

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Piirainen, Jarmo; Rautio, T.; Tanskanen-Tervo, M.M.; Kyröläinen, Heikki; Huovinen, J.; Linnamo, Vesa

Title: Effects of 10 weeks of military training on neuromuscular function in non-overreached and overreached conscripts

Year: 2019

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2019 Elsevier Ltd

Rights: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Rights url: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Please cite the original version:

Piirainen, J., Rautio, T., Tanskanen-Tervo, M.M., Kyröläinen, H., Huovinen, J., & Linnamo, V. (2019). Effects of 10 weeks of military training on neuromuscular function in non-overreached and overreached conscripts. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 47, 43-48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2019.05.008>

1 EFFECTS OF 10 WEEKS OF MILITARY TRAINING ON NEUROMUSCULAR

2 FUNCTION IN NON-OVERREACHED AND OVERREACHED CONSCRIPTS

3

4 Piirainen JM, Rautio T, Tanskanen-Tervo MM, Kyröläinen H, Huovinen J, Linnamo V

5 Neuromuscular Research Center

6 Biology of Physical Activity, Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences

7 University of Jyväskylä, Finland.

8

9 Heading title: Adaptations of the military training

10

11 Corresponding author:

12 Jarmo Piirainen, Ph.D.

13 Neuromuscular Research Center

14 Unit of Biology of Physical Activity

15 Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences

16 University of Jyväskylä

17 Kidekuja 2, Snowpolis, 88610 Vuokatti

18 Finland

19 jarmo.piirainen@jyu.fi

20 <https://staff.jyu.fi/Members/japiirai>

21 mob: +358-40-777 6571

22

23

1 Abstract

2 The purpose of the study was to examine how military training influences neuromuscular
3 function in non-overreached and overreached conscripts. A total of 24 male conscripts
4 participated in the study (8 weeks basic training+2 weeks specialized training). All
5 measurements were conducted during weeks 1, 5, 8 and 10. After the training period, non-
6 overreached (NOR, n= 16) and overreached (OR, n= 8) groups were compared. Isometric
7 maximal forces (bench press, elbow flexion and knee extension), single twitch (plantar
8 flexors), H-reflex, M-wave (Hmax/Mmax) and V-wave (V/Mmax) (soleus) were measured.
9 In knee extension, force production increased in NOR by $22.5\pm 20.5\%$ ($p<0.01$) between
10 weeks 1 and 8, which was not observed in OR ($-1.1\pm 18.2\%$, $p>0.05$). In OR, plantarflexion
11 twitch contraction time increased between weeks 5 and 10 $82.2\pm 34.4\%$ ($p<0.01$), which was
12 not observed in NOR. No changes were observed in the H-reflex and V-wave responses in
13 either of the groups. In conclusion, short term overreaching can also reduce the performance
14 of the neuromuscular system, however, it seems to be more muscle than neural based. To
15 avoid overreaching, more individualized periodization should be used during basic training.
16 To enhance neuromuscular performance, maximal and explosive strength training should
17 also be added into the basic training program.

18

19 **Keywords:** Muscle, Neuromuscular, overreaching, military training

20

21

22

23

1 **Introduction**

2 It is well known that the physical fitness of young people has decreased in western countries
3 during the past decades (Leyk et al., 2006, Santtila et al., 2006). For example, the average
4 12- minute running distance among Finnish conscripts has decreased by 12% from the year
5 of 1979 to 2004 (Santtila et al., 2006). Poor physical fitness, together with higher body mass
6 (Santtila et al., 2006) and fat mass (Kautiainen et al., 2002) have been observed in the young
7 adolescents, which may cause higher loading and insufficient recovery leading to weak
8 training adaptations and even injuries during a basic training (BT) period. Simultaneously
9 with reduced physical fitness, the physical demands of the military service have increased
10 (e.g. increased loads) leading to a significantly more challenging military service
11 environment (Friedl et al., 2015).

12

13 Despite injuries and high physical demands, military training has generally been shown to
14 improve physical fitness. BT studies have shown that aerobic performance (Santtila et al.,
15 2009, Tanskanen et al., 2011a) and muscle strength properties (Hofstetter et al., 2012,
16 Piirainen et al., 2008, Santtila et al., 2009) of the lower and upper limb muscles have
17 improved after the training. Similarly, Williams (2005) has shown improved aerobic
18 performance, increased fat free mass and decreased body fat percentage (fat%) in British
19 army recruits during BT. In some cases, however, overreaching (short term overtraining) or
20 even overtraining syndrome (long term overtraining) (Tanskanen et al., 2011b) may occur.

21

22 Defining overreaching is extremely challenging, and it may require several physiological and
23 psychological markers before a diagnosis of overreaching can be established. Tanskanen et

1 al., (2011b) have defined overreaching by using five different markers, which included
2 reduced VO_2max , increased RPE during submaximal exercise, increased somatic symptoms,
3 the added feeling of being physically or mentally overloaded, and over 10% sick leave from
4 daily service. If three of these five markers were observed, the subject was suggested to be
5 overreached. From a neuromuscular point of view, Lehmann et al., (1997) and have shown
6 reduced muscle contractility of the knee extensors muscles after 6 weeks of overreaching.
7 They speculated that this was caused, at least partly, by reduced energy-dependent processes
8 in peripheral structures. In neural adaptations, Raglin et al., (1996) have shown reduced H-
9 reflex response along with unchanged M-wave during an intensive training period. In general
10 H-reflex method has been suggested to represent excitability of the motoneurons in spinal
11 level. However, several other mechanism such as post activation depression, pre-synaptic
12 inhibition of Ia-terminals, recurrent inhibition of motoneurons, muscle spindle unloading
13 and activation of the Golgi tendon organs may have influence on the response making it more
14 like oligo-synaptic response (Misiaszek, 2003, Pierrot-Deseilligny and Burke, 2005). V-
15 wave, which is an electrophysiological variation of the H-reflex, is measured during ongoing
16 maximal muscle contraction. V-wave indicates neural drive efficiency from central sources,
17 but changes in spinal level may also have effects on it (Aagaard et al., 2002, Hight et al.,
18 2018, Upton et al., 1971). The V-wave response has been shown to decrease during acute
19 fatigue (Racinais et al., 2007), suggesting reduced activation of the supraspinal sources.
20 However, it should be pointed out, that same mechanisms may affect both H-reflex and V-
21 wave responses, so it is not possible to separate the adaptation in spinal or supraspinal level,
22 respectively. It is not clear at present, how these responses may change during overreaching.
23 Like in acute state, long-term overreaching may cause impaired activity of the supraspinal or

1 spinal sources and thus lower activation of the target muscles. As mentioned earlier
2 overreaching may play an important role in military environment but it is not known what
3 kind of influences several weeks (8 weeks BT + 2 weeks specialized training (ST)) of
4 physically and mentally demanding training has on the neuromuscular system in overreached
5 conscripts. In our previous study (Pirainen et al., 2008), it was assumed that those ones who
6 are not physically fit and have higher fat%, will have major problems to develop their
7 performance from neuromuscular point of view. However, this was not the case, and it
8 underlines the importance of loading-recovery-nutrition balance regardless of the body
9 composition. In the present study, overreaching was defined according to accepted criteria,
10 which gives an opportunity to analyse BT and its effects on neuromuscular system in
11 overreaching state. The results of the present study may give new information of the effects
12 of overreaching on the neuromuscular system and may help to design physical training of the
13 BT period more optimally. This could also have effects in the prevention of injuries and even
14 dropouts during BT.

15

16 It was hypothesized that conscripts who overreached according to the criteria of Tanskanen
17 et al., (2011b), would also show lower force production of the lower limb muscles, reduced
18 single twitch responses, lower H-reflex responses and lower V-wave responses, caused by
19 reduced spinal and/or supraspinal excitability. Marching and combat exercises may cause
20 higher loading in lower limb than upper body muscles and, therefore, overreaching could be
21 muscle group specific.

22

23 **Methods**

1 Subjects

2 A total of 24, healthy male participants (18-21 years) volunteered for the present study. After
3 the BT, the participants were divided into groups: non-overreached (NOR n = 16, height 1.78
4 ± 0.08 m, body mass 83.0 ± 21.4 kg, fat% 20.2 ± 9.9) and overreached (OR n = 8, height 1.76
5 ± 0.12 m, body mass 79.2 ± 19.0 kg, fat% 20.5 ± 6.7) according to criteria of Tanskanen et
6 al., (2011a, 2011b). Criteria's are presented more detailed in Table 1. Possible overreaching
7 was defined after the training period and if three out of five criteria were observed, a conscript
8 was confirmed to be overreached. All participants provided written informed consent and
9 were aware of the protocol and possible risks of the study. They were also advised of their
10 rights to withdraw from the study at any time. The study was conducted according to the
11 Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Local Central Hospital Ethics Committee.

12

13 Military training

14 In the beginning of military service, conscripts completed a compulsory 8 weeks BT period,
15 which was same for all conscripts. Training intensity was quite low during the first three
16 weeks of training but increased during the latter half of BT. Training consisted of heavy
17 physical exercises, like marches, combat training, and other low-intensity physical exercises.
18 During marches and combat exercises, conscripts carried heavy training equipment, which
19 weighed between 15-25 kg depending on the exercise. Standard BT program consist of 12
20 hours of physical training per week, which mainly consist of aerobic-based exercises. The
21 total amount of military related physical exercises during BT was approximately 100 hours
22 (Tanskanen et al., 2011, Santtila et al., 2009). The detailed training performed during this BT
23 program has previously been described (Tanskanen et al., 2011a, Tanskanen et al., 2011b).

1 Immediately after BT, conscripts continued in specialized military training (ST) .Training
2 was more military-based, however, the total amount of physical training load was similar
3 compared to BT (Santtila et al., 2012).

4

5 Test protocol

6 Body composition (weight and fat%) was measured at the beginning of the service.
7 Neuromuscular measurements were completed during the weeks 1, 5, 8 and 10, at same time
8 of the day. Maximal force production was measured during isometric knee extension, elbow
9 flexion and extension using specific dynamometers. After that, H-reflex and V-wave
10 responses were measured from the soleus muscle and single twitch response from the
11 plantarflexors. The physical activity was planned to be of a low intensity on the day before
12 measurements to avoid effects of acute fatigue and / or muscle damage on the measurements.

13

14 Body composition

15 Percent body fat and body mass were measured with eight-point bioelectrical impedance
16 (Inbody720, Biospace Co. Ltd, Seoul, Korea) at the beginning of the study. Measurements
17 were performed between 6 a.m. and 7 a.m. after an overnight fast and after voiding, with no
18 exercise for 12 hours before the test. The physical activities in the daily program were
19 planned to be of a low intensity on the day preceding measurement. Body composition
20 parameters indicate anthropometric characteristics of the groups in the beginning of BT.

21

22

23 Maximal voluntary knee extension, elbow flexion and extension contractions (MVC)

1 Knee extension MVC was measured using a custom-built force dynamometer (University of
2 Jyväskylä, Finland). Participants sat in the dynamometer with hip and knee joint angles at
3 110 and 107 deg, respectively (180 deg is full extension). Isometric elbow flexion was
4 measured in the same bench as knee extension, but with an arm dynamometer. During elbow
5 flexion, the elbow joint was flexed to 90 deg and the brachium was placed in sagittal plane
6 of the body. Isometric elbow flexion (University of Jyväskylä, Finland) was performed
7 standing. The brachium was raised to shoulder level in the coronal plane, and the elbows
8 joints were flexed to the angle of 90 deg. In all muscle groups, participants performed 3
9 MVCs (lasting 2-3 seconds) as fast as possible at 1 min intervals. Data were collected through
10 ISO4-isolation units into to ME6000 device and MegaWin software (v.2.4, Mega Electronics
11 Ltd, Kuopio, Finland) for later analyses. Maximal force was analysed from the beginning of
12 force production and maximal force is referred to as a peak force.

13

14 Electromyography

15 Bipolar EMG electrodes (Ag-AgCl, 2 cm interelectrode distance) were placed over the soleus
16 muscle according to the recommendations of SENIAM (Hermens et al., 1999). Before
17 placement, the skin was shaved, abraded with sand paper, and cleaned with alcohol.
18 Reference electrode was placed over the malleolus lateralis. Data were sampled (band pass
19 filtered 15-500 Hz, sampling rate 1000 Hz, gain 1000) and analysed using ME6000
20 electromyography device and MegaWin software (Mega Electronics Ltd, Kuopio, Finland)

21

22

23 H-reflex and V-wave

1 Subjects stood straight and relaxed as possible during the H-reflex measurements. Low
2 muscle activity was visually controlled from data before every stimulation. H-reflex and M-
3 wave responses were measured from the soleus muscle by stimulating the tibial nerve in the
4 popliteal fossa. A cathode (1.5 x 1.5 cm) was placed over the tibial nerve and an anode (5 x
5 8 cm) was placed superior to the patella. The most appropriate stimulation point was located
6 based on the strength of the EMG signal (highest M-wave peak-to-peak response). After
7 finding the appropriate point, the stimulation electrode was fixed using elastic tape.
8 Rectangular pulses with a duration of 0.2 ms were delivered at approximately 10 second
9 intervals (Digitimer model DS7A, Digitimer Ltd. Welwyn Garden City, England). An
10 increasing intensity interval (mA) was then chosen to enable the H-reflex excitability curve
11 to be measured with at least 30 data points (one stimulation at each point) up to the maximal
12 M-wave. From the H-reflex excitability curve, maximal H-reflex and maximal M-wave peak-
13 to-peak amplitudes were analysed, and their ratio was calculated. In the V-wave
14 measurement, placement of the anode and cathode and the duration of the stimulation pulse
15 were the same as in the H-reflex measurement. The subjects sat on an ankle force
16 dynamometer (University of Jyväskylä, Finland) and performed five maximal plantar
17 flexions at the hip angle of 110 deg, knee angle of 180 deg, and ankle angle of 90 deg with
18 1-minute intervals. A supramaximal (125% intensity of maximal M-wave) stimulus in the
19 tibialis nerve was given 1 second after (during MVC) the start of the contraction, and the
20 response was measured in the soleus muscle. It was visually controlled that force level was,
21 at least, 95% of MVC during the stimulations. Maximal M-wave and V-wave peak-to-peak
22 amplitudes were analysed, and V/Mmax ratio calculated evaluating neural drive activity from
23 the central nervous system.

1

2 Single twitch

3 A single twitch was measured from the plantarflexors, because neural parameters (H-reflex
4 and V-wave) were measured from soleus muscle. Subject was seated on the ankle force
5 dynamometer, with a same setup as in the V-wave measurements, except only one leg on the
6 plate. Subject was fixed in the chair with safety belts, and leg was placed over the supporting
7 plate. The subjects were told to hold their feet on the force plate as relaxed as possible. A
8 supramaximal stimulus was subsequently applied to the tibial nerve. Two trials were
9 performed with supramaximal stimulation intensity and with 10-second interval. Average
10 maximum twitch force and twitch contraction time (from the onset of the force production to
11 peak force) were analysed.

12

13 Statistical analysis

14 Mean values and standard deviations (\pm SD) were calculated. After checking normality,
15 independent sample t-tests were done for body composition parameters. For neuromuscular
16 results, Two-way repeated measures ANOVA (LSD post hoc) was used to assess main effects
17 (training; within subjects effects) of measurement interval, overreached (group; between
18 subjects effects) (NOR, OR) and interaction (training x group). Box's and Levene's tests
19 were used to identify normal distribution and if normality was not observed, Log-transformed
20 values were used. Mauchly's test of sphericity was used to test the assumption of sphericity.
21 Where this assumption was violated, Greenhouse-Geisser adjustments were used. Where
22 significant main effects or interactions were observed, pairwise comparisons were used to
23 identify the location of differences between measurement intervals and training status.

1 Results were considered statistically significant for p-values below 0.05. Data were analysed
2 using PASW software version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3

4 **Results**

5 Body composition in the beginning of BT

6 There were no differences were observed in weight (NOR 82 ± 21 kg, OR 76 ± 18 kg) and
7 fat% (NOR $20.2 \pm 9.9\%$ kg, OR $20.1 \pm 7.1\%$) in the beginning of the BT.

8

9 MVC

10 In knee extension force, significant interaction was observed during the training ($F=4.816$,
11 $p<0.01$). In NOR, knee extension MVC (Table 2) increased significantly between the first
12 and fifth week ($10.7 \pm 21.2\%$ $p<0.05$) and between the fifth and eighth week ($8.0 \pm 13.1\%$
13 $p<0.05$) of training. However, no significant improvements were observed during the last
14 two weeks. In OR, no significant changes were observed in knee extension MVC during the
15 10-week period. In addition, no significant differences were observed in knee extension
16 MVC between the groups before, during or after the 10-week period. Significant main effect
17 of training was observed in elbow flexion ($F=9.205$, $p<0.001$) with no significant interaction.
18 Table 2 demonstrate that both groups showed improved elbow flexion between the fifth and
19 tenth week (NOR, $16.4 \pm 12.0\%$ $p<0.001$; OR, $16.3 \pm 10.1\%$ $p<0.01$). However, in elbow
20 extensors, no significant main effects or interactions were observed during the training.

21

22 H-reflex and V-wave

1 In H-reflex and V-wave responses, no main effects of time ($F=2.281$, $p=0.090$; $F=0.513$,
2 $p=0.675$), group ($F=2.120$, $p=0.163$; $F=0.142$, $p=0.711$) or interactions (time x group;
3 $F=0.100$, $p=0.960$; $F=0.948$, $p=0.424$) were observed during the 10-week period,
4 respectively. All H-reflex, V-wave and M-wave values and ratios are presented in Table 3.

5

6 **Single twitch**

7 No differences were observed between the groups or within the groups in single twitch force.
8 However, in twitch contraction time (Figure 1) main effect of training ($F=10.498$, $p<0.001$)
9 and training x group interaction ($F=5.556$, $p<0.01$) was observed. Contraction time increased
10 in OR between the fifth and eighth week by $50.2 \pm 64.6\%$ ($p<0.01$) and between the fifth and
11 tenth week by $82.1 \pm 34.3\%$ ($p<0.001$). No changes were observed in NOR and no differences
12 in time to peak force observed between the groups during the 10 weeks of military training.

13

14 **Discussion**

15 In the present study, both lower and upper limb muscles (knee extensor, elbow flexor)
16 maximal muscle strength improved in NOR during the 10 weeks of training, whereas knee
17 extension force did not improve in OR. The main specific finding of the present study was
18 that muscle contractile speed was reduced in the plantarflexor muscles in OR, which was not
19 observed in NOR. In addition, second main finding was that no changes was observed in
20 spinal and supraspinal activity in either group, suggesting that short term overreaching will
21 not have major influence on neural control.

22

1 Military BT consists of both endurance and strength exercises. In previous studies, it has
2 been shown that military training improves aerobic performance (Friedl et al., 2015,
3 Hofstetter et al., 2012, Kautiainen et al., 2002, Santtila et al., 2008) and muscle strength
4 properties (Hofstetter et al., 2012, Piirainen et al., 2008). However, the development of the
5 strength properties may be muscle group dependent. Santtila et al., (2009) showed significant
6 improvement in upper limb force production during the 8 weeks of BT, which was not
7 observed in the leg muscles. The authors suggested that this was caused by an insufficient
8 training stimulus, because in the same study, added strength training improved both upper
9 and lower extremity force production.

10

11 In the present study, the conscripts were divided into NOR and OR groups, according to the
12 criteria presented by Tanskanen et al., (2011b), to investigate effects on overreaching in the
13 neuromuscular system. It was observed that in OR, upper body force properties were
14 developed in a similar manner as in the previous study (Santtila et al., 2009). However, in
15 the lower extremities, only NOR was able to improve their force properties during the first 8
16 weeks of military training. As suggested by Santtila et al., (2009), poor strength development
17 in OR may be caused by insufficient training load. However, poor development may also be
18 caused by overreaching of the lower extremities.

19

20 The suggestion that knee extension results in OR may be caused by insufficient recovery is
21 also supported by the increased contraction time of the plantarflexor muscles in OR, which
22 was not observed in NOR. On the other hand, no changes were observed in M-wave
23 amplitudes in either of the groups, suggesting that there were no changes in muscle fibre

1 excitability (Lepers et al., 2002) and that the reasons for increased contraction time are likely
2 in the excitation contraction coupling process. However, typical mechanisms related to
3 weaker excitation coupling processes, like reduced Ca^{2+} activity and/or capacity of the
4 contractile elements to produce force (Allen et al., 2008, Duchateau and Hainaut, 1985) may
5 also cause decrement in maximal force production capacity (Strojnik and Komi, 2000), which
6 was not observed in the present study. One possible explanation might be the loss of energy
7 and especially phosphocreatine, which is needed for the phosphorylation of ADP to ATP
8 (Allen et al., 2008, Westerblad et al., 1998). Increased ADP seems to be connected to late
9 depolarization, which slows force development (Allen et al., 2008, MacIntosh et al., 2006).
10 Reduced contraction properties might lead to reduced mechanical efficiency, which could
11 also increase the sensation of the loading and thus increase mental stress.

12

13 Interestingly, in the present study, no changes were observed in H-reflex and V-wave
14 responses in either of the groups. It has been suggested that endurance type training will
15 enhance H-reflex activity (Maffiuletti et al., 2001, Vila-Cha et al., 2012) while different
16 forms of strength and power training increases the V-wave activity (Aagaard et al., 2002,
17 Kinnunen et al., 2019, Vila-Cha et al., 2012). On the other hand, Racinais et al., (2007) have
18 shown reduced V-wave responses after fatiguing exercise. There are no studies that have
19 shown long term fatiguing, overreaching or overtraining effects in V-wave responses, but it
20 can be suggested that when a fatigued state is prolonged with insufficient recovery, V-wave
21 responses are also lower indicating reduced spinal or supraspinal activity. For technical
22 reasons, both H-reflex and V-wave are most often measured from the plantarflexor muscles
23 as was the case also in the present study. Thus a direct comparison to force production of the

1 bigger muscle groups is difficult to make. Nevertheless, the results of the present study
2 suggest that no severe neural fatigue state was observed. A longer study period might have
3 shown more clearly the effects of strenuous training on the supraspinal and spinal motor
4 control. Based on a previous study by Vila-Cha et al., (2012), an increase H-reflex response
5 might have been expected because of a high amount of endurance based training in military
6 service. This was not, however, observed in either of the groups in the present study. H-reflex
7 measures mostly slow twitch muscle fibers that are mainly used during endurance type of
8 exercise. Adaptations have been suggested to cause not only changes in presynaptic
9 inhibition, but also in reciprocal inhibition process at the spinal level in addition to a reduced
10 recruitment threshold of slow muscle fibers (Maffiuletti et al., 2001, Vila-Cha et al., 2012).
11 In this case, even though no significant improvements were observed, no decrements were
12 observed either, which supports our conclusion of a non-severe fatigued state. It is, however,
13 difficult to compare the present findings with the respective ones in endurance training
14 studies, because in military service other sport-related training stimulations, such as strength
15 training and ball games may also be present (Santtila et al. 2015). Thus, the present study can
16 be considered as a unique study showing how concurrent endurance and strength training
17 might effect on H-reflex and V-wave responses.

18

19 It should be noted that some limitations may exist in the present study. In H-reflex
20 measurements, number of stimulations on each intensity would have reduce the variation
21 between the stimulations. In addition, no background EMG was analyzed. This as well as
22 body position were, however, visually controlled to be as relaxed and steady as possible.
23 Plantar flexor force values might have given additional value to the results. Nevertheless,

1 both single twitch and V-wave values supports the conclusion of poor development of the
2 plantar flexors.

3

4 The poor development of the OR conscripts in the present study highlights the importance of
5 appropriate periodization (more precise individualized training load) and planning of the
6 basic military training. These results also confirm the findings of several previous studies,
7 which have suggested the importance of strength training, especially, in the beginning of
8 military service (Pirainen et al., 2008, Santtila et al., 2008, Santtila et al., 2009). To find out
9 more specific information about the changes in contractile properties of low extremity
10 muscles, future studies should be focused to both the plantarflexor and knee extensor
11 muscles.

12

13 In conclusion, short term overreaching will also reduce the performance of the neuromuscular
14 system. This is supported by 1) weak force development of the knee extensors, and 2)
15 increased twitch contraction time of the plantarflexors among OR subject. To avoid
16 overreaching, more individualized periodization should be used during basic training, with
17 possible lower amount of endurance training. To enhance neuromuscular performance,
18 maximal and explosive strength training should be added in basic training program.

19

20 Acknowledgements

21

1 The authors are grateful to the assistants who took part in data collection. None of the authors
2 have any conflict of interest to declare. The study was granted by the Finnish Ministry of
3 Education and Culture and the Scientific Advisory Board for Defence.

4

5 **References**

6

- 7 Aagaard P, Simonsen EB, Andersen JL, Magnusson P, Dyhre-Poulsen P. Neural adaptation
8 to resistance training: changes in evoked V-wave and H-reflex responses. *Journal of*
9 *Applied Physiology* 2002;92:2309-18.
- 10 Allen DG, Lamb GD, Westerblad H. Skeletal muscle fatigue: cellular mechanisms.
11 *Physiological Reviews*. 2008;88:287-332.
- 12 Duchateau J, Hainaut K. Electrical and mechanical failures during sustained and
13 intermittent contractions in humans. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1985;58:942-7.
- 14 Friedl KE, Knapik JJ, Hakkinen K, Baumgartner N, Groeller H, Taylor NA, et al.
15 Perspectives on Aerobic and Strength Influences on Military Physical Readiness: Report of
16 an International Military Physiology Roundtable. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning*
17 *Research*. 2015;29 Suppl 11:S10-23.
- 18 Halson SL, Bridge MW, Meeusen R, Busschaert B, Gleeson M, Jones DA, et al. Time
19 course of performance changes and fatigue markers during intensified training in trained
20 cyclists. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2002;93:947-956.
- 21 Hermens HJ, Freriks B, Merletti R, Stegeman D, Blok J, Rau G, et al. European
22 recommendations for surface electromyography : results of the SENIAM project.
23 Enschede: Roessingh Research and Development; 1999.
- 24 Hight RE, Quarshie AT, Black CD. Voluntary muscle activation and evoked volitional-
25 wave responses as a function of torque. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*.
26 2018;41:1-8.
- 27 Hofstetter MC, Mader U, Wyss T. Effects of a 7-week outdoor circuit training program on
28 Swiss Army recruits. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2012;26:3418-3425.
- 29 Kautiainen S, Rimpela A, Vikat A, Virtanen SM. Secular trends in overweight and obesity
30 among Finnish adolescents in 1977-1999. *International Journal of Obesity and Related*
31 *Metabolic Disorders*. 2002;26:544-552.
- 32 Kinnunen JV, Piitulainen H, Piirainen JM. Neuromuscular adaptations to short-term high-
33 intensity interval training in female ice hockey players. *Journal of Strength and*
34 *Conditioning Research*. 2019;33:479-485.
- 35 Lehmann M, Baur S, Netzer N, Gastmann U. Monitoring high-intensity endurance training
36 using neuromuscular excitability to recognize overtraining. *European Journal of Applied*
37 *Physiology and Occupational Physiology*. 1997;76:187-191.

- 1 Lepers R, Maffiuletti NA, Rochette L, Brugniaux J, Millet GY. Neuromuscular fatigue
2 during a long-duration cycling exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2002;92:1487-
3 1493.
- 4 Leyk D, Rohde U, Gorges W, Ridder D, Wunderlich M, Dinklage C, et al. Physical
5 performance, body weight and BMI of young adults in Germany 2000 - 2004: results of the
6 physical-fitness-test study. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2006;27:642-647.
- 7 MacIntosh BR, Gardiner PF, McComas AJ. *Skeletal Muscle: form and function*. 2nd ed:
8 *Human Kinetics*; 2006.
- 9 Maffiuletti NA, Martin A, Babault N, Pensini M, Lucas B, Schieppati M. Electrical and
10 mechanical H(max)-to-M(max) ratio in power- and endurance-trained athletes. *Journal of*
11 *Applied Physiology*. 2001;90:3-9.
- 12 Misiaszek JE. The H-reflex as a tool in neurophysiology: its limitations and uses in
13 understanding nervous system function. *Muscle Nerve*. 2003;28:144-160.
- 14 Pierrot-Deseilligny E, Burke D. *The circuitry of the human spinal cord: Its role in motor*
15 *control and movement disorders*. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2005.
- 16 Piirainen JM, Salmi JA, Avela J, Linnamo V. Effect of body composition on the
17 neuromuscular function of Finnish conscripts during an 8-week basic training period.
18 *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2008;22:1916-1925.
- 19 Racinais S, Girard O, Micallef JP, Perrey S. Failed excitability of spinal motoneurons
20 induced by prolonged running exercise. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 2007;97:596-603.
- 21 Raglin JS, Koceja DM, Stager JM, Harms CA. Mood, neuromuscular function, and
22 performance during training in female swimmers. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*
23 *Exercise*. 1996;28:372-7.
- 24 Santtila M, Pihlainen K, Viskari J, Kyröläinen H. Optimal Physical Training During
25 Military Basic Training Period. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2015
26 Nov;29 Suppl 11:S154-157.
- 27 Santtila M, Hakkinen K, Nindl BC, Kyrolainen H. Cardiovascular and neuromuscular
28 performance responses induced by 8 weeks of basic training followed by 8 weeks of
29 specialized military training. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2012;26:745-
30 51.
- 31 Santtila M, Häkkinen K., Karavirta L., Kyröläinen H. Changes in cardiovascular
32 performance during an 8-week military basic training period combined with added
33 endurance or strength training. *Military Medicine*. 2008;117:1173-1179.
- 34 Santtila M, Kyrolainen H, Hakkinen K. Changes in maximal and explosive strength,
35 electromyography, and muscle thickness of lower and upper extremities induced by
36 combined strength and endurance training in soldiers. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning*
37 *Research*. 2009;23:1300-1308.
- 38 Santtila M, Kyrolainen H, Vasankari T, Tiainen S, Palvalin K, Hakkinen A, et al. Physical
39 fitness profiles in young Finnish men during the years 1975-2004. *Medicine and Science in*
40 *Sports and Exercise*. 2006;38:1990-1994.
- 41 Snyder AC, Kuipers H, Cheng B, Servais R, Fransen E. Overtraining following intensified
42 training with normal muscle glycogen. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*.
43 1995;27:1063-1070.
- 44 Strojnik V, Komi PV. Fatigue after submaximal intensive stretch-shortening cycle exercise.
45 *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. 2000;32:1314-1319.

- 1 Tanskanen MM, Kyrolainen H, Uusitalo AL, Huovinen J, Nissila J, Kinnunen H, et al.
2 Serum sex hormone-binding globulin and cortisol concentrations are associated with
3 overreaching during strenuous military training. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning*
4 *Research*. 2011a;25:787-797.
- 5 Tanskanen MM, Uusitalo AL, Kinnunen H, Hakkinen K, Kyrolainen H, Atalay M.
6 Association of military training with oxidative stress and overreaching. *Medicine and*
7 *Science in Sports and Exercise*. 2011b;43:1552-1560.
- 8 Upton AR, McComas AJ, Sica RE. Potentiation of "late" responses evoked in muscles
9 during effort. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*. 1971;34:699-711.
- 10 Westerblad H, Allen DG, Bruton JD, Andrade FH, Lannergren J. Mechanisms underlying
11 the reduction of isometric force in skeletal muscle fatigue. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica*.
12 1998;162:253-260.
- 13 Vila-Cha C, Falla D, Correia MV, Farina D. Changes in H reflex and V wave following
14 short-term endurance and strength training. *Journal of Applied Physiology* (1985).
15 2012;112:54-63.
- 16 Williams AG. Effects of basic training in the British Army on regular and reserve army
17 personnel. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2005;19:254-259.
- 18

1 TABLE LEGENDS

2

3 TABLE 1. Incidence of OR criteria among the participants. Criteria 1; A reduced maximal
4 aerobic fitness (VO_{2max}) of greater than 5% (Halson et al., 2002, Snyder et al., 1995) or
5 did not perform the test because of illness. Criteria 2; An increase in mean RPE during the
6 submaximal exercise greater than 1.0. Criteria 3; An increase in somatic symptoms of
7 overtraining greater than 15% from wk4 to wk7, and remaining the same or increasing from
8 wk7 to wk8. Subjects were divided into tertiles based on an increase in somatic symptoms
9 of overtraining from wk4 to wk7; 15% was the cut-off for the upper third. Criteria 4;
10 Admitted feeling physically or mentally overloaded at week 7 or 8. Criteria 5; Sick leave
11 more that 10% of daily service. Subjects were divided into tertiles based on sick leave
12 during BT; 10% was the cut-off for the upper third.

13

14 TABLE 2. Mean (\pm SD) knee extension, elbow flexion and elbow extension isometric MVC
15 during the first 10 weeks of military training. (* $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$ compared to week 1, #
16 $p<0.05$, ## $p<0.01$, ### $p<0.001$ compared to week 5)

17

18 TABLE 3. Mean (\pm SD) H-reflex, V-wave, and M-wave responses and their ratios during
19 the first 10 weeks of military training.

20

21

22

23

1 FIGURE LEGENDS

2

3 FIG 1. Mean (\pm SD) single twitch contraction time of the plantar flexor muscles during the
4 10 weeks of military training. (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ compared to week 1, ## $p < 0.01$, ###
5 $p < 0.001$ compared to week 5)

TABLE 1. Incidence of OR criteria among the participants.

| ID | Criteria 1 | Criteria 2 | Criteria 3 | Criteria 4 | Criteria 5 | |
|----|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----|
| 1 | x | | x | x | | OR |
| 2 | x | x | x | x | | OR |
| 3 | x | x | x | x | | OR |
| 4 | x | x | | | x | OR |
| 5 | x | x | | | x | OR |
| 6 | x | x | | x | | OR |
| 7 | x | x | | x | | OR |
| 8 | x | | | x | x | OR |
| 9 | | | | | | NOR |
| 10 | x | | | x | | NOR |
| 11 | | x | | x | | NOR |
| 12 | | x | | | | NOR |
| 13 | | | | x | | NOR |
| 14 | x | | | x | | NOR |
| 15 | x | | | | | NOR |
| 16 | | | | x | x | NOR |
| 17 | | x | | x | | NOR |
| 18 | x | | | | | NOR |
| 19 | | | | x | | NOR |
| 20 | | | | | x | NOR |
| 21 | | | | x | | NOR |
| 22 | | | x | x | | NOR |
| 23 | | | | x | x | NOR |
| 24 | | | x | | x | NOR |

Criteria 1; A reduced maximal aerobic fitness ($VO_2\max$) of greater than 5% (Hals0n et al., 2002, Snyder et al., 1995) or did not perform the test because of illness. **Criteria 2;** An increase in mean RPE during the submaximal exercise greater than 1.0. **Criteria 3;** An increase in somatic symptoms of overtraining greater than 15% from wk4 to wk7, and remaining the same or increasing from wk7 to wk8. Subjects were divided into tertiles based on an increase in somatic symptoms of overtraining from wk4 to wk7; 15% was the cut-off for the upper third. **Criteria 4;** Admitted feeling physically or mentally overloaded at week 7 or 8. **Criteria 5;** Sick leave more than 10% of daily service. Subjects were divided into tertiles based on sick leave during BT; 10% was the cut-off for the upper third.

TABLE 2. Mean (\pm SD) knee extension, elbow flexion and elbow extension (bench press) isometric MVC during the first 10 weeks of military training.

| Group | NOR | OR |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| WEEK 1 | | |
| Knee extension (N) | 683 \pm 183 | 707 \pm 46 |
| Elbow flexion (N) | 318 \pm 60 | 334 \pm 88 |
| Elbow extension (N) | 898 \pm 356 | 714 \pm 182 |
| WEEK 5 | | |
| Knee extension (N) | 757 \pm 256 * | 654 \pm 132 |
| Elbow flexion (N) | 325 \pm 76 | 322 \pm 85 |
| Elbow extension (N) | 931 \pm 259 | 692 \pm 175 |
| WEEK 8 | | |
| Knee extension (N) | 833 \pm 213 ** # | 702 \pm 156 |
| Elbow flexion (N) | 383 \pm 97 ** ### | 365 \pm 79 # |
| Elbow extension (N) | 1021 \pm 422 | 829 \pm 216 |
| WEEK 10 | | |
| Knee extension (N) | 814 \pm 231 * | 641 \pm 98 |
| Elbow flexion (N) | 375 \pm 95 ** ### | 376 \pm 87 ## |
| Elbow extension (N) | 1017 \pm 316 | 789 \pm 159 |

(* p<0.05, ** p<0.01 compared to week 1, # p<0.05, ## p<0.01, ### p<0.001 compared to week 5)

TABLE 3. Mean (\pm SD) H-reflex, V-wave, and M-wave responses and their ratios during the first 10 weeks of military training.

| Group | NOR | OR |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| WEEK 1 | | |
| H _{MAX} (mV) | 3.79 \pm 2.01 | 3.33 \pm 2.22 |
| M _{MAX(H)} (mV) | 7.65 \pm 2.18 | 7.39 \pm 2.94 |
| H _{MAX} /M _{MAX} | 0.50 \pm 0.21 | 0.45 \pm 0.18 |
| V-wave (mV) | 3.55 \pm 1.68 | 3.75 \pm 2.71 |
| M _{MAX(V)} (mV) | 8.90 \pm 1.91 | 8.87 \pm 2.68 |
| V/M _{MAX} | 0.41 \pm 0.17 | 0.41 \pm 0.24 |
| WEEK 5 | | |
| H _{MAX} (mV) | 4.53 \pm 2.37 | 4.52 \pm 3.02 |
| M _{MAX(H)} (mV) | 8.08 \pm 2.04 | 8.40 \pm 2.96 |
| H _{MAX} /M _{MAX} | 0.54 \pm 0.21 | 0.53 \pm 0.23 |
| V-wave (mV) | 3.59 \pm 2.14 | 5.18 \pm 3.55 |
| M _{MAX(V)} (mV) | 9.45 \pm 1.72 | 9.42 \pm 2.35 |
| V/M _{MAX} | 0.37 \pm 0.20 | 0.52 \pm 0.29 |
| WEEK 8 | | |
| H _{MAX} (mV) | 4.67 \pm 1.95 | 4.71 \pm 3.31 |
| M _{MAX(H)} (mV) | 8.28 \pm 1.66 | 8.15 \pm 2.52 |
| H _{MAX} /M _{MAX} | 0.56 \pm 0.17 | 0.53 \pm 0.23 |
| V-wave (mV) | 3.21 \pm 1.79 | 3.68 \pm 2.74 |
| M _{MAX(V)} (mV) | 9.20 \pm 1.53 | 8.66 \pm 2.92 |
| V/M _{MAX} | 0.35 \pm 0.18 | 0.40 \pm 0.24 |
| WEEK 10 | | |
| H _{MAX} (mV) | 5.14 \pm 1.96 | 4.33 \pm 1.76 |
| M _{MAX(H)} (mV) | 8.05 \pm 1.90 | 7.10 \pm 2.33 |
| H _{MAX} /M _{MAX} | 0.63 \pm 0.16 | 0.56 \pm 0.08 |
| V-wave (mV) | 3.39 \pm 2.42 | 3.74 \pm 3.21 |
| M _{MAX(V)} (mV) | 9.22 \pm 1.79 | 8.49 \pm 2.49 |
| V/M _{MAX} | 0.37 \pm 0.25 | 0.39 \pm 0.27 |

FIG 1. Mean (\pm SD) single twitch contraction time of the plantarflexor muscles during the 10 weeks of military training. (* $p < 0.05$ compared to week 1, # $p < 0.05$, ## $p < 0.01$ compared to week 5)

