

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Wallace, Phillip J.; Hartley, Geoffrey L.; Nowlan, Josh G.; Ljubanovich, Johnathan; Sieh, Nina; Taber, Michael J.; Gagnon, Dominique D.; Cheung, Stephen S.

Title: Endurance Capacity Impairment in Cold Air Ranging from Skin Cooling to Mild Hypothermia

Year: 2024

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2023, Journal of Applied Physiology

Rights: In Copyright

Rights url: http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en

Please cite the original version:

Wallace, P. J., Hartley, G. L., Nowlan, J. G., Ljubanovich, J., Sieh, N., Taber, M. J., Gagnon, D. D., & Cheung, S. S. (2024). Endurance Capacity Impairment in Cold Air Ranging from Skin Cooling to Mild Hypothermia. Journal of Applied Physiology, 136(1), 58-69. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00663.2023

1	Endurance Capacity Impairment in Cold Air Ranging from Skin Cooling to Mild
2	Hypothermia

- 3 Phillip J. Wallace*¹, Geoffrey L. Hartley², Josh G. Nowlan¹, Johnathan Ljubanovich¹, Nina
- 4 Sieh¹, Michael J. Taber^{1,3}, Dominique D. Gagnon^{4,5,6}, Stephen S. Cheung^{*1}
- ⁵ ¹ Environmental Ergonomics Laboratory, Department of Kinesiology, Brock University, St.
- 6 Catharines, Canada
- 7 ² Department of Physical and Health Education, Nipissing University, North Bay, Canada
- 8 ³ N²M Consulting Inc., St. Catharines, Canada
- 9 ⁴ School of Kinesiology and Health Sciences, Laurentian University, Sudbury, Canada
- ⁵ Faculty of Sports and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
- ¹¹ ⁶Clinic for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Department of Sports and Exercise Medicine, Faculty
- 12 of Medicine, University of Helsinki Mäkelänkatu, Helsinki, Finland
- 13
- 14 Corresponding Author: Dr. Phillip Wallace. Email; phil.wallace@brocku.ca
- 15 Corresponding Address: Department of Kinesiology, Brock University, St. Catharines,
- 16 Ontario, Canada, L2S 3A1
- 17 Co-corresponding Author: Dr. Stephen Cheung. Email; scheung@brocku.ca
- 18 Corresponding Address: Department of Kinesiology, Brock University, St. Catharines,
- 19 Ontario, Canada, L2S 3A1
- 20 Running Head: Cold Strain and Endurance Capacity

- 21 Abstract
- 22

23 **Introduction**: We tested the effects of cold air $(0^{\circ}C)$ exposure on endurance capacity to 24 different levels of cold strain ranging from skin cooling to core cooling of Δ -1.0°C. **Methods:** Ten males completed a randomized, crossover, control study consisting of a cycling time-to-25 26 exhaustion (TTE) at 70% of their peak power output following: i) 30-min of exposure to 22°C 27 thermoneutral air (TN), ii) 30-min exposure to 0°C air leading to a cold shell (CS), iii) 0°C air 28 exposure causing mild hypothermia of -0.5° C from baseline rectal temperature (HYPO- 0.5° C), 29 and iv) 0°C air exposure causing mild hypothermia of -1.0°C from baseline rectal temperature 30 (HYPO-1.0°C). The latter three conditions tested TTE in 0°C air. Results: Core temperature and 31 seven-site mean skin temperature at the start of the TTE were: TN ($37.0 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C, $31.2 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$ C), 32 CS (37.1 ± 0.3°C, 25.5 ± 1.4°C), HYPO-0.5°C (36.6 ± 0.4°C, 22.3 ± 2.2°C), HYPO-1.0°C (36.4 33 $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C, 21.4 $\pm 2.7^{\circ}$ C). There was a significant condition effect ($p \le 0.001$) for TTE, which from 34 TN $(23.75 \pm 13.75 \text{ min})$ to CS $(16.22 \pm 10.30 \text{ min}, \Delta - 30.9 \pm 21.5\%, p=0.055)$, HYPO-0.5°C 35 $(8.50 \pm 5.23 \text{ min}, \Delta - 61.4 \pm 19.7\%, p \le 0.001)$, and HYPO-1.0°C $(6.50 \pm 5.60 \text{ min}, \Delta - 71.6 \pm 19.7\%, p \le 0.001)$ 36 16.4%, $p \le 0.001$). Furthermore, participants had a greater endurance capacity in CS compared to 37 HYPO-0.5°C (p=0.046), and HYPO-1.0°C (p=0.007), with no differences between HYPO-0.5°C 38 and HYPO-1.0°C (*p*=1.00). Conclusion: Endurance capacity impairment at 70% peak power 39 output occurs early in cold exposure with skin cooling, with significantly larger impairments 40 with mild hypothermia up to Δ -1.0°C. 41 NEW & NOTEWORTHY: We developed a novel protocol that cooled skin temperature, or

41 NEW & NOTE WORTHT: we developed a novel protocol that cooled skill temperature, of 42 skin plus core temperature (Δ -0.5°C or Δ -1.0°C), to determine a dose-response of cold exposure 43 on endurance capacity at 70% peak power output. Skin cooling significantly impaired exercise 44 tolerance time by ~31%, whereas core cooling led to a further reduction of 30-40% with no

- 45 difference between Δ -0.5°C or Δ -1.0°C. Overall, simply cooling the skin impaired endurance
- 46 capacity, but this impairment is further magnified by core cooling.
- 47 Keywords: Core Cooling; Mild Hypothermia; Endurance Capacity; Cold Strain; Heat Debt

48 Introduction

49 Athletes, military personal, and occupational workers can train, compete, and work in 50 cold environments where it is important to understand how physical capacity is altered in the 51 cold in order to maintain performance, prevent accidents and injuries, and prevent thermal strain. 52 Exercise in cold air combined with inadequate clothing is physiologically more demanding 53 compared to thermoneutral environments due to changes in cardiorespiratory function (e.g., 54 vasoconstriction, shifts in oxygen dissociation, reduced peak oxygen consumption) (1, 2), 55 increased metabolic demands (if shivering is present) (3, 4), and reduced neuromuscular 56 function, coordination, and contractility (5–7). Despite these physiological changes with cold 57 exposure, data concerning performance changes are equivocal, with time-to-exhaustion (TTE) at 58 \sim 70% of maximal aerobic capacity either being similar between 4°C and 21°C air (8) or 59 improved by ~40% in 3°C (9) compared to 20°C. One potential cause of these disparate findings 60 may be a lack of significant skin or core temperature cooling prior to exercise, as these were 61 acute exposure protocols where exercise commenced almost immediately upon entry to a cold 62 environment, likely resulting in little to no change in core or muscle temperature. Recently, 63 studies inducing actual mild hypothermia pre-exercise demonstrate a performance decrement, 64 with $\sim \Delta$ -1.5°C in core temperature via cold-water immersion (10°C) reducing the work 65 completed by ~11% during a 20-min self-paced cycling time trial in a thermoneutral 66 environment (23°C) (10). Similarly, an $\sim \Delta$ -0.5°C in core temperature via cold-air exposure 67 impaired 15-km time trial performance in trained cyclists in cold air $(0^{\circ}C)$ (1). Given that ratings 68 of perceived exertion remained similar in the latter study, the $\sim 6\%$ lower average power output 69 suggests a voluntary down-regulation of workload in the face of elevated thermal discomfort,

indicating that individuals may not be able to sustain the same absolute workload asthermoneutral environments.

72 In acute cold exposures combined with inadequate clothing, individuals first experience 73 cooling of the overall skin/ outer shell temperature, followed cooling of core temperature if there 74 is insufficient heat production to offset heat loss. It is currently unknown if there is a cold 75 exposure dose response exists between peripheral versus deep core cooling, or the magnitude of 76 core cooling on endurance capacity in the cold. Endurance performance is physiologically determined by mechanical efficiency, anaerobic capacity, and the oxygen transport cascade (11), 77 78 with the latter known to be regulated in the cold via lower perfusion (capillary vasoconstriction), 79 peripheral resistance, and diffusion (lower intracellular reaction rates and greater kinematic 80 viscosity) capacity (12, 13). Cooling skin or outer shell temperature alone increases peripheral 81 vasoconstriction, and decreases muscle blood flow and oxygenation (14, 15), and increases 82 lactate accumulation while decreasing lactate threshold during exercise (16). Exercise impairment may be caused directly from a cold shell, as using a heated jacket to maintain whole-83 84 body skin temperature has been shown to improve 2-km rowing time-trial performance following 85 25-min of passive cold air exposure (8°C) (17). Greater cold strain from further core cooling to mild hypothermia elicits shivering and further increase heart rate, thermal discomfort, and 86 87 vasoconstriction and decreased oxygen availability (1-3, 18), potentially leading to greater 88 impairments in endurance capacity. Peak aerobic capacity during combined arm/leg ergometry is 89 demonstrated to decline ~5-6% per °C decrease in core temperature (19). However, the separate 90 and combined effects of cooling skin/shell and core temperature on endurance capacity in cold 91 air is unknown.

92 One of the inherent methodological challenges in cold physiology research is normalizing 93 the cold strain between individuals. A set duration protocol (e.g. 120-mins) can lead to wide 94 individual variability in actual core cooling, due to such factors as anthropometrics (body mass, 95 surface-area to mass ratio, fat insulation), age, and sex, which influences cooling rates (For 96 review see (20)). An alternative approach is to cool individuals to a set decrease in baseline core 97 temperature (e.g. Δ -0.5°C) (1, 2, 18) to normalize cold strain. However, this approach can lead to 98 interindividual variability in cooling times, as recently we demonstrated that cooling core 99 temperature to Δ -0.8°C from baseline in cold air (0°C) ranged from 89-173 minutes across 100 participants (Wallace et al., Unpublished Data). The differences in cold exposure/cooling times 101 prior to exercise may introduce additional confounding variables related to cooling that may 102 influence performance. For example, cooling leads to an increase in shivering, which increases 103 metabolic heat production to offset heat loss, thus leading to more energy expended prior to and 104 during exercise. Critically, changes in core temperature are determined by the cumulative 105 imbalance between metabolic heat production and net heat loss (i.e., body heat storage), body 106 mass (i.e., internal heat sink) and body composition (i.e., specific heat capacity of body tissues) 107 (21). In cold environments, partitional calorimetry is used to calculate the rate of heat storage (S, 108 where positive values indicates heat gain, negative values indicate heat loss), and can be used to 109 estimate heat debt (HD), which represents the cumulative change in whole- body heat content 110 and provides an indication of cold strain (22–24). The use of HD as a physiological measure has 111 primarily been used to measure the thermoregulatory response to cold air following repeated 112 cold water immersion (24, 25) or high intensity interval training (26). This tool can be used to 113 provide an index of cold strain between participants and between different levels of core cooling 114 and can encapsulate confounds of different cooling times based on body surface area, heat

115	production, and avenues of heat loss over a cold exposure. Therefore, the inclusion of HD prior
116	to exercise may provide insight regarding the level of cold strain and ultimately its effect on
117	performance beyond thermometric changes in core and skin temperature alone by determining
118	both metabolic heat production and loss.
119	The purpose of this study was to test the effects of cold air (0°C) exposure, ranging from
120	initial cooling of the shell to two levels of mild hypothermia, on endurance capacity. We tested
121	time to exhaustion (TTE) at 70% of peak power output in four randomized conditions: i) a 30-
122	min exposure to 22°C thermoneutral air (TN), ii) an acute ~30-min exposure to 0°C air leading
123	to a cold shell (CS) and neutral core, iii) a 0°C air exposure causing mild hypothermia of Δ -
124	0.5°C from baseline rectal temperature (T_{re}) (HYPO-0.5°C), and iv) a 0°C air exposure causing
125	mild hypothermia of Δ -1.0°C from baseline T _{re} (HYPO-1.0°C). We hypothesized that: i)
126	endurance capacity would be impaired with CS compared with thermoneutral; ii) both core
127	cooling conditions will decrease endurance capacity more than skin cooling alone; and iii)
128	HYPO-1.0°C will lead to greater impairments in endurance capacity compared to HYPO-0.5°C
129	due to increased cold strain.

130 Methods

Participants - The experimental protocol was cleared by the Research Ethics Board at Brock University (REB# 19-026) and conformed to the latest revision of the Declaration of Helsinki. Ten healthy male volunteers (See Table 1 for characteristics), who were free from cardiovascular, respiratory, neurological, and cold disorders were recruited from the university and community population. All participants were informed of the experimental protocol and associated risks before participating in this experiment and provided both verbal and written informed consent. Participants were allowed to withdraw their participation at any point and theirdata up until data collection was completed as data was de-identified.

Experimental Design – The experiment was a randomized, crossover, control trial consisting of two familiarization sessions and 4 experimental sessions. The first familiarization session involved collecting anthropometric measures, determining peak oxygen consumption, peak power output, and practicing the TTE. The second familiarization provided two further practices of the TTE. The 4 experimental conditions were separated by 3-7 days to minimize the potential of cold acclimation and performed at the same time of day to control for circadian fluctuations in core temperature. Participants were instructed to avoid vigorous exercise and alcohol

146 consumption 24 hours and caffeine 6 hours prior to each session.

147

[Insert Table 1 About Here]

Familiarization Trials – Upon arrival for the 1st familiarization trial, anthropometric 148 149 measurements of height (cm), mass (kg), body surface area (m^2) , and % body fat from 7-site 150 skinfold were obtained. An incremental test to exhaustion was performed on a cycle ergometer 151 (Velotron, RacerMate Inc, USA) to determine peak oxygen consumption and peak power output 152 (PPO). The test began with a standardized 5-min warm-up at 100 W, followed by workload increase of 25 W each minute until exhaustion. Peak oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_{2 peak}$) was 153 154 defined as the highest continuous 30-s value measured breath-by-breath from expired gases 155 collected through a soft silicone facemask connected to an inline gas collection system (see 156 details below). The final stage completed was considered PPO (W). Following warm down and 157 ~30-min passive recovery, participants then performed a TTE consisting of a standardized 5-min 158 warm up at 100 W followed by the TTE at 70% of PPO (see details below). Upon arrival for the

159 2nd familiarization trial, participants practiced the TTE a total of two times, separated by 25-30
160 minutes.

161	Experimental Trials – Upon arrival participants voided their bladder and nude body mass (kg)
162	was recorded. A urine sample was tested for urine specific gravity (PAL-10S, Atago, Japan) to
163	determine hydration status. Participants were considered euhydrated if urine specific gravity was
164	\leq 1.020, or else the test was rescheduled (no trials were rescheduled from hypohydration).
165	Participants were then instrumented (see below) and entered an environmental chamber and were
166	seated on a chair. Participants then performed a 5-min baseline in thermoneutral conditions
167	(~22.0°C, ~50% relative humidity) sitting quietly with their eyes closed. Next, participants
168	performed one of the following 4 experimental conditions before commencing the TTE:
169	Thermoneutral (TN) – Participants remained seated in the chamber in a temperate
170	environment(~22.0°C, ~50% relative humidity) for 25 minutes (30 minutes total) before
171	commencing the TTE in the same environmental conditions. Although, endurance capacity has
172	been demonstrated to improve in cooler temperatures (4°C and 11°C) (8), we aimed to minimize
173	cooling of the skin in order to have a comparative control condition without a cold stimulus.
174	Cold Shell (CS) – Participants remained seated in the environmental chamber as the ambient
175	temperature was incrementally decreased to 0°C (~15-16 minutes) and wind speed was increased
176	to 0.8-1.2 m/s using a fan. Participants remained seated for an additional ~15 minutes such that
177	cold exposure was ~30-min in duration prior to commencing the TTE. This design allowed for
178	core temperature to remain relatively unchanged while skin/shell temperature was reduced.
179	HYPO-0.5°C – Participants remained seated in the environmental chamber as ambient
180	temperature was incrementally decreased to 0°C and wind speed was increased to 0.8-1.2 m/s

181 until the participants' rectal temperature (T_{re}) dropped by Δ -0.3°C from baseline. This design 182 was implemented in order to target a T_{re} decrease of Δ -0.5°C at the start of the TTE with the 183 additional time for transfer to the ergometer along with postural shifts.

184 **HYPO-1.0°C** – Participants remained seated in the environmental chamber as ambient

temperature was incrementally decreased to 0°C and wind speed was increased to 0.8-1.2 m/s

186 until the participants T_{re} dropped by Δ -0.8°C from baseline before transferring to the ergometer

187 and performing the TTE. This design was implemented in order to target a T_{re} decrease of Δ -

 $188 \quad 1.0^{\circ}C$ for the TTE.

For all cold trials, there was an institutional ethical cutoff of core temperature $\leq 35.0^{\circ}$ C and an exposure limit of 150-min following chamber air temperature reaching 0°C in cold trials. Three participants (30%) did not reach the desired Δ -0.8°C T_{re} within the 150 minutes cutoff limit. Each of these participants started the transition following the cutoff time with a Δ -0.7°C T_{re}. The three cold trials performed the TTE in 0°C air and ~0.5 m/s wind speed. Due to the overall challenge of core cooling in cold air, we were unable to time-match environmental exposure for all 4 conditions.

196 Time to Exhaustion – The TTE started with a standardized 'warmup' of 5-min at 100 W 197 followed by the TTE at 70% of PPO. Participants freely choose their cadence, and the test was 198 performed to volitional fatigue or when cadence dropped below 60 rpm for 5 consecutive 199 seconds. No feedback or verbal motivation was provided except for one verbal warning if 200 cadence dropped below 60 rpm. Due to differences in completion times between participants and 201 trials, comparison of physiological responses were averaged over 30-s at isolated percentages 202 (ISO) of 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% of each completed TTE. Therefore, the ISO-timepoints 203 compared are different based on the TTE in each condition and are different between trials.

204 **Clothing** – During TN trials, participants wore a cotton t-shirt or cycling jersey, cycling bib 205 shorts, socks, athletic/ cycling shoes, and metabolic mask (~ 0.26 clo ensemble). In all cold 206 trials, participants wore the same ensemble as TN at baseline with the inclusion of track pants 207 (~ 0.48 clo ensemble). Upon commencement of cooling the chamber, participants were fitted 208 with earmuffs, winter gloves, and a fleece blanket around their shoes (~0.63 clo ensemble). Prior 209 to the TTE, the blanket was removed (~ 0.57 clo ensemble). The additional clothing during the 210 cold trials was deemed necessary during pilot testing to offset extreme discomfort of extremities 211 during cooling and minimize the risk of participant dropout.

Perceptual Measurements – Prior to performing the TTE, motivation was taken using a 0-4 scale (27), as well as shivering intensity measured by the experimenter on a 0-4 scale (0 = no shivering, 1 = occasional mild tremor of the jaw and neck, 2 = intense tremors of the chest, 3 = intermittent vigorous generalized tremor, continuous violent muscle activity). Subjective assessments of the environmental conditions were assessed using a 1-4 scale to measure thermal comfort and a 1-7 scale for thermal sensation (28), and ratings of perceived exertion (6-20) (29) at ISO0% and ISO100%.

219 **Physiological Measurements** – Prior to baseline, participants self-instrumented with a flexible 220 thermocouple (RET-1, Physitemp Instruments, USA) 15 cm beyond the anal sphincter to 221 measure T_{re} (°C) sampled at 4 Hz. Weighted mean skin temperature (\overline{T}_{skin} , °C) and mean heat 222 flux (HF, W·m⁻²) were measured using heat flux sensors with an integrated thermistor (Concept 223 Engineering, Old Saybrook, USA) sampled on seven sites (30):

 \overline{T}_{skin} and HF = 0.07_{forehead} + 0.14_{forearm} + 0.05_{hand} + 0.35_{abdomen} + 0.19_{thigh} + 0.13_{shin} + 0.07_{foot}

224 Water vapor pressure of the skin was measured using a temperature and humidity sensor 225 (HMP60-L, Vaisala, FN) sampled at four sites: upper arm, chest, thigh, and calf. Heart rate was calculated using R-R intervals using a standard three-lead electrocardiogram (MLA2340, AD 226 227 Instruments; USA). Participants were fitted with a soft silicone facemask (Hans Rudolph, USA) 228 connected to a 4.7 L gas mixing chamber where gas volume was measured using a pneumotach 229 (MTL 1000L, AD Instruments; USA; Pneumotach Amplifier Series 1110, Hans Rudolph Inc., 230 USA) and gas concentrations with a gas analyzer (ML206 Gas Analyzer, AD Instruments, USA). Measures of expired ventilation (\dot{V}_E , L·min⁻¹), oxygen consumption ($\dot{V}O_2$, L·min⁻¹), carbon 231 dioxide expiration ($\dot{V}CO_2$, L·min⁻¹), and respiratory exchange ratio (RER, $\dot{V}CO_2/\dot{V}O_2$) were used 232 233 to calculate metabolic heat production and heat loss from the respiratory tract. In order to index workload, $\dot{V}O_2$ was normalized to body mass (mL·kg·min⁻¹) during the TTE. Calculations were 234 235 adjusted based on barometric pressure (mmHg) and mixing chamber air temperature (°C, 236 sampled at 1 kHz) to account for changes in body temperature influencing gas volumes through 237 changes in expired air temperature. The metabolic cart was calibrated following the 238 manufacturer's instruction using air tanks containing 16% oxygen and 5% carbon dioxide. 239 Partitional Calorimetry Calculations – Heat storage using partitional calorimetry was 240 calculated each minute during the thermoneutral and cooling periods prior to the TTE and 241 normalized to body surface area using the following equation (31):

$$\dot{S} = \dot{M} - \dot{W}_{K} \pm \dot{R} \pm \dot{C}_{skin} \pm \dot{K} - \dot{E}_{skin} - (\dot{E}_{resp} + \dot{C}_{resp}) [W \cdot m^{-2}]$$

242 Where: \dot{S} = heat storage, \dot{M} = metabolic heat production, \dot{W}_{K} = energy used for work, \dot{R} = 243 Radiation, \dot{C}_{skin} = convection of skin, \dot{K} = conduction, \dot{E}_{skin} = evaporation from skin, \dot{E}_{resp} = 244 evaporation from respiratory tract, and \dot{C}_{resp} = convection from respiratory tract. \dot{W}_{K} is considered 0 in this study as participants were at rest. K is assumed to be at 0 in this experiment. Combined $\dot{R} \pm \dot{C}_{skin}$ was determined through weighted HF. One-minute averages of each component were taken from baseline and over the course of the environmental condition prior to performing the TTE.

249 Metabolic Heat Production – Heat production was calculated using indirect calorimetry of
250 expired gases using the following equation if RER was < 1.00 (31):

$$\dot{M} = \left(\dot{V}O_2 \cdot \frac{\left[\left(\left(\frac{\text{RER} - 0.7}{0.3}\right) \cdot 21.13\right) + \left(\left(\frac{1.0 - \text{RER}}{0.3}\right) \cdot 19.62\right)\right]}{60} \times 1000\right) / A_D \left[W \cdot m^{-2}\right]$$

251 Where, $\dot{V}O_2$ is in L·min⁻¹, RER is the respiratory exchange ratio, and is normalized to A_D is body 252 surface area calculated using the following equation:

$$A_D = 0.202 \times (\text{Height})^{0.425} \times (\text{mass})^{0.725} [m^2]$$

253 Where, height is in m and mass is in kg.

Indirect calorimetry assumes that metabolic heat production is due to oxidative, rather than nonoxidative (anerobic) energy sources (31), however during passive cold exposure, RER has the potential to ≥ 1 due to increased reliance on glycogen and carbohydrates to fuel shivering thermogenesis (32) and/or through increased lactate production and hyperventilation leading to increase carbon dioxide expired (33). If RER ≥ 1 , the following equation was used to account for the energy equivalent for carbohydrates only (31):

$$\dot{M} (RER \ge 1.0) = \left(\dot{V}O_2 \cdot \frac{21.13}{60} \times 1000 \right) / A_D [W \cdot m^{-2}]$$

260 Energy expenditure was calculated as Kcals expended from the start of baseline until the

261 commencement of the TTE by taking the integral of M in W divided by 70 to convert to Kcals
262 (22).

Evaporative heat loss from the skin surface – The following equation was used to determine \dot{E}_{skin} from the relative humidity sensors and environmental factors (31, 34):

$$\dot{E}_{skin} = h_e \cdot \omega \cdot (P_{skin} - P_a) [W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot C]$$

265 Where, h_e = heat transfer coefficient for evaporative heat loss, ω = skin wittedness of participant, 266 assumed to be minimal at 0.06 due to no regulatory sweating, P_{skin} = saturated vapor pressure of 267 the skin, P_a = partial vapor pressure of the air.

The heat transfer coefficient for evaporative heat loss (h_e) is calculated by re-arranging the Lewis
relation equation:

Lewis Relation =
$$\frac{h_c}{h_e}$$

270 Where, the Lewis relation is assumed to be 16.5 °C·kpa⁻¹, $h_c = convective heat transfer$

271 coefficient, and h_e = heat transfer coefficient for evaporative heat loss. The convective heat

transfer coefficient was calculated with the following equation (31):

$$h_c = 8.3 v^{0.6} [W \cdot m^2 \cdot K^{-1}]$$

Where v is air velocity in m·s⁻¹. This equation is used for air velocities between 0.2-4.0 m·s⁻¹.
Wind speed was recorded using a handheld anemometer (Kestrel 1000, ITM Instruments, CAN)
for convective heat loss at the level of xyphoid process of the participants at baseline and every
15-min.

Saturated vapor pressure of the skin was calculated using Antoine's equation by using mean skintemperature:

$$P_{skin} = \frac{\exp\left(18.956 - \frac{4030.18}{\overline{T}_{skin} + 235}\right)}{10} [kpa]$$

279 Where, \overline{T}_{skin} = mean skin temperature (°C), division by 10 is to convert P_{skin} from mb to kPa. 280 The partial vapor pressure in the air (P_a) and saturated vapor pressure of water (P_{sa}) were 281 derived based on their relationship with relative humidity (Ø, fractional %) using temperature 282 and humidity measurements from sensors with the following equations:

$$P_a = \emptyset P_{sa} [kPa]$$

283 Saturated vapor pressure at the skin was calculated for each site, then weighted using the

following equation which was originally derived for mean skin temperature (35):

Weighted Relative Humidity or $T_{amb \ skin} = 0.3_{arm} + 0.3_{chest} + 0.2_{thigh} + 0.2_{calf}$

Respiratory Heat Loss – Combined convective and evaporative heat loss from the respiratory
tract was the summation of the following equations (31):

$$\dot{C}_{resp} = \frac{\left(0.001516 \cdot \dot{M} \left(28.56 + (0.641 \cdot P_{a air}) - (0.885 \cdot T_{amb})\right)\right)}{A_{D}} [W \cdot m^{2}]$$
$$\dot{E}_{resp} = \frac{\left(0.00127 \cdot \dot{M} \left(59.34 + (0.53 \cdot P_{a air}) - (11.63 \cdot T_{amb})\right)\right)}{A_{D}} [W \cdot m^{2}]$$

Where M is in W, P_{a air} is the vapor pressure of inspired air in kPa, and T_{amb} is ambient
temperature of inspired air in °C. Ambient temperature (T_{amb}, °C) and relative humidity (%) were
measured using a hand-held hygrometer and thermometer (Pocket DewPoint, VWR, USA) for

respiratory heat loss at the level of xyphoid process of the participants at baseline and every 15-min.

Heat Debt - The change in body heat content over time or HD was obtained by taking theintegral of heat storage and converting to kJ with the following equation (23, 31):

$$\Delta HD = \int_{t=0}^{t} \dot{S} * A_{D} * dt/1000 \ [k]]$$

294 Where, the rate of heat storage is converted to W by multiplying by A_{D} , then multiplied by 295 exposure time (dt) in seconds (s) and divided by 1000 to convert W to kJ. HD was calculated 296 every minute from when cooling the chamber started until prior to commencing the TTE. 297 Statistical Analysis – All physiological data are presented as mean \pm SD with statistical 298 significance set a $p \le 0.05$. All data analyses of physiological variables were conducted in R 299 (version 4.2.2) using the RStudio environment (Version 2023.03.1.446) (36). Data were analyzed 300 using a linear mixed model (lmer) with a fixed effect for condition and timepoint (if necessary) 301 and random effect for participant using the R package lme4 (37). Data were normally distributed 302 determined through visual inspection of Q-Q plots and using the Shapiro-Wilks test (in car 303 package) (38). Homoscedasticity was confirmed through visual inspection of the residuals 304 plotted over the fitted linear mixed model and using a Levine's test for homogeneity of variance 305 (in *car* package) (38). Three types of linear mixed models were performed (depending on 306 variable) including; a 1 x 4 condition (TN vs CS vs HYPO-0.5°C HYPO-1.0°C), or a 4 307 (condition) x 6 (timepoint; Baseline vs ISO0% vs ISO25% vs ISO50% vs ISO75% vs 308 ISO100%), or a 4 (condition) x 5 (timepoint; ISO0% vs ISO25% vs ISO50% vs ISO75% vs 309 ISO100%). A repeated measures ANOVA was performed on each linear mixed model, when

significant ($p \le 0.05$), a Bonferroni *post hoc* analysis corrected for multiple comparisons was used to test for specific main effects between conditions and timepoints using the *emmeans* package (39). If there was a significant interaction ($p \le 0.05$), a 1 x 4 condition Bonferroni *post hoc* analysis performed at each specific timepoint to compare differences between conditions. Cohen's d (40) was used to calculate effect sizes for TTE data between conditions where descriptors of magnitude (41) are very small 0.01, small 0.2, medium 0.5, large 0.8, and very large 1.2.

317 Perceptual data (RPE, TC, TS) were analyzed using 4 (condition) x 2 ISO-timepoint 318 (ISO0%, ISO100%) repeated measures ANOVAs. As data was not normally distributed and 319 ordinal data, post hoc comparisons between conditions were also performed using a Wilcoxon-320 Signed Rank test at ISO0% and ISO100%. Motivation and shivering intensity were assessed 321 using a 1 x 4 (condition) Friedman's ANOVA with a Wilcoxon-Signed Rank test for post-hoc 322 analysis to compare between conditions. To reduce the likelihood of Type 1 error due to multiple 323 comparisons, α value was revised based on number of comparisons (total 6), therefore $p \le 0.008$ 324 was set for significance. All perceptual analyses are expressed as median (quartile 1 – quartile 3) 325 and were performed using SPSS statistics for Windows.

326 **Results**

327 **Thermal Manipulations** – Cooling times prior to performing the TTE were as follows: CS (30.0 328 ± 1.1 min), HYPO-0.5°C (116.0 ± 39.2 min) and HYPO-1.0°C (160.3 ± 32.3 min). We were

329 successful at creating a CS group (neutral core, cooled skin/shell) and two mild hypothermia

330 groups (reduced T_{re} and cold skin) compared to TN. There was a significant condition,

timepoint, and interaction effect (all p < 0.001) for T_{re} (Figure 1A), relative Δ T_{re} (Figure, 1B) and

332 \overline{T}_{skin} (Figure 1C) where pairwise comparisons demonstrated no difference at Baseline for each

334	(both $p < 0.001$) from HYPO-1.0°C that was maintained throughout the TTE. There were
335	significant differences (all $p < 0.02$) between TN and CS compared to HYPO-0.5°C from
336	ISO25% to the end of the TTE. Relative ΔT_{re} was significantly lower in HYPO-0.5°C and
337	HYPO-1.0°C compared to TN (all $p \le 0.004$) and CS (all $p \le 0.001$) at all TTE ISO timepoints.
338	Mean skin temperature was significantly lower than TN at all TTE ISO timepoints in CS,
339	HYPO-0.5°C, and HYPO-1.0°C (all $p \le 0.001$). Furthermore, HYPO-0.5°C, and HYPO-1.0°C
340	was significantly lower (all $p \le 0.01$) compared to CS at all TTE ISO timepoints with no
341	difference between HYPO-0.5°C, and HYPO-1.0°C (all $p > 0.05$).
342	[Insert Figure 1 About Here]
343	Partitional Calorimetry – There was a significant condition effect (all $p \le 0.018$) for \dot{M} (Figure
344	2A), $\dot{R} \pm \dot{C}_{skin}$ (Figure 2B), $\dot{E}_{resp} + \dot{C}_{resp}$ (Figure 2C), \dot{E}_{skin} (Figure 2D), \dot{S} (Figure 2E), and
345	HD (Figure 2F). Metabolic heat production (all $p \le 0.033$) was significantly higher in all cooling
346	conditions compared to TN, with \dot{M} significantly greater in HYPO-0.5°C and HYPO-1.0°C
347	compared to CS. Radiative and convective heat loss from the skin was significantly (all $p \le$
348	0.001) greater in all cold conditions compared to TN, with no differences (all $p = 1.000$) between
349	the cold conditions. Respiratory heat loss increased with cooling where each condition was
350	significantly different from each other (all $p \le 0.031$). Evaporative heat loss increased with
351	cooling (~2-3 W·m ²), however was only significantly different ($p = 0.007$) between TN and CS
352	only and approached significance between TN and HYPO-0.5°C ($p = 0.055$) and HYPO-1.0°C
353	($p = 0.055$). Heat storage was significantly (all $p \le 0.018$) reduced compared to TN (-23.4 ± 12.9

variable (all p = 1.00). For absolute T_{re}, at ISO0%, both TN and CS were significantly different

333

- 354 $W \cdot m^2$) in all cooling conditions. Heat storage was significantly (both $p \le 0.001$) lower in CS (-
- 355 87.0 \pm 13.6 W·m²) compared to HYPO-0.5°C (-54.0 \pm 17.9 W·m²) and HYPO-1.0°C (-41.0 \pm

356	12.6 W·m ²). The was a significant condition effect ($\eta_p^2 = 0.76, p < 0.001$) where heat debt was
357	greater in HYPO-1.0°C (-808.0 \pm 371.0 kJ), HYPO-0.5°C (-734.0 \pm 294.1 kJ), compared to TN
358	(-129.0 \pm 71.2 kJ, both $p < 0.001$). There were no differences in heat debt between CS (-328.0 \pm
359	65.2 kJ) and TN ($p = 0.172$). Both HYPO-0.5°C ($p = 0.005$) and HYPO-1.0°C ($p = 0.009$) were
360	lower compared to CS. There were no differences between HYPO-0.5°C and HYPO-1.0°C for
361	any variable used to calculate \dot{S} and HD (except for $\dot{E}_{resp} + \dot{C}_{resp}$). For Kcals expended, there
362	was a significant condition effect ($p \le 0.001$), where the number of Kcals expended were not
363	different between TN (64.0 \pm 11.2 kcals) and CS (72.4 \pm 6.2 kcals) (p = 1.00), but were
364	significantly increased in both HYPO-0.5°C (387.0 \pm 153.9 kcals) and HYPO-1.0°C (576.0 \pm
365	151.0 kcals) compared to TN and CS (all $p \le 0.001$. Participants expended more calories in
366	HYPO-1.0°C compared to HYPO-0.5°C ($p = 0.001$). In order to express relative shivering
367	intensity, % $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ was calculated from the final 10 minutes of each cooling period. There was
368	a significant condition effect ($p \le 0.001$) where all 3 cold conditions (CS: 14.0 ± 2.5% $\dot{V}O_{2 \text{ peak}}$,
369	HYPO-0.5°C: 19.1 ± 3.9% $\dot{V}O_{2 \text{ peak}}$, HYPO-1.0°C: 20.9 ± 3.3% $\dot{V}O_{2 \text{ peak}}$) were significantly
370	higher than TN (10.7 \pm 1.8% $\dot{V}O_{2 \text{ peak}}$; all $p < 0.05$) and both core cooling conditions were
371	higher than CS (both $p < 0.001$), but not different from each other.

372

[Insert Figure 2 About Here]



378 condition (p = 0.074) or interaction (p = 0.970) for cadence, where cadence declined over the 379 course of the TTE and was lower in ISO100% (all p < 0.05) compared to all other ISO 380 timepoints (Figure 3C).

381

[Insert Figure 3 About Here]

382 **Perceptual Variables** – There was a significant condition, and interaction (all p < 0.05) for RPE, 383 TS, and TC (Table 2). There was a significant iso-timepoint effect (both p < 0.05), where RPE 384 and TS increased over the course of the TTE. However, there was no condition effect for TC (p =385 0.399). Post-hoc comparisons are displayed in Table 2. RPE was significantly higher at ISO0% 386 in HYPO-1.0°C compared to TN, with no differences at ISO100% between conditions. Thermal 387 sensation was lower in all cold conditions compared to TN at ISO0% (all p < 0.007), while TS 388 remained lower at ISO100% in both core cooling conditions compared to TN and CS (all p < p389 0.007). Thermal comfort was higher (i.e., more uncomfortable) in both core cooling conditions 390 compared to TN (both p = 0.004) at ISO0%. Thermal comfort approached significance between 391 TN and CS (p = 0.013) and CS and HYPO-0.05°C (p = 0.020) at ISO0%, with no differences 392 between (all p > 0.007) at ISO100%. There was a significant condition effect (p < 0.001) for 393 shivering intensity where shivering was higher in the two core cooling conditions, with no 394 differences between TN and CS (p = 0.062, Table 2). There was a significant condition effect (p395 \leq 0.001) for motivation to perform TTE, however post-hoc comparisons determined there were 396 no difference between conditions (all $p \ge 0.011$) (Table 2).

397

[Insert Table 2 About Here]

398 Endurance Capacity - There was a significant condition effect ($p \le 0.001$, partial eta² = 0.66) 399 for TTE (Figure 4A) where endurance capacity decreased from TN (23.75 ± 13.75 min) in

400	HYPO-0.5°C (8.46 ± 5.23 min, Δ -61.4 ± 19.7%, $p \le 0.001$, $d = 1.27$), and HYPO-1.0°C (6.46 ±
401	5.60 min, Δ -71.6 ± 16.4%, $p \le 0.001$, $d = 1.44$), and approached significance in CS (16.22 ±
402	10.30, Δ -30.9 ± 21.5%, p = 0.055, d = 0.61). Furthermore, participants had a greater endurance
403	capacity in CS compared to HYPO-0.5°C ($p = 0.045$, $d = 0.87$), and HYPO-1.0°C ($p = 0.007$, $d = 0.007$,
404	1.09), with no differences between HYPO-0.5°C and HYPO-1.0°C ($p = 1.00, d = 0.22$). When
405	TTE is expressed as a $\%$ change from TN (Figure 4B), there was a significant condition effect (p
406	\leq 0.001) with a decrease (all $p \leq$ 0.001) in CS (Δ -30.9 ± 21.5%), HYPO-0.5°C (Δ -61.4 ± 19.7%),
407	HYPO-1.0°C (Δ -71.6 ± 16.4%). Both core cooling conditions had greater impairment compared
408	to CS (both $p \le 0.001$), with no differences between the two core cooling conditions ($p = 0.721$).
409	The average peak afterdrop in T_{re} over the course of the TTE were: TN (0.0 ± 0.1 °C), CS (0.1 ±
410	0.1°C), HYPO-0.5°C (0.2 ± 0.2 °C), HYPO-1.0°C (0.3 ± 0.2 °C).

411

[Insert Figure 4 About Here]

412 **Discussion**

413 In real-life scenarios such as acute exposure or survival situations in the cold, the first 414 experience faced by an individual is a reduction in skin temperature, occurring well before 415 significant changes to core temperature. If cold exposure continues, eventually core temperature 416 drops along with further skin cooling. Therefore, we aimed to determine if there was a dose-417 response of cold exposure on endurance capacity in cold (0°C) air; this was done by separating 418 and isolating the effects of a cold outer shell - without changes in core temperature - compared to 419 two levels of core cooling. Our first hypothesis was accepted as cooling just the shell by itself 420 without any core cooling was sufficient to increase physiological strain and caused a medium to 421 large reduction in endurance capacity by ~30% compared to thermoneutral. Our second 422 hypothesis was accepted as mild cooling of the core led to a very large impairment in capacity

423 with a further \sim 30-40% reduction compared to skin cooling alone. Our third hypothesis was 424 rejected as there were no differences between the two core cooling conditions. While we attempted to have two distinct doses of core cooling, the drop in core temperature and actual heat 425 426 debt incurred were similar, and this may have contributed to the similar endurance capacity. 427 Consensus for whether cold air by itself impairs exercise capacity is equivocal (20), as most 428 studies initiate exercise directly upon cold exposure. Thus, actual skin cooling and heat debt is 429 minimized and offset by the large and immediate endogenous metabolic heat production from 430 exercise. In the CS condition of the current study, participants were exposed to cold air for ~30 431 minutes before initiating the TTE, inducing redistribution of peripheral blood to core, as well as 432 significant reductions in \overline{T}_{skin} (~-7.6°C), and likely superficial muscle temperature (Quad skin 433 temperature of 23.1 ± 2.1 °C in CS versus 28.9 ± 1.1 °C in TN at ISO0%). Even though core 434 temperature did not significantly decrease, heat debt decreased ~200 kJ more than thermoneutral, 435 suggesting that cooling did occur. Furthermore, the rate of heat storage (S) was the lowest of all three cooling conditions, as there was a large decrease in \overline{T}_{skin} with initial cold exposure (due to 436 437 vasoconstriction and $\dot{R} \pm \dot{C}_{skin}$ heat loss) compared to a relatively minor increase in shivering 438 thermogenesis (\dot{M}) (42). Overall, there was a significant reduction in TTE time by ~31% 439 indicating CS alone and exercising in inadequate clothing can limit overall endurance capacity in 440 cold air. Impairment was not uniform, with a wide range of responses from -64% for one 441 participant to another improving performance by +6%, however, these two individuals were 2/3442 lowest TTEs in TN, which may explain their variability in this condition. There was likely strong 443 vasoconstriction with our average \overline{T}_{skin} of ~25.2°C at ISO0%, as maximal vasoconstriction occurs at \overline{T}_{skin} of ~29.5-30°C (32). This likely impaired performance through decreased blood 444 445 flow to working muscles and superficial muscle cooling. For example, it has previously been

446 reported that 15-min of 12°C cold-water leg immersion decreased maximal power (13.7%) and 447 average power (9.5%) during a 30-s cycling sprint in thermoneutral conditions (43). Our data 448 thus highlight the importance of preventing shell cooling, supported by observations that wearing 449 a heated vest for 25-min of rest in cold air (8°C) prevented core and skin temperature decreases 450 compared to wearing a tracksuit, eliciting a $\sim 1.1\%$ improvement in subsequent rowing time trial 451 performance (17). Together, these results indicate that skin/shell cooling by itself combined with 452 inadequate clothing can impair endurance capacity in cold air, though the magnitude of this 453 response may vary widely across individuals.

454 With continued cold exposure, core cooling itself can occur and may further negatively 455 impact exercise capacity. In the current study, core cooling led to a large impairment in 456 endurance capacity beyond just cooling the shell alone. The overall absolute magnitude of core 457 temperature cooling was relatively small (ranged 35.6°C to 36.9°C at ISO0% in HYPO-1.0°C) 458 which is above clinical hypothermia (\leq 35°C core temperature) and in some individuals within a 459 normothermic range. However, it is clear that individuals were cold strained as relative to TN 460 and CS, both core cooling conditions induced significant reductions in \overline{T}_{skin} , relative T_{re} , and 461 thermal discomfort, increased shivering, along with prolonged negative heat storage and greater 462 heat debt prior to exercise. Pre-exercise shivering – measured as average M in the partitional 463 calorimetry calculations - was about two-fold greater in both core cooling conditions than in TN 464 or CS. At the end of the cooling periods, the relative intensity of \dot{M} was ~19% and 21% of peak 465 oxygen consumption in HYPO-0.5°C and HYPO-1.0°C, respectively, compared to ~10% in TN. 466 Thus, one potential mechanism for impairment may be reduced motor coordination or altered 467 motor unit recruitment strategies within musculature from the asynchronous shivering 468 contractions. Shivering primarily occurs in trunk and thigh muscles where continuous low

469 intensity shivering (~2-5% maximal voluntary contraction) recruit primarily Type I muscle 470 fibers, while high intensity bursts (~7-15% of maximal voluntary contraction) recruit Type II 471 muscle fibers (For review see: (44)) and were very likely similar muscle types required for our 472 submaximal test workload. Further, local cooling of muscles decreased maximal voluntary force 473 while altering motor unit contractile characteristics and recruitment patterns (7). Collectively, the 474 pre-exercise shivering may have impaired endurance capacity through a direct influence on 475 muscle capacity. However, future studies are needed measuring muscle temperature, muscle 476 activity/recruitment (e.g., using electromyography), or biomechanical analyses of the pedal 477 stroke to fully elucidate this mechanism. Beyond colder muscles alone or changes in motor 478 coordination from shivering, another mechanism of impairment may be a competition between 479 metabolic demands of exercise itself versus that from shivering. Comparing pre-cooling to a 480 sustained 40% of peak shivering versus no pre-cooling, Gagnon et al. (3) reported a reduction in 481 treadmill speed in order to maintain a constant metabolic demand of either light or moderate 482 exercise intensities of 50 or 70% peak oxygen uptake, respectively. In both Gagnon et al. (3) and 483 the current study, endogenous heat production from exercise appears insufficient to compensate 484 for the large heat debt, and shivering throughout subsequent exercise likely contributed to further 485 decreases in exercise capacity in both core cooling conditions compared to the cold shell 486 condition. Lastly, another potential mechanism for impaired performance with core cooling is 487 decreased oxygen availability caused by a reduction in muscle blood flow and oxygen diffusion 488 due to a leftward shift of the oxygen disassociation curve (1, 2, 14). We have previously 489 determined that the use of hyperoxia (40% oxygen) can counter declines in 15 km cycling time-490 trial performance in cold air with a 0.5°C reduction in core temperature. However, it is currently

491 unknown if manipulation of oxygen availability can also influence endurance capacity in the492 cold (1).

493 Our previous study reported an approximate 6% reduction in average wattage in 15 km 494 cycling time trial performance with a 0.5° C decrease in core temperature (1), and we aimed to 495 extend this range with a dose response of core cooling. Across a range of core cooling, Bergh and Ekblom (15) reported a 20%.°C⁻¹ linear reduction in maximal work time below a threshold 496 497 esophageal and muscle temperature of 37.5°C and 38°C, respectively through to absolute core 498 temperature reductions to ~35°C. We extend their findings by demonstrating an average 499 reduction of TTE by 72% with a 1°C decrease in core temperature. However, we did not find a 500 similar linear decrease with core temperature. Despite our pre-experimental target of a $0.5^{\circ}C T_{re}$ 501 difference between the two core cooling conditions, there was no statistical significance in HD at 502 the end of cooling, nor in core temperature or skin temperature at ISO0%. The lack of HD 503 differences may be due to continued core cooling increasing shivering drive, as M progressively 504 increases and is near maximal at a core temperature of ~35°C (45), while reductions in \overline{T}_{skin} 505 decreases the thermal gradient between the skin and environment reducing convective heat loss 506 during prolonged cooling (34). Though these individual partitional calorimetry components 507 averaged over the cooling period were non-significant in our calculations, they may still have 508 been sufficient to moderate any heat storage differences and slow down the further accumulation 509 of HD between the two core cooling conditions in the later portion of the cooling period. The use 510 of partitional calorimetry was advantageous in the study beyond thermometry measures to index 511 cold strain, as HD and TTE impairment was similar between the core cooling conditions, 512 indicating that the amount of cold accumulated prior to exercise influenced exercise capacity as 513 opposed to cooling time per say (38% longer in HYPO-1.0°C compared to HYPO-0.5°C). More

research is needed on testing partitional calorimetry tools and calculations as a majority of research occurs in hot environments (31) to provide a better understanding of heat balance in the cold.

517 There are several considerations and limitations in the current study limiting the 518 understanding of cold on performance. Peak power output was determined with an incremental 519 step protocol as opposed to an incremental ramp protocol where peak power output may have 520 been underestimated (46). Furthermore, we did not calculate critical power or functional 521 threshold power for our participants. This information would be valuable in determining which 522 intensity threshold individuals were cycling in and could aid in explaining the wide inter-523 individual variability in TTE. Future work is needed to determine how endurance capacity at 524 different exercise intensity is affected by cold stress, where potential lower intensity exercise is 525 less affected and may better increase core temperature following cooling (47). Data collection 526 was performed over the winter and spring months (November to May), where potential cold 527 acclimation may have influenced cooling responses, however, based on participants' activity 528 history is unlikely that they were cold acclimated. Any potential cold acclimation may not 529 significantly impacted exercise performance, as recently Jones et al. (10) found that cold 530 acclimation following 7 days of cold-water immersion (controlled by time and change in core 531 temperature) did not mitigate the decrements in 20-min self-paced time-trial performance in 532 thermoneutral conditions induced by a reduction in core temperature by $\sim \Delta$ -1.5°C. We 533 demonstrated an average T_{re} afterdrop of Δ -0.2-0.3°C during the TTEs in the core cooling 534 conditions likely caused from the skeletal muscle pump moving cooler blood from the periphery 535 to the core and warmer blood from the core towards the working muscle (20, 34). However, 536 there is potential that the afterdrop was underestimated, where esophogeal temperature would be 537 more accurate representation of organ temperature and can better respond to changes in core 538 temperature compared to rectal temperature (48). The cardiovascular fluid shift is challenging to 539 model (34) and we cannot account for if this shift caused an independent effect on TTE 540 performance (e.g., through systemic vasoconstriction, decreased brain temperature). 541 Furthermore, no blood measures were collected in this study, and it is unknown how plasma 542 glucose and lactate levels changed in response to the cooling protocols that may have influenced 543 TTE performance. Lastly, this study is limited to males as no females were used in the current 544 study to control against fluctuations in resting core temperature during the menstrual cycle. On 545 average, females have a lower body mass, height, body surface area, and greater body fat 546 percentage compared to males and have a higher core temperature during the luteal phase that 547 may influence cutaneous vasoconstriction, shivering and non-shivering thermogenesis (49) 548 leading to potential sex-related differences in cooling times. However, based on the current 549 study, regardless of cooling time or starting core temperature, core cooling impaired endurance 550 capacity, potentially indicating that these sex-related differences may not influence endurance 551 impairment. However, future research is needed to determine sex-related differences and if the 552 menstrual cycle influences whole-body cooling and endurance capacity in the cold.

In summary, we determined a dose-response for cooling and endurance capacity where cooling of the shell reduced mean endurance capacity by ~30% compared to thermoneutral, and core cooling further reduced capacity by and additional ~30-40%. From an applied perspective, these data give insight into the magnitude of impairment from cold that may be useful for modeling work capacity or survival and indicate that individuals should prevent declines in shell and/or core temperature prior to performing sustained work or exercise in the cold. Furthermore, impairments in endurance capacity occur with relatively mild core cooling, well before 560 individuals reach clinical hypothermia (core temperature \leq 35°C). Future research is needed to

561 investigate the high inter-individual variability in both cooling response and exercise tolerance.

562 The current results improve our understanding of exercise responses in the cold and may help

563 develop effective countermeasures to improve exercise and capacity in the cold.

564 Acknowledgements

565 The authors expressed their gratitude to participants for their efforts and enthusiasm throughout 566 the study.

567 Grants

568 This study was supported by a Discovery grant from the Natural Science and Engineering 569 Research Council (NSERC) of Canada (SSC, 2018-04077). PJW was supported through a 570 NSERC Doctoral (PGS D) scholarship, Ontario Graduate Scholarship, and Queen Elizabeth II 571 Graduate Scholarship in Science & Technology over the course of this research.

572 **Conflict of Interest**

- 573 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
- 574 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

575 Author Contributions

- 576 All authors contributed to the conception and design of the research study; PJW, JGN, JL, and
- 577 NS piloted and performed the experiments. PJW and GLH performed the statistical analysis. All
- 578 authors interpreted the results of the study. PJW and SSC drafted the manuscript. All authors
- 579 edited, revised, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

580 List of Figures

- 581 Figure 1 Thermoregulatory responses for absolute rectal temperature (Panel A), delta rectal
- temperature (Panel B), and mean skin temperature (Panel C) at baseline and isolated percent
- 583 completion points (ISO) of the TTE (all n = 10 males). All data presented as mean \pm SD. Each
- variable was analyzed using a 6 Time X 4 Condition linear mixed model repeated measures
- ANOVA. All variables demonstrated a significant interaction where pairwise comparisons can be interpreted as a = difference between TN and CS, b = difference between TN and HYPO-
- be interpreted as a = difference between TN and CS, b = difference between TN and HYPO-587 0.5°C, c = difference between TN and HYPO-1.0°C, d = difference between CS and HYPO-
- 0.5° C, e = difference between TN and HTPO-1.0°C, f = difference between HYPO-0.5°C and HYPO-1.0°C, f = difference between HYPO-0.5°C and
- 588 0.5 C, c = difference between CS and HTFO-1.0 C, 1 = difference between HTFO-0.5 C and 589 HYPO-1.0 C. Legend: TN = thermoneutral, CS = Cold Skin/Shell, HYPO-0.5 C = mild core
- 590 cooling (hypothermia) of Δ -0.5°C from baseline, HYPO-1.0°C = mild core cooling
- 591 (hypothermia) of Δ -1.0°C from baseline.
- 592 **Figure 2** Average metabolic heat production (Panel A), average radiative and convective heat
- 593 loss from skin (Panel B), average combined convective and average evaporative heat loss from
- 594 respiratory tract (Panel C), average evaporative heat loss from skin (Panel D), average heat
- storage (Panel E) and cumulative heat debt (Panel F) over the cooling period prior to the time-to-
- 596 exhaustion- test of the 4 experimental trials (all n = 10 males). The bar data are presented as
- 597 mean \pm SD, while individual values are plotted with a unique symbol for each participant
- 598 consistent between figures. Each variable was analyzed using a 1 X 4 Condition linear mixed
- 599 model repeated measures ANOVA. There was a significant condition effect, where pairwise
- 600 comparisons can be interpreted as: TN = different from TN, CS = different from CS, HYPO-601 $0.5^{\circ}C = different$ from HYPO-0.5°C and HYPO-1.0°C = HYPO-1.0°C. Legend: TN =
- $0.5^{\circ}C = different from HYPO-0.5^{\circ}C$ and HYPO-1.0°C = HYPO-1.0°C. Legend: 1N = thermoneutral, CS = Cold Skin/Shell, HYPO-0.5°C = mild core cooling (hypothermia) of Δ-
- 603 0.5° C from baseline, HYPO-1.0°C = mild core cooling (hypothermia) of Δ -1.0°C from baseline.
- 604 **Figure 3** Cardiorespiratory responses for heart rate (Panel A, n = 9 males), oxygen
- 605 consumption (Panel B, n = 10 males) and cadence (Panel C, n = 10 males). All data presented as
- 606 mean \pm SD. Each variable was tested with a 5 Time X 4 Condition linear mixed model repeated
- 607 measures ANOVA. If a significant interaction occurred, pairwise comparisons can be interpreted
- as a = difference between TN and CS, b = difference between TN and HYPO-0.5°C, c =
- difference between TN and HYPO-1.0°C, d = difference between CS and HYPO-0.5°C, e =
- difference between CS and HYPO-1.0°C, f = difference between HYPO-0.5°C and HYPO-
- 611 1.0° C. If a significant time effect occurred, pairwise comparisons can be interpreted as: 1 =
- 612 different from ISO0%, 2 = different from ISO25%, 3 = different from ISO50%, 4 = different
- from ISO75%, and 5 different from ISO100%. Legend: TN = thermoneutral, CS = Cold
- 614 Skin/Shell, HYPO-0.5°C = mild core cooling (hypothermia) of Δ -0.5°C from baseline, HYPO-
- 615 $1.0^{\circ}\text{C} = \text{mild core cooling (hypothermia) of } \Delta 1.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ from baseline.
- 616 **Figure 4** Time to exhaustion (Panel A) and % change in TTE (Panel B) over the 4
- 617 experimental conditions (both n = 10 males). The bar data are presented as mean \pm SD, while
- 618 individual values are plotted with a unique symbol for each participant consistent between
- 619 figures. Each variable was analyzed using a 1 X 4 Condition linear mixed model repeated
- 620 measures ANOVA. There was a significant condition effect for both variables, where pairwise
- 621 comparisons can be interpreted as: TN = different from TN, CS = different from CS, HYPO-
- 622 $0.5^{\circ}C = different \text{ from HYPO-}0.5^{\circ}C \text{ and HYPO-}1.0^{\circ}C = HYPO-1.0^{\circ}C.$ Legend: TN =

- 623 thermoneutral, CS = Cold Skin/Shell, HYPO-0.5°C = mild core cooling (hypothermia) of Δ-
- 624 0.5°C from baseline, HYPO-1.0°C = mild core cooling (hypothermia) of Δ -1.0°C from baseline.

625 **References**

- Ferguson SAH, Eves ND, Roy BD, Hodges GJ, Cheung SS. Effects of mild whole body
 hypothermia on self-paced exercise performance. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 125: 479–
 485, 2018. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01134.2017.
- 629 2. Hodges GJ, Ferguson SAH, Cheung SS. Glabrous and non-glabrous vascular responses to
 630 mild hypothermia. *Microvascular Research* 121: 82–86, 2019. doi:
 631 10.1016/j.mvr.2018.10.006.
- Gagnon DD, Rintamäki H, Gagnon SS, Oksa J, Porvari K, Cheung SS, Herzig K-H,
 Kyröläinen H. Fuel selection during short-term submaximal treadmill exercise in the cold
 is not affected by pre-exercise low-intensity shivering. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism* 39: 282–291, 2014. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2013-0061.
- 4. Haman F, Mantha OL, Cheung SS, DuCharme MB, Taber M, Blondin DP, McGarr
 GW, Hartley GL, Hynes Z, Basset FA. Oxidative fuel selection and shivering
 thermogenesis during a 12- and 24-h cold-survival simulation. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 120: 640–648, 2016. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00540.2015.
- 640 5. Oksa J, Rintamäki H, Rissanen S. Muscle performance and electromyogram activity of
 641 the lower leg muscles with different levels of cold exposure. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup*642 *Physiol* 75: 484–490, 1997. doi: 10.1007/s004210050193.
- 643 6. Oksa J, Ducharme MB, Rintamäki H. Combined effect of repetitive work and cold on muscle function and fatigue. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 92: 354–361, 2002.
- 645 7. Mallette MM, Cheung SS, Kumar RI, Hodges GJ, Holmes MWR, Gabriel DA. The
 646 effects of local forearm heating and cooling on motor unit properties during submaximal
 647 contractions. *Experimental Physiology* 106: 200–211, 2021. doi:
 648 https://doi.org/10.1113/EP088256.
- 649 8. Galloway SD, Maughan RJ. Effects of ambient temperature on the capacity to perform
 650 prolonged cycle exercise in man. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 29: 1240–1249, 1997.
- 9. Parkin JM, Carey MF, Zhao S, Febbraio MA. Effect of ambient temperature on human
 skeletal muscle metabolism during fatiguing submaximal exercise. *Journal of applied physiology* 86: 902–908, 1999.
- In Jones DM, Roelands B, Bailey SP, Buono MJ, Meeusen R. Impairment of exercise
 performance following cold water immersion is not attenuated after 7 days of cold
 acclimation. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 118: 1189–1197, 2018. doi: 10.1007/s00421-018-3848-5.
- I1. Joyner MJ, Coyle EF. Endurance exercise performance: the physiology of champions:
 Factors that make champions. *The Journal of Physiology* 586: 35–44, 2008. doi:
 10.1113/jphysiol.2007.143834.

- 660 12. Sidell BD. Intracellular Oxygen Diffusion: the Roles of Myoglobin and Lipid at Cold Body
 661 Temperature. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 201: 1119–1128, 1998. doi:
 662 10.1242/jeb.201.8.1119.
- 663 13. Bennett AF. Temperature and muscle. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 115: 333–344,
 664 1985. doi: 10.1242/jeb.115.1.333.
- 665 14. Gagnon DD, Peltonen JE, Rintamäki H, Gagnon SS, Herzig K-H, Kyröläinen H. The
 666 effects of skin and core tissue cooling on oxygenation of the vastus lateralis muscle during
 667 walking and running. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 35: 1995–2004, 2017. doi:
 668 10.1080/02640414.2016.1245436.
- 15. Thorsson O, Lilja B, Ahlgren L, Hemdal B, Westlin N. The Effect of Local Cold
 Application on Intramuscular Blood Flow at Rest and After Exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 17: 710–713, 1985.
- Imai D, Takeda R, Suzuki A, Naghavi N, Yamashina Y, Ota A, Matsumura S,
 Yokoyama H, Miyagawa T, Okazaki K. Effects of skin surface cooling before exercise
 on lactate accumulation in cool environment. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 118: 551–562, 2018. doi:
 10.1007/s00421-017-3797-4.
- 676 17. Cowper G, Barwood M, Goodall S. Improved 2000-m Rowing Performance in a Cool
 677 Environment With an External Heating Garment. *International Journal of Sports*678 *Physiology and Performance* 16: 103–109, 2020. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2019-0923.
- 18. Hodges GJ, Ferguson SAH, Cheung SS. Cardiac autonomic function during hypothermia
 and its measurement repeatability. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism* 44: 31–
 36, 2019. doi: 10.1139/apnm-2018-0248.
- Bergh U, Ekblom B. Physical performance and peak aerobic power at different body
 temperatures. *J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol* 46: 885–889, 1979.
- 684 20. Castellani JW, Tipton MJ. Cold stress effects on exposure tolerance and exercise
 685 performance. *Compr Physiol* 6: 443–469, 2016. doi: 10.1002/cphy.c140081.
- Cramer MN, Jay O. Selecting the correct exercise intensity for unbiased comparisons of
 thermoregulatory responses between groups of different mass and surface area. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 116: 1123–1132, 2014. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01312.2013.
- 689 22. Cramer MN, Jay O. Biophysical aspects of human thermoregulation during heat stress.
 690 Autonomic Neuroscience 196: 3–13, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.autneu.2016.03.001.
- 691 23. Vallerand AL, Savourey G, Bittel JH. Determination of heat debt in the cold: partitional
 692 calorimetry vs. conventional methods. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 72: 1380–1385, 1992.
 693 doi: 10.1152/jappl.1992.72.4.1380.
- 694 24. Bittel JH. Heat debt as an index for cold adaptation in men. *Journal of Applied Physiology*695 62: 1627–1634, 1987. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1987.62.4.1627.

- Tikuisis P, McCracken DH, Radomski MW. Heat debt during cold air exposure before
 and after cold water immersions. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 71: 60–68, 1991. doi:
 10.1152/jappl.1991.71.1.60.
- 699 26. Savourey G, Bittel J. Thermoregulatory changes in the cold induced by physical training
 700 in humans. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 78: 379–384, 1998. doi:
 701 10.1007/s004210050434.
- 702 27. Matthews G, Campbell SE, Falconer S. Assessment of Motivational States in
 703 Performance Environments. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society* 704 *Annual Meeting* 45: 906–910, 2001. doi: 10.1177/154193120104501302.
- 705 28. Gagge AP, Stolwijk JAJ, Hardy JD. Comfort and thermal sensations and associated
 706 physiological responses at various ambient temperatures. *Environmental Research* 1: 1–20,
 707 1967. doi: 10.1016/0013-9351(67)90002-3.
- Borg GA. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 14: 377–381,
 1982.
- Hardy JD, Du Bois, EF, Soderstrom, GF. The Technic of Measuring of Radiation and convection. *J Nutr* : 461–475, 1938. doi: 10.1093/jn/15.5. 461.
- 712 31. Cramer MN, Jay O. Partitional calorimetry. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 126: 267–277,
 713 2019. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00191.2018.
- Haman F, Péronnet F, Kenny GP, Massicotte D, Lavoie C, Weber J-M. Partitioning
 oxidative fuels during cold exposure in humans: muscle glycogen becomes dominant as
 shivering intensifies: Fuel selection and shivering intensity. *The Journal of Physiology* 566:
 247–256, 2005. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2005.086272.
- 33. Gibbons TD, Tymko MM, Thomas KN, Wilson LC, Stembridge M, Caldwell HG,
 Howe CA, Hoiland RL, Akerman AP, Dawkins TG, Patrician A, Coombs GB, Gasho
 C, Stacey BS, Ainslie PN, Cotter JD. Global REACH 2018: The influence of acute and
 chronic hypoxia on cerebral haemodynamics and related functional outcomes during cold
 and heat stress. *The Journal of Physiology* 598: 265–284, 2020. doi: 10.1113/JP278917.
- 34. Xu X, Tikuisis P. Thermoregulatory Modeling for Cold Stress. *Comprehensive Physiology*4: 25, 2014.
- Ramanathan NL. A new weighting system for mean surface temperature of the human
 body. *J Appl Physiol* 19: 531–533, 1964.
- R Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing [Online]. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria: 2021. https://www.R-project.org/.
- 37. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models using
 10. Ime4 [Online]. arXiv: 2014. http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.5823 [27 Jun. 2023].

- 731 38. Fox J, Weisberg S. An R companion to applied regression. 3rd ed. 3rd ed: Sage
 732 Publications, 2019.
- 39. Lenth R. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means [Online]. 2022.
 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans.
- 40. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. Hillsdale, NJ, USA:
 Lawrence Erlbaum, 1998.
- 41. Sawilowsky SS. New effect size rules of thumb. J Mod Appl Stat Meth 8: 467–474, 2009.
- 42. Greaney JL, Alexander LM, Kenney WL. Sympathetic control of reflex cutaneous
 vasoconstriction in human aging. *Journal of Applied Physiology* 119: 771–782, 2015. doi:
 10.1152/japplphysiol.00527.2015.
- 43. Schniepp J, Campbell TS, Powell KL, Pincivero DM. The Effects of Cold-Water
 Immersion on Power Output and Heart Rate in Elite Cyclists: *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research* 16: 561–566, 2002. doi: 10.1519/00124278-200211000-00012.
- 44. Haman F, Blondin DP. Shivering thermogenesis in humans: Origin, contribution and metabolic requirement. *Temperature* 4: 217–226, 2017. doi: 10.1080/23328940.2017.1328999.
- 45. Eyolfson DA, Tikuisis P, Xu X, Weseen G, Giesbrecht GG. Measurement and prediction
 of peak shivering intensity in humans. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 84: 100–
 106, 2001. doi: 10.1007/s004210000329.
- 46. Michalik K, Danek N, Zatori. Assessment of the physical fitness of road cyclists in the step and ramp protocols of the incremental test. *The Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness* 59: 1285–1291, 2019.
- 47. Castellani JW, Eglin CM, Ikäheimo TM, Montgomery H, Paal P, Tipton MJ. ACSM
 Expert Consensus Statement: Injury Prevention and Exercise Performance during ColdWeather Exercise. *Curr Sports Med Rep* 20: 594–607, 2021. doi:
 10.1249/JSR.000000000000907.
- Vanggaard L, Eyolfson DA, Xu X, Wesseen G, Giesbrecht GG. Immersion of Distal
 Arms and Legs in Warm Water (AVA Rewarming) Effectively Rewarms Mildly
 Hypothermic Humans. 70: 1081–1088, 1999.
- Greenfield AM, Charkoudian N, Alba BK. Influences of ovarian hormones on
 physiological responses to cold in women. *Temperature* 9: 23–45, 2021. doi:
 10.1080/23328940.2021.1953688.
- 763



Downloaded from journals physiology.org/journal/jappi at Waskylan Yliopisto (130.234.090.154) on November 21, 2023.



Downloaded from journals.physiology. Trypournals.physiology. Trypournals.physiology.physio



THYPONSC HYPOINC

Ċ

-150

Ś





Experimental Conditions



Experimental Conditions Dowinoaded from journals.physiology.org/journal/jappl at Jyaskylan Yliopisto (130.234.090.154) of Experimental Conditions



Variable	Mean ± SD
Age (years)	27 ± 9.8
Mass (kg)	77.9 ± 10.6
Height (cm)	178.6 ± 3.7
Body Surface Area (m ²)	1.93 ± 0.12
Body Fat (%)	13.3 ± 5.0
Peak oxygen consumption $(mL \cdot kg \cdot min^{-1})$	47.6 ± 6.6
Absolute Peak Power Output (W)	283.0 ± 20.6
Relative Peak Power Output (W/kg)	3.7 ± 0.66

Table 1- Participant characteristics presented as mean \pm SD.

Variable	TN	CS	HYPO-0.5°C	HYPO-1.0°C
Datings of Dayasir	rad Exartian (6.20)*		
Katings of Perceiv	ed Exertion (0-20)"		
ISO0%	9.5 (8-11) ^d	10.5 (8.5-11)	12 (9.75-13)	$12.5 (11-14.25)^{a}$
ISO100%	20 (18.5-20)	20 (17-20)	20 (19.25-20)	20 (19-20)
Thermal Comfort	: (1-4)*			
ISO0%	$1(1-1.25)^{cd}$	$2(2-3.25)^{d}$	$4(3-4)^{a}$	$4(4-4)^{ab}$
ISO100%	2 (1.75-3)	2 (2-3)	3.5 (2.75-4)	4 (2.75-4)
Thermal Sensation (1-7)*				
ISO0%	$4(3.75-4.5)^{bcd}$	$2(1-3)^{a}$	$1(1-1.25)^{a}$	$1(1-1)^{a}$
ISO100%	$6 (4-6)^{cd}$	$4.5(3-6)^{cd}$	$1(1-2.25)^{ab}$	$1(1-2)^{ab}$
Shivering Scale (0-4)*				
Pre-TTE	$0(0-0)^{bcd}$	$0.5 (0-2)^{acd}$	3 (2.75-4) ^{ab}	$3(2.75-4)^{ab}$
Motivation (0-4)*				
Pre-TTE	3(2-4)	3.5 (2-4)	2.5 (1-3)	2 (0-4)

Table 2 – Perceptual responses collected during the TTE at ISO0% and ISO100% presented as median (Quartile 1 – Quartile 3) for the four experimental conditions (all n = 10 males). TN – Thermoneutral, CS = Cold Skin/Shell, HYPO-0.5°C = mild hypothermia of Δ -0.5°C from Baseline, HYPO-1.0°C = mild hypothermia of Δ -1.0°C from Baseline. * indicates a significant condition effect (p < 0.05) using a 2 X 2 repeated measures ANOVA or 1 X 4 repeated measures ANOVA for motivation. Post-hoc comparisons using Wilcoxon signed rank tests are <u>-at can be</u> interpreted as: ^a significantly different (p < 0.008) from TN, ^b significantly different from CS, ^c significantly different from HYPO-0.5°C, ^d significantly different from HYPO-1.0°C.



Dose-Dependent Impact of Skin/ Core Cooling on Endurance Capacity % 251 ** tio -25 -50-Tim Δ -75-○ • • • in -100-00-100-CS TN U -125 Rows Rouge

Skin cooling by itself impaired exercise capacity ~30%; core cooling impaired another ~30-40%

Downloaded from journals.physiology.org/journal/jappl at Jyaskylan Yliopisto (130.234.090.154) on November 21, 2023



Experimental Conditions





