

# This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Martikainen, Susanna; Salovaara, Samuel; Ylönen, Katri; Tynkkynen, Elina; Viitanen, Johanna; Tyllinen, Mari; Lääveri, Tinja

**Title:** Social welfare professionals willing to participate in client information system development: Results from a large cross-sectional survey

**Year:** 2022

**Version:** Accepted version (Final draft)

**Copyright:** © 2022 Taylor & Francis

Rights: CC BY-NC 4.0

**Rights url:** https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Please cite the original version:

Martikainen, S., Salovaara, S., Ylönen, K., Tynkkynen, E., Viitanen, J., Tyllinen, M., & Lääveri, T. (2022). Social welfare professionals willing to participate in client information system development: Results from a large cross-sectional survey. Informatics for Health and Social Care, 47(4), 389-402. https://doi.org/10.1080/17538157.2021.2010736


## Figures and tables

**Table 1.** Development-related questions in the questionnaire.


**Table 2.** Data characteristics (n=1145).

	n	%
Age	1143	
< 35	245	21.4
35-44	357	31.2
45-54	307	26.9
< 55	234	20.5
Education	1125	
Master's degree or higher	380	33.8
Bachelor's degree	586	52.1
College-level education	159	14.1
Gender	1138	
Female	1068	93.3
Male	67	5.9
Other	3	0.3
Employment sector	1145	
Public sector	911	79.6
Private sector or non-governmental organizations	193	16.9
Healthcare sector	32	2.8
Unemployed	9	0.8
Specialty	1139	


Child welfare	398	35.0
Services for working age	194	17
Elderly services	125	11
Family law services	16	1.4
Services for the disabled	184	16.2
Services for the substance abusers	35	3.1
Generalist	86	7.6
Other	101	8.9
Environment	1144	
Non-institutional care	783	68.4
Emergency services	33	2.9
Institutional services	266	23.3
Healthcare	62	5.4
Role	1140	
Managers	157	13.8
Social workers	302	26.5
Social counsellors	343	30.1
Other	338	29.6




**Figure 1.** Experiences with providing feedback. Percentage of those who agree with the statement. Percentages for those who disagree and exact numbers of respondents per statement are provided in Supplementary table 1.



**Figure 2.** Participation in IS development work. Exact numbers of respondents are provided in Supplementary table 3.



**Figure 3.** Preferred methods of participation in IS development. Percentage of those who agree with the statement. Percentages for those who disagree and exact numbers of respondents per statement are provided in Supplementary table 2.



**Figure 4.** Participation in IS development work by age group. Exact numbers of respondents are provided in Supplementary table 4.

### **Supplementary table 1.** Feedback

	who abou	.A I know m I can s t the syst o so. (Mis	end fee em if I	dback wish to	intere	The systested in fe ystem pr users. (N	eedbac ovided	k about by the	imple chang the	C The systements controlled to the controlled to	orrection ts acco ns of th	ons and rding to ne end	Q1.D Corrections and change requests are implemented within a reasonable time frame. (Missing N=36)				
	Agree Disagree				Agree Disagree					gree	Disa	agree	Αg	gree	Disagree		
	N	%	N	%	N	N %		%	N %		N	%	N	%	N	%	
Leader or manager	104	67 %	42	27 %	58	37 %	45	29 %	40	26 %	56	36 %	26	17 %	73	47 %	
Social worker	166	55 %	108	35 %	47	16 %	127	43 %	41	14 %	130	44 %	31	10 %	156	53 %	
Social counselor	189	55 %	118	35 %	68	21 %	113	34 %	64	19 %	110	33 %	42	13 %	145	44 %	
Other	178	53 %	111	33 %	76	23 %	112	34 %	60	18 %	115	35 %	50	15 %	139	42 %	
Total	637	56 %	379	34 %	249	22 %	397	36 %	205	18 %	411	37 %	149	13 %	513	46 %	
p value		0,0	)47			0,0	00			0,0	11		0,089				

#### Supplementary table 2. Development

	showi how I	ng softv work an tware-re	be intere vare dev id descril elated ne ng N=26)	elopers bing my eeds.	participating in a development work group made up of other system end					providing suggestions and feedback about how the software can be designed and changed to the vendor using a dedicated website.				viding s ack abo are can nanged	be intere uggestio ut how t be desig to the ve Missing I	ns and he ned endor	telling inform develo organi related	would be the perso ation syst pment fo zation about the problem	on in char ems r the out usage	ge of	Q3.F I am not interested in participating. (Missing N=26)				
	Λα	ree	Dica	gree	Agree Disagree				(Missing N=26)  Agree Disagree				Λα	ree	Disa	gree	N=26)  Agree Disagree				Λσ	ree	Disa	gree	
	N N	%	N	%	N N	%	N	%	N	ree %	N	%	N N	%	N	%	N N	ree %	N	%	N N	%	N	%	
Leader or manager	50	33 %	130	67 %	43	28 %	110	72 %	21	14 %	132	86 %	44	29 %	109	71 %	85	56 %	68	44 %	18	12 %	135	88 %	
Social worker	138	46 %	160	54 %	82	28 %	216	72 %	51	17 %	247	83 %	84	28 %	214	72 %	178	60 %	120	40 %	33	11 %	265	89 %	
Social counselor	110	33 %	226	67 %	88	26 %	248	74 %	49	15 %	287	85 %	80	24 %	256	76 %	180	54 %	156	46 %	63	19 %	273	81 %	
Other	84	25 %	248	75 %	58	17 %	274	83 %	44	13 %	290	87 %	71	21 %	261	79 %	149	45 %	183	55 %	101	30 %	231	70 %	
Total	382	34 %	737	66 %	271	24 %	848	76 %	163	15 %	956	85 %	279	25 %	840	75 %	592	53 %	527	47 %	215	19 %	904	81 %	
p value	0,000 0,007								0,4	154		0,148					0,0		0,000						

#### **Supplementary table 3.** Participation

		Α	lot	A lit	ttle	Not at all			
	Total	N	%	N	%	N	%		
Total	1129	96	9 %	470	42 %	563	50 %		
Leader or manager	156	22	14 %	90	58 %	44	28 %		
Social worker	299	27	9 %	140	47 %	132	44 %		
Social counsellor	337	22	7 %	134	40 %	181	54 %		
Other	337	25	7 %	106	31 %	206	61 %		

p value 0,000, Missing N=16

**Supplementary table 4.** Comparison of age groups of participation in development of CIS.

		Α	lot	A li	ttle	Not at all				
	Total	N	%	N	%	N	%			
Total	1134	96	8 %	471	42 %	567	50 %			
< 35	244	19	8 %	87	36 %	138	57 %			
35-44	353	30	8 %	161	46 %	162	46 %			
45-54	303	31	10 %	136	45 %	136	45 %			
>55	232	16	7 %	86	37 %	130	56 %			

p value 0,031, Missing N=13

**Supplementary table 5.** Comparison of age groups of experiences of giving feedback.

	can se	know how end feedb if I wish to N=1	ack abo o do so.	ut the	intere	The systested in fe ystem pro users. (M	edback ovided l	about by the	imple chang the s	C The sy ements c e reques suggestic sers. (Mis	orrections ts accor ons of th	ons and rding to ne end	Q1.D Corrections and change requests are implemented within a reasonable time frame.  (Missing N=32)				
	Ĭ	ree		agree	Agree Disagree				Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ŭ	ree	Disa	gree	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
< 35	123	51 %	96	40 %	47	20 %	78	33 %	50	21 %	78	33 %	38	16 %	107	44 %	
35-44	199	56 %	126	35 %	69	20 %	122	35 %	47	14 %	131	38 %	29	8 %	154	45 %	
45-54	184	61 %	84	28 %	77	25 %	103	34 %	67	22 %	111	37 %	45	15 %	144	48 %	
> 55	132	132 57 % 74		32 %	56	25 %	94	42 %	42	19 %	90	40 %	38	17 %	107	47 %	
Total	638 56 % 381 34 %					22 %	398	36 %	206	19 %	412	37 %	150	14 %	514	46 %	
p value		0,1		0,0	)4			0,0	)54		0,013						

Supplementary table 6. Comparison of age groups of experiences of preferred ways of participation in future.

Q3.A I would be interested in showing software developers how I work and describing my software-related needs. (Missing N=22)	Q3.B I would be interested in participating in a development work group made up of other system end users. (Missing N=22)	providing suggestions and feedback about how the	Q3.D I would be interested in providing suggestions and feedback about how the software can be designed and changed to the vendor using email. (Missing N=22)	Q3.E I would be interested in telling the person in charge of information systems development for the organization about usage-related problems. (Missing N=22)	Q3.F I am not interested in participating. (Missing N=22)
Agree Disagree	Agree Disagree	Agree Disagree	Agree Disagree	Agree Disagree	Agree Disagree
N % N %	N % N %	N % N %	N % N %	N % N %	N % N %
<b>&lt;35</b> 95 39 % 148 61%	72 30 % 171 70%	48 20 % 195 80%	83 34 % 160 66%	136 56 % 107 44 %	40 17 % 203 84%

35-44	135	39 %	215	61%	102	29 %	248	71%	59	17 %	291	83%	90	26 %	260	74%	190	54 %	160	46 %	59	17 %	291	83%
45-54	96	32 %	207	68%	71	23 %	232	77%	26	9 %	277	91%	60	20 %	243	80%	161	53 %	142	47 %	64	21 %	239	79%
> 55	56	25 %	171	75%	25	11 %	202	89%	31	14 %	196	86%	45	20 %	182	80%	107	47 %	120	53 %	55	24 %	172	76%
Total	382	34 %	742	66%	270	24 %	853	76%	164	15 %	960	85%	278	25 %	845	75%	594	53 %	530	47 %	218	19 %	906	81%
p value	value 0,001					0,000				0,001				0,000				0,2	.39		0,080			