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Herein, we introduce the first diphenylalanine dipeptide hydrogelator capped with the cinnamoyl functional group (Cin-L-F-L-F). We evaluate the effects of the cinnamoyl moiety on molecular self-assembly events and resultant physical properties of the hydrogel formed. In addition, we report our preliminary results of this dipeptide’s cytotoxicity against glioblastoma (GBM) cancer cells.

Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), a grade IV astrocytoma, is a malignant brain tumour and one of the most aggressive cancers in adults. It represents ≈80% of all primary tumours of the central nervous system (CNS) with a life expectancy of approximately 15 months from diagnosis.1 The spread of single GBM cells into the brain’s parenchyma is due to the intracellular interactions of these cells with the microenvironment of the tumour.2 This explains why extracranial metastasis is rare, as GBM cells do not invade into the walls of blood vessels. The proliferation and infiltration of GBM cells into the surrounding brain tissue is triggered by signalling pathways and the expression of proteins, such as cytokines and growth factors.3,4 Such processes are stimulated by the pathways and the expression of proteins, such as cytokines and growth factors.3,4 Such processes are stimulated by the pathways and the expression of proteins, such as cytokines and growth factors.3,4

The present treatment protocol includes surgery followed by chemotherapy and radiation therapy.5 However, the success of surgical resection depends on the location, size and shape of the tumour. Furthermore, local tumour control by radiation therapy can cause necrosis and permanent neuronal damage while chemotherapy induces side effects and is limited to brain penetrant agents that can cross the blood brain barrier.6 The limited nature of GBM treatment options has led to new therapeutic approaches such as immunotherapy, antiangiogenic treatment, targeted therapies, and combination regimens.7,8 However, there is still no evidence that the use of these treatments results in an improved patient outcome, especially for recurrent GBM.9 In addition, novel anti-GBM targeted materials have been developed for use post-surgical resection such as nano-drugs10 and injectable gels.11 However, this technology has not yet successfully translated into the clinic.

Specific limitations associated with the use of injectable gels after surgical resection include the inability of the gel to adopt the shape of the resultant cavity (gel-tissue apposition), resultant changes in intracranial pressure, and issues relating to the controlled release of any drug loaded into the gel matrix.12 To help address such issues, we present herein N-protected cinnamoyl diphenylalanine dipeptide (Cin-L-F-L-F 1, Fig. 1), a novel low molecular weight hydrogelator for the preparation of soft materials for use as a GBM therapeutic hydrogel.

The dipeptide diphenylalanine (L-F-L-F) and its derivatives are well-known hydrogelators.13,14 Especially, their aromatic amphiphilic analogues, which incorporate an aromatic moiety at the N-terminus, have been extensively used for the bottom up fabrication of soft materials.15 Amongst these low molecular weight hydrogelators, the dipeptide N-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl diphenylalanine (Fmoc-L-F-L-F 2, Fig. 1) is the most well-studied.16–23 The mechanical properties of these hydrogels have been previously described and can be modulated.24–26 In
addition, their biological activity to support or “kill” other cells has also been extensively discussed.16

To date, the only structurally similar gelator to the cinnamoyl protected dipeptide 1 is the cinnamoyl phenylalanine amino acid (Cin-L-F 3, Fig. 1) as reported by Xu et al.27 Here, the authors suggest that the cinnamoyl group is the minimum structural motif able to induce sufficient aromatic-aromatic interactions and support the gelation of a single phenylalanine amino acid. However, we now introduce the Cin-L-F-L-F dipeptide hydrogelator 1 and compare its properties to the extended aromatic gelator, Fmoc-L-F-L-F 2. In addition, we report our preliminary results on the cytotoxic effects of dipeptides 1 and 2 against GBM cancer cells for the first time.

Results and discussion

Dipeptide 1 was produced through a four step synthetic procedure, using standard amide bond formation and selective protection-deprotection reaction protocols (Fig. S1†).28 Dipeptide 1 was shown to self-assemble, via non-covalent intermolecular interactions, into helical fibres resulting in the formation of a self-supporting hydrogel. The gelation of dipeptide 1 in a phosphate buffer solution (PBS) was triggered thermally (95 °C) followed by sonication, resulting in the production of a self-supporting, semi-transparent hydrogel. However, heating or sonication alone was not sufficient to generate a gel, although the hydrogelator was completely solubilized. Instead, heating only resulted in a viscous, transparent free flowing solution that gelled only after sonication. Further tests showed that storage of this “pre-gel solution” (solution after heating only) in the fridge (4 °C) or at RT for 24 h did not result in gelation. Only after the sample was again sonicated, was a self-supporting hydrogel formed. The minimum gelation concentration (MGC) of 1 was found 2.0 mg mL⁻¹ in PBS buffer (pH 7.4), while the gel was stable at 37 °C with a gel-to-sol phase transition temperature between 45–50 °C.

The Fmoc-L-F-L-F 2 dipeptide yielded a self-supporting, semi-transparent hydrogel in PBS solution (pH 7.4) at a MGC of 2.0 mg mL⁻¹, similar to its counterpart 1. Here gelation was induced by sonication only, with heating processes resulting in amorphous precipitation events. In contrast to the Cin-L-F-L-F 1 hydrogel, the gel-to-sol phase transition temperature of the Fmoc-L-F-L-F 2 hydrogel was higher (75–80 °C). This suggests that the replacement of the Fmoc-group by that of cinnamoyl-forms weaker hydrogels since less energy is now required to cleave the self-assembled molecules of 1, presumably due to reduced π–π stacking interactions.

To confirm the self-assembly of Cin-L-F-L-F 1, we compared the circular dichroic (CD) profile of the self-supporting gel to that of the methanolic solution of 1 (Fig. 2A and Fig. S16†). The observed CD signals of the gel sample originate from the assembly event, since in the solution phase (solution of Cin-L-F-L-F 1 in methanol) the spectrum lacks any CD signal. Interestingly, the “pre-gel” solution also gave CD signals compared to the methanolic solution indicating that self-assembly had also occurred. In both samples, the observed signals are due to the aromatic moieties of the dipeptide unit which, as expected, appear at higher wavelengths compared to the amide of the cinnamoyl group.

The “pre-gel” solution does not equal the gel spectrum, i.e., it has some assembly, but the assembly (type and/or extent of assembly) differs to that of the gel. The observed blue shift of the CD spectral features of the gel (relative to the “pre-gel” solution) presumably originates from a different orientation of the aromatic moieties or due to a combination of more than one self-assembled state. In addition, the shift could be explained by a more significant exciton coupling, resulting

Fig. 1 Chemical structures of the phenylalanine based hydrogelators Cin-L-F-L-F 1, Fmoc-L-F-L-F 2, and Cin-L-F 3.

Fig. 2 Self-assembly studies of Cin-L-F-L-F 1. (A) CD spectra of self-supporting gel, “pre-gel” solution and methanol-solvent control. A cylindrical cuvette with a path length of 0.1 mm was used. The hydrogel and “pre gel” solution formed in situ at a concentration of 2.0 mg mL⁻¹. The methanolic solution was prepared at a concentration of 0.2 mg mL⁻¹. All spectra were recorded at 25 °C. (B) FT-IR spectra of dipeptide 1 at the solid state (a), gel state (b) and the corresponding xerogel (c); (C) TEM imaging of the gel’s fibres and vial inversion test.
from the closer proximity of the aromatic moieties during self-assembly events. A tighter molecular packing leads the electronic and magnetic transition moments of the monomeric gelator units to higher energetic states and, therefore, decreased wavelength values (blue shift).\textsuperscript{29,30}

Additionally, the minimum at $\approx 220$ nm suggests that the secondary structure of the Cin-L-F-L-F hydrogel may be consistent with a $\beta$-sheet arrangement akin to that observed in longer peptides. This is consistent with previously reported CD concentration of 2.0 mg mL$^{-1}$ and amide I bands at 1624 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to a combination of the NH in-plane bending, C=O stretching, and CN stretching, suggests the presence of amide-amide H-bonding.

Given the peptidic nature of the Cin-L-F-L-F hydrogel is consistent with the formation of $\alpha$-helices (1632 cm$^{-1}$) while the stronger peak at 1624 cm$^{-1}$ refers to a $\beta$-sheet arrangement. $\alpha$-Helices absorb near 1655 cm$^{-1}$ with an observed downshift when are solvent-exposed (1640–1650 cm$^{-1}$ in H$_2$O and 1629–1640 cm$^{-1}$ in D$_2$O), $\beta$-Sheets usually give rise to a band around 1623–1641 cm$^{-1}$ in H$_2$O, which can be slightly red-shifted in D$_2$O.\textsuperscript{34,35}

The FT-IR spectrum of the Fmoc-$\ell$-$\ell$-F hydrogel (Fig. 3B) showed three peaks at 1692, 1634 and 1535 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to the C-terminus C=O stretch and the two amide NC=O stretches respectively (amide I and II bands). These are consistent with previously reported data, indicating an antiparallel arrangement of $\beta$-sheets.\textsuperscript{21,32} In general, hydrogelators bearing the diphenylalanine ($\ell$-$\ell$-F) motif produce qualitatively similar FT-IR spectra. This suggests that it is not the type but rather the relative spatial orientation of the aromatic groups which is responsible for the molecular alignment of the hydrogelators (as observed by their FT-IR profile).

The viscoelastic properties of the Cin-$\ell$-$\ell$-F hydrogel (Fig. 3B) showed that the gel sample, the peaks at 308 and 298 nm are due to the Fmoc-moiety, which are absent at the dipeptide gel sample (Fig. 2A–3A).

The FT-IR spectrum of the Cin-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 1 in the gel state (Fig. 2B-b) showed a broad band at 3286 cm$^{-1}$, attributed to the NH stretching vibration. This signal is significantly red-shifted (3262 cm$^{-1}$) compared to the spectra obtained from the amorphous monomeric solid of 1 (Fig. 2B-a) and its corresponding xerogel (lyophilized gel – Fig. 2B-c). It should be noted that the IR spectrum of the corresponding xerogel is identical to that of the solid-state, which indicates the collapse of the supramolecular network during the freeze-drying process.\textsuperscript{13} The absence of the bands at 3351 cm$^{-1}$ (OH of the acid) and 1708 cm$^{-1}$ (C=O), in the gel phase, must be related to the self-assembly. Furthermore, as observed for the gel sample, the presence of the amide II band at 1544 cm$^{-1}$ and amide I bands at 1652 cm$^{-1}$ and 1624 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to a combination of the NH in-plane bending, C=O stretching, and CN stretching, suggests the presence of amide-amide H-bonding.

Given the peptidic nature of the hydrogel is consistent with the formation of $\alpha$-helices (1632 cm$^{-1}$) while the stronger peak at 1624 cm$^{-1}$ refers to a $\beta$-sheet arrangement. $\alpha$-Helices absorb near 1655 cm$^{-1}$ with an observed downshift when are solvent-exposed (1640–1650 cm$^{-1}$ in H$_2$O and 1629–1640 cm$^{-1}$ in D$_2$O), $\beta$-Sheets usually give rise to a band around 1623–1641 cm$^{-1}$ in H$_2$O, which can be slightly red-shifted in D$_2$O.\textsuperscript{34,35}

The FT-IR spectrum of the Fmoc-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 2 hydrogel (Fig. 3B) showed three peaks at 1692, 1634 and 1535 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to the C-terminus C=O stretch and the two amide NC=O stretches respectively (amide I and II bands). These are consistent with previously reported data, indicating an antiparallel arrangement of $\beta$-sheets.\textsuperscript{21,32} In general, hydrogelators bearing the diphenylalanine ($\ell$-$\ell$-F) motif produce qualitatively similar FT-IR spectra. This suggests that it is not the type but rather the relative spatial orientation of the aromatic groups which is responsible for the molecular alignment of the hydrogelators (as observed by their FT-IR profile).

Transition electron microscopy (TEM) images of the Cin-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 1 hydrogel sample confirmed the presence of $\alpha$-helical-like supramolecular assemblies (Fig. 2C). These consist of elongated flat ribbons, approximately $\approx 50$ nm wide, which connect to each other forming wider fibres (width of 144 nm) that fold to form helical structures. The length of the major turn of the helix (two-folds) is approximately 1.2 $\mu$m, while the minor turn (one-fold) measures approximately 870 nm. Shear force tears of the fibres are also evident at various points (indicated by the red arrows), presumably as a result of disruption of the gel’s matrix by dilution and/or vortexing during sample preparation. These observations are consistent with the IR interpretation. The Fmoc-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 2 gel sample is composed of an overlapping mesh of flat ribbons yielding a thick supramolecular network (Fig. 3C and D). The diameter of the ribbons ranges between 40–140 nm while shear force tears are also observed at various points (red arrows).

The viscoelastic properties of the Cin-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 1 and Fmoc-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 2 hydrogels were assessed by oscillatory rheology (Fig. 4). Frequency sweep measurements were performed on self-supporting gels, within the linear viscoelastic region (LVR), in which the storage ($G^\prime$) and loss moduli ($G^\prime\prime$) are independent of the strain amplitude. $G^\prime$ has a higher value compared to $G^\prime\prime$, confirming the viscoelastic nature of both materials. Cin-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 1 yields a hydrogel with a $G^\prime$ value of approximately $\approx 226$ Pa which is in the range of the stiffness of the brain tissue (0.1–1 kPa) and therefore could prevent potential increase of intracranial pressure upon application.\textsuperscript{7}

The Fmoc-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 2 hydrogel is stiffer compared to its Cin-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 1 counterpart with a $G^\prime$ value of approximately $\approx 9500$ Pa, almost 42-fold higher. It is of note that several parameters can

---

**Fig. 3** Self-assembly studies of Fmoc-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 2. (A) CD spectra of self-supporting gel and methanol-solvent control. A cylindrical cuvette with a path length of 0.1 mm was used. The hydrogel formed in situ at a concentration of 2.0 mg mL$^{-1}$. The methanolic solution was prepared at a concentration of 0.2 mg mL$^{-1}$. All spectra were recorded at 25 °C; (B) FT-IR spectra of 1 and 2 in the gel state, featuring the Amide I and II regions; (C and D) TEM imaging of the Fmoc-$\ell$-$\ell$-F 2 gel network.
In addition, we examined the cytotoxicity of both dipeptides and sweep profiles (Fig. 4A/C).

As a preliminary step towards evaluation of Cin-L-F-L-F for the potential treatment of GBM we assessed the cytotoxic effect of the dipeptide against model cell line U87MG, a human malignant GBM cell line. Both the gel and solution phases of 1 were evaluated. The solutions were prepared at concentrations below that of the “pre gel” solution (Table 1).

Table 1 The range of used concentrations given in % w/v and mM for the solution samples of dipeptide 1 (A–C) and the hydrogels of 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>% w/v</th>
<th>mM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogel of 1 and 2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution B&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution C&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Serial dilutions of a stock solution of dipeptide 1 in DMSO/PBS. The final concentration of DMSO in each solution sample (A-C) was less than 1% v/v.

In the solution phase (MTS colorimetric assays) showed that solution A (0.1% w/v) was cytotoxic compared to the diluted solutions B (0.01% w/v) and C (0.001% w/v) (Fig. 5C). It was also observed that within the first 24 h, the viability of GBM cells, treated with solution A, dropped almost to 7%. The results were highly consistent with complementary results obtained from a live-dead staining assay of GBM cells treated with the corresponding solutions A–C (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, the hydrogel of 1 was also found to be cytotoxic against U87MG cells within 24 h (Fig. 5B and 6A). The viability of the seeded cells was found to be 18% at 24 h, however dropped to 5% over a 48 h period (Fig. 6B).

In addition, we confirmed changes in the cell morphology by comparing the optical microscopy images of the seeded cells seeded on the gels’ surface were also captured, after 24 and 48 h of incubation, to identify potential changes in the cell morphology in comparison to untreated cells and also confirm cell death.
cells on the surface of the hydrogels (of both materials of 1 and 2) with the untreated cells (positive control) (Fig. 6A). Here, the untreated cells (control) changed from an elongated “star” shape to be more spherical in shape (gels). The data revealed a typical behaviour of GBM cells when seeded on hydrogel surfaces confirming that the matrix composition, crosslinking density, biological, and biochemical properties of hydrogel surfaces are responsible for the transformation of GBM cells.36

Cin-L-F-L-F 1 was found to be cytotoxic at concentrations above 0.01% w/v (0.23 mM) in the solution phase, however the required MGC needed to prepare a self-supporting hydrogel was 2.0 mg mL$^{-1}$ (4.5 mM), almost 20-fold higher. The Cin-L-F-L-F 1 hydrogel was cytotoxic as the cell viability was 18% at 24 h and then dropped to 5% at 48 h. The cytotoxicity of the Fmoc-L-F-L-F 2 hydrogel was similar, with a cell viability of 26% at 24 h and 2% at 48 h. Although the stiffness of the Cin-L-F-L-F 1 gel is within the range of that of the brain tissue, such an increase in effective gelator concentration was found to lead to cell apoptosis, suggesting that the viability of GBM cells is gelator concentration dependent. However, based on previously reported studies, Fmoc-L-F-L-F 2 hydrogels were prepared at concentrations of 5.0 and 10 mg mL$^{-1}$ for the 3D culture of astrocytes (two strains), MDCK and COS 7 cell lines.37 Additionally, dipeptide 2 displayed high biocompatibility towards HeLa cells at the range of concentrations 0.001–0.1% w/v, while at higher concentrations >0.1% w/v some cell toxicity was observed.32 The reported results are consistent with our findings for Cin-L-F-L-F 1 in the solution state.

To explain the cell toxicity of dipeptide 2, the authors proposed that the salts present in the cell culture media prevented the bundling that was observed in the fibres, resulting in smaller aggregates that most likely interact with the cell membrane and cause cell death.32 To assess the stability of the Cin-L-F-L-F 1 hydrogel and explain the resultant cell death, we added cell culture medium to the surface of a preformed gel and incubated the material at 37 °C/5% CO$_2$ for 12 h. The gel was broken, and free gravitational flow was observed upon the vial inversion test (Fig. 6C). In addition, we repeated the stability test with brine, however, the gel remained intact for one week. However, the Fmoc-L-F-L-F 2 hydrogel was degraded when exposed to brine. This suggests that the supramolecular network of the materials dictates their stability which varies for different gelator molecules, regardless of structural similarity. An increased ionic strength does not seem to be responsible for the degradation of the Cin-L-F-L-F 1 gel but rather the type of salts and other ingredients, present in the cell culture medium, and their interactions with the fibres.

**Conclusions**

In summary, the low molecular weight gelator Cin-L-F-L-F 1 produced a self-supporting hydrogel in PBS buffer at 2.0 mg mL$^{-1}$. Preliminary results suggest that the material exhibits inherently cytotoxic effects against GBM tumour cells, while resembling the mechanical properties of brain tissue. Consequently, there would be no need for chemotherapeutic drugs to be encapsulated in the gel matrix if applied after resection. The present work constitutes a preliminary investigation of the cytotoxicity of dipeptide 1 on U87MG cells. We are aware that other cancer and normal cell lines need to be assessed to establish further its cytotoxicity, in addition to the haemolytic properties of the material, its stability, anticancer activity, and compatibility in animal models, which is the subject of subsequent studies.

In comparison to the structurally similar gelator Fmoc-L-F-L-F 2, the hydrogel produced with Cin-L-F-L-F 1 exhibits preferential mechanical properties and similar cytotoxicity, therefore representing a step forward in the development of hydrogel systems for the treatment of GBM.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

All commercial reagents were used as supplied. tert-Butyloxycarbonyl phenylalanine and cinnamic acid were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, (S)-3-phenylalanine-$\text{t}$-butyl ester hydrochloride from Carbasynth and $N$-fluoroenlymethoxycarbonyl diphenylalanine from Biogelx. Hydrogels were prepared in phos-
phase-buffered saline (PBS) solution (Fisher BioReagents, NaCl 137 mM, phosphate buffer 10 mM, KCl 2.7 mM, pH 7.4). Human glioblastoma cells, (U87MG, HBT-14, grade IV WHO classification, ATCC Manassas, VA, USA) were maintained in a fully supplemented (complete) medium (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium, DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% antibiotic/antimicotic and 1% sodium pyruvate. DMEM, antibiotic/antimicotic and FBS were purchased from Gibco by Life Technologies. Sodium pyruvate was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. MTS colorimetric assay (CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Proliferation Assay) was purchased from Promega and diluted with water in a 1:5 (gel: water) ratio. 5 μL of the diluted sample was then pipetted onto carbon films (400 mesh Cu, Agar Scientific) and left to dry prior imaging.

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM).** TEM images were obtained by a Hitachi SU8030 microscope and processed by ImageJ software. Self-supporting hydrogels were shaken (by use of a vortex mixer) and diluted with water in a 1:5 (gel: water) ratio. 5 μL of the diluted sample was then pipetted onto carbon films (400 mesh Cu, Agar Scientific) and left to dry prior imaging.

**Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy.** CD spectra were recorded on a Chirascan spectrophotometer. Hydrogels were formed within non-demountable cylindrical cells (in situ), a day prior to spectral acquisition to allow for maximum gelation. To avoid signal saturation, several path lengths were used (0.5, 0.2 and 0.1 mm) since dilution of the gels would reduce the concentration below the minimum gelation concentration (MGC). Identification of the most appropriate path length was achieved by measuring the absorbance of each sample prior to any CD measurement. Once the path length was optimized, the obtained CD spectrum was truncated where the corresponding absorbance value exceeded 1.0 A.U. All spectra (CD and absorbance) were acquired over a wavelength range of 180–360 nm, by setting a wavelength step value of 1, time per point of 0.5 s and 4 acquisitions. The temperature was controlled with a Peltier system depending on the type of the experiment performed. All spectra were generated as the average of the 4 acquisitions and corrected for the solvent baseline which was recorded in the same cell at proximal time. All spectra were recorded at 25 °C.

**Fourier transform infra-red spectroscopy (FT-IR).** FT-IR spectra were recorded in attenuated total reflectance (ATR) mode on a PerkinElmer Spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer in the range of 400–500 cm$^{-1}$ while 124 scans were obtained. Hydrogels were prepared in vials and rested for a day prior to any spectral acquisition. 75 μL of the gel was pipetted onto the sample compartment and left undisturbed for 30 min before commencing any measurements. The IR of the xerogel and amorphous solid were also recorded. All spectra were baseline corrected.

**Oscillatory rheology.** Rheology studies were performed using Anton Paar MRC 302 modular compact rheometer with an upper geometry cylinder (cylinder-relative ST10-4V-8.8/97.5). All gel samples (1.0 mL volume) were prepared in glass vials (Fisherbrand type III lime glass specimen vials, diameter: 19 mm, volume: 8.0 mL) and rested for a day prior to data acquisition. Frequency sweep measurements were performed within the linear viscoelastic region (LVR) of the materials, at an angular frequency of 1 Hz, with a strain of 0.05% for the Cin-1-F-L-F gels and 0.03% for Fmoc-1-F-L-F gels. Frequency

**Characterization of synthetic products**

High resolution mass spectra (m/z) were recorded using a Waters Synapt G2 TOF mass spectrometer (Waters, UK) with an electrospray ionization probe or a Bruker microTOF-Q mass spectrometer and spectra recorded and processed using Bruker's Compass Data Analysis software. All IR spectra were recorded in the range of 4000–650 cm$^{-1}$ in attenuated total reflectance (ATR) mode, using a PerkinElmer Spectrum One FT-IR Spectrometer (given abbreviations for peak characterizations: strong (s), weak (w), very weak (vw), shoulder (sh)). 1H and 13C nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (NMR) were recorded in the deuterated solvent as stated on a Jeol ECP 400 MHz FT NMR spectrometer, incorporating a tuneable H (5) 400 probe (1H: 400 MHz and 13C: 101 MHz) or on a Jeol ECA, 500 MHz FT NMR spectrometer, incorporating a NM-50TH5AT/FG2 probe, (1H: 500 MHz and 13C: 126 MHz). All chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in ppm and coupling constants (J) are averaged values (in Hz). Residual signals from the solvents or TMS signal were used as an internal reference. 1H resonances were assigned with the aid of 2D techniques such as COSY and HSQC. 13C resonances were assigned using a DEPT 135 sequence and HSQC.

**Hydrogel characterization and associated studies**

**Preparation of the Cin-1-F-L-F hydrogels.** Cin-1-F-L-F (2.0 mg) was mixed in PBS solution (1.0 mL) followed by vortexing (1 min) and sonication (5 min) until a fine suspension was formed. The sample was heated at 95 °C (1 h) followed by sonication (1 min) immediately after heating. The vial was left undisturbed for at least 12 h at RT to allow gelation to occur.

**Preparation of the Fmoc-1-F-L-F hydrogels.** Fmoc-1-F-L-F (2.0 mg) was mixed in PBS solution (1.0 mL) followed by sonication (1 min) until a fine suspension was formed. The vial was left undisturbed for at least 12 h at RT to allow gelation to occur.

**Phase transition temperature measurements.** The phase transition temperature ($T_{\text{gel-sol}}$) of the hydrogels was defined as the temperature in which a gravitational free flow of the disturbed gels was observed during heating. Self-supporting gels were therefore heated in a controlled manner (in triplicate) using a block heater. The temperature was gradually increased from 35 to 85 °C at a rate of 5 °C steps in 10 min intervals. The samples were visually inspected by inversion of the vials at each temperature increment.
scans were performed in triplicates, at a range of 0.1 to 100 rad s\(^{-1}\) at a temperature of 25 °C.

**Cytotoxicity assessment**

**Cell splitting.** The cell culture medium was removed from the original cell culture and the adhered layer of U87MG cells was washed with DPBS (Ca\(^{2+}\)/Mg\(^{2+}\) free Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline, 10 mL). The cells were dispersed by addition of trypsin-EDTA solution (2 mL), incubated at 37 °C/5% CO\(_2\) for 5 min and diluted with DMEM medium (10 mL). The obtained suspension was centrifuged for 5 min (125 rpm) and the formed pellet was resuspended in complete DMEM medium (10 mL).

**Cell counting.** The cell suspension (30 μL) was transferred to an Eppendorf tube and mixed with trypan blue solution (30 μL). Alicuots of the dark blue mixture (10 μL) were then pipetted into each chamber of a haemocytometer and cells were counted under an inverted phase light microscope (20× magnification).

**Preparation of plates.** Cin-t-F-L-F 1 hydrogels were prepared in vials (as described above) and transferred by micropipette (50μL) into each well of a 96-well flat-bottomed plate. The plate was sterilized under UV light irradiation (3 h) and incubated at 37 °C/5% CO\(_2\) for 20 min at RT. The cells were viewed under a fluorescence microscope (EVOS Floid cell imaging station) and stained green while dead cells were stained red.

**Cell viability and proliferation tests.** MTS solution (20 μL) was pipetted into each well and the plate was incubated at 37 °C/5% CO\(_2\) (1 h). The absorbance at 490 nm was measured using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multifunctional microplate reader. The approximate number of viable cells was estimated based on a calibration curve that was previously generated using the standard MTS assay protocol. The cytotoxicity of the cells in the gel samples (measured as percent of viable cells) was calculated by comparison of the number of untreated cells from the positive control. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Live-dead staining.** Both Cin-t-F-L-F 1 hydrogels and solutions at different concentrations, were pipetted in each well of a 96-well flat-bottomed plate. Ethidium homodimer-1 (EthD-1, 4.0 μL) and calcine AM (2.0 μL) were then added and the plate was left to rest for 20 min at RT. The cells were viewed under an EVOS Fluor cell imaging station fluorescent microscope (20× magnification). Viable cells were stained green while dead cells appeared red. Photographs were captured from 4 different sites of each well.

**Synthetic protocols (Fig. S1–S15)?**

**Synthesis of Boc-t-F-L-F-FOBu (2).** NaHCO\(_3\) (63 mg, 0.754 mmol) and TBTU (242 mg, 0.754 mmol) were added to a solution of Boc-protected phenylalanine \(\text{4} (200\text{ mg, 0.754 mmol})\) in anhydrous DMF (2 mL) and stirred for 1 h at RT under N\(_2\) atmosphere. A second solution of tert-butyl phenylalanine \(\text{3} (214\text{ mg, 0.829 mmol})\) and NaHCO\(_3\) (70 mg, 0.829 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (2 mL) was prepared under the same conditions. The two solutions were then mixed and left to stir for 3 h at RT, after which all starting materials were consumed and a new spot appeared on TLC (Hex : EA 2 : 1, Hanessian’s stain, \(R_t = 0.5\)). The solvent was then evaporated under vacuum and the residue was dissolved in DCM (10 mL) and washed with water (50 mL). The aqueous phase was back extracted twice with DCM (10 mL). The obtained organic phases were combined and washed sequentially with water (2 × 50 mL), aqueous HCl (1M, 10 mL), water (50 mL, pH of organic phase 5–6) and with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO\(_3\) (20 mL, pH of organic phase 6–7). The organic phase was finally dried with MgSO\(_4\), filtered and evaporated.

Off-white glassy solid, 247 mg, 70%; m.p. 134.7-135.7 °C; \([\alpha]_D^{20} +6.8^\circ\) (1% w/v in DMSO); IR (nu/m cm\(^{-1}\)) = 3299 (br), 2978 (w), 2932 (w), 1733 (sh), 1677 (sh), 1654 (s), 1523 (s), 1497 (m), 1456 (w), 1393 (sh), 1366 (s), 1252 (s), 1223 (s), 1152 (s), 1115 (sh), 1048 (w), 1024 (w), 847 (s), 740 (s), 698 (s); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, D\(_2\)O-DMSO): \(\delta = 8.22\) (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.15 (m, 10H), 6.86 (d, \(J = 8.8\) Hz, 1H), 4.37 (q, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 1H), 4.19 (td, \(J = 10.6, 8.7, 4.0\) Hz, 1H), 2.98 (dd, \(J = 7.3, 3.7\) Hz, 2H), 2.92 (dd, \(J = 14.1, 4.0\) Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, \(J = 13.8, 10.6\) Hz, 1H), 1.31 (s, 9H), 1.28 (s, 9H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, D\(_2\)O-DMSO) \(\delta = 172.3, 171.0, 155.7, 138.7, 137.6, 129.8, 129.70, 128.71, 128.5, 127.1, 126.7, 81.2, 75.6, 51.4, 54.6, 38.0, 37.4, 28.7, 28.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z) calculated for C\(_{27}\)H\(_{33}\)N\(_3\)O\(_5\) [M + H\(^+\)] = 469.2702, found 469.270.

**Synthesis of t-F-L-FOBu (5).** The di-protected dipeptide \(2 (1.0\text{ g, 2.13 mmol})\) was suspended in tert-butyl acetate (11 mL) to give a final concentration of 0.2 M. Concentrated H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) (0.34 mL, 6.402 mmol) was then added dropwise at RT. The pH of the reaction mixture (1–2) was measured by Fisher brand pH indicator test sticks. After 1 h, TLC (Hex : EA, 3 : 1, Hanessian’s stain) confirmed the formation of a new spot (\(R_t = 0.57\)). The reaction mixture was then neutralized (pH 6–7) using saturated aqueous NaHCO\(_3\) and extracted with EA (100 mL). The organic phase was dried with MgSO\(_4\) and evaporated to dryness. Product \(5\) was used without further purification or characterization other than NMR spectroscopy. The obtained spectra were in agreement with previously published data.\(^{38,39}\)

Off-white gammy solid, 786 mg, stoichiometric yield 100%; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, D\(_2\)O-DMSO): \(\delta = 8.16\) (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 1H), 7.29–7.12 (m, 11H), 4.44 (dt, \(J = 8.0, 6.9\) Hz, 1H), 3.41 (dd, \(J = 8.4, 4.6\) Hz, 1H), 2.94 (d, \(J = 6.9\) Hz, 2H), 2.93–2.89 (m, 1H), 2.56 (dd, \(J = 13.5, 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 1.33 (s, 9H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, D\(_2\)O-DMSO) \(\delta = 174.6, 170.9, 139.1, 137.5, 129.92, 129.9, 128.71, 128.70, 128.63, 127.1, 126.7, 81.4, 56.3, 53.9, 41.3, 37.8, 28.1.

**Synthesis of Cin-t-F-L-FOBu (6).** Cinnamic acid (248 mg, 1.68 mmol), NaHCO\(_3\) (294 mg, 3.49 mmol) and TBTU (673 mg, 2.09 mmol) were suspended in anhydrous DMF (4 mL) under N\(_2\) atmosphere. The solution was stirred at RT for 30 min before the addition of dipeptide \(5 (515\text{ mg, 1.40 mmol})\). The reaction was left to stir overnight under the same conditions. TLC (Hex : EA 1 : 1, Hanessian’s stain) confirmed the absence of dipeptide \(5 (R_t = 0.10)\) and the appearance of a new spot (\(R_t = 0.66\)). The solvent was removed under vacuum and the...
obtained residue was dissolved in EA (10 mL). The solution was then extracted with water (3 × 20 mL), the organic phases were combined, dried with MgSO\(_4\) and evaporated to dryness. The obtained residue was purified by flash column chromatography (Hex : EA 3 : 1) to give a mixture of a white solid and a transparent oil (undissolved urea derivative). When the mixture was dissolved in a minimum amount of methanol, a white precipitate was formed which was isolated by filtration under vacuum.

Off white glassy solid, 559 mg, 80%; m.p. 90.8–92.7 °C; [\(\alpha\)]\(_D\) \(12.7^\circ\) (1% w/v in DMSO); IR (\(v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}\)): 3275 (br), 3061 (vw), 3030 (vw), 2976 (vw), 2926 (w), 1733 (s), 1649 (s), 1616 (s), 1541 (s), 1497 (sh), 1455 (w), 1455 (w), 1344 (w), 1221 (s), 1150 (s), 977 (s), 847 (s), 740 (s), 698 (s) \(\text{cm}^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, D$_6$-DMSO): \(\delta\) 8.48 (d, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.55–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.36–7.31 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.14 (m, 10H), 6.67 (d, \(J = 15.9\) Hz, 1H), 4.73 (dd, \(J = 10.2, 8.6, 4.1\) Hz, 1H), 4.39 (dd, \(J = 7.8, 6.8\) Hz, 1H), 3.07 (dd, \(J = 13.9, 4.1\) Hz, 1H), 2.98 (h, \(J = 8.0, 7.6\) Hz, 2H), 2.78 (dd, \(J = 13.9, 10.1\) Hz, 1H), 1.32 (s, 10H); \(^1\)C NMR (126 MHz, D$_6$-DMSO): \(\delta\) 129.48, 128.73, 128.57, 128.06, 127.05, 126.82, 122.4, 54.2, 54.0, 38.1, 37.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF, \(m/z\)) calculated for C$_{13}$H$_{27}$N$_2$O$_4$ [M + H$^+$] \(= 443.1971\), found 443.1970.

**Synthesis of Cin-F-L-F-L-F (1).** Dipeptide 6 (150 mg, 0.3 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (0.3 mL) followed by addition of TFA (0.46 mL, 6.0 mmol) dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was left to stir at RT overnight. TLC (Hex : EA 1 : 1, Hanessian’s stain) confirmed the completion of the starting material and the presence of a new spot (\(R_t = 0.24\)). The solvent was then evaporated, and the obtained residue was left to dry overnight under vacuum. The residue was washed three times with DCM (1.0 mL). White solid in stoichiometric yield, 133 mg, 100%; m.p. 212.5–212.2 °C; [\(\alpha\)]\(_D\) \(= -14.8^\circ\) (1% w/v in DMSO); IR (\(v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}\)): 3350 (s), 3262 (s), 1707 (s), 1662 (s), 1646 (s), 1587 (s), 1533 (s), 1496 (w), 1350 (w), 1331 (w), 1307 (w), 1271 (s), 1224 (sh), 1212 (s), 1182 (s), 1138 (s), 1136.7, 135.4, 130.0, 129.77, 129.71, 129.48, 128.73, 128.57, 128.06, 127.05, 126.82, 122.4, 81.2, 54.81, 54.17, 38.3, 37.4, 28.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF, \(m/z\)) calculated for C$_{32}$H$_{56}$N$_4$O$_8$ [M + H$^+$] \(= 494.3467\), found 494.3470.
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