

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Gråstén, Arto; Kokkonen, Marja

Title: Physical Education Teachers' Perceived Sexual and Physical Violence and Work-related Stress

Year: 2021

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2020 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

Rights: In Copyright

Rights url: <http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en>

Please cite the original version:

Gråstén, A., & Kokkonen, M. (2021). Physical Education Teachers' Perceived Sexual and Physical Violence and Work-related Stress. *Journal of School Violence*, 20(1), 62-75.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/15388220.2020.1833736>

1 **Physical Education Teachers' Perceived Sexual and Physical Violence and Work-**
2 **related Stress**

3

4 This study investigated the relationships between sexual (verbal and non-verbal sexual
5 harassment) and physical violence against physical education (PE) teachers and work-
6 related stress. Participants were 175 (females 122, males 53) Finnish PE teachers aged
7 between 27 and 62 years. The findings showed that 1) higher perceived physical vio-
8 lence was positively associated with higher perceived non-verbal sexual harassment and
9 work-related stress; 2) teachers with a sport science degree perceived higher work-re-
10 lated stress mediated by physical violence than other teachers; 3) longer-serving PE
11 teachers reported lower verbal sexual harassment than teachers with less teaching expe-
12 rience; and 4) female PE teachers reported higher work-related stress than male teach-
13 ers. These results indicated that violence against PE teachers is multidimensional. To
14 prevent work-related stress in PE teachers, school authorities could encourage teachers
15 to report student threats as a violence prevention strategy in schools and provide appro-
16 priate support for teachers who have been victims of violence.

17

18 Keywords: school; harassment; victimization; verbal; non-verbal; structural equation
19 model

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Introduction

27 Violence against teachers is a serious cause of work-related stress in the profession
28 (Bounds & Jenkins, 2018; Espelage et al., 2013; Pas et al., 2012). Physical education
29 (PE) is unique in that, unlike other school subjects, PE teachers usually help students
30 through physical contact or touching to complete movements or prevent injury when
31 performing movements (Öhman & Grunberg-Sandell, 2015). PE teachers can also be
32 victims as well as perpetrators of physical violence and sexual harassment (Steffgen &
33 Ewen, 2007). Past studies on violence in schools have focused on violence among stu-
34 dents (Bounds & Jenkins, 2018; Gerberich et al., 2014; Mármol et al., 2018) to the ne-
35 glect of sexual and physical violence against teachers by students in school PE classes
36 (Taylor & Garratt, 2010). This is an important issue, as the consequences of student ag-
37 gression against teachers can be serious (Maeng et al., 2020). The present study aimed
38 to extend previous findings by investigating the associations between two types of inter-
39 personal violence, sexual and physical violence, and work-related stress from the per-
40 spective of PE teachers.

41 In Finland, the site of this study, all schools follow a national PE curriculum,
42 which specifies the objectives and core contents of PE. Education is publicly funded at
43 all levels while schools are responsible for the teaching arrangements and the effective-
44 ness and the quality of the education given. Currently, comprehensive school students
45 (grades 1 to 9) are required to have two or three PE classes per week. Each class, in-
46 cluding active and non-active periods, lasts 45 minutes. Schools may, if they wish, pro-
47 vide additional classes. Schools are also free to determine how students are grouped
48 (Finnish National Agency for Education, 2016). Elementary school children in grades
49 one and two are typically taught in mixed PE groups by their class teachers. Thereafter,
50 in elementary (grades three to six) and in middle (grades seven to nine) and high school,

51 students are usually taught in gender-based groups, girls by female subject teachers and
52 boys by male subject teachers.

53 In this study, interpersonal violence refers to both sexual and physical violence.
54 Sexual harassment as a form of sexual violence (Ferrara et al., 2019; Krug et al., 2002)
55 ranges from unwanted, threatening, or offensive touching (physical) to gesturing (non-
56 verbal) and inappropriate jokes or offensive comments (verbal) based on stereotypes,
57 sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation (Bendixen et al., 2018; Fasting, 2015). Verbal
58 sexual harassment includes, for example, derogatory sexual remarks, sexual jokes, sex-
59 ual questions, requests for intercourse, and the spreading of rumors (Bendixen et al.,
60 2018; Chiodo et al., 2009), whereas non-verbal sexual harassment comprises sexually
61 suggestive facial or bodily signals, whistling, winking, leering, howling, or making kiss-
62 ing sounds (Bendixen et al., 2018). The few previous studies on the sexual harassment
63 of teachers found that the phenomenon is relatively infrequent in schools. For instance,
64 in a nationwide internet-based survey in Dutch secondary schools, about three percent
65 of teachers reported sexual harassment (verbal, non-verbal, or physical) by students
66 (Mooij, 2011). In a survey conducted by the Institute of Criminology and Legal Policy
67 (Salmi & Kivivuori, 2009), nearly three percent of Finnish school teachers reported har-
68 assment by students and just one percent of K-12 teachers in the US reported that sexual
69 harassment in their workplace is very or extremely common (Kurtz et al., 2018). How-
70 ever, no centralized database exists on sexual harassment and sexual violence in schools
71 (Women and Equalities Committee, 2016). Furthermore, little research on violence
72 against teachers, especially on sexual harassment, has been published (Lahelma, et al.,
73 2000; Mooij, 2011). Thus, to extend the few previous findings, studies on sexual harass-
74 ment against teachers are clearly needed.

75 Physical violence is defined as the intentional, one-time or repeated rough inflict-
76 tion of pain or bodily injuries by another person that involves a potential risk for visible
77 or non-visible physical harm and consequences (Krug et al., 2002). Previous studies
78 have shown that physical violence against teachers varies somewhat across countries.
79 For example, a national study conducted in the United States revealed that 44% of K-12
80 teachers reported at least one experience of physical violence in the current or past year
81 (McMahon et al., 2014). A study conducted in Slovakia (Dzuka & Dalbert, 2007) found
82 that 5% of secondary school teachers had experienced physical violence within the past
83 thirty days, while about 26% of elementary and middle school teachers in Finland re-
84 ported that they had occasionally been subjected to verbal, non-verbal, and physical as-
85 saults by students (Kauppi & Pörhölä, 2012). Cultural and school-level differences
86 aside, the overall prevalence of violence against teachers appears to be similar in many
87 countries (Dzuka & Dalbert, 2007; James et al., 2008; Kauppi & Pörhölä, 2012; Moon
88 & McCluskey, 2018). However, despite research findings that student aggression is a
89 crucial factor underlying teachers' work-related stress (Espelage et al., 2013), research
90 on the topic remains scarce.

91 According to Derogatis (1987), stress is a state of psychological pressure influ-
92 enced by personality (e.g., behavior, attitudes, coping mechanisms), environmental fac-
93 tors (e.g., occupational satisfaction) and emotional responses (e.g., anxiety, depression).
94 In short, stress is an outcome of individuals' inability to cope with their surrounding en-
95 vironment (Dobson & Smith, 2000). Short-term and temporary stress can be positive,
96 but being under continuous stress at work may cause, for example, job dissatisfaction
97 and general exhaustion in teaching jobs (Gillespie et al., 2001). To avoid such negative
98 effects of stress, previous findings indicate that teachers' occupational well-being de-
99 pends on the presence of positive factors such as good relations with students and the

100 absence of negative factors such as difficult relationships with students (Aldrup et al.,
101 2018) and teacher-directed violence (Galand et al., 2007). However, studies have shown
102 that teaching is a high-stress profession. For instance, the Teacher Wellbeing Index
103 (2019) showed that 73% of school teachers in the UK reported feeling stressed during
104 the current year. Similarly, approximately 61% of US school teachers felt stressed at
105 work (Educator Quality of Work Life Survey, 2017), and 43% of Finnish school teach-
106 ers reported often or very often experiencing work-related stress during the past year
107 (Opetusalan työolobarometri, 2017). Many studies have found that violence against
108 teachers by students is directly related to teacher stress levels and higher rates of burn-
109 out (e.g., Bounds & Jenkins, 2018; Espelage et al., 2013; Pas et al., 2012). Despite this,
110 and the fact that PE is very different in its nature from other school subjects, the associ-
111 ations between different types of violence and PE teachers' work-related stress have not
112 yet been investigated.

113 One of the primary shortcomings of the existing body of research is that most
114 studies have focused on student experiences of violence (Espelage et al., 2013; Galand
115 et al., 2007). Teachers have been regarded as a source of information about student be-
116 havior rather than as witnesses or victims of school violence (Nicolaidis et al., 2002). In
117 addition, quantitative research among teachers has usually focused only on one or a few
118 types of violence (Buda, 2009; Mooij, 2011). The present study contributes to filling
119 these research gaps by investigating the associations between sexual and physical vio-
120 lence against teachers and perceived work-related stress. Since violence against teachers
121 has previously been explained by teacher's gender (Mooij, 2011; Salmi & Kivivuori,
122 2009), years of teaching experience (Lokmić et al., 2013), student age (Chen & Astor,
123 2009; Dzuka & Dalbert, 2007), school setting (Bounds & Jenkins, 2018), and pedagogi-
124 cal choices (Mooij, 2011), the covariables of teacher's gender, teaching experience,

125 teaching level, region, and type of tertiary education were included in the present analy-
126 sis. This information may be of value in improving schools' procedures and policies
127 aimed at preventing violence against teachers.

128 The specific aim of this study was to investigate the relationships between
129 teacher-directed non-verbal sexual harassment, verbal sexual harassment, and physical
130 violence, and work-related stress in a sample of PE teachers. In addition, the covariate
131 effects of teacher's gender, teaching experience, teaching level, region, and type of ter-
132 tiary education on teacher-directed non-verbal sexual harassment, verbal sexual harass-
133 ment, physical violence, and work-related stress were tested (Figure 1). Based on previ-
134 ously established associations, physical violence was expected to be positively linked
135 with higher work-related stress. Female PE teachers were expected to have higher work-
136 related stress levels, and PE teachers with less teaching experience were expected to re-
137 port more sexual harassment and physical violence than more experienced counterparts.

138

139

Method

140 Participants and Procedure

141 The sample comprised 175 PE teachers aged between 27 to 62 years ($M = 44.8 \pm 9.2$
142 years) recruited across eighteen regions in Finland (Table 1). Nearly 96% of participants
143 reported themselves as heterosexual, four participants as mostly heterosexual (2.3%)
144 and three participants as homosexual or other (1.7%). Mean teaching experience was 16
145 years, ranging from one to 38. Data were collected anonymously by a digital survey be-
146 tween September and November 2018. The link to the online survey was published on
147 the website of the Association of Physical and Health Educators in Finland. It was also
148 directly sent to individual PE teachers and school principals. Prospective participants

149 were informed that participation was voluntary and anonymous. They were also in-
150 formed on the opening page of the online survey about the objectives and methodology
151 of the study, potential disadvantages of participating in the study, data processing meth-
152 ods to be used, and the channels through which the study results would be published. To
153 move on from the opening page, participants had to provide their consent by ticking a
154 box. Participants were encouraged to answer honestly and assured that their responses
155 were confidential. On completion of the survey, participants' data were automatically
156 saved on the digital database of the local university and processed by the researchers.
157 Study approval was obtained from the ethics committee of the local university.

158

159 **Measures**

160 Teachers provided details of their gender, tertiary education, region, level of teaching,
161 and years of teaching experience using a structured response format. Previous tertiary
162 education comprised six options (Master of Sport Science, Bachelor of Sport Science,
163 Master of Health Science, sport instructor, Master of Education with specialization in
164 PE, Master of Education without specialization in PE), level of teaching seven options
165 (elementary one to six, middle school seven to nine, high school, vocational school, uni-
166 versity or university of applied sciences, combined elementary and middle school one to
167 nine, and combined middle and high school), and region included all 18 geographical
168 regions of Finland. Teaching experience was measured with the direct question "*In to-
169 tal, how many years you have worked as a PE teacher during your work career?*" and
170 the responses were rounded to the nearest year.

171 Sexual (verbal and non-verbal sexual harassment) and physical violence against
172 teachers in the school PE context were assessed using an eight-item scale derived from

173 previous harassment studies. The item stem was: “*During the last school year, how of-*
174 *ten have the students in your groups...*” Verbal sexual harassment was measured using a
175 latent variable including the following items modified (y1-y5) from the harassment
176 studies of Witkowska and Kjällberg (2005), Dahlqvist et al. (2016), and Peter et al.
177 (2016) on adolescents in the school context: “*Spread sexually colored rumors about*
178 *you,*” “*Called you names or insulted you in a degrading, sexually colored way,*” “*Called*
179 *you a slut,*” “*Asked about your gender or your sexual orientation in an inappropriate*
180 *context,*” and “*Commented on your gender or your sexual orientation in an offensive*
181 *way.*” Non-verbal sexual harassment was measured using a latent variable including the
182 following two modified items (y6-y7) from the Sexual Experiences Questionnaire
183 (SEQ—DoD) by Fitzgerald et al. (1999): “*Gestured or signaled to you in a sexually*
184 *charged or suggestive way (e.g., hand signs, body language)*” and “*Made sounds that*
185 *you perceived as inappropriate or sexually colored (e.g., shouted after you, whistled,*
186 *gaspd or smacked).*” Because the sexual harassment variables have not been previously
187 used in a school PE context, confirmatory factor analysis was performed. Physical vio-
188 lence was assessed using a single item: “*Pushed, bumped, slapped, pinched, and*
189 *punched or otherwise physically assaulted you.*” Participants rated the frequency of
190 each incident over the past year on a scale from *never (1)* to *almost daily (5)*.

191 Work-related stress was measured using a direct question “*Have you felt this kind*
192 *of stress because of your work as a teacher during the previous or current school*
193 *year?*” The introduction preceding the item was derived from Elo et al. (2003), who de-
194 scribed stress as a situation in which a person feels tense, restless, nervous or anxious or
195 is unable to sleep at night because his or her mind is troubled all the time. The responses
196 were rated using a five-point Likert-scale ranging from *not at all (1)* to *very much (5)*.

197

198 **Data Analyses**

199 First, normality of the distribution, outliers, and missing values were examined. Correla-
200 tion coefficients, means, and standard deviations were calculated for each study varia-
201 ble. To test the relationships between the covariables (teacher's gender, teaching level,
202 region, tertiary education, teaching experience), with non-verbal and verbal sexual har-
203 assment, physical violence, and work-related stress, a path model was implemented. In
204 addition, indirect effects between work-related stress and the covariables through the
205 sexual harassment variables were tested by adding the sexual harassment variables one
206 by one as mediators into the model. Gender differences were examined using a two-
207 group protocol provided by Muthén and Muthén (2013), in which two nested models
208 can be tested by constraining subsequent parameters to be equal.

209 Chi-square test (χ^2) was used to test the overall goodness-of-fit of the model. A
210 non-significant difference ($p > .05$) between the observed distribution and the theoretic-
211 al distribution demonstrates acceptable fit of the data. To determine the suitability of
212 the model, the standardized root mean square residual (*SRMR*), the root mean square er-
213 ror of approximation (*RMSEA*), the comparative fit index (*CFI*), and the Tucker-Lewis
214 index (*TLI*) were also examined. A *SRMR* value of less than .06 is generally considered
215 as a good model fit and a *RMSEA* value of .08 or less indicates an acceptable model fit.
216 For the *CFI* and *TLI* indices, values greater than .95 are indicative of an excellent model
217 fit (Kline, 2005). The proportion of variance was analyzed using a squared multiple cor-
218 relation value. The preliminary analyses including missing value analysis, and descrip-
219 tive statistics were analyzed using SPSS Version 26.0 and the path model with Mplus
220 Version 8.3.

221

222

Results

223 **Preliminary Analyses**

224 The data were approximately normally distributed, but three significant outliers were-
225 detected for the non-verbal sexual harassment variable based on the standardized values
226 (± 3.00) (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007). The outliers were removed, as they caused an un-
227 acceptable Cronbach alpha value ($\alpha = .49$). After removing the outliers, the alphas sup-
228 ported the internal consistency of the subscales of non-verbal sexual harassment ($\alpha =$
229 $.69$) and verbal sexual harassment ($\alpha = .82$). A total of 0.8% of missing values (12 out
230 of 1 593 values) were identified, as ten teachers did not fully complete the online form.
231 The Missing Completely at Random (MCAR) test ($\chi^2 = 59.886$, $df = 46$, $p = .082$) indi-
232 cated that the data with and without missing values were similar. Therefore, the missing
233 values were considered to be missing completely at random (Little & Rubin, 2002).

234

235 **Descriptive Statistics**

236 Pearson's correlation coefficients, means, standard deviations, and the composite relia-
237 bility values of the study variables were examined (Table 2). The results showed that
238 the associations between variables ranged from low to moderate. The strongest positive
239 correlation was found between verbal sexual harassment and physical violence, whereas
240 the strongest negative association was detected between work-related stress and gender.
241 In general, the non-verbal and verbal sexual harassment and physical violence variables
242 had relatively low mean scores. About 4% of teachers had experienced physical vio-
243 lence and 5.2% non-verbal sexual harassment by their students a few times per year,
244 whereas 0.6% had experienced verbal sexual harassment monthly. Nearly 28% of teach-
245 ers described themselves as stressed quite or very much during the current or past
246 school year.

247

248 **Confirmatory Factor Analysis**

249 A confirmatory factors analysis was implemented to test the factor structures of the non-
250 verbal and verbal sexual harassment scale. The fit indices revealed an unacceptable fac-
251 tor structure for the scale ($\chi^2(13) = 21.943, p = .056, CFI = .91, TLI = .85, RMSEA =$
252 $.062, 90\% CI [.00, .11], SRMR = .057$). Based on the modification indices, the residual
253 variances of the individual items “*Asked about your gender or sexual orientation in an*
254 *inappropriate context*” and “*Commented on your gender or your sexual orientation in*
255 *an offensive way*” were allowed to correlate (y4 and y5). The modified model showed
256 an excellent factor structure ($\chi^2(12) = 10.279, p = .592, CFI = 1.00, TLI = 1.03, RMSEA$
257 $= .000, 90\% CI [.00, .07], SRMR = .051$). In addition, the composite reliability values
258 for both the non-verbal and verbal harassment subscales were acceptable (Table 2).
259 Based on this, the reliability of the present scale was adequate for the path model.

260

261 **The Model of Sexual Violence, Physical Violence, and Work-related Stress**

262 To examine the relationships between gender, level of teaching, region, tertiary educa-
263 tion, teaching experience, non-verbal and verbal sexual harassment, physical violence,
264 and work-related stress, a path model was implemented. The model including correla-
265 tions between non-verbal sexual harassment, verbal sexual harassment, and physical vi-
266 olence (Figure 2) showed excellent fit to the data ($\chi^2(51) = 61.054, p = .158, CFI = .96,$
267 $TLI = .93, RMSEA = .035, 90\% CI [.00, .06], SRMR = .051$).

268 A negative direct path was found between work experience and verbal sexual har-
269 assment, with longer work experience associated with lower verbal harassment scores.
270 In addition to a negative direct path between gender and work-related stress, another
271 negative path was detected between tertiary education and physical violence, indicating

272 that teachers with a sport science degree perceived higher physical violence than teach-
273 ers with other tertiary education. Perceptions of physical violence were positively asso-
274 ciated with non-verbal sexual harassment and with work-related stress. An indirect path
275 from education to work-related stress mediated by physical violence was detected ($\beta =$
276 $-.02$, $SE = .01$, $p < .05$). No further indirect paths were found.

277 A two-group test revealed a significant gender difference in the mean scores for
278 work-related stress ($\chi^2(1) = 9.491$, $p < .01$) with female teachers ($2.66 \pm .74$) reporting
279 higher work-related stress than male teachers ($2.58 \pm .80$). Squared multiple correlations
280 revealed that the model explained 8% of the variability of work-related stress in the cur-
281 rent sample.

282

283

Discussion

284 This study investigated the relationships between sexual and physical violence against
285 PE teachers and their perceptions of work-related stress. The key findings were: 1)
286 higher perceived physical violence was positively associated with higher non-verbal
287 sexual harassment and work-related stress; 2) teachers' with a sport science degree per-
288 ceived higher work-related stress mediated by physical violence than teachers with
289 other tertiary education; 3) longer-serving PE teachers reported lower verbal harassment
290 than teachers with less teaching experience; and 4) female PE teachers reported higher
291 work-related stress than male teachers.

292 The present study is the first empirical study to investigate the associations of sex-
293 ual and physical violence with work-related stress in a sample of PE teachers. In line
294 with previous findings among teachers with a variety of subjects (Bounds & Jenkins,
295 2018; Espelage et al., 2013; Pas et al., 2012), higher perceived physical violence against

296 PE teachers was associated with higher perceived work-related stress. This is concern-
297 ing, as it can negatively influence teachers' job satisfaction, burnout rate, personal lives,
298 and effectiveness as a teacher (McMahon et al. 2014; Reddy et al. 2013). In doing so,
299 students can also suffer from teacher-directed violence through poor learning environ-
300 ment, having distracted or disengaged teachers, or receiving little attention (Bounds &
301 Jenkins, 2016). A study of Ozkiloglu and Kartal (2012) showed that many teachers who
302 experience some kind of teacher-directed violence report being worse teachers to their
303 students, and in turn teach them less, which may also cause higher stress in teachers.

304 On the other hand, neither non-verbal nor verbal sexual harassment correlated
305 with perceived stress. One possible explanation may be that PE teachers do not even
306 identify these behaviors as violence, as long as they do not get physical. Alternatively,
307 PE teachers of the present study might tolerate certain suggestive behaviors and sounds
308 in the PE environment to a higher degree than they would in any other school space be-
309 cause of characteristics specific to PE. For instance, PE classes are very different in na-
310 ture than classroom teaching, as typically a plenty of opportunities for social interac-
311 tions (Garn et al., 2011), the competitive and sometimes frustrating situations (Eldar &
312 Ayvazo, 2009), and physical contact and touching (Öhman & Grunberg-Sandell, 2015)
313 are included. In addition, it could be that an adult, physically competent, and often
314 physically fit PE teacher might find it particularly difficult to confess that students'
315 (who are typically minors) behavior has made them feel stressed, uneasy, offended or
316 threatened. Thus, a threshold for reporting sexual harassment from students in a study
317 could be very high. However, these findings support the postulation that teachers expe-
318 riencing any sort of violence while working are in dire need of attention and support
319 (Berlanda et al., 2019). If schools are going to help these teachers, more research is

320 needed to understand the violence they experience, as well as implementing programs
321 and training to help decreasing the rates of school violence (Bounds & Jenkins, 2016).

322 Next, the results showed that higher perceived physical violence was positively
323 associated with higher non-verbal sexual harassment. This finding is interesting, as this
324 specific association has not previously been reported (Lahelma et al., 2000; Mooij,
325 2011). For some reason, similar correlations between verbal sexual harassment and
326 physical violence did not emerge, because it may be that teachers less likely report ver-
327 bal violence from their students (Bound & Jenkins, 2016). It may be that PE teachers
328 are somewhat more tolerant for verbal aggressions, maybe because of the PE context
329 specific characteristics as suggested earlier, especially when teaching secondary school-
330 aged students (Bound & Jenkins, 2016) (as most PE teachers in the current study.)

331 However, the present results also support previous findings that violence against teach-
332 ers is a multidimensional phenomenon linked to the various behaviors on different lev-
333 els from the physical to the emotional and psychological (De Cordova et al., 2019).

334 These findings make it difficult to draw an overall picture of the complex factors under-
335 lying student violence against PE teachers. A common contributory cause is the igno-
336 rance of moral values and appropriate behavior in general due to lack of education in
337 the family and children's inability to recognize any kind of authority, especially when it
338 comes to showing respect for others (Lokmić et al., 2013). Positive teacher-student and
339 teacher-parent relationships can foster cooperation between families and schools and
340 protect against the negative effects of violence in schools (Aldrup et al., 2018). For ex-
341 ample, schools could keep parents involved by informing them about violence preven-
342 tion activities and school policies, raise parental awareness on how to recognize violent
343 behavior, and work with parents to improve key parenting skills (World Health Organi-

344 zation, 2019). Social support from other school staff may help in dealing with challeng-
345 ing behavior and teacher-directed violence at school (Galand et al., 2007; Kauppi &
346 Pörhölä, 2012). School psychologists are well-equipped to support teachers through di-
347 rect consultation and professional counseling, and recommending other counseling ser-
348 vices (Bounds & Jenkins, 2018). In the event of a violent situation, supportive collegial
349 relationships between teachers and principals are useful in building a school culture
350 where problems can be shared and solutions considered as a community (Acton & Glas-
351 gow, 2015). To summarize, extensive collaboration between teachers, principals, par-
352 ents, and other school staff can facilitate positive working relationships in schools and
353 inhibit violent student behavior against teachers.

354 PE teachers with sport science degrees experienced work-related stress more fre-
355 quently than teachers with other type of degrees. This result supports previous studies of
356 Mooij (2011) and Tiesman et al. (2013), who found that the experiences of teacher-di-
357 rected violence may differ across pedagogies and among educational workers. It should
358 be noted that most of the present PE teachers had a sport science degree, and hence the
359 higher numbers of PE classes taught by these teachers may explain the differences be-
360 tween them and PE teachers with other educational backgrounds. This finding, how-
361 ever, draws attention to the content of current PE teacher education. It is possible that
362 other teachers received more training during their university studies on occupational
363 well-being and practical tools for coping with the stressors of work life than PE teach-
364 ers. In Finland, the traditional PE teacher education is heavily focused on students' own
365 motor skills. Another possible explanation for this finding may be that most PE teach-
366 ers with a sport science degree work with middle-school students, whereas the role of
367 teachers with other educational backgrounds is marginal at the middle-school level. Alt-
368 hough elementary and middle schools showed no differences in the mean frequency of

369 student aggression, student age (Chen & Astor, 2009; Dzuka & Dalbert, 2007) may be
370 relevant in some other way. Typically, elementary school teachers and health education
371 teachers also teach other subjects to the same groups. It may be that group stability and
372 the variation in teaching contexts may facilitate better teacher-student relationships. PE
373 teachers usually teach the same students once a week, and hence the teacher does not
374 have much time per student. Additionally, compared to traditional classroom teaching,
375 students have more space to express themselves in both positive and negative ways.
376 This could be a significant factor in the incidence of violence against teachers. How-
377 ever, based on the present results, firm conclusions cannot be drawn on the relationships
378 between type of teacher education, physical violence, and work-related stress. More in-
379 formation on teachers' pedagogies, class management, coping skills, and student de-
380 mographics is needed to clarify these findings.

381 In line with Lokmić et al. (2013), who studied violence against teachers in gen-
382 eral, the longer-serving PE teachers in the present sample reported less verbal sexual
383 harassment than the teachers with less teaching experience. De Cordova et al. (2019)
384 suggest that teachers with longer experience may be better at maintaining discipline and
385 building good relationships with their students (teachers can influence students' reac-
386 tions by their approach and way of teaching), and also less sensitive to provocation by
387 students. For instance, well-prepared and interestingly presented content (Lokmić et al.,
388 2013), good communication with students, including setting boundaries for acceptable
389 behavior (Aldrup et al., 2018), and the teacher creating a pleasant working atmosphere
390 by example (Lokmić et al., 2013) may help prevent student misbehavior. Another expla-
391 nation could be that social relationships between students and teachers are rather infor-
392 mal in Finnish schools, meaning that while teachers are easily approachable by students,
393 the threshold for undesirable student behavior may be also lower (Kauppi & Pörhölä,

394 2012). A major problem in estimating the frequency of verbal sexual harassment against
395 teachers is that most teachers who experience this do not report it (Kurtz et al., 2018).
396 As in cases of physical violence, school authorities could encourage PE teachers to re-
397 port verbal sexual harassment, although it may feel as negligible (Maeng et al., 2020).
398 In less serious cases, schools may impose disciplinary measures appropriate to the mis-
399 behavior, consider conflict resolution, or take other actions responsive to the student's
400 needs (National Threat Assessment Center, 2018). As discussed above, the reasons for
401 the higher frequency of the verbal sexual harassment of teachers with less teaching ex-
402 perience are multidimensional. Since the problem may manifest itself differently in vari-
403 ous schools, solutions should also vary. In the first place, cooperation between families
404 and schools (Aldrup et al., 2018), clear reporting procedures (Maeng et al., 2020), and
405 action plans to prevent verbal sexual harassment (Cohen, 2013) could serve as effective
406 violence prevention strategies in schools.

407 As expected, and consistent with previous international studies irrespective of sig-
408 nificant differences in education systems, female PE teachers reported a higher level of
409 work-related stress than male teachers (Al-Mohannadi & Capel, 2007; Antoniou et al.,
410 2006; Ravichandran & Rajendran, 2007). In contrast, a previous survey conducted
411 among teachers in Finland found no gender differences in perceived work-related stress
412 (Opetusalan työolobarometri, 2017). Interpreting these contradictory results is not a
413 straightforward matter, owing to multiple variables that have not all been considered in
414 all studies. For instance, Antoniou et al. (2006) found that Greek female teachers'
415 higher levels of stress was generally associated with negative conditions in the class-
416 room and student misbehavior, whereas Bounds and Jenkins (2018) found that school
417 location (urban or suburban) also had a significant impact on teachers' perceived work-
418 related stress. Irrespective of the causes of work-related stress among female teachers,

419 the stress experienced by a particular teacher is unique to her and will depend on a com-
420 plex interaction between her personality, values and skills and the prevailing teaching
421 conditions (Montgomery & Rupp, 2005). Based on the findings of Montgomery and
422 Rupp (2005), female teachers, in particular, could benefit from a review and, where nec-
423 essary, reorganization of their working conditions (e.g., class sizes), work routine, re-
424 sources, and workload. Schools could also provide teachers with additional training and
425 education in stress management and the reconciliation of work and family life, which
426 may often be more challenging for female teachers. It should be considered that the pro-
427 portion of female teachers in the current sample was larger than male teachers. In addi-
428 tion, as female PE teachers typically teach girls and males instruct boys' PE groups, it
429 may bring up some variation in perceived work-related stress. However, based on the
430 current data, it is impossible to evaluate impacts of the disproportion on perceived
431 work-related stress levels.

432 It can be concluded from these results that early signs of student aggression, such
433 as threats, towards PE teachers should be taken seriously before the aggression becomes
434 physical. For instance, school authorities could encourage teachers to report threats as a
435 violence prevention strategy in their schools (Maeng et al., 2020). Previous studies have
436 also revealed that schools with a more authoritative school climate have lower rates of
437 aggression against teachers than schools with a permissive climate (Berg & Cornell,
438 2016; Huang et al., 2017). This means that while the school climate is strict, it is also
439 positive and has fair disciplinary practices and supportive teacher-student relationships
440 (Cornell et al., 2016). Appropriate strategies for creating a positive climate and atmos-
441 phere in school PE classes should be aimed at promoting 1) *physical and emotional*
442 *safety* (clearly communicated rules and norms about physical violence and verbal abuse,
443 such as that students are required to use language in a precise and appropriate manner),

444 2) *supportive teaching practices* (all students are given opportunities to succeed; PE
445 teachers encourage students to try out new techniques, show them how to learn from
446 mistakes, and talk to them about how certain actions will affect others), 3) *respect for*
447 *diversity* (all students are listened to and their opinions count; groups and teams are reg-
448 ularly changed and do not always consist of the same students), and 4) *a health-promot-*
449 *ing environment* (teachers promote a sense of belonging and commitment by taking stu-
450 dents' individual needs into account and clearly inform students and parents on how a
451 student can improve her or his PE performance) (Cohen, 2013). Finally, to prevent
452 work-related stress in PE teachers, school communities could provide appropriate sup-
453 port for teachers who have been victims of violence (World Health Organization, 2019).
454 As the strategies listed above indicate, there are many ways to improve the school cli-
455 mate that apply to PE classes. However, as emphasized by Cohen (2013), improving the
456 school climate should be a continuous process comprising climate assessments, the in-
457 terpretation of findings, and the development of action plans. Thus, improving the
458 school climate concerns the whole school community.

459

460 **Strengths and Limitations**

461 The present study is highly topical, as the recent "Me Too" movement brought the idea
462 of sexual harassment and assault into the public debate. Studies on sexual harassment
463 against PE teachers had hitherto been lacking, whereas inter-student violence among
464 students has been widely researched. A key strength of the present study was the exami-
465 nation of three types of violence, namely verbal and non-verbal sexual harassment and
466 physical violence, which have not been concurrently examined among PE teachers.

467 However, the present study has its limitations. First, the data were gathered using
468 an internet-based format which did not permit controlling for participant demographics.

469 For instance, it was impossible to know whether the sample was representative of PE
470 teachers who have most frequently been subjected to sexual or physical violence by
471 their students. Furthermore, the study was cross-sectional, and therefore conclusions on
472 the causal relationships between sexual and physical violence and work-related stress
473 cannot be drawn. Finally, the study would have benefitted from a larger sample of PE
474 teachers representing different school levels and regions, as most participants were cur-
475 rently teaching at the middle-school level and located in the larger cities in Finland.
476 With these caveats, the present study extends previous findings on violence against PE
477 teachers and contributes to filling the research gaps in the literature. Future studies
478 could investigate topics that remain unclear, such as perceived sexual and physical vio-
479 lence in different types of schools in both urban and rural contexts and across a broader
480 student age range. More in-depth studies on the antecedents and consequences of sexual
481 violence in schools, especially sexual assaults against teachers, are needed.

482

483 **Conclusion**

484 The key findings of this study were, first, that violence against PE teachers is a multidimensional phenomenon, as perceived non-verbal sexual harassment and physical violence were closely related. Moreover, the results showed that the consequences of student violence towards teachers are serious. PE teachers who had been subjected to physical violence by their students were more likely to suffer from higher work-related stress. Longer-serving PE teachers may be better at building good relationships with their students and less sensitive to student provocation, as years of teaching experience was a significant predictor of lower perceived verbal sexual harassment. Alternatively, these teachers may have a lower awareness of sexual harassment, resulting in a lower reporting rate.

494 To prevent work-related stress in PE teachers, schools could focus on the creation
495 of a positive climate through collaboration with students and parents in which all parties
496 are listened to and a sense of belonging and commitment fostered. To combat violent
497 situations, schools could introduce up-to-date assessment procedures and action plans
498 that encourage a low threshold reporting by PE teachers of sexual harassment or physi-
499 cal violence-related incidents. School authorities could also encourage PE teachers to
500 report student threats as a violence prevention strategy and provide appropriate support
501 for teachers who have been victims of violence. To raise awareness of sexual violence
502 against teachers, the identification of types of sexual harassment could be considered
503 more effectively in PE teacher education programs. Supportive collegial relationships
504 between teachers and principals and relationships with parents are also useful in devel-
505 oping a school culture where problems such as violence can be shared and solutions
506 considered as a community.

507

508 **Funding Details**

509 This work was supported by the Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture (Grant no:
510 OKM/75/626/2018).

511

512 **Disclosure Statement**

513 No potential conflict of interests was reported by the authors.

514

515

516

517

518

References

- 519
- 520 Acton, R., & Glasgow, P. (2015). Teacher wellbeing in neoliberal contexts: a review of
521 the literature. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 40, 99–114.
522 <http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2015v40n8.6>
- 523 Aldrup, K., Klusmann, U., Lüdtke, O., Göllner, R., & Trautwein, U. (2018). Student
524 misbehavior and teacher well-being: testing the mediating role of the teacher-stu-
525 dent relationship. *Learning and Instruction*, 58, 126–136.
526 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2018.05.006>
- 527 Al-Mohannadi, A., & Capel, S. (2007). Stress in physical education teachers in Qatar.
528 *Social Psychology of Education*, 10, 55–75. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-006-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-006-9004-9)
529 [9004-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-006-9004-9)
- 530 Antoniou, A., Polychroni, F., & Vlachakis, A. (2006). Gender and age differences in oc-
531 cupational stress and professional burnout between primary and high-school
532 teachers in Greece. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 7, 682–690.
533 <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940610690213>
- 534 Bendixen, M., Daveronis, J., & Kennair, L. (2018). The effects of non-physical peer
535 sexual harassment on high school students' psychological well-being in Norway:
536 Consistent and stable findings across studies. *International Journal of Public*
537 *Health*, 63, 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-017-1049-3>
- 538 Berg, J., & Cornell, D. (2016). Authoritative school climate, aggression toward teach-
539 ers, and teacher distress in middle school. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 31, 122–
540 139. <https://doi.org/10.1037/spq0000132>

- 541 Berlanda, S., Fraizzoli, M., De Cordova, F., & Pedrazza, M. (2019). Psychosocial risks
542 and violence against teachers. Is it possible to promote well-being at work? *Inter-*
543 *national Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16.
544 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16224439>
- 545 Bounds, C., & Jenkins, L. (2016). Teacher-directed violence in relation to social support
546 and work stress. *Contemporary School Psychology*, 20, 336–344.
547 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40688-016-0091-0>
- 548 Bounds, C., & Jenkins, L. (2018). Teacher-directed violence and stress: the role of
549 school setting. *Contemporary School Psychology*, 22, 435–442.
550 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40688-018-0180-3>
- 551 Buda, R. (2009). Learning-testing process in classroom: An empirical simulation model.
552 *Computers and Education*, 52, 177–187.
553 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.07.008>
- 554 Chen, J., & Astor, R. (2009). Students' reports of violence against teachers in Taiwan-
555 ese schools. *Journal of School Violence*, 8, 2–17.
556 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15388220802067680>
- 557 Chiodo, D., Wolfe, D., Crooks, C., Hughes, R., & Jaffe, P. (2009). Impact of sexual har-
558 assment victimization by peers on subsequent adolescent victimization and adjust-
559 ment: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 45, 246–252.
560 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.01.006>
- 561 Cohen, J. (2013). Creating a positive school climate: A foundation for resilience (pp.
562 411–423). In: S. Goldstein & R. Brooks (eds.) *Handbook of Resilience in Chil-*
563 *dren*. New York, NY: Springer.

- 564 Cornell, D., Shukla, K., & Konold, T. (2016). Authoritative school climate and student
565 academic engagement, grades, and aspirations in middle and high schools. *AERA*
566 *Open*, 2, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F2332858416633184>
- 567 Dahlgvist, H., Landstedt, E., Young, R., & Gådin, K. (2016). Dimensions of peer sexual
568 harassment victimization and depressive symptoms in adolescence: A longitudinal
569 cross-lagged study in a Swedish sample. *Journal of Youth & Adolescence*, 45,
570 858–873. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-016-0446-x>
- 571 De Cordova, F., Berlanda, S., Pedrazza, M., & Fraizzoli, M. (2019). Violence at school
572 and the well-being of teachers. The importance of positive relationships. *Frontiers*
573 *in Psychology*, 10, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01807>
- 574 Derogatis, L. (1987). The Derogatis stress profile (DSP): Quantification of psychologi-
575 cal stress. *Advances in Psychosomatic Medicine*, 17, 30–54.
576 <https://doi.org/10.1159/000414005>
- 577 Dobson, H., & Smith, R. (2000). What is stress, and how does it affect reproduction?
578 *Animal Reproduction Science*, 60–61, 743–752. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4320(00)00080-4)
579 [4320\(00\)00080-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4320(00)00080-4)
- 580 Dzuka, J., & Dalbert, C. (2007). Student violence against teachers: Teachers' well-being
581 and the belief in a just world. *European Psychologist*, 12, 253–260.
582 <https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040.12.4.253>
- 583 Education Quality of Work Life Survey (2017). Available at:
584 https://www.aft.org/sites/default/files/2017_eqwl_survey_web.pdf
- 585 Eldar, E. & Ayvazo, S. (2009). Education through the physical – rationale. *Education*
586 *and Treatment of Children*, 32 (3), 471–486.
- 587 Elo, A-L., Leppänen, A., & Jahkola, A. (2003). Validity of a single measure of stress

- 588 symptoms. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment, and Health*, 29, 444–
589 451. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.752>
- 590 Espelage, D., Anderman, E., Brown, V., Jones, A., Lane, K., McMahon, S., et al.
591 (2013). Understanding and preventing violence directed against teachers. *Ameri-
592 can Psychologist*, 68, 75–87. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031307>
- 593 Fasting, K. (2015). Assessing the sociology of sport: On sexual harassment research and
594 policy. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 50, 437–441.
595 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1012690214554272>
- 596 Ferrara, P., Franceschini, G., Villani, A., & Corsello, G. (2019). Physical, psychologi-
597 cal, and social impact of school violence on children. *Italian Journal of Pediat-
598 rics*, 45. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13052-019-0669-z>
- 599 Finnish National Agency for Education (2016). *National Core Curriculum for Basic
600 Education*. Helsinki: Finnish National Agency for Education.
- 601 Fitzgerald, L., Magley, V., Drasgow, F., & Waldo, C. (1999). Measuring sexual harass-
602 ment in the military: The Sexual Experiences Questionnaire (SEQ—DoD). *Mili-
603 tary Psychology*, 11, 243–263. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327876mp1103_3
- 604 Galand, B., Lecocq, C., & Philippot, P. (2007). School violence and teacher profes-
605 sional disengagement. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 77, 465–477.
606 <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709906X114571>
- 607 Garn, A. C., Ware, D. R. & Solmon, M. A. (2011). Student engagement in high school
608 physical education: Do social motivation orientations matter? *Journal of Teaching
609 in Physical Education*, 30, 84–98. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.30.1.84>

- 610 Gerberich, S., Nachreiner, N., Ryan, A., Church, T., McGovern, P., Geisser, M., et al.
611 (2014). Case-control study of student-perpetrated physical violence against educa-
612 tors. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 24, 325–332. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepi-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepi-dem.2014.02.006)
613 [dem.2014.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepi-dem.2014.02.006)
- 614 Gillespie, N., Walsh, M., Winefield, A., Dua, J., & Stough, C. (2001). Occupational
615 stress in universities: staff perceptions of the causes, consequences and modera-
616 tors of stress. *Work and stress*, 15, 53–72.
617 <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02678370117944>
- 618 Huang, F., Eddy, C., & Camp, E. (2017). The role of the perceptions of school climate
619 and teacher victimization by students. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
620 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260517721898>
- 621 James, D., Lawlor, M., Courtney, P., Flynn, A., Henry, B., & Murphy, N. (2008). Bully-
622 ing behaviour in secondary schools: What roles do teachers play? *Child Abuse Re-*
623 *view*, 17, 160–173. <https://doi.org/10.1002/car.1025>
- 624 Kauppi, T. & Pörhölä, M. (2012). School teachers bullied by their students: Teachers'
625 attributions and how they share their experiences. *Teaching and Teacher Educa-*
626 *tion*, 28, 1059–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2012.05.009>
- 627 Kline, R. (2005). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling* (2nd ed.).
628 New York: Guilford Press.
- 629 Krug, E., Dahlberg, L., Mercy, J., Zwi, A., & Lozano, R. (2002). *World Report on Vio-*
630 *lence and Health*. Available at: [https://www.who.int/violence_injury_preven-](https://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/world_report/en/summary_en.pdf)
631 [tion/violence/world_report/en/summary_en.pdf](https://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/world_report/en/summary_en.pdf)
- 632 Kurtz, H., Lloyd, S., Harwin, A., & Osher, M. (2018). *Sexual Harassment and Assault*
633 *in the K-12 Workplace: Results of a National Survey*. Available at:

- 634 <https://www.edweek.org/media/sexual-harassment-survey-report-education->
635 [week.pdf](https://www.edweek.org/media/sexual-harassment-survey-report-education-week.pdf)
- 636 Lahelma, E., Palmu, T., & Gordon, T. (2000). Intersecting power relations in teachers’
637 experiences of being sexualized or harassed by students. *Sexualities*, 3, 463–481.
638 <https://doi.org/10.1177/136346000003004006>
- 639 Little, R. & Rubin, D. (2002). *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data*. New York: Wiley.
- 640 Lokmić, M., Opić, S., & Bilić, V. (2013). Violence against teachers – Rule or excep-
641 tion? *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and*
642 *Education*, 1.
- 643 Maeng, J., Malone, M., & Cornell, D. (2020). Student threats of violence against teach-
644 ers: Prevalence and outcomes using a threat assessment approach. *Teaching and*
645 *Teacher Education*, 87, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.102934>
- 646 Mármol, A., Sánchez-Alcaraz Martínez, B., Valenzuela, A., & De La Cruz Sánchez, E.
647 (2018). Perceived violence, sociomoral attitudes and behaviours in school con-
648 texts. *Journal of Human Sport & Exercise*, 13, 138–148.
649 <https://doi.org/10.14198/jhse.2018.131.14>
- 650 McMahon, S., Martinez, A., Espelage, D., Rose, C., Reddy, L., Lane, K., et al.
651 (2014). Violence directed against teachers: Results from a national survey. *Psy-*
652 *chology in the Schools*, 51, 753–766. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.21777>
- 653 Montgomery, C., & Rupp, A. (2005). A meta-analysis for exploring the diverse causes
654 and effects of stress in teachers. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 28, 458–486.
655 <https://doi.org/10.2307/4126479>
- 656 Mooij, T. (2011). Secondary school teachers’ personal and school characteristics, expe-
657 rience of violence and perceived violence motives. *Teachers and Teaching: The-*
658 *ory and Practice*, 17, 227–253. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2011.539803>

- 659 Moon, B. & McCluskey, J. (2018). An exploratory study of violence and aggression
660 against teachers in middle and high schools: Prevalence, predictors, and negative
661 consequences. *Journal of School Violence*.
662 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15388220.2018.1540010>
- 663 Muthén, L., & Muthén, B. (2013). *Mplus User's Guide*. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén &
664 Muthén.
- 665 National Threat Assessment Center (2018). Enhancing school safety using a threat as-
666 sessment model: An operational guide for preventing targeted school violence.
667 U.S. Secret Service, Department of Homeland Security.
- 668 Nicolaidis, S., Toda, Y., & Smith, P. (2002). Knowledge and attitudes about school bul-
669 lying in trainee teachers. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 72, 105–118.
670 <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709902158793>
- 671 Opetusalan työolobarometri (2017). Available at: <https://www.oaj.fi/globalassets/julka->
672 [isut/2018/tyoolobarometri_final_0905_sivut.pdf](https://www.oaj.fi/globalassets/julka-isut/2018/tyoolobarometri_final_0905_sivut.pdf)
- 673 Ozkilic, R., & Kartal, H. (2012). Teachers bullied by their students: how their classes
674 influenced after being bullied? *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46,
675 3435–3439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.080>
- 676 Pas, E., Bradshaw, C., & Hershfeldt, P. (2012). Teacher- and school-level predictors of
677 teacher efficacy and burnout: Identifying potential areas for support. *Journal of*
678 *School Psychology*, 50, 129–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2011.07.003>
- 679 Peter, C., Tasker, T., & Horn, S. (2016). Adolescents' beliefs about harm, wrongness,
680 and school policies as predictors of sexual and gender-based harassment. *Psychol-*
681 *ogy of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 3, 426–431.
682 <https://doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000199>

- 683 Ravichandran, R., & Rajendran, R. (2007). Perceived sources of stress among the teach-
684 ers. *Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology*, 33, 133–136.
- 685 Reddy, L., Espelage, D., McMahon, S., Anderman, E., Lane, K., Brown, V., Reynoldsg,
686 C., Jones, A., & Kanrich, J. (2013). Violence against teachers: case studies from
687 the APA task force. *International Journal of School & Educational Psychology*, 1,
688 231–245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21683603.2013.837019>
- 689 Salmi, V., & Kivivuori, J. (2009). *Opettajiin kohdistuva häirintä ja väkivalta 2008 [Ha-*
690 *rassment and violence directed at teachers 2008]*. Helsinki: Verkkokatsauksia
691 10/2009.
- 692 Steffgen, G., & Even, N. (2007). Teachers as victims of school violence – The influence
693 of strain and school culture. *International Journal on Violence and Schools*, 3,
694 81–93.
- 695 Tabachnick, B. & Fidell, L. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics*. Boston: Allyn & Ba-
696 con.
- 697 Taylor, B., & Garratt, D. (2010). The professionalisation of sport coaching: relations of
698 power, resistance and compliance. *Sport, Education and Society*, 15, 121–139.
699 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573320903461103>
- 700 Teacher Wellbeing Index (2019). Available at: <https://www.educationsup->
701 [port.org.uk/sites/default/files/teacher_wellbeing_index_2019.pdf](https://www.educationsupport.org.uk/sites/default/files/teacher_wellbeing_index_2019.pdf)
- 702 Tiesman, H., Konda, S., Hendricks, S., Mercer, D., & Amandus, H. (2013). Workplace
703 violence among Pennsylvania education workers: Differences among occupations.
704 *Journal of Safety Research*, 44, 65–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2012.09.006>
- 705 Witkowska, E., & Kjellberg, A. (2005). Dimensions of peer sexual harassment in Swe-
706 dish high schools: What factor structures show the best fit to girls' and boys' self-
707 reports? *Sex Roles*, 53, 677–687. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-005-7733-4>

- 708 World Health Organization (2019). *School-based Violence Prevention: A Practical*
709 *Handbook*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- 710 Women and Equalities Committee (2016). *Sexual Harassment and Sexual Violence in*
711 *Schools*. Available at: [https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201617/cmselect/](https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201617/cmselect/cmwomeq/91/91.pdf)
712 [cmwomeq/91/91.pdf](https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201617/cmselect/cmwomeq/91/91.pdf)
- 713 Öhman, M., & Grunberg-Sandell, C. (2015). The pedagogical consequences of “no
714 touching” in physical education: The case of Sweden (pp. 70–84). In: H. Piper
715 (ed.) *Touch in Sports Coaching and Physical Education: Fear, Risk and Moral*
716 *Panic*. New York, NY: Routledge.