

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Chartrain, Justine; Knott, K. Emily; Michalczyk, Łukasz; Calhim, Sara

Title: First evidence of sex-specific responses to chemical cues in tardigrade mate searching behaviour

Year: 2023

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2023 The Company of Biologists

Rights: In Copyright

Rights url: http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en

Please cite the original version:

Chartrain, J., Knott, K. E., Michalczyk, Ł., & Calhim, S. (2023). First evidence of sex-specific responses to chemical cues in tardigrade mate searching behaviour. Journal of Experimental Biology, 18, Article jeb245836. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.245836

1	First evidence of sex-specific responses to chemical cues in tardigrade
2	mate searching behaviour
3	Justine Chartrain ^{1*} , K. Emily Knott ¹ , Łukasz Michalczyk ² & Sara Calhim ¹
4	
5	¹ Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, Finland
6	² Department of Invertebrate Evolution, Institute of Zoology and Biomedical Research, Faculty of
7	Biology, Jagiellonian University, Gronostajowa 9, 30-387 Kraków, Poland
8 9	* Corresponding author: justine.chartrain@gmail.com
10	Running title: Tardigrade chemical signals
11	Keywords: chemical communication, waterborne signal, deposited cue, semiochemical, sex
12	discrimination
13	
14	SUMMARY STATEMENT
15	We found evidence of pre-mating Darwinian sex roles despite limited sexual dimorphism. Our work
16	highlights the value of studying both sexes and non-model systems in chemical communication
17	research.
18	
19	ABSTRACT
20	Chemical cues are widely used in intra- and interspecific communication, either as substances deposited
21	in the substrate or as molecules diffused in water or air. Tardigrades are an emerging microscopic study
22	system in which chemical communication and its role in reproduction are poorly known. Here,
23	we assess sex differences in the detection of (a) short-range diffusing signals and (b) deposited cue
24	trails during the mate-searching behaviour of freely moving virgin male and female Macrobiotus
25	polonicus. We tracked individual behaviour (a) in simultaneous double-choice chambers, where live
26	conspecifics of each sex were presented in water and (b) of freely moving pairs on agar without
27	water. We found that males, but not females, preferentially associated with opposite-sex individuals in
28	trials conducted in water. In contrast, neither sex detected nor followed cues deposited on agar. In
29	conclusion, our study suggests that mate discrimination and approach are male-specific traits and are
30	limited to waterborne chemical cues. These results support the existence of Darwinian sex roles in pre-
31	mating behaviour in an animal group with virtually non-existing sex differences in morphology or
32	ecology.

34 INTRODUCTION

35

36 Semiochemical communication is widespread and used by animals across multiple contexts, from 37 finding food to attracting mates (Wyatt, 2014). Behavioural responses to conspecific chemical cues, 38 and specifically sex discrimination, have been investigated in a wide range of taxa, both aquatic and 39 terrestrial (Dunham and Oh, 1992; Bouchard, 2001; Cooper Jr. and Pèrez-Mellado, 2002; Park et al., 40 2004; Hutter, Zala and Penn, 2011; Stamps and Shaw, 2019; Kudo, Fujii and Ishikawa, 2022). 41 Importantly, sexually mature animals that fail to discriminate between the sexes and/or species incur a 42 reproductive cost (Burdfield-Steel and Shuker, 2011; Lerch and Servedio, 2022). To find and/or attract 43 a mate, animals can use two main types of chemical signals: (i) diffusing signals and/or (ii) trail 44 deposited on the substrate. For instance, male copepods can find females using pheromone plumes that 45 receptive females produce as trails or clouds (Bagøien and Kiørboe, 2005; Kiørboe, Bagøien and 46 Thygesen, 2005). Similarly, both male and female apple snails can follow opposite-sex trails, and, in 47 additions, males are attracted to waterborne sex pheromones of females (Takeichi, Hirai and Yusa, 48 2007).

49 Most studies examining the role of pheromones in sexual selection have focused on diffusing chemical 50 signals used in long-range mate attraction and mate recognition (Johansson and Jones, 2007). 51 Furthermore, there is a strong taxonomic bias towards insects and mammals (Brennan and Keverne, 52 2004; De Pasqual et al., 2021), and on organisms with obvious male bias sexual dimorphism sensory 53 organs and searching behaviour (Naka, 2018). However, such knowledge is non-existent or very limited 54 for several animal groups, particularly micrometazoans such as the phylum Tardigrada. Tardigrades are 55 a microscopic animal phylum categorized in the superclade Panarthropoda (Yoshida et al., 2017; 56 Jørgensen, Kristensen and Møbjerg, 2018) vet largely neglected in behavioural ecology and 57 evolutionary research. Even though individuals must be surrounded by water to be active, they 58 colonised terrestrial environments, such as mosses and lichens, thanks to their cryptobiotic adaptations 59 (Møbjerg and Neves, 2021). They have relatively simple sensory organs: some species present light-60 sensitive eyes, but all have body segments containing cells with characteristics of mechanoreceptors 61 and chemoreceptors (Møbjerg et al., 2018). Sexual dimorphism is mainly limited to a female bias in 62 body size, with very few reported examples of secondary sexual characters (Gasiorek et al., 2019; 63 Gasiorek, Kristensen and Kristensen, 2021) and parental care (Pilato et al., 2006). Of the ca. 1500 64 tardigrade species described so far (Degma and Guidetti, 2023), mating behaviour has been described 65 for only ten species (Sugiura and Matsumoto, 2021b), with only one showing secondary sexual 66 dimorphism. Moreover, detailed records of both pre-mating and mating phases are described for only 67 four species, of which none show secondary sexual characters (Bingemer, Hohberg and Schill, 2016; 68 Sugiura et al., 2019; Bartel and Hohberg, 2020; Sugiura and Matsumoto, 2021a). Together, these studies 69 suggest a crucial role of semiochemicals in intra-specific sexual contexts (i.e., mate attraction and sperm 70 transfer) through diffusing cues. In addition, a recent study showed that tardigrades could detect deposited chemical cues (trail) in inter-specific (i.e., predator-prey) contexts (Meyer *et al.*, 2020). In
this study, prey avoided areas previously occupied by predators while the latter preferred the areas
occupied by prey.

74

Chemical signals can serve as honest or deceptive indicators of quality, age, or other reproductive factors and may be used to compete for mates (Johansson and Jones, 2007). Typically, the female transmits signals, and the male responds, leading to a potential blind spot in research regarding female response to pheromones (Hare and Simmons, 2019). To address this, it is vital to study both sexes' reactions to chemical cues and their impact on mate-searching behaviour, which will also contribute to a broader understanding of the evolution of these signals.

81

Using the gonochoristic tardigrade *Macrobiotus polonicus* Pilato, Kaczmarek, Michalczyk & Lisi, 2003 we tested the role of both waterborne diffusing signals and deposited cue trails (in water-free environments) in sex discrimination and mate searching behaviours of both females and males. Since our study system shows limited sexual dimorphism in sensory morphology and ecology, we hypothesised that (i) both females and males would preferentially associate with the opposite sex, and that (ii) both sexes could detect and follow an opposite-sex deposited-trail cue.

88

89 <u>METHODS</u>

90

91 *Culture*

We used two strains of a laboratory culture of the moss-living eutardigrade *Macrobiotus polonicus*(strain AT.002 (Stec *et al.*, 2021) and IT S218). The second strain has been obtained from a moss sample
collected in Anzola Emilia, Bologna, Italy (44°34'08.2"N, 11°10'44.1"E) in December 2019. Females
of this species lay eggs freely in the environment (Pilato *et al.*, 2003) and have a sperm storage organ
(Vecchi *et al.*, 2022). The mating behaviour of *M. polonicus* has not been described fully; however, we
have observed sperm-release similar to what Sugiura *et al.* (2019) observed in closely related species.

98

99 Tardigrades were kept in 5 cm-diameter plastic Petri dishes with a scraped bottom (to aid motility) filled 100 with mineral water and fed *ad libitum* with algae (*Chlorococcum hypnosporum* and *Chlorella* sp.; 101 Sciento UK) and rotifers (*Lecane* sp.) or nematodes (*Panagrellus pycnus*) according to what was 102 available in culture, inside a climate chamber at 16 °C, 2:22 LD cycle. Half of the medium was partially 103 changed weekly. Thanks to the transparent cuticle, the sex and reproductive state of *M. polonicus* can 104 be determined non-invasively using light microscopy (400× magnification). 105

All individuals used for this study were virgins. Virgin individuals were obtained by isolating eggs andthen rearing hatchlings individually in 3 cm-diameter plastic Petri dishes, kept in the same conditions

- as the main cultures. We determined the sexual maturity of individuals by observing motile sperm and
 mature oocytes in the male and female gonad, respectively. Each trial was conducted with individuals
 from the same strain (Experiment 1: n= 23 trials with AT.002 and n = 10 with IT S218; Experiment 2:
 n = 16 trials with AT.002 and n = 11 with IT S218).
- 112
- **113** *Experiment 1: Waterborne (diffusing) cues*

114 We used 33 focal individuals (16 females and 17 males) to test whether tardigrades could differentiate 115 the sexes using diffusing signals. We created a choice chamber arena (Fig. 1A) consisting of an Ibidi 4-116 well slide (Ibidi, Germany) and two fishing lure rings (4 mm inner diameter). The arena surface was coated with 250 µl of 1.5% agar (BD BACTOTM Agar, USA) with the placement of the lure rings 117 118 following a printed scheme. We placed the lure rings with their edges at an 8 mm distance from each 119 other, each 4 mm from the centre of the arena (distances same as in Bartel and Hohberg, 2020). When 120 the agar was solidified, we added 1 ml of mineral water to flood the arena so chemical cues could diffuse 121 within the chambers. This setup allowed us to provide the focal individual only with diffused chemical 122 cues from the signallers since they could not see nor touch them. The signallers were able to move 123 freely within the lure area. Some of them were used twice for two different focal individuals (one of 124 each sex), with at least 12 h of interval between the two trials (i.e., the next day). Different arenas were 125 used for every trial conducted on the same day, and all arenas were thoroughly cleaned at the end of 126 each day.

127

We placed the signallers inside the lure rings in darkness (swapping female and male sides between trials) and let them habituate for 30 min. Afterwards, we added the focal individual in the centre of the arena and filmed its behaviour for 30 min. A stereomicroscope with a diffused bottom light linked to a camera (and the S-EYE software) was used. Position tracking was done automatically (see video analyses section). The preferential association was assessed as latency to cross, and time spent within the critical area of the opposite sex signaller.

134

135 *Experiment 2: Trail-deposited cues*

136 Individuals used in the first experiment were randomly grouped in mixed-sex pairs (both focals and 137 signallers). We used 27 couples to test whether tardigrades could follow a trail-deposited cue. The 138 individuals were placed in the same type of Ibidi chamber slides as in Experiment 1, but without water 139 and the fishing lure rings. An ultra-thin continuous water film coat agar and the tardigrades which 140 allowed them to move freely in the arena but limited any chemical cue to the tardigrades' trails. We 141 used the same microscope and camera setup as in the previous experiment. After starting the recording, 142 we placed the first individual and waited (ca. five min) for it to move. Then, we added the second 143 individual and continued recording for 30 min. The order of individuals (male vs. female) was alternated 144 between trials. Position data for both individuals were obtained automatically (see video analyses

section). In addition, we documented in detail the number and type of all observed interactions (i.e.,bumps and following behaviour).

147

148 Video analyses

To obtain the position of the focal individuals during each trial during both experiments, we analysed the videos in R v.4.2.0 with the function "trackR" from the package "trackR" (Garnier, 2022). The tracking was checked, and tracking position errors fixed with the function "trackFixer" of the same package.

- To determine whether the focal individuals were inside a critical area (dashed lines, Fig. 1A) for the waterborne-diffused cues, we applied a distance-to-centre function to the output (xy coordinates) given by trackR. For the trail-deposited cue experiment, we calculated the distance between individuals' paths every three seconds. Only those that differed by less than one body length distance from each other were considered to overlap (e.g., perpendicular overlap is excluded). We then assess the proportion of time the paths of both animals overlapped.
- 159

160 *Statistical analyses*

- All statistical analyses were conducted in R v.4.2.0 (R Core Team, 2022). We ran generalised linear
 models using the "brm" function from the "brms" package v.2.17.5 (Bürkner, 2017).
- 163 In the diffusing signal experiment: for the latency to cross the first critical area, the predictor was the 164 sex of the focal individual, whereas, for the proportion of time spent inside a critical area, the predictor 165 was included as four types of dyad interactions (focal-signallers): Female-Female, Female, Male-166 Female and Male-Male. The ID of the focal individual was included as a random variable. The focals 167 that did not cross any critical area were removed from the analysis in both models. To test if the path of 168 one sex overlapped more often with the path of the other, we used the sex as a predictor of the proportion 169 of time an individual was on top of the other one's trace. We included the trial ID as a fixed effect for 170 the trail data since the couples could interact. To assess if the absence of water affected motility, we 171 compared the distance travelled in both experiments, where the focal individual's sex was the predictor. 172 We used default priors for each response distribution type: Binomial (logit link) distribution for the 173 proportion of time spent inside each critical area and the proportion of time an individual was on top of 174 another one's path, Gaussian for the latency to cross the first critical area, and the distance travelled. 175 The results are presented as back-transformed Bayesian posterior means and 95% high-density interval 176 (HDI) using the "mean hdi" function from the "tidybayes" package v.3.0.2 (Kay, 2022), computed from 177 n = 4 well converging and uncorrelated chains with n = 4000 saved iterations each.
- 178

179 <u>RESULTS</u>

- 180
- 181 *Experiment 1: Waterborne (diffusing) cues*

- In total, ten individuals (five females and five males) did not cross any critical area, and their latency to choose was recorded as 30 min (i.e., the maximum duration of the trials); (Fig. 1B). The first choice in both sexes was biased towards female signallers except for one focal individual of each sex (Fig. 1B). The latency to first cross a critical area was not significantly associated with the sex of the focal individual (n = 23, Bayesian mean estimate [95% HDI]: females = 7.54 [3.91, 10.99] min; males = 3.54
- **187** [0.20, 6.77] min) (Fig. 1B).
- 188

Females spent a very short but similar time next to either sex, whereas males showed a strong attraction to female signallers (female n = 12, mean of the proportion of time spent next to female [95% HDI] = 0.107 [0.034, 0.22]; next to male = 0.08 [0.023, 0.164]; males n = 12, mean of the proportion of time spent next to females [95% HDI] = 0.540 [0.290, 0.778]; next to males = 0.008 [0.002, 0.018]); (Fig. 1C).

194

195 Experiment 2: Trail-deposited cues

The proportion of time an individual spent on top of the other one's path was low (i.e. less than 1% of the experiment duration), and there were no differences between the sexes in this trait (n = 27, mean of the proportion of time a female is on top of a male's path [95% HDI] = 0.009 [0.007, 0.011]; a male is on top of a female's path = 0.006 [0.005, 0.008]); (Fig. 2A).

200

201 Although we did not observe trail-following for either sex, we discovered other behaviours. First, 202 individuals were randomly encountering each other, which we describe as a contact. We noted which 203 sex was initiating the contact (i.e., the individual colliding into the other). Second, individuals followed 204 each other after these collisions (see Movie 1 and Fig. 2B). Half of the couples (n = 15 of 27) collided 205 at least once. In total, 24 contacts were recorded, with 1.6 contacts on average per couple (from 1 to 4 206 contacts in one trial). Half of the contacts led to males moving together in tandem with females while 207 keeping physical contact. This behaviour was more often observed when the male had initiated the 208 contact (Fig. 2B). Females never expressed this behaviour, and when they moved away from the male, 209 they stopped and then started moving in another direction.

210

Lastly, we found no sex nor experiment-specific differences in activity level as measured by cumulativedistance travelled (Fig. S1).

213

214 <u>DISCUSSION</u>

215

216 Signal type: waterborne (diffusing) vs deposited trail cues

217 Our results confirm previous work (Bartel and Hohberg, 2020) that diffusing waterborne signals are

218 used in tardigrade intraspecific chemical communication in a reproductive context. However, we show,

219 for the first time, sex-differences in this context (see next section). We found no evidence that 220 tardigrades can detect sex-specific cues deposited on the agar surface. The latter contrasts with what 221 was found in a predator-prey deposited cue detection context (Meyer et al., 2020). However, that study 222 differs from ours not only in context but also substantially in methodology: they used much larger taxa, 223 with multiple individuals as signallers and focals, longer times to deposit cues on a substrate, and non-224 simultaneous response. Therefore, we cannot separate two potential explanations for our results: a lack 225 of sex-specific signal or an inability to detect cues from a single signaller. Our observation of the 226 interactions between individuals suggests that, in the absence of water, the detection of conspecifics is 227 compromised. Only in half of the trials did the individuals interact, and of those, only one-third did so 228 more than once (Fig. 2B). In addition, we did not observe any instances of trail-matching behaviour by 229 either sex as assessed by the very rare (1%) spatial overlap of trails (Fig. 2A). Therefore, physical 230 encounters appear to be random in the absence of water.

231

232 However, the behaviour observed after these physical encounters is insightful: only males followed 233 females and mostly by maintaining physical contact (see Movie 1). In their natural habitat, the encounter rate can be quite variable: in another Macrobiotus species, the number of sexually mature females 234 235 showed a seasonal 7-fold change (Schuster and Greven, 2013). Therefore, males might have evolved 236 this following behaviour to improve their mating opportunities. Furthermore, this behaviour might also 237 be linked to the potential assessment of female receptivity and/or mating status using cues only 238 detectable in close range. For example, it is well established that cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs), in 239 addition to their contribution to desiccation resistance (Hadley, 1981), have a key role in mate choice 240 in the sister phylum to Tardigrada – Arthropoda (reviewed in Ingleby, 2015). Our current knowledge 241 on tardigrade cuticle is mostly limited to interspecific morphological differences and their potential role 242 in anhydrobiotic ability (reviewed in Czerneková and Vinopal, 2021). Unfortunately, the presence of 243 CHCs in tardigrades (and their potential role in reproduction) remains unknown.

244

In many polyandrous species, males locate and establish a permanent association with immature females, i.e., pre-copulatory mate guarding (Parker, 1974). For example, male beetles are known to mount females for several hours during the day to defend the females from intruding males (Arakaki *et al.*, 2004). Similarly, pre-copulatory mate guarding could explain our own observation of the males' following behaviour. However, in our experiments, females were ready-to-mate (i.e., eggs are visible in the ovary; see Poprawa, Schlechte-Wełnicz and Hyra, 2015), and not immature, as is usually the case in pre-copulatory mate guarding.

252

The biology of our study system can also explain the differences seen in cue type responses. Tardigrades
need a thin layer of water to be active, but mating in eutardigrades that lay free eggs requires that sperm
be released into the environment, which then swim towards the female cloaca (reviewed in Sugiura and

Matsumoto, 2021b). Thus, mating requires water to be present between individuals rather than just covering the cuticle. Therefore, it would not be surprising if pre-mating reproductive behaviours, such as sex discrimination or mate choice, are mediated by waterborne chemical cues and not by following a deposited trail cue. In fact, trail following is a widespread feature of chemical communication in aquatic environments, from crustaceans to fish (reviewed in Kamio, Yambe and Fusetani, 2022).

261

262 Sex differences in response to waterborne signal

In accordance with previous mating observations (reviewed in Sugiura and Matsumoto, 2021b), our data quantitatively support male-biased mate-searching and mating initiation behaviours. When waterborne cues were available, males and females had a similar latency to approach one of the signallers (Fig. 1B), irrespective of the sex of the signaller (Fig. 1B). However, males spent significantly more time next to female signallers, whereas females showed no sex-based preference (Fig. 1C). These results suggest that tardigrades can discriminate between the sexes, with males preferentially associating with females.

270

271 There are many examples in the literature of males being attracted to opposite-sex chemical cues 272 (Gomez-Diaz and Benton, 2013). In contrast, much less is known about the converse female response 273 (Karlsson Green and Madjidian, 2011; Hare and Simmons, 2019). The latter might be a consequence 274 of the focus on species with sexually dimorphic sensory apparatus (Naka, 2018), which is not the case 275 in tardigrades (Møbjerg et al., 2018). In taxa without obvious sensory sexual dimorphism, both 276 attraction (e.g. Passos et al., 2013) and insensitivity to male chemical signals were observed (e.g. 277 Ratterman, Rosenthal and Jones, 2009). This could be due to a lower female than male sensitivity to 278 pheromones. Invertebrates, including insects and crustaceans, have specialised chemosensory organs, 279 such as antennae, that detect environmental chemicals (Su, Menuz and Carlson, 2009). For example, 280 male moths and beetles have larger and more complex antennae than females, which allow them to 281 detect pheromones from farther away and with greater precision (Jourdan et al., 1995; Symonds, 282 Johnson and Elgar, 2012). These differences in chemical sensory organs may be related to differences 283 in reproductive behaviour and communication between the sexes in invertebrates. Unfortunately, most 284 studies focus on female pheromones and male responsiveness to them (Doall et al., 1998; Marco et al., 285 1998; Winfrey and Fincke, 2017; Stamps and Shaw, 2019; Liu et al., 2022). Thus, the biases towards 286 research on males and conspicuous visual ornaments has left a blind spot regarding female olfactory 287 ornaments (Hare and Simmons, 2019).

288

Another non-exclusive explanation could be that females do not gain advantages from responding to
chemical cues released by males but are equally attracted to both sexes via aggregating pheromones.
These long-range pheromones are emitted by and attractive to both sexes (Wertheim *et al.*, 2005).

292 Individuals may aggregate for the benefit of living in a group, for example by avoiding predation (Raveh

293 et al., 2019), or aiding feeding (Tanaka, Frommen and Kohda, 2018) and reproduction (Roff et al., 294 2017). They are also essential for internally fertilising aquatic animals (Wyatt, 2014), and tardigrades 295 could rely on them to find mates. For example, barnacle cyprids, the mobile larval form of barnacle, 296 release a pheromone that attracts other cyprids to the location. This behaviour is thought to be an 297 adaptation that increases the chances of successful settlement by bringing together many individuals in 298 a small area. This pheromone also plays an essential role in the reproductive process by helping to 299 gather conspecific cyprids in the same location to increase the future chances of fertilisation 300 (Matsumura, Nagano and Fusetani, 1998).

301

302 *Conclusion*

303 This study provides the first step in understanding intersexual communication in tardigrades by 304 comparing distinct types of chemical signals, and the behavioural response of both sexes to them. 305 Tardigrades were able to detect conspecifics using waterborne signals but did not respond to deposited 306 trail cues. Moreover, females and males behaved differently: females showed no sex-based preferences 307 (if any), whereas males discriminated sex through waterborne signals, showing a strong preference to 308 associate with females. Furthermore, in the absence of waterborne cues, males (but not females) can 309 follow opposite-sex individuals, but only while maintaining direct body contact. Our results align with 310 the very limited literature about reproductive behaviour, especially regarding the pre-copulatory stage, 311 in tardigrades. Future work should focus on identifying the chemical compounds used in intersexual 312 communication in this understudied phylum.

313

314 <u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</u>

- 315 We thank Simo Puro and Riikka Tynkkynen for their help in developing the double choice chamber.
- 316

317 <u>COMPETING INTERESTS</u>

- 318 We have no competing interests.
- 319

320 DATA AVAILABILITY

- 321 The datasets and the annotated R script of the analyses output for this study will be made available on322 Dryad as respectively, two .csv files and a RMarkdown file (DOI:tbd).
- 323
- 324 <u>FUNDING</u>
- 325 This study was supported by an Academy of Finland Fellowship to SC (#314219 and #335759).
- 326

327 <u>REFERENCES</u>

- Arakaki, N. *et al.* (2004) 'Precopulatory mate guarding by the male green chafer, *Anomala albopilosa sakishimana* Nomura (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae)', *Applied Entomology and Zoology*, 39(3), pp. 455–462. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1303/aez.2004.455.
- Bagøien, E. and Kiørboe, T. (2005) 'Blind dating mate finding in planktonic copepods. I. Tracking
 the pheromone trail of *Centropages typicus*', *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 300, pp. 105–115.
 Available at: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps300105.
- 334 Bartel, S. and Hohberg, K. (2020) 'Experimental investigations on the partner-finding behaviour of
- 335 Isohypsibius dastychi (Isohypsibiidae: Tardigrada)', Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society,
- **336** 188(3), pp. 878–886. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlz076.
- Bingemer, J., Hohberg, K. and Schill, R.O. (2016) 'First detailed observations on tardigrade mating
 behaviour and some aspects of the life history of *Isohypsibius dastychi* Pilato, Bertolani & amp; Binda
 1982 (Tardigrada, Isohypsibiidae)', *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 178(4), pp. 856–862.
 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12435.
- 341 Bouchard, S. (2001) 'Sex discrimination and roostmate recognition by olfactory cues in the african
- 342 bats, Mops condylurus and Chaerephon pumilus (Chiroptera: Molossidae)', Journal of Zoology,
- 343 254(1), pp. 109–117. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0952836901000607.
- 344 Brennan, P.A. and Keverne, E.B. (2004) 'Something in the air? New insights into mammalian
- 345 pheromones', *Current Biology*, 14(2), pp. R81–R89. Available at:
- 346 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2003.12.052.
- Burdfield-Steel, E.R. and Shuker, D.M. (2011) 'Reproductive interference', *Current Biology*, 21(12),
 pp. R450–R451. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.03.063.
- Bürkner, P.-C. (2017) 'brms: An *R* package for bayesian multilevel models using *Stan*', *Journal of Statistical Software*, 80(1). Available at: https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v080.i01.
- 351 Cooper Jr., W.E. and Pèrez-Mellado, V. (2002) 'Pheromonal discriminations of sex, reproductive
- 352 condition, and species by the lacertid lizard *Podarcis hispanica*', *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, 252 202(6) pp. 522, 527. Available at https://doi.org/10.1002/jap.10080
- **353** 292(6), pp. 523–527. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.10089.
- Czerneková, M. and Vinopal, S. (2021) 'The tardigrade cuticle', *Limnological Review*, 21(3), pp.
 127–146. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2478/limre-2021-0012.
- 356 De Pasqual, C. et al. (2021) 'Evolutionary importance of intraspecific variation in sex pheromones',
- 357 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 36(9), pp. 848–859. Available at:
- 358 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.05.005.
- Degma, P. and Guidetti, R. (2023) 'Actual checklist of Tardigrada species'. Università di Modena e
 Reggio Emilia. Available at: https://doi.org/10.25431/11380_1178608.
- 361 Doall, M.H. *et al.* (1998) 'Locating a mate in 3D: The case of *Temora longicornis*', *Philosophical* 362 *Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 353(1369), pp. 681–689. Available at:
- 363 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1998.0234.
- 364 Dunham, D.W. and Oh, J.W. (1992) 'Chemical sex discrimination in the crayfish *Procambarus*
- 365 *clarkii*: Role of antennules', *Journal of Chemical Ecology 1992 18:12*, 18(12), pp. 2363–2372.
 366 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00984955.

- Garnier, S. (2022) 'trackR Multi-object tracking with R.' Available at: https://swarmlab.github.io/trackR/.
- 369 Gąsiorek, P. et al. (2019) 'Deceptive conservatism of claws: distinct phyletic lineages concealed
- 370 within Isohypsibioidea (Eutardigrada) revealed by molecular and morphological evidence',
- **371** *Contributions to Zoology*, 88(1), pp. 78–132. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1163/18759866-**372** 20101350
- **372** 20191350.
- 373 Gąsiorek, P., Kristensen, D.M. and Kristensen, R.M. (2021) 'Extreme secondary sexual dimorphism
- in the genus *Florarctus* (Heterotardigrada: Halechiniscidae)', *Marine Biodiversity*, 51(3), p. 52.
 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-021-01183-y.
- Gomez-Diaz, C. and Benton, R. (2013) 'The joy of sex pheromones', *EMBO reports*, 14(10), pp.
 874–883. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/embor.2013.140.
- Hadley, N.F. (1981) 'Cuticular lipids of terrestrial plants and arthropods: A comparison of their
 structure, composition, and waterproofing function', *Biological Reviews*, 56(1), pp. 23–47. Available
- **380** at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.1981.tb00342.x.
- Hare, R.M. and Simmons, L.W. (2019) 'Sexual selection and its evolutionary consequences in female
 animals', *Biological Reviews*, 94(3), pp. 929–956. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12484.
- Hutter, S., Zala, S.M. and Penn, D.J. (2011) 'Sex recognition in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)', *Journal of Ethology*, 29(1), pp. 55–61. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/S10164-010-0221-5.
- Ingleby, F.C. (2015) 'Insect cuticular hydrocarbons as dynamic traits in sexual communication',
 Insects, 6(3), pp. 732–742. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/insects6030732.
- Johansson, B.G. and Jones, T.M. (2007) 'The role of chemical communication in mate choice', *Biological Reviews*, 82(2), pp. 265–289. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1469-
- **389** 185X.2007.00009.X.
- Jørgensen, A., Kristensen, R.M. and Møbjerg, N. (2018) 'Phylogeny and integrative taxonomy of
 Tardigrada', pp. 95–114. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95702-9_3.
- Jourdan, H. *et al.* (1995) 'Antennal sensilla and sexual dimorphism of the adult ladybird beetle *Semiadalia undecimnotata Schn.* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)', *International Journal of Insect Morphology and Embryology*, 24(3), pp. 307–322. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/00207322(95)98584-Z.
- Kamio, M., Yambe, H. and Fusetani, N. (2022) 'Chemical cues for intraspecific chemical
 communication and interspecific interactions in aquatic environments: applications for fisheries and
 aquaculture', *Fisheries Science*, 88(2), pp. 203–239. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12562021-01563-0.
- Karlsson Green, K. and Madjidian, J.A. (2011) 'Active males, reactive females: Stereotypic sex roles
 in sexual conflict research?', *Animal Behaviour*, 81(5), pp. 901–907. Available at:
- 402 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.01.033.
- Kay, M. (2022) 'tidybayes: tidy data and geoms for bayesian models'. Zenodo. Available at:
 https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5823492.
- 405 Kiørboe, T., Bagøien, E. and Thygesen, U.H. (2005) 'Blind dating mate finding in planktonic
- 406 copepods. II. The pheromone cloud of *Pseudocalanus elongatus*', Marine Ecology Progress Series,
- 407 300, pp. 117–128. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps300117.

- 408 Kudo, A., Fujii, T. and Ishikawa, Y. (2022) 'Lack of discrimination of sex and maturity of
- 409 conspecifics in the copulation attempts of the male stalk-eyed fly, *Sphyracephala detrahens* (Diptera:
 410 Diopsidae)', *Journal of Ethology*, 1, pp. 1–9. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/S10164-021-
- 411 00735-W.
- 412 Lerch, B.A. and Servedio, M.R. (2022) 'Indiscriminate mating and the coevolution of sex
- 413 discrimination and sexual signals', *The American Naturalist*, p. 723213. Available at:
- 414 https://doi.org/10.1086/723213.
- 415 Liu, X. et al. (2022) 'Mate-seeking behavior in the calanoid copepod Eodiaptomus japonicus',
- 416 *Journal of Plankton Research*. Edited by M. Koski, pp. 1–5. Available at:
- 417 https://doi.org/10.1093/PLANKT/FBAC052.
- Marco, A. *et al.* (1998) 'Mate choice by chemical cues in western redback (*Plethodon vehicufum*) and
 Dunn's (*P. dunni*) Salamanders', *Ethology*, 104, pp. 781–788.
- 420 Matsumura, K., Nagano, M. and Fusetani, N. (1998) 'Purification of a larval settlement-inducing
- 421 protein complex (SIPC) of the barnacle, *Balanus amphitrite'*, *Journal of Experimental Zoology*,
- 422 281(1), pp. 12–20. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-010X(19980501)281:1<12::AID-423 JEZ3>3.0.CO;2-F.
- 424 Meyer, H.A. et al. (2020) 'Predator and prey detection in two species of water bear (Tardigrada)',
- 425 Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 188(3), pp. 860–864. Available at:
- 426 https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlz141.
- 427 Møbjerg, N. *et al.* (2018) 'Morphology and functional anatomy', in R.O. Schill (ed.) *Water Bears:*428 *The Biology of Tardigrades.* Cham: Springer International Publishing (Zoological Monographs), pp.
- 429 57–94. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95702-9_2.
- 430 Møbjerg, N. and Neves, R.C. (2021) 'New insights into survival strategies of tardigrades',
- 431 Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, 254, p.
- 432 110890. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2020.110890.
- Naka, H. (2018) 'Female sex pheromones and mating behavior in diurnal moths: Implications for
 conservation biology', in *Chemical Ecology of Insects*. CRC Press.
- 435 Park, D. et al. (2004) 'Discrimination of conspecific sex and reproductive condition using chemical
- 436 cues in axolotls (Ambystoma mexicanum)', Journal of Comparative Physiology A: Neuroethology,
- 437 Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology, 190(5), pp. 415–427. Available at:
- 438 https://doi.org/10.1007/S00359-004-0510-Y.
- Parker, G.A. (1974) 'Courtship persistence and female-guarding as male time investment strategies', *Behaviour*, 48(1–4), pp. 157–183. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1163/156853974X00327.
- 441 Passos, C. et al. (2013) 'Female annual killifish Austrolebias reicherti (Cyprinodontiformes,
- Rivulidae) attend to male chemical cues', *Ethology*, 119(10), pp. 891–897. Available at:
- 443 https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12129.
- 444 Pilato, G. et al. (2003) 'Macrobiotus polonicus, a new species of Tardigrada from Poland
- (Eutardigrada: Macrobiotidae, "hufelandi group")', *Zootaxa*, 258(1), p. 1. Available at:
 https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.258.1.1.
- 447 Pilato, G. et al. (2006) 'Geonemy, ecology, reproductive biology and morphology of the tardigrade
- 448 Hypsibius zetlandicus (Eutardigrada: Hypsibiidae) with erection of Borealibius gen. n.', Polar
- 449 *Biology*, 29(7), pp. 595–603. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-005-0094-1.

- 450 Poprawa, I., Schlechte-Wełnicz, W. and Hyra, M. (2015) 'Ovary organization and oogenesis in the
- 451 tardigrade *Macrobiotus polonicus* Pilato, Kaczmarek, Michalczyk & Lisi, 2003 (Eutardigrada,
- 452 Macrobiotidae): ultrastructural and histochemical analysis', *Protoplasma*, 252(3), pp. 857–865.
- 453 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-014-0725-x.
- 454 R Core Team (2022) 'R: A language and environment for statistical computing'. Vienna, Austria: R
 455 Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at: https://www.R-project.org/.
- 456 Ratterman, N., Rosenthal, G. and Jones, A. (2009) 'Sex recognition via chemical cues in the sex-role-
- 457 reversed gulf pipefish (*Syngnathus scovelli*)', *Ethology*, 115, pp. 339–346. Available at:
- 458 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.2009.01619.x.
- Raveh, S. *et al.* (2019) 'Oddity, predation risk and social decisions in aquatic invertebrates', *Ethology*, 125(2), pp. 106–113. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12835.
- 461 Roff, G. et al. (2017) 'Mass spawning aggregation of the giant bumphead parrotfish Bolbometopon
- 462 *muricatum*', Journal of Fish Biology, 91(1), pp. 354–361. Available at:
- 463 https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13340.
- 464 Schuster, R. and Greven, H. (2013) 'Reproductive traits of *Macrobiotus hufelandi* during a long-term
- field study with notes on *Paramacrobiotus richtersi* and *Diphascon pingue* (Eutardigrada)', *Journal*
- 466 *of Limnology*, 72(1s), pp. 166–174. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2013.s1.e21.
- 467 Stamps, G.F. and Shaw, K.L. (2019) 'Male use of chemical signals in sex discrimination of Hawaiian
 468 swordtail crickets (genus Laupala)', *Animal Behaviour*, 156, pp. 111–120. Available at:
- 469 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.06.033.
- 470 Stec, D. et al. (2021) 'New multilocus phylogeny reorganises the family Macrobiotidae
- 471 (Eutardigrada) and unveils complex morphological evolution of the Macrobiotus hufelandi group',
- 472 *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 160, p. 106987. Available at:
- 473 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YMPEV.2020.106987.
- Su, C.-Y., Menuz, K. and Carlson, J.R. (2009) 'Olfactory perception: receptors, cells, and circuits',
 Cell, 139(1), pp. 45–59. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.09.015.
- 476 Sugiura, K. et al. (2019) 'Comparison of sexual reproductive behaviors in two species of
- 477 Macrobiotidae (Tardigrada: Eutardigrada)', *Zoological Science*, 36(2), pp. 120–127. Available at:
 478 https://doi.org/10.2108/zs180103.
- 479 Sugiura, K. and Matsumoto, M. (2021a) 'Reproduction of Mesobiotus: comparison of morphology
 480 and behavior in the family Macrobiotidae (Tardigrada: Eutardigrada)', *Zoological Science*, 38(5).
- 481 Available at: https://doi.org/10.2108/zs210045.
- 482 Sugiura, K. and Matsumoto, M. (2021b) 'Sexual reproductive behaviours of tardigrades: a review',
- 483 *Invertebrate Reproduction & Development*, 65(4), pp. 279–287. Available at:
- 484 https://doi.org/10.1080/07924259.2021.1990142.
- 485 Symonds, M.R.E., Johnson, T.L. and Elgar, M.A. (2012) 'Pheromone production, male abundance,
 486 body size, and the evolution of elaborate antennae in moths', *Ecology and Evolution*, 2(1), pp. 227–
 487 246. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.81.
- 488 Takeichi, M., Hirai, Y. and Yusa, Y. (2007) 'A water-borne sex pheromone and trail following in the
- 489 apple snail, *Pomacea canaliculata*', *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 73(3), pp. 275–278. Available at:
 490 https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eym027.

- 491 Tanaka, H., Frommen, J.G. and Kohda, M. (2018) 'Helpers increase food abundance in the territory of
- 492 a cooperatively breeding fish', *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 72(3), p. 51. Available at:
- 493 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-018-2450-5.
- 494 Vecchi, M. *et al.* (2022) 'First insights into female sperm storage duration in tardigrades', *Ecology*495 *and Evolution*, 12(6), p. e9010. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/ECE3.9010.
- Wertheim, B. *et al.* (2005) 'Pheromone-mediated aggregation in nonsocial arthropods: an
 evolutionary ecological perspective', *Annual Review of Entomology*, 50, pp. 321–346. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.49.061802.123329.
- Winfrey, C. and Fincke, O.M. (2017) 'Role of visual and non-visual cues in damselfly mate recognition', *International Journal of Odonatology*, 20(1), pp. 43–52. Available at:
- 501 https://doi.org/10.1080/13887890.2017.1297259.
- 502 Wyatt, T.D. (2014) *Pheromones and animal behavior: Chemical signals and signatures*. 2nd edn.
 503 Cambridge University Press. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139030748.
- 504 Yoshida, Y. et al. (2017) 'Comparative genomics of the tardigrades Hypsibius dujardini and
- 505 *Ramazzottius varieornatus*', *PLOS Biology*, 15(7), p. e2002266. Available at:
- 506 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2002266.
- 507

508

509 <u>FIGURES</u>

510

511 Figure 1: A. Photograph of the arena (choice-chamber) setup from above (total size

512 21.6×11.4 mm, lure rings chambers 4 mm inner diameter). Dashed line: critical area. B. Latency to

513 first cross a side for focal females (n = 16) and males (n = 17); five individuals of each sex (grey) did

- 514 not spend time inside a critical area (non-crosser). C. Proportion of time spent inside each critical area
- 515 (female n = 11, male n = 12, non-crossers are excluded). Black dots represent means of posterior
- 516 distributions, whereas vertical lines represent the 95% high-density intervals.
- 517
- 518 Figure 2: A. Proportion of time when paths of both individuals overlapped (n = 27 trials). Black dots
- 519 represent means of posterior distributions, whereas vertical lines represent 95% high-density intervals.
- 520 Grey lines connect the two individuals used in the same trial. B. Following behaviour observed
- 521 according to the individual who initiated a contact during the trail experiment (n = 24 recorded
- 522 contacts). Only males showed this behaviour. The colour refers to the sex that initiate the contact.