of 400 trials (2800 trials in total). Furthermore, we provided a monetary reward in blocks 2 and 6 to study the interaction between mental fatigue and reward (Liu, Zhang, Zhu, Liu, et al., 2020). In the present work, we analyzed the behavioral and EEG data from block 1 (alert state) and block 5 (fatigue state) in order to explore the modulations of mental fatigue on intra-subject variability. The evidence to support that block 1 and block 5 were respectively in alert and fatigue states was provided by analyzing behavioral performance in these two blocks in Results.

2.4. EEG acquisition and preprocessing

During the stimulus presentation, continuous EEG was recorded using a 64-channel EEG system (ANT Neuro by Hengelo, The Netherlands) at a sampling frequency of 500 Hz. The impedance of each electrode was kept below 10 k Ω , and the EEG signals were online referenced to the CPz electrode.

The EEG data were processed offline using MATLAB (The Math-Works, R2022a). First, a notch filter at 50 Hz was applied to the EEG signals followed by a high-pass filter at 0.5 Hz and a low-pass filter at 30 Hz. Subsequently, noisy EEG channels were visually inspected and replaced by surrounding signals using the spherical spline interpolation method (Perrin et al., 1989). Next, the direct current (DC) offset was removed from the EEG signals. Further, a wavelet threshold method was applied to the EEG to remove large spikes and drifts (Zhang et al., 2018). By utilizing the independent component analysis (ICA) (Himberg and Hyvärinen, 2003), artifact components were removed including ocular and muscle movements. Thereafter, EEG signals were offline referenced to the averaged mastoid electrodes (M1 and M2). Finally, the EEG was segmented into epochs from 500 ms pre-stimulus to 1000 ms after stimulus onset.

2.5. Data analysis

2.5.1. Behavioral performance

For the RT metric, incorrect trials, and trials with RT < 100 ms and > 600 ms were excluded. First, response accuracy and mean RT from blocks 1 and 5 were computed to illustrate these two blocks were in the hypothesized alert and fatigue states. Next, within-subject variability of behavioral performance from RT was examined. The standard deviations of RT (Jensen, 1992) across experimental trials in the alert and fatigue states, respectively were calculated.

2.5.2. Temporal PCA to ERPs

Trials were re-segmented into epochs lasting 800 ms after stimulus onset with a pre-stimulus baseline of 200 ms. Correct trials with amplitudes under 100 μ V were used to calculate grand mean ERPs. Consequently, the number of remaining trials in the alert and fatigue states differed, which induced a bias when comparing the alert and fatigue conditions. To exclude this bias, an equalization method was performed on each subject by randomly and repeatedly selecting a subset of trials with the minimum trial number (mean =235 trials, SD=73) from all conditions. The equalization procedure was repeated 1000 times, and grand mean ERPs from all 1000 repetitions were averaged to generate the final ERPs.

The stimulus-locked ERPs with temporal and spatial information from the two conditions and all participants were constructed to form a matrix with 2480 cases (20 participants \times 62 channels \times 2 conditions) and 500 variables (time points). Temporal PCA was applied to the ERP matrix and the factor loadings were estimated from the covariance matrix as it provides an electrophysiological meaningful explanation (Kayser and Tenke, 2003). The oblique factor rotation Promax was used to attenuate the influence of volume conductivity on EEG data (Dien, 2010; Dien et al., 2007). The PCA components explaining more than 1% variance were displayed in order of latency.

2.5.3. RIDE to reconstruct ERPs

To address the intra-individual trial-to-trial latency variability, we employed an updated version of RIDE (Ouyang et al., 2015b, 2015a) to reconstruct raw ERPs. The updated version of the RIDE framework used L1 norm minimization to cope with serious distortion problems (Ouyang et al., 2015b). The RIDE was established on the ERP model as follows:

$$EEG_i(t) = M_1(t - \tau_{1i}) + M_2(t - \tau_{2i}) + \dots + M_n(t - \tau_{ni}) + \xi_i(t)$$
(1)

where $EEG_i(t)$ represents the EEG data of *i*th trial at time point *t* relative to the stimulus onset, $M_n(t)$ is the waveform of *n*th ERP component, τ_{ni} denotes the latency of *n*th component for trial *i*, and ξ denotes the noise. The latency of individual ERP components is not exactly at the same time across single trials, namely τ is supposed to vary independently and is modulated by different experimental conditions. The latency variability of each component can be represented by a probability density function of the latency distribution. Therefore, the average ERP is the convolution of the individual ERP components with the corresponding distribution of latencies across trials, represented as:

$$ERP(t) = M_1(t) * \rho(\tau_1) + M_2(t) * \rho(\tau_2) + \dots + M_n(t) * \rho(\tau_n)$$
(2)

where * represents the convolution operation and ρ denotes the probability density function. Only if the ρ is a delta function and the components are located at the most probable latency can the latency-corrected ERP (*ERP*_{*lc*}) be realized as follows:

$$ERP_{lc}(t) = M_1(t) + M_2(t) + \dots + M_n(t)$$
(3)

The early ERP components, such as $M_1(t)$ and $M_2(t)$, are less affected by latency variability, whereas the later components, $M_n(t)$, show evident latency variability, as shown in Fig. 1A. In line with the general assumptions that ERP is composed of three component clusters associated with stimulus-triggered processes, central processing, and motorrelated responses (Luck, 2005), RIDE generally decomposes single-trial ERPs into three component clusters: component cluster S locked to stimulus onset, component cluster C without an explicit time marker, and component cluster R locked to responses. Still, the RIDE algorithm can be used in different schemes, such as S + C, according to cognitive processes involved in a particular study (Ouyang et al., 2017). The RIDE algorithm was implemented by an inner loop representing a decomposition module using a time marker and an outer loop representing a latency estimation module with a self-optimized iteration for latency estimation (see the flow chart in Fig. 1B). The inner loop is terminated when the difference in latency for cluster component C of two successive



Fig. 1. (A) Left: Illustration of two early ERP components and one late ERP component from single trial that are averaged to generate ERP waveforms. Right: These three components were reconstructed across trials using the RIDE approach and then yielded reconstructed ERP waveforms. (B) Flowchart of the RIDE framework for ERP reconstruction. (C) Pipeline of comparison analysis between RIDE + PCA and PCA results.

iterations is smaller (< 10^{-3}) than that for the two initial iterations. In particular, the R component cluster is obtained by leveraging the RT metric in RIDE processing, which enables a connection between behavior and brain responses. In this study, we decomposed ERPs into S + C + R component clusters and reconstructed latency-variant ERPs from single trials. Compared to averaged raw ERP, the reconstructed ERP theoretically reduced the distortions of ERP components from averaging methods and diminished a smearing effect from trial-to-trial latency variability, providing more evidence for relating specific ERP component to different cognitive processes. Similarly, temporal PCA was used to separate reconstructed ERPs (RIDE + PCA results) for comparison with PCA results, as shown in Fig. 1C.

2.5.4. Single-trial classification analysis

The effects of mental fatigue on IIV using feature extraction and classification methods on single trial analysis was explored. A flowchart of the single-trial classification analysis is shown in Fig. 2. The unbalanced trials between two conditions were taken into consideration when performing classifications between alert and fatigue states for each subject, similar to PCA to ERP analysis. An equalization procedure was conducted by randomly selecting a minimum number of trials from two

conditions for each subject and this procedure was repeated 100 times. Classification accuracy, defined as the mean values of sensitivity and specificity (Myrden and Chau, 2017), was computed by averaging the accuracies from 100 repetitions (trial-balanced data).

To increase the SNR, we used single trial data from six channelclusters rather than from single channels. The six channel-clusters were chosen based on the topographic activations obtained from temporal PCA analysis, namely cluster 1 (AF3, AF4, F1, F2, Fz), cluster 2 (F1, F2, Fz, FC1, FC2, FCz), cluster 3 (FC1, FC2, FCz, C1, C2, Cz), cluster 4 (C1, C2, Cz, CP1, CP2, CPz), cluster 5 (Fp1, Fp2, AF3, AF4, F1, F2, Fz), and cluster 6 (FC1, FC2, FCz, C1, C2, Cz, CP1, CP2, CPz). For the feature extraction, single-trial data from the selected channel-clusters in the time window of -500-1000 ms relative to stimulus onset (considering the contamination of edge artifacts on results (Cohen and Cavanagh, 2011) were entered into the DWPT, providing more precise frequency resolution than discrete wavelet transforms (DWT) (Rajpoot et al., 2003). Summarizing empirical and practical knowledge (Zhang et al., 2018), we chose the mother wavelet of "db6" and seven layers of decomposition, resulting in a resolution of around 2 Hz in each DWPT coefficient. As the frequency bands of interest were concentrated below 30 Hz, a total of 15 DWPT coefficients (corresponding to frequency



Fig. 2. The pipeline of single-trial binary classification. An equalization procedure was performed before DWPT. The averaged values from time windows of six components were extracted from reconstructed wavelet packet coefficients. We only considered 1–15th subbands, covering the frequency bands 1–30 Hz. The tensor features consisting of temporal values, channel- clusters, frequency, and samples (trials) were reduced by MPCA to obtain representative features. The reduced features were subjected to SVM classifiers with linear and MLP functions.

bands 0.5-30 Hz) were reconstructed at the seventh layer. We re-segmented the 15 reconstructed DWPT waveforms into 0-800 ms after stimulus onset and extracted mean values from fixed time windows corresponding to different ERP components. A total of 6 mean values were extracted for each channel-cluster and DWPT waveform according to the six ERP components derived from temporal PCA (illustrated in the Results). A feature tensor containing 6 channel-clusters \times 15 frequency bins \times 6 temporal values \times trials were constructed and subjected to the MPCA for dimensionality reduction (Liu, Zhang, Zhu, Ristaniemi, et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2008). By manipulating the percentage of energy for MPCA, we determined the low-dimensional and representative features for classification. We then estimated the accuracy of classification by performing 100 runs of 5-fold cross-validation with random permutations. Four different binary classifiers were used for mental fatigue detection, consisting of support vector machines (SVM) with a linear kernel function (Muller et al., 2001), linear discriminant analysis (LDA) (Martinez and Kak, 2001), Gaussian naive Bayes (NB) (Rish, 2001), and random forest (Kleinberg, 2000).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in MATLAB (The MathWorks, R2022a) and IBM SPSS Statistics version 29.0. Behavioral measures (e.

g., standard deviations of RT) and temporal PCA separated components with and without RIDE were used as inputs for paired-samples t-tests to assess mental fatigue effects. Principal components (PCs) of RIDE + PCA and PCA results were obtained by projecting the factor scores and loadings onto the primitive temporal space. Grand mean values of PCs obtained in specific temporal windows from activated EEG channels were subjected to paired t-tests. The effect size of results from t-test was reported using Hedges' g correction based on sample standard deviation of the mean difference. Statistical results of these PCs with significant effects were corrected using the false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini and Yekutieli, 2001, 2005) for multiple comparisons. All statistical 2-sided p or corrected p values less than 0.05 were considered as significant.

3. Results

3.1. Behavioral performance outcomes

Fig. 3A and 3B display the response accuracy and mean RT in block 1 and block 5, namely the hypothesized alert and fatigue states. Paired ttest results revealed a significant difference between block 1 and block 5 for accuracy () and mean RT (). Compared to block 1, accuracy was lower and mean RT was longer in block 5, indicating that blocks 1 and 5



Fig. 3. Behavioral performance in alert and fatigue states. (A) response accuracy and (B) mean response time in block 1 (alert state) and block 5 (fatigue state). (C) The modulations of mental states (alert versus fatigue) on IIV in behavioral performance, namely the standard deviations of reaction time (SD of RT). Significant differences are marked by black lines and **<0.01.

were in alert and fatigue states, respectively, and behavioral performance were impaired by mental fatigue. Further, the modulations of IIV in behavioral performance (RT) by the changes of fatigue levels are shown in Fig. 3C. Results from paired t-test of the standard deviations of RT revealed a significant difference between the alert and the fatigue conditions (), suggesting larger RT variability in the fatigue state relative



С **Factor scores of PCA ERPs** N1 P2 N2 P3a P3b SW Latency (ms) 130 175 305 420 550 730 Variance (%) 2.38 12.01 1.46 18.16 58.12 3.42 Alert * Fatigue -20 0 20 -20 0 20 -10 0 10 -40 0 40 -50 0 50 -30 0 30

Fig. 4. (A) Raw ERPs with LPC components marked with grey rectangle for statistical analysis. Temporal PCA outcomes include (B) six selected factor loadings, and (C) related information and factor scores visualized as activated topographies in the alert and fatigue conditions. Significant differences are marked by black lines and **<0.01.

to the alert state. The decreased response accuracy, longer mean RT and larger IIV of RT metric suggest that participants' behavioral performance was impaired, and they have difficulty in maintaining stable response time when they were in a fatigue state.

3.2. Temporal PCA outcomes

Fig. 4A illustrates raw ERPs averaged from midline sites (Fz, FC1, FCz, FC2, C1, Cz, C2, CP1, CPz, CP2, Pz) in the alert and fatigue states. The raw ERPs can be identified as a series of ERP components in the order of latency, including N1, P2, N2, and late positive component (LPC). Since latency variability generally affects late ERPs, the effect of mental fatigue on LPC was considered. From visual inspection and consistent with literature that LPC is a typical broad positivity between 400 and 800 ms after stimulus onset (Friedman and Johnson, 2000), the LPC averaged in the time window of 420-800 ms, marked by grey rectangle in Fig. 4A, was used for statistical analysis. Paired-t test analysis of LPC amplitude showed no difference between alert and fatigue conditions (t $_{19}~=~0.88,~p~=~0.39,~g~=~0.19$). Although LPC consists of multiple subcomponents, conventional ERP analysis is limited by a mixture of underlying ERP components. Thus it seems difficult to decode the modulations of mental fatigue on separate LPC subcomponents from raw ERPs.

To separate overlapping ERPs, we performed temporal PCA and then explored the effects of mental fatigue on separated ERP components. Fig. 4B and 4C show the factor loadings (temporal courses) and relevant factor scores (topographies) in order of latency under two conditions. Six principal components explaining 95.56% total variance were visualized, namely N1, P2, N2, and three subcomponents of LPC in the temporal order of P3a, P3b, and positive SW. Further, paired-t test analyses between alert and fatigue states on relative late ERP components (N2, P3a, P3b, and SW) were conducted, respectively.

The N2 component (occupying 1.46% variance) peaked at approximately 305 ms post-stimulus with activations in the frontal brain region. Paired-t tests on N2 showed no differences between the alert and fatigue states ($t_{19} = 1.38$, p = 0.19, g = 0.30). P3a component, accounting for 18.16% variance, was activated in the centro-parietal brain regions and in the time window of 320-550 ms. There was a significant decrease for P3a in the fatigue state compared to the alert state (). Accounting for a variance of 58.12%, P3b was derived from 490 to 600 ms after stimulus onset in the fronto-central-parietal scalp regions. Statistical analysis comparing difference between alert and fatigue conditions P3b did not reveal significant of changes $(t_{19} = 0.23, p = 0.82, g = 0.05)$. Following P3b, the SW explaining 3.42% of the variance was extracted, which was activated in the fronto-central regions spanning the time window from 620 to 800 ms. A stronger SW activation was observed in the fatigue state than the alert state (). In summary, without considering trial-to-trial variability, we found significant effects of mental fatigue on P3a and SW by using temporal PCA analysis.

3.3. RIDE + PCA outcomes

Trial-to-trial latency variability has been shown to influence ERPs, especially late ERP components (Ouyang et al., 2017). Here, RIDE was applied to correct intra-subject trial-to-trial latency variations. Fig. 5A illustrates RIDE corrected results, including the stimulus-locked component cluster S, no explicit time-locked component cluster C, and response-locked component cluster R, as well as the reconstructed ERP. The reconstructed ERPs from electrodes, participants, and conditions were used in the temporal PCA to derive components of latency-corrected ERP. Similarly, six components in the temporal order of N1, P2, N2, P3a, P3b, and SW were identified (Fig. 5B), explaining 95.00% of total variances. Nevertheless, the explanatory variances of these six components were changed after using RIDE, especially for P3a, P3b, and N2, accounting for variances of 51.83%, 23.89%, and 10.17%,

respectively. The temporal fluctuations and brain topographic patterns of these six components were almost the same as those extracted directly from temporal PCA, indicating the stability of existing ERP components. Thus, the grand mean amplitudes of RIDE + PCA outcomes were obtained from the same electrodes and corresponding temporal windows relative to the temporal PCA outcomes.

A significant difference between the alert and fatigue states was detected on N2 component (), P3a component (), and SW (), indicating a stronger activation in N2 and SW, as well as a weaker activation in P3a in the fatigue state compared to the alert state. Statistical analyses of P3b reveal did not significant difference а $(t_{19} = 0.72, p = 0.48, g = 0.16)$ between the fatigue and alert states, consistent with PCA outcomes. Taken together, after considering the intra-subject trial-to-trial variability using RIDE, the explanatory variances of separated components were greatly changed although the same six ERPs were extracted from the temporal PCA. The modulations of fatigue on LPCs were the same compared to PCA outcomes, whereas a significant effect of fatigue on N2 was found only in the RIDE+ PCA outcomes.

3.4. Single-trial classification outcomes

A subject-specific classification between alert and fatigue conditions at the single-trial level was conducted to further explore whether mental fatigue detection was affected by intra-individual trial-to-trial variability. A feature tensor with 6 channel-clusters \times 15 frequency bins \times 6 temporal values was extracted from each trial by utilizing DWPT, and then MPCA was used for determining the representative features by choosing appropriate percentage of energy for MPCA. Fig. 6A displays the changes of classification accuracies with increasing percentage of energy from 5% to 100% with a step of 5%. As can be seen from the figure, the accuracy of fatigue detection is relatively high when the energy percentage is 95%. Choosing 95% energy percentage in MPCA, we obtained 30 features (2 channel-clusters \times 3 frequency bins \times 5 temporal values) with MPCA projection matrices as illustrated in Fig. 6B. The dimensional reduced features were mainly dominated in low frequency bands (0.5-8 Hz) almost equally distributed in all channel-clusters and time windows. These features were fed into classifiers, fitting the rules that the ratio of samples/features should be between five to ten (Lotte et al., 2007, 2018).

The balanced classification accuracies achieved by four different classifiers are shown in Fig. 6C. The SVM, LDA, NB, and random forest classifications of alert versus fatigue trials yielded accuracies of $73.3\% \pm 4.8\%$, $73.2\% \pm 5.3\%$, $71.3\% \pm 5.2\%$, $67.3\% \pm 6.1\%$, respectively. The SVM and LDA classifiers generally performed better than NB and random forest in the binary classification of fatigue versus alert trials, and the random forest classifier achieved the lowest performance.

4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate how mental fatigue modulates IIV and to establish a robust analysis system to assess mental fatigue. Based on an EEG dataset collected during a prolonged flanker task, the modulations of mental fatigue on intra-individual trial-to-trial variability at the behavioral and neural levels was examined. Regarding behavioral performance, we discovered larger variability in RT when subjects were in the fatigue state relative to alert state. In terms of electrophysiological indicators, before considering within-subject latency variations, a cascade of ERPs in the latency order of N1, P2, N2, P3a, P3b, and SW was derived from temporal PCA. To quantify singletrial latency variability, we employed RIDE to reconstruct latencycorrected ERPs and then applied temporal PCA. The same cascade of ERP components was derived from RIDE + PCA outcomes, nevertheless, the explained variances of these principal components, specifically on late ERPs, were significantly changed compared to PCA results. In addition, significant differences in P3a and SW between the alert and



Fig. 5. RIDE results and RIDE + PCA outcomes. (A) Raw ERP was decomposed into S component, C component, and R component. Components of S, C, and R were used to reconstruct ERP. The reconstructed ERP was used in temporal PCA and obtained (B) factor loadings, information, and factor scores in the alert and fatigue conditions. Variance values in bold show large differences compared to PCA outcomes. Significant differences are marked by black lines and **<0.01.



Fig. 6. Single-trial classification outcomes. (A) The changes of classification accuracy between alert and fatigue trails with different energy percentages using SVM-Linear classifier from a subject. (B) Projected matrices from MPCA in the spatial, spectral, and temporal dimensions. (C) Classification results of four classifiers (in a binary classification of alert versus fatigue conditions. S represents Subjects 1–20.

fatigue conditions were detected in both PCA and RIDE + PCA outcomes. A stronger N2 magnitude was observed in the fatigue state than the alert state only in RIDE + PCA outcomes. In the case of trial-wise variations, we introduced a robust single-trial machine learning analysis pipeline and achieved an acceptable alert versus fatigue classification accuracy.

The modulations of mental fatigue on IIV in behavioral performance were explored by comparing standard deviations of RT across experimental trials between the alert and fatigue conditions. Our study showed larger standard deviations of RT in the fatigue state compared to alert state, indicating that an increased level of fatigue leads to larger trial-totrial fluctuations in behavioral responses. In fact, previous research has placed increased focus on the importance of IIV, which can confer perspective information on cognitive functionality above mean performance (MacDonald et al., 2006; Myerson et al., 2007). As such, within-subject variations in RT have been considerably examined in neuroscience studies showing the following correlations: decreased IIV in RT through childhood (Williams et al., 2005), and increasing RT variability with increasing age in adulthood (Mirajkar and Waring, 2023; Myerson et al., 2007); more variable responding for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (Castellanos and Tannock, 2002; Johnson et al., 2007); larger performance variations after traumatic brain injury (Stuss et al., 1994). As an extension, the present study supports the idea that increased intra-individual performance variability is associated with mental fatigue elicited by engagement in a long-duration cognitive task. Response variability has been considered as external performance of underlying alternations in the brain (Mac-Donald et al., 2006), though there have been few integration studies that can link behavior to brain responses in IIV.

The modulations of mental fatigue on IIV in brain responses were investigated via comparisons of ERP components derived from temporal PCA before and after considering trial-to-trial latency variability. Without consideration of IIV, we applied PCA to grand mean ERPs and separated a sequence of ERP components in the temporal order of N1, P2, N2, P3a, P3b, and SW explaining variances of 2.38%, 12.01%, 1.46%, 18.16%, 58.12%, and 3.42%, respectively. Further, a decreased P3a and an increased SW were detected in the fatigue state relative to alert state. The latency variability within an individual was considered using RIDE, which has been developed and validated as a powerful method for analyzing and reconstructing latency-variable ERPs from single trials (Ouyang et al., 2011, 2015b, 2015a, 2017). We then subjected the reconstructed ERPs to PCA and derived the same cascade of ERP components: N1, P2, N2, P3a, P3b, SW explaining 1.94%, 2.77%, 10.17%, 51.83%, 23.89%, and 4.41% variances, separately. Compared to PCA outcomes alone, the explaining variances were greatly changed on P3a and P3b components, followed by P2 and N2 components. Moreover, a similar impairment of mental fatigue on P3a and SW was detected, while a stronger N2 was uncovered in the fatigue state uniquely from RIDE + PCA outcomes. The N2 component has been documented as an effective neural signature of conflict monitoring (Borja-Cacho and Matthews, 2008). Furthermore, P3a and P3b has been linked to attentional mechanisms and sequential working memory (Barry et al., 2020; Polich and Criado, 2006), and SW has been demonstrated as indicators of further processing for attended stimuli (Squires et al., 1975; Teixeira-Santos et al., 2020) or conceptual operations (Strüber and Polich, 2002). When interpreting the modulations of mental fatigue on the roles indicated for these ERP components, we speculate that mental fatigue impairs cognitive processes of conflict processing, attention, as well as attended information advanced processing, consistent with deteriorated attention and cognitive control capability affected by mental fatigue as reported in previous studies (Breckel et al., 2011; Faber et al., 2012; Liu, Zhu, et al., 2020; Möckel et al., 2015). From these results, it is clear that the IIV could lead to mixing and smearing effects on relatively late ERPs (e.g., N2, P3a, and P3b), consistent with previous studies (Fjell and Walhovd, 2007; Leue et al., 2013; Ouyang et al., 2017). Our results also suggest that the changes of fatigue levels result in different fluctuations in trial-to-trial latency variability.

We further classified single-trial ERPs between alert versus fatigue states using our proposed analysis pipeline. An averaged subject-specific classification accuracy of $73.3\% \pm 4.8\%$ was obtained using a liner SVM in this study. In an earlier passive EEG-BCI study (Myrden and Chau, 2017), eleven participants were involved in mental arithmetic, anagram, and grid-recall tasks to induce mental fatigue and other

emotions. Myrden and co-authors achieved an accuracy of 74.8% \pm 9.1% for participant-dependent single-trial mental fatigue detection using a shrinkage linear discriminant analysis (LDA) binary classifier. This study demonstrated the feasibility and robustness of the analysis pipeline, firstly proposed for detection of myocardial infarction on ECG (Liu, Zhang, Zhu, Ristaniemi, et al., 2020), for EEG-based mental fatigue detection, even though there was strong trial-to-trial variability during prolonged task engagement. Still, the single-trial analysis pipeline in this study is limited to subject-specific classification. Further studies are needed to develop robust across-subject single-trial fatigue detection methods. Further studies should also explore the modulations of mental fatigue on inter-individual variability.

5. Conclusion

We introduced the RIDE algorithm to reconstruct latency-variant ERP and compared the reconstructed ERP with raw ERP by means of the temporal PCA for detecting the modulations of mental fatigue on specific cognitive processes and trial-to-trial latency variability. We also proposed a single-trial classification pipeline for monitoring the changes of fatigue states. These proposed methods allowed us to quantify the effects of mental fatigue on IIV and detect mental fatigue from singletrial ERP. Specifically, we explored the effects of mental fatigue on intra-individual trial-to-trial variability during a long-duration flanker task using behavioral performance and ERPs from single trials. There was an increased within-subject variation in RT following increased fatigue levels. By using temporal PCA, a total of six ERP components (N1, P2, N2, P3a, P3b, and SW) were extracted from both raw and reconstructed ERPs, and the explained variances were significantly changed after considering the trial-to-trial latency variability using RIDE. P3a and SW were detected to be affected by mental fatigue. Particularly, after considering latency variability, a significant difference on N2 was detected when subjects shifted from alert to fatigue states. We further examined the possibility of classification of alert versus fatigue states at the single-trial level. By utilizing the proposed single-trial analysis pipeline, we gained an acceptable classification accuracy of alert and fatigue trials. In summary, these exploratory findings provided evidence for the modulations of mental fatigue on IIV and extended the roles of IIV related to aging and brain injury into normal fluctuations of fatigue level induced by prolonged task engagement. Our results further indicated that although trial-to-trial variations and low SNR existed, it is feasible to establish a robust machine learning system for single-trial analysis during a cognitive task.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Jia Liu: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Yongjie Zhu: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Formal analysis. Fengyu Cong: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Resources, Conceptualization. Anders Björkman: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Resources, Conceptualization. Nebojsa Malesevic: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Supervision, Resources, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. Christian Antfolk: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Resources, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by Promobilia Foundation (20400), Stiftelsen för bistånd åt rörelsehindrade i Skåne and the Swedish Research Council (DNR 2019-05601).

References

- Adleman, N.E., Chen, G., Reynolds, R.C., Frackman, A., Razdan, V., Weissman, D.H., Pine, D.S., Leibenluft, E., 2016. Age-related differences in the neural correlates of trial-to-trial variations of reaction time. Dev. Cogn. Neurosci. 19, 248–257. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2016.05.001.
- Alvarado-Conzalez, M., Garduno, E., Bribiesca, E., Yanez-Suarez, O., Medina-Banuelos, V., 2016. P300 Detection Based on EEG Shape Features. Comput. Math. Methods Med. 2016 https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/2029791.
- Barry, R.J., Steiner, G.Z., De Blasio, F.M., Fogarty, J.S., Karamacoska, D., MacDonald, B., 2020. Components in the P300: don't forget the Novelty P3! Psychophysiology 57 (7), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13371.
- Benjamini, Y., Yekutieli, D., 2001. The control of the false discovery rate under dependency. Ann. Stat. 29 (4), 1165–1188. https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/ 1013699998.
- Benjamini, Y., Yekutieli, D., 2005. False discovery rate–adjusted multiple confidence intervals for selected parameters. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 100 (469), 71–81. https://doi. org/10.1198/01621450400001907.
- Blankertz, B., Lemm, S., Treder, M., Haufe, S., Müller, K.R., 2011. Single-trial analysis and classification of ERP components - a tutorial. NeuroImage 56 (2), 814–825. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.06.048.
- Boksem, M.A.S., Meijman, T.F., Lorist, M.M., 2005. Effects of mental fatigue on attention: an ERP study. Cogn. Brain Res. 25 (1), 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cogbrainres.2005.04.011.
- Borja-Cacho, D., Matthews, J., 2008. Influence If cognitive control and mismatch on the N2 component of the ERP: a review. Psychophysiology 6 (9), 2166–2171. https:// doi.org/10.1021/nl061786n.Core-Shell.
- Braver, T.S., 2012. The variable nature of cognitive control: a dual mechanisms framework. Trends Cogn. Sci. Vol. 16 (Issue 2), 106–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tics.2011.12.010.
- Breckel, T.P.K., Giessing, C., Thiel, C.M., 2011. Impact of brain networks involved in vigilance on processing irrelevant visual motion. NeuroImage 55 (4), 1754–1762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.01.025.
- Castellanos, F.X., Tannock, R., 2002. Neuroscience of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: the search for endophenotypes. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 3 (8), 617–628.. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn896.
- Chen, C., Ji, Z., Sun, Y., Bezerianos, A., Thakor, N., Wang, H., 2023. Self-attentive channel-connectivity capsule network for EEG-based driving fatigue detection. IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2023.3299156.
- Cohen, M.X., Cavanagh, J.F., 2011. Single-trial regression elucidates the role of prefrontal theta oscillations in response conflict. Front. Psychol. 2 (FEB), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00030.
- Da Pelo, P., De Tommaso, M., Monaco, A., Stramaglia, S., Bellotti, R., Tangaro, S., 2018. Trial latencies estimation of event-related potentials in EEG by means of genetic algorithms. J. Neural Eng. 15 (2) https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/aa9b97.
- Dien, J., 2010. Evaluating two-step PCA of ERP data with Geomin, Infomax, Oblimin, Promax, and Varimax rotations. Psychophysiology 47 (1), 170–183. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1469-8986.2009.00885.x.
- Dien, J., 2012. Applying principal components analysis to event-related potentials: a tutorial. Dev. Neuropsychol. 37 (6), 497–517. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 87565641.2012.697503.
- Dien, J., Khoe, W., Mangun, G.R., 2007. Evaluation of PCA and ICA of simulated ERPs: promax vs. infomax rotations. Hum. Brain Mapp. 28 (8), 742–763. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/hbm.20304.
- Duncan, C.C., Barry, R.J., Connolly, J.F., Fischer, C., Michie, P.T., Näätänen, R., Polich, J., Reinvang, I., Van Petten, C., 2009. Event-related potentials in clinical research: Guidelines for eliciting, recording, and quantifying mismatch negativity, P300, and N400. Clin. Neurophysiol. *120* (11), 1883–1908. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.clinph.2009.07.045.
- Eriksen, B.A., Eriksen, C.W., 1974. Effects of noise letters upon the identification of a target letter in a nonsearch task. Percept. Psychophys. 16 (1), 143–149. https://doi. org/10.3758/BF03203267.
- Faber, L.G., Maurits, N.M., Lorist, M.M., 2012. Mental fatigue affects visual selective attention. PLoS ONE 7 (10), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048073.
- Fiske, D.W., Rice, L., 1955. Intra-individual response variability. Psychol. Bull. 52 (3), 217–250. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0045276.
- Fjell, A.M., Walhovd, K.B., 2007. Stability of brain potentials, mental abilities, and cortical thickness. NeuroReport 18 (8), 725–728. https://doi.org/10.1097/ WNR.0b013e3280d9438b.
- Friedman, D., Johnson, R., 2000. Event-related potential (ERP) studies of memory encoding and retrieval: a selective review. Microsc. Res. Tech. 51 (1), 6–28. https:// doi.org/10.1002/1097-0029.
- Gao, L., Yu, J., Zhu, L., Wang, S., Yuan, J., Li, G., Cai, J., Qi, X., Sun, Y., Sun, Y., 2022. Dynamic reorganization of functional connectivity during post-break task reengagement. IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng. 30, 157–166. https://doi.org/ 10.1109/TNSRE.2022.3142855.

- Guo, Z., Chen, R., Liu, X., Zhao, G., Zheng, Y., Gong, M., Zhang, J., 2018. The impairing effects of mental fatigue on response inhibition: An ERP study. PLoS ONE 13 (6), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198206.
- Himberg, J., & Hyvärinen, A. (2003). ICASSO: Software for investigating the reliability of ICA estimates by clustering and visualization. *Neural Networks for Signal Processing -Proceedings of the IEEE Workshop, 2003-January,* 259–268. doi:10.1109/ NNSP.2003.1318025.
- Jaškowski, P., Verleger, R., 2000. An evaluation of methods for single-trial estimation of P3 latency. Psychophysiology 37 (2), 153–162. https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-8986.3720153.
- Jaškowski, P.J., Verleger, R., 1999. Amplitudes and latencies of single-trial ERP's estimated by a maximum-likelihood method. IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. 46 (8), 987–993. https://doi.org/10.1109/10.775409.
- Jensen, A.R., 1992. The importance of intraindividual variation in reaction time. Personal. Individ. Differ. 13 (8), 869–881. https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(92) 90004-9.
- Johnson, K.A., Kelly, S.P., Bellgrove, M.A., Barry, E., Cox, M., Gill, M., Robertson, I.H., 2007. Response variability in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: evidence for neuropsychological heterogeneity. Neuropsychologia 45 (4), 630–638. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.03.034.
- Joly-Burra, E., Linden, M.Van der, Ghisletta, P., 2018. Intraindividual variability in inhibition and prospective memory in healthy older adults: insights from response regularity and rapidity. J. Intell. 6 (1), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.3390/ iintelligence6010013.
- Kato, Y., Endo, H., Kizuka, T., 2009. Mental fatigue and impaired response processes: event-related brain potentials in a Go/NoGo task. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 72 (2), 204–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2008.12.008.
- Kayser, J., Tenke, C.E., 2003. Optimizing principal components analysis (PCA) methodology for ERP component identification and measurement: theoretical rationale and empirical evaluation. Clin. Neurophysiol. 12 (2307), 2325. https://doi. org/10.1016/s1388-2457(03)00241-4.
- Kleinberg, E.M., 2000. On the algorithmic implementation of stochastic discrimination. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 22 (5), 473–490. https://doi.org/10.1109/ 34.857004.
- Kok, A., 2022. Cognitive control, motivation and fatigue: a cognitive neuroscience perspective. Brain Cogn. 160 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2022.105880.
- Leue, A., Klein, C., Lange, S., Beauducel, A., 2013. Inter-individual and intra-individual variability of the N2 component: on reliability and signal-to-noise ratio. Brain Cogn. 83 (1), 61–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2013.06.009.
- Lin, C.T., Tian, Y., Wang, Y.K., Do, T.T.N., Chang, Y.L., King, J.T., Huang, K.C., Liao, L. De, 2022. Effects of multisensory distractor interference on attentional driving. IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst. 23 (8), 10395–10403. https://doi.org/10.1109/ TITS.2022.3149884.
- Liu, J., Zhu, Y., Sun, H., Ristaniemi, T., Cong, F., 2020. Sustaining attention for a prolonged duration affects dynamic organizations of frequency-specific functional connectivity. Brain Topogr. 33 (6), 677–692. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-020-00795-0.
- Liu, J., Zhang, C., Zhu, Y., Ristaniemi, T., Parviainen, T., Cong, F., 2020. Automated detection and localization system of myocardial infarction in single-beat ECG using Dual-Q TQWT and wavelet packet tensor decomposition. Comput. Methods Prog. Biomed. 184, 105120 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2019.105120.
- Liu, J., Zhang, C., Zhu, Y., Liu, Y., Sun, H., Ristaniemi, T., Cong, F., Parviainen, T., 2020. Dissociable effects of reward on P300 and EEG spectra under conditions of high vs. low vigilance during a selective visual attention task. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 14 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2020.00207.
- Liu, J., Malesevic, N., Antfolk, C., 2023. Long term sustained attention alters dynamic functional connectivity patterns. 2023 45th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC) 117, 1–4. https://doi.org/ 10.1109/EMBC40787.2023.10340531.
- Liu, J., Zhu, Y., Chang, Z., Parviainen, T., Antfolk, C., Hämäläinen, T., Cong, F., 2024. Reconfiguration of cognitive control networks during a long-duration flanker task. IEEE Trans. Cogn. Dev. Syst. 117, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1109/ TCDS.2024.3350974.
- Liu, S., Wong, C.M., Liu, X., Wang, H., Bezerianos, A., Sun, Y., Jung, T.-P., Wan, F., 2023. Driving fatigue effects on cross-frequency phase synchrony embedding in multilayer brain network. IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas. 72, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1109/ TIM.2023.3271740.
- Lorist, M.M., 2008. Impact of top-down control during mental fatigue. Brain Res. 1232, 113–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2008.07.053.
- Lotte, F., Congedo, M., Lécuyer, A., Lamarche, F., Arnaldi, B., 2007. A review of classification algorithms for EEG-based brain – computer interfaces. J. Neural Eng. 4 (24) https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2560/4/2/R01.
- Lotte, F., Bougrain, L., Cichocki, A., Clerc, M., Congedo, M., Rakotomamonjy, A., Yger, F., 2018. A review of classification algorithms for EEG-based brain-computer interfaces: a 10 year update. J. Neural Eng. 15 (3) https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/aab2f2.
- Lu, H., Plataniotis, K.N., Venetsanopoulos, A.N., 2008. MPCA: Multilinear principal component analysis of tensor objects. IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. 19 (1), 18–39. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNN.2007.901277.
- Luck, S.J., 2005. An introduction to the event-related potential technique. MIT Press. MacDonald, S.W.S., Nyberg, L., Bäckman, L., 2006. Intra-individual variability in behavior: links to brain structure, neurotransmission and neuronal activity. Trends
- behavior: links to brain structure, neurotransmission and neuronal activity. Trends Neurosci. 29 (8), 474–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2006.06.011.

- Martinez, A.M., Kak, A.C., 2001. PCA versus LDA. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 23 (2), 228–233. https://doi.org/10.1109/34.908974.
- Mirajkar, S., Waring, J.D., 2023. Aging and task design shape the relationship between response time variability and emotional response inhibition. Cogn. Emot. 37 (4), 777–794. https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2023.2208860.
- Möckel, T., Beste, C., Wascher, E., 2015. The effects of time on task in response selection an ERP study of mental fatigue. Sci. Rep. 5 (1), 9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ srep10113.
- Muller, K.-R., Mika, S., Ratsch, G., Tsuda, K., Scholkopf, B., 2001. An introduction to kernel-based learning algorithms. IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. 12 (2), 181–201. https://doi.org/10.1109/72.914517.
- Myerson, J., Robertson, S., Hale, S., 2007. Aging and intraindividual variability in performance: analyses of response time distributions. J. Exp. Anal. Behav. 88 (3), 319–337. https://doi.org/10.1901/jeab.2007.88-319.
- Myrden, A., Chau, T., 2017. A passive EEG-BCI for single-trial detection of changes in mental state. IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng. 25 (4), 345–356. https://doi. org/10.1109/TNSRE.2016.2641956.
- Ouyang, G., Herzmann, G., Zhou, C., Sommer, W., 2011. Residue iteration decomposition (RIDE): a new method to separate ERP components on the basis of latency variability in single trials. Psychophysiology 48 (12), 1631–1647. https://doi.org/10.1111/ i.1469-8986.2011.01269.x.
- Ouyang, G., Sommer, W., Zhou, C., 2015a. A toolbox for residue iteration decomposition (RIDE)-A method for the decomposition, reconstruction, and single trial analysis of event related potentials. J. Neurosci. Methods 250, 7–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jneumeth.2014.10.009.
- Ouyang, G., Sommer, W., Zhou, C., 2015b. Updating and validating a new framework for restoring and analyzing latency-variable ERP components from single trials with residue iteration decomposition (RIDE). Psychophysiology 52 (6), 839–856. https:// doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12411.
- Ouyang, G., Hildebrandt, A., Sommer, W., Zhou, C., 2017. Exploiting the intra-subject latency variability from single-trial event-related potentials in the P3 time range: a review and comparative evaluation of methods. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 75, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.01.023.
- Perrin, F., Pernier, J., Bertrand, O., Echallier, J.F., 1989. Spherical splines for scalp potential and current density mapping. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 72 (2), 184–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(89)90180-6.
- Polich, J., 2020. 50+ years of P300: where are we now? Psychophysiology 57 (7), 2–3. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13616.
- Polich, J., Criado, J.R., 2006. Neuropsychology and neuropharmacology of P3a and P3b. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 60 (2), 172–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijpsycho.2005.12.012.
- Rajpoot, N.M., Wilson, R.G., Meyer, F.G., Coifman, R.R., 2003. Adaptive wavelet packet basis selection for zerotree image coding. IEEE Trans. Image Process. 12 (12), 1460–1471. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2003.818115.
- Reteig, L.C., van den Brink, R.L., Prinssen, S., Cohen, M.X., Slagter, H.A., 2019. Sustaining attention for a prolonged period of time increases temporal variability in cortical responses. Cortex *117*, 16–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cortex 2019.02.016
- Rish, I., 2001. An empirical study of the naive Bayes classifier. IJCAI 2001 Workshop on Empirical Methods in Artificial Intelligence. 3 (22), 41–46.
- Squires, N.K., Squires, K.C., Hillyard, S.A., 1975. Two varieties of long-latency positive waves evoked by unpredictable auditory stimuli in man. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 38 (4), 387–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(75)90263-1.
- Strüber, D., Polich, J., 2002. P300 and slow wave from oddball and single-stimulus visual tasks: Inter-stimulus interval effects. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 45 (3), 187–196. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8760(02)00071-5.
- Stuss, D.T., Pogue, J., Buckle, L., Bondar, J., 1994. Characterization of stability of performance in patients with traumatic brain injury: variability and consistency on reaction time tests. Neuropsychology 8 (3), 316–324. https://doi.org/10.1037/ 0894-4105.8.3.316.
- Teixeira-Santos, A.C., Pinal, D., Pereira, D.R., Leite, J., Carvalho, S., Sampaio, A., 2020. Probing the relationship between late endogenous ERP components with fluid intelligence in healthy older adults. Sci. Rep. 10 (1) https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-67924-4.
- Wei, C.S., Keller, C.J., Li, J., Lin, Y.P., Nakanishi, M., Wagner, J., Wu, W., Zhang, Y., Jung, T.P., 2021. Inter- and Intra-subject Variability in Brain Imaging and Decoding. Front. Comput. Neurosci. 15, 791129. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fncom.2021.791129.
- Williams, B.R., Hultsch, D.F., Strauss, E.H., Hunter, M.A., Tannock, R., 2005. Inconsistency in reaction time across the life span. Neuropsychology 19 (1), 88–96. https://doi.org/10.1037/0894-4105.19.1.88.
- Woody, C.D., 1967. Characterization of an adaptive filter for the analysis of variable latency neuroelectric signals. Med. Biol. Eng. 5 (6), 539–554. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF02474247.
- Zhang, C., Cong, F., Kujala, T., Liu, W., Liu, J., Parviainen, T., Ristaniemi, T., 2018. Network entropy for the sequence analysis of functional connectivity graphs of the brain. Entropy 20 (5), 311. https://doi.org/10.3390/e20050311.
- Zhang, G., Luck, S.J., 2023. Variations in ERP data quality across paradigms, participants, and scoring procedures. Psychophysiology 60 (7). https://doi.org/ 10.1111/psyp.14264.
- Zhang, G., Li, X., Cong, F., 2020. Objective extraction of evoked event-related oscillation from time-frequency representation of event-related potentials. Neural Plast. 2020, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8841354.