

**This is an electronic reprint of the original article.  
This reprint *may differ* from the original in pagination and typographic detail.**

**Author(s):** Rintala, Aki; Hakala, Sanna; Paltamaa, Jaana; Heinonen, Ari; Karvanen, Juha; Sjögren, Tuulikki

**Title:** Effectiveness of technology-based distance physical rehabilitation interventions on physical activity and walking in multiple sclerosis : a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

**Year:** 2017

**Version:**

**Please cite the original version:**

Rintala, A., Hakala, S., Paltamaa, J., Heinonen, A., Karvanen, J., & Sjögren, T. (2017). Effectiveness of technology-based distance physical rehabilitation interventions on physical activity and walking in multiple sclerosis : a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 40 (4), 373-387. doi:10.1080/09638288.2016.1260649

All material supplied via JYX is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Study or Subgroup	Experimental			Control			Weight	Std. Mean Difference		Year	Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total		IV, Random, 95% CI	Year		
<b>1.3.1 Internet and the use of pedometer</b>											
Sandroff et al. 2014, IPAQ (0-117)	29,7	20,7	37	19,3	17	39	19,6%	0,54	[0,09, 1,00]	2014	
Dlugonski et al. 2012, GLTEQ (MET/min/wk)	28,2	15,6	22	15,4	13,9	23	11,0%	0,85	[0,24, 1,47]	2012	
Motl et al. 2011, GLTEQ (0-119)	24,7	18,8	23	12,4	14,2	25	12,0%	0,73	[0,14, 1,32]	2011	
<b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b>			<b>82</b>			<b>87</b>	<b>42,6%</b>	<b>0,68</b>	<b>[0,37, 0,99]</b>		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0,00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0,66, df = 2 (P = 0,72); I <sup>2</sup> = 0%											
Test for overall effect: Z = 4,26 (P < 0,0001)											
<b>1.3.2 Telephone alone, or with telehealth monitoring or pedometer</b>											
Turner et al. 2016, GLTEQ (MET/min/wk)	31,1	17,5	30	15,4	17,5	33	15,3%	0,89	[0,37, 1,41]	2016	
Suh et al. 2015, GLTEQ (0-119)	27,4	20,6	34	20,3	21,9	34	18,0%	0,33	[-0,15, 0,81]	2015	
Bombardier et al. 2013, 7-Day PAR (kcal/kg/wk)	228,5	9,9	44	224,4	9,2	48	24,1%	0,43	[0,01, 0,84]	2013	
<b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b>			<b>108</b>			<b>115</b>	<b>57,4%</b>	<b>0,53</b>	<b>[0,21, 0,84]</b>		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0,02; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2,71, df = 2 (P = 0,26); I <sup>2</sup> = 26%											
Test for overall effect: Z = 3,28 (P = 0,001)											
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			<b>190</b>			<b>202</b>	<b>100,0%</b>	<b>0,59</b>	<b>[0,38, 0,79]</b>		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0,00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3,94, df = 5 (P = 0,56); I <sup>2</sup> = 0%											
Test for overall effect: Z = 5,65 (P < 0,00001)											
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0,45, df = 1 (P = 0,50), I <sup>2</sup> = 0%											

