

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Ducloué, B.; Lappi, Tuomas; Mäntysaari, Heikki

Title: Forward rapidity isolated photon production in proton-nucleus collisions

Year: 2019

Version: Published version

Copyright: © 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Rights: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Please cite the original version:

Ducloué, B., Lappi, T., & Mäntysaari, H. (2019). Forward rapidity isolated photon production in proton-nucleus collisions. Nuclear Physics A, 982, 267-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2018.10.064





Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect



Nuclear Physics A 982 (2019) 267-270

www.elsevier.com/locate/nuclphysa

XXVIIth International Conference on Ultrarelativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions (Quark Matter 2018)

Forward rapidity isolated photon production in proton-nucleus collisions

B. Ducloué^a, T. Lappi^{*,b,c}, H. Mäntysaari^b

^a Institut de Physique Théorique, Université Paris Saclay, CEA, CNRS, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France ^bDepartment of Physics, University of Jyväskylä P.O. Box 35, 40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland ^c Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 64, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

Abstract


We calculate isolated photon production at forward rapidities in proton-nucleus collisions in the Color Glass Condensate framework. Our calculation uses dipole cross sections solved from the running coupling Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with an initial condition fit to deep inelastic scattering data and extended to nuclei with an optical Glauber procedure that introduces no additional parameters beyond the basic nuclear geometry. We present predictions for future forward RHIC and LHC measurements. The predictions are also compared to updated results for the nuclear modification factors for pion production, Drell-Yan dileptons and J/ψ mesons in the same forward kinematics, consistently calculated in the same theoretical framework. We find that leading order, running coupling high energy evolution in the CGC picture leads to a significant nuclear suppression at forward rapidities. This nuclear suppression is stronger for photons than for pions. We also discuss how this might change with next-to-leading order high energy evolution.

1. Introduction

The initial stage of a heavy ion collision is dominated by the color field of small-x gluons in the colliding nuclei. One would like to independently probe this color field with a simple dilute probe. The cleanest way to do this experimentally would be in deep inelastic scattering measurements. An alternative is to study particle production in pA- or pp-collisions at forward rapidity, which we will discuss here. In particular we discuss signals of gluon saturation, and address the effects of small-x renormalization group evolution.

The discussion here is based on several recent works. We will first discuss the most recent one, the calculation of isolated photon production in proton-nucleus collisions in Ref. [1]. We will then compare the nuclear suppression factors to those for other processes calculated in the same framework: J/ψ and single inclusive hadron production. Finally we speculate about what could change when going from these leading order calculations to next-to-leading order in perturbation theory.

^{*}Speaker






Fig. 1. Nuclear modification factor R_{pA} for isolated photon production. Left: RHIC energy $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV, Right: LHC energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. The isolation cuts are R = 0.4 (solid line) and R = 0.1 (dashed line) Plots from [1].

All of the processes studied here can be understood in terms of an eikonal picture. Here the scattering process depends on the light-like Wilson line of the color field of the target nucleus, which is the eikonal scattering amplitude of the colored probe. In fact gauge invariant cross sections depend on color singlet operators made out of these Wilson lines, the most common being the color dipole

$$N(|\mathbf{x}_T - \mathbf{y}_T|) = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} V^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_T) V(\mathbf{y}_T)\right). \tag{1}$$


For small dipoles $N(r) \to 0$, a phenomenon known as color transparency. For large dipoles, on the other hand, $N(r) \to 1$. Thus somewhere in between there is a typical correlation length of the Wilson lines, denoted by $1/Q_s$. The corresponding momentum scale Q_s , marking the transition from a dilute high momentum regime to one dominated by nonlinearities, is known as the saturation scale.

Here we obtain N(r) from the "MV^e" parametrization of Ref. [2]. Here the dipole amplitude for a proton target at the initial momentum fraction $x_0 = 0.01$ is parametrized in terms of 3 parameters. It is then evolved to lower momentum fractions x using a leading order running coupling Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) evolution equation. The free parameters are determined by inclusive HERA DIS cross sections and extended from proton to nuclear targets using an optical Glauber framework. Thus no additional parameters apart from standard Woods-Saxon geometry are required to describe nuclei.

2. Photon production at forward rapidity

In this framework photons are produced in the following way. A quark (which at forward rapidity comes from the high-x part of the probe and can thus be described by conventional collinear parton distributions) passes through the color field of the target nucleus, picking up a Wilson line factor. Either before or after the collision, it can emit a real or virtual photon. The cross section for this process, expressed in terms of the same dipole amplitude (1) that we fit to DIS data was derived in Ref. [3]. It contains additional divergences when the photon becomes collinear with the outgoing quark, which we regulated with an isolation cut $\sqrt{(y_{\gamma} - y_q)^2 + (\phi_{\gamma} - \phi_q)^2} > R$.

The result for the nuclear modification factor is shown in Fig. 1 for both RHIC and LHC energies. In these results we can distinguish two effects. Firstly, already at RHIC energies photon production is suppressed at transverse momenta that are small compared to the saturation scale of the target. This suppression can be undestood as a clear indication of gluon saturation; the existence of a characteristic transverse momentum scale that is higher for nuclei than for protons. Secondly, when going to LHC energies one moves to much smaller values of the momentum fraction x. In this regime the nuclear suppression extends to much higher values of the photon transverse momentum. This is an effect of the high energy (BK) evolution which leads to a modification known as *geometric scaling* in the gluon distribution of the target; we will discuss this effect further below.







Fig. 2. ALICE results for J/ψ [4]. The theory calculation discussed in this paper is the CGC+CEM one from [5, 6]






Fig. 3. Nuclear modification factor for D-mesons [7] (left) and double ratio of nuclear modification factor for J/ψ divided by the one for Drell-Yan-dileptons [8] (right). Note that the LHCb data in this plot is preliminary and the normalization in the finalized data [9] is somewhat lower.

3. Comparisons with other dilute probes

One can also calculate other cross sections using exactly the same parametrization of the target color field. Figure 2 shows the nuclear modification ratio for J/ψ mesons as a function of rapidity and transverse momentum. One again distinguishes the same features as for photons: a suppression at low transverse momenta from gluon saturation, and an extension of this suppression to higher transverse momenta at forward rapidity at the LHC due to high energy evolution. The same features can also be seen in *D*-meson production and Drell-Yan cross sections in Fig. 3; this is a conceptually important comparison since in cold nuclear matter energy loss models the latter would behave in a very different way [10].

For light hadrons the story is almost the same. Figure 4 shows the pion R_{pA} at the same LHC kinematics as for photons in Fig. 1. The suppression for pions is not as large. The most likely explanation for this effect lies in the different kinematics of these two processes, when calculated in the CGC framework. Light hadron production is here calculated from the production of single quarks (or gluons, to a lesser extent) by scattering off the target color field, using collinear fragmentation functions. Photon production, on the other hand, involves the production of a second unmeasured particle, the quark. Thus for pions, a high momentum pion always requires high momentum gluons from the target, i.e. in a region where saturation is not important and there is little nuclear suppression. For photon production, on the other hand, it is possible to produce a high momentum photon from a small momentum exchange with the target, with




Fig. 4. Nuclear modification factor for pions at LHC energies, [1]

the additional quark taking the recoil. This contribution is suppressed in nuclei, and thus photon production has a smaller R_{pA} at higher momenta. At next-to-leading order also light hadron production involves final state radiation, and the kinematics resembles the case of photons (see e.g. the recent work [11] also reported

in this conference). One could expect this to have an effect also on the nuclear suppression $R_{\nu A}$.

Let us finally speculate on the large nuclear suppression even at high momenta (even $\gg Q_s$) at forward LHC kinematics. This has since quite a long time [12, 13] been understood as a consequence of "geometric scaling". This term refers to a feature of high energy evolution that changes the "anomalous dimension", i.e. the power γ in the small-r behavior to $N(r) \sim r^{2\gamma}$, from $\gamma = 1$ in the initial condition to $\gamma \approx 0.6...0.8$. This leads to a produced particle spectrum $\sim (Q_s^2/k_T^2)^{\gamma}(1/k_T^2)$, i.e. a nuclear suppression $R_{pA} \sim (Q_{sA}^2/Q_{sp}^2)^{\gamma-1}$. In spite of recent progress we unfortunately we do not have a full NLO calculation of R_{pA} for any of the processes discussed here. However, it has been noticed [14] that collinearly resummed NLO BK evolution practically freezes the anomalous dimension to its initial value. This could hint at a strong possible effect of NLO corrections even on R_{pA} , where most of the expected NLO corrections cancel in the ratio.

4. Conclusions

We have by now quite a large set of predictions for forward pA cross sections in a consistent framework: light hadrons using fragmentation functions, real, virtual photons and heavy quarks. In all of these BK evolution leads intrinsically to a forward rapidity nuclear suppression. However, this conclusion comes with the caveat that the calculations so far are at leading order. In contrast to NLO, this leads to different kinematics for light parton (q, g) vs. $Q\bar{Q}, \gamma, \gamma^*$ processes. Also one expects NLO effects in the BK evolution to slow down the forward suppression. For a bigger picture understanding of the effects of gluon saturation it is important to simultaneously look at more exclusive observables, such as multiparticle correlations that are also discussed in this conference [15].

Acknowledgements. This work has been supported by the Academy of Finland, projects 267321 and 303756, and by the European Research Council, grant ERC-2015-CoG-681707. The work of B.D. is supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche project ANR-16-CE31-0019-01.

References

- B. Ducloué, T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, Isolated photon production in proton-nucleus collisions at forward rapidity, Phys. Rev. D97 (5) (2018) 054023. arXiv:1710.02206, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.054023.
- [2] T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, Single inclusive particle production at high energy from HERA data to proton-nucleus collisions, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 114020. arXiv:1309.6963, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.114020.
- [3] F. Gelis, J. Jalilian-Marian, Photon production in high-energy proton nucleus collisions, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 014021. arXiv:hep-ph/0205037, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.66.014021.
- [4] M. Tarhini, Charmonium production in Pb-Pb and p-Pb collisions at forward rapidity measured with ALICE, Nucl. Phys. A967 (2017) 588-591. doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2017.05.027.
- [5] B. Ducloué, T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, Forward J/ψ production in proton-nucleus collisions at high energy, Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 114005. arXiv:1503.02789, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.114005.
- [6] B. Ducloué, T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, Forward J/ψ production at high energy: centrality dependence and mean transverse momentum, Phys. Rev. D94 (7) (2016) 074031. arXiv:1605.05680, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.074031.
- [7] B. Ducloué, T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, Forward J/ψ and D meson nuclear suppression at the LHC, Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. 289-290 (2017) 309–312. arXiv:1612.04585, doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2017.05.071.
- [8] B. Ducloué, Nuclear modification of forward Drell-Yan production at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D96 (9) (2017) 094014. arXiv:1701.08730, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.094014.
- [9] R. Aaij, et al., Study of prompt D⁰ meson production in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV, JHEP 10 (2017) 090. arXiv:1707.02750, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2017)090.
- [10] F. Arleo, S. Peigné, Disentangling shadowing from coherent energy loss using the Drell-Yan process, Phys. Rev. D95 (1) (2017) 011502. arXiv:1512.01794, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.011502.
- [11] B. Ducloué, E. Iancu, T. Lappi, A. H. Mueller, G. Soyez, D. N. Triantafyllopoulos, Y. Zhu, Use of a running coupling in the NLO calculation of forward hadron production, Phys. Rev. D97 (5) (2018) 054020. arXiv:1712.07480, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.054020.
- [12] J. L. Albacete, N. Armesto, A. Kovner, C. A. Salgado, U. A. Wiedemann, Energy dependence of the Cronin effect from non-linear QCD evolution, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 082001. arXiv:hep-ph/0307179, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.082001.
- [13] D. Kharzeev, Y. V. Kovchegov, K. Tuchin, Cronin effect and high-p(T) suppression in p A collisions, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 094013. arXiv:hep-ph/0307037, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.094013.
- [14] T. Lappi, H. Mäntysaari, Next-to-leading order Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with resummation, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 094004. arXiv:1601.06598, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.094004.
- [15] J. L. Albacete, G. Giacalone, C. Marquet, M. Matas, Forward di-hadron back-to-back correlations in pA collisions from rcBK evolution, arXiv:1805.05711.