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Abstract. When terrigenous dissolved organic carbon (tDOC) rich in chromophoric dissolved organic matter (tCDOM) enters the ocean, solar radiation mineralizes it partially into dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). This study addresses the amount and the rates of DIC photoproduction from tDOC and the area of ocean required to photomineralize tDOC. We collected water samples from ten major rivers, mixed them with artificial seawater and irradiated them with simulated solar radiation to measure DIC photoproduction and the photobleaching of tCDOM. The linear relationship between DIC photoproduction and tCDOM photobleaching was used to estimate the amount of photoproduced DIC from the tCDOM fluxes of the study rivers. Solar radiation was estimated to mineralize 12.5 ± 3.7 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) (ten rivers)\(^{-1}\) or 18 ± 8% of tDOC flux. The irradiation experiments also approximated typical apparent spectral quantum yields for DIC photoproduction (\(\phi_\lambda\)) over the entire lifetime of the tCDOM. Based on \(\phi_\lambda\)s and the local solar irradiances in river plumes, the annual areal DIC photoproduction rates from tDOC were calculated to range from 52 ± 4 (Lena River) to 157 ± 2 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Mississippi River). When the amount of photoproduced DIC was divided by the areal rate, 9.6 ± 2.5 million km\(^2\) of ocean was required for the photomineralization of tDOC from the study rivers. Extrapolation to the global tDOC flux yields 45 (31–58) Tg of photoproduced DIC yr\(^{-1}\) in the river plumes that cover 34 (25–43) million km\(^2\) of the ocean.
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1. Introduction

Riverine transport of terrigenous dissolved organic carbon (tDOC) from land to the ocean represents a major flux (248 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\)) in the carbon cycle [Hedges et al., 1997; Bauer et al., 2013; Cai, 2011]. Although riverine tDOC consists of thousands of compounds with a continuum of reactivities [Vähätalo et al., 2010; Ward et al., 2013; Riedel et al., 2016], it can be conceptually divided into the biologically labile (20 ± 16%; mean ± SD) and the remaining non-labile (80 ± 16%; mean ± SD) fractions with different residence times in the ocean [Søndergaard and Middelboe, 1995; Holmes et al., 2008; Fichot and Benner, 2014]. Close to river mouths, microbes mineralize labile riverine tDOC (Table 1) into dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) within a couple of weeks [Søndergaard and Middelboe, 1995; Holmes et al., 2008; Fichot and Benner, 2014]. The biologically non-labile tDOC (Table 1) which is rich in terrestrial chromophoric dissolved organic matter (tCDOM) has a longer residence time and is transported offshore [Vodacek et al., 1997; Blough and Del Vecchio, 2002]. In the coastal ocean, tCDOM is primarily responsible for the absorption of solar ultraviolet and short-wavelength visible radiation that cause photochemical reactions [Johannessen et al., 2003; Bélanger et al., 2013; Taalba et al., 2013]. These photochemical reactions are a major sink for non-labile tDOC and tCDOM [Kieber et al., 1990; Miller and Zepp, 1995].

Solar radiation can efficiently reduce the tCDOM content of surface waters through photobleaching [Vodacek et al., 1997; Vähätalo and Wetzel, 2004, 2008; Spencer et al., 2009; Lalonde et al., 2014; Riedel et al., 2016]. As much as 70% of the tCDOM content of the mixed layer can be photobleached during the transport of tCDOM across the con-
tinental shelf over a single summer stratification period [Vodacek et al., 1997]. Simulated solar radiation can simultaneously photobleach non-labile tCDOM and photomineralize 13–49% of non-labile tDOC from major rivers into DIC [Miller and Zepp, 1995; Lalonde et al., 2014; Riedel et al., 2016]. This photomineralizable fraction of tDOC (Table 1) can potentially be exploited to estimate the amount of tDOC that is photochemically mineralized to DIC upon complete photobleaching of tCDOM in the ocean. Although photochemical reactions have long been recognized as a major sink for tDOC [Kieber et al., 1990; Miller and Zepp, 1995], the amount of photoproduced DIC from tDOC in the ocean is still poorly known.

The rates of photochemical transformation per unit of volume or area (Table 1) [Zhang et al., 2006; White et al., 2010; Aarnos et al., 2012; Powers et al., 2015]) and the photochemical reactivity of DOC (Table 1) [Zhang et al., 2006; Bélanger et al., 2006; White et al., 2010; Aarnos et al., 2012] decrease offshore. Despite this decrease, the amount of phototransformed tDOC within river plumes (Table 1) increases towards the distal part of the plumes [Vodacek et al., 1997; Hernes and Benner, 2003; Medeiros et al., 2015]. The increase results from the mixing of river water and seawater as (i) the mixing of tCDOM-rich river water with low-CDOM seawaters decreases the photochemical turnover time of tDOC per unit of area along offshore transport [Vodacek et al., 1997], and (ii) the advection of tDOC increases the surface water area available for the photochemical transformations. Typically, only 3.7% of the total land area is available for photochemical transformation of tDOC [Verpoorter et al., 2014], and this percentage is even lower for catchments dominated by rivers (e.g., the Amazon, Congo, Ganges-Brahmaputra, Mekong, Mississippi). For example, photochemical transformation of tDOC plays a minor role in the Amazon
River itself [Amon and Benner, 1996], but its importance is remarkable in the river plume [Medeiros et al., 2015].

Currently, the amount of phototransformed DOC has been estimated for arbitrary sections of coastal ocean [Miller et al., 2002; Bélanger et al., 2006; Fichot and Benner, 2014], and these estimates often include the phototransformation of both tDOC and marine DOC [Miller et al., 2002; Bélanger et al., 2006; Aarnos et al., 2012]. The distribution of tDOC in the ocean can be traced with different proxies (e.g., with optical, molecular and isotopic signatures) [Fichot and Benner, 2014; Medeiros et al., 2017], but discriminating between the photochemical transformation of tDOC and that of marine DOC is challenging. In the Baltic Sea for example, the estimated amount of photomineralized DOC exceeds the riverine flux of photoreactive tDOC to the coastal waters [Aarnos et al., 2012], suggesting that solar radiation photomineralizes both tDOC and marine DOC. To estimate the amount of phototransformed tDOC in an ocean, it is important to account for the phototransformation of tDOC across the entire river plume, and in particular at the distal parts of river plumes, which are recognized as hotspots for the photochemical transformation of tDOC [Vodacek et al., 1997; Hernes and Benner, 2003; Medeiros et al., 2015].

There is an important gap in knowledge regarding the location and rates of tDOC photomineralization and the area of ocean surface water required to photomineralize tDOC (Table 1).

In this study, we estimate the amount of photomineralized tDOC and the area of surface ocean required to oxidize the photoreactive fraction of riverine tDOC delivered from major rivers, assuming that photobleaching is the only sink for tCDOM. To obtain these estimates, we conducted laboratory irradiations with water samples collected from ten major
rivers and from the St Lawrence Estuary. We then calculated the amounts of photomineralized DIC, the areal rates and the area of the river plumes where photomineralization takes place.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Sample collection

The large rivers selected for this study (Table 2) drain 23% of the land area on five continents, contribute to 33% of the freshwater discharge and 28% of the tDOC flux to the ocean [Cauwet, 2002; Milliman and Farnsworth, 2011; Raymond and Spencer, 2014]. The St. Lawrence Estuary was also sampled for the examination of photochemical transformation of DOC across its freshwater end to a salinity of 31 PSU (Table 2).

The samples from the St. Lawrence Estuary (1-L; Table 2) were collected with Niskin bottles at a depth of 3 m, filtered (precombusted 0.45 µm GF/F filter), stored in amber glass bottles and sent to Helsinki, Finland. For collection of river water samples (40-L), we shipped empty polyethylene containers (cleaned with detergent, 6% HCl, and ion-exchanged water, Millipore Milli-Q) to the local collaborators (see the acknowledgements). The samples were collected mostly from the main stem of rivers close to the river mouth, where the seasonal fluctuations in the quality of tDOC are expected to be the smallest (Creed et al. 2013). The river water samples were collected during the season of high discharge, which is the most important contributor to the annual tDOC flux (Table 2). The local collaborators filled the containers by immersing them below the surface in the center of the main stream, except for the Mississippi and the St. Lawrence River water samples that were collected with Niskin bottles at a depth of 3 m. The river water samples were shipped as collected, in the dark, with a 32 d median transport time between the
sampling sites and our laboratory in Helsinki. During transport (Table 2), indigenous microbes consumed the labile fraction of tDOC (e.g., 19% of DOC in the St. Lawrence River sample [Lalonde et al., 2014]). After arrival, the Rio Negro and Rio Solimões samples were mixed in a 1:4 ratio to obtain a representative sample of the Amazon River. The two samples from the Congo River were mixed in the same manner (1:1 ratio). The river waters were filtered through 1-μm cartridges (a quick-rinsed membrane cartridge, Nuclepore, or the double layer of a track-etch polyester membrane filter cartridge, Graver Technologies) and kept in the dark at 5 °C before the photochemical experiments.

Aliquots of the same river water samples have been examined earlier (Tables S2, S3) to show that the chromophores of iron can contribute to the tCDOM (several percent of tCDOM in the Congo and Amazon Rivers for example) [Xiao et al., 2013], and that dissolved black carbon (DBC) contributes about 10% to tDOC in all rivers [Jaffé et al., 2013]. Photomineralization leads to the preferential removal of the $^{12}$C-isotope from tDOC [Lalonde et al., 2014] and, together with biodegradation, to a decrease in the contribution of DBC to tDOC to a level comparable to the concentrations measured in the deep ocean [Riedel et al., 2016]. The DOM in the river water samples consisted of at least 7838 distinct molecular formulas, of which about 1/3 were detected in every waters sample [Wagner et al., 2015].

2.2. Photochemical experiments with non-labile DOC

Irradiation experiments were conducted to estimate the typical photochemical reactivity of tDOC across its entire lifetime during transport from river mouths to the distal edge of river plumes. Our experimental design addressed three major hypotheses. (i) Microbes consume biologically labile tDOC close to river mouths and photochemical transformations
concern primarily biologically modified non-labile tDOC further offshore. Therefore, the irradiation experiments targeted non-labile tDOC. (ii) The mixing of tDOC with seawater may change the photochemical reactivity of tDOC [Minor et al., 2006; White et al., 2010] and flocculate some terrigenous components (e.g., iron) present in river water [Sholkovitz et al., 1976; Asmala et al., 2014]. Therefore, the river water samples were mixed with artificial DOC-free seawater and filtered prior to the irradiation experiments. (iii) The photochemical reactivity of tDOC decreases with the photobleaching of tCDOM along its offshore transport and becomes negligible upon complete photobleaching of tCDOM at the distal edges of river plumes. In order to approximate the average photochemical reactivity of tDOC, the irradiation times were selected to photobleach about half of the tCDOM.

For the photochemical experiments, 1-µm filtered river water was mixed (1:1 ratio) with artificial seawater (Kester [1967]) and further filtered through 0.2-µm membrane filters (Supor-200, Pall). In the mixtures, the relative abundance of the different ions was similar to seawater but the salinity (16 PSU) was about half that of seawater. The seven St. Lawrence Estuary samples were not mixed with artificial seawater but apart from this, they were treated in the same way as the other water samples. Immediately following filtration, the background DIC was removed in custom-made gas exchange bottles (500 mL) by acidifying the water samples to pH 3 with 1 M HCl and bubbling them with CO2-free air [Miller and Zepp, 1995]. The pH of water samples was then adjusted with 0.1 M Na2B4O7 buffer to ca. pH 7–8, as found in the ocean (Johannessen and Miller [2001]). Aliquots were closed without any headspace into ground-glass stoppered 12-mL tubes: two quartz tubes to be irradiated (one quartz tube for the St. Lawrence Estuary...
samples) and two 12-mL glass tubes either wrapped in aluminum foil (dark control) or kept in the dark in cold (0 °C) water (initial).

The quartz and the aluminum foil-wrapped dark tubes were exposed to simulated solar radiation (Atlas Suntest CPS+ Solar simulator, 765 W m⁻²) in a water bath regulated to 21 °C with a Lauda RE 112 thermostat (Supporting Information). The dark controls were placed on the bottom of water bath, while the quartz tubes were immersed about 6 cm below the water surface and received simulated solar radiation corresponding to 23–25 days of the mean UV radiation received by the Earth’s surface, when calculated from the Earth’s annual global mean energy budget [Kiehl and Trenberth, 1997], and accounting for the spectral irradiance of Suntest CPS+ and the ASTM G173-03 (American Society for Testing and Materials Standard G-173-03 derived from SMARTS version 2.9.2) solar reference spectrum.

After the irradiation, the concentration of DIC was determined in the initial, dark control, and irradiated water by injecting 750 µL (7 injections per sample) into an acid bath and measuring the evolved CO₂ [Salonen, 1981]. The photochemical production of DIC was calculated as the difference between the DIC concentrations in the irradiated and the dark control samples. No significant difference was found between the DIC concentration in the initial samples and the dark controls, indicating negligible interference by dark chemical or biological processes on DIC photoproduction. The rest of the initial, dark and irradiated samples were stored in the dark at 5 °C for the measurement of CDOM.

2.3. Absorption spectrum for CDOM

The spectral absorption by CDOM was measured with a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2550) using a 5-cm quartz cuvette. The apparent absorbance spectrum of
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samples and blanks (Millipore Milli-Q water) was obtained from two scans between 190 and 800 nm in 1-nm steps against an empty reference cell holder. The blank was subtracted from the sample and the resulting absorbance of CDOM ($A_{CDOM,\lambda}$) was converted to an absorption coefficient ($a_{\lambda}$) by $2.303 \frac{A_{CDOM,\lambda}}{0.05}$ [Bricaud et al., 1981]. To avoid potential bias resulting from scattering, the CDOM absorption spectra were corrected according to the equation proposed by Bricaud et al. [1981]: $a_{CDOM,\lambda} = a_{\lambda} - a_{700} (\lambda/700)$, where $a_{CDOM,\lambda}$ is the corrected CDOM absorption coefficient at a wavelength $\lambda$; and $a_{\lambda}$ and $a_{700}$ refer to uncorrected CDOM absorption coefficients at $\lambda$ and at 700 nm, respectively. The photobleaching of CDOM during the irradiation was calculated as the difference in absorption coefficients of CDOM at 300 nm ($a_{CDOM,300}$; m$^{-1}$) between the dark controls and irradiated waters.

2.4. Areal rates of DIC photoproduction based on apparent quantum yields (AQY)

For the determination of the AQY spectrum for DIC photoproduction ($\phi_{\lambda}$), the measured DIC photoproduction was divided by the number of photons absorbed by CDOM during the irradiation (see Aarnos et al. [2012]; details in Supporting Information). We assumed an exponential increase in $\phi_{\lambda}$ with decreasing wavelength:

$$\phi_{\lambda} = ce^{-d\lambda}$$

(1)

where $c$ (mol C mol photons$^{-1}$) and $d$ (nm$^{-1}$) are positive constants, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength (nm). The parameters $c$ and $d$ in Eq. 1 were iterated by relating the amount of photoproduct (DIC) to the number of photons absorbed by CDOM through an unconstrained nonlinear optimization (the fminsearch function of Matlab R2013a) as in [Vähätalo et al., 2000, 2003, 2011; Vähätalo and Wetzel, 2004; Vähätalo and Zepp, 2005; ©2018 American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved.
Vähätalo and Järvinen, 2007; Aarnos et al., 2012], but in this work a Monte Carlo approach was used to assess the error in fittings and to select the best $\phi_\lambda$ from 1000 fittings ([Gu et al., 2017], Supporting Information).

An areal DIC photoproduction rate in river plumes ($pr$; mol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) was calculated according to Vähätalo et al. [2000]:

$$pr = \int_{\lambda_{\text{min}}}^{\lambda_{\text{max}}} \phi_\lambda Q_\lambda(a_{CDOM,\lambda}a_{\text{tot,}\lambda}^{-1})d\lambda$$

(2)

where $\phi_\lambda$ is the determined AQY spectrum for DIC photoproduction; $Q_\lambda$ represents the spectrum of mean annual photon flux density at the river plumes (mol photons m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ nm$^{-1}$; Figure S7); $a_{CDOM,\lambda} a_{\text{tot,}\lambda}^{-1}$ (dimensionless) is a contribution of riverine tCDOM to the total absorption coefficient $a_{\text{tot,}\lambda}$. $a_{CDOM,\lambda} a_{\text{tot,}\lambda}^{-1}$ was approximated to be 1 (see Discussion for the associated error). The integration through $\lambda_{\text{min}}$ to $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ accounts for the wavelengths (300–600 nm) contributing to the DIC photoproduction. Annual DIC photoproduction rates were computed by multiplying the daily rate of $pr$ (Eq. 2) by 365. The $Q_\lambda$ for each river was calculated according to the computed mean annual global radiation at the ocean in front of the rivers over the years 1984 to 2000 [Hatzianastassiou et al., 2005] and the spectral reference solar irradiance (ASTMG173-03) from where the spectral form for $Q_\lambda$ was adapted (details in Supporting Information).

2.5. The amount of photoproduced DIC from tCDOM flux

The annual amount of photoproduced DIC (g C yr$^{-1}$ river$^{-1}$) from the tCDOM flux of each river was estimated using a linear regression between DIC photoproduction (mol C m$^{-3}$) and photobleaching of tCDOM (m$^{-1}$) at a wavelength of 300 nm measured during the irradiation experiments (Figure 1). The regression coefficient (mol C m$^{-2}$, Figure
1) quantifies the amount of photoproduced DIC per photobleached tCDOM at 300 nm. When the regression coefficient is multiplied by the amount of photobleached tCDOM from each river (m$^2$ yr$^{-1}$ river$^{-1}$), the product expresses the amount of photoproduced DIC from each river (g C yr$^{-1}$ river$^{-1}$). Carbon fluxes are either given as moles or grams and include always adequate conversion between the units. Photobleaching was assumed to be the only sink for tCDOM discharged in the ocean [Nelson and Siegel, 2013; Väätälo and Wetzel, 2008; Vodacek et al., 1995; Väätälo and Wetzel, 2004]. We assume that the amount of photobleached tCDOM from each river equals the tCDOM flux of each river (m$^2$ yr$^{-1}$ river$^{-1}$; see Discussion for the associated uncertainty).

The annual tCDOM fluxes for the Mississippi, St. Lawrence and Lena Rivers were taken from earlier studies [Stedmon et al., 2011; Spencer et al., 2013]. The published tCDOM fluxes reported at 350 nm or 375 nm were converted to the 300 nm wavelength using the following spectral slope coefficients, measured from our water samples: 16.4 µm$^{-1}$ for the Lena River [Stedmon et al., 2011], 17.6 µm$^{-1}$ for the Mississippi River, and 16.3 µm$^{-1}$ for the St. Lawrence River. For the other rivers, tCDOM fluxes were calculated by multiplying the measured absorption coefficient at 300 nm ($a_{CDOM,300}$) with the annual water discharge [Milliman and Farnsworth, 2011].

2.6. Area needed for photomineralization of annual riverine tDOC flux in the ocean

To estimate the area of ocean surface waters required to photochemically mineralize the photoreactive fraction of riverine tDOC discharged by each river, the amount of photoproduced DIC from the tCDOM flux of each river (g C yr$^{-1}$ river$^{-1}$) was divided by the corresponding areal DIC photoproduction rate (mol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$). The areas were
converted into semicircles. The radius of a semicircle approximates the distance from the river mouth required to photomineralize photoreactive tDOC flux, but without accounting for local geography or currents that disperse tDOC.

3. Results

3.1. Photochemical production of DIC and photobleaching of tCDOM

The irradiations of river water samples (Table 2) mixed with artificial seawater produced DIC from terrigenous DOC (tDOC) and bleached terrigenous CDOM (tCDOM; Rivers in Table 3), at rates that varied between $1.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmol C m}^{-3} 43 \text{ h}^{-1}$ (Ganges-Brahmaputra River; mean ± SD) and $42.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ mmol C m}^{-3} 39 \text{ h}^{-1}$ (Congo River) (Table 3). The irradiations photobleached on average $45 \pm 3\%$ of the tCDOM at 300 nm ($a_{\text{CDOM},300}$; Table 3). When expressed as an absorption coefficient at 300 nm, photobleaching ranged from $0.54 \pm 0.04 \text{ m}^{-1}$ (Ganges-Brahmaputra River) to $11.56 \pm 0.05 \text{ m}^{-1}$ (Congo River; Table 3).

When sterile-filtered water samples collected from the St. Lawrence Estuary (Table 2) were exposed to the simulated solar radiation, DIC photoproduction and photobleaching were typically highest for the freshwater end-member and decreased with increasing salinities (Table 3). In both the St. Lawrence Estuary and the river water samples mixed with artificial seawater (Table 3), the DIC photoproduction was linearly dependent on tCDOM photobleaching despite large differences in the CDOM contents of water samples (Figure 1). The regression coefficient was $0.00402 \pm 0.00018 \text{ mol C m}^{-2}$ (± standard error) and $R^2$ was 0.96 for the river water samples (Figure 1).
3.2. The amount of photoproduced DIC from the tCDOM flux of each river

The annual amount of photoproduced DIC from tCDOM flux in each river plume (Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) river\(^{-1}\)) was estimated from the tCDOM fluxes (Table 4) and the regression coefficient between DIC photoproduction and tCDOM photobleaching (Figure 1). The photoproduction of DIC of the Amazon (6.98 ± 1.08 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\)) and the Congo (3.04 ± 0.49 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\)) Rivers contributed over 80% of the total annual amount of photoproduced DIC for the 10 rivers examined (12.48 ± 2.10 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) 10 rivers\(^{-1}\); Table 4). The photomineralized fraction of riverine tDOC flux ranged from 3 ± 2% to 38 ± 7% among the studied rivers and the weighted average was 18 ± 4% for the total flux of the 10 rivers examined (Table 4).

3.3. Apparent quantum yields

The photoproduced DIC (Table 3) was normalized against the photons absorbed by tCDOM for the determination of the spectral AQY for DIC photoproduction (\(\phi_\lambda\); Eq. 1; Table 5). Table 5 reports the parameters \(c\) and \(d\) of Eq. 1 for the calculations of spectral \(\phi_\lambda\) illustrated in Figure 2. The \(\phi_\lambda\) was highest for the Mississippi River tDOC, and lowest for the Ganges-Brahmaputra River tDOC, whether taken from the spectra (Figure 2) or the AQYs at 330 nm (\(\phi_{330}\), Table 5). The value of \(\phi_{330}\) was similar in the St. Lawrence River water mixed with artificial seawater and its freshwater end-member when accounting for the coefficient of variation related to the determination of \(\phi_\lambda\) (Table 5). In the St. Lawrence Estuary, the \(\phi_{330}\) decreased with increasing salinity to about half of the value for the freshwater end-member (\(\phi_{330}\) in Table 5 and Figure 3).
3.4. Annual areal DIC photoproduction rates

To calculate the annual areal DIC photoproduction rate in a river plume \( pr \) in Table 5 and Figure 4, \( \phi_\lambda \) was multiplied by the local annual solar photon flux density spectrum (Eq. 2; Figure S7). These rates approximate the areal DIC photoproduction from tDOC at sites where half of the tCDOM has been photobleached. Similar to \( \phi_\lambda \)s, the areal DIC photoproduction rate from tDOC was highest for the Mississippi River (157 ± 2 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\); Table 5, Figure 4). The lowest rate (52 ± 4 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) was calculated for the tDOC discharged by Lena River to the Laptev Sea, where the intensity of solar irradiation is low (Table 5, Figure 4, Figure S7).

3.5. Area needed for the photomineralization of tCDOM flux in the ocean

A division of the annual amount of photoproduced DIC from the tCDOM flux discharged by each river (Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) river\(^{-1}\); Table 4) by the corresponding areal DIC photoproduction rate (mol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\); Table 5, Figure 4) provides an estimate of the ocean surface area required to completely photomineralize the photomineralizable fraction of tDOC (Table 6). The area was largest for the Amazon River (4.3 ± 1.1 million km\(^2\)) and smallest for the St. Lawrence River (76 000 ± 19 000 km\(^2\); Table 6). To estimate how far offshore the plume of riverine tCDOM extends until its photoreactive components are photochemically mineralized to DIC, we assumed there were semicircle-shaped river plumes, whose radii correspond to the distance required to completely photomineralize the photoreactive tDOC. These distances ranged from 221 ± 54 km (St. Lawrence River) to 1652 ± 422 km (Amazon River; Table 6). Although the results in Table 6 represent a simplified view of the photomineralization and dispersion of tDOC, they indicate that the photomineralization of tDOC fluxes from large rivers takes place mostly within a few
hundreds kilometers (383 ± 160 km; median ± SD) from the river mouths for most rivers studied in this work, except for rivers with the two largest discharges (the Amazon and Congo Rivers), and the Arctic River (Lena River).

4. Discussion

The discussion estimates first the annual amount of photoproduced DIC from the tDOC fluxes (4.1.–4.6.). The second theme assesses the annual areal rates of DIC photoproduction in river plumes (4.7.). At the end, the discussion evaluates the area of ocean needed to photomineralize tDOC (4.8.).

4.1. The amount of photochemically mineralized tDOC in the ocean

This study estimates that photochemical reactions mineralize 12.5 ± 2 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\), or 18 ± 4%, of the tDOC flux in the plumes of the ten rivers (Table 4). The uncertainty of estimates reported in Table 4 is derived from the propagation of the analytical errors (Table 5) and the regression statistics (Figure 1). Below we evaluate other uncertainties that are associated with our calculations and provide an estimate for the annual DIC photoproduction from tDOC in the global ocean.

4.2. Photobleaching of tCDOM — a good proxy for DIC photoproduction

In this study, the amounts of photochemically mineralized tDOC in river plumes (Table 4) are estimated using the regression between DIC photoproduction and photobleaching (Figure 1). This relationship has high \( R^2 \) (0.96) and the standard error of regression coefficient is small (4.5%; Figure 1). In comparison, the coefficient of variation (29 %) and the standard error (21 \( \mu \)mol C mol photons = 9.2%) is larger for the mean \( \phi_{330} \) (230 \( \mu \)mol C mol photons) determined for the same ten samples (Table 5). This comparison suggests
that DIC photoproduction from tDOC is more closely related to the photobleaching of tCDOM than to the amount of photons (or energy) absorbed by tCDOM, which is used to calculate the AQYs. Photobleaching is tightly linked to the photoproduction of CO₂ (this study) and other low molecular weight carbonyl compounds [Kieber et al., 1990; Miller and Moran, 1997; Bertilsson and Tranvik, 2000] indicating that the photoproduction of carbonyl compounds is mechanistically coupled to photobleaching. As conjugated carbonyl groups are chromophores in DOM [Korshin et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2002], the photochemical scission of carbonyl compounds (such as DIC, CO, and organic acids) represents a CDOM photobleaching pathway. Photobleaching and DIC photoproduction associate with the loss of aromatic groups [Riedel et al., 2016], which are the most important chromophores in DOM [Korshin et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2002]. For example, solar radiation can mineralize the carbon atoms of aromatic rings to DIC, which is a direct evidence for a simultaneous photobleaching and DIC photoproduction [Väätäla et al., 1999]. The mechanistic coupling between the photoproduction of carbonyl compounds and the photochemical destruction of chromophoric moieties in DOM makes photobleaching a useful proxy for the photoproduction of DIC and other carbonyl compounds.

4.3. Photobleaching as a sink of tCDOM

Our estimate for the amount of photomineralized tDOC is based on the assumption that photobleaching is the only sink for riverine tCDOM. Experimental irradiations can completely photobleach tCDOM from the rivers examined in this study [Miller and Zepp, 1995; Spencer et al., 2009; Lalonde et al., 2014; Riedel et al., 2016]. Photobleaching is also the primary sink of CDOM in the mixed layer of surface ocean waters [Vodacek et al., 1997; Nelson et al., 1998; Väätäla and Wetzel, 2004; Shank et al., 2010; Swan et al., 2012].
A fraction of the tCDOM flux can also be transported below the mixed layer to remain in dark waters above a pycnocline for a short (<1 yr) period of times [Vodacek et al., 1997; Fichot and Benner, 2014], but the seasonal deepening of mixed layer eventually re-introduces this CDOM into the mixed layer where it is eventually photobleached [Nelson et al., 1998]. While about 1.7 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) of tDOC discharged by Arctic rivers (or 0.7% of global tDOC flux) is exported into the North Atlantic Deep Water and is therefore shielded from photobleaching for hundreds of years [Benner et al., 2005], the remaining 99.3% is susceptible to photobleaching, likely within the first year in the ocean surface waters. Indeed, tCDOM can be rapidly transported (within weeks or months) to the edge of river plumes [Coles et al., 2013; Fichot and Benner, 2014] where photobleaching of CDOM can be completed within days-weeks [Vodacek et al., 1997; Nelson et al., 1998; Swan et al., 2012].

Other competing sinks for the non-labile tDOC and tCDOM pools also exist, in addition to photobleaching. Biodegradation of non-labile tDOC can also reduce the abundance of tCDOM and thus cause biobleaching. Microbes can biobleach tCDOM in fresh waters [Vähätalo and Wetzel, 2004, 2008; Koehler et al., 2012], but the biobleaching rates decrease upon mixing of tCDOM with seawater (Figures. 4–5 in Vähätalo and Wetzel [2004]). In the ocean, microbial metabolism is often considered to be as a source rather than a sink of CDOM [Jørgensen et al., 2014]. In the deep dark ocean, the estimated turnover time of CDOM is 634 years [Catalá et al., 2015], indicating very slow biobleaching rates. Thus, it is not always clear whether microbial metabolism is a sink or a source of tCDOM in marine waters, but it is likely that microbes biobleach tCDOM when they consume non-labile tDOC rich in tCDOM [Vähätalo and Wetzel, 2004, 2008; Koehler et al., 2012].
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Microbes mineralized 12–25% of non-labile tDOC from our river water samples during one year (Table S3). If this consumption of tDOC is associated with biobleaching [Koehler et al., 2012], it may cause a systematic reduction (roughly estimated at about <20%) in the amount of non-labile tCDOM to be photobleached and thus in the DIC production from tDOC in the ocean.

4.4. tCDOM fluxes

Our DIC production estimates from tDOC in river plumes also depend on tCDOM fluxes. For most rivers, tCDOM fluxes were estimated by multiplying the amount of non-labile tCDOM measured in this study (a_CDOM,300; Table 4) and the annual water discharges (Table 4 from Milliman and Farnsworth [2011]). For the Lena, Mississippi and St. Lawrence Rivers, we used published tCDOM fluxes. If we would have treated these rivers like the others, our simple multiplication method would have given a collective tCDOM flux of 20.7 Tm^2 yr^−1, which corresponds to 56% of the literature based estimate for these rivers (37.2 Tm^2 yr^−1 [Stedmon et al., 2011; Spencer et al., 2013]). tCDOM fluxes are not available for the Amazon and Congo Rivers, but the absorption coefficients of CDOM at 300 nm (a_CDOM,300) measured in this study can be compared to those obtained in previous work. For the Congo River, our a_CDOM,300 is 48.4 m^−1 (Table 4), corresponding to 53% of the value of 92.09 m^−1 reported by [Spencer et al., 2009]. For the Amazon River, we used a_CDOM,300 value of 22.9 m^−1, which is 8.5% larger than the 21.1 m^−1 value measured at the mouth of the river [Cao et al., 2016]. A use of a_CDOM,300 estimates from literature [Spencer et al., 2009; Cao et al., 2016] would have lead to a closer calculated DIC production from tDOC for the Congo (5.78 Tg C yr^−1) and Amazon (6.43 Tg C yr^−1) Rivers compared to the values listed in Table 4. The comparison of our rough estimates
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of tCDOM fluxes to these available in the literature [Stedmon et al., 2011; Spencer et al., 2013, 2009; Cao et al., 2016] yields differences that range from a 47% underestimation to a 9% overestimation in tCDOM fluxes and thus in the amounts of photoproduced DIC. These differences in our tCDOM fluxes may come from natural interannual or seasonal variability in tCDOM fluxes. It is likely that biobleaching decreased the $a_{CDOM,300}$ values during the transportation of the samples. If this biobleaching was similar to the loss of tDOC during the transportation ([Lalonde et al., 2014]), the amounts of photochemically produced DIC from tDOC are underestimated by about <20%. The impact of biobleaching on our estimated DIC production rates from tDOC (Table 4) is likely low, because the overestimate caused by biobleaching in the ocean is probably compensated by the underestimate derived from biobleaching during the transportation of our samples.

4.5. Uncertainty and global estimate for the amount of photochemically mineralized tDOC in the ocean

In this study, the uncertainty in tCDOM fluxes exceeds the analytical and statistical error reported in Table 4. We estimate that the uncertainty in tCDOM fluxes increases the uncertainty in the calculated amounts of tDOC photomineralized by about ± 30% when examined collectively for all rivers, but uncertainty for individual rivers can be even larger. Accounting for this uncertainty, the amount of photochemically mineralized tDOC amounts to 12.5 ± 3.7 Tg C yr$^{-1}$, or 18 ± 8% of the tDOC flux from the ten rivers.

As the tCDOM fluxes represent the largest source of uncertainty in our estimate, we also used another tCDOM flux-independent method to estimate DIC photoproduction from non-labile tDOC. The photomineralizable fraction of tDOC was measured in a previous work for the same river water samples examined in this study [Lalonde et al., 2014;
The photomineralizable fraction of non-labile tDOC ranged from 16% to 49% in the different river water samples in a laboratory study (Table S2). If the same fraction of non-labile tDOC is photochemically mineralized in the ocean, the DIC photoproduction from non-labile tDOC in the ten rivers amounts to 18.7–19.7 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) (Table S2). Correcting for the competing biobleaching of tCDOM in the ocean (20% yr\(^{-1}\); Riedel et al. [2016]), the DIC photoproduction from the non-labile tDOC of ten rivers decreases to 15–15.8 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\). These estimates are larger but within the uncertainty of the estimate based on tCDOM fluxes (12.5 ± 3.7 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\)). The possible differences between the results obtained using the two methods may arise from differences in experimental conditions. In this study, (i) the non-labile tDOC was transferred into a seawater matrix, (ii) irradiated at 21°C, (iii) the mineralization of tDOC was measured as the production of DIC and related to photobleaching of \(a_{CDOM,300}\). Finally, (iv) the latter relationship was multiplied by the tCDOM flux to obtain 12.5 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) DIC photoproduction from non-labile tDOC (Table 6). Lalonde et al. [2014] and Riedel et al. [2016], (i) used filtered river water directly, (ii) irradiated at 25°C, (iii) measured the mineralization as the loss of DOC, (iv) which was directly related to the non-labile tDOC flux (Table S2). The potential explanations for the differences in the estimates (Table S2 and Table 4) are (i) matrix effects (river water vs. seawater), (ii) the difference in the irradiation temperature, (iii) potential irradiation-induced flocculation of tDOC in Lalonde et al. [2014] and Riedel et al. [2016] vs. true mineralization to CO\(_2\) in this study, (iv) an error in the estimated tCDOM flux in this study.

To upscale our results for the ten rivers to global fluxes, we first evaluate the global representativeness of the rivers studied in this work. The volume averaged concentration
of DOC in the rivers examined in this study is 5.6 mg C L$^{-1}$ ($= 6.91 \times 10^{13}$ g C yr$^{-1}$ / $1.226 \times 10^{13}$ m$^3$ yr$^{-1}$ from Table 4), similar to the mean DOC concentration (5.75 mg C L$^{-1}$) in the world’s rivers [Meybeck, 1982] and to the mean DOC concentration (5.7 mg C L$^{-1}$) measured in 7500 lakes distributed over six continents [Sobek et al., 2007].

Four of the rivers examined in this study (Amazon, Congo, Paraná and Lena Rivers) account for the largest tDOC fluxes to the ocean [Raymond and Spencer, 2014]. In the same study, the Mississippi and Ganges-Brahmaputra Rivers are also listed among the top ten rivers with the highest tDOC fluxes. The others (Yangtze, Mekong and Danube Rivers) are within the top 20. The rivers examined in this study span from high to low latitudes and drain major biomes on five continents, excluding Australia and Antarctica, which contribute little to the global tDOC flux. As the rivers examined in this study are responsible for 28% of tDOC flux to the global coastal ocean (61.1 Tg C yr$^{-1}$ (Table 4) vs. 246 Tg C yr$^{-1}$ [Cai, 2011]), we upscaled the cumulative results from our study rivers by multiplying them by 3.56 ($= 0.28^{-1}$) to estimate the global fluxes, leading to a DIC photoproduction from non-labile tDOC in the global ocean of 45 (31–58) Tg C yr$^{-1}$.

4.6. Photochemical mineralization of tDOC in the ocean vs. other global tDOC fluxes

This study estimates that the amount of tDOC mineralized by solar radiation is higher in the ocean (45 (31–58) Tg C yr$^{-1}$) than in inland waters (24 (13–35) Tg C yr$^{-1}$; Koehler et al., 2014; Figure 5, Supporting Information). Photochemical reactions mineralize a larger percentage of imported tDOC in the ocean (18% = 45/246 Tg C yr$^{-1}$) than in the inland waters (3% = 24/794 Tg C yr$^{-1}$; Figure 5, Supporting Information). Earlier studies [Søndergaard and Middelboe, 1995; Holmes et al., 2008] reported that 20 ± 16% of riverine
tDOC (or 49 (41–57) Tg C yr$^{-1}$; Figure 5) consists of labile tDOC and is biologically mineralized within a few weeks in the proximity of river mouths. Based on earlier one-year-long bioassays [Riedel et al., 2016], 44 (25–49) Tg C yr$^{-1}$ of non-labile tDOC is expected to be mineralized biologically within its first year in the ocean (semilabile in Figure 5, Table S3, Supporting Information). Based on the ratios of photoproduced labile DOC to photoproduced DIC [Vähätalo et al., 2003; Pullin et al., 2004; Cory et al., 2014], sunlight-induced reactions transform 36 (9–65) Tg C yr$^{-1}$ of non-labile tDOC into labile forms (Figure 5, Supporting Information). Thus, we estimate that photochemical reactions mineralize a total of 81 Tg C yr$^{-1}$, either directly (45 Tg C yr$^{-1}$) or through the production of labile forms (36 Tg C yr$^{-1}$; Figure 5). This amount of photochemical mineralization corresponds to 87% of tDOC mineralized by microbes during the first-year residence of tDOC in the ocean (49 + 44 = 93 Tg C yr$^{-1}$; Figure 5). The corresponding value is 67% for tDOC in the Mississippi River plume during its 2–3 month residence time on the Louisiana shelf, where ca. 50% of tDOC is mineralized [Fichot and Benner, 2014].

Our budget indicates that 71% of tDOC ([93 + 81]/246; Figure 5) is mineralized during its first year in the ocean. In Figure 5, refractory tDOC (72 (17–140) Tg C yr$^{-1}$ or 29% of the annual tDOC flux to the ocean) refers to a fraction of tDOC having a residence time of more than one year in the ocean. This refractory tDOC is either slowly metabolized by microbes, transformed by indirect photochemical reactions [Mopper et al., 1991] and/or transported (1.7 Tg C yr$^{-1}$) to the deep ocean [Benner et al., 2005].
4.7. The annual areal rates of DIC photoproduction from tDOC in river plumes

Our annual areal rates calculated based on Eq. 2 (Table 5, Figure 4) are meant to provide an average value for the DIC photoproduction rate (mol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) from tDOC over the entire lifetime of tCDOM in river plumes. The rate estimates are based on $\phi_\lambda$s. Therefore, we first discuss about the $\phi_\lambda$ and later about the rates themselves.

Our spectral AQY for DIC photoproduction from tDOC approximates an average photoreactivity of tDOC from its entrance to estuary to its complete removal by photobleaching. This differs from earlier studies, which have characterized $\phi_\lambda$ at the site of sample collection [Vähätalo et al., 2000; Vähätalo and Wetzel, 2004; Koehler et al., 2014; Groeneveld et al., 2016; Koehler et al., 2016; Gao and Zepp, 1998; Bélanger et al., 2006; White et al., 2010; Johannessen and Miller, 2001; Johannessen et al., 2007; Aarnos et al., 2012; Reader and Miller, 2012; Powers et al., 2015]. Our experimental irradiations photobleached about half of tCDOM and characterize the photoreactivity of tDOC at those sites of river plumes, where solar radiation has photobleached about half of tCDOM. These sites would be for example just before the shelf break in Mid-Atlantic Bight [Vodacek et al., 1997] and offshore from the shelf break in the plume of Mississippi River [Fichot and Benner, 2014]. Thus, our $\phi_\lambda$ characterize tDOC at the distal parts of river plumes that are considered as the most important sites of photochemical breakdown of tDOC [Vodacek et al., 1997; Hernes and Benner, 2003; Medeiros et al., 2015].

Our $\phi_{330}$ values (range of 129 to 335 µmol C mol photons$^{-1}$) fall into the lower range of reported $\phi_{330}$s in lakes [Vähätalo et al., 2000; Vähätalo and Wetzel, 2004; Koehler et al., 2014; Groeneveld et al., 2016; Koehler et al., 2016], rivers and estuaries [Gao and Zepp,
1998; Bélanger et al., 2006; White et al., 2010], as well as coastal ocean [Johannessen and Miller, 2001; Johannessen et al., 2007; Aarnos et al., 2012; Reader and Miller, 2012; Powers et al., 2015]. A possible explanation for our low values is the long irradiation time. Because $\phi_\lambda$s decrease with cumulative irradiation doses [Andrews et al., 2000; Vähätalo and Wetzel, 2004], our $\phi_\lambda$s do not correspond to the highest $\phi_\lambda$s for tDOC upon its discharge into an estuary. For example, our $\phi_{330}$ for tDOC from the Mississippi River (335 $\mu$mol C mol photons$^{-1}$) is expectedly lower than the corresponding pooled mean of 514 $\mu$mol C mol photons$^{-1}$ for DOC collected from the Gulf of Mexico close to the mouth of the Mississippi River and irradiated for a shorter time period (6 h; Powers et al. [2015]) compared to the present study (39 h).

Our median $\phi_{330}$s for riverine tDOC is 234 $\mu$mol C mol photons$^{-1}$ and about half of the 479 $\mu$mol C mol photons$^{-1}$ used to estimate the amount of DIC photoproduction in inland waters [Koehler et al., 2014]. High $\phi_{330}$s correlate positively with CDOM, the specific UV absorption coefficient and the aromaticity of tDOC [Koehler et al., 2016], which are typically highest for tDOC imported freshly from land to inland waters without earlier exposure to solar radiation [Salonen and Vähätalo, 1994; Xiao et al., 2013, 2015]. Additionally, ferric iron associated to tDOC and acidity increase $\phi_{330}$s in freshwaters [Gu et al., 2017]. The mixing of the river waters (1:1) with artificial seawater followed by filtering, as done in this study, transfers tDOC from a freshwater to a seawater matrix, increases pH to the slightly alkaline values found in the ocean, and potentially flocculates photoreactive components such as iron [Minor et al., 2006]. Our $\phi_\lambda$ for tDOC from the St. Lawrence River is independent of the matrix (freshwater or seawater) used for its determination. This is in contrast to a decrease in DIC photoproduction after mixing.
swamp water with seawater [Minor et al., 2006]. The difference may be explained by the difference in pH and the concentration of iron: swamp water had a pH of 4 and an Fe concentration of 29 µmol L\(^{-1}\) [Minor et al., 2006], compared to a pH of 7.6 and 0.08 µmol Fe L\(^{-1}\) for the St. Lawrence River water [Xiao et al., 2013]. It remains unclear whether the seawater matrix used in this study changed \(\phi_\lambda\) compared to a freshwater matrix in the other rivers as this was not experimentally addressed, but nevertheless our experimental design addressed those potential changes.

In this study, \(\phi_\lambda\)s decrease with salinity in the St. Lawrence Estuary, as in the Beaufort Sea [Bélanger et al., 2006], in the Baltic Sea [Aarnos et al., 2012], and in the St. Lawrence Estuary for the photoproduction of CO [Zhang et al., 2006]. The dependence of \(\phi_\lambda\)s on salinity has not always been observed ([Powers et al. [2015]], or the dependence has included an abrupt change ([White et al. [2010]]). These earlier studies have been conducted in relatively small regions close to river mouths and \(\phi_\lambda\)s have been determined with short irradiance times (8 h, White et al. [2010]; 6 h, Powers et al. [2015]). These \(\phi_\lambda\)s possibly trace (i) the complex mixing of riverine tDOC and marine DOC close to river mouths, (ii) describe, e.g., the flocculation of iron at a certain salinity threshold or (iii) may also include additional (more local) sources of DOC such as coastal wetlands or sediment pore waters [White et al., 2010; Powers et al., 2015]. This study and observations in long transects (> few hundreds km) across coastal regions where tDOC is more or less conservatively mixed with marine DOC [Vodacek et al., 1997; Bélanger et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006; Aarnos et al., 2012] indicate two end-members in terms of photoreactivity: 1) tDOC at the mouth of river with higher \(\phi_\lambda\) and 2) marine DOC of open ocean with lower \(\phi_\lambda\). The mixing of these two end-members together with a decrease in \(\phi_\lambda\) due to
the cumulative exposure of tDOC to solar irradiance can explain the decrease of $\phi_\lambda$ with increasing salinity over large spatial scales across the entire span of river plumes.

In this study, the calculated areal rates of DIC photoproduction depend also on the $a_{CDOM}^{-1}$ (Eq. 2) in the ocean. When tCDOM has lost half of its original absorption in the ocean, it is still expected to dominate the absorption of photolytic solar radiation in river plumes [Johannessen et al., 2003; Bélanger et al., 2013; Taalba et al., 2013], but it does not absorb all photolytic solar radiation as approximated in Eq. 2. For example, in the river plume of Mackenzie River, the $a_{CDOM}^{-1}$ at 330 nm (Eq. 2) is ca. 0.9 [Taalba et al., 2013; Bélanger et al., 2013]. In the Mid Atlantic Bight and in the Bering Sea, the ratio of CDOM to the attenuation coefficient at the UVR ranges from 0.86 to 0.97 in coastal to offshore water outside turbid bays [Johannessen et al., 2003]. Spectral $a_{CDOM}^{-1}$ are poorly available for the river plumes examined in this study and therefore our simplification ($a_{CDOM}^{-1} = 1$) likely overestimates the areal DIC photoproduction rates by $<10–15\%$ [Johannessen et al., 2003; Bélanger et al., 2013; Taalba et al., 2013].

In a strict sense, our DIC photoproduction rates refer to those produced from tDOC in the matrix of seawater, and are not directly comparable to those determined from samples collected from the coastal ocean that also include marine DOC [Bélanger et al., 2006; Fichot and Benner, 2014; Powers et al., 2015]. However, tDOC was likely the primary source for photoproduced DIC in the samples collected from the plume of the Mississippi River [Fichot and Benner, 2014; Powers et al., 2015] and river-influenced coastal Arctic Ocean corresponding to the latitudes of the Lena River plume [Bélanger et al., 2006]. In the plume of the Mississippi River, our areal DIC photoproduction rate from tDOC (160 mmol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) is between the two earlier estimates: 73 mmol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (0.054 Tg...
C yr\(^{-1}\) /62,068 km\(^2\)); \textit{Fichot and Benner} [2014] and 296 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) \citep{Powers2015}. Our estimate for the areal DIC photoproduction from tDOC in the Laptev Sea without sea ice (52 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) is similar to the corresponding estimates in the Mackenzie Shelf (76 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) and Canada Basin (53 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\); calculated from Figure 7 in \textit{Bélanger et al.} [2006]). Based on this comparison, our areal rates of DIC photoproduction agree well with those reported earlier.

4.8. The area of ocean required for the photochemical mineralization of tDOC to DIC

For the calculation of the area required for the photomineralization of tDOC, the annual amount of photomineralized tDOC was divided by an annual average photomineralization rate of tDOC in each river plume. The calculation thus estimates an annual average area required to photochemically mineralize the photoreactive part of tDOC flux and photobleach the entire tCDOM flux completely. After a complete photobleaching of tCDOM, a river plume becomes indistinguishable from the low-CDOM background of the open ocean.

This study estimates that the complete photomineralization of photoreactive tDOC from the ten rivers requires ocean surface area of 9.6 ± 2.5 millions km\(^2\) (Table 6). Assuming that the rivers studied here are representative of the entire global tDOC flux, a simple upscaling (by a factor of 3.56) indicates that 34 (25–43) millions km\(^2\) of ocean surface are required for the photomineralization of global tDOC flux. This area is less than 12% of the total ocean surface area (362 million km\(^2\); \textit{Eakins and Sharman} [2010]), and slightly more than the surface area over the coastal shelves (24 millions km\(^2\); \textit{Bauer et al.} [2013]), but 5–9-times larger than the total area covered by lakes (5 millions km\(^2\); \textit{Verpoorter et
When our estimate for the photomineralization area of tDOC in the ocean is divided by the length of coastline (356 000 km excluding Antarctica without notable rivers; Brinkhoff [2017]), the photomineralization of tDOC is expected to take place an average within a distance of 97 ± 35 km from the coastline.

4.9. Synopsis

This study provides the first global estimate for the amount of tDOC mineralized photochemically to DIC in the ocean (45 (31–58) Tg C yr⁻¹) and the area (34 (25–43) million km²) required for this process. Our study estimates that the amount of photomineralized tDOC is larger in the ocean than in inland waters (Figure 5). Our tDOC budget estimates that 71% of riverine tDOC is mineralized through photochemical and biological reactions within one year from its discharge to the ocean (Figure 5). Nearly half (47%) of this mineralization of tDOC is estimated to be caused by the photochemical production of labile DOC and DIC (Figure 5). In order to improve our estimates, the photomineralization areas (Table 6) could be quantitatively compared to the distribution of CDOM in the coastal ocean obtained with remote sensing methodology. In addition a better knowledge of tCDOM fluxes should put tighter constraints on the amounts of photomineralized of tDOC in the ocean.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labile</td>
<td>a fraction that can be mineralized by microbes within weeks (unitless).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-labile</td>
<td>a fraction that remains after microbial mineralization of labile fraction (unitless).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photomineralizable fraction of tDOC</td>
<td>the fraction of tDOC that can be mineralized by direct photochemical reactions upon complete photobleaching of tCDOM (unitless).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photochemical reactivity of tDOC</td>
<td>the tendency of tDOC to undergo photochemical mineralization (unit, mol C mol absorbed photons$^{-1}$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volumetric photomineralization rate</td>
<td>the amount of photomineralized tDOC per volume of water at a defined depth and unit of time (unit, mol C m$^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areal photomineralization rate</td>
<td>the amount of photomineralized tDOC per area of water surface and unit of time (unit, mol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The amount of photomineralized tDOC</td>
<td>the amount of photoproduced DIC from tDOC discharged by a river per unit of time (unit, g C river$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area required for mineralization of photomineralizable tDOC</td>
<td>the area of ocean required to complete the photomineralization of tDOC discharged by a river (unit, m$^2$ river$^{-1}$).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The distance for photomineralization of tDOC</td>
<td>approximates how far offshore tDOC is transported from river mouths until the photomineralization is completed (unit, m).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The coordinates and the dates for the water samples collected from the rivers and the St. Lawrence Estuary (PSU refers to the practical salinity unit) as well as the transport time between the sampling and the filtration in Helsinki.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Sampling date</th>
<th>Transport time (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Negro&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>03°07'59&quot;S</td>
<td>59°54'09&quot;W</td>
<td>03 Jun 10</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Solimões&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>03°07'58&quot;S</td>
<td>59°54'04&quot;W</td>
<td>03 Jun 10</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>04°18'18&quot;S</td>
<td>15°28'32&quot;E</td>
<td>01 May 09</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>04°20'18&quot;S</td>
<td>15°11'13&quot;E</td>
<td>02 May 09</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danube</td>
<td>45°13'38&quot;N</td>
<td>28°44'05&quot;E</td>
<td>19 Apr 10</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganges-Brahmaputra</td>
<td>23°34'12&quot;N</td>
<td>90°10'53&quot;E</td>
<td>01 Oct 09</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>71°54'14&quot;N</td>
<td>127°15'16&quot;E</td>
<td>16 Aug 09</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mekong</td>
<td>11°33'28&quot;N</td>
<td>104°56'53&quot;E</td>
<td>21 Aug 09</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>29°02'20&quot;N</td>
<td>89°19'20&quot;W</td>
<td>22 Apr 09</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraná</td>
<td>34°18'07&quot;S</td>
<td>58°32'47&quot;W</td>
<td>29 Mar 09</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lawrence</td>
<td>46°54'45&quot;N</td>
<td>70°52'32&quot;W</td>
<td>12 Jun 09</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangtze</td>
<td>31°45'49&quot;N</td>
<td>121°02'22&quot;E</td>
<td>07 Sep 09</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (PSU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lawrence Estuary</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>46°54'46&quot;N</td>
<td>70°52'32&quot;W</td>
<td>12 Jun 09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>47°16'49&quot;N</td>
<td>70°37'16&quot;W</td>
<td>12 Jun 09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47°30'59&quot;N</td>
<td>70°10'53&quot;W</td>
<td>13 Jun 09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>47°55'16&quot;N</td>
<td>69°46'38&quot;W</td>
<td>13 Jun 09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>48°15'54&quot;N</td>
<td>69°21'08&quot;W</td>
<td>10 Jun 09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>48°42'06&quot;N</td>
<td>68°39'07&quot;W</td>
<td>10 Jun 09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>49°25'22&quot;N</td>
<td>66°19'29&quot;W</td>
<td>09 Jun 09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> The river samples from Rio Negro and Rio Solimões were compiled as an Amazon River sample with the mixing ratio of 1:4, respectively.

<sup>b</sup> The Congo River samples (twice 20-L) from two sampling locations were compiled as one Congo River sample.
Table 3. DIC photoproduction (mean ± SD*) and the photochemical bleaching of CDOM during the experimental irradiations. Photobleaching is expressed as a change in the absorption coefficients at wavelength of 300 nm (m$^{-1}$; $a_{CDOM,300,dark} - a_{CDOM,300,irradiated}$, mean ± SD) and as relative differences (%; $\frac{a_{CDOM,300,dark} - a_{CDOM,300,irradiated}}{a_{CDOM,300,dark}}$) in the river samples and in the St. Lawrence Estuary samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>DIC photobleaching of CDOM (mmol C m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>DIC photoproduction (mmol C m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Photobleaching of CDOM (m$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rivers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Irradiation (39.1 h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>42.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>11.56 ± 0.05</td>
<td>55 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mekong</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.13</td>
<td>34 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>16.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.28 ± 0.37</td>
<td>45 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraná</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.03 ± 0.26</td>
<td>43 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lawrence</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.15</td>
<td>48 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Irradiation (43.3 h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>29.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.79 ± 0.60</td>
<td>52 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danube</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.09</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganges-Brahmaputra</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.04</td>
<td>41 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>25.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>4.99 ± 0.43</td>
<td>45 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangtze</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.05</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>St. Lawrence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Irradiation (41.4 h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estuary 0.1 PSU</td>
<td>24.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.27 ± 0.16</td>
<td>34 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 PSU</td>
<td>20.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.73 ± 0.20</td>
<td>49 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0 PSU</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>44 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0 PSU</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.38</td>
<td>33 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.7 PSU</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.49</td>
<td>43 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.9 PSU</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.40</td>
<td>36 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.7 PSU</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.09</td>
<td>24 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In rivers, the error is the SD of two replicates. In the St. Lawrence Estuary, SD is calculated as the cumulative error in the replicated determinations of DIC ($n = 7$) and CDOM ($n = 2$).

The data was derived with three separate 31.6, 41.4 or 43.3 hour-long irradiations.
Table 4. The water discharge, absorption coefficient at 300 nm ($a_{CDOM,300}$), the fluxes of tDOC and tCDOM, the amount of photoproduced DIC from tCDOM flux (DIC prod), and the fraction of photomineralized tDOC flux (DIC prod:tDOC flux).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River</th>
<th>Discharge$^a$</th>
<th>$a_{CDOM,300}$$^b$</th>
<th>tDOC</th>
<th>tCDOM</th>
<th>DIC prod.$^c$</th>
<th>DIC prod. : tDOC flux</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(km$^3$ yr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(m$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(Tg C yr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(Tm$^2$ yr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(Tg C yr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>6 300</td>
<td>22.9 ± 0.02</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>144.55 ± 0.15</td>
<td>6.98 ± 1.08</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>1 300</td>
<td>48.4 ± 0.29</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>62.92 ± 0.38</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.49</td>
<td>30 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danube</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>13 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganges-Brahm.*</td>
<td>1 120</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.06</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>21.0 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>28.24 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.24</td>
<td>38 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mekong</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.67 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.04</td>
<td>15 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>5.06 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parana</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.07</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>4.71 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lawrence</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangtze</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>4.74 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.07</td>
<td>13 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>12 260</td>
<td>69.06 ± 0.93</td>
<td>12.48 ± 2.10</td>
<td>258.52 ± 0.93</td>
<td>18 ± 4$^f$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ganges-Brahmaputra

$^a$ Milliman and Farnsworth [2011].

$^b$ Measured (SD = measurement uncertainty) from the river waters upon the arrival to our laboratory (Table 2), except a mean of our measurement (24.9 ± 0.02 m$^{-1}$) and 21.1 m$^{-1}$ by Cao et al. 2016 for the Amazon River.

$^c$ Cauwet [2002], but updated for Amazon River by Coynel et al. [2005] and calculated for Mekong as the product of water discharge and DOC measured (1.58 mg C L$^{-1}$) upon the arrival of the water sample.

$^d$ Calculated by multiplying $a_{CDOM,300}$ with discharge.

$^e$ Calculated by multiplying the regression coefficient (0.00402 mol C m$^{-2}$, Figure 1) with tCDOM flux.

$^f$ A discharge weighted average.
Table 5. Apparent quantum yields (AQY) for DIC photoproduction ($\phi_\lambda$) and the annual DIC photoproduction rates $pr$ (mmol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, mean ± SD*). The parameters $c$ and $d$ of AQY spectra ($\phi_\lambda$; Eq. 1) for DIC photoproduction, $\phi$ at 330 nm ($\phi_{330}$; $\mu$mol C mol photons$^{-1}$). The DIC photoproduction rates in river plumes and in the St. Lawrence Estuary are calculated (Eq. 2) according to $\phi_\lambda$ and the local solar radiation (Figure S7 in Supporting Information).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rivers</th>
<th>$\phi_\lambda$ (mol C mol photons$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$d$ (nm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\phi_{330}$ (µmol C mol photons$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>CV%$^a$</th>
<th>$pr$ (mmol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>1.4037</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>136 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>1.4180</td>
<td>0.0258</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>133 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danube</td>
<td>1.3951</td>
<td>0.0266</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>71 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganges-Brahmaputra</td>
<td>1.3730</td>
<td>0.0281</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>1.3875</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52 ± 4$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mekong</td>
<td>1.3710</td>
<td>0.0273</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1.4101</td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>157 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parana</td>
<td>1.3804</td>
<td>0.0271</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lawrence</td>
<td>1.3922</td>
<td>0.0261</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangtze</td>
<td>1.3235</td>
<td>0.0271</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lawrence Estuary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 PSU</td>
<td>1.3967</td>
<td>0.0264</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>68 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 PSU</td>
<td>1.3731</td>
<td>0.0264</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>67 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0 PSU</td>
<td>1.3860</td>
<td>0.0273</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0 PSU</td>
<td>1.3752</td>
<td>0.0278</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.7 PSU</td>
<td>1.3733</td>
<td>0.0282</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.9 PSU</td>
<td>1.3557</td>
<td>0.0278</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.7 PSU</td>
<td>1.3799</td>
<td>0.0284</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SD of replicates (Rivers) or uncertainty of determination (Estuary)

$^a$ CV% describes the coefficient of variability for two replicates

$^b$ CV% calculated as in Aarnos et al. [2012] as a propagation of error in the DIC photoproduction, the incident photon flux density and the CDOM used for the determination of AQY.

$^c$ assumes ice free conditions
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Table 6. The area (A; km$^2$) and distance (r; km) required for the photomineralization of photoreactive tDOC flux in coastal ocean (mean ± SD*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River</th>
<th>Photomineralization area$^a$ (10$^3$ km$^2$)</th>
<th>Photomineralization distance$^b$ (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>4 286 ± 1 096</td>
<td>1 652 ± 422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>1 899 ± 318</td>
<td>1 099 ± 184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danube</td>
<td>91 ± 38</td>
<td>240 ± 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganges-Brahmaputra</td>
<td>194 ± 115</td>
<td>351 ± 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>2 186 ± 533</td>
<td>1 180 ± 288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mekong</td>
<td>141 ± 46</td>
<td>299 ± 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>130 ± 26</td>
<td>287 ± 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parana</td>
<td>270 ± 89</td>
<td>415 ± 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lawrence</td>
<td>76 ± 19</td>
<td>221 ± 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangtze</td>
<td>352 ± 209</td>
<td>474 ± 282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>9 624 ± 2 489</td>
<td>Median 383 ± 160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SD is calculated as propagation of uncertainty related to the areal DIC photoproduction rate ($pr$ in Table 5) and to the amount of photoproduced DIC from tCDOM flux (DIC prod. in Table 4).

$^a$ Calculated by dividing the annual amount of photoproduced DIC from tCDOM flux (Table 4) with the areal DIC photoproduction rate ($pr$; Table 5).

$^b$ $r = (2A/\pi)^{0.5}$ assuming that tCDOM flux spreads uniformly into a semicircle shaped area around the river mouth.
Figure 1. The dependence of DIC photoproduction on the tCDOM photobleaching expressed as a change in the absorption coefficient at 300 nm. The blue diamonds show the measurements from river waters mixed with artificial seawater and open diamonds show data from the salinity transect in the St. Lawrence Estuary (Table 3). The line shows a linear regression, DIC photoproduction = 4.02 × photobleaching, fitted on the river water data ($R^2 = 0.96; n = 18$).

The regression coefficient (± SE) 0.00402 (± 0.00018) mol C m$^{-2}$ is significantly different from zero ($p < 0.001$, tStat$_{1,9} = 22.3$). The linear regression equation without intercept was selected, because the model with intercept did not improve the coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.96$) and the intercept 0.63 was not significantly different from zero ($p = 0.66$, tStat$_{1,8} = 0.45$). The model fitted to all data (Rivers and Estuary) was DIC photoproduction = 4.00 photobleaching ($p < 0.001$, tStat$_{1,16} = 23.0$, $R^2 = 0.93$).
Figure 2. The apparent quantum yield spectra for DIC photoproduction ($\phi_\lambda$) in river waters mixed with artificial seawater (Table 5; note the logarithmic scale on y-axis). The examined rivers are listed in the order of $\phi_\lambda$ from the largest to the smallest.
Figure 3. The apparent quantum yields ($\phi_{330}$; $\mu$mol C mol photons$^{-1}$ at 330 nm) and the annual areal DIC photoproduction rates ($pr$; mmol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) along the salinity transect in the St. Lawrence Estuary. The lines refer to linear fit ($R^2 = 0.95$) between salinity and $\phi_{330}$ or $pr$. Error bars calculated as described in Table 5.
Figure 4. The annual areal DIC photoproduction rates from tDOC in river plumes ($pr$ in Table 5). The rates are arranged in order of increasing $\phi_s$ (as in Figure 2). Error bars describe the standard deviation of $pr$ ($n = 2$). * = Ganges-Brahmaputra River.
Figure 5. Global fluxes of tDOC across the aquatic continuum from land to the ocean. The transportation and transformations of tDOC are shown with solid and dotted arrows, respectively. The numbers refer to the fluxes of tDOC (Tg C yr$^{-1}$). The best estimates and their range of uncertainty given in brackets are explained in the Supporting Information. Transport: 794 (647–950) from land to inland waters, 246 (168–800) from inland waters to the ocean; Photochemistry: 24 (15–35) DIC photoproduction in inland waters, 45 (31–58) DIC photoproduction in the ocean, 36 (9–65) photoproduction of labile tDOC in the ocean; Microbial mineralization in the ocean: 49 (41–57) labile tDOC, 44 (25–49) semilabile tDOC; Others processes: 548 (401–708) total loss in inland waters, 72 (17–140) refractory tDOC that survived photochemical and microbial mineralization during its first year in the ocean.