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ABSTRACT

Lower physical activity levels in old age are thought to contribute to the age-related decline in peak aerobic and anaerobic power. Master athletes maintain high levels of physical activity with advancing age and endurance or power training may influence the extent to which these physical functions decline with advancing age. To investigate, 37-90-year-old power (n=20, 45% female) and endurance (n=19, 58% female) master athletes were recruited. Maximal aerobic power was assessed when cycling two-legged (VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{2-leg}}) and cycling one-legged (VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{1-leg}}), while peak jumping (anaerobic) power was assessed by a countermovement jump. Men and women had a similar VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{2-leg}} (mL·kg\textsuperscript{-1}·min\textsuperscript{-1}, p=0.138) and similar ratio of VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{1-leg}} to VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{2-leg}} (p=0.959) and similar ratio of peak aerobic to anaerobic power (p=0.261). The VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{2-leg}} (mL·kg\textsuperscript{-1}·min\textsuperscript{-1}) was 17% (p=0.022) and the peak rate of fat oxidation (FATmax) during steady-state cycling was 45% higher in endurance than power athletes (p=0.001). The anaerobic power was 33% higher in power than endurance athletes (p=0.022). The VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{1-leg}}:VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{2-leg}} ratio did not differ significantly between disciplines, but the aerobic to anaerobic power ratio was 40% higher in endurance than power athletes (p=0.002). Anaerobic power, VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{2-leg}}, VO\textsubscript{2}\text{Peak\textsubscript{1-leg}} and power at FATmax decreased by around 7-14% per decade in male and female power and endurance athletes.
The cross-sectional data from 37-90-year-old master athletes in the present study indicates that peak anaerobic and aerobic power decline by around 7-14% per decade and this does not differ between athletic disciplines or sexes.
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**Introduction**

Ageing is accompanied by a progressive decline in bodily functions, ultimately resulting in death [1]. Such age-related decrements include a decrease in muscle mass, strength and power generating capacity [2], and reductions in aerobic fitness [3]. Similar changes are also seen during disuse [4]. It is thus likely that the reduction in physical activity in old age [5] contributes significantly to the age-related reduction in muscle power and maximal oxygen uptake.

Master athletes maintain high levels of physical activity into old age [6] and show impressive athletic feats [7] such as a 97-year-old man still cycling 5,000 km a year [8]. They have better physiological function [9], longer lifespan, lower hospitalisation [10] and better quality of life in comparison to sedentary people of the same age [11]. Thus, regular exercise helps to combat the effects of ageing [12] and this provides an opportunity to distinguish the effects of ageing per se from the age-related reductions in physical activity [7].

Low cardiopulmonary fitness and neuromuscular function, and high body fatness are common features of ageing and risk factors for disability and all-cause mortality [13, 14]. These changes are not only due to low activity levels, since even in master athletes, performance levels, cardiopulmonary fitness and neuromuscular function decline [15-18]. However, endurance and power training impose different stresses upon cardiopulmonary and neuromuscular systems, with for instance higher ground reaction forces produced during higher running speeds such as when sprinting [19, 20].
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It remains unknown whether the characteristics that determine power performance, such as very high peak muscle power, decline with ageing at different rates from those that determine endurance performance, such as high cardiopulmonary fitness and muscle aerobic potential. Given that endurance and power training promote divergent adaptations, such as increased skeletal muscle cross-sectional area and power in power athletes [21], and increased cardiorespiratory fitness, oxidative and fat oxidation capacity in endurance athletes [22, 23], we hypothesised that the anaerobic power is better preserved during ageing in power than endurance athletes, while the aerobic and fat oxidation capacity is better preserved in endurance athletes.

Methods

Participants

The study conformed to the latest revisions of the Declaration of Helsinki [24] and was approved by the Ärztekammer Nordrhein ethics committee, Düsseldorf, Germany (number 2012157). Volunteers were recruited and assessed at the 18th European Veterans Athletics Championships (EVACs) at Weinau Stadium, Zittau, Germany between 16-25 August 2012. Volunteers provided written informed consent prior to participation. Those with a history of cardiovascular, neuromuscular or metabolic disease, or those who had a leg fracture in the past two years were excluded from the study. Participants were grouped into endurance and power disciplines by their primary entered events. Running events ≥800 m were classified as endurance, and ≤400 m and throwers were classified as power athletes (according to IAAF classifications: https://www.iaaf.org/disciplines). The age-graded performance for the main event of each athlete was calculated using the World Master Athletics age-grading calculator: http://www.howardgrubb.co.uk/athletics/wmalookup06.html. Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Experiments

Peak jumping (anaerobic) power: Peak jumping power as a measure of peak anaerobic power [20] was assessed in 29 athletes on a Leonardo force platform (Novotec Medical, Pforzheim, Germany). The participants were instructed to perform a two-legged countermovement jump with the aim to raise the head and trunk as far as possible while freely moving their arms. Participants made two or three
submaximal jumps to acquaint themselves with the procedure. They then performed three maximal efforts, each separated by 60 s rest and the attempt that gave the highest power (W) was recorded. The system computed the take-off velocity from the ground reaction force as described by Cavagna [25]. Instantaneous power was calculated as the product of force and velocity: Power (W) = Force (N) x Velocity (m·s⁻¹).

**VO₂Peak₂-leg (aerobic power):** VO₂Peak₂-leg was determined on a cycle ergometer (Jaeger Ergocycle) with a MetaLyzer 3B - R2 (Cortex BioPhysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany) to measure VO₂ and VCO₂. Participants started to cycle at a workload of 50 W and a cadence of 70 rpm. Workload was increased every 3 min with 50 W for men and 30 W for women until the respiratory exchange ratio was higher than 1.0 for at least 1 min. From this point onwards, workload was increased by 20 W every minute until the age-predicted HRmax (220 – age) was exceeded, if the participant reached volitional exhaustion and/or the respiratory exchange ratio was >1.1. Heart rate was measured using a Polar heart rate monitor (Polar Oy, Kempele, Finland). The assessment was followed by a 5-min cool down at low cadence (~40 rpm) and workload (25-75 W). The average of the values in the last 30 seconds of the last step was taken as the VO₂Peak₂-leg. The maximal workload during the test was presented as maximal aerobic power.

**FATmax (maximal fatty acid oxidation):** The rate of fatty acid oxidation was estimated for each workload as described previously [26]:

\[
\text{Rate of Fatty Acid Oxidation (g·min}^{-1}) = (1.695 \times VO_2) - (1.701 \times VCO_2)
\]

Where VO₂ and VCO₂ are given in L·min⁻¹ and negligible urinary nitrogen excretion is assumed. FATmax was calculated by fitting the rate of fatty acid oxidation vs. %VO₂peak₂-leg with a polynomial, where the peak of the line was considered the maximal rate of fatty acid oxidation.

**VO₂Peak₁-leg:** The VO₂Peak₁-leg during one-leg cycling was measured on a separate day from all other assessments in a subgroup of 18 participants with the same equipment and calibrations as the VO₂Peak₂-leg assessment. This assessment was included to estimate the peak aerobic capacity of the active leg muscles. Where VO₂Peak₂-leg may be limited by the cardio-respiratory supply of oxygen to
the working muscles and/or by the uptake and utilisation of available oxygen within muscle fibres\textsuperscript{[27, 28]}, the cardio-respiratory supply of oxygen to active leg muscles during one-legged cycling is not generally limiting. Therefore, the VO$_2$Peak$_{1\text{-}leg}$ more closely represents the leg muscle peak aerobic potential\textsuperscript{[29]}.

For this assessment, the dominant leg was secured to the pedal on the cycle ergometer, while the non-exercising leg was positioned on a central platform on the cycle ergometer to limit extraneous movements. The participants were asked to minimise upper body movement during the exercise. The workload began at 20 W at 70 rpm for the first two minutes of the test, after which the workload was increased to 50 W for one minute and then by 10 W per minute until volitional exhaustion or a cadence of 70 rpm could not be maintained. The VO$_2$Peak$_{1\text{-}leg}$ (L·min$^{-1}$) was taken as the highest value of 30 s rolling averages, which in all cases occurred during the final minute of exercise.

### Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS (v.24 IBM). A two-factor ANOVA was used with sex and athletic discipline (power vs. endurance) as between-factors. A discipline*sex interaction indicates that the effect of athletic discipline differs between men and women, determined by an additional post hoc independent samples t-test. A stepwise linear regression was performed with factors age, sex and discipline to assess the impact of these variables on the outcome measures, with adjusted R-values presented. Age-related changes in ratios of jumping power to VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-}leg}$, FATmax and the ratio of VO$_2$Peak$_{1\text{-}leg}$: VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-}leg}$ were also analysed by this method. Statistical significance was accepted at p<0.05. Data are presented as mean (±SEM) unless stated otherwise.

### Results

**Participant characteristics**

Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference in the age of the endurance and power athletes. Men were taller and had a larger body mass than women (p<0.001). The body mass of the power athletes was larger than that of endurance athletes (p=0.001). The BMI was higher in power than endurance athletes (p=0.001), but did not differ significantly between men and women (p=0.061). The AGP did not differ significantly between athletic discipline or between the sexes (p=0.973 and p=0.718, respectively).
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Jumping (anaerobic) power

Men achieved a 64% higher jumping power than women (Table 2; p=0.002). However, when normalised to body mass, there was no longer a difference between the sexes in peak jumping power (Table 2; p=0.070). Power athletes achieved 58% higher power during vertical jumps compared with long distance runners (Table 2; p=0.003) and 33% higher power than distance runners when normalised to body mass (Table 2; p=0.022). The take-off velocity from the jump was 19% higher in men than women (Table 2; p=0.004), and was 15% higher in power than endurance athletes (Table 2; p=0.027).

$VO_2peak_{2\text{-leg}}$

Men displayed a 38% higher $VO_2peak_{2\text{-leg}}$ (L·min$^{-1}$) than women (Table 2; p=0.001), but this difference disappeared when normalised to body mass (mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$) (Table 2; p=0.138). $VO_2peak_{2\text{-leg}}$ (L·min$^{-1}$) did not differ significantly between power and endurance athletes (Table 2; p=0.592), but when expressed per body mass it was 17% higher in endurance athletes (Table 2; p=0.022). Power (W) at $VO_2peak_{2\text{-leg}}$ was 37% higher in men than women (p=0.024), but did not differ between power and endurance athletes (p=0.817).

FATmax

There was a sex * discipline interaction for FATmax (g·min$^{-1}$: p=0.027; mg·kg·min$^{-1}$: p=0.019) which was reflected by a higher FATmax (mg·kg·min$^{-1}$) in endurance than power athletes in men (p<0.001), but not in women (p=0.529) and a similar FATmax (mg·kg·min$^{-1}$) in male and female endurance athletes (p=0.121) and male and female power athletes (p=0.067) (Table 2; Figure 1). There were no effects of sex (p=0.964) or discipline (p=0.144) on the percentage of $VO_2peak_{2\text{-leg}}$ at which FATmax occurred.

$VO_2peak_{1\text{-leg}}$ (L·min$^{-1}$)

$VO_2peak_{1\text{-leg}}$ was similar in men and women (p=0.159), and in endurance and power athletes (p=0.431). During the single-leg cycling tests, HR$_{peak}$ reached 86±1% and 81±1% (p=0.433) of the values achieved during two-leg cycling for power and endurance athletes, respectively, with no difference between sexes (p=0.252). Power (W) at $VO_2peak_{1\text{-leg}}$ was not significantly different.
between sexes or disciplines whether normalised to body mass or not (p > 0.05 in all cases). The ratio of VO$_2$Peak$_{1\text{-leg}}$ to VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ did not differ significantly between disciplines (p = 0.404) or sexes (p = 0.959).

**Ratio of aerobic to anaerobic power**

There was no significant difference (p = 0.261) between men (7.1 ± 0.5%) and women (8.4 ± 0.6%) in the power at VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ as a fraction of the jumping power. The same applied to the power at peak fat oxidation that was 3.4 ± 0.4% of power achieved during a vertical jump in both women and men (p = 0.589). The power (W) at VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ as a fraction of that achieved during a vertical jump was higher (p = 0.002) in endurance (9.2 ± 0.6%) than power athletes (6.6 ± 0.4%). The power (W) at peak fat oxidation as a fraction of the jumping power was higher (p = 0.007) in endurance (4.1 ± 0.4%) than in power athletes (2.7 ± 0.3%).

**Age-related changes in aerobic and anaerobic power**

In table 3 it can be seen that age was the primary determinant of jumping power and VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$, both in absolute terms and when normalised to body mass. Sex was the second factor determining absolute jump power and VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$, but discipline was more important than sex when jump power and VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ were normalised to body mass (Table 3). For absolute FATmax there was a significant effect of age, but normalised to body mass the FATmax (mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$) was determined solely by athletic discipline (Table 3).

The aerobic:anaerobic power ratio was not significantly affected by age or sex, but was higher in endurance than power athletes (p = 0.001; Table 2). However, the ratio of power at FATmax to that at VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ was not affected by age, discipline or sex. The VO$_2$Peak$_{1\text{-leg}}$:VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ ratio was not significantly affected by age, sex or discipline.

Absolute jumping power (W) (7.4% per decade, p < 0.001), relative jumping power (W/kg) (9.4% per decade, p < 0.001, Fig. 2A), absolute VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ (L·min$^{-1}$) (11.2% per decade, p < 0.001), relative VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ (mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$) (9.0% per decade, p < 0.01, Fig. 2B) and VO$_2$Peak$_{1\text{-leg}}$ (L·min$^{-1}$) (14.2% per decade, p < 0.001) declined with advancing age.
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Discussion

It is widely acknowledged that regular exercise is an effective way to combat or ameliorate the declines in physical function that occur with advancing age. Cross-sectional data from 37-90-year-old master athletes in the present study suggests that both peak anaerobic and aerobic power decline by around 7-14% per decade and that this trajectory did not differ between power or endurance athletes. Even though master athletes perform better than age-matched non-athletes [30], the present results suggest age-related changes in the neuromuscular and cardiopulmonary systems progress at similar rates, regardless of power or endurance competitive specialisations.

The master athletes in the present study were amongst the most athletic Europeans for their age, as reflected by the cohort mean AGP of 82.7 ± 2.2%. To put this into context, a 75-year-old male marathon time of 80% AGP is 3h:46m:53s and the 100 m sprint time is 16:50s. Despite these high achievements, physiological function clearly declined with increasing age.

Power vs. endurance athletes

The counter-movement jump is indicative of maximal anaerobic power [31]. In line with previous observations [15, 20] we observed that the jumping (anaerobic) power per body mass of power athletes was 33% higher than that of endurance runners, reflecting the expected greater muscle power in power than endurance athletes. A novel contribution of our study is that we also collected measurements of peak aerobic power for the same participants and can compare across age and across disciplines. In healthy young adults, $\text{VO}_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}$ during whole body exercise is limited by the oxygen supply to the working muscles [32]. An indication of the extent of the central limitation can be gained from the ratio of one- to two-leg cycling $\text{VO}_2\text{Peak}$ [29]. The similar ratio in endurance and power athletes suggests that the cardiovascular limitations to two-leg cycling are similar in both athletic groups, despite the very different competitive specialisation of these athletes.

The $\text{VO}_2\text{Peak}_{1\text{-leg}}$ (L·min$^{-1}$) was similar for endurance and power athletes, despite the leg muscle mass being larger for power athletes than for endurance runners [33]. This is most likely due to the higher oxidative potential per unit muscle mass of endurance runners compared with power athletes [34] to compensate for lower muscle mass. In addition to the higher oxidative capacity per unit muscle mass
of endurance athletes \[^{34}\], we found up to 45\% higher rate of fatty acid oxidation per unit body mass in endurance than power athletes at exercise intensities of 30-70\% of \(\text{VO}_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}\). In line with this, previous studies have shown a significant increase in muscle mitochondrial enzymes and those of fatty acid metabolism following endurance training \[^{35}\]. A higher rate of fat oxidation, as we observed for endurance athletes, will make the muscle less dependent on glucose metabolism, sparing glycogen and thereby increasing prolonged endurance performance \[^{36}\]. Such an adaptation is not required in power athletes who rely on anaerobic ATP generation from creatine phosphate and by glycolysis for success in their discipline.

Interestingly, we found that the FATmax was higher in endurance than power athletes in men, but not in women. Nevertheless, like in male \((r=0.828, p=0.011)\) we also observed in female \((r=0.702, p=0.016)\) endurance athletes a correlation between body mass normalised FATmax and maximal aerobic capacity. Whatever the cause of the absence of a higher FATmax in the female endurance than power athletes, the FATmax appears to be related in both sexes and disciplines with maximal aerobic capacity.

Based on previously published jump data in masters sprinters \[^{15}\] and the \(\text{VO}_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}\) data from endurance runners \[^{42}\], it was estimated that the proportion of total power that can be generated through aerobic processes is around 30\% of the peak anaerobic power \[^{17}\]. This value is higher than the 9\% and 7\% we found in endurance and power athletes, respectively. The discrepancy may be due to the previous study deriving maximal anaerobic power data from master sprinters and the \(\text{VO}_2\text{Peak}_{2\text{-leg}}\) data from a different set of specifically-trained master endurance runners, while we calculated this ratio directly from measurements completed in the same individuals. The difference between 2-legged jumping and cycling is also apparent, in that cycling is an alternating limb exercise where every time only one leg produces power and little of the power is gained from musculo-tendinous elasticity, compared to the 2-legged jump \[^{43}\]. In any case, the aerobic power is only a small fraction of the anaerobic power and this was true regardless of endurance or power training specialisations. The fraction of anaerobic power that can be generated at the peak rate of fatty acid oxidation is even smaller, at 4\% for endurance and just 3\% for power athletes.
Ageing in power and endurance athletes

We expected that the anaerobic power would be better preserved during ageing in power than endurance athletes, while the VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ would be better preserved in endurance athletes. This is important as throughout life both anaerobic power$^2$ and VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ decrease with increasing age$^3$. In this context it was noted that throughout the life span, the anaerobic power is larger in power athletes$^{15}$ and aerobic power larger in endurance athletes$^{16}$ than age-matched non-athletes. Similar to previous studies, we found that the rate of decline in peak jump power$^{15, 20}$ was similar in power and endurance athletes. The same applied to the decline in VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$, which corresponds with other studies that showed that the age-related rate of decline in VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ was similar in endurance runners and non-athletes$^{42, 44}$, even though the absolute decline is faster in athletes$^{16}$. This suggests that there is an inherent ageing process that cannot be delayed.

As a consequence of the similar rates of decline in anaerobic and aerobic power in both power athletes and endurance runners, and men and women, the aerobic:anaerobic power ratio remained constant with ageing and higher in endurance than power athletes. This corresponds with the similar relative age-related decrements in running speed records of endurance and power master athletes$^{17}$. This consistent pattern of ageing appears to apply to the performance in many other athletic disciplines, including swimmers$^{45}$. The age-related decrement is not limited to aerobic and anaerobic power, but also applies to the maximal rate of fat oxidation. While older untrained adults have lower rates of fatty acid oxidation than younger adults$^{37}$, the ratio of workload at maximal rate of fatty acid oxidation to workload at VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ did not show an age-related decline in either discipline or sex in our study. These proportional declines in work at maximal fatty acid oxidation, and maximal aerobic and anaerobic power suggest that physiological systems determining these parameters age proportionally, irrespective of athletic discipline, or even being an athlete at all.

Such a proportional age-related decline in physiological systems is also reflected by the stable ratio of one-leg to two-leg performance across the ages, irrespective of discipline. This indicates that in both endurance and power athletes the cardiovascular system remains the main limitation of whole body VO$_2$Peak$_{2\text{-leg}}$ during ageing and that the systems involved in oxygen utilisation age proportionally$^{16}$. Thus in older endurance and power athletes, the oxygen delivering and consuming systems do not violate the principle of symmorphosis that assumes that structures are matched to functional demands$^{46}$.
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Study limitations

In measurement of VO$_2$peak$_{log}$, athletes were stopped when they exceeded by more than 10bpm the age-predicted maximal heart rate. It is possible that athletes did not achieve true maximal oxygen uptake in some cases even if their true maximal heart rate was greater than the methodological constraint that we applied for study governance. However, this bias applied to both sexes and to both power and endurance athletes equally. The present study was a cross-sectional design and recruitment targeted very high performing athletes, which constrained recruitment to relatively low overall sample sizes, although this is commonplace for studies of high performing athletes and the results provide new insights into a model of ageing which is at the peak of physiological performance [7]. While it is possible that the physiological profiles of the athletes are the product of heritable pre-disposition, the intensive exercise training programmes undoubtedly contributed to their outstanding physical capabilities. Furthermore, it is not possible to determine whether the divergent profiles of endurance and power athletes are due to their specific training programmes and/or to heritable factors.

Perspective

Master power athletes appear to exhibit a higher relative anaerobic power and lower relative aerobic power than master endurance athletes. However, the relative (%) annual decline in anaerobic power and aerobic power is similar in both athletic groups. The present data also suggests that during ageing there is a proportional decline in the power at the maximal rate of fat oxidation, irrespective of discipline and sex. It thus appears that there is an inherent, unavoidable (at least by exercise) ageing process that affects cardiopulmonary and neuromuscular systems important for exercise performance. Despite aerobic and anaerobic power declines with advancing age in masters athletes, the benefits of exercise during aging are evident as higher physical function than in age-matched non-athletes [30].
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1. Rates of fatty acid oxidation.** Measured during submaximal two-legged cycling and expressed as a function of the %VO$_2$Peak. Male power athletes (open circles) and endurance runners (closed circles), and female power athletes (open squares) and endurance runners (closed squares) and female. Sex*Discipline interaction (p=0.019), reflected by a higher FATmax in male (p<0.001), but not female (p=0.529), endurance than power athletes.

**Figure 2. Aerobic and anaerobic potential of masters athletes.** A) Absolute peak anaerobic power (W·kg$^{-1}$) decline from the age of 35 years, (r= -0.713, p<0.001). B) VO$_2$Peak (mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$) decline from the age of 35 years, (r= -0.546, p<0.001). C) Power output at peak oxygen uptake expressed as a percentage of the peak jump power (W) (r= 0.103, p=0.603). D) Power (W) at FATmax as a percentage of the peak jump power (W) (r= -0.136, p=0.490). Male endurance runners (closed circles), male power athletes (open circles), female endurance runners (closed squares) and female power athletes (open squares).
Table 1: Characteristics of participants separated by discipline and sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>BM (kg)</th>
<th>BMI (kg·m⁻²)</th>
<th>AGP (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endurance</td>
<td>8♂</td>
<td>62±5</td>
<td>1.74±0.04</td>
<td>66.1±3.6</td>
<td>21.8±1.1</td>
<td>86.3±5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11♀</td>
<td>58±3</td>
<td>1.63±0.02*</td>
<td>54.9±1.4</td>
<td>20.7±0.5</td>
<td>79.6±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>11♂</td>
<td>58±5</td>
<td>1.79±0.03</td>
<td>78.6±2.9</td>
<td>24.4±0.5†</td>
<td>78.1±4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9♀</td>
<td>63±6</td>
<td>1.63±0.02*</td>
<td>61.4±2.7</td>
<td>23.0±0.6†</td>
<td>88.1±3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BM: body mass; BMI: body mass index; AGP: Age-graded performance. Data are shown as mean±SEM. *indicates significant sex difference, †indicates significant difference between disciplines.
Table 2: Muscle aerobic and anaerobic power of participants separated by discipline and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Running Discipline</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>JP (W)</th>
<th>JP/BM (W·Kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Velocity take-off (m·s⁻¹)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak 2-leg (L·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak 2-leg/BM (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak 1-leg (L·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak 1-leg/BM (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Power VO₂Peak 2-leg (W)</th>
<th>HR VO₂Peak 2-leg (bpm)</th>
<th>FATmax (g·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>FATmax/BM (mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Power FATmax (W)</th>
<th>Aer:Aer Anaerobic Power (%)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak 1-leg Power (W)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak 1-leg Power (L·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak 2-leg Power (W)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak 2-leg Power (L·min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endurance</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>3081±453</td>
<td>45.3±4.7</td>
<td>2.33±0.12</td>
<td>3.62±0.38</td>
<td>54.2±2.9</td>
<td>259±43</td>
<td>152±8</td>
<td>0.61±0.09</td>
<td>9.12±0.96</td>
<td>149±30</td>
<td>8.94±0.47</td>
<td>173±50</td>
<td>0.78±0.04</td>
<td>152±5</td>
<td>2.83±0.62</td>
<td>1985±179*</td>
<td>35.5±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprint</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>1985±179*</td>
<td>35.5±2.5</td>
<td>2.10±0.11*</td>
<td>2.35±0.18*</td>
<td>42.9±3.4</td>
<td>188±16*</td>
<td>0.39±0.04</td>
<td>7.07±0.81</td>
<td>84±12*</td>
<td>9.34±0.92</td>
<td>173±50</td>
<td>0.78±0.04</td>
<td>(n=5)</td>
<td>132±30</td>
<td>0.79±0.04</td>
<td>123±30</td>
<td>7.24±0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprint</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>4696±432*</td>
<td>56.9±3.8*</td>
<td>2.75±0.11*</td>
<td>3.17±0.26*</td>
<td>40.0±2.7*</td>
<td>258±28</td>
<td>157±5</td>
<td>0.38±0.03*</td>
<td>4.75±0.30*</td>
<td>126±14</td>
<td>5.98±0.42</td>
<td>123±30</td>
<td>0.79±0.04</td>
<td>126±14</td>
<td>5.98±0.42</td>
<td>126±14*</td>
<td>(n=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprint</td>
<td>♂</td>
<td>2963±465*</td>
<td>47.9±7.7*</td>
<td>2.23±0.12*</td>
<td>2.54±0.16*</td>
<td>41.7±3.1*</td>
<td>190±12*</td>
<td>164±5</td>
<td>0.38±0.04*</td>
<td>6.32±0.82*</td>
<td>81±13*</td>
<td>7.24±0.69</td>
<td>93±21</td>
<td>0.73±0.10</td>
<td>93±21</td>
<td>7.24±0.69</td>
<td>93±21</td>
<td>(n=4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aerobic:Anaerobic Power (%); VO₂Peak 1-leg - VO₂Peak 2-leg: VO₂Peak of one- vs VO₂Peak of two-leg cycling. Data are shown as mean±SEM. * indicates significant sex difference, † indicates significant difference between disciplines, ▲ indicates interaction between sex and discipline.
Table 3: Stepwise linear regression between jumping power, aerobic capacity and rates of fatty acid oxidation with age, sex and discipline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jump Power (W)</th>
<th>Jump power per body mass (W·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak (L·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>VO₂Peak per body mass (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>FATmax (g·min⁻¹)</th>
<th>FATmax per body mass (mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: 0.391***</td>
<td>A: 0.490***</td>
<td>A: 0.374***</td>
<td>A: 0.279***</td>
<td>A: 0.132</td>
<td>D: 0.197**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S: 0.652***</td>
<td>D: 0.600***</td>
<td>S: 0.637***</td>
<td>D: 0.364***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D: 0.789***</td>
<td>S: 0.680***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The R-values increase from top to bottom, representing the increased R when an additional factor is included; A: age; S: sex; D: Discipline; FATmax: maximal rate of fat oxidation; *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001. Adjusted R-values are presented.*
Figure 2