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Abstract

Introduced alien species bring potential adverse impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem functions. International shipping is an important vector for such unintentional introductions in aquatic environments. Therefore, in addition to climate change and eutrophication, increasing international shipping may enhance the spread of alien species into areas which have not previously been considered prone to alien invasions. One example of such development might be the recent invasion of the moss animal *Pectinatella magnifica* into Finnish inland waters, which are generally considered to be hostile to alien species. We took advantage of observations made by the general public and recorded by environmental authorities to describe the invasion history of the species in Finland. The records of *P. magnifica* were almost exclusively from the Vuoksi watercourse, where the species was most likely introduced by international shipping ca 10–15 years ago. The species occurred mainly in the vicinity of ports of international shipping, but was also abundant in an area with only domestic cargo transport. Only one confirmed observation in Lake Kirkkojärvi was from outside the Vuoksi watercourse. Most colonies were found attached to landing stages or submerged, relatively rigid water plants, and the species seemed to avoid less rigid water plants, such as water lilies. Once established, the species may spread by vectors such as recreational boating or water birds and fish. The species poses some risks to the ecosystem, including a risk to farmed and wild salmonid fish by serving as a potential host for the myxozoan endoparasite *Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae*, the causative agent for proliferative kidney disease (PKD), known to be detrimental for salmonid fish.
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Introduction

Although dispersal of species into new areas is an ongoing natural process, concurrent deliberate or unintentional anthropogenic introduction of species beyond their ranges is generally considered an unwanted phenomenon. In underwater environments, non-native invasive species may proliferate long before their existence is even registered. Species introductions may have irreversible and uncontrollable adverse impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem functions. Not only can they displace native species by predation or competition, but they can also transmit (parasitic) diseases harmful to native species and cause environmental or health-related impacts and economic costs, for example by biofouling (e.g. Bilton et al. 2001). Costs from all biofouling animals are estimated to be more than 250 million € per year worldwide (Nakano and Strayer 2014).

One such biofouling, invasive species is the bryozoan moss animal *Pectinatella magnifica* Leidy, 1851 (Figure 1). *P. magnifica* is considered a native species in North America, where it was first found near Philadelphia by Leidy (1851). Since then it has been recorded mostly in the North-Eastern part of the United States (e.g. Marsh and Wood 2001; Barnes
The surface of *P. magnifica* colony consists of tens to hundreds of rosettes, each formed by several zooids, which multiply by budding (e.g. Massard and Geimer 2008). The zooids lie on the surface of a more or less spherical gelatinous mass, weighing up to dozens of kilograms and with a diameter of up to two meters. The colonies are sessile, usually attached to various underwater substrata, such as macrophytes, submerged tree branches, stones, or concrete (Hubschman 1970; Choi et al. 2015) in lotic or lentic waters with currents (e.g. Choi et al. 2015). The species reproduces asexually by resistant stages, statoblasts, which overwinter and initiate new colonies. The young ciliate larval colonies have some potential for locomotion (Wilcox 1906; Williams 1921) or may float some distances with water currents enabling dispersal within water bodies, but the statoblast is the main dispersal stage of the species (e.g. Koletic et al. 2015). The floating and hooked statoblasts (Figure 2) can attach to the plumage of waterfowl or be eaten by and pass through the alimentary canal of water birds (Figuerola and Green 2002; Charalambidou et al. 2003) and fish (Markovic et al. 2009), enabling dispersal within and between water bodies. However, the vector for overseas expansion of the species range has most likely been ships transferring statoblasts in their ballasts waters (e.g. Nehring 2005).

Historically, Finnish inland waters have not been prone to invasions by non-indigenous species because the low water temperatures, low nutrient concentrations, and soft water have formed natural barriers against invasions (Pienimäki and Leppäkoski 2004). Nowadays, environmental change (climate warming, nutrient enrichment) and greater international shipping are increasing the opportunities for species invasions that can endanger the ecological integrity of aquatic ecosystems (Pienimäki and Leppäkoski 2004).

Perhaps as a manifestation of this tendency, *P. magnifica* has been recorded recently from several locations in the Vuoksi watercourse in eastern Finland, quite distant from the previously known more southern range of the species. This finding is somewhat unexpected considering the species is suggested to prefer warm waters. In their review, Pienimäki and Leppäkoski (2004) did not list the species even as a potential invader into Finnish inland waters.

Here we report the certified citizen records of *P. magnifica* and, based on these data, evaluate the history, vectors and potential risks of the species’ invasion of Finnish inland waters. We also conducted a pilot survey to evaluate habitat preferences and population densities of the species in one of its main areas of occupation in the Vuoksi watercourse.
**Pectinatella magnifica** in Finnish inland waters

**Materials and methods**

**Site description**

The Vuoksi watercourse (Figure 3) is situated in South-East Finland and consists of several major lakes, including the fourth-largest freshwater lake in Europe, Lake Saimaa (water area 4400 km², shoreline length 13700 km) encompassing several distinct basins (e.g. South Saimaa, Orivesi, Puruvesi, Haukivesi, Yövesi, Pihlavivesi, and Pyhäselkä). The Vuoksi watercourse is inhabited by several endangered or threatened species. The endangered Saimaa ringed seal, one of only four freshwater seal species in the world, is endemic to the lake. Several endangered salmonid fish species, including the critically endangered Saimaa landlocked salmon, live in the area (Rassi et al. 2010).

The natural outlet, the River Vuoksi (discharge 600 m³/s) drains to Lake Ladoga in Russia, and is blocked by two dams at Imatra close to the border, and two other dams on the Russian side, preventing any shipment or upstream migration of organisms. However, the man-made Saimaa Canal, from the city of Lappeenranta to the Bay of Vyborg, connects the watercourse to the Baltic Sea. The Canal is used not only for recreational boating but also for international import and export shipping, transporting wood,
minerals, metals, pulp and other goods. Information about shipping fairways in the Vuoksi watercourse was obtained from the open database of the Finnish Transport Agency.

Water quality data (nutrients, chlorophyll $a$, transparency, water colour, turbidity, pH, alkalinity and conductivity; Table S1) were collated from the water quality database of the Finnish Environment Institute (Syke) for each area where $P. \text{magnifica}$ was recorded. The data represent summer seasons (June–September) of the years 2000–2016, the depth of 0–2 m and several sampling sites in each area. We collated similar data also for ten reference areas, which are close to harbours with cargo shipping or along shipping fairways or popular recreational boating waterways, and thereby among the most potential sites of invasion, but where the species had not been reported. We used Mann Whitney U-test to evaluate if the water quality variables differed between these two groups of areas in the Vuoksi watercourse and thence, whether the occurrence of $P. \text{magnifica}$ might be associated with water quality.

**Records of Pectinatella magnifica**

The “jelly brain” moss animal $P. \text{magnifica}$ easily captures public attention due to its large size and distinctive appearance. The last few years have seen increasing sightings by fishermen, boaters and holidaymakers spending time on the lake or its shoreline. During the warm summer of 2014, the species became locally abundant and received a lot of attention in local and national media. Thus, a description of the species was added to the Finnish national Invasive Alien Species Portal (IASP; http://www.vieraslajit.fi) in 2014. Since then, members of the general public have been able to record their observations into the portal. We collected these records as well as observations reported to the Finnish Environment Institute (Syke) and to the local environmental authorities (Centres for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment and city environmental officers). All records were quality controlled by checking photographs and descriptions reported to the IASP. Records with inadequate or missing information or those that were otherwise doubtful were checked by environmental authorities in the field.

In Lake Onkivesi (area 9 in Figure 3) citizen observations suggested development of abundant $P. \text{magnifica}$ populations and the spread of the species has raised particular concern among the local public. In August 2016, a pilot survey was conducted in Lake Onkivesi, with the aim of obtaining more detailed population estimates and information on the habitat preferences of the species. In addition, the established subareas of area 9 would serve as a reference for future quantitative monitoring. Three separate subareas were selected in the northern, north-eastern and southern parts of the lake basin, covering altogether ca 14 km of the shoreline (Figure 4). Within each subarea, 18 or 20 sampling sites (width 30 meters and length 15 meters, 450 m$^2$) were selected at equal intervals along the shoreline. At each site, the colonies were counted and weighed, and the substratum and depth of attachment for each colony were recorded. In addition, two ca. 500 m transects along the shoreline were selected in the north and north-east subareas within the densest populations of the species. These transects, covering an area from the shoreline to the outer edge of macrophyte vegetation, were traversed by wading and boat, recording the location of each colony by GPS. If no vegetation was present, the stony part of the littoral was checked for $P. \text{magnifica}$ colonies. A subsample of the colonies was weighed in the field using a hand scale.

**Results**

**Water quality**

The water colour of the basins with $P. \text{magnifica}$ observations ranged from clear to humus-stained brownish (Table S1). Nutrient (12–58 $\mu$gL$^{-1}$ total P and 315–861 $\mu$gL$^{-1}$ total N) and chlorophyll-$a$ (5.5–36 $\mu$gL$^{-1}$) concentrations reflected a range from oligotrophy (area 4, Orivesi) to eutrophy (area 9, Onkivesi). In the Saimaa Canal and near the city of Lappeenranta (areas 1–2) conductivity was increased due to pulp and paper industry effluent. Turbidity was highest in Lake Onkivesi (area 9) and in the Saimaa Canal (area 1). Alkalinity was low across the basins, ranging from 0.12 to 0.25 mmolL$^{-1}$ and pH ranged from 6.3 to 8.8, with lowest values in the most humic areas (Table S1). Recent ecological status classification (based on data from 2006–2013) indicates good or high ecological status for the major basins of the Vuoksi watercourse (Manninen and Kotanen 2016). The ecological status of water bodies inhabited by $P. \text{magnifica}$ range from good (n = 5) and moderate (n = 3) to poor (n = 1) whereas reference areas ranged from excellent (n = 4) and good (n = 5) to moderate (n = 1) (Syke open database).

The areas 1–9 with $P. \text{magnifica}$ (Table S1), seemed to be on average more eutrophic than the reference areas (10–19), with higher mean chlorophyll $a$ (P = 0.016) and total P (P = 0.003) concentrations and turbidity (P = 0.016). However, there was considerable variation in water quality within...
both groups of areas, and e.g. some reference areas like 12 in Ukonvesi (Mikkeli) had quite high nutrient (total P 28 µgL\(^{-1}\) and N 1379 µgL\(^{-1}\)) and chlorophyll a (28 µgL\(^{-1}\)) concentrations.

The maximum epilimnetic temperature at Saimaa Lauritsala station (South Saimaa) in July–September ranged from 18 to 25 °C. There is a slight increasing trend in mean summer water temperature of Lake Saimaa from 1931–1960, through 1961–1990 to 1991–2000 (Korhonen 2002). A similar trend has been observed in Lake Kallavesi in the northern part of the Vuoksi watercourse (Korhonen 2013). In summer 2014, when the majority of *P. magnifica* observations were recorded, lake water temperature was 21–26 °C during four weeks in July–August, which was several degrees higher than the average water temperature for same period in 1971–2000 (Syke 2014).

**Observations of Pectinatella magnifica**

Altogether 145 records of *P. magnifica* were lodged in the IASP or reported directly to the authorities. Despite the distinctive appearance of the species, many of the sightings reported by citizens were apparently erroneous or dubious. According to the descriptions of the observations and photographs attached, other objects such as clusters of benthic algae or caddisfly eggs were sometimes erroneously reported as moss animal colonies. The first confirmed records of the species were made in 2006 close to the inlet to the Saimaa Canal. During the following years, there were few sightings and only 40 had been received by 2014. Since then, the reports have been more numerous with 46 records in 2016. There were altogether 97 confirmed records from the Vuoksi watercourse (Figure 3), but only one reliable from outside the Vuoksi watercourse, which was made in
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2016 from Lake Kirkkojärvi in the River Kokenäm-joki watercourse, in the municipality of Lempäälä some 250 km from the Vuoksi watercourse. In most cases, the species was observed to foul fishing nets, or to be attached to submerged water plants, tree branches, and landing stage or quay structures.

According to the confirmed records (Figure 3), *P. magnifica* has relatively recently (ca 10 years ago) invaded the Vuoksi watercourse. Around 1000 foreign cargo vessels enter the Vuoksi watercourse annually through the Saimaa Canal. Observations were made in nine areas, seven of which were located on the Saimaa fairway near to harbours (Figure 3, Table S1) exporting paper (area 1), raw minerals (areas 2, 5 and 6), lumber and plywood (area 4), pulp (area 5), or fertilizers (area 8). Within the watershed, internal cargo, such as raw wood, is transported to Lappeenranta harbour (Area 2) according to the Statistics Finland StatFin database (2017).

In area 7 (Leppävirta Konnus) there is no harbour for international or internal cargo. However, there is a deep channel, also preferred by recreational boaters (considered as a secondary vector of the spread of *Pectinatella*), which might explain the occurrence of the species in the area. Observations made in area 3, were outside the Saimaa fairway and outside areas of internal cargo transport. Area 9 is also outside the Saimaa fairway, but on the route of internal cargo transport. Although raw wood and stoneware are transported from areas 17 (Juuka) and 18 (Nurmes), there were no reports of *P. magnifica* from those areas.

The pilot survey conducted in Lake Onkivesi revealed a sporadic distribution of *P. magnifica* (Figure 4). Consistent with the records from the public, colonies were found from the two northern sampling areas, but not from the south. Colonies were detected at 14 of the 56 sites sampled. Half of these 14 sites had fewer than 3 colonies and three sites had more than 20 colonies, with a maximum of 30. This maximum abundance observed within the sampling sites corresponds to an approximate density of one colony per 20 m². However, the two 500 m transects placed within the most populated areas showed a higher local density of colonies. Within the two transects, 954 and 285 colonies were counted (Figures 4B and 4C). Within populated areas along each transect, the average density was 4.7 (range 1–30) and 4.0 (1–39) colonies per 20 m² (calculated as the number of colonies within each populated cell of a spatial grid with cell size of 20 m²). Transect 1 (Figure 4B) had more suitable habitat and, therefore, more colonies per total length of studied shoreline than transect 2 (Figure 4C). Based on the most detailed sampling conducted at transect 1 (Figure 4B), the average depth at which colonies were attached was 7.5 cm (range 0–40 cm; n = 270) and the corresponding total water depth at sites of occurrence was 20–50 cm. The average colony weight was 278 g (range 5–4270 g; n = 248). Most colonies were attached to submerged, relatively rigid water plant stems, such as common reed (*Phragmites australis*), horsetail (*Equisetum fluviatile*), broad-leaved pondweed (*Potamogeton natans*), and spike-rush (*Eleocharis* spp.) stems, as well as submerged willow branches, stones and quays. On the other hand, *P. magnifica* colonies seemed to avoid some plant species, such as common club-rush (*Schoenoplectus lacustris*), cattail (*Typha* spp.), yellow water-lily (*Nuphar lutea*) and white water-lily (*Nymphaea candida*). No colonies were found on extremely soft bottoms or within very dense vegetation.

**Discussion**

The occurrence of *Pectinatella* in the Vuoksi watercourse mainly follows the shipping waterways, and is almost exclusively confined to the vicinity of the harbours with international shipping in Lappeenranta (area 2), Varkaus (area 6), Kitee (area 4), Joensuu (area 5), Siilinjärvi (area 8) (Mäkelä 2012). Based on these observations, international shipping and ballast water transfer are likely the most important primary vectors for the *P. magnifica* invasion of the Vuoksi watercourse as previously suggested by Nehring (2005). Interestingly, within the watercourse transport of raw wood and stoneware does not seem to have spread the species into area 17 (Juuka), north of area 5, where *P. magnifica* is present. This is probably because raw wood and stoneware are mainly transported towards Lappeenranta, Joutseno and Imatra (area 10). However, bilge water from the barges transporting raw wood might be a potential vector of dispersal into area 9 in Lake Onkivesi. The vector of within-watershed dispersal into area 3 remains unclear. Our findings suggest that international shipping is the main vector, but secondary vectors, such as recreational boating within and between waters (Johnson et al. 2001; De Ventura et al. 2016) or alternatively passive transport by water birds (e.g. Wood 2002; Figuerola and Green 2002; Charalambidou et al. 2003; Reynolds et al. 2015) or fish (Markovic et al. 2009) may be potential vectors of dispersal of the species into new areas within the watercourse. *P. magnifica* was not found in some of the reference areas, such as in area 12 (Ukonvesi, Mikkeli), which is eutrophic but has no cargo harbour, or in area 14 (Savonlinna), which is oligotrophic but has a cargo harbour.

There is no international shipping to Lake Kirkkojärvi or any waterway connection to the Vuoksi
These nuisances, and Strayer 2014; Choi et al. 2015). In addition to branches) on shores used for recreation (e.g. Nakano stage and quay structures, clogging water pipes, and mental detriment by fouling fishing gear and landing potential new habitats. On the other hand, P. magnifica has been found from relatively oligotrophic and mesotrophic waters (Balounová et al. 2013), explaining its success in the Vuoksi watercourse (Table S1).

The high water temperature in summer 2014 probably contributed to the good growth of P. magnifica, the multitude of colonies and the increased notice by the general public. This attracted more attention from local and national media, which in turn likely increased the number of records made by the general public during 2014 and the following years. However, based on the record history (Figure 3), which suggests persistence and an expanding population range over time, it is most likely that the species has and will gradually become more widespread and abundant in the Vuoksi watershed and, more slowly, elsewhere in Finnish inland waters.

At the moment, the number and ecological impacts of non-indigenous species present in Lake Saimaa and other Finnish inland waters seem to be limited, even though they are still little studied. There are only two other previously known non-indigenous macroinvertebrate species present in the Lake Saimaa. The deliberately introduced and well-established signal crayfish (Pacifastacus leniusculus) has some documented ecosystem effects there (Ruokonen et al. 2014), whereas the occasionally encountered Chinese mitten crab (Eriocheir sinensis) cannot reproduce and establish in the lake (Pienimäki and Leppäkoski 2004). It is not surprising that P. magnifica is adding to this short array of non-native species and has so been successful in the lake. It is an ancient species as the oldest Pectinatellidae originate from the upper Triassic, ca 215 million years ago (Vinogradov 1996). The bryozoan may have been present in the area prior to the last ice age which ended around 10,000 years ago, and hence, might now be considered to be re-occupying its former native range (Koletić et al. 2015).

Invasion of P. magnifica may cause environmental detriment by fouling fishing gear and landing stage and quay structures, clogging water pipes, and by attaching to submerged substrata (stones, vegetation, branches) on shores used for recreation (e.g. Nakano and Strayer 2014; Choi et al. 2015). In addition to these nuisances, P. magnifica might pose a significant risk to fisheries and aquaculture, as it is a potential host for the myxozoan endoparasite Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae (Canning et al. 1999), the causative agent for proliferative kidney disease (PKD), which is known to be detrimental to farmed and wild salmonid fish (Sterud et al. 2007). Bryozoans, especially Fredericella and Plumatella species, have been shown to host P. magnifica may also host the parasite, and thereby, potentially transmit PKD (Tops and Okamura 2005; Hrabcová et al. 2017). The PKD agent has been detected in Estonia and Norway (Dash and Vasemägi 2014; Mo and Jørgensen 2017; Sterud et al. 2007). Up to now, PKD has been observed only twice in Finland, either with imported salmonid fish or otherwise in very restricted circumstances (Finnish Food Safety Authority Evira 2013). Only recently, the disease has also been detected in wild European grayling in the Koutajoki River system at the Finnish-Russian border and in wild brown trout in River Siuntionjoki in southern Finland (Vasemägi et al. 2017).

Climate change is expected to enhance the geographic range of both the bryozoan host as well as the myxozoan endoparasite (e.g. Okamura et al. 2011) causing a serious risk to both natural and cultivated salmonid fish species. Therefore, due to increasing water temperature (Korhonen 2013), it is highly likely that P. magnifica will to expand its spread in the near future.

T. bryosalmonae might be able to overwinter as cryptic stages in dormant statoblasts (Okamura and Wood 2002; Hartikainen et al. 2013; Abd-Elfattah et al. 2013). Symptoms of the disease in fish develop only in temperatures exceeding 15 °C (Gay et al. 2001; Bruneaux et al. 2016). Bryozoans, including P. magnifica, may also host other parasites (e.g. Desser et al. 2004; Stentiford et al. 2013) which increases potential risks from its invasion. Climate change and increased eutrophication are expected to increase the emergence of diseases (Tops et al. 2009), and interactions with concurrent invasion of an alternative host may be difficult to predict (Okamura et al. 2011).

Besides undesirable impacts, the invasion of P. magnifica may also have some positive consequences, although very little is known about its ecology and ecosystem effects. As a suspension feeder, it might remove large quantities of autotrophic and heterotrophic food items (Hartikainen et al. 2009) and influence localised nutrient cycling. Effective filtering of phytoplankton should result in increased visibility in water. Therefore, one interesting question is whether P. magnifica might even help to forestall initiation of algal mass occurrences. Moreover,
competitive interactions with zooplankton might alter food webs and thereby energy flow in ecosystems. 
P. magnifica may also have an antimicrobial effect on benthic algal and bacterial communities, leading to reduced biofilm formation (Peijn et al. 2015; Kollar et al. 2016).

Eradication or even prevention of further spread of P. magnifica, when it has been established in a watercourse, might be impossible as the statoblasts are very abundant, resistant, long lived and easily dispersed. Regardless of the vector of dispersal, potentially habitable areas for the species should be definable according to available substrata and water depth. In Lake Onkivesi, P. magnifica seemed to favour macrophytes with rigid, submerged stems, but total eradication of the habitats is potentially habitable areas for the species should be definable according to available substrata and water depth. In Lake Onkivesi, P. magnifica seemed to favour macrophytes with rigid, submerged stems as a substratum. Therefore, surveys of water depth and macrophyte vegetation should help in estimating potential areas of spread of the species in a lake. In favourable conditions, the species may become abundant, as shown by the pilot survey in Lake Onkivesi. Removal of preferred substrata may serve to limit the local abundance of P. magnifica colonies, but total eradication of the species habitats is neither technically feasible nor ecologically desirable. Monitoring the spread of the species by utilizing public observations, as well as more detailed on-site surveys, together with assessment of related risks and ecosystem effects, will be imperative in the future.
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