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Abstract. An approach to calculate the flux of cosmicogenic muons detected by Muon Monitor experiment in lab LAB2400 of the Underground Laboratory in Canfranc (LSC) is described. The measuring apparatus consists of three layers of SC16 scintillation matrix detectors. The hardware function of the detector assembly was determined using computer simulation. Obtained value of the total muon flux turned out to be equal to $(4.35 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

1. Motivation
To eliminate background produced by cosmic rays, the experiments researching for rare processes are conducted in deep underground laboratories, where rock overburden attenuates flux of cosmicogenic muons. Nevertheless, even such a small residual underground flux of cosmic muons produces background for physics experiments. The general goal of Muon Monitor project is to study muon background conditions in underground sites of Canfranc Underground Laboratory (LSC) and precisely measure muon flux taking into account considerable anisotropy of the flux because of complicated shape of mountains above the lab LAB2400 of LSC.

2. Experimental setup
The Muon Monitor consists of scintillation detecting assembly (SDA), data acquisition system (DAQ), and power supply system. The SDA has three layers consisting of the fast SC16 scintillation detectors: $3 \times 3 = 9$ detectors both in the top and in the bottom layers and $2 \times 2 = 4$ detectors in the middle layer (see figure 1). Effective square aperture is $\sim 1 \text{m}^2$. Maximum zenith angle of muon to be detected is approximately 80$^\circ$. Each SC16 detector contains a $4 \times 4$ matrix of 16 independent, square pixel detectors of $122 \times 122 \times 30 \text{mm}^3$ [1,2]. The internal structure of the pixel, schematic view of the SC16 and connection layout is presented in the figure 2.
3. Calculation of total muon flux

To calculate total muon flux with taking into account the geometrical response of SDA and individual efficiencies of subdetectors inside SC16 a Monte Carlo simulation was used. The simulation tool was written in Kotlin using Appache Commons Math for geometry description.

Only events that produce straight line tracks was selected to calculation. This was done using simulation program. Considering that simulation statistics of $10^7$ is few orders of magnitude greater than the number of events in the experiment ($\sim 3 \times 10^5$), it is supposed that pixel combinations which do not appear in simulation, are not possible in the real data. Thus it was selected only events where set of pixels being hit exists in the simulation.

The efficiency for the given pixel set could be found from simulation with uniform initial distribution of muons in a following way:

$$R_i = \frac{N_{sim,i}}{N_{sim}},$$

(1)

where $N_{sim,i}$ is the number of simulated muons that hit pixel set with index $i$ and $N_{sim}$ is the total number of simulated muons. The total flux for a given pixel set $f_i$ can be found as:

$$f_i = \frac{g_i}{\varepsilon_i d(\Omega)} = \frac{g_i}{R_i},$$

(2)

where $g_i$ is experimental counts of given pixel combination. Each of $f_i$ is not the estimate of the flux through the pixel set, but the flux through the monitor as a whole. If the initial
distribution is uniform then all of \( f_i \) would give the same value and total flux could be found by simple averaging \( f = \langle f_i \rangle \). In real experiment, the initial distribution is not uniform, but averaging still gives a consistent estimate of the total flux.

4. Results

This approach gives the total number of events \( \langle f \rangle = \gamma \langle f \rangle_0 = 2.256 \times 10^5 \) events observed by monitor during time of measurement equals to 51836385 seconds. The \( \langle f \rangle_0 \) has been calculated in assumption that average flux in unobservable large zenith angles region and detectable low ones is the same and \( \gamma \) is correction factor equals to the ratio between monitor angular coverage and total \( 2\pi \) solid angle. Uncertainties for calculation includes:

- uncertainties of pixels position: \( \sim 5\% \)
- statistical error: \( \sim 0.3\% \)
- uncertainties of total measurement time: less than 0.1\%

With taking into account all uncertainties the total flux is:

\[
\Phi = (4.35 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3} \ m^{-2} s^{-1}.
\]

(3)

Paper containing overview of method, results and discussion in detail is in progress[3].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the grant of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation number 3.3008.2017.

References

