

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Lisko, Inna; Kulmala, Jenni; Annetorp, Martin; Ngandu, Tiia; Mangialasche, Francesca; Kivipelto, Miia

Title: How can dementia and disability be prevented in older adults : Where are we today and where are we going?

Year: 2021

Version: Published version

Copyright: © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons

Rights: CC BY 4.0

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Please cite the original version:

Lisko, I., Kulmala, J., Annetorp, M., Ngandu, T., Mangialasche, F., & Kivipelto, M. (2021). How can dementia and disability be prevented in older adults : Where are we today and where are we going?. Journal of Internal Medicine, 289(6), 807-830. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.13227

Review

Check for updates

How can dementia and disability be prevented in older adults: where are we today and where are we going?

I. Lisko^{1,2} , J. Kulmala^{1,3,4} , M. Annetorp⁵, T. Ngandu^{1,3} , F. Mangialasche^{1,6} & M. Kivipelto^{1,5,7,8}

From the ¹Division of Clinical Geriatrics, Center for Alzheimer Research, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ²Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences and Gerontology Research Center, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä; ³Public Health Promotion Unit, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki; ⁴School of Health Care and Social Work, Seinäjoki University of Applied Sciences, Seinäjoki, Finland; ⁵Karolinska University Hospital, Theme Aging, Stockholm; ⁶Aging Research Center, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet and Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁷Institute of Public Health and Clinical Nutrition, University of Eastern Finland, Helsinki, Finland; and ⁸Ageing and Epidemiology (AGE) Research Unit, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

Abstract. Lisko I, Kulmala J, Annetorp M, Ngandu T, Mangialasche F, Kivipelto M (Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki; Seinäjoki University of Applied Sciences, Seinäjoki, Finland; Karolinska University Hospital, Theme Aging, Stockholm; Karolinska Institutet and Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden; University of Eastern Finland, Helsinki, Finland; Imperial College London, London, UK). How can dementia and disability be prevented in older adults: where are we today and where are we going? (Review). *J. Intern. Med* 2021; **289**: 807– 830. https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.13227

Ageing of the population, together with population growth, has brought along an ample increase in the number of older individuals living with dementia and disabilities. Dementia is the main cause of disability in old age, and promoting healthy brain ageing is considered as a key element in diminishing the burden of age-related disabilities. The World Health Organization recently launched the first risk reduction guidelines for cognitive impairment and dementia. According to recent estimates, approximately 40% of dementia cases worldwide could be attributable to 12 modifiable risk factors: low education; midlife hypertension and obesity; diabetes, smoking,

Introduction

Extending the length of human life has been a great achievement of modern medicine. Advances in the prevention and treatment of diseases, along with societal changes, have yielded an increase in life expectancy of approximately 10 to 20 years in different regions of the world since the 1950s [1,2]. However, population ageing and growth have led to a vast increase in the number of older excessive alcohol use, physical inactivity, depression, low social contact, hearing loss, traumatic brain injury and air pollution indicating clear prevention potential. Dementia and physical disability are closely linked with shared risk factors and possible shared underlying mechanisms supporting the possibility of integrated preventive interventions. FIN-GER trial was the first large randomized controlled trial indicating that multidomain lifestyle-based intervention can prevent cognitive and functional decline amongst at-risk older adults from the general population. Within the World-Wide FINGERS network, the multidomain FINGER concept is now tested and adapted worldwide proving evidence and tools for effective and easily implementable preventive strategies. Close collaboration between researchers, policymakers and healthcare practitioners, involvement of older adults and utilization of new technologies to support self-management is needed to facilitate the implementation of the research findings. In this scoping review, we present the current scientific evidence in the field of dementia and disability prevention and discuss future directions in the field.Preventing dementia and disability in older adults: Where are we today and where are we going?

Keywords: ageing, cognitive impairment, dementia, muscle physiology, prevention.

individuals living with physical disability, which refers to difficulties in daily activities. In 2010, altogether 101 million older adults worldwide were dependent on others, referring to severe disability, and these numbers are projected to nearly triple by rising to 277 million in 2050 [3].

The main cause for disability amongst older adults is cognitive decline and dementia [3]. Currently, the number of individuals living with dementia is

© 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. 807 This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. estimated to be around 50 million and the number is projected to increase to 150 million by 2050 [4]. Still in the early 1990s, high age and genetic risk factors were the only established risk factors for dementia creating a fatalistic view and giving no clear opportunities for prevention. However, during the past decades, evidence has been accumulating, indicating that several modifiable lifestyle-related and vascular factors throughout the lifespan have a significant role for the risk of cognitive impairment and dementia [5, 6]. According to recent estimates, approximately 40% of dementia cases worldwide could be attributable to 12 modifiable risk factors: low education; midlife hypertension and obesity; diabetes, smoking, excessive alcohol use, physical inactivity, depression, low social contact, hearing loss, traumatic brain injury and air pollution, [6] indicating clear prevention potential. However, these are not risk factors only for dementia and Alzheimer's disease (AD) but also for physical disability giving rationale for the concept of integrated interventions for these interrelated ageing-related conditions.

Preventive measures targeted on dementia and disability are of utmost importance in halting the alarming trends projected for the increase in individuals affected by these conditions. However, the different nature between dementia and disability prevention should be recognized. Cognitive disorders and disabilities are common amongst the oldest old (often defined as 85 years and older), which is the fastest-growing population group in the developed countries [7-10]. Yet, disability is not regarded as a disease or a syndrome but rather part of the human condition [11] that majority of individuals face in old age close to death, whereas dementia is a syndrome, which can be caused by several diseases. It has been estimated that postponing dementia onset by 5 years would reduce dementia prevalence by 50% [12].

In this scoping review, we focus on epidemiological evidence and provide an overview on the current state of dementia and (physical) disability prevention and risk reduction and the future directions in the field.

Disentangling the concepts of dementia and disability

AD is the most common form of dementia, and it accounts for about two thirds of dementia cases [13]. However, increasing evidence from neuroimaging and neuropathological studies indicates that mixed aetiologies (constituting both neurodegenerative and vascular features) serve often as underlying causes for dementia. Particularly amongst the oldest old age groups, the prevalence of mixed dementia is high and it is suggested to be the most common form [14, 15]. AD pathology and macroscopic infarctions are common also in older individuals without cognitive impairment, and the associations between neuropathology and cognition are not entirely clear [16, 17]. Most of the research on risk factors and prevention of dementia is focusing on the late-life cognitive impairment, all-cause dementia or AD, and there is considerably less evidence regarding other dementing diseases.

In recent years, new diagnostic criteria for AD have been proposed in order to formalize the different stages of the disease [18, 19]. Usually, AD is characterized by a long preclinical phase presenting no cognitive symptoms, followed by mild cognitive problems that can progress to overt dementia - the final and most severe stage of AD. New diagnostic frameworks integrate new advances in knowledge of the biological and clinical features of AD, with the aim to facilitate an earlier and more accurate diagnosis for AD, compared with preceding frameworks. Also regarding vascular cognitive impairment, new guidelines are currently under development in order to standardize the diagnostic classification of the aetiologically and clinically heterogeneous spectrum of cognitive impairment due to cerebrovascular disease. This progress in guidelines is reflected in the latest edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5) [20], where the term dementia is replaced by major neurocognitive disorder. Moreover, in the guidelines the syndromes of mild and major neurocognitive disorder recognize cognitive impairment as a spectrum.

Disability is a broad concept holding various definitions. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines disability through body functions and structures, activities and participation, and environmental factors; disability is an umbrella term for impairments, activity limitations and participation restrictions [21]. Yet, in studies the definition of disability is often based on the disablement process, in which the main pathway starts from pathology, and leads through impairments and functional limitation to disability [22]. The pathway is affected by both intra-individual (e.g. lifestyle and behaviour changes) and extra-individual

808 © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. Journal of Internal Medicine, 2021, 289; 807–830

factors (e.g. medical care and rehabilitation) and by different risk factors. Specifically, 'Disability is defined as difficulty in doing activities in any domain of life (from hygiene to hobbies, errands to sleep) due to a health or physical problem [22]'.

Mobility is a critical characteristic of independent functioning. Mobility disability, such as the inability to walk 400 metres or climb stairs independently, is an early event in the disablement process, preceding and predicting more severe forms of disability [23]. Thus, mobility disability provides a critical target for prevention [24]. The more severe forms of disability include activities of daily living (ADL) disability. ADL may be further divided into basic ADL, including components such as dressing and undressing independently, and instrumental ADL, including components such as cleaning and maintaining the house or managing money.

Ageing associated with a decline in physiological reserves needed to maintain homeostasis, can result in a clinically recognized state of increased vulnerability, that is frailty [25], with a risk of dramatic deterioration of physical and mental wellbeing (including falls, sudden change in mobility, acute confusion). In recent years, cognitive frailty [26, 27], indicating the presence of both frailty and cognitive impairment, has gained increasing interest. Altogether, disability is linked to numerous concepts describing physical functioning. In this review, we focus on studies which have namely disability as an outcome, in addition to cognitive decline and dementia.

Shared risk factors and biological mechanisms for dementia and disability

Several nonmodifiable and modifiable risk factors are associated with both late-life dementia and disability [28] (Fig. 1). High age is the single most important risk factor for both. Women are more prone to the development of dementia/AD and disability [11]. The apolipoprotein-E (*APOE*) ε 4 allele is a well-established genetic risk factor for dementia and AD [29] but it is also a risk factor for disability, indicated by a more rapid motor decline irrespective of cognitive status amongst those with *APOE* ε 4 allele [30].

Cognitive and physical declines often coincide [31] but it is not clear, to which extent cognitive decline

drives physical decline and vice versa [32]. Mechanisms behind dementia and disability are complex and overlapping and include both diseasedependent and age-dependent mechanisms (Figure 1) [28, 33, 34]. A better understanding of the ageing process can unravel the interacting pathways contributing to both cognitive and physical declines.

Where are we today with dementia and disability prevention?

As pathology is a central concept in the disablement process, actions improving overall health and reducing morbidity are simultaneously actions that prevent disability. Furthermore, whilst morbidity results in functional decline, the association is bidirectional, with cognitive and physical decline affecting the severity and burden of diseases [35]. It is important to recognize that cognitive and physical impairment and dementia develop slowly in time, and life-course perspective is needed to understand the potential and timing of various preventive measures.

From observational studies to randomized controlled trials

Observational studies have provided a large amount of evidence on the possibilities of dementia and disability prevention. It has become evident that dementia and disability are multifactorial and heterogeneous conditions, driven by various genetic and environmental risk and protective factors, including vascular, psychosocial and lifestyle-related factors. Many of these factors are potentially modifiable and provide possibilities for prevention (Figure 1).

Confirmatory evidence comes from randomized controlled trials (RCTs), which are needed to explore whether interventions targeting risk factors indicated by the observational studies can reduce the risk of dementia or cognitive decline or delay the onset of disability. Table 1 describes trials of single-domain interventions to prevent cognitive impairment, dementia and/or physical disability. Only large (sample size of at least 500 participants) completed nonpharmacological RCTs that have cognition and/or disability as an outcome have been included in the table. Most single-domain interventions are smaller trials, and in the following text, we will summarize the evidence from both smaller and larger trials and meta-analyses and from observational studies.

Dementia and disability: common risk factors and hypothesized biological mechanisms

Shared risk factors	Shared biological mechanisms	Phenotype	
Non-modifiable Increasing age Sex (female) Genetic traits Familial aggregation Modifiable Vascular & Metabolic: overweight/obesity, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes Lifestyle: low physical activity, poor diet, smoking, excess alcohol use, lack of mental stimulation (education, occupation, leisure- time related) Psychosocial: depression, stress, sleep problems, lack of social stimulation Others: hearing impairment, air pollution, traumatic brain injury	Age-dependent and/or disease-dependent Inflammation Oxidative/nitrosative stress Impaired autophagy and proteostasis Genomic instability Epigenetic changes Telomere shortening Mitochondrial and metabolic dysfunctions Cellular senescence Vascular dysfunction	Central nervous system Vascular lesions Neurodegenerative lesions Neuronal and synaptic dysfunction Musculoskeletal Neuromuscular damage Decreased muscle strength, power, mass and quality Decreased aerobic capacity Decreased bone density Systemic Diseases on different organ systems: cardiovascular, kidney, liver, lung Sensory impairment (hearing, vision)	Dementia Disability
Life course (time dependent): • Effects of exposures • Physiological and pathological responses • Mechanisms of resilience/resistance • Effect/response to preventive intervention			

Fig. 1 Common risk factors and hypothesized biological mechanisms for dementia and disability: modifiable factors as targets for prevention.

Physical activity and exercise

Longitudinal observational studies have shown that physically active individuals are less likely to develop cognitive decline, all-cause dementia, vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease as compared to inactive individuals [36–39]. Physical activity has also been shown to prevent and slow down the disablement process amongst community-dwelling nonfrail and moderately frail older adults [40, 41]. Based on a meta-analysis of 16 prospective studies, physical activity was linked with a reduced risk of AD [37]. However, contradictory observations have also been made, suggesting that reverse causality may explain part of the association between physical activity and cognition [42].

When looking at single-domain interventions, physical activity and exercise provide the strongest and most consistent evidence on the beneficial effects on cognition and physical functioning. The beneficial effects on cognitive outcomes apply to both aerobic exercise and resistance training and appear to exist regardless of cognitive status [43-45]. The Lifestyle Interventions and Independence for Elders (LIFE) study has shown that a 2-year moderate-intensity intervention including walking, resistance training and flexibility exercises reduced the risk of mobility disability amongst sedentary older adults at risk of mobility disability [46, 47] (Table 1). The intervention did not show the effects on cognitive outcomes [48]. However, in subgroup analyses amongst individuals aged ≥ 80 years and individuals with a low level of physical activity at baseline the intervention had a beneficial effect on executive functioning [48] (Table 1). A meta-analvsis on RCTs conducted amongst communitydwelling older people suggests that physical activity serves as a preventive measure for ADL disability [49].

Education and cognitive training

Education is a classical indicator of socio-economic status, and individuals with lower education are at a greater risk of developing dementia and disability compared to individuals with a higher

		Number of participants and			Secondary cognitive outcome results;	Secondary disability outcome results;
		inclusion criteria;	Outcome measures on		other results/	other results/
	Intervention;	recruitment	cognition and	Primary outcome	conclusions on	conclusions on
Study, country	duration	strategy	disability	results	cognitive outcomes	disability outcomes
Dietary interventions	ions					
OPAL,	Daily	867 cognitively	Primary outcome:	No significant	No significant	
United	supplementation of	healthy	California Verbal	differences	differences between	
States [105]	200 mg EPA plus	participants aged	Learning Test	between trial	groups in any	
	500 mg DHA	70–79 years;	Secondary outcomes:	arms	outcome	
	(omega-3 LC PUFAs)	recruited from	Tests on memory,			
	versus olive oil	general practice	processing speed,			
	placebo; 24 months	records	reaction time and			
			executive function			
Physical activity interventions	interventions					
LIFE, United	Moderate-intensity	1635 participants	Primary outcome:	Intervention	No significant	Intervention reduced
States [46,	intervention	aged 70–89 years	Major mobility	reduced incident	differences between	persistent mobility
48]	including walking,	who were	disability	major mobility	groups in any	disability (HR: 0.72,
	resistance training	sedentary and at	(performance-based	disability (HR:	cognitive outcomes;	95% CI: 0.57–0.91,
	and flexibility	risk of mobility	loss of ability to walk	0.82, 95% CI:	in subgroup	P = 0.006)
	exercises versus	disability;	400 m in 15 minutes)	0.69–0.98,	analyses, the	
	health education	recruited using	Secondary outcomes:	P = 0.03)	intervention had a	
	control; 24 months	various	persistent mobility		beneficial effect	
		recruitment	disability (two		amongst those	
		strategies	consecutive major		aged ≥ 80 years and	
			mobility disability		amongst those with	
			assessments or major		a low level of	
			mobility disability		physical activity at	
			followed by death);		baseline on	
			cognition measured		executive function	
			as Digit Symbol		composite scores	
			Coding task and the		compared with the	
			revised Hopkins		reference promo	

JIM Table 1. Completed large (over 500 participants) single-domain randomized controlled trials, excluding drug trials, to prevent cognitive impairment and/or

811

Table 1 (Continued)	<i>(p</i>)					
		Number of			Secondary cognitive	Secondary disability
		participants and			outcome results;	outcome results;
		inclusion criteria;	Outcome measures on		other results/	other results/
	Intervention;	recruitment	cognition and	Primary outcome	conclusions on	conclusions on
Study, country	duration	strategy	disability	results	cognitive outcomes	disability outcomes
			Verbal Learning Test		(P = 0.01 for)	
			Tertiary outcomes:		interaction for both	
			global and executive		comparisons)	
			cognitive function and			
			incident MCI or			
			dementia at			
			24 months			
Cognitive trainin	Cognitive training interventions					
ACTIVE,	Intervention on	2832 participants	Primary outcome: daily	No effects on daily	Each intervention	
United	memory training	(volunteers)	functioning	functioning	improved targeted	
States [60–	versus reasoning	aged \ge 65 years;	Proximal outcomes:	detected at	cognitive ability	
62, 152]	training versus	recruited from	memory (episodic	2 years of follow-	compared with	
	speed of processing	senior housing,	verbal memory tasks),	up; at 5 years of	baseline, durable to	
	versus control with	community	reasoning	follow-up,	2 years $(P < 0.001)$	
	no contact; ten	centres and	(identification of	reasoning group,	for all); effects of	
	sessions of training	hospital/clinics	patterns) and speed of	but not speed of	interventions on the	
	during 5–	in 6 metropolitan	processing	processing	targeted cognitive	
	6 weeks + four	areas in the		training nor	ability were	
	booster sessions for	United States		memory training,	maintained through	
	a subsample at			reported less	5 years; cognitive	
	months 11 and 35;			difficulty in IADL	training did not	
	2-year outcome and			than the control	affect rates of	
	follow-up at 5 years			group (ES: 0.29,	incident dementia	
	and 10 years			99% CI: 0.03–	after 5 years of	
				0.55); at 10 years	follow-up; reasoning	
				of follow-up, each	training and speed	
				intervention	of processing	
				group reported	training but not	
					memory training	

812 © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. Journal of Internal Medicine, 2021, 289; 807–830

(Continued)
-
Table

		Number of participants and inclusion criteria;	Outcome measures on		Secondary cognitive outcome results; other results/	Secondary disability outcome results; other results/
Study, country	Intervention; duration	recruitment strategy	cognition and disability	Primary outcome results	conclusions on cognitive outcomes	conclusions on disability outcomes
				less difficulty with IADLs	improvement in trained cognitive ability was retained after 10 years	
Healthcare interventions	ventions				a.	
Fletcher	Intervention	33 326	Primary outcomes:			During 36-month
et al., United	comparing (1) universal versus	parucipants aged ≥ 75 years;	mortainty, admissions to hospital and			rouow-up, significant
Kingdom	targeted assessment	individuals at	institution, and			improvements in
[96]	and (2) subsequent	long-term care	quality of life			mobility from the
	management by	and/or	Secondary outcomes:			management by
	hospital outpatient	terminally ill evolution	ADL and mobility			genatric team
	versus primary-care	counted, Recruitment at				team (ES: -0.144,
	team; follow-ups at	106 general				99% CI: -0.268 to -
	18 and 36 months	practices in the				0.020); no effect on
		United Kingdom;				ADL ES: -0.058 (-
		a cluster-				0.187 to 0.070); due
		randomized				to low ES, different
		factorial trial				forms of
						multidimensional
						assessment offered
						almost no
						differences in
						mobility or other
						patient outcomes
U-PROFIT,	A three-arm	3092 frail	Primary outcome: daily	In both		Despite the
The	intervention	community-	functioning using the	intervention,		statistically
	including 1) frailty	dwelling	Katz-15 (6 ADLs, 8	arms less decline		significant effect,

© 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. 813 Journal of Internal Medicine, 2021, 289; 807-830

0. 11		narticinants and			ontcome results:	outcome reculter
0.1		here are drawed			(~~~~~ ~~~ ~~~~ ~~~~	outcours results,
0. 1		inclusion criteria;	Outcome measures on		other results/	other results/
.0	Intervention;	recruitment	cognition and	Primary outcome	conclusions on	conclusions on
	duration	strategy	disability	results	cognitive outcomes	disability outcomes
Netherlands s	screening (periodic)	participants	IADLs, one mobility	in daily		the clinical
f [57]	followed by best	aged \geq 60 years;	item)	functioning than		relevance is
14	practice care versus	recruited from		amongst those in		uncertain because
	2) frailty screening	primary-care		the usual care		of the small
^{co}	and nurse-led care	networks		arm at		differences
14	programme	with ~ 70		12 months;		
0	consisting of a	practices in		mean Katz-15		
0	comprehensive	Utrecht, the		score: screening		
50	geriatric	Netherlands;		arm, 1.87, 95%		
.0	assessment,	cluster		CI: 1.77–1.97;		
Ų	evidence-based care	randomization		nurse-led care		
14	planning, care			arm, 1.88, 95%		
0	coordination and			CI: 1.80–1.96;		
f	follow-up versus 3)			control group,		
l	usual care (control);			2.03, 95% CI:		
	12 months			1.92-2.13;		
				P = 0.03).		
Stuck et al., In	Intervention of in-	791 community-	Primary outcome: ADL	At low baseline	No intervention	
Switzerland	home visits	dwelling	disability (basic and	risk, participants	effects on cognitive	
i [98]	including	participants	instrumental)	in the	functioning	
I	multidimensional	aged \geq 75 years;	Secondary outcomes:	intervention		
00	geriatric assessment	stratified	cognitive functioning	group had less		
0	and quarterly	randomized trial;	(MMSE score), gait	ADL disability		
ţ	follow-up versus	stratification by	and balance	compared with		
0	control (no	risk of nursing		control (OR: 0.6,		
5	contacts); 3-year	home admission		95% CI: 0.3–1.0;		
ţ	follow-up	(low versus high		P = 0.04; at high		
		based on 6		baseline risk, no		
		baseline				

814 © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. Journal of Internal Medicine, 2021, 289; 807–830

Table 1 (Continued)

Table 1 (Continued)					
	Number of			Secondary cognitive	Secondary disability
	participants and			outcome results;	outcome results;
	inclusion criteria;	inclusion criteria; Outcome measures on		other results/	other results/
Intervention;	recruitment	cognition and	Primary outcome	conclusions on	conclusions on
Study, country duration	strategy	disability	results	cognitive outcomes	disability outcomes
	predictors);		intervention		
	recruitment from		effects on ADL		
	a health				
	insurance list of				
	community-				
	dwelling				
	individuals				
	aged \geq 75 years				
	living in 3 areas				
	in Bern				
CI, confidence interval; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; ES, effect size; HR, hazard ratio; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; LC PUFA, long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; OPAL, The Older People	hexaenoic acid; EPA, ei turated fatty acids; MCI	icosapentaenoic acid; ES, I, mild cognitive impairme	, effect size; HR, haz. ent; MMSE, Mini-Mer	ard ratio; IADL, instrum ntal State Examination;	aental activities of daily OPAL, The Older People



education [50, 51]. Those with low levels of education also manifest cognitive symptoms with fewer pathological changes present than individuals with high levels of education [52]. This has been suggested to be due to greater cognitive reserve amongst those with higher education. Cognitive reserve refers to the ability of the brain to cope with or compensate for neuropathology or damage. Cognitive reserve has been proposed to reduce the risk of clinical onset of dementia and cognitive decline [3, 53]. Furthermore, increasing cognitive activity has been shown to have a buffering effect against rapid cognitive decline [54].

Cognitive training and cognitive stimulation therapy have been studied both amongst healthy individuals and amongst individuals with cognitive impairment. Findings on cognitive training have shown beneficial effects, especially on the targeted cognitive functions [55-59] and on ADL disability [55, 58, 60, 61] in both populations. In the ACTIVE study, the effects of different types of cognitive training (memory, reasoning, speed of processing) were studied amongst volunteered older adults [62] (Table 1). Each intervention, including training of 5-6 weeks and booster sessions at 11 and 35 months for a subsample, improved the targeted cognitive abilities compared with baseline. The intervention showed no effects on daily functioning at 2 years of follow-up [62]. However, at 5 years reasoning group reported less difficulty in IADL than the control group [61], and at 10 years of follow-up, each intervention group reported less difficulty with IADLs [60]. Overall, the quality of the evidence on the effects of cognitive training is low [4]. Cognitive training studies are subjected to a range of limitations, and it is not yet clear whether cognitive training can reduce cognitive decline and disability. Regardless of these uncertainties, cognitive training may be offered to older adults with normal cognition and with mild cognitive impairment to reduce the risk of cognitive decline and/or dementia [4].

Psychosocial factors and social activity

Social engagement is an important predictor of well-being throughout life. Evidence from observational studies and nonrandomized interventions indicates that social engagement may reduce the risk of developing both dementia and physical disability through behavioural, psychosocial and cognition-related pathways [63–66]. Higher social engagement alone [67] and in combination with light physical activity and cognitive activities [68] may reduce the risk of cognitive decline and dementia. Altogether, low social participation, low number of social contacts and loneliness have been associated with cognitive decline and higher rates of incident dementia [69, 70]. Evidence from RCTs has shown positive effects of social interaction and psychosocial interventions on cognitive abilities [71–73]. However, in all, evidence from RCTs is insufficient to demonstrate the efficacy of social activity with risk of cognitive decline [4].

Stress is a risk factor for dementia and cognitive decline, and even mild-to-moderate psychological distress and stress have been shown to have a considerable impact on the incidence of dementia and disability in observational studies [74-76]. When it comes to depression, a substantial body of evidence links depression to cognitive decline and dementia [77] and to disability [78]. However, there is currently insufficient evidence to recommend the use of antidepressant medication for reducing the risk of cognitive decline and/or dementia [4]. Alongside these negative aspects of psychosocial functioning, further studies should look more into measures reflecting the positive aspects of psychosocial functioning, such as the happiness [79], and investigate how they predict physical and cognitive decline.

Vascular risk factors and weight management

Vascular risk factors, such as midlife obesity, high total cholesterol level and high systolic blood pressure, are tightly associated with both dementia and disability risk later in life [80, 81], and findings related to dementia suggest that clustering of vascular risk factors increases the risk additively [80]. Moreover, vascular risk factors have been shown to be associated with structural brain changes over the life course starting from young adulthood [82]. Treating vascular risk factors with antihypertensives and statins is associated with reduced dementia risk in observational studies [83, 84], and there is some evidence from RCTs, suggesting beneficial effects of treating hypertension. The SPRINT Memory and Cognition IN Decreased Hypertension (SPRINT MIND) trial suggested that the intensive blood pressure control compared with standard treatment may prevent cognitive impairment [85] and further trials are needed to confirm these findings.

With regard to obesity, based on a recent metaanalysis on observational studies with approximately 600 000 individuals, it was shown that obesity, but not overweight, in midlife increases the risk of dementia in later life by 33% [86]. Observational studies also demonstrate the association of obesity with an increased risk of incident disability [87]. However, evidence from weight management interventions on reducing the risk of cognitive decline and dementia is considered only low to moderate [4]. Regarding interventions with disability outcomes, recent findings amongst obese older adults suggest that caloric restriction combined with aerobic exercise training is more effective in reducing disability as compared to aerobic exercise alone [88].

Morbidity and healthcare interventions

The role of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) is important in the development of dementia and disability [35, 81]. For example, diabetes, heart diseases, pulmonary diseases, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and chronic kidney disease have been linked with dementia and disability risk [89-92]. Diabetes increases the risk of all-cause dementia, AD and vascular dementia. The risk of dementia is increased by approximately 60% amongst persons with diabetes [93]. So far, there is not enough evidence to support that the intensive treatment of diabetes would be beneficial for cognition. Multimorbidity is common in older age groups, and it is a well-known risk factor for disability [35]. Emerging evidence from recent studies suggests that multimorbidity has an important role also regarding dementia and cognitive decline [35].

Comprehensive geriatric assessment is the gold standard care for frail older people in hospital [94]. Comprehensive geriatric assessment is linked to reduced disability and greater likelihood of returning home after hospital admission [94, 95]. Also, other types of healthcare interventions have been conducted (Table 1), but the effects of these trials on disability have been very small [96, 97]. In-home visits including multidimensional geriatric assessment and quarterly follow-up have shown to be effective in terms of ADL disability amongst individuals with low baseline risk of nursing home admission [98] (Table 1). However, no intervention effects on cognitive functioning were found during the three-year follow-up [98]. A systematic review on the effects of preventive home visits suggests that some interventions might offer some costneutral positive effects on physical functioning, quality of life and/or mortality [99].

Nutrition

Healthy diet throughout life plays a central role in maintaining health and preventing NCDs. Both single nutrients and foods and dietary patterns have been investigated in relation to dementia and disability. The Mediterranean diet, a diet rich with unsaturated fats and antioxidants, is the most extensively studied dietary approach. Also, other healthy dietary patterns exist, and common features of the different patterns include high consumption of fruits and vegetables, unsaturated fats, fish and whole-grain cereal products. Systematic reviews of observational studies have concluded that high adherence, but not modest adherence, to the Mediterranean diet is associated with a reduced risk of mild cognitive impairment and AD [100, 101]. High adherence to the Mediterranean diet has been shown to decrease the risk of incident basic ADL and IADL disability [102] and risk of incident mobility disability [103]. Nordic diet (higher intake of Nordic fruits, vegetables, cereals, low-fat milk and fish, and lower intake of red meat, total fat and alcohol) has also been shown to protect from mobility limitations and difficulties in basic ADLs [104].

In the Older People And n-3 Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (OPAL) Study, the effects of a 24-month dietary intervention including daily supplementation of omega-3 fatty acids (polyunsaturated fatty acids, PUFAs) on cognition were studied amongst older cognitively healthy adults [105] (Table 1). No significant effect of the intervention as compared to olive oil placebo was found. Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses of RCTs concerning the effects of nutrition on the risk of cognitive decline and dementia have been carried out. These include meta-analyses concerning PUFAs [106], vitamin B [106], vitamin E [106], polyphenols [107], supplements of multi-complexes [108, 109], protein supplementation [107] and the Mediterranean diet [110]. These studies provide moderate evidence on the beneficial effects of the Mediterranean diet in reducing the risk of cognitive decline and dementia [4], but not evidence to support the use of dietary supplements. Much less data are available on disability outcomes and nutrition. Regarding physical functioning in general, evidence from interventions points out the importance of sufficient protein gain [111]. Findings from observational studies indicate that low serum concentrations of vitamins B6 and B12 and selenium predict ADL disability [112], whereas

low serum vitamin D has been shown to predict mobility disability [113]

Smoking and alcohol

Smoking is a major risk factor for NCDs and premature death, and is also one of the leading risk factors for disability [114]. Evidence regarding dementia shows that former/active smoking is also related to a significantly increased risk of AD [115]. Combinations of nonpharmacological, including different behavioural/psychological strategies, and pharmacological approaches appear to be the most effective way in supporting smoking cessation [116]. However, interventions for smoking cessation offer only low evidence for reducing the risk of cognitive decline and dementia [4]. Nevertheless, other health benefits of smoking cessation are undisputable.

Excessive alcohol use is also one of the leading risk factors associated with death and disability [117]. Based on observational evidence, interventions aimed at reducing or ceasing harmful alcohol use should be offered to adults with normal cognition and mild cognitive impairment to reduce the risk of cognitive decline and/or dementia [4].

Other factors

Hearing, visual and olfactory impairment may increase the risk of cognitive impairment and disability [118, 119]. Of the sensory impairments, the effects of hearing impairments [120] are studied the most. Based on a meta-analysis of 4 prospective studies, individuals with hearing impairment had an increased risk to develop cognitive impairment compared to those without impairment [121]. In cross-sectional studies, associations between hearing impairment and lower physical functioning, indicative of higher risk of disability, have also been reported [122, 123]. However, the evidence for possible benefits of a hearing aid is insufficient, and the use of hearing aids to reduce the risk of cognitive decline and/or dementia is not recommended [4]. Furthermore, it is not clear whether sensory impairments have a causal role in the biological processes leading to dementia and disability or whether sensory impairments follow pathological processes that have causal links to dementia and disability.

More novel possible risk factors that have been associated with dementia and disability include air

pollution [124], poor sleep [125], poor dental hygiene [126, 127] and imbalance in the microbiota of the gut [127, 128]. Also, traumatic brain injuries have been linked to dementia and disability [129].

Multidomain approach to prevent cognitive impairment and disability: RCT evidence

Late-life cognitive impairment and dementia are complex disorders with multifactorial aetiologies and single-domain interventions (focusing on one risk factor at time) may have only limited prevention potential. Recently, multidomain interventions targeting several lifestyle-related factors simultaneously have gained increasing interest. In Table 2, we have gathered evidence from large (sample size of at least 500 participants) multidomain RCTs with cognitive decline or dementia or disability as the primary outcome.

The Finnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment (FINGER) was the first large multidomain trial to demonstrate that it is possible to maintain cognitive functions and prevent cognitive decline with the multidomain approach amongst at-risk older persons with existing dementia-related risk factors [130]. In the FINGER trial, the 2-year intervention comprised of nutritional guidance, physical exercise, cognitive training, social activity and management of metabolic and vascular risk factors, whereas the control group received general health advice. After two years, the intervention showed significant beneficial effects on global cognition (measured with neurological test battery, NTB; 25% higher improvement in the intervention group), executive functioning (83% higher improvement in the intervention group) and processing speed (150% higher improvement in the intervention group) and the risk of cognitive decline was significantly higher (30%) in the control group [130]. FINGER multidomain intervention had significant benefits also on other health-related outcomes, including body mass index, dietary habits, physical activity [130], health-related quality of life [131] and development of new chronic diseases [132] and in preventing ADL disability [133].

Two other large multidomain lifestyle-based prevention trials have also been recently completed: the French Multidomain Alzheimer Preventive Trial (MAPT) [134], which tested the association of a lifestyle intervention with omega-3 fatty acid

818 © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. Journal of Internal Medicine, 2021, 289; 807–830

amongst older adults	ierea iarge (over 300 pr adults	ancipanis) manaon	ium ranuomizea conno	iane z. Completed targe lover 500 participants) manuamman ranaomized controaca mais to prevent cognitive impairment anal or incuent assaming amongst older adults	unive inframment anal o	niciaen aisaonily
		Number of				
		participants and			Secondary cognitive	Secondary disability
		inclusion criteria;	Outcome measures on		outcome results; other	outcome results; other
Study,		recruitment	cognition and		results/conclusions on results/conclusions on	results/conclusions on
country	Intervention; duration	strategy	disability	Primary outcome results cognitive outcomes	cognitive outcomes	disability outcomes
FINGER,	Multidomain	1260 participants	Primary outcome:	Intervention had	Beneficial effect of	ADL disability score
Finland [130,	intervention	aged 60–77 years	cognitive	beneficial effect on	intervention on	slightly increased in
133]	including nutritional	who had an	performance	NTB: between-group	executive functioning	the control group but
	guidance, physical	elevated risk of	measured with NTB	intervention versus	(P = 0.039) and	remained relatively
	exercise, cognitive	dementia based	(a composite measure	control difference for	processing speed	stable in the
	training, social	on CAIDE risk	of 14 standard	NTB change 0.022	(P = 0.029) but not on	intervention group
	activity and	score ≥ 6 points,	cognitive tasks)	(P = 0.030) per year	memory $(P = 0.36);$	(change between
	management of	and cognitive	Secondary outcomes:		beneficial effect of	intervention and
	metabolic and	function at or	NTB domain Z		intervention on	control - 0.95, 95%
	vascular risk factors	slightly below	scores for executive		memory when	CI: -1.61 to -0.28 ,
	versus general health	average level;	functioning,		including more	after 1 year
	advice (control); 2-	participants from	processing speed		complex memory tasks	and – 1.20, 95% CI:
	year intervention	previous	and memory; ADL		(P = 0.036) and higher	-2.02 to - 0.38, after
		population-based	disability and short		risk of decline in	2 years; in chair rise,
		national surveys;	physical		cognition in the	the intervention group
		individual	nerformance batterv		control group than in	had a slightly higher
		randomization			the intervention group	probability of
						improvement (from
						score 3 to score 4;
						P = 0.041) and a lower
						probability of decline
						(from score 3 to scores

Completed large (over 500 participants) multidomain randomized controlled trials to prevent cognitive impairment and/or incident disability Table 2.

compared with the

control group.

0-2; P = 0.043

	Number of
Table 2 (Continued)	

		Number of				
		participants and			Secondary cognitive	Secondary disability
		inclusion criteria;	Outcome measures on		outcome results; other	outcome results; other
Study,		recruitment	cognition and		results/conclusions on results/conclusions on	results/conclusions on
country	Intervention; duration	strategy	disability	Primary outcome results cognitive outcomes	cognitive outcomes	disability outcomes
MAPT, France	Omega-3 PUFA	1680 participants	Primary outcome:	No significant	Multidomain + PUFA	No significant difference
[134]	supplementation	aged \geq 70 years	Cognition measured	difference in cognition	had less decline	in ADL disability, short
	alone or in	with memory	with composite Z	between any of the	(P = 0.036) in ten	physical performance
	combination with	complaint, IADL	score combining four	three intervention	MMSE orientation	battery or Fried's frailty
	multidomain	limitation or slow	cognitive tests	groups compared	items than the placebo	between any of the
	intervention	gait speed;	Secondary outcomes:	with placebo:	group; other group	three intervention
	(cognitive training,	recruitment using	individual	Between-group	comparisons and	groups compared with
	physical activity and	diverse strategies	components of the	difference was 0.093	other cognitive	placebo
	nutritional advice),	including patient	composite score,	(P = 0.142) for	outcomes showed no	
	compared with	databases and	scores on other	multidomain + PUFA.	effect; less cognitive	
	placebo capsule alone	advertisements;	cognitive tests	$0.079 \ (P = 0.179) \ for$	decline in those who	
	or in combination	individual	(MMSE score, Trail	multidomain and	received multidomain	
	with the multidomain	randomization	Making Tests A and	$0.011 (D - 0.010) f_{0.0}$	intervention (two	
	intervention; 3-year		R Controlled Oral	101 (210.0 - 7) 110.0	groups pooled) than in	
	intervention		Word Association	PUFA compared with	those who did not	
				placebo	(other two groups	
			lest and visual		pooled) $(P = 0.015);$	
			analogue scales;		heneficial effect of	
			ADL disability		multidomain plus	
			(Alzheimer's Disease		PITFA versus placeho	
			Cooperative Study		amongst those with	
			ADL Prevention		CAIDE score > 6:	
			Instrument), short		beneficial effect of	
			physical		multidomain + PUFA	

(P < 0.001) and

multidomain

battery, Fried's performance

frailty

amongst those with

amyloid positivity

(P = 0.003) groups

versus placebo

820 © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. Journal of Internal Medicine, 2021, 289; 807-830

Table 2 (Continued)	(pən					
		Number of				
		participants and			Secondary cognitive	Secondary disability
		inclusion criteria;	Outcome measures on		outcome results; other outcome results; other	outcome results; other
Study,		recruitment	cognition and		results/conclusions on results/conclusions on	results/conclusions on
country	Intervention; duration	strategy	disability	Primary outcome results cognitive outcomes	cognitive outcomes	disability outcomes
PreDIVA, the	Multidomain	3526 community-	Primary outcome:	No effect of intervention No effect of intervention	No effect of intervention	
Netherlands	cardiovascular	dwelling	cumulative incidence	on mean dementia and on dementia	on dementia	
[135]	intervention (advice)	participants aged	of dementia and	disability scores	incidence, MMSE	
	versus usual care	70–78 years;	disability score	(adjusted mean	and VAT, no effect of	
	(control); 6-year	recruited from	(ALDS) at 6 years of	difference: –0•02, 95%	intervention on AD;	
	intervention	general practices;	follow-up	CI: -0•38 to 0•42;	reduced risk of non-	
		cluster	Secondary outcomes:	P = 0.93	AD dementia in the	
		randomization of	cognitive decline as		intervention group	
		116 general	measured by MMSE		(P = 0.007); reduced	
		practices	and VAT; dementia		risk of dementia in	
			subtype		participants with	
					untreated	
					hypertension at	
					baseline who were	
					adherent to the	
					intervention	
					(P=0.02)	

Table 2 (Continued)	(pər					
		Number of				
		participants and		S	Secondary cognitive	Secondary disability
		inclusion criteria;	Outcome measures on	ō	outcome results; other	outcome results; other
Study,		recruitment	cognition and	LL LL	esults/conclusions on	results/conclusions on results/conclusions on
country	Intervention; duration	strategy	disability	Primary outcome results cognitive outcomes	ognitive outcomes	disability outcomes
GeMS, Finland	GeMS, Finland A comprehensive	781 participants	Primary outcome:	Intervention had		The positive effect of the
[137]	geriatric assessment	aged 75–98 years;	mobility disability	beneficial effect on		intervention on
	with a multifactorial	population-based	(self-reported	mobility; intervention		mobility was even
	intervention	sample of persons	inability to walk	versus control: OR for		greater amongst
	including	aged \geq 75 years	400 m	mobility disability 0.82		persons with
	individualized	living in the area	independently)	(95% CI: 0.70–0.96) at		musculoskeletal pain
	referrals,	of Kuopio,		the end of intervention		
	recommendations,	Finland; random		and 0.84 (95% CI: 0.75		
	physical activity	assignment to		–0.94) at 1 year		
	counselling and	intervention and		postintervention		
	supervised resistance	control group (no				
	training versus	contact)				
	control (no contact);					
	2-year intervention;					
	1-year follow-up					
ALDS, Acaden	nic Medical Center Lir	near Disability Scor	e; CAIDE, Cardiovasc	ALDS, Academic Medical Center Linear Disability Score; CAIDE, Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Aging and Dementia; FINGER, Finnish Geriatric	g and Dementia; FIN	GER, Finnish Geriatric

Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment; GeMS, Geriatric Multidisciplinary Strategy for the Good Care of the Elderly; HR, hazard ratio; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; MAPT, The French Multidomain Alzheimer Preventive Trial; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination NTB, neuropsychological test battery; OR, odds ratio; PreDIVA, The Prevention of Dementia by Intensive Vascular Care; PUFAs, polyunsaturated fatty acids; VAT, Visual Attention Test.

822 © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. Journal of Internal Medicine, 2021, 289; 807–830 supplements, and the Dutch Prevention of Dementia by Intensive Vascular Care (PreDIVA) [135], mainly focused on the pharmacological management of vascular/metabolic risk factors. Both trials reported no benefits of the intervention on the primary outcome, but subgroup analyses suggested cognitive benefits in subpopulations of participants with increased risk of dementia [134, 135].

Other reasons for the success of the FINGER intervention, in addition to the multidomain approach, were most likely the criteria through which study participants were chosen for the study. The CAIDE dementia risk score [136] was used to select participants who had modifiable risk factors for cognitive decline. In other multimodal RCTs published after the FINGER, participants included general community-dwelling populations or persons with subjective memory complaints [134, 135], and in the primary analyses, the benefits of the intervention have not become evident. However, when the effects of the intervention have been investigated amongst individuals with risk factors for dementia (elevated CAIDE score or untreated hypertension), a positive effect was observed.

One large Finnish trial, Geriatric Multidisciplinary Strategy for the Good Care of the Elderly (GeMS). has examined the effects of comprehensive geriatric assessment in combination with individually tailored intervention on mobility disability [137]. The two-year intervention, which included also supervised resistance training, had beneficial effects on mobility, thus preventing mobility disability. Subgroup analyses showed that the positive effect on mobility was even greater amongst persons with musculoskeletal pain [137]. In all, evidence from a large meta-analysis on RCTs including nearly 100 000 individuals shows that multidomain interventions can improve physical functioning and independence in older adults [138]. These results support the conclusion that multidomain approaches targeting several lifestyle risk factors simultaneously are most likely an effective way to comprehensively support healthy ageing.

Especially regarding dementia and cognitive decline, the significance of different risk factors may vary largely between individuals and across population groups. This most likely applies also to physical disabilities. This means that preventive measures should be more and more individually tailored. Further research is needed to establish whether specific combinations of risk factors induce greater risk than others [139]. In addition, several methodological considerations should be taken into account when planning a preventive intervention, such as timing of the intervention, choosing outcome measures that are sensitive enough to detect early changes and doing the right things and enough of them [140].

Next steps in dementia and disability prevention

Global collaboration: World-Wide FINGERS network

Following the positive results of the FINGER trial, several countries worldwide are now planning their own interventions following the FINGER model. To support this global work, the World-Wide FINGERS Network (www.alz.org/wwfingers) has been launched. The aim of this global network is to test. adapt and optimize the FINGER intervention in diverse geographical and cultural settings [141, 142]. Today around 30 countries are planning or conducting their multidomain interventions to prevent dementia and disability. In addition, new technologies and eHealth solutions utilizing multidomain approach are being tested and may facilitate personalized, effective and feasible interventions and implementation [143].

Addressing emerging health issues for older adults: the role of COVID-19 and other infections

Older frail persons and persons with cognitive impairment are vulnerable for other types of environmental risks as the SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19) has demonstrated. The severe and fatal cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection are higher in older adults with preexisting health conditions and multimorbidity [144]. National health systems are currently forced to reduce disease management for NCDs [145]. The forced lockdown and reduced monitoring can impact the current and future health and well-being of seniors, especially those with multiple risk factors and NCDs, through several mechanisms (e.g. biological, social). The length of the COVID-19 emergency may be much longer than originally expected and there may be reoccurrence, increasing susceptibility for negative health outcomes also amongst uninfected individuals. Thus, it will be important to identify factors that can influence and predict the short- and longterm health-related outcomes of COVID-19 outbreak in seniors and develop prediction and

decision models to optimize the management of this and similar type of outbreaks in seniors.

Implementation of research evidence

Large body of evidence is showing that even if not curable, a lot can be done to slow down the progression of both disability and cognitive decline. By supporting healthy lifestyle choices, social activity and providing adequate health and social care services, the burden of dementia and disability can be most likely reduced.

In 2017, the WHO launched a global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017–2025 [146]. To support dementia risk reduction in different countries, the WHO published guidelines on risk reduction in cognitive decline and dementia [4]. These guidelines are an important tool for healthcare providers, governments, policymakers and other stakeholders to strengthen their response to the dementia challenge. The guidelines highlight that many of the modifiable risk factors for dementia are shared with other noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), and therefore, the recommendations aiming to prevent cognitive decline should be integrated into already existing programmes for diabetes and cardiovascular disease risk reduction. Since dementia together with diabetes and cardiovascular diseases are important causes for disability amongst older adults, actions aiming to prevent or postpone the onset of these noncommunicable diseases are likely to have remarkable effects on disability prevention as well.

Already now, several countries have taken concrete steps in the field. Alzheimer's Disease International (ADI) has launched a report [147] that supports the implementation of WHO's risk reduction guidelines and provides also an overview of actions that have been taken place in response to WHO's global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017-2025. However, there are still areas that need to be further developed. For example, most national plans focus on dementia awareness and support, and risk reduction is included only in the minority of the plans. In addition, less than half of national plans have received funding for effective implementation. In the future, it would be important to secure the funding to implement the national plans, to highlight more the importance of early prevention of both disability and dementia and to start effectively implementing the WHO's risk reduction guidelines to national healthcare policies and concrete actions.

Future perspectives

Within the next decade, as the World-Wide FIN-GERS Network RCTs are being completed and data are being analysed, we can expect to gain deeper understanding on the feasibility and efficacy of nonpharmacological approaches for dementia and disability prevention for different populations and settings. The World-Wide FINGERS Network is also working towards the development of preventive models combining nonpharmacological and pharmacological interventions.

Although disease-modifying drugs for AD are not yet available, several compounds are being tested in RCTs, with an increasing number of agents targeting pathophysiological pathways other than amyloid and tau [148]. Particularly, innovation in drug development for neurodegeneration is brought by the increasing presence of compounds targeting biological processes driven by ageing, which are involved in onset and development of different age-related chronic diseases causing disability. Age-related biological processes relevant to neurodegeneration include systemic inflammation, impaired autophagy and clearance of misfolded proteins, vascular dysfunction, epigenetic dysregulation, mitochondrial and metabolic dysfunctions, and synaptic dysfunction and loss [149]. Compounds targeting these mechanisms include also agents identified through drug-repurposing strategies, which may accelerate the identification of safe and effective treatments [148, 149].

The concept of combination therapy, which is already a standard practice for many chronic disorders (e.g. heart failure, cancer), is also gaining interest in the dementia field, as an effective way to address the heterogeneity of the majority of dementia cases in older adults. Finally, progresses in the identification of noninvasive or minimally invasive biomarkers for early detection of AD risk, including blood-based biomarkers, will facilitate large-scale approaches for risk assessment and early interventions [150, 151]. The large-scale dissemination and implementation of scientific results can be supported by bodies such as the WHO, which through the global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017-2025 can support dissemination of evidence-based practice for dementia risk reduction, and coordinate

multisectoral collaboration for public health prevention programmes [146].

Summary and Conclusions

Preventive measures to tackle both dementia and disability are of utmost importance, not only for the individual, but also for the society given the substantial burden they cause. There is increasing evidence that several environmental factors throughout the life course have a significant role for the risk of cognitive impairment and dementia. The most established modifiable risk factors are physical inactivity, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, obesity, depression and smoking. Especially, by targeting several modifiable risk factors at a time can prevent or postpone dementia and disability. Close collaboration with researchers, policymakers, healthcare practitioners, civil society, at-risk persons and persons who live with dementia and disabilities is the way towards healthier and age-friendly ageing societies.

In Table 3, we have collected key points of the review.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by Juho Vainio Foundation, Finnish Cultural Foundation, Yrjö Jahnsson Foundation, Alzheimer's Research and Prevention Foundation and Jalmari and Rauha Ahokas Foundation; Academy of Finland (grant numbers 334419 and 317465); EURO-FINGERS, an EU Joint Programme - Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND) project (supported through the following funding organizations under the aegis of JPND - www. jpnd.eu Finland: Academy of Finland (grant number 334804); Sweden: Swedish Research Council (grant number 2019-02226); Alzheimerfonden Sweden, Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation; Center for Innovative Medicine (CIMED) at Karolinska Institutet, Sweden, Region Stockholm (ALF grant), Sweden; and Stiftelsen Stockholms sjukhem, Konung Gustaf V:s och Drottning Victorias Frimurarstiftelse.

Author contribution

Inna Lisko: Conceptualization (supporting); Funding acquisition (equal); Project administration (supporting); Visualization (lead); Writing-original

Table 3. Key points and future directions for dementia anddisability prevention

Key clinical points

- Detection of modifiable risk factors for dementia and disability in older adults (and possibly, also in midlife adults) can help identify individuals who can benefit from preventive interventions
- For cognitive impairment and dementia, the level of evidence for some interventions to reduce risk factors still needs to be strengthened. However, interventions addressing these risk factors are still relevant for other health benefits
- A person-centred approach, adequate information and engagement of the individual can increase awareness of the at-risk status and ameliorate adherence to preventive measures

Recommendations for future research

- Ongoing, large-scale RCTs are evaluating the feasibility and efficacy of multidomain interventions in delaying or preventing cognitive impairment, dementia and disability. If positive effects will be confirmed, public health strategies for a life-course-based implementation of these interventions in the community needs to be developed
- Optimization of the efficacy and the long-term sustainability of these preventive interventions will require precision-based/personalized approaches and will be facilitated by eHealth, mHealth and ICT Tools for risk assessment, intervention delivery and monitoring

Additional resources for healthcare professionals

- WHO guidelines for Risk Reduction of Cognitive Decline and Dementia: https://www.who.int/mental_ health/neurology/dementia/guidelines_risk_reduc tion/en/
- WHO International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF): https://www.who.int/classif ications/icf/en/

draft (lead); Writing-review & editing (equal). Jenni Kulmala: Conceptualization (supporting); Funding acquisition (equal); Project administration (supporting); Supervision (supporting); Writing-original draft (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). Martin Annetorp: Funding acquisition (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). Tiia Ngandu: Conceptualization (supporting); Funding acquisition (equal); Project administration (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). Francesca Mangialasche: Conceptualization (supporting);

JIM Prevention of dementia and disability / I. Lisko *et al.*

Funding acquisition (equal); Visualization (lead); Writing-original draft (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal). **Miia Kivipelto:** Conceptualization (lead); Funding acquisition (equal); Project administration (lead); Resources (lead); Supervision (lead); Visualization (supporting); Writing-review & editing (equal).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1 World Health Organization. World Report on Ageing and Health 2015.
- 2 United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. World Population Ageing 2017 (ST/ ESA/SER.A/408). New York: United Nations, 2017.
- 3 Prince M, Wimo AGM, Ali G, Wu Y, Prina M. World Alzheimer Report 2015. The Global Impact of Dementia. An Analysis of Prevalence, Incidence, Cost and Trends. London: Alzheimer's Disease International, 2015.
- 4 World Health Organization. Risk reduction of cognitive decline and dementia: WHO guidelines. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO. 2019.
- 5 Livingston G, Sommerlad A, Orgeta V et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. Lancet 2017; 390: 2673–734.
- 6 Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A *et al.* Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *Lancet* 2020; **396:** 413–46.
- 7 Christensen K, Doblhammer G, Rau R, Vaupel JW. Ageing populations: the challenges ahead. *Lancet* 2009; **374:** 1196– 208.
- 8 Yang Z, Slavin MJ, Sachdev PS. Dementia in the oldest old. Nat Rev Neurol 2013; 9: 382–93.
- 9 Jylhä M, Enroth L, Luukkaala T. Trends of functioning and health in nonagenarians- the Vitality 90+ Study. In: Robine JM, Jagger C, eds. Annual Review of Gerontology and Geriatrics, "Healthy Longevity". New York: Springer Publishing Company, 2013; 313–32.
- 10 Forma L, Aaltonen M, Pulkki J, Raitanen J, Rissanen P, Jylha M. Long-term care is increasingly concentrated in the last years of life: a change from 2000 to 2011. *Eur J Public Health* 2017; **27:** 665–9.
- 11 World Health Organization. World Report on Disability 2011.
- 12 Brookmeyer R, Gray S, Kawas C. Projections of Alzheimer's disease in the United States and the public health impact of delaying disease onset. *Am J Public Health* 1998; **88**: 1337– 42.
- 13 Alzheimer's Research UK. Dementia Statistics Hub. Different types of dementia 2018; 2019.
- 14 Schneider JA, Arvanitakis Z, Bang W, Bennett DA. Mixed brain pathologies account for most dementia cases in community-dwelling older persons. *Neurology* 2007; 69: 2197–204.
- 15 Jellinger KA, Attems J. Prevalence of dementia disorders in the oldest-old: an autopsy study. *Acta Neuropathol* 2010; **119:** 421–33.

- 16 Bennett DA, Wilson RS, Boyle PA, Buchman AS, Schneider JA. Relation of neuropathology to cognition in persons without cognitive impairment. Ann Neurol 2012; 72: 599– 609.
- 17 Solomon A, Mangialasche F, Richard E et al. Advances in the prevention of Alzheimer's disease and dementia. J Intern Med 2014; 275: 229–50.
- 18 Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C *et al*. Advancing research diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease: the IWG-2 criteria. *Lancet Neurol* 2014; **13**: 614–29.
- 19 McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2011; 7: 263–9.
- 20 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing, 2013.
- 21 World Health Organization. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF). Geneva: WHO, 2001.
- 22 Verbrugge LM, Jette AM. The disablement process. Soc Sci Med 1994; 38: 1–14.
- 23 Ferrucci L, Guralnik JM, Cecchi F *et al.* Constant hierarchic patterns of physical functioning across seven populations in five countries. *Gerontologist* 1998; **38**: 286–94.
- 24 Landi F, Cesari M, Calvani R et al. The "Sarcopenia and Physical fRailty IN older people: multi-component Treatment strategies" (SPRINTT) randomized controlled trial: design and methods. Aging Clin Exp Res 2017; 29: 89–100.
- 25 Junius-Walker U, Onder G, Soleymani D et al. The essence of frailty: A systematic review and qualitative synthesis on frailty concepts and definitions. Eur J Intern Med 2018; 56: 3–10.
- 26 Kelaiditi E, Cesari M, Canevelli M et al. Cognitive frailty: rational and definition from an (I.A.N.A./I.A.G.G.) international consensus group. J Nutr Health Aging 2013; 17: 726– 34.
- 27 Montero-Odasso MM, Barnes B, Speechley M et al. Disentangling cognitive-frailty: results from the gait and brain study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2016; 71: 1476–82.
- 28 Brinkley TE, Berger M, Callahan KE *et al.* Workshop on synergies between Alzheimer's research and clinical gerontology and geriatrics: current status and future directions. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2018; **73**: 1229–37.
- 29 Liu CC, Liu CC, Kanekiyo T, Xu H, Bu G. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: risk, mechanisms and therapy. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2013; **9:** 106–18.
- 30 Buchman AS, Boyle PA, Wilson RS, Beck TL, Kelly JF, Bennett DA. Apolipoprotein E e4 allele is associated with more rapid motor decline in older persons. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2009; 23: 63–9.
- 31 Kulmala J, Nykanen I, Manty M, Hartikainen S. Association between frailty and dementia: a population-based study. *Gerontology* 2014; **60**: 16–21.
- 32 Tolea MI, Morris JC, Galvin JE. Longitudinal associations between physical and cognitive performance among community-dwelling older adults. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0122878.
- 33 Ferrucci L, Gonzalez-Freire M, Fabbri E et al. Measuring biological aging in humans: A quest. Aging Cell 2020; 19: e13080.
- 826 © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. Journal of Internal Medicine, 2021, 289; 807–830

Prevention of dementia and disability / I. Lisko et al.

- 34 Raz L, Knoefel J, Bhaskar K. The neuropathology and cerebrovascular mechanisms of dementia. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2016; 36: 172–86.
- 35 Calderón-Larrañaga A, Vetrano DL, Ferrucci L et al. Multimorbidity and functional impairment-bidirectional interplay, synergistic effects and common pathways. J Intern Med 2019; 285: 255–71.
- 36 Stephen R, Hongisto K, Solomon A, Lönnroos E. Physical activity and Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2017; 72: 733–9.
- 37 Hamer M, Chida Y. Physical activity and risk of neurodegenerative disease: a systematic review of prospective evidence. *Psychol Med* 2009; **39**: 3–11.
- 38 Sofi F, Valecchi D, Bacci D et al. Physical activity and risk of cognitive decline: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. J Intern Med 2011; 269: 107–17.
- 39 Gallaway PJ, Miyake H, Buchowski MS *et al.* Physical activity: a viable way to reduce the risks of mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer's Disease, and vascular dementia in older adults. *Brain Sci* 2017; **7**: 22.
- 40 Tak E, Kuiper R, Chorus A, Hopman-Rock M. Prevention of onset and progression of basic ADL disability by physical activity in community dwelling older adults: a meta-analysis. *Ageing Res Rev* 2013; **12:** 329–38.
- 41 Daniels R, van Rossum E, de Witte L, Kempen GIJ. M., van den Heuvel W. Interventions to prevent disability in frail community-dwelling elderly: a systematic review. BMC Health Serv Res 2008; 8: 278.
- 42 Kivimäki M, Singh-Manoux A, Pentti J et al. Physical inactivity, cardiometabolic disease, and risk of dementia: an individual-participant meta-analysis. BMJ 2019; 365: 11495.
- 43 Northey JM, Cherbuin N, Pumpa KL, Smee DJ, Rattray B. Exercise interventions for cognitive function in adults older than 50: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2018; **52:** 154–60.
- 44 Sanders LMJ, Hortobágyi T, la Bastide-van GS, van der Zee EA, van Heuvelen MJG. Dose-response relationship between exercise and cognitive function in older adults with and without cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2019; **14**: e0210036.
- 45 Du Z, Li Y, Li J, Zhou C, Li F, Yang X. Physical activity can improve cognition in patients with Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin Interv Aging* 2018; **13**: 1593–603.
- 46 Pahor M, Guralnik JM, Ambrosius WT *et al.* Effect of structured physical activity on prevention of major mobility disability in older adults: the LIFE study randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014; **311:** 2387–96.
- 47 Fielding RA, Guralnik JM, King AC *et al.* Dose of physical activity, physical functioning and disability risk in mobilitylimited older adults: Results from the LIFE study randomized trial. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0182155.
- 48 Sink KM, Espeland MA, Castro CM *et al.* Effect of a 24month physical activity intervention vs health education on cognitive outcomes in sedentary older adults: the LIFE randomized trial. *JAMA* 2015; **314**: 781–90.
- 49 Roberts CE, Phillips LH, Cooper CL, Gray S, Allan JL. Effect of different types of physical activity on activities of daily living in older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Aging Phys Act 2017; 25: 653–70.

- 50 Sattler C, Toro P, Schönknecht P, Schröder J. Cognitive activity, education and socioeconomic status as preventive factors for mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Psychiatry Res* 2012; **196**: 90–5.
- 51 Nurrika D, Zhang S, Tomata Y, Sugawara Y, Tanji F, Tsuji I. Education level and incident functional disability in elderly Japanese: The Ohsaki Cohort 2006 study. *PLoS One* 2019; 14: e0213386.
- 52 Bennett DA, Wilson RS, Schneider JA et al. Education modifies the relation of AD pathology to level of cognitive function in older persons. *Neurology* 2003; 60: 1909–15.
- 53 Stern Y. What is cognitive reserve? Theory and research application of the reserve concept. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2002; 8: 448–60.
- 54 Prince M, Albanese E, Guerchet M, Prina M.World Alzheimer Report 2014. Dementia and Risk Reduction. An analysis of protective and modifiable factors 2014.
- 55 Valenzuela M, Sachdev P. Can cognitive exercise prevent the onset of dementia? Systematic review of randomized clinical trials with longitudinal follow-up. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2009; **17**: 179–87.
- 56 Mowszowski L, Lampit A, Walton CC, Naismith SL. Strategybased cognitive training for improving executive functions in older adults: a systematic review. *Neuropsychol Rev* 2016; 26: 252–70.
- 57 Chiu H, Chu H, Tsai J *et al.* The effect of cognitive-based training for the healthy older people: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0176742.
- 58 Chandler MJ, Parks AC, Marsiske M, Rotblatt LJ, Smith GE. Everyday impact of cognitive interventions in mild cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuropsychol Rev* 2016; 26: 225–51.
- 59 Yang H, Chan P, Chang P et al. Memory-focused interventions for people with cognitive disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. Int J Nurs Stud 2018; 78: 44–51.
- 60 Rebok GW, Ball K, Guey LT *et al.* Ten-year effects of the advanced cognitive training for independent and vital elderly cognitive training trial on cognition and everyday functioning in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2014; **62**: 16–24.
- 61 Willis SL, Tennstedt SL, Marsiske M et al. Long-term effects of cognitive training on everyday functional outcomes in older adults. JAMA 2006; 296: 2805–14.
- 62 Ball K, Berch DB, Helmers KF *et al.* Effects of cognitive training interventions with older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002; **288**: 2271–81.
- 63 Hikichi H, Kondo N, Kondo K, Aida J, Takeda T, Kawachi I. Effect of a community intervention programme promoting social interactions on functional disability prevention for older adults: propensity score matching and instrumental variable analyses, JAGES Taketoyo study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2015; 69: 905–10.
- 64 Gao M, Sa Z, Li Y *et al.* Does social participation reduce the risk of functional disability among older adults in China? A survival analysis using the 2005–2011 waves of the CLHLS data. *BMC Geriatr* 2018; **18**: 224.
- 65 Otsuka T, Tomata Y, Zhang S *et al.* Association between social participation and incident risk of functional disability in elderly Japanese: The Ohsaki Cohort 2006. *J Psychosom Res* 2018; **111**: 36–41.

JIM Prevention of dementia and disability / I. Lisko *et al.*

- 66 Kuiper JS, Zuidersma M, Oude Voshaar RC *et al.* Social relationships and risk of dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies. *Ageing Res Rev* 2015; **22:** 39–57.
- 67 Zhou Z, Wang P, Fang Y. Social engagement and its change are associated with dementia risk among chinese older adults: a longitudinal study. *Sci Rep* 2018; 8: 1551.
- 68 Hikichi H, Kondo K, Takeda T, Kawachi I. Social interaction and cognitive decline: Results of a 7-year community intervention. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)* 2017; **3:** 23–32.
- 69 Kelly ME, Duff H, Kelly S *et al.* The impact of social activities, social networks, social support and social relationships on the cognitive functioning of healthy older adults: a systematic review. *Syst Rev* 2017; **6**: 259.
- 70 Sutin AR, Stephan Y, Luchetti M, Terracciano A. Loneliness and Risk of dementia. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2018; 2(suppl_1): 966–7.
- 71 Pitkala KH, Routasalo P, Kautiainen H, Sintonen H, Tilvis RS. Effects of socially stimulating group intervention on lonely, older people's cognition: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2011; **19**: 654–63.
- 72 Mortimer JA, Ding D, Borenstein AR *et al.* Changes in brain volume and cognition in a randomized trial of exercise and social interaction in a community-based sample of nondemented Chinese elders. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2012; **30**: 757– 66.
- 73 Duan Y, Lu L, Chen J et al. Psychosocial interventions for Alzheimer's disease cognitive symptoms: a Bayesian network meta-analysis. BMC Geriatr 2018; 18: 175.
- 74 Rai D, Kosidou K, Lundberg M, Araya R, Lewis G, Magnusson C. Psychological distress and risk of long-term disability: population-based longitudinal study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2012; 66: 586–92.
- 75 Tomata Y, Watanabe T, Tanji F, Zhang S, Sugawara Y, Tsuji I. The impact of psychological distress on incident functional disability in elderly japanese: the ohsaki cohort 2006 study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018; **15**: 2502.
- 76 Sindi S, Hagman G, Hakansson K *et al.* Midlife work-related stress increases dementia risk in later life: the CAIDE 30-Year Study. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2016; **72:** 1044– 53.
- 77 Byers AL, Yaffe K. Depression and risk of developing dementia. Nat Rev Neurol 2011; 7: 323–31.
- 78 Schillerstrom JE, Royall DR, Palmer RF. Depression, disability and intermediate pathways: a review of longitudinal studies in elders. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 2008; **21:** 183– 97.
- 79 Hervás G, Vázquez C. Construction and validation of a measure of integrative well-being in seven languages: the Pemberton Happiness Index. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2013; **11**: 66.
- 80 Kivipelto M, Ngandu T, Fratiglioni L et al. Obesity and vascular risk factors at midlife and the risk of dementia and Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 2005; 62: 1556–60.
- 81 World Health Organization. Global Atlas on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Control. Mendis S, Puska P, Norrving B editors. 2011.
- 82 Gu T, Fu C, Shen Z et al. Age-related whole-brain structural changes in relation to cardiovascular risks across the adult age spectrum. Front Aging Neurosci 2019; 11: 85.
- 83 Larsson SC, Markus HS. Does treating vascular risk factors prevent dementia and Alzheimer's Disease? A systematic

review and meta-analysis. J Alzheimers Dis 2018; **64:** 657–68.

- 84 Chu C, Tseng P, Stubbs B et al. Use of statins and the risk of dementia and mild cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 5804.
- 85 Williamson JD, Pajewski NM, Auchus AP *et al.* Effect of intensive vs standard blood pressure control on probable dementia: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2019; **321:** 553– 61.
- 86 Albanese E, Launer LJ, Egger M et al. Body mass index in midlife and dementia: Systematic review and meta-regression analysis of 589,649 men and women followed in longitudinal studies. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2017; 8: 165–78.
- 87 Samper-Ternent R, Al SS. Obesity in older adults: epidemiology and implications for disability and disease. *Rev Clin Gerontol* 2012; 22: 10–34.
- 88 Nicklas BJ, Brinkley TE, Houston DK *et al.* Effects of caloric restriction on cardiorespiratory fitness, fatigue, and disability responses to aerobic exercise in older adults with obesity: a randomized controlled trial. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2019; **74:** 1084–90.
- 89 Seo SW, Gottesman RF, Clark JM et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is associated with cognitive function in adults. *Neurology* 2016; **86**: 1136–42.
- 90 Etgen T. Kidney disease as a determinant of cognitive decline and dementia. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2015; **7:** 29.
- 91 Rusanen M, Kivipelto M, Levälahti E *et al.* Heart diseases and long-term risk of dementia and Alzheimer's disease: a population-based CAIDE study. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2014; **42**: 183–91.
- 92 Lutsey PL, Chen N, Mirabelli MC et al. Impaired lung function, lung disease, and risk of incident dementia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2019; 199: 1385–96.
- 93 Chatterjee S, Peters SAE, Woodward M et al. Type 2 diabetes as a risk factor for dementia in women compared with men: a pooled analysis of 2.3 million people comprising more than 100,000 cases of dementia. *Diabetes Care* 2016; **39**: 300–7.
- 94 Parker SG, McCue P, Phelps K et al. What is comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA)? An umbrella review. Age Ageing 2018; 47: 149–55.
- 95 Ellis G, Whitehead MA, Robinson D, O'Neill D, Langhorne P. Comprehensive geriatric assessment for older adults admitted to hospital: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2011; **343:** d6553.
- 96 Fletcher AE, Price GM, Ng ESW et al. Population-based multidimensional assessment of older people in UK general practice: a cluster-randomised factorial trial. Lancet 2004; 364: 1667–77.
- 97 Bleijenberg N, Drubbel I, Schuurmans MJ *et al.* Effectiveness of a proactive primary care program on preserving daily functioning of older people: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2016; **64**: 1779–88.
- 98 Stuck AE, Minder CE, Peter-Wüest I *et al.* A randomized trial of in-home visits for disability prevention in communitydwelling older people at low and high risk for nursing home admission. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; **160**: 977–86.
- 99 Liimatta H, Lampela P, Laitinen-Parkkonen P, Pitkälä KH. Effects of preventive home visits on older people's use and costs of health care services: A systematic review. *Euro Geriat Med* 2016; **7:** 571–80.
- 828 © 2020 The Authors. Journal of Internal Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Publication of The Journal of Internal Medicine. Journal of Internal Medicine, 2021, 289; 807–830

IM Prevention of dementia and disability / I. Lisko *et al.*

- 100 Wu L, Sun D. Adherence to Mediterranean diet and risk of developing cognitive disorders: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Sci Rep* 2017; **7**: 41317.
- 101 Singh B, Parsaik AK, Mielke MM et al. Association of mediterranean diet with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Alzheimers Dis 2014; 39: 271–82.
- 102 Féart C, Pérès K, Samieri C, Letenneur L, Dartigues J, Barberger-Gateau P. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and onset of disability in older persons. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2011; 26: 747–56.
- 103 Milaneschi Y, Bandinelli S, Corsi AM et al. Mediterranean diet and mobility decline in older persons. Exp Gerontol 2011; 46: 303–8.
- 104 Perälä M, von Bonsdorff MB, Männistö S et al. The healthy nordic diet and mediterranean diet and incidence of disability 10 years later in home-dwelling old adults. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2019; 20: 511–516.e1.
- 105 Dangour AD, Allen E, Elbourne D et al. Effect of 2-y n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation on cognitive function in older people: a randomized, doubleblind, controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2010; **91:** 1725–32.
- 106 Forbes SC, Holroyd-Leduc JM, Poulin MJ, Hogan DB. Effect of nutrients, dietary supplements and vitamins on cognition: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Can Geriatr J* 2015; **18**: 231–45.
- 107 Solfrizzi V, Agosti P, Lozupone M et al. Nutritional intervention as a preventive approach for cognitive-related outcomes in cognitively healthy older adults: a systematic review. J Alzheimers Dis 2018; 64: S229–S254.
- 108 Fitzpatrick-Lewis D, Warren R, Ali MU, Sherifali D, Raina P. Treatment for mild cognitive impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ Open* 2015; **3:** 419.
- 109 D'Cunha NM, Georgousopoulou EN, Dadigamuwage L et al. Effect of long-term nutraceutical and dietary supplement use on cognition in the elderly: a 10-year systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Br J Nutr 2018; **119**: 280– 98.
- 110 Radd-Vagenas S, Duffy SL, Naismith SL, Brew BJ, Flood VM, Fiatarone Singh MA. Effect of the Mediterranean diet on cognition and brain morphology and function: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2018; **107:** 389–404.
- 111 Travers J, Romero-Ortuno R, Bailey J, Cooney M. Delaying and reversing frailty: a systematic review of primary care interventions. Br J Gen Pract 2019; 69: e61–e69.
- 112 Bartali B, Semba RD, Frongillo EA et al. Low micronutrient levels as a predictor of incident disability in older women. Arch Intern Med 2006; 166: 2335–40.
- 113 Houston DK, Neiberg RH, Tooze JA et al. Low 25-hydroxyvitamin D predicts the onset of mobility limitation and disability in community-dwelling older adults: the Health ABC Study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2013; 68: 181–7.
- 114 GBD 2015 Tobacco Collaborators. Smoking prevalence and attributable disease burden in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2017; 389: 1885–906.
- 115 Durazzo TC, Mattsson N, Weiner MW. Smoking and increased Alzheimer's disease risk: a review of potential mechanisms. *Alzheimers Dement* 2014; **10:** 122.

- 116 Schmelzle J, Rosser WW, Birtwhistle R. Update on pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic therapies for smoking cessation. *Can Fam Physician* 2008; **54**: 994–9.
- 117 GBD 2016 Alcohol Collaborators. Alcohol use and burden for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* 2018; **392**: 1015–35.
- 118 Fischer ME, Cruickshanks KJ, Schubert CR et al. Agerelated sensory impairments and risk of cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc 2016; 64: 1981–7.
- 119 Chen DS, Betz J, Yaffe K *et al.* Association of hearing impairment with declines in physical functioning and the risk of disability in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2015; **70:** 654–61.
- 120 Fortunato S, Forli F, Guglielmi V et al. A review of new insights on the association between hearing loss and cognitive decline in ageing. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2016; 36: 155–66.
- 121 Zheng Y, Fan S, Liao W, Fang W, Xiao S, Liu J. Hearing impairment and risk of Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Neurol Sci* 2017; **38**: 233–9.
- 122 Mikkola TM, Polku H, Portegijs E, Rantakokko M, Rantanen T, Viljanen A. Self-reported hearing status is associated with lower limb physical performance, perceived mobility, and activities of daily living in older community-dwelling men and women. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2015; **63**: 1164–9.
- 123 Deal JA, Richey Sharrett A, Bandeen-Roche K et al. Hearing impairment and physical function and falls in the atherosclerosis risk in communities hearing pilot study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2016; 64: 906–8.
- 124 Peters R, Ee N, Peters J, Booth A, Mudway I, Anstey KJ. Air Pollution and Dementia: A Systematic Review. J Alzheimer's Dis 2019; 70: S145–S163.
- 125 Shi L, Chen S, Ma M et al. Sleep disturbances increase the risk of dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev 2018; 40: 4–16.
- 126 Wu B, Fillenbaum GG, Plassman BL, Guo L. Association between oral health and cognitive status: a systematic review. J Am Geriatr Soc 2016; 64: 739–51.
- 127 Aguayo S, Schuh CM, Pia A, Vicente B, Aguayo LG. Association between Alzheimer's disease and oral and gut microbiota: are pore forming proteins the missing link? J Alzheimers Dis 2018; 65: 29–46.
- 128 Jiang C, Li G, Huang P, Liu Z, Zhao B. The gut microbiota and Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2017; 58: 1–15.
- 129 Cristofori I, Levin HS. Traumatic brain injury and cognition. Handb Clin Neurol 2015; **128**: 579–611.
- 130 Ngandu T, Lehtisalo J, Solomon A et al. A 2 year multidomain intervention of diet, exercise, cognitive training, and vascular risk monitoring versus control to prevent cognitive decline in at-risk elderly people (FINGER): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015; **385:** 2255–63.
- 131 Strandberg TE, Levälahti E, Ngandu T, Solomon A, Kivipelto M, for the FINGER Study Group. Health-related quality of life in a multidomain intervention trial to prevent cognitive decline (FINGER). *Euro Geriatric Med* 2017; 8: 164–7.
- 132 Marengoni A, Rizzuto D, Fratiglioni L *et al.* The effect of a 2year intervention consisting of diet, physical exercise, cognitive training, and monitoring of vascular risk on chronic morbidity-the FINGER randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2018; **19:** 360.e1.

JIM Prevention of dementia and disability / I. Lisko *et al.*

- 133 Kulmala J, Ngandu T, Havulinna S et al. The effect of multidomain lifestyle intervention on daily functioning in older people. J Am Geriatr Soc 2019; 67: 1138–44.
- 134 Andrieu S, Guyonnet S, Coley N *et al.* Effect of long-term omega 3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation with or without multidomain intervention on cognitive function in elderly adults with memory complaints (MAPT): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2017; 16: 377–89.
- 135 van Charante M, Eric P, Richard E *et al.* Effectiveness of a 6year multidomain vascular care intervention to prevent dementia (preDIVA): a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016; **388**: 797–805.
- 136 Kivipelto M, Ngandu T, Laatikainen T, Winblad B, Soininen H, Tuomilehto J. Risk score for the prediction of dementia risk in 20 years among middle aged people: a longitudinal, population-based study. *Lancet Neurol* 2006; **5:** 735–41.
- 137 Lihavainen K, Sipilä S, Rantanen T, Kauppinen M, Sulkava R, Hartikainen S. Effects of comprehensive geriatric assessment and targeted intervention on mobility in persons aged 75 years and over: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Rehabil* 2012; **26**: 314–26.
- 138 Beswick AD, Rees K, Dieppe P et al. Complex interventions to improve physical function and maintain independent living in elderly people: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Lancet 2008; 371: 725–35.
- 139 Peters R, Booth A, Rockwood K, Peters J, D'Este C, Anstey KJ. Combining modifiable risk factors and risk of dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open* 2019; 9: e022846.
- 140 Kivipelto M, Mangialasche F, Ngandu T. Lifestyle interventions to prevent cognitive impairment, dementia and Alzheimer disease. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2018; **14:** 653–66.
- 141 Kivipelto M, Mangialasche F, Ngandu T. World Wide Fingers will advance dementia prevention. *Lancet Neurol* 2018; 17: 27.
- 142 Kivipelto M, Mangialasche F, Snyder HM et al. World-Wide FINGERS Network: A global approach to risk reduction and prevention of dementia. Alzheimer's Dement 2020; 16: 1078–94.

- 143 Barbera M, Mangialasche F, Jongstra S et al. Designing an Internet-Based Multidomain Intervention for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease and Cognitive Impairment in Older Adults: The HATICE Trial. J Alzheimers Dis 2018; 62: 649–63.
- 144 Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-fatality rate and characteristics of patients dying in relation to COVID-19 in Italy. *JAMA* 2020; **323:** 1775–6.
- 145 Willan J, King AJ, Jeffery K, Bienz N. Challenges for NHS hospitals during covid-19 epidemic. *BMJ* 2020; 368: m1117.
- 146 World Health Organization. WHO Global action plan on the public health response to dementia 2017–2025. 2017. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- 147 Alzheimer's Disease International. From plan to impact: Progress towards targets of the Global action plan on dementia. 2018.
- 148 Cummings J, Lee G, Ritter A, Sabbagh M, Zhong K. Alzheimer's disease drug development pipeline: 2020. Alzheimer's Dementia 2020; 6: e12050.
- 149 Hara Y, McKeehan N, Fillit HM. Translating the biology of aging into novel therapeutics for Alzheimer disease. *Neurol Neurol* 2019; **92:** 84–93.
- 150 Palmqvist S, Janelidze S, Quiroz YT et al. Discriminative accuracy of plasma Phospho-tau217 for alzheimer disease vs other neurodegenerative disorders. JAMA 2020; 324: 772–81.
- 151 Karikari TK, Pascoal TA, Ashton NJ *et al.* Blood phosphorylated tau 181 as a biomarker for Alzheimer's disease: a diagnostic performance and prediction modelling study using data from four prospective cohorts. *Lancet Neurol* 2020; **19:** 422–33.
- 152 Unverzagt FW, Guey LT, Jones RN et al. ACTIVE cognitive training and rates of incident dementia. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2012; 18: 669–77.

Correspondence: Inna Lisko, PhD, Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences and Gerontology Research Center, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland. P.O. Box 35, 40014. (email: inna.k.lisko@jyu.fi).