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Association between radiography-based subchondral bone structure and MRI-based cartilage composition in postmenopausal women with mild osteoarthritis

J. Hirvasniemi †*, J. Thevenot ‡§, J. Multanen ||, M. Haapea ¶¶#, A. Heinonen †‡, M.T. Nieminen †§¶#, S. Saarakkala ‡§¶#

Objective: Our aim was to investigate the relation between radiograph-based subchondral bone structure and cartilage composition assessed with delayed gadolinium enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of cartilage (dGEMRIC) and T2 relaxation time.

Design: Ninety-three postmenopausal women (Kellgren–Lawrence grade 0: n = 13, 1: n = 26, 2: n = 54) were included. Radiograph-based bone structure was assessed using entropy of the Laplacian-based image (ELap) and local binary patterns (ELBP), homogeneity indices of the local angles (HIAngles, mean, HIAngles, Perp, HIAngles, Paral), and horizontal (FDHor) and vertical fractal dimensions (FDVer). Mean dGEMRIC index and T2 relaxation time of tibial cartilage were calculated to estimate cartilage composition.

Results: HIAngles, mean (r = –0.22) and HIAngles, Paral (r = –0.24) in medial subchondral bone were related (P < 0.05) to dGEMRIC index of the medial tibial cartilage. ELap (r = –0.23), FDHor, 0.34 mm (r = 0.21) and FDVer, 0.68 mm (r = 0.24) in medial subchondral bone were related (P < 0.05) to T2 relaxation time values of the medial tibial cartilage. FDVer at different scales in lateral subchondral bone were related (P < 0.01) to dGEMRIC index (r = 0.29–0.41) and T2 values of lateral tibial cartilage (r = –0.28 to –0.36). FDVer at larger scales were related (P < 0.05) to dGEMRIC index (r = 0.24–0.25) and FDVer of lateral tibial cartilage (r = –0.21). HIAngles, Paral (r = –0.25) and FDVer, 0.68 mm (r = 0.22) in the lateral tibial trabecular bone were related (P < 0.05) to dGEMRIC index of the lateral tibial cartilage.

Conclusion: Our results support the presumption that several tissues are affected in the early osteoarthritis (OA). Furthermore, they indicate that the detailed analysis of radiographs may serve as a complementary imaging tool for OA studies.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Osteoarthritis Research Society International. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is considered as a heterogeneous disease which affects all tissues in the joint and has several phenotypes. In the articular cartilage, OA causes progressive degradation and loss of collagens and proteoglycans. OA causes also changes in the density and structure of the subchondral bone.
In addition to semi-quantitative visual evaluation of the knee joint and measurement of cartilage thickness and volume, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be used to assess the composition of the cartilage\(^9\). Compositional MRI techniques may be able to capture alterations in the biochemical properties of the tissue already in the early stage of the OA\(^9\). One of the currently available \textit{in vivo} compositional MRI methods is \(T_2\) relaxation time mapping. In the articular cartilage, the integrity and structure of the collagen network and water content affect \(T_2\) relaxation time values\(^{12,13}\). Delayed gadolinium enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of cartilage (dGEMRIC) is another compositional MRI method and it has been widely used for the assessment of proteoglycan content of cartilage\(^{10,13,14}\). However, due to costs, availability of the MRI scanners and lengthy imaging time, MRI is not reasonable to be used as the first-line screening tool for OA or imaging of large cohorts.

Due to cheapness, fastness and good accessibility, plain radiography is suitable for imaging of large subject cohorts. Moreover, bone tissue is clearly visible on the radiographs. Fractal signature analysis (FSA) is a widely used method to assess bone structure\(^{15}\). These ROIs are referred to as trabecular bone ROIs, were placed immediately below the dense subchondral bone area. Trabecular bone ROIs were aligned horizontally with subchondral bone ROIs. Some of the lateral trabecular bone ROIs were moved towards the center of the knee to avoid overlapping with the fibula. Anatomical landmarks for the ROIs were tibial spine, subchondral bone plate, and outer borders of the proximal tibia. A custom-made MATLAB software (version R2014b, The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA) was used for the manual placement (JH) of the ROIs. We have previously shown that the reproducibility of the texture parameters from the aforementioned locations is high\(^{18}\). Intra-observer reproducibility was high also in the current sample as the root-mean-square average coefficients of variations (CV\(_{avg}\)) were below 1.7% for all texture parameters in all ROIs (data not shown).

### Selection of regions of interests (ROIs)

Four rectangle-shaped ROIs were extracted from the proximal tibia (Fig. 1). The locations of the ROIs were based on previous literature\(^{18,19,22,23}\). Two ROIs (size: \(85 \times 35\) pixels, \(-14 \text{ mm} \times 6\) mm) were placed into the subchondral bone in the middle of the medial and lateral tibial plateaus immediately below the cartilage–bone interface. These ROIs are referred to as subchondral bone ROIs, although different bone types are mixed in the ROIs\(^{26}\). Furthermore, another two ROIs (\(85 \times 85\) pixels, \(-14 \text{ mm} \times 14\) mm), referred to as trabecular bone ROIs, were placed immediately below the dense subchondral bone area. Trabecular bone ROIs were aligned horizontally with subchondral bone ROIs. Some of the lateral trabecular bone ROIs were moved towards the center of the knee to avoid overlapping with the fibula. Anatomical landmarks for the ROIs were tibial spine, subchondral bone plate, and outer borders of the proximal tibia. A custom-made MATLAB software (version R2014b, The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA) was used for the manual placement (JH) of the ROIs. We have previously shown that the reproducibility of the texture parameters from the aforementioned locations is high\(^{18}\). Intra-observer reproducibility was high also in the current sample as the root-mean-square average coefficients of variations (CV\(_{avg}\)) were below 1.7% for all texture parameters in all ROIs (data not shown).

### Texture analysis of bone

Bone structure was evaluated from the radiographs using Laplacian-based method\(^{18,19,24}\), LBP-based methods\(^{18,25}\), and using FSA\(^{18,22,26}\).

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean (standard deviation)</th>
<th>Min–max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometric variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58.3 (4.1)</td>
<td>50–66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>162.6 (5.9)</td>
<td>149–177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>71.0 (10.8)</td>
<td>48–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>26.8 (3.7)</td>
<td>19–35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL grade distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 50–65.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL grade 0, 1, or 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular intensive exercise no more than twice a week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For subjects with KL1/KL2: knee pain on most days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For subjects with KL0: no frequent pain, aching or stiffness in or around the knee joint in either knee in the preceding year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-score for femoral neck bone mineral density lower than −2.5 g/cm(^2) (i.e., indicating osteoporosis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index higher than 35 kg/m(^2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any previous knee instability or severe trauma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illnesses that contraindicated exercise or limited participation in the exercise program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory joint disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-articular joint injections in the knee during the preceding 12 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraindications to MRI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergies to contrast agents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal insufficiency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Subjects and methods

#### Study subjects

Postmenopausal women \((n = 93)\) without OA or with mild OA were included in this cross-sectional study (Table I)\(^{20}\). The inclusion and exclusion criteria were originally designed for exercise intervention study and have been published earlier (Table II)\(^{20,21}\). The knee with higher KL grade of the subjects with mild OA was selected for analysis (if both knees had the same KL grade, the most symptomatic knee was chosen), whereas the right knee of the subjects without OA was analyzed. The Ethics Committee of the Central Finland Health Care District approved the study design. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

#### Acquisition of radiographs

Bilateral posterior—anterior weight-bearing knee radiographs were acquired with knees in a semi-flexed position (50 kVp, 1.25 mA/s, pixel size: \(170 \times 170\)\(\mu\)m\(^2\), source-detector distance: 120 cm).
Laplacian-based analysis

The Laplacian-based method enhances the appearance of bone trabeculae and quantifies the variation in the grayscale values of the Laplacian-based image. Laplacians were calculated in the horizontal and vertical directions and summed into the one matrix. The original ROI was multiplied with square root of the Laplacian operator (eight-neighborhood on a circle with a radius of 1) was applied in the bone regions and the bone edges (i.e., non-bone areas were excluded from the co-occurrence matrices. To take into account the orientation of bone trabeculae in the analysis, co-occurrence matrices of 0° and 135° directions were combined as well as 45° and 90° directions to calculate the HI perpendicularly to the bone trabeculae (HI\text{Angles,Perp}) and along the trabeculae (HI\text{Angles,Paral}), respectively. Furthermore, the mean HI (HI\text{Angles,mean}) was calculated from the co-occurrence matrix as the sum of the four possible directions. The interpretation of the HI\text{Angles} parameters used in this study is the following: if all adjacent patterns have similar orientation, HI\text{Angles} is equal to one, while a large variation in the orientation of local patterns results in a low HI\text{Angles} value.

LBP-based methods

LBP-based methods were used to measure the randomness of local patterns and the variations in the orientation of adjacent local patterns. First, the image was divided into bone and non-bone regions by determining a local threshold for every image pixel using the Otsu method with a 9 × 9 pixels window size. Next, the LBP operator (eight-neighborhood on a circle with a radius of 1) was applied in the bone regions and the bone edges (i.e., non-bone regions next to the bone). The pixel was considered to be an edge pixel if at least one of the eight neighbors of the center pixel was a bone pixel. Grouping of patterns was done by determining the main orientation and the number of valid neighbors (i.e., markers) for each pattern to reduce the number of irrelevant patterns. The main orientation angle (0°, 45°, 90°, and 135°) was calculated using principal component (PC) analysis only for the patterns consisting of 2−5 consecutive markers, otherwise the patterns were assigned as non-uniform.

To measure the randomness of the patterns occurring in the image, entropy of the grouped patterns (E\text{BP}) was determined using Equation (1). If E\text{BP} = 0, there is only a single pattern occurring in the image.

The homogeneity index (HI) for the orientation of the valid patterns was calculated from the co-occurrence matrix of the angles. The co-occurrence matrices were calculated in 0°, 45°, 90°, and 135° directions with one pixel distance. The non-uniform and non-bone areas were excluded from the co-occurrence matrices. To measure the randomness of the grayscale values in the Laplacian-based image, entropy of the image (E\text{Lap}) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
E = - \sum_i P_i \log_2 P_i, \quad (1)
\]

where \( P_i \) contains the normalized count of the grayscale value \( i \) occurring in the image. If \( E_{\text{Lap}} = 0 \), all pixel values in the Laplacian-based image are the same, while higher values indicate higher variation in the pixel values of the image.

MRI

All subjects were scanned with a 1.5 T MRI scanner (Siemens Magnetom Symphony Quantum, Siemens Healthcare, Germany) with a standard transmit/receive knee array coil. \( T_2 \) relaxation time mapping was performed at the center of medial and lateral compartments using a fast-spin echo (FSE) sequence (repetition time (TR): 2090 ms, time to echo (TE): eight TEs between 13 and 104 ms, echo train length (ETL): 8, field of view (FOV): 140 × 140 mm², matrix: 256×256, slice thickness: 3 mm). One slice covering the central region of the condyle across sagittal view was chosen from the medial and lateral condyles for the analyses. Monoeponential fitting was used to compute \( T_2 \) relaxation time maps.

After \( T_2 \) measurements, dGEMRIC was performed at 90 min after intravenous administration of a double dose (0.2 mM/kg) of Gd-DTPA²⁻ (Magnevist, Schering, Berlin). Immediately after the injection of the contrast agent, subjects performed active flexion—extension exercises of the knee while sitting for 5 min, walking for 5 min and stair climbing for 5 min. Single-slice \( T_1 \) mapping was performed at the center of medial and lateral compartments using an inversion recovery FSE sequence (TR: 1800 ms, TE: 13 ms, inversion time: 50, 100, 200, 400, 800, and 1600 ms, ETL: 5, FOV: 140 × 140 mm², matrix: 256×256, slice thickness: 3 mm). The \( T_1 \) relaxation time maps were generated with a pixel-by-pixel three-parameter fit routine.
Articular cartilage was segmented manually for the quantitative $T_1$ and $T_2$ analyses using an in-house MATLAB application. The mean $T_1$, i.e., the dGEMRIC index, sensitive to cartilage proteoglycan content, and $T_2$ relaxation time, reflective of integrity of the collagen network and tissue hydration, were calculated for medial and lateral compartments from ROIs covering the whole tibial cartilage. In our laboratory, the intra-observer reproducibility (CVRMS) of dGEMRIC is on average 7% for full-thickness ROIs and 5% for bulk cartilage and the inter-observer reproducibility (CVRMS) for $T_2$ and dGEMRIC full-thickness ROIs on average 2% and 3%, respectively.

**Statistical analyses**

The characteristics of the study population are shown as mean values with standard deviations. The normality of the parameters was assessed using Shapiro–Wilk test. The relationship between normally distributed parameters was evaluated using Pearson’s correlation analysis ($r$) whereas Spearman’s rank correlation ($r_s$) was applied if at least one of the parameters was not normally distributed. Absolute values of correlation coefficients were interpreted as follows: 0.00–0.19 very weak, 0.20–0.39 weak, 0.40–0.59 moderate, 0.60–1.00 very strong correlation. No adjustments for multiple comparisons were performed.

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to test how much the subchondral bone texture parameters together with clinical covariates (age, body mass index, and KL grade) explained the variation in the selected MRI parameter. Three different models were tested for selected MRI parameters in medial and lateral sides separately. Model 1 included clinical covariates and the bone texture parameter with the strongest correlation to the selected MRI parameter. As many texture parameters are correlated to each other, PC analysis was used for medial and lateral sides separately in the models 2 and 3. Model 2 included clinical covariates and PCs from FSA parameters (FDHor and FDVer) in subchondral bone. Model 3 included clinical covariates and all the calculated texture parameters in subchondral and trabecular bone ROIs. z-Transformed parameters ($z = (x - \mu)/SD$, where $x$ is the value of each measurement, $\mu$ and SD are the average and standard deviation of the parameter to be transformed) were used. PCs explaining over 95% of the variance were selected for the regression analyses. As transitions between different KL grades might not be linear, we created a new binary variable describing KL grade by combining KL0 and KL1 to one group and used KL2 as another group. The number of predictors was limited to nine to avoid model overfitting. Only significant ($P < 0.05$) PCs (or at least one PC) were chosen for the final model. Residual analyses and multicollinearity diagnostics (variance inflation factors (VIF) were close to 1 for all models) were performed to assess quality of the each model. Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 22.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

In the medial compartment, $HI_{\text{Angles,mean}}$ ($r_s = -0.22$) and $HI_{\text{Angles,Paral}}$ ($r_s = -0.24$) in subchondral bone were weakly related ($P < 0.05$) to dGEMRIC index of the medial tibial cartilage.
In our current study, several statistically significant correlations between different radiograph-based bone structure-related parameters and cartilage composition assessed with dGEMRIC and $T_2$ relaxation time were found. The direction (positive/negative) of the correlations indicates that when tibial cartilage is degenerated, the underlying tibial bone structure is also deteriorated. However, the relation between subchondral bone structure and composition of cartilage was weak or moderate and not perfectly linear.

In medial tibial compartment, weak but significant correlation between subchondral bone structure ($H_{Angles,mean}$ and $H_{Angles,Paral}$) and dGEMRIC index of tibial cartilage was found. Additionally, $E_{Lap}$, $FD_{Hor,0.34 \text{ mm}}$ and $FD_{Ver,0.68 \text{ mm}}$ correlated weakly with $T_2$ relaxation time of medial tibial cartilage. In lateral subchondral bone, $FD_{Hor}$ and $FD_{Ver}$ at larger scales, were weakly or moderately related to the dGEMRIC index and $T_2$ relaxation time values of the lateral tibial
cartilage. These results indicate that when the cartilage is degenerated, the structure of the underlying bone is also different. In general, when the cartilage is degenerated, more contrast agent is present in the cartilage and eventually the dGEMRIC index is generally higher, when the cartilage is degenerated, more contrast agent is present in the cartilage and eventually the dGEMRIC index is generally higher. Furthermore, elevated T2 relaxation time values are associated with degenerated cartilage. It should be noted that the radiograph-based structural bone parameters are dependent on the location of the ROI, e.g., the organization of the trabecular network is not similar in medially and laterally or in the subchondral bone area (subchondral bone) and subchondral trabecular bone areas.

In the trabecular bone area, only H1AnglesParal and FDVer,0.68 mm in the lateral side correlated significantly with the dGEMRIC index of the lateral tibial cartilage. This may indicate that the trabecular bone structure under the dense subchondral bone area (subchondral bone plate and subchondral trabecular bone) was not yet altered in this sample of postmenopausal women with mild OA, although cartilage was degenerated and changes in the subchondral bone were detected.

The multiple linear regression models explained the variation in the selected MRI parameter better in the lateral side. In addition regression models with one selected bone texture parameters, we used PC analysis to enable utilization of all fractal measures in subchondral bone area and all bone texture parameters from both ROIs in the regression analysis (many texture parameters are correlated to each other). In the lateral side, PCs related to fractal dimensions (in models 2 and 3) explained the most of the variation in both MRI parameters. For example, model 2 (constructed from PCs of FSA parameters in subchondral bone) had the highest coefficient of determination (R2) to explain the variation in T2 relaxation time. Furthermore, PC2 of all bone texture parameters was the most significant predictor of both MRI parameters in the lateral side. That component was strongly associated with FDHor in subchondral bone. These results are not surprising, since, as the correlation analyses suggested, FDHor was associated with MRI parameters in the lateral tibia. It should be noted that the R2-values of the regression models were low. Consistent with the current regression and correlation analyses, previous studies have reported more significant changes in the lateral side than in the medial side when comparing cartilage morphology, composition, or lesions to the bone structure. Only one of the aforementioned studies assessed bone structure from radiographs. In that study, fractal dimension of horizontal structures in the lateral compartment, and fractal dimension of vertical structures with small scales in both medial and lateral compartments, were significantly higher among subjects with cartilage defects (in medial, lateral, or both compartments) compared to subjects without defects detected with MRI. Another one of the previously mentioned studies reported that MRI parameters related to cartilage composition (T1p and T2) correlated negatively with MRI-based apparent bone volume fraction, trabecular thickness, and trabecular number and positively with apparent trabecular separation in the lateral side among subjects with mild OA and controls.

The relation between subchondral bone structure and composition of cartilage was not very strong and perfectly linear, which
was expected. One plausible reason for the relatively low correlations is that OA is a heterogeneous disease and likely has different origins. The selection of subjects with different OA phenotypes is challenging and it is probable that many phenotypes were mixed in our sample. Furthermore, it may be that not all tissues are affected in the early stage of the disease which may affect the correlation levels. Moreover, we investigated different tissues, i.e., cartilage using MRI and bone using radiographs, but the interplay between subchondral bone and articular cartilage is not clear yet. Estimation of the clinical significance of the results is challenging. Although statistically significant correlations between MRI-based cartilage composition and radiograph-based subchondral bone structure were observed, more detailed studies with carefully selected subjects (e.g., subjects that have or are at risk of developing bone changes) and imaging modalities are warranted in order to assess clinical relevance of the results and to further understand how and which factors affect the changes in the cartilage and subchondral bone.

This study contains certain limitations. Because of the cross-sectional study design, the causality of the tissue changes remains to be studied. For example, it was not possible to determine whether the changes in the cartilage composition induce the alteration in the subchondral bone structure or vice versa. One issue related to radiographs is that they are 2-D projection images of an object. However, 2-D radiograph-based bone density and structure have been shown to be significantly related with the actual 3-D structure of bone\(^\text{33,35–38}\). One limitation in the MRI-based methods is that they were done only for the single slice. It is possible for example that there were more degenerative changes outside the analyzed slice potentially reducing the level of correlation between cartilage and subchondral bone. Furthermore, although the dGEMRIC is regarded one of the best methods for the indirect measurement of the glycosaminoglycan content of the cartilage\(^\text{39}\), the specificity of the method to glycosaminoglycan has been questioned\(^\text{39–41}\). However, the dGEMRIC method has been reported to detect degenerative changes of cartilage sensitively\(^\text{14}\). There are multiple comparisons in this study, and the results have to be viewed with certain provisos. However, we decided not to adjust for multiple testing\(^\text{40}\).

In general, the results of the present study support the presumption that several tissues are affected in the early OA. The finding that cartilage composition and subchondral bone structure were related to each other shows that the detailed analysis of radiographs may serve as a complementary imaging tool for OA studies.

**Authors' contribution**
Conception and design: JH, MN, SS; acquisition of data: JH, JM, AH; analysis and interpretation of the data: all authors; drafting of the article: JH, SS; critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: all authors; final approval of the article: all authors.

**Conflict of interest**
The authors report no conflicts of interests.

**Role of the funding source**
The research leading to these results has received funding from Academy of Finland (projects 308165 and 268378), the Päiviikki and Sakari Sohlberg Foundation, the Radiological Society of Finland, the Orion Corporation Research Foundation, the Sigrid Juselius Foundation, and European Research Council under the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007–2013)/ERC Grant Agreement no. 336267. The funding sources had no role in the study design, data collection or analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Supplementary data**
Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2017.09.008.

**References**


