Author(s): Nikander, Jaakko A.O.; Aunola, Kaisa; Tolvanen, Asko; Ryba, Tatiana V.

Title: Associations Between Student-Athletes' Self-Esteem and Career Adaptability Across the High School Years

Year: 2022

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Please cite the original version:

Corresponding author mail id:- aku.j.o.nikander@jyu.fi

Associations Between Student-Athletes' Self-Esteem and Career Adaptability Across the High School Years

Jaakko A.O. Nikander, Kaisa Aunola, Asko Tolvanen, Tatiana V. Ryba.

Department of Psychology, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

Abstract

The key challenges in sustainable elite sports concern young athletes´ holistic development. Consequently, preparation for dual careers has been recommended to enhance athletes' well-being and equip them for life after sports. The aim of this study was to examine the developmental associations between self-esteem and career adaptability among adolescent athletes across the high school years and investigate the role of gender in these developmental trajectories. A total of 391 student-athletes were followed up four times from the beginning of high school (T1) to its end (T4) using the Dual Career Form of the Career Adapt-Abilities Scale and the Self-Esteem Questionnaire. The results indicated that individual differences in student-athletes' self-esteem and career adaptability were relatively stable across the high school years and were thus modeled via the between-persons factors capturing differences between individuals across time. The results further showed that the overall levels of self-esteem and career adaptability were positively associated. Males showed higher overall levels of career adaptability and self-esteem than females. This study suggests that it is important to facilitate youth athletes' self-regulation resources by involving them in vocational developmental tasks. Further, gender differences should be considered when supporting student-athletes' development.

Keywords: *dual career, career adaptability, gender, self-esteem, well-being, adolescence*

1 INTRODUCTION

The demands of different life domains (school, sporting, and private life) may lead to increased pressure on student-athletes and pose potential threats to their psychosocial development and well-being. According to the European Commission,[1] the main challenge related to elite sports is how to safeguard and guide young athletes' talent development simultaneously in their educational, athletic, and private lives. Special arrangements in the form of dual careers (DC)—a combination of sports and education or work—have been recommended to enhance young athletes' well-being and prepare them for life after sports.[1,2] Although student-athletes report less stress and fewer symptoms of depression and anxiety than non-athletes,[3] they still face a unique range of stressors that include, for example, role strain and transitions (e.g., starting sports high school or university).[2] Sorkkila and Ryba and colleagues[4,5] emphasized that research is needed to understand, not only the risks, but also the protective factors affecting student-athletes' well-being (e.g., self-esteem) in their DC pathways. For adolescent student-athletes to manage transitions, achieve vocational goals, and solve a range of challenges in the course of their life trajectories, support provided for them should target a broad range of skills, including career adaptability.[6,7] The present study examined the developmental associations between career adaptability and self-esteem among student-athletes, and the role of gender in the development of both.

1.1 Career Adaptability

Career adaptability refers to an individual's readiness to and resources for achieving vocational development and overcoming the complex challenges that arise during occupational transitions.[8] It is conceptualized as psychological resources that enable adolescents to regulate their strategies along the four dimensions:[8] *career concern* (i.e., the extent to which the individual is conscious of and prepares for vocational development tasks and transitions in the near and distant future), *career control* (i.e., the extent to which a person takes responsibility for constructing their own career and choosing their approach to vocational development tasks), *career curiosity* (i.e., information-seeking behaviors, openness to new experiences, exploration, and reflection on the match between the individual's abilities and the demands of a particular career), and *career confidence* (i.e., self-efficacy in pursuing a self-determined occupation and successfully coping with career stressors).

Career adaptability and thoughts concerning adaptability become evident early in secondary education.[9] As an individual considers future transitions and developmental tasks, the

32   pressure to deal with goals relevant to those transitions increases.[10] Career adaptability contributes

33   to positive transitions and personal functioning in adolescents,[11] predicting an increased sense of

34   control and life satisfaction.[11] However, individuals differ in their competencies (adaptability) and

35   career-related behaviors.[12] Rudolph and colleagues[12] found that personality traits contribute to the

36   prediction of career adaptability, suggesting that personality-related factors play a role in

37   adaptability. Further, Hirschi[13] found that boys demonstrated higher career adaptability than girls.

38   Gender did not, however, affect the development of career adaptability among young students.[13]

39   Investigating adolescent athletes' career adaptability and the factors that promote it is important

40   because student-athletes tend to have difficulty envisioning their lives after sports and engaging in

41   self-exploration during their sporting careers.[14] Park and colleagues[15] found that athletes who had

42   not planned their post-sporting lives had an elevated risk of experiencing psychological distress,

43   especially following involuntary athletic career termination.

44   **1.2 Self-esteem**

45   Self-esteem—one's overall attitude towards oneself, which involves self-evaluation of

46   one's own worth and value[16]— plays a role in developing career adaptability.[16,17] Self-esteem has

47   been shown to be positively associated with perceived competence in sports,[18] enhanced

48   initiative[19], career adaptability,[7,20] and career success;[21] for example, Erol and Orth[22] showed that

49   increased self-esteem is related to a sense of career control, which is likely to translate into better

50   career opportunities. Moreover, Choi and colleagues[23] demonstrated that self-esteem is among the

51   strongest predictors of career decision efficacy. Although self-esteem appears to be rather stable

52   throughout adolescence,[24,25] it can be influenced by life events, individual experiences, and

53   environmental factors.[24] A crucial question is, therefore, to what extent is self-esteem development

54   associated with the development of career adaptability during the critical adolescent years? Gender

55   may also play a role. In a previous study, Baldwin and Hoffman[26] showed that boys have higher

56   levels of self-esteem than girls, and girls exhibited a greater mean-level fluctuation in self-esteem,

57   suggesting gender differences in the development of self-esteem during early adolescence.

58   However, Birkeland et al.[24] did not find gender differences in self-esteem development. Overall,

59   the previous results suggest that boys, on average, may have higher levels of self-esteem than

60   girls.[26] However, owing to inconsistent findings concerning the development of self-esteem,[24, 26]

61   future studies on this topic are needed before conclusions can be made concerning gender

62   differences in the development of self-esteem across the high school years.

1.3 The Present Study

63
64 To support student-athletes in integrating combined sport and education into their lives, it
65 is necessary to gain an understanding of the individual factors that affect their life paths. Therefore,
66 in the present study, we investigated the developmental associations between the relatively new
67 concept of career adaptability and self-esteem among student-athletes in the crucial phase of their
68 development. More specifically, this study aimed to investigate the following research questions:

69 (1) To what extent do individual differences in a) career adaptability (both in terms of the
70 five dimensions and regarding the overall common level of career adaptability) and b) self-esteem
71 remain stable across the high school years, and to what extent there is rather time specific
72 fluctuation in these at different phases of high school? It was expected that student-athletes would
73 differ from each other in terms of the level of career adaptability. That is, some individuals would
74 demonstrate higher adaptabilities than others, and these individual differences in the level of
75 career adaptability would remain stable across high school[12] (Hypothesis 1). In line with the
76 previous research,[7,20] we hypothesized that self-esteem would show stability across high school[7,20]
77 (Hypothesis 2).

78 (2) To what extent are career adaptability and self-esteem associated across high school
79 years? It was hypothesized that self-esteem is positively associated with career adaptability across
80 high school[5,7,12,20] (Hypothesis 3) and that self-esteem would positively predict subsequent career
81 adaptabilities[8,12] (Hypothesis 4).

82 (3) What is the role of gender in the development of career adaptability and self-esteem?
83 Based on previous research, we expected to find that gender does not affect the development of
84 career adaptability[13] (Hypothesis 5), but we predicted that males would show higher levels of self-
85 esteem than females[26] (Hypothesis 6).

86 ## 2 METHODS

87 ### 2.1 Participants and Procedures
88 The present study contributed to the Finnish Longitudinal Dual Career Study,[5] which
89 followed student-athletes across their high school years. The sample consisted of 391 athletes
90 (51 % female; M_{age} = 16, SD = 0.17 at the beginning of the study) from six sports high schools. In
91 Finland, sports high schools are one of the identified DC pathways[27] that provide structural
92 support for talented athletes to combine upper secondary education with sports.

93   The study was approved by the ethics board of the University of Jyvaskyla before data

94   collection commenced. The participants signed an informed consent form before participating in

95   the study. The data were collected using an online questionnaire or via completion of an identical

96   paper questionnaire. The data applied in the current study were collected at the baseline, that is, at

97   the beginning of the first grade (T1; September), and after that, once at the end of each grade

98   (March), that is, at the end of the first grade (T2), at the end of the second grade (T3), and at the

99   end of the third grade (T4). Career adaptability and self-esteem were assessed using self-rated

100   scales at each measurement point (T1–T4).

101 2.2 Measurements

102   **2.2.1 Dual career adaptability.** Career adaptability was measured at time points T1–T4

103   using the Career Adapt-Abilities Scale–Dual Career Form (CAAS-DC).[7] The Dual Career Form

104   was developed by adding a subscale (Dual Career Concern) to the original CAAS.[8] The CAAS-DC

105   contains a total of 27 items that measure five dimensions of career adaptability: concern (four

106   items; e.g., *thinking about what my future will be like*), control (six items; e.g., *making decisions*

107   *by myself*), curiosity (six items; e.g., *observing different ways of doing things*), confidence (six

108   items; e.g., *learning new skills*), and dual career concern (five items; e.g., *concerned about my*

109   *athletic career*). All items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = *not my strongest ability* to 5 =

110   *one of my strongest abilities*). For each subscale, a mean score was obtained, indicating

111   competence in that domain. The CAAS-DC score was shown to demonstrate factorial and

112   concurrent validity in a Finnish high school sample.[7] Cronbach's alpha values were used in the

113   present study for the scores of different subscales and time points (T1, T2, T3, and T4); values

114   varied between 0.82 and 0.91.

115   **2.2.2 Self-esteem.** Self-esteem was measured at time points T1–T4 using five items (e.g., *I*

116   *feel like a person who has a number of good qualities*) taken from the Rosenberg Self-Esteem

117   Scale (RSES).[16] All items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = *strongly disagree* to 5 =

118   *strongly agree*). The measure has been used in previous studies involving adolescents in Finland,

119   and the test scores have demonstrated good validity.[7] Cronbach's alphas in the current sample at

120   different time points ranged between 0.77 and 0.82.

121 2.3 Analysis Strategy

122   To investigate the developmental stability and within-person fluctuation of career

123   adaptability and self-esteem during the high school years, as well as these constructs'

124 developmental associations, we employed random intercept cross-lagged path analysis[28] in the

125 structural equation modeling framework. The selected strategy was found to be the most

126 appropriate strategy to test the stated hypotheses because it considered both the between- (i.e.,

127 individual variation in the overall levels of the studied constructs across time) and within-person

128 (i.e., time-specific variation in the studied constructs) effects and thus produces more valid

129 (unbiased) results reflecting the developmental phenomena than autoregressive cross-lagged panel

130 models.[28]

131 First, to explore between individual variation in the overall levels of the five career

132 adaptability dimensions and self-esteem across four measurement points, six first-order factors

133 describing these overall levels were specified. Additionally, a second-order factor for the five first-

134 order level factors of career adaptability was specified to model the overall level of career

135 adaptability across time. Correlation between the overall levels of career adaptability across time

136 and overall level of self-esteem across time was allowed. Second, time-specific factors capturing

137 common variation between career adaptability dimensions at a particular time point were specified

138 separately for each time point T1–T4. Similarly, time-specific factors were estimated for self-

139 esteem at each time point T1–T4. These specific factors were not allowed to correlate with the

140 first-order factors of career adaptability and self-esteem or the second-order factor of career

141 adaptability. Third, regression paths between time-specific factors capturing the lagged effects of

142 career adaptability and self-esteem were allowed for successive measurement points. These

143 regression coefficients captured the cross-lagged effects between adaptability and self-esteem after

144 controlling for the possible stability of each construct. In addition to the regression coefficients,

145 the residual correlations between the time-specific factors of adaptability and self-esteem at each

146 measurement point were allowed. Finally, a gender variable was added to the model to predict the

147 overall levels of career adaptability and self-esteem across time, and the mean differences between

148 genders in other specified factors were examined and estimated with the help of modification

149 indices (i.e., the associations between gender and the first-order factors in the model were fixed to

150 zero; therefore, poor model fit and the model modification indices indicated whether any of these

151 associations needed to be estimated). The Mplus syntax for the constructed model is provided in

152 Supplemental Material S1.

153 The model was estimated using the Mplus statistical program (version 7.3.; Muthén &

154 Muthén, 1998–2019). Initial analyses revealed the invariance of the study constructs across time

155 and gender groups.[1] The results of the invariance tests are given in Supplemental Material S2. The

156 covariance coverage of the data varied from 0.69 to 1.00. The missing values were supposed to be

157 missing at random (MAR), and estimation was performed using the full information maximum

158 likelihood estimator (MLR), which produces robust standard error and scale corrected chi-square

159 test values. In addition to the chi-square test, the model fit was evaluated using the comparative fit

160 index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), the root mean square error of approximation

161 (RMSEA), and the standardized root mean square error (SRMR). Good model fit was indicated if

162 the chi-square test result was non-significant, CFI and TLI were at least .95, RMSEA was lower

163 than .06, and SRMR was lower than .08.

3 RESULTS

165 The descriptive statistics (Table 1) demonstrated that participants showed relatively high

166 self-esteem and career adaptabilities across high school. Among the five dimensions of

167 adaptability, confidence, control, and DC concern had the highest mean scores across high school,

168 while concern and curiosity had the lowest. Based on the correlations, self-esteem and the career

169 adaptability dimensions were positively correlated at each measurement point. The correlations

170 were particularly strong in the case of the control adaptability dimension.

3.1 Development of Career Adaptability

172 The results of the tested model are shown in Figure 1 (only statistically significant paths

173 are shown). The final model (model modifications are presented in detail in S1) demonstrated a

174 good fit to the data: $X^2(240) = 331.014$, $p < .05$, RMSEA = 0.031, SRMR = 0.037, CFI = 0.983,

175 TLI = 0.978. The results showed that adaptability was relatively stable across high school and that

176 most of the variation in the adaptability dimensions was caused by the individual differences in the

177 overall level of career adaptability ($R^2_{concern} = .65$, $p < .001$; $R^2_{DCconern} = .85$, $p < .001$; $R^2_{control}$

178 $= .67$, $p < .001$; $R^2_{curiosity} = .77$, $p < .001$; $R^2_{confidence} = .86$, $p < .001$). In addition, the levels of the

179 different adaptability dimensions were relatively stable across high school; approximately half of

---

[1] When testing invariance, we applied change in RMSEA (as RMSEA is a generally stricter indicator of invariance than CFI and is suitable in the case of large sample sizes and complex models; see, Chen, 2007).[29] The results of these analyses showed that in the cases of all constructs, factor loadings, intercepts, and residual variances of the observed variables were invariant across time and gender groups (as indicated by a change of RMSEA lower than .015; change of RMSEA values varied between -.007 and .011 depending on the construct and the model) between the tested nested models.

180 the variation in different dimensions at different measurement points (T1–T4) was caused by the

181 overall individual level of particular adaptability dimensions ($R^2\_concern$ = .45-.47, $p < .001$;

182 $R^2\_Dcconern$ = .35-.47, $p < .001$; $R^2\_control$ = .48-.56, $p < .001$; $R^2\_curiosity$ = .36-.38, $p < .001$; $R^2\_confidence$

183 = .43-.51, $p < .001$).

184   In addition to the overall level of adaptability, time-specific variation was found. The time-

185 specific variation of career adaptability at T3 predicted subsequent career adaptability at T4 (R^2

186 = .17): The higher the adaptability at the end of the second grade, the higher the adaptability at the

187 end of high school.

188 **3.2. Development of Self-Esteem**

189   Similar results were found for self-esteem, which was relatively stable across the high

190 school years. Most of the variation in self-esteem at different measurement points was caused by

191 the individual differences in the overall level of self-esteem across time (R^2_T1 = .61, $p < .001$;

192 R^2_T2 = .59, $p < .001$; R^2_T3 = .53, $p < .001$; R^2_T4 = .41, $p < .001$). However, this effect decreased

193 at the end of the high school, and measurement point-related variation increased (R^2_T4 = .59, p

194 $< .001$). The time-specific variation of self-esteem at the T3 predicted subsequent self-esteem at

195 the T4 (R^2 = .15, $p < .01$): The higher the self-esteem at the end of the second grade, the higher

196 subsequent self-esteem at the end of high school.

197 **3.3 Developmental Association Between Career Adaptability and Self-esteem**

198 The model revealed that the individual differences in the overall level of career adaptability across

199 the high school years were positively and statistically significantly associated with individual

200 differences in the overall level of self-esteem ($r = .50$, $p < .001$). Further, one specific association

201 was found indicating that the individual overall level of control was positively associated with the

202 individual overall level of self-esteem ($r = .47$, $p<.001$). In addition to these associations between

203 the overall levels of adaptability and self-esteem, time-specific positive associations between the

204 constructs were found at each measurement point, and the correlations became stronger towards

205 the end of the high school (r^{T1} = .29, $p < .01$; r^{T2} = .29 $p < .001$; r^{T3} = .56 $p < .001$; r^{T4} = .46, $p <$

206 $.001$). The cross-lagged associations between career adaptability and self-esteem were not

207 significant. Finally, the results concerning the role of gender showed that gender predicted

208 individual levels of career adaptability (R^2 = .03) and self-esteem (R^2 = .07), with females

209 demonstrating lower levels of both compared to males.

210 **4 DISCUSSION**

211   This study aimed to add to our understanding of the development of and developmental
212   associations between self-esteem and career adaptability among adolescent student-athletes across
213   high school, as well as the role gender plays in student-athletes' career adaptability and self-
214   esteem. First, the results showed that career adaptability and self-esteem were relatively stable
215   across individual differences in high schoolers' overall levels of each construct over time, which
216   explained most of the variation. Second, during the high school years, career adaptability and self-
217   esteem were positively associated with each other, showing mutual variation. Third, gender was
218   associated with the overall levels of career adaptability and self-esteem, with males showing
219   higher levels of both compared to females.

220   The present study's first objective was to examine the extent to which individual
221   differences in career adaptability and self-esteem are stable across the high school years and to
222   what extent time-specific fluctuation occurs at different phases of high school. In this study, career
223   adaptability was found to be relatively stable, with an individual-level explanation available for
224   over half of the variation across high school. It was expected (Hypothesis 1) that there would be
225   stable individual differences between student-athletes across high school.[8,12] One explanation for
226   adaptability's high degree of stability could be, as previous studies[14] have shown, that athletes tend
227   to postpone their career-related activities;[14] consequently, stable personality-related factors[12] play a
228   larger role in their adaptability at this stage than more time-specific contextual and environmental
229   factors. Overall, it seems that individual involvement in vocational developmental tasks
230   determines the extent of student-athletes' career aspiration development. Similarly, as expected
231   (Hypothesis 2), self-esteem was found to be relatively stable across high school, with an
232   individual-level explanation available for around half of the variation. However, an interesting
233   finding was that individuality's influence decreased at the end of high school. This may indicate
234   that the life events concerning the upcoming transition can affect self-esteem at the individual
235   level.[24,26]

236   In addition to overall high stabile individual-level career adaptability and self-esteem, our
237   stability hypothesis was further supported by the findings concerning time-specific variation
238   predictions: Career adaptability in the middle of high school predicted career adaptability at the
239   end of high school. Timonen et al.[9] suggested that starting high school and subsequent transitions
240   may trigger thoughts about adaptability. Those youths who self-assess as having the resources to
241   construct a career and being prepared for transitions will show high career adaptability later in life.

242   Individuals who focus on goals related to career transitions and developmental tasks may also

243   reflect more on their future endeavours.[10]

244   The present study's second aim was to examine the developmental association between

245   self-esteem and career adaptability across time among student-athletes. As we expected

246   (Hypothesis 3), and in line with previous research,[5,7,12,20] career adaptability was positively

247   associated with self-esteem at each measurement point and at the individual level across high

248   school. However, self-esteem at the previous time point did not predict subsequent career

249   adaptabilities at the following time point, as expected (Hypothesis 4). This may be because both

250   career adaptabilities and self-esteem showed high stability. It may also be related to trait-like

251   factors.[12] No change was observed. The findings further suggested that towards the end of high

252   school, mutual variation between adaptability and self-esteem increases, which may indicate that

253   there are some common factors behind these constructs that explain the association. Regarding the

254   adaptability dimensions, a positive association was found between level of control and self-esteem.

255   Erol and Orth[22] suggested that increased self-esteem is related to a sense of control and better

256   career opportunities. Additionally, in line with Rudolph and colleagues'[12] finding that a proactive

257   personality predicts career adaptability, student-athletes who self-assess as having a plan, assume

258   an active role in their career development, and feel that they are in control of their future may

259   develop not only career adaptability, but also high self-esteem.

260   The present study's third objective was to examine the role of gender in the development

261   of career adaptability and self-esteem. The results showed that gender was positively associated

262   with both the student-athletes' overall level of career adaptability (contradictory to Hypothesis 5)

263   as well as with their overall level of self-esteem (Hypothesis 6), with males reporting higher

264   overall career adaptability and self-esteem levels than females. Concerning self-esteem, our

265   findings are in line with previous studies.[24, 26] It can be speculated that as males have been shown

266   to perceive their self-esteem more positively than females during adolescence[26] and as career

267   adaptability is related to trait-like optimism,[12] male student-athletes may, at least in part, derive

268   their higher perception of adaptability from their optimism about the future.[14,30] Overall, our

269   findings highlight that gender differences in career adaptability and self-esteem levels should be

270   considered when providing support for youth athletes.

271   To conclude, given that the individual-level explained most of the variation in career

272   adaptability, it is important to recognize individuals with low levels of career adaptability (and

273   identify the reasons for that) and support the development of adaptabilities starting at the

274 beginning of high school (or even earlier). Such interventions can be achieved by facilitating
275 individual planning and the exploration of possible future paths, engaging in meaningful
276 conversations, and increasing these individuals' sense of control. The association between career
277 adaptability and self-esteem across high school suggests that both are complementary resources
278 for positive development. Student-athletes with high self-esteem and career adaptability might
279 have more resources to explore other plans and pursue endeavours outside of sports in the future.

280 The present study has some limitations that should be considered before generalizing the
281 results. First, the sample comprised high school students; the results might be different for
282 vocational school students. Second, the present study examined the effect of gender on career
283 adaptability and self-esteem. However, other factors may play a role in the development of the
284 studied constructs. In further studies, the role of different individual, school- and sport-related
285 factors as well as sources of social support or pressure, such as coaches and teachers, in student-
286 athletes' career adaptability and self-esteem development should be investigated alongside the
287 associations between these factors to gain a deeper understanding of the possible confounding
288 affecting the phenomena.

289 **5 PERSPECTIVES**

290 The present study contributes to the existing literature in three ways. First, we showed that
291 self-esteem and career adaptability are relatively stable across high school among student-athletes,
292 indicating that support for career-related activities should be individually targeted early on during
293 a dual career. Second, as the individual overall level of control was positively associated with the
294 individual overall level of self-esteem, student-athletes who feel that they are in control of their
295 future may develop not only career adaptability, but also high self-esteem. Third, gender
296 differences should be considered when providing support for student-athletes' dual career
297 construction development and well-being.

298 **Declaration of Conflicts of Interest**

299 The author(s) declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship
300 and/or publication of this article.

301

302 **References**

303   1. EU Guidelines on Dual Careers of Athletes. *Recommended policy actions in support of dual*
304   *careers in high-performance sport*. Brussels: Sport Unit of the Directorate-General for
305   Education and Culture of the European Commission; 2012.

306   2. Stambulova NB, Wylleman P. Psychology of athletes' dual careers: A state-of-the-art
307   critical review of the European discourse. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*. 2019;42:74–88.

308   3. Gerber M, Holsboer-Trachsler E, Puhse U, Brand S. Elite sport is not an additional source
309   of distress for adolescents with high stress levels. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*.
310   2011;112(2):581–599.

311   4. Sorkkila M, Ryba TV, Selänne H, Aunola K. Development of school and sport burnout in
312   adolescent student-athletes: A longitudinal mixed-methods study. *Journal of Research on*
313   *Adolescence*. 2020;30(S1):115–133.

314   5. Ryba TV, Aunola K, Kalaja S, Selänne H, Ronkainen NJ, Nurmi J-E. A New perspective
315   on adolescent athletes´ transition into upper secondary school: A longitudinal mixed
316   method study protocol. *Cogent Psychology*. 2016;3(1):1142412–15.

317   6. Henriksen K, Storm LK, Stambulova N, Pyrdol N, Larsen CH. Successful and less
318   successful interventions with youth and senior athletes: Insights from expert sport
319   psychology practitioners. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology,* 2019;13(1):72–94.

320   7. Ryba TV, Zhang C-Q, Huang Z, Aunola K. Career adapt-abilities scale–Dual career form
321   (CAAS-DC): Psychometric properties and initial validation in high-school student-athletes.
322   *Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine*. 2017;5(1):85–100.

323   8. Savickas, ML. The theory and practice of career construction. In S. D. Brown & R. W. Lent
324   (Eds.), *Career development and counselling: Putting theory and research to work*.
325   Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons. 2013; (pp. 42–70)

326   9. Timonen E, Silvonen J, Vanhalakka-Ruoho M. Nuorten uramuuntuvuus toisen asteen
327   opintojen alussa. *Psykologia*. 2016;51(3):191–208.

328   10. Salmela-Aro K, Aunola K., Nurmi J-E. Personal goals during emerging adulthood. A 10-
329   year follow up. *Journal of Adolescent Research*. 2007;22(6):690–715.

330   11. Johnston CS. A systematic review of the career adaptability literature and future outlook.
331   *Journal of Career Assessment*. 2018;26(1):3–30.

332   12. Rudolph CW, Lavigne KN, Katz IM, Zacher H. Linking dimensions of career adaptability
333   to adaptation results: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 2017;102:151–173.

334   13. Hirschi A. Career adaptability development in adolescence: Multiple predictors and effect

335   on sense of power and life satisfaction. [Online magazine]. *Journal of Vocational Behavior.*

336   2009;74(2):145–155.

337   14. Ryba TV, Ronkainen N, Douglas K, Aunola K. Implications of the identity position for

338   dual career construction: Gendering the pathways to (dis)continuation. *Psychology of Sport*

339   *and Exercise.* 2021;53.

340   15. Park S, Lavallee D, Tod D. Athletes´ career transition out of sport: A systematic review.

341   *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology.* 2013;6(1):22–53.

342   16. Rosenberg M. *Society and the adolescent self-image.* Revised edition. Middletown, CT:

343   Wesleyan University Press; 1989.

344   17. Hewitt JP. The social construction of self-esteem. In Snyder CR, Lopez SJ (Eds.),

345   *Handbook of Positive Psychology.* New York: Oxford University Press. 2002; pp.135–148.

346   18. Amorose AJ, Anderson-Butcher D, Cooper J. Predicting changes in athletes' well-being

347   from changes in need satisfaction over the course of a competitive season. *Research*

348   *Quarterly for Exercise and Sport.* 2009;80(2):386–392.

349   19. Orth U, Robins RW, Widaman KF. Life-span development of self-esteem and its effects on

350   important life outcomes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology.* 2012;102(6):1271–

351   1288.

352   20. Rusu A, Mairean C, Hojbota A-M, Gherasim LR, Gavrioaiel SI. Relationships of career

353   adaptabilities with explicit and implicit self-concepts. *Journal of Vocational Behaviour.*

354   2015;89:92–101.

355   21. Kuster F, Orth U, Meier LL. High self-esteem prospectively predicts better work conditions

356   and outcomes. *Social Psychological and Personality Science.* 2013;4(6):668–675.

357   22. Erol RY, Orth U. Self-esteem development from age 14 to 30 years: A longitudinal study.

358   *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology.* 2011;101(3):607–619.

359   23. Choi BY, Park H, Yang E, Lee Y, Lee SM. Understanding career decision self-efficacy: A

360   meta-analytic approach. *Journal of Career Development.* 2012;39(5):443–460.

361   24. Birkeland MS, Melkevik O, Holsen I, Wold B. Trajectories of self-esteem during

362   adolescence. *Journal of Adolescence.* 2011;35(1):43–54.

363   25. Trezniewski KH, Donnellan MB, Robins RW. Stability of self-esteem across the life span.

364   *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology.* 2003;84(1):205–220.

365   26. Baldwin S, Hoffman J. The dynamics of self-esteem: a growth-curve analysis. *Journal of*
366   *Youth and Adolescence.* 2002;31(2):101–113.

367   27. Morris R, Cartigny E, Ryba TV, Wylleman P, Henriksen K, Ramis Y, Lindhal K, Cecić
368   Erpič S. A taxonomy of dual career development environments in European countries.
369   *European Sport Management Quarterly*. 2020;21(1):134–151.

370   28. Berry D, Willoughby, M.T. On the practical rethinking of cross-lagged panel models:
371   Rethinking a developmental workhorse. *Child Development*. 2017; 88(4):1186–1206.

372   29. Chen, F.F. Sensitivity of goodness of fit indexes to lack of measurement invariance,
373   structural equation modelling: *A Multidisciplinary Journal*. 2007;14(3):464–504.

374   30. Henriksen K, Mortensen J. Reality and dreams. A comparison of elite athletes´ lived career
375   paths with young talented athletes´ imagined career paths. *Scandinavian Sport Studies*
376   *Forum.* 2014;5:69–91.

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1 SelfesT1	.77	.65[c]	.55[c]	.48[c]	.27[c]	.21[c]	.16[b]	.24[c]	.34[c]	.23[c]	.22[c]	.24[c]	.32[c]	.24[c]	.12[b]	.20[b]	.50[c]	.39[c]	.35[c]	.34[c]	.31[c]	.28[c]	.23[c]	.23[c]
2 SelfesT2		.77	.59[c]	.59[c]	.18[b]	.26[c]	.18[b]	.24[c]	.23[c]	.36[c]	.31[c]	.28[c]	.18[c]	.25[c]	.17[b]	.24[b]	.35[c]	.48[c]	.42[c]	.38[c]	.22[c]	.38[c]	.29[c]	.28[c]
3 SelfesT3			.77	.68[c]	.17[b]	.23[c]	.28[c]	.27[c]	.24[c]	.27[c]	.45[c]	.35[c]	.22[c]	.23[c]	.33[c]	.32[c]	.39[c]	.38[c]	.57[c]	.43	.24[c]	.31[c]	.34[c]	.29[c]
4 SelfesT4				.82	.13[a]	.22[c]	.20[b]	.31[c]	.18[c]	.26[c]	.35[c]	.39[c]	.14[b]	.18[b]	.24[c]	.32[c]	.31[c]	.28[c]	.40[c]	.51[c]	.20[c]	.28[c]	.23[c]	.34[c]
5 ConcT1					.85	.55[c]	.45[c]	.39[c]	.54[c]	.38[c]	.24[c]	.29[c]	.60[c]	.39[c]	.25[c]	.29[c]	.53[c]	.34[c]	.30[c]	.31[c]	.65[c]	.43[c]	.33[c]	.26[c]
6 ConcT2						.86	.52[c]	.39[c]	.36[c]	.62[c]	.36[c]	.36[c]	.37[c]	.59[c]	.34[c]	.36[c]	.33[c]	.53[c]	.32[c]	.28[c]	.43[c]	.72[c]	.36[c]	.29[c]
7 ConcT3							.84	.59[c]	.36[c]	.33[c]	.50[c]	.39[c]	.34[c]	.32[c]	.52[c]	.42[c]	.36[c]	.28[c]	.47[c]	.32[c]	.37[c]	.36[c]	.54[c]	.39[c]
8 ConcT4								.84	.38[c]	.33[c]	.44[c]	.58[c]	.24[c]	.28[c]	.35[c]	.32[c]	.33[c]	.28[c]	.38[c]	.44[c]	.35[c]	.31[c]	.45[c]	.61[c]
9 ConfiT1									.89	.52[c]	.45[c]	.36[c]	.62[c]	.40[c]	.33[c]	.39[c]	.67[c]	.44[c]	.40[c]	.37[c]	.64[c]	.44[c]	.46[c]	.31[c]
10 ConfiT2										.91	.49[c]	.44[c]	.38[c]	.71[c]	.39[c]	.42[c]	.41[c]	.75[c]	.44[c]	.40[c]	.44[c]	.76[c]	.45[c]	.29[c]
11 ConfiT3											.85	.60[c]	.25[c]	.34[c]	.68[c]	.45[c]	.37[c]	.39[c]	.64[c]	.46[c]	.64[c]	.41[c]	.58[c]	.40[c]
12 ConfiT4												.87	.31[c]	.31[c]	.45[c]	.47[c]	.38[c]	.36[c]	.46[c]	.61[c]	.36[c]	.38[c]	.47[c]	.60[c]
13 CurioT1													.90	.48[c]	.33[c]	.35[c]	.64[c]	.39[c]	.34[c]	.31[c]	.57[c]	.40[c]	.32[c]	.29[c]
14 CurioT2														.87	.39[c]	.37[c]	.40[c]	.70[c]	.40[c]	.39[c]	.39[c]	.67[c]	.33[c]	.25[c]
15CurioT3															.85	.54[c]	.33[c]	.35[c]	.63[c]	.42[c]	.27[c]	.33[c]	.50[c]	.34[c]
16 CurioT4																.85	.33[c]	.34[c]	.41[c]	.45[c]	.35[c]	.33[c]	.41[c]	.38[c]
17 ContT1																	.85	.56[c]	.54[c]	.53[c]	.61[c]	.39[c]	.37[c]	.31[c]
18 ContT2																		.88	.58[c]	.48[c]	.43[c]	.68[c]	.39[c]	.26[c]
19 ContT3																			.85	.63[c]	.30[c]	.38[c]	.50[c]	.34[c]
20 ContT4																				.83	.35[c]	.34[c]	.40[c]	.53[c]
21 DCT1																					.85	.52[c]	.47[c]	.38[c]
22 DCT2																						.84	.45[c]	.35[c]
23 DCT3																							.82	.56[c]
24 DCT4																								.84
M	3.76	3.72	3.72	3.61	2.86	2.98	2.87	2.98	3.44	3.47	3.36	3.29	3.05	3.17	3.14	2.99	3.45	3.47	3.36	3.29	3.37	3.33	3.15	3.10
Males	3.91	3.85	3.88	3.77	2.99	3.09	2.92	3.10	3,43	3.52	3.42	3.46	3.10	3.28	3.18	3.09	3.52	3.53	3.48	3.44	3.46	3.41	3.26	3.21

Females	3.62	3.60	3.57	3.45	2.73	2.87	2.82	2.87	3.45	3.42	3.30	3.12	2.99	3.06	3.09	2.93	3.31	3.37	3.24	3.14	3.28	3.26	3.05	3.00
SD	.62	.65	.68	.76	.80	.83	.81	.84	.69	.75	.71	.77	.73	.72	.72	.70	.73	.78	.79	.80	.76	.73	.75	.84
Males	.57	.63	.63	.73	.81	.84	.84	.81	.67	.77	.72	.73	.74	.75	.75	.75	.71	.77	.80	.79	.72	.74	70	.77
Females	.63	.65	.68	.78	.78	.83	.84	.85	.70	.73	.70	.78	.72	.70	.68	.67	.74	.80	.76	.74	.78	.74	.77	.87

377 **Table 1.** *Means (M), Standard Deviations (SD), and Bivariate Correlations between the Study Variables*. Cronbach´s alphas are presented in the

378 diagonal.

379 Note 1. c= $p < .001$, b= $p < .01$, a= $p < .05$ Selfes = Self-esteem, Conc = Concern, Confi = Confidence, Curio = Curiosity, Cont= Control, DC = Dual

380 career concern, , T1 = measurement point 1, T2 = measurement point 2, T3 = measurement point 3, T4 = measurement point 4.

381

Concern .69

.67

DCConcern

.38
.47
.52
.57
.51

Concern
DCConcern
Control
Curiosity
Confidence

.68

.69

.29**

CA_T1   SE_T1   .62   Self-esteem

DC Concern

.66
.66
.68

.59

.81

.92

.47***   .50***

Level of career adaptability

.82   Control

.92

.18**

.88

Gender

382

Curiosity

.75
.70
.71
.70

.60   .60

.60

.62

.72   .66

.69

Confidence   .66

Concern
DCConcern
Control
Curiosity
Confidence

.46
.58
.59
.61
.66

.29***

CA_T2   SE_T2   .64   Self-esteem

Concern
DCConcern
Control
Curiosity
Confidence

.33
.30
.51
.64
.54

.56***

CA_T3   SE_T3   .69   Self-esteem

.41***   .38***

Concern
DCConcern
Control
Curiosity
Confidence

.35
.47
.51
.27
.53

CA_T4   SE_T4   .77   Self-esteem

.45***

.78

.77

.73

.64

Level of self-esteem

.27***

383   *Figure 1*. Factor model of career adaptability, self-esteem, and gender (Standardized coefficients; Only statistically significant paths reported). SE_T1

384   = specific factor of self-esteem at time point 1, SE_T2 = specific factor of self-esteem at time point 2, SE_T3 = specific factor at time point 3, SE_T4

385   = specific factor of self-esteem at time point 4, CA_T1 = specific factor for adaptability at time point 1, CA_T2 = specific factor for adaptability at

386   time point 2, CA_T3 = specific factor for adaptability at time point 3, CA_T4 = specific factor for adaptability at time point 4.