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There is a strong relationship between economic prosperity and health as well as between muscle strength and health (morbidity and mortality). However, very little research has concomitantly examined economic prosperity and muscular strength in the general population, and no studies have simultaneously examined these factors in a population of adults with intellectual disabilities. This study examined grip strength among adult Special Olympics participants by country economic status. A total of 12,132 (men = 65%) right and left hand grip strength records were available from the Special Olympics International (SOI) FUNFitness database. The 127 countries within the SOI dataset were grouped by economy according to The World Bank’s gross national income per capita as: low-income countries (n = 11), lower middle-income countries (n = 27), upper middle-income countries (n = 38), and high-income countries (n = 51). There was a significant overall effect of country economic status for both males and females for right and left hand grip strength. Although the grip strength of both men and women did not differ between low-income and low-middle income countries, the general trend was to observe greater grip strength with increased economic prosperity among both men and women. However, to advance our knowledge of the importance of muscle strength for persons with intellectual disabilities, research linking grip strength to health outcomes, functional status, and successful participation activities of daily living is needed.
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Introduction

Measured physical fitness is an important predictor of mortality and morbidity (Kodama et al., 2009; Ortega, Silventoinen, Tyntelius, & Rasmussen, 2012). Growing evidence suggests that persons with higher levels of physical fitness have a reduced risk of various chronic conditions (i.e., Type 2 diabetes, stroke, coronary heart disease), and are more physically independent in later life (Brandon, Boyette, Gaasch, & Lloyd, 2000; Brill, Macera, Davis, Blair, & Gordon, 2000; Caruso, Silliman, Demissie, Greenfield, & Wagner, 2000). Research has shown that people with an intellectual disability have generally low physical fitness levels and are consistently less physically fit than their peers without a disability (Fernhall & Pitetti, 2001; Pitetti, Yarmer, & Fernhall, 2001; Pitetti & Yarmer, 2002). Although individuals with disabilities are beginning to have a life expectancy closer to that of the general population, their ageing process usually coincides with poor health, high rates of multi-morbidity, and frailty at an extremely young age (Evenhuis, Hermans, Hilgenkamp, Bastiaanse, & Echteld, 2012). Along with poor physical fitness levels, this may increase the likelihood that persons with intellectual disability will have greater difficulty maintaining their ability to work, participate in their community, and perform self-care activities (Graham & Reid, 2000; Special Olympics Report, 2001), thus resulting in a poorer quality of life.

Muscular strength is an important component of health-related fitness (Faigenbaum, 2016). In particular, higher muscular strength is associated with decreased mortality from all-causes, from cardiovascular disease, and some forms of cancer (Gale, Martyn, Cooper, & Sayer, 2007); as well as lower rates of functional limitations in upper limbs (Kuh et al., 2005). Muscular strength can be measured in a variety of ways (Guadalupe-Grau et al., 2015), however the hand grip strength test is widely used, easy to administer, valid and reliable measure of muscular strength (Cuesta-Vargas & Hilgenkamp, 2015).
Importantly, reduced muscle strength, as measured by hand grip strength, is associated with an increased risk of mortality (Gale et al., 2007; Newman et al., 2006; Ortega et al., 2012) and it is a strong predictor of morbidity and life expectancy (Metter, Talbot, Schrager, & Conwit, 2002). More specifically, poor hand grip strength has been used as a predictive factor for health concerns such as sarcopenia, nutritional status, frailty, and low physical fitness in both the general population (Leong et al., 2015; Roberts et al., 2011) and in persons with intellectual disability (Bastiaanse, Hilgenkamp, Echteld, & Evenhuis, 2012; Evenhuis et al., 2012).

Improving muscular strength has been identified as a health promotion need of people with intellectual disabilities (Taggart & Cousins, 2013), however data on the strength of persons with an intellectual disability is limited. Of the little research done in this area, a study of 1050 adults with an intellectual disability (Hilgenkamp, van Wijck, & Evenhuis, 2012) and a study of 848 older adults with intellectual disabilities (Evenhuis et al., 2012), suggest that adults with an intellectual disability have poor grip strength. Furthermore, similar to the general population (Kuh et al., 2005), adult females with intellectual disabilities have been found to have lower grip strength than their male counterparts (Cuesta-Vargas & Hilgenkamp, 2015).

It is known that there is a strong relationship between economic prosperity and health in the general population (Ruger, Jamison, & Bloom, 2001). Specifically, higher-income countries have been found to have higher levels of physical fitness, when compared with lower-income countries (Theou et al., 2013). In terms of muscular strength, The Prospective Urban-Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study (Leong et al., 2015), a large, longitudinal population study done in 17 countries of varying incomes and sociocultural settings, found that the association between grip strength and a variety of chronic conditions (i.e., myocardial infarction, stroke, diabetes, cancer, pneumonia, etc.) was similar across country-income strata. Little research has been done in terms of economic prosperity and health in persons with intellectual disability. Recently, however, country economic status has been shown to be significantly associated with BMI (Body Mass Index) status of adult Special Olympics International (SOI) participants (Temple, Foley, & Lloyd, 2015). Temple et al. (2015) found that lower economic prosperity was associated with relatively high levels of both underweight and overweight/obesity, while higher levels of prosperity were associated with high levels of overweight/obesity.

In summary, in the general population, hand grip strength is a strong predictor of health status, morbidity, and life expectancy; and grip strength is known to vary with country economic status. Further, among Special Olympics athletes, recent evidence illustrates that country economic status predicts a health outcome (i.e. BMI). However, to date, no studies have concurrently examined country economic status and hand grip strength among adults with intellectual disabilities. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to determine the extent at which country economic status is related to the muscular strength, as measured by hand grip strength, among adult SOI participants.

Method

Data Source

This study was a secondary analysis of grip strength scores of adult SOI participants by country economic status. Data were derived from the SOI FUNFitness database. For the present study, SOI provided participant: sex, age, event, location, delegation (world region and country), and grip strength scores for both right and left hands. Data for this database were collected during free health screenings by volunteer clinicians using established SOI protocols (Special Olympics, 2007) at local, state, national, and international SOI events during the period 2007–2012. A person is eligible to participate in the Special Olympics if he or she has an ID and is at least 8 years of age (Special Olympics, 2012). An individual is considered to have an ID for purposes of determining eligibility for Special Olympics if they meet any of the following requirements: (1) they have a cognitive delay as determined by standardized measures such as an IQ; (2) an agency or professional has determined the person has an ID in accordance with local policies; or (3) the person has functional limitations in both general learning (such as IQ) and in adaptive skills (Special Olympics, 2012). All participants in this study were Special Olympic participants.

Trained assessors (e.g. physiotherapists or physiotherapy students) are responsible for assessing hand-grip during the FUNFitness assessments at Special Olympics events (American Physical Therapy Association for Special Olympics Healthy Athletes, 2007). Athletes are instructed to sit straight up for the test. The test administer then demonstrates how the athlete must keep their body still, upper arm straight at their side, and their elbow must be bent 90 degrees while squeezing the hand-grip dynamometer. When the athlete was ready to begin, the test administer would
say “ready, set, go” and instruct the athlete to, in one motion, squeeze for at least six seconds. Athletes are given three trials per hand, alternating between left and right, commencing with the dominant hand (established by asking which hand is used for eating or writing). The athlete’s highest score for each hand is recorded. Furthermore, after data collection, athletes and family members had the option to meet with the qualified members of FUNFitness in order to go over their results. FUNFitness members provided feedback and recommendations to athletes on how to live a healthier life style (Special Olympics, 2013).

Participants’ delegations were coded according to the World Bank’s criterion for classifying economies (World Bank, 2012). The 127 countries within the SOI dataset were grouped by economy according to gross national income per capita (World Bank, 2012) as low-income countries (n = 11), lower middle-income countries (n = 27), upper middle-income countries (n = 38), and high-income countries (n = 51).

Athletes registered to participate in a Special Olympics games, and/or their guardians, sign a medical release/consent form (Special Olympics, 2007). This form includes consent for the de-identified data to be used for research purpose to report on the health of SOI athletes. Ethics approval for this secondary analysis was provided by the institutional ethics review committee of each author’s university.

Data Cleaning and Analyses
Initially the database from SOI included 18,808 records of 18–65-year-old adults identified as athletes. Data cleaning procedures were as follows: first, identify multiple entries for one individual and delete duplicate or redundant entries (n = 2,747). This was done by filtering data by sex, birthday, country, and name. For individuals who had multiple entries in 1 year and/or entries over multiple years, the last entry for an individual was retained. Second, listwise deletions were used when, sex, age, economic status was missing, or when the individual was identified as a non-athlete (n = 754 deleted). Third, the remaining data were examined and filtered to remove extreme values (n = 3,175 deleted). The values for inclusion were hand grip strength scores between 1.00 kg and the “Excellent” category (top 10th percentile of scores by age and sex) of the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology (2004) reference data. Moreover, of the total sample used, 828 participants had values for only one arm (right hand only n = 399; left hand only n = 529). However, having at least one hand grip strength score (opposed to having both hand’s scores) was deemed acceptable, taking into account the fact that some athletes may be missing an upper limb or have a non-functional limb.

To compare hand grip scores in adult SOI athletes by country economic status a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. Males and females were compared separately. Fisher-Hayter post hoc analyses were conducted on all possible pairwise contrasts. Data were analyzed using Stata/MP version 12 for windows (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results
A total of 12,132 ranging in age from 18–65 years with an average age of 28 years and 8 months (men, n = 7,917) adult SOI hand grip scores were available from 127 countries after data cleaning. Participant’s mean hand grip scores as well as age are presented in Table 1. There was a significant overall effect of country’s economic status for both males and females for right hand grip strength, \(F(3, 7614) = 28.02, p < .001\) and \(F(3, 3981) = 13.85, p < .001\), respectively. As well as left hand grip strength for both males \(F(3, 7670) = 27.79, p < .001\) and females \(F(3, 4055) = 13.30, p < .001\).

Table 2 shows the overall results of the Fisher-Hayter post-hoc tests. This table shows that the grip strength of both men and women did not differ between low-income and low-middle income countries. For women from low-income countries, there was also no difference in grip strength compared to upper-middle income or upper-income countries. Outside of these null findings, the general trend was to observe greater grip strength with increased economic prosperity among both men and women, except when men upper middle-income countries were compared to men in upper-income countries, where the difference was the reverse. Men in upper-income countries had lower grip strength scores than men from upper middle-income countries.

Discussion
The findings show that country economic status was significantly associated with the hand grip scores of SOI participants. Overall, hand grip scores were generally higher among countries of higher economic prosperity. Males highest hand grip scores were in upper middle-income countries, whereas, females scores were highest in upper-income countries. These higher hand grip scores suggest that perhaps
Muscular strength and economic status

Participants from high-income countries have more opportunities to develop their muscular strength. It is likely that lifestyle differences contribute to this variation in grip strength, particularly occupational/vocational engagement and leisure opportunities. Data from the World Health Organization Atlas on Global Resources for Persons with Intellectual Disabilities 2007 (Mercier, Saxena, Lecomte, Cumbrera, & Harnois, 2008) show that levels of sheltered and supported employment, as well as general work training and development, were significantly higher with increasing country income status. For example, the proportion of adults with an intellectual disability receiving work skills training and/or development in low-income countries was 36% in 2007, compared to 53% in low middle-income countries, 76% in upper middle-income countries, and 100% in high-income countries. A majority of volunteer and paid employment performed by individuals with an intellectual disability is manual and these work activities increase physical activity levels compared to non-work time (Temple, Rawlings, Crane, & Stuart-Hill, 2016), it is likely that muscular strength is also being developed through participation in occupational and vocational activities. This proposition is supported by research showing higher levels of muscular strength among industrial workers compared to office workers (Chandra, Ghosh, Iqbal, & Sadhu, 2007). Mercier et al. (2008) also reported higher levels of leisure engagement with higher levels of economic prosperity. Fifty-six percent of individuals with an intellectual disability in low-income countries participated in leisure activities compared to 68% of in middle-income countries, and 100% of individuals with an intellectual disability in high-income countries. Although speculative, we suggest that

Table 1
Participant right and left hand grip score (kg) and age (years) by country economic status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low-income (n = 37)</th>
<th>Lower middle-income (n = 436)</th>
<th>Upper middle-income (n = 2504)</th>
<th>Upper-income (n = 9155)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>39.21</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>36.67</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>32.08</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>32.15</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>23.08</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Mean hand grip score difference (kg) from comparison of country economic status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparisons</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-income vs. lower middle-income</td>
<td>–1.54</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>–3.20</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-income vs. upper middle-income</td>
<td>–14.71*</td>
<td>–0.02</td>
<td>–15.65*</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-income vs. upper-income</td>
<td>–11.25</td>
<td>–2.35</td>
<td>–11.96*</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower middle-income vs. upper middle-income</td>
<td>–13.17*</td>
<td>–4.50*</td>
<td>–12.45*</td>
<td>–5.31*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower middle-income vs. upper-income</td>
<td>–9.71*</td>
<td>–6.82*</td>
<td>–8.76*</td>
<td>–7.17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper middle-income vs. upper-income</td>
<td>3.45*</td>
<td>–2.33*</td>
<td>3.70*</td>
<td>–1.87*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. * = p < .05.
lifestyle activities, rather than sport-specific training, are the likely source of the differences in grip strength seen in this study because all the participants in this study were participating in Special Olympics at the time of screening. A limitation of this study is that the individuals sport was not available, therefore we are unable to compare the grip strength of athletes competing in different events (e.g. power lifting vs. figure skating). Future research to explore the relative contribution of work, leisure, exercise and sport to the development of strength is needed.

When the findings of the present study are compared to international grip strength norms for the general population (Massy-Westropp, Gill, Taylor, Bohannon, & Hill, 2011; Norman, Stobäus, Gonzalez, Schulzke, & Pirlich, 2011), it seems that male Special Olympics participants from low-income and lower middle-income countries have lower grip strength. Whereas the men from the upper middle-income and upper-income countries have grip strength values that are similar to the general population. In addition, the grip strength values for Special Olympics women in all income categories were similar to the general population (Massy-Westropp et al., 2011; Norman et al., 2011). However, like concerns raised regarding the general population (Norman et al., 2011), what level of grip strength is necessary to perform well in activities of daily living (e.g. work and recreation) and optimize health in young adult populations is unclear. However, it is also unknown why women with intellectual disability would have comparable grip strength scores on average compared to the general population of women while men did not. Future research should investigate whether vocational, recreational, sport, and domestic opportunities differ for men and women with intellectual disabilities in different regions of the world, why they might differ and what impact these opportunities have on grip strength and overall health.

Although clinically relevant values of grip strength have not been established for young adults with or without an intellectual disability, grip strength is a strong predictor for a number of negative future health outcomes (Gale et al., 2007; Leong et al., 2015; Newman et al., 2006; Ortega et al., 2012; Roberts et al., 2011). Thus, the findings of this study suggest that men with intellectual disabilities from low-income and lower middle-income countries may be more at risk of adverse outcomes than other male Special Olympics participants.

A limitation of this study is that the economic status of the SOI participants in each country was not assessed. The relationship between economic status and hand grip strength may be evident between countries, but also within a country. Future studies should seek to explore further gradation of socioeconomic status of SOI participants. In addition to socioeconomic status, etiology and level of intellectual disability were not available from the SOI database. Finally, the sample of participants from low-income countries was small compared with the other country income categories in terms of total numbers and the countries represented and this study only included Special Olympics athletes.

**Perspective**

The extant literature is unclear about what levels of grip strength are relevant for health, work, activities of daily living, and participation in sports and recreation. To advance our knowledge of the importance of strength for these outcomes, research linking grip strength to health outcomes, functional status, and successful participation activities of daily living is needed. However, at the individual level, monitoring grip strength among Special Olympics athletes is important. Grip strength is a marker of overall upper extremity strength (Bohannon, 1998) and has been used as a measure of health-related fitness (Tveter, Dagfinrud, Moseng, & Holm, 2014) and to predict the onset of dependency in activities of daily living (Rantanen et al., 2002). Thus monitoring can flag unfavourable changes in strength, and prompt those who live and work with Special Olympics athletes to investigate why the individual’s strength has decreased. Loss of muscle strength may result from a lack of opportunities to be physical active or it might be a sign of an inadequate diet, early aging, or an emerging medical condition (Carmeli, Imam, & Merrick, 2012; Santilli, Berneti, Mangone, & Paoloni, 2014); and any of these explanations require follow-up and treatment or intervention.
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