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In present day experiments at the LHC and at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider, a rich and broad program is ongoing to investigate QCD at finite temperature. The establishment of a hot QCD medium, dubbed as quark-gluon plasma (QGP), has been inferred thanks to the observation of at least two striking signatures: jet quenching and quarkonia suppression. In particular, the latter, which has been proposed for a long time as a probe of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), has been derived at high temperatures (\( T \gg 1/r \gtrsim m_D \)) in Refs. [2–4], further computed in a wider temperature range in an effective field theory framework of QCD in the static limit [5] and for a large but finite heavy-quark mass [6]. The real part of the potential shows at high temperatures Debye screening, which is a source of quarkonium dissociation. The potential has also an imaginary part that stems from two further dissociation mechanisms: Landau damping [7,8] and gluodissociation [9,10].

A complete understanding of quarkonium in a medium has to account for realistic QGP features. Among these is the momentum anisotropy of the thermal medium constituents. Indeed, highly Lorentz contracted nuclei collide along the beam axis, so that the longitudinal expansion of the hot QCD medium is more important than the radial expansion perpendicular to the beam axis (see, e.g., Ref. [11]). At weak coupling, this longitudinal expansion causes the system to quickly become much colder in the longitudinal than in the transverse direction; moreover, the anisotropy can persist for a long time [12–16]. Recently, the properties of an anisotropic QGP have been the subject of several investigations carried out in the framework of viscous hydrodynamics [17–21].

So far, the effect of a local anisotropy on a quark-antiquark bound state has been taken into account via hard thermal loop (HTL) resummation of the gluon self-energy, where a finite momentum anisotropy is assigned to the degrees of freedom entering the loops [22–24]. Numerical solutions of the Schrödinger equation for the bound state show that the anisotropy tends to decrease the effect of Landau damping and thus to increase the quarkonium melting temperature [25,26], whereas analytical estimates are found in Ref. [24].

In this work, we assume the quarkonium to be a Coulombic system, so that its inverse size scales like \( m_\alpha_s \) and its typical binding energy scales like \( m_\alpha_s^2 \), where \( m \) and \( \alpha_s \) are the heavy-quark mass and strong coupling respectively. This is realized when \( m_\alpha_s \) is much larger than the temperature scale (moreover, we consider negligible the effects of the hadronic scale \( \Lambda_{QCD} \)). In particular, we aim to investigate the heavy-quarkonium spectrum when the relevant scales, the nonrelativistic and thermal ones, satisfy the following hierarchy,
and in the presence of a finite momentum anisotropy of the QGP constituents. In a weakly coupled QGP, the Debye mass, \( m_D \), scales like \( m_D \sim gT \) and provides the inverse of an electric screening length. The hierarchy of scales (1) may be relevant for the \( T(15) \), of which the mass, inverse radius and binding energy are respectively \( m \approx 5 \text{ GeV}, \ m_a \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV} \) and \( m_a^2 \approx 0.5 \text{ GeV} \) [27]. In an expanding and then cooling QGP, the regime (1) is met at some point, say for \( T \lesssim 2T_c \approx 0.3 \text{ GeV} \) for bottomonium. Note that this temperature is below the bottomonium melting temperature [28]. In doing so, we partly generalize the study carried out in Ref. [6] for the isotropic case.

Since the quarkonium is assumed to be a Coulombic system, we do not include in the real part of the potential any term to model a (screened) long-range interaction (as done, e.g., in Ref. [26]). Such an inclusion would not be supported by the hierarchy of energy scales (1). The spectrum has also an imaginary part that provides the quarkonium width. In the situation of interest for this work, \( m_a^2 \gg m_D \), gluodissociation is the dominant mechanism producing the thermal width. Such a mechanism has been reinterpreted as and connected to the singlet-to-octet breakup in potential nonrelativistic QCD (pNRQCD) at finite temperature in Ref. [29].

Following a common choice in the literature, we implement a momentum anisotropy via distribution functions (B for Bose-Einstein and F for Fermi-Dirac) that read [17,18]

\[
f^{BF}(q, \xi) = N(\xi) f^{BF}_{\text{iso}}(\sqrt{q^2 + \xi \cdot q \cdot n}), \tag{2}
\]

where \( \xi \) is the anisotropy parameter, \( N(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + \xi^2} \) is a normalization factor that guarantees the same number of particles for the anisotropic and isotropic distribution functions and \( f^{BF}_{\text{iso}}(q) \) is understood to be either a Bose-Einstein or a Fermi-Dirac isotropic distribution for gluons and quarks respectively. Hence, \( f^{BF}(q, \xi) \) is obtained from the corresponding isotropic distribution by removing particles with a large momentum component along the anisotropy direction \( n \), and accordingly \( \xi > 0 \) parametrizes the anisotropy strength. The normalization factor \( N(\xi) \) is often put to 1 in the literature, though its origin and impact have been discussed in Ref. [30]. As far as the present work is concerned, we keep the normalization factor in the following calculations.

The distribution function (2) effectively introduces a temperature, different from \( T \), along the anisotropy direction. In order to deal just with temperatures of the same size along all the momentum directions and to not jeopardize the hierarchy (1), we require the anisotropy parameter to be small: \( \xi \lesssim 1 \).

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we compute the thermal modification of pNRQCD, pNRQCD\textsubscript{HTL}, by integrating out the scale \( \pi T \) in the presence of a momentum anisotropy. At this stage and at our accuracy, thermal effects are encoded in the singlet potential. In Sec. III, we compute in pNRQCD\textsubscript{HTL} the temperature-dependent real and imaginary parts of the quarkonium spectrum. The latter corresponds to the quarkonium thermal width. A conclusion and discussion are found in Sec. IV.
temperature and the binding energy. Integrating over the momentum region scaling like the temperature amounts at matching pNRQCD to another effective field theory, dubbed pNRQCDHTL in Refs. [5,6], where only modes with energy and momentum smaller than $\pi T$ are dynamical. Thermal contributions are then encoded in the color-singlet potential of pNRQCDHTL. We will consider integrating over the momentum region scaling like the binding energy in the next section.

The leading thermal contribution to the color-singlet potential comes from the self-energy diagram in Fig. 1, where the loop momentum is set to be $q \sim \pi T$. By using the vertices and propagators of the pNRQCD Lagrangian, we obtain

$$\langle \Omega | TS(t, r, R) S^q(0, 0, 0) | \Omega \rangle = -4\pi C_F \int_p e^{-i P d + i P R} \langle r | \frac{i}{P_0 - h_s + i e} r_i I_{ij} r_j \frac{i}{P_0 - h_s + i e} | 0 \rangle,$$

(5)

where $T$ stands for time ordering, $\int_p \equiv \int d^4 P/(2\pi)^4$, $P^\mu = (P^0, \mathbf{P})$ and $| \Omega \rangle$ is the ground state of the theory. The thermal part of the self-energy loop integral, $I_{ij}$, is given by

$$I_{ij} = \int_q \frac{i (q_0)^2 2\pi \delta(q^2)}{P_0 - q_0 - h_s + i e} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{q_i q_j}{|q|^2} \right) f^B(q, \xi).$$

(6)

We have to separate terms that go into the wave-function renormalization from those that go into the color-singlet potential of pNRQCDHTL. To this end, we rewrite $P^0 - h_s = P_0^0 - h_s - \Delta V$, where $\Delta V = (h_0 - h_s) = (N_c \alpha_s)/(2r) + \ldots$, and, due to the condition $q \sim \pi T$ that sets the loop momentum to be much larger than the energy of the heavy quark-antiquark pair, we expand the octet propagator in (6). After dropping terms that go into the wavefunction renormalization, the part of $r_i I_{ij} r_j$ that contributes to the color-singlet potential of pNRQCDHTL reads

$$r_i I_{ij} r_j \text{cont to } V = i \left( \frac{2}{m^2 + \Delta V r^2} \right) \int_q 2\pi \delta(q^2) f^B(q, \xi)$$

$$- i (\Delta V r_i r_j) \int_q 2\pi \delta(q^2) \frac{q_i q_j}{|q|^2} f^B(q, \xi).$$

(7)

To match onto pNRQCDHTL, we compute the correlator $\langle \Omega | TS(t, r, R) S^q(0, 0, 0) | \Omega \rangle$ in pNRQCDHTL and require this expression to be equal to (5). The color-singlet potential of pNRQCDHTL turns out to be the same as in pNRQCD plus a thermal correction $\delta V_s$ that reads

$$\delta V_s = - i 4\pi C_F r_i I_{ij} r_j \text{cont to } V, $$

(8)

The integral can be easily evaluated, and the final result for the anisotropic potential at finite temperature is

$$\delta V_s = \frac{2\pi C_F T^2}{3m^2} F_1(\xi) + \frac{\pi C_F N_c T^2 r}{12} F_2(\xi)$$

$$+ \frac{\pi C_F N_c T^2 (r \cdot n)^2}{12 r} F_3(\xi),$$

(9)

where the definitions of the functions embedding the anisotropic parameter are

$$F_1(\xi) = N(\xi) \frac{\arctan \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}},$$

(10)

$$F_2(\xi) = N(\xi) \left( \frac{\arctan \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} + 1 - \frac{\arctan \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x} \xi \sqrt{x}} \right),$$

(11)

$$F_3(\xi) = N(\xi) \left( \frac{\arctan \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} - \frac{3}{\sqrt{x}} + \frac{3 \arctan \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x} \xi \sqrt{x}} \right).$$

We comment briefly about the result: first, at this order, no imaginary part, and hence no thermal width, arises; second, for $\xi \to 0$, the result in (9) agrees with the isotropic case derived in Ref. [6]. Finally, we notice that the term in the second line in (9) is of order $\xi$ when expanding for a small anisotropy parameter, signaling that its origin is entirely due to the breaking of the spherical symmetry of the parton momentum distribution.

III. THERMAL CORRECTIONS TO THE SPECTRUM

In our setting, the next relevant scale after the temperature is the quarkonium binding energy. The process we are looking at is again a singlet-to-octet transition, however, with energy and momenta scaling like $m \alpha_s^2$ rather than $\pi T$. This contribution is not part of the potential but comes as a low-energy correction to the spectrum of pNRQCDHTL. It may be computed at leading order from the one-loop diagram in Fig. 1, where now, however, the typical loop momentum is selected to be of order $m \alpha_s^2$. To ensure that we are computing only contributions from the momentum region $q \sim m \alpha_s^2 \ll \pi T$, we need to expand the anisotropic distribution function.
where $\lambda = q \cdot n/|q|$ is the cosine of the angle between the gluon momentum and the anisotropy direction. We keep only the leading term in the $|q|/T$ expansion. Different from the calculation in Sec. II, we cannot expand the octet potential entering $m$ with quantum numbers $\delta_r$ contributes to the imaginary part of $\delta_r$. Hence, the means that there is no contribution coming from the momentum region $q \sim m\sigma^2$ to the self-energy diagram in Fig. 1 reads

$$\delta \Sigma = -i 4\pi \alpha_s C_F r_i I_{ij} r_j |_{q-m\sigma^2},$$

where

$$r_i I_{ij} r_j |_{q-m\sigma^2} = \text{Tr}_i \int_q \frac{i(q_0)^2 2\pi \delta(q^2)}{P_0 - q_0 - h_n + ie} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{q_i q_j}{|q|^2} \right) \times \frac{r_j}{|q|\sqrt{1 + \xi q^2}}.$$  

The integral (15) has a vanishing imaginary part. This means that there is no contribution coming from $\delta \Sigma$, as defined in (14), to the real part of the spectrum. Hence, the thermal shift in the binding energy is entirely due to the shift in the singlet potential, $\delta V_s$, computed previously in (9). We can write it as

$$\delta E_{\text{bind}} = \langle nlm | \delta V_s | nlm \rangle,$$

where $|nlm\rangle$ are eigenstates of the singlet Hamiltonian $h_s$, with quantum numbers $n, l$ (orbital angular momentum) and $m$ (orbital angular momentum along the $z$ direction). Since, according to our hierarchy of energy scales, the potential entering $h_s$ is the Coulomb potential, the states $|nlm\rangle$ are just Coulombic bound states. At leading accuracy, $\delta E_{\text{bind}}$ then reads

$$\delta E_{\text{bind}} = 2\pi \alpha_s C_F T^2 \frac{2}{3m} \mathcal{F}_1(\xi) + \frac{\pi \alpha_s N_c T^2}{12m} [3n^2 - l(l + 1)] \left( \mathcal{F}_2(\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{F}_3(\xi)}{3} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{F}_3(\xi) \mathcal{C}^{200}_0 \mathcal{C}^{20m}_0,$$  

where the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are understood with the notation $C^{JM}_{J_1J_2m_1m_2} = 0$ if $J > J_1 + J_2$ or $J < |J_1 - J_2|$.  

The integral (15) has a nonvanishing real part that contributes to the imaginary part of $\delta \Sigma$. The imaginary part of the self-energy gives rise to a thermal width, calculated previously in (17) and reads

$$\Gamma = -2 \langle nlm | \text{Im}(\delta \Sigma) | nlm \rangle$$

$$= 8\pi^2 \alpha_s C_F T \langle nlm | r_i \int_q \frac{i(E_n + q_0 - h_n)q_0^2}{|q|\sqrt{1 + \xi q^2}} \times \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{q_i q_j}{|q|^2} \right) (2\pi \delta(q^2) r_j) nlm \rangle,$$

where $E_n = -m(C_F \alpha_s^2)/(4n^2)$ is the energy of the bound state. The final result reads

$$\Gamma = \frac{4}{3} \alpha_s^2 T \left( \frac{C_F N_c^2}{4} + \frac{C_I^2 N_c}{n^2} + \frac{C_J^2}{n^2} \right) \mathcal{G}_1(\xi) + \alpha_s^2 T \left( \frac{C_F N_c^2}{4} - \frac{C_I^2 N_c}{2n^2} + \frac{C_J^2}{n^2} \right) \mathcal{G}_2(\xi) C^{00}_0 \mathcal{C}^{20m},$$

where the anisotropy functions are in this case

$$\mathcal{G}_1(\xi) = N(\xi) \frac{\arcsinh(\sqrt{\xi})}{\sqrt{\xi}},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_2(\xi) = N(\xi) (1 + 2\xi/3) \arcsinh(\sqrt{\xi}) - \sqrt{\xi}(1 + \xi).$$

The appearance of a thermal width follows from the fact that the singlet-to-octet transition becomes a real process if the emitted gluon has an energy of the order of the binding energy.

The limit $\xi \to 0$ corresponds to the isotropic case. For $\xi \to 0$, we have that $\mathcal{F}_1(\xi) \to 1$, $\mathcal{F}_2(\xi) \to 4/3$, $\mathcal{G}_1(\xi) \to 1$, whereas both $\mathcal{F}_3(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{G}_2(\xi)$ vanish linearly in $\xi$. In this limit both the binding energy (17) and the thermal width (19) reduce to previously known expressions found in Ref. [6].

Table I shows some benchmark values of the anisotropy functions.

---

Note that the self-energy diagram of Fig. 1 contains only a tree-level gluon propagator. In the simple case of a tree-level gluon propagator, the relations between real time, advanced and retarded propagators are the same as in Ref. [6] also in the presence of a modified distribution function. For hard-thermal-loop resummed propagators, needed to compute corrections of higher order than those considered in this paper, these relations get replaced by similar ones [36].
IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In an early stage, heavy-ion collisions are characterized by parton momentum anisotropies. Accordingly, the evolution of the fireball is described in terms of viscous and anisotropic hydrodynamical models. Because hard probes, like heavy quarkonia, get formed in such an early stage of the heavy-ion collisions and experience the medium until late times, their dynamics has to account for an anisotropic momentum distribution of the QGP constituents. In this paper, we have derived for the hierarchy of scales and at leading order the real and imaginary thermal parts of the quarkonium spectrum in an anisotropic QGP. The imaginary part originates from the quarkonium gluodissociation in the medium. Our result complements previous studies for an anisotropic plasma where the real and imaginary parts of the quark-antiquark potential were obtained for a temperature scale larger than the inverse radius of the bound state. In doing so, we extend the knowledge of a weakly coupled quarkonium to temperature ranges that may be reached during the QGP evolution at present day colliders.

The real thermal part of the spectrum comes from thermal corrections to the potential defined in the context of pNRQCD_HTL. They are encoded in the self-energy diagram of Fig. 1 evaluated at the temperature scale. The result is given in (9). Thermal corrections to the potential are proportional to the square of the temperature and, as discussed elsewhere, do not show Debye screening [5,6]. The corresponding expectation value provides the real part of the thermal corrections to the binding energy. They are given in (17). In Fig. 2, we show the
binding-energy shift for a $1S$ bottomonium state in the isotropic case, $\xi = 0$, and in the case of a finite momentum anisotropy, $\xi = 0.5$ and $\xi = 1$. We see that the impact of an anisotropic plasma crucially depends on the normalization factor, either $N(\xi) = 1$ or $N(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + \xi}$, respectively shown in dashed and solid lines. For $N(\xi) = 1$, the anisotropy reduces the thermal correction to the binding energy, whereas for $N(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + \xi}$, it increases it.

The computation of the spectrum in pNRQCDHTL leads also to an imaginary part coming from the self-energy diagram of Fig. 1 evaluated at the binding-energy scale. The imaginary part may be understood as a thermal width, the explicit expression of which is in (19). In Fig. 3, we show the thermal width for a $1S$ bottomonium state in the isotropic case, $\xi = 0$, and in the case of a finite momentum anisotropy, $\xi = 0.5$ and $\xi = 1$. Also, here, the size and sign of the thermal corrections strongly depend on the normalization factor, either $N(\xi) = 1$ or $N(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + \xi}$, respectively shown in dashed and solid lines. Although the dependence on the anisotropy is qualitatively similar in the binding energy and thermal width, we find that the effect of the anisotropy is more important for the binding energy with respect to the thermal width when $N(\xi) = 1$ (see the dashed lines in Fig. 4), whereas the opposite is true when $N(\xi) = \sqrt{1 + \xi}$ (see the solid lines in Fig. 4).

Finally, we comment on the effect of an anisotropic QGP on the bound-state polarization. In Fig. 5, we show the differences between the thermal corrections to the widths of $1P$ ($n = 2$, $l = 1$) and $2S$ ($n = 2$, $l = 0$) bottomonium states and the $2S$-state thermal width, respectively second and first lines in (19), as a function of $\xi$. The blue (lower) line refers to the $m = \pm 1$ states, whereas the green (upper) line refers to the $m = 0$ one.

that for small anisotropies the effect of an anisotropic QGP on the bound-state polarization is tiny and possibly phenomenologically irrelevant.
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