This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Reuna, Sini; Väisänen, Ari

Title: To incinerate or not? : Effects of incineration on the concentrations of heavy metals and leaching efficiency of post-precipitated sewage sludge (RAVITA™)

Year: 2020

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Please cite the original version:

Reuna, S., & Väisänen, A. (2020). To incinerate or not? : Effects of incineration on the concentrations of heavy metals and leaching efficiency of post-precipitated sewage sludge (RAVITA™). Waste Management, 118, 241-246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.08.022

1 **To incinerate or not? –Effects of incineration on leaching and**

2 **heavy metal concentrations of post-precipitated sewage sludge**

3 **(RAVITA™)**

4 Sini Reuna[a]* and Ari Väisänen[a]

5

6 [a]Department of Chemistry, Renewable Natural Resources, and Chemistry of Living

7 Environment, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland

8 *(corresponding author: sini.s.reuna@jyu.fi)

10

Abstract

12 The major element and heavy metal concentrations of post-precipitated sewage sludge (PPS)

13 and its ash residue (PPA) were determined using microwave digestion followed by

14 inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) and mass spectrometry

15 (ICP-MS). To the best of our knowledge, this has not been previously done. Compared to

16 average heavy metal concentrations in sewage sludge in Europe the obtained concentrations

17 resulted in notably lower in both PPS and PPA. The leaching efficiency of the metal (Al/ Fe)

18 used as a precipitation agent from post-precipitated sludge and its ash residue with

19 phosphoric acid was also investigated. Tests resulted in leaching efficiencies for Al of 85 ± 1

20 % and 99.5 ± 0.7 % for PPS and PPA, respectively which were produced with aluminum as

21 precipitation agent for phosphorus. Sludge, which was produced using iron as a precipitation

22 agent, had a leaching efficiency of Fe 36.6 ± 0.9 % and 68.0 ± 1.1 %, for PPS and PPA,

23 respectively. The leaching efficiency for P was 94 ± 3 % and 96 ± 5 % for Al-PPA and Fe-

24 PPA, respectively.

25

1 Introduction

Since the European Commission listed phosphate rock as a critical raw material in 2014, the development of methods to recover phosphorus (P) from secondary sources has been increasing steadily (European Commission, 2014; Scopus, 2019). One of the main secondary sources can be the sewage sludge produced in wastewater treatment plants. It is estimated that 90 % of the P in wastewater end up in sewage sludge (SS) (Liang et al., 2019).

Currently, the three main applications for SS are landfilling, use in agriculture, and incineration (Kacprzak et al., 2017). In 2016, 35 percent of sewage sludge was incinerated in the European countries producing thousands of tonnes of ash (EUROSTAT, 2019). For that reason, many of the wet chemical methods developed for phosphorus recovery utilize the incinerated sewage sludge ash (ISSA). The problem is that incineration concentrates the harmful heavy metals in ash causing challenges in the development of the phosphorus recovery processes (Franz, 2008).

The usual first step in P recovery is the leaching of ISSA with either inorganic or organic acids. This extracts P from the ash along with metals and metalloids (Fang et al., 2018). The purification process for heavy metal separation can be done with solvent extraction, ion exchange resins or membranes before the leachate is utilized for the recovery of P (Biswas et al., 2009; Donatello et al., 2010; Guedes et al., 2014; Paltrinieri et al., 2019; Shiba and Ntuli, 2017). Another method for separation of P from heavy metals is the pretreatment of ISSA. For instance, a chelating agent EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) has been successfully tested as a pre-leaching agent for reducing the metals before P leaching (Fang et al., 2018). This however produces a waste faction containing EDTA and leached metals, which must be processed. Several studies have also investigated the possibilities to directly transform P from the acidic leachate into a plant-available form. Biochars derived from waste materials (peanut

2

50   shells, sewage sludge) have been successfully used for P-adsorption and then used as

51   fertilizer (Fang et al., 2020a, 2020b). Direct precipitation with calcium silicate hydrates has

52   also been implemented resulting in a leaching efficiency of 55 % for P (Lee et al., 2018).

53   One way to prevent heavy metals from contaminating the phosphorus-rich sludge, without

54   extra process steps, is so-called post-precipitation (PP) (Eklund et al., 1991). In PP the

55   precipitation agent, typically aluminum or iron salt, is added into effluent wastewater after

56   other wastewater treatment procedures, such as primary treatment and biological treatment.

57   Post-precipitation of P is utilized by RAVITA™ -process. It is a process developed and

58   patented by the Helsinki Region Environmental Services Authority to recover phosphorus

59   and nitrogen from municipal wastewater (Fred et al., 2019, 2018; Rossi et al., 2018). In

60   RAVITA™ the PP produces a chemical sludge that mainly consists of aluminum or iron

61   phosphate depending on the used precipitation agent. The chemical sludge is separated from

62   effluent wastewater by disc filtration. This results in an extremely low total P concentration

63   of 0.1 mg L^{-1} in effluent wastewater (Rossi, 2014). Formed chemical sludge is leached with

64   dilute phosphoric acid. Next, the leach solution is processed to separate the precipitation

65   metal and phosphorus from each other. Currently, the purification of phosphoric acid with

66   solvent extraction is researched. The purified phosphoric acid solution is partly used in

67   nitrogen recovery to produce ammonium phosphate and the excess phosphoric acid can be

68   utilized in the fertilizer industry. The separated metal is recycled back to the wastewater

69   treatment process to be used as a precipitation agent again. The recycling of the precipitation

70   agent is not utilized in any other P recovery process.

71   RAVITA™ will utilize only the chemical sludge formed in PP. The biosludge that is formed

72   during biological treatment will contain an estimated 30-35 % of the P that comes with

73   incoming wastewater and it is digested (FCG Suunnittelu ja Tekniikka, 2015). Because of the

74   lower P content, the biological sludge has a better nutrient ratio and greater amounts can be

75   used in agriculture. Also, when P is not chemically bound with iron or aluminum its

76   bioavailability for plants increases. The heavy metal concentrations of the biological sludge

77   depend on industries that produce wastewater (Persson et al., 2015). However, the

78   concentration levels of heavy metals in biological sludge are controlled at the EU level by

79   The Sewage Sludge Directive 86/278/EEC (The Council of the European Communities,

80   1986). The final treatment for biological sludge varies between the EU member states

81   (Raheem et al., 2018). After digestion and composting the sludge can be utilized in green

82   building or it can be incinerated.

83   Previously for RAVITA^{TM} -process, we have optimized the leaching procedure for

84   aluminum-based post-precipitated sewage sludge (Al-PPS) with dilute phosphoric acid

85   (Reuna and Väisänen, 2018). The optimized leaching conditions for iron-based post-

86   precipitated sewage sludge (Fe-PPS) have not been previously published. Phosphoric acid

87   was chosen as a leaching solution instead of sulfuric acid or hydrochloric acid in order to

88   avoid the removal of sulfate or chloride ions from the leachate. (Ottosen et al., 2013). In this

89   study, we have studied if the incineration of PPS enhances the leaching process and

90   determined the concentrations of heavy metals (HMs) in the sludge (PPS) and ash residue

91   (PPA). To our knowledge, the HM concentrations have not been previously determined from

92   PPS and PPA. Nor has the leaching of PPA from the post-precipitation of P with dilute

93   phosphoric acid previously been tested.

94

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials and chemicals

The standard stock solutions of the elements (1000 mg L^{-1}, analytical grade) were supplied by PerkinElmer. Boric acid (H_3BO_3, 99.99 %, AlfaAesar) and phosphoric acid (85 wt. %) were obtained from VWR International. Analytical grade nitric acid (65-68 wt. %) and hydrochloric acid (37-39 wt. %) were purchased from Merck. Analytical grade hydrofluoric acid (40 %) was procured from Merck. Ultra-pure hydrochloric and nitric acid were purchased from ANALYTIKA, spol. s.r.o. (34-37 %, Prague, Czech Republic). Certified reference materials CRM029 *Heavy Metals – Sewage Sludge 2* (Lot LRAB1332) and SRM 1663c *Heavy Metals in Coal Fly Ash* were used for method validation. All the chemicals were used as obtained without further purification. High-purity water produced by the Elga Purelab Ultra water purification system was used throughout the experiments.

The batch of post-precipitated aluminum phosphate (Al-PPS) and iron phosphate sludge (Fe-PPS) were received from the RAVITA^{TM} pilot plant located in the Viikinmäki wastewater treatment plant, Helsinki. Post-precipitated sewage sludge (PPS) batches were dried in a fume cupboard for 72 h and ground manually before sample treatment. Parts of the batches were incinerated (550 °C, 2 h) to produce post-precipitated sewage sludge ash (PPA).

2.2 The procedure of sample treatment

2.2.1 Dry matter determination, incineration, and particle size determination

The dry matter content of sludge samples and reference materials were determined according to the Finnish Standards Association's standard SFS 3008 (SYKE, 2011). Samples of 500 mg were weighed and kept 16 hours at 120°C. After cooling the weight was measured and dry

118   weight and moisture content were calculated. The particle size range was determined with

119   the Retch AS200 sample sieve. The results are presented in supplementary data Table S1.

120   2.2.2   *Microwave-assisted digestion*

121   Sewage sludge samples (200 mg, dry weight content 81.8 % for aluminum-based sludge and

122   70.1 % for iron-based sludge) and ash residue samples (200 mg) were weighed in digestion

123   vessels and 9 mL nitric acid (HNO_3, 65 %), 3 mL hydrochloric acid (HCl, 35 %) and 1 mL

124   hydrofluoric acid (HF, 40 %) was added. Vessels were closed and a digestion program based

125   on EPA 3052 method was performed with CEM Mars6 –microwave oven. After cool down

126   10 milliliters of boric acid (H_3BO_3, 5 wt.-%) was added and the HF neutralization program

127   was executed. Temperature profiles of digestion programs are presented in supplementary

128   data (Table S2). Digested samples were filtered (filter paper Whatman 41) and diluted to a

129   volume of 40 milliliters with high-purity water. Also, samples from certified reference

130   materials *Heavy metals-Sewage sludge* CRM029-50G (250 mg, dry weight content 89.8 %),

131   SRM 1663c *Heavy Metals in Coal Fly Ash* (200 mg, dry weight content 99.73 %) were

132   digested similarly.

133

134   2.2.3   *Leaching*

135   Leaching of Al-PPS and Al-PPA was done according to optimized leaching conditions

136   (Reuna and Väisänen, 2018): solid to liquid ratio S/L of 15.9 g dry weight (d.w) L^{-1}, the

137   phosphoric acid concentration of 0.5 M and leaching time of 360 minutes. The Fe-PPS and

138   Fe-PPA were leached in the following matter: S/L 121 g (d.w.) L^{-1}, the phosphoric acid

139   concentration of 2 M and leaching time of 60 minutes. The PPS was used in the leaching test

140   as received. The properties of PPS and PPA are listed in Table S1 in the supplementary data.

141 The specific compounds of metals in the solution after leaching with phosphoric acid is

142 discussed in the supplementary data.

143

2.3 ICP-OES measurements

145 The concentrations of the major elements Al, Ca, Fe, and Mg were determined with

146 PerkinElmer ICP-OES Avio 500 –spectrometer for Fe-PPS and Fe-PPS and with

147 PerkinElmer Optima 8300 for Al-PPS and Al-PPA. The GemCone low flow –nebulizer with

148 Tracey spray chamber (HF resistant) was used for sample introduction. The parameters for all

149 measurements are presented in supplementary data (Table S3). The wavelengths, calibration

150 ranges, and the limits of quantification (LOQ) are presented for each element in

151 supplementary data (Table S4).

152

2.4 ICP-MS measurements

154 The heavy metals analyzed were As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, Sn, Te, and Zn. The element

155 concentrations were determined with PerkinElmer NexION 350D inductively coupled plasma

156 mass spectrometer (ICP-MS). The ICP-MS operating conditions are shown in supplementary

157 data (Table S5). Before measurement, the samples were diluted by a factor of 200 or 50 with

158 ESI Prep-Fast. Method detection limits (MDL) were determined from method blanks (n=9)

159 for each element according to US EPA Method 200.7 (U. S. Environmental Protection

160 Agency, 2001) and are presented along with calibration ranges and internal standards used for

161 analytes in supplementary data (Table S6). Validation of ICP -OES and ICP-MS

162 measurements is presented in the supplementary data.

163

3   Results and discussion

3.1   Determination of element concentrations of PPS and PPA

Table 1 presents the major and heavy metal concentrations by weight percentages (w-%) for main elements and mg kg^{-1} for heavy metals in PPS and PPA. Concentrations for As, Se, and Cr are not presented since they resulted in concentrations lower than MDLs in all sample types. To see if the heavy metal concentrations are lower in the PPS than in traditionally produced sewage sludge the values were compared to existing literature. Liang et al., (2019) determined the elemental concentrations of raw waste activated sludge and ISSA and the results are presented in Table 1 along with data from this study. Liang et al, (2019) determined that the concentrations of P in raw sludge and ISSA were 29.4 g kg^{-1} and 52.1 g kg^{-1}, respectively. From Table 1 we can see that PPS and PPA contain higher concentrations of phosphorus regardless of the metal used in post-precipitation. The same trend applies to all concentrations of the main elements. For instance, aluminum is present almost thrice as high in concentration in Al-PPA than in ISSA (109.7 g kg^{-1}) that Liang et al., (2019) have researched. This is expected since the precipitation in PP happens after the removal of biological solids, hence increasing the concentrations of main elements.

In both sludge types, the heavy metal concentration is increased by a factor of 1.3 after incineration. However, even after incineration, most heavy metal concentrations are considerably below the average heavy metal content of the sewage sludge in the EU and Finland (Helsinki Region Environmental Services Authority, 2018; Inglezakis et al., 2014). Likewise, Finland's limit values for heavy metals in sludge for use in agriculture are straightforwardly passed (Ministry of the Environment, 1994). This is illustrated in Figure 1a for Al-PPS/PPA and Figure 1b for Fe-PPS/PPA. The only exception is cadmium which average concentration in Fe-PPS is the same as Finland's limit value for Cd in sludge for use in agriculture. However, the cadmium will not concentrate on PPA, since it volatilizes at

8

189   elevated temperature (Shi et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2008). Instead, at a full-scale process of

190   incineration, Cd would concentrate on combustion residues (CRs) such as fly ash (Xiao et al.,

191   2015). This could affect the end-use of the CRs, which have been reported to be utilized in

192   construction material production and for agricultural land (Ning et al., 2013). Xiao et al., 2015

193   studied the mobility and phyto-accessibility of some heavy metal from SS after combustion.

194   They concluded that Cd in fly ash had little bioavailability or eco-toxicity for plants. Thus,

195   incineration could be a viable step in RAVITA™ -process despite the Cd content in Fe-PPS.

196

197 ypically, the high concentration of Zn is the reason, which prevents the usage of ash in

198 landfilling or other purposes (Franz, 2008). This will not be the issue with PPA since the

199 concentrations of Zn are 2 times lower than the mean value in Europe. These HM

200 concentrations determined in this study indicate that in a full-scale process most of the HMs

201 in wastewater will be bound in the biological sludge. However, since this has not been

202 piloted, it is too soon to evaluate the possible concentrations in the biological sludge. The

203 obtained results confirm the fact that by using the post-precipitation of phosphorus the heavy

204 metal concentrations are significantly lower in the produced sewage sludge. This simplifies

205 the recovery process of P and the precipitation agent since there is no longer a need to purify

206 the phosphorus product from heavy metals.

207

3.2   The effect of incineration on leaching of Al, Fe, and P

209 Table 2 presents the determined concentrations of Al, Fe, and P from the leachates and the pH

210 of the solution after leaching. The concentration of P in the leachate for PPA was determined

211 by subtracting the theoretical P concentration of the phosphoric acid from the measured value.

212 When leaching the PPS, due to the water content, it is not possible to accurately determine the

213 extra P content in leachate. For that reason, those values are not presented.

214 Figure 2 portrays the effect of incineration on leaching efficiency when dilute phosphoric acid

215 is used. Since the leaching efficiency for Al and Fe seems to be higher with PPA, the

216 Student's t-test (one-tail) was performed to determine if there is a statistically significant

217 difference between the main metal concentration in leach solution after leaching with either

218 PPS or PPA. Table 3 presents the t-test results for both types of sludge. In both cases, the

219 absolute value for t_{Stat} exceeds the critical t-value. This indicates that the leaching efficiency

220 of the main metal from PPA is higher than from PPS.

223   There are two reasons for the higher leaching efficiency of ash. First, the organic material

224   does not anymore compete with metals. Hence, the ratio of H^+/metal increases even though

225   metal concentrations rise in 1.5-fold after incineration. The higher H^+/ metal ratio enhances

226   the leaching efficiency. The second reason is the smaller particle size in PPA (see Table S1)

227   resulting in higher surface area, which makes the metals easier to be leached (Hong et al.,

228   2005; Stark et al., 2006).

229   Even though the leaching efficiency of metal is higher with ash, the effect of increasing

230   concentration must be considered on the whole recovery process. The aluminum

231   concentration (4.60 ± 0.03 g L^{-1}) in leach solution after leaching with Al-PPA seems

232   reasonable, but the leach solution containing iron (36 ± 2 g L^{-1}) could be problematic. For

233   instance, if the solvent extraction (SX) is contemplated as a purification method for leach

234   solution it should be taken into account that aluminum and iron are the main components to

235   cause the formation of crud in SX-settlers (Ritcey, 1980). Thus too high iron concentration in

236   the leachate would increase the possibility of crud formation during solvent extraction. A

237   higher concentration of iron in the aqueous phase also means that the SX-process requires

238   more steps and steeper aqueous to organic phase ratios. Besides, the predominant compound

239   of the Fe in the leaching solution is $FeH_2PO_4^{2+}$ (see Figure S1). Since the goal is to recover

240   both P and the precipitation agent (Al/Fe) in different fractions, it is not possible to achieve if

241   iron forms a compound with phosphate anion. For these reasons, other purification methods

242   for leach solutions that are produced from Fe-PPS/PPA need to be investigated.

243   For P, high recovery in leaching is achieved after incineration, 94 ± 3 %, and 96 ± 5 % for Al-

244   PPA and Fe-PPA, respectively. These results are consistent with the results Donatello et al.

245 (2010) obtained when leaching ISSA with sulfuric acid. Their investigation resulted in P

246 recoveries between 72 – 91 %. This supports incineration as a pretreatment method before

247 leaching with H_3PO_4 as it removes the organic matter, thus improving the leaching of the

248 main metal. Lee et al. (2018) achieved 55 % P recovery when leaching SS with sulfuric acid,

249 but due to the water content in PPS, it is not possible to accurately determine the excess P

250 amount in phosphoric acid solution. However, since the pH remains below 2 after leaching it

251 can be estimated that most of the P will be leached from PPS (Monea et al., 2020).

252

4   Conclusions

254 The major element and heavy metal concentrations of PPS and PPA were determined. Also,

255 the effect of incineration on the leaching efficiency of the metal used in the P post-

256 precipitation was investigated. A 1.5-fold increase in element concentrations can be observed

257 when comparing the PPA to the PPS. Nevertheless, all heavy metal concentrations were

258 clearly below the average of heavy metal concentrations in sewage sludge in the European

259 Union. This indicates that PP is a valid method to prevent heavy metals to accumulate in

260 sewage sludge hence easing the development of recovery processes for phosphorus.

261 The leaching efficiency was discovered to increase when PPA was used as raw material

262 instead of PPS. With Al-PPS and PPA, this resulted in a leaching efficiency of 84.0 ± 1.1 %

263 and 99.5 ± 0.7 %, respectively. However, the concentrations in leach solution after leaching of

264 Fe-PPS or PPA are significantly higher but the efficiency is lower, resulting in a leaching

265 efficiency of 45 ± 4 % for Fe-PPS and 68.0 ± 1.1 % for Fe-PPA. Because of the predominant

266 compound of the Fe in the leaching solution is $FeH_2PO_4^{2+}$ other purification methods than

267 solvent extraction needs to be studied for leach solution produced from Fe-PPA. With both

268 sludge types incineration yields high P recovery, 94 ± 3 %, and 96 ± 5 % for Al-PPA and Fe-

269   PPA, respectively. Hence, it can be concluded that incineration is a viable pretreatment

270   method before leaching with dilute H_3PO_4. In further work the purification method for

271   separation of P and Al/Fe in investigated. The choice of purification method will greatly

272   influence the overall recovery value of P.

273

284

285

286

287

288

References

Biswas, B.K., Inoue, K., Harada, H., Ohto, K., Kawakita, H., 2009. Leaching of phosphorus from incinerated sewage sludge ash by means of acid extraction followed by adsorption on orange waste gel. J. Environ. Sci. 21, 1753–1760. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62484-5

Donatello, S., Tong, D., Cheeseman, C.R., 2010. Production of technical grade phosphoric acid from incinerator sewage sludge ash (ISSA). Waste Manag. 30, 1634–1642. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.04.009

Eklund, L., Hellström, B.G., Hultman, B., Lind, J.E., Nordström, B., Hellstrom, B.G., Hultman, B., Lind, J.E., Nordstrom, B., 1991. Swedish full-scale experiments on modified operational modes in removal of nutrients. Water Sci. Technol. 24, 97–102.

European Commission, 2014. Report on critical raw materials for the EU, Report of the Ad hoc Working Group on defining critical raw materials 41. https://doi.org/Ref. Ares(2015)1819595 - 29/04/2015

EUROSTAT, 2019. Sewage sludge production and disposal from urban wastewater (in dry substance (d.s)) [WWW Document]. URL https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-datasets/-/env_ww_spd (accessed 1.22.20).

Fang, L., Li, J., Guo, M.Z., Cheeseman, C.R., Tsang, D.C.W., Donatello, S., Poon, C.S., Zhi, M., Cheeseman, C.R., Tsang, D.C.W., Donatello, S., Sun, C., Guo, M.Z., Cheeseman, C.R., Tsang, D.C.W., Donatello, S., Poon, C.S., 2018. Phosphorus recovery and leaching of trace elements from incinerated sewage sludge ash (ISSA). Chemosphere 193, 278–287. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.11.023

Fang, L., Li, J. shan, Donatello, S., Cheeseman, C.R., Poon, C.S., Tsang, D.C.W., 2020a. Use

312 of Mg/Ca modified biochars to take up phosphorus from acid-extract of incinerated

313 sewage sludge ash (ISSA) for fertilizer application. J. Clean. Prod. 244, 118853.

314 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118853

315 Fang, L., Yan, F., Chen, J., Shen, X., Zhang, Z., 2020b. Novel Recovered Compound

316 Phosphate Fertilizer Produced from Sewage Sludge and Its Incinerated Ash. ACS

317 Sustain. Chem. Eng. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06861

318 FCG Suunnittelu ja Tekniikka, 2015. Fosforin jälkisaostuksen ja talteenoton esiselvitys

319 Viikinmäen jätevedenpuhdistamolla. Helsinki.

320 Franz, M., 2008. Phosphate fertilizer from sewage sludge ash (SSA). Waste Manag. 28,

321 1809–1818. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2007.08.011

322 Fred, T., Heinonen, M., Lindell, P., Reuna, S., 2019. METHOD OF PHOSPHORUS

323 REMOVAL AND RECOVERY. US 10,351,428 B2.

324 Fred, T., Heinonen, M., Lindell, P., Reuna, S., 2018. EP3222587 - A METHOD OF

325 PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL AND RECOVERY. 3222587.

326 Guedes, P., Couto, N., Ottosen, L.M., Ribeiro, A.B., 2014. Phosphorus recovery from sewage

327 sludge ash through an electrodialytic process. Waste Manag. 34, 886–892.

328 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2014.02.021

329 Helsinki Region Environmental Services Authority, 2018. Jätevedenpuhdistus

330 pääkaupunkiseudulla 2018 Viikinmäen ja Suomenojan jätevedenpuhdistamot. Helsinki.

331 Hong, K.J., Tarutani, N., Shinya, Y., Kajiuchi, T., 2005. Study on the recovery of phosphorus

332 from waste-activated sludge incinerator ash. J. Environ. Sci. Heal. - Part A

333 Toxic/Hazardous Subst. Environ. Eng. 40, 617–631. https://doi.org/10.1081/ESE-

334 200046614

335   Inglezakis, V., Zorpas, A.A., Karagiannidis, A., Samaras, P., 2014. EUROPEAN UNION

336   LEGISLATION. Fresenius Environ. Bull. 23, 635–639.

337   Kacprzak, M., Neczaj, E., Fijałkowski, K., Grobelak, A., Grosser, A., Worwag, M., Rorat, A.,

338   Brattebo, H., Singh, B.R., Almås, Å., 2017. Sewage sludge disposal strategies for

339   sustainable development. Environ. Res. 156, 39–46.

340   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.03.010

341   Lee, C.G., Alvarez, P.J.J., Kim, H.G., Jeong, S., Lee, S., Lee, K.B., Lee, S.H., Choi, J.W.,

342   2018. Phosphorous recovery from sewage sludge using calcium silicate hydrates.

343   Chemosphere 193, 1087–1093. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.11.129

344   Liang, S., Chen, H., Zeng, X., Li, Z., Yu, W., Xiao, K., Hu, J., Hou, H., Liu, B., Tao, S.,

345   Yang, J., 2019a. A comparison between sulfuric acid and oxalic acid leaching with

346   subsequent purification and precipitation for phosphorus recovery from sewage sludge

347   incineration ash. Water Res. 159, 242–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.05.022

348   Liang, S., Chen, H., Zeng, X., Li, Z., Yu, W., Xiao, K., Hu, J., Hou, H., Liu, B., Tao, S.,

349   Yang, J., 2019b. A comparison between sulfuric acid and oxalic acid leaching with

350   subsequent purification and precipitation for phosphorus recovery from sewage sludge

351   incineration ash. Water Res. 159, 242–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.05.022

352   Ministry of the Environment, 1994. Government Degree on the use of sewage sludge in

353   agriculture (282/1994).

354   Monea, M.C., Löhr, D.K., Meyer, C., Preyl, V., Xiao, J., Steinmetz, H., Schönberger, H.,

355   Drenkova-Tuhtan, A., 2020. Comparing the leaching behavior of phosphorus, aluminum

356   and iron from post-precipitated tertiary sludge and anaerobically digested sewage sludge

357   aiming at phosphorus recovery. J. Clean. Prod. 247.

358   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119129

359   Ning, X., Luo, H., Liang, Xiujuan, Lin, M., Liang, Xin, 2013. Effects of tannery sludge

360   incineration slag pretreatment on sludge dewaterability. Chem. Eng. J. 221, 17.

361   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.01.106

362   Ottosen, L.M., Kirkelund, G.M., Jensen, P.E., 2013. Extracting phosphorous from incinerated

363   sewage sludge ash rich in iron or aluminum. Chemosphere 91, 963–969.

364   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.01.101

365   Paltrinieri, L., Remmen, K., Müller, B., Chu, L., Köser, J., Wintgens, T., Wessling, M., Smet,

366   L.C.P.M. De, Sudhölter, E.J.R., 2019. Improved phosphoric acid recovery from sewage

367   sludge ash using layer-by-layer modified membranes. J. Memb. Sci. 587, 117162.

368   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.06.002

369   Persson, T., Svensson, M., Finnson, A., 2015. A Case Story from REVAQ CERTIFIED

370   WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS IN SWEDEN FOR IMPROVED QUALITY

371   OF.

372   Raheem, A., Sikarwar, V.S., He, J., Dastyar, W., Dionysiou, D.D., Wang, W., Zhao, M.,

373   2018. Opportunities and challenges in sustainable treatment and resource reuse of

374   sewage sludge: A review. Chem. Eng. J. 337, 616–641.

375   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.12.149

376   Reuna, S., Väisänen, A., 2018. Optimizing the H3PO4 leaching conditions of post-

377   precipitated sewage sludge using response surface methodology. J. Environ. Manage.

378   226, 70–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.08.004

379   Ritcey, G.M., 1980. Crud in solvent extraction processing - a review of causes and treatment.

380   Hydrometallurgy 5, 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-386X(80)90031-6

381   Rossi, L., 2014. Enhancing Phosphorus Removal by Disc Filtration- A Case Study From

382   Viikinmäki Wastewater Treatment Plant. Aalto University, School of Chemical

383   Technology.

384   Rossi, L., Reuna, S., Fred, T., Heinonen, M., 2018. RAVITA Technology – new innovation

385   for combined phosphorus and nitrogen recovery. Water Sci. Technol. 78, 2511–2517.

386   https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2019.011

387   Scopus, 2019. Phosphorus recovery Documents by year [WWW Document]. URL

388   https://www.scopus.com/term/analyzer.uri?sid=aa171eb8aad012700900b812fbfee69f&o

389   rigin=resultslist&src=s&s=TITLE-ABS-KEY%28phosphorus+recovery%29&sort=plf-

390   f&sdt=b&sot=b&sl=34&count=9308&analyzeResults=Analyze+results&txGid=80c5fb6

391   d7404d280463e7986a40ef7b8 (accessed 11.19.19).

392   Shi, W., Feng, C., Huang, W., Lei, Z., Zhang, Z., 2014. Bioresource Technology Study on

393   interaction between phosphorus and cadmium in sewage sludge during hydrothermal

394   treatment by adding hydroxyapatite. Bioresour. Technol. 159, 176–181.

395   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.02.108

396   Shiba, N.C., Ntuli, F., 2017. Extraction and precipitation of phosphorus from sewage sludge.

397   Waste Manag. 60, 191–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.07.031

398   Stark, K., Plaza, E., Hultman, B., 2006. Phosphorus release from ash, dried sludge and sludge

399   residue from supercritical water oxidation by acid or base. Chemosphere 62, 827–832.

400   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.04.069

401   SYKE, 2011. Water Quality. Part 3 Chemical methods. Inorganic chemistry. Basic methods

402   in water analysis, 1st ed. Suomen standardisoimisliitto SFS RY, Helsinki.

403   The Council of the European Communities, 1986. COUNCIL DIRECTIVE of 12 June 1986

404   on the protection of the environment, and inparticular of the soil, when sewage sludge is

405   used in agriculture.

406   U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2001. Method 200.7. Trace elements in water, solids

407   and biosolids by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry, revision 5.0.

408   Xiao, Z., Yuan, X., Li, H., Jiang, L., Leng, L., Chen, X., 2015. Chemical speciation, mobility

409   and phyto-accessibility of heavy metals in fly ash and slag from combustion of pelletized

410   municipal sewage sludge. Sci. Total Environ. 536, 774–783.

411   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.07.126

412   Zhang, Y., Chen, Y., Meng, A., Li, Q., Cheng, H., 2008. Experimental and thermodynamic

413   investigation on transfer of cadmium influenced by sulfur and chlorine during municipal

414   solid waste (MSW) incineration. J. Hazard. Mater. 153, 309–319.

415   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.08.054

416

417

Figure 1. Heavy metal concentrations for PPS and PPA (mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m)), average EU

concentrations and sludge limit values for agricultural use in Finland, a) Al-PPS and Al-PPA, b) Fe-PPS and Fe-

PPA

421

Figure 2. Leaching efficiency of Al, Fe, and P after leaching Al-PPS/PPA and Fe-PPS/PPA with dilute

phosphoric acid (n=3, mean ± s.d.).

422

423

424

425

426

			Al		Fe		Liang et al. 2019	
			PPS	PPA	PPS	PPA	WAS	ISSA
	Unit	n	6	9	6	5		
Al	(w-%)		18.3 ± 0.3	29.1 ± 0.3	0.221 ± 0.01	0.351 ± 0.009	5.43 ± 0.03	10.97 ± 0.02
Ca	(w-%)		1.14 ± 0.01	1.53 ± 0.03	1.97 ± 0.02	2.39 ± 0.02	1.69 ± 0.05	3.19 ± 0.05
Fe	(w-%)		1.03 ± 0.01	1.62 ± 0.02	36.8 ± 0.2	43.5 ± 0.4	2.64 ± 0.09	5.14 ± 0.06
Mg	(w-%)		0.075 ± 0.002	0.114 ± 0.01	—	0.144 ± 0.002	0.67 ± 0.03	1.3 ± 0.02
P	(w-%)		10.0 ± 0.2	14.85 ± 0.15	8.1 ± 0.08	9.52 ± 0.12	2.84 ± 0.09	5.5 ± 0.07
Cu	(mg kg^{-1})		52.6 ± 0.8	85 ± 3	37.7 ± 0.9	49.8 ± 0.5[a]	90 ± 1	423 ± 10
Zn	(mg kg^{-1})		219 ± 4	360 ± 7	470 ± 13	587 ± 7[a]	225 ± 12	895 ± 49
Sn	(mg kg^{-1})		1.87 ± 0.09	3.1 ± 0.2	1.512 ± 0.014	1.79 ± 0.03[a]	nd[b]	nd
Pb	(mg kg^{-1})		0.66 ± 0.04	1.01 ± 0.05	—	0.481 ± 0.015[a]	nd	460 ± 5
Ni	(mg kg^{-1})		10.6 ± 0.6	18 ± 3	—	—	nd	209 ± 1
Sb	(mg kg^{-1})		—	—	1.09 ± 0.04	1.31 ± 0.02[a]	nd	nd
Cd	(mg kg^{-1})		—	—	3 ± 0.4	—	nd	126 ± 3

429 — Below LOQ/MDL; [a] n= 6; [b]not detected
430
431

432 **Table 2.** The determined concentrations of Al, Fe, and P (mean ± s.d, n= 3.) from the leachate, when the
433 leaching solution is 0.5 M H_3PO_4 for Al-PPS/PPA and 2 M H_3PO_4 for Fe-PPS/PPA.

	Al		Fe	
	PPS	PPA	PPS	PPA
Al (g L^{-1})	2.46 ± 0.05	4.60 ± 0.03	0.08 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.05
Fe (g L^{-1})	0.151 ± 0.008	0.25 ± 0.1	16.4 ± 0.3	36 ± 2
P^a (g L^{-1})	—	2.24 ± 0.03	—	11.1 ± 0.6
$pH_{after\ leaching}$	1.5	1.9	1.6	1.2

434 [a] P concentration determined by subtracting the theoretical P concentration of H_3PO_4 from the measured value.

435

436

437 **Table 3.** Student's t-test values for Fe-PPS/PPA and Al-PPS/PPA to determine if there is a statistically
438 significant difference between the metal concentration in leach solution after leaching with either PPA or PPS

	Fe		Al	
	PPA	PPS	PPA	PPS
Mean	67.963	36.634	99.547	84.653
Variance	9.588	0.807	0.460	1.128
Observations	3	3	4	3
Pooled Variance	5.197		0.73	
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0		0	
df	4		5	
t $_{Stat}$	16.831		22.873	
P one-tail	< 0.0001		< 0.0001	
t $_{Critical}$ one-tail	2.132		2.015	

439

440