Iron and pH Regulating the Photochemical Mineralization of Dissolved Organic Carbon
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ABSTRACT: Solar radiation mineralizes dissolved organic matter (DOM) to dissolved inorganic carbon through photochemical reactions (DIC photoproduction) that are influenced by iron (Fe) and pH. This study addressed as to what extent Fe contributes to the optical properties of the chromophoric DOM (CDOM) and DIC photoproduction at different pH values. We created the associations of Fe and DOM (Fe-DOM) that cover the range of loadings of Fe on DOM and pH values found in freshwaters. The introduced Fe enhanced the light absorption by CDOM independent of pH. Simulated solar irradiation decreased the light absorption by CDOM (i.e., caused photobleaching). Fe raised the rate of photobleaching and steepened the spectral slopes of CDOM in low pH but resisted the slope steepening in neutral to alkaline pH. The combination of a low pH (down to pH 4) and high Fe loading on DOM (up to 3.5 μmol mg DOM⁻¹) increased the DIC photoproduction rate and the apparent quantum yields for DIC photoproduction up to 7-fold compared to the corresponding experiments at pH >6 or without Fe. The action spectrum for DIC photoproduction shifted toward the visible spectrum range at low pH in the presence of Fe. Our results demonstrated that Fe can contribute to DIC photoproduction by up to 86% and produce DIC even at the visible spectrum range in acidic waters. However, the stimulatory effect of Fe is negligible at pH >7.

INTRODUCTION

Solar radiation photochemically mineralizes 13−35 Tg C yr⁻¹ of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) to dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in lakes and reservoirs.¹ Iron (Fe) increases the light absorption by chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), and therefore influences DIC photoproduction indirectly through changes in the optical properties of CDOM. Solar radiation can mineralize Fe(III)-polycarboxylate complexes of DOM to DIC (Figure S1).² Irradiation of Fe can generate reactive oxygen species that mineralize DOM (Figure S1, red circles).³⁴ The recent increases in Fe concentration ([Fe]) and DOC as well as in pH³⁵−⁴⁴ emphasize the need to understand the impact of pH and [Fe] on the optical properties of CDOM and DIC photoproduction in freshwaters.

In many surface waters with pH 4−9, ferric iron exists primarily as complexes with DOM and as colloids of iron(oxy)hydroxide stabilized by DOM.¹⁵−¹⁹ The colloids stabilized by DOM resist gravitoidal settling, pass filters (e.g., 0.45 μm), and are difficult to separate from Fe complexes by DOM.¹⁶,¹⁹,¹⁸,²⁰ In this study, the Fe associated with DOM is abbreviated to Fe-DOM, which includes both the Fe complexes of DOM and the iron(oxy)hydroxide colloids stabilized by DOM.

The importance of Fe stimulus for DIC photoproduction in natural waters has been examined mostly with two approaches: (1) relating DIC photoproduction to water quality parameters and (2) introducing strong complexing ligands for Fe into natural water samples. The first approach has shown that [Fe] and acidity correlate positively with DIC photoproduction.²¹−²³ According to the second approach, the complexing ligands for Fe reduce DIC photoproduction rates (DICprs).²³ Both approaches show that [Fe] and acidity play important roles in DIC photoproduction. The quantitative role of Fe on DIC photoproduction remains unclear because the photoreactivity of DOM in natural waters can vary even without Fe and the introduced complexing ligands may potentially interfere with DIC photoproduction.

The DICpr depends on the intensity of irradiation and optical properties of natural waters. Fe(III) enhances light absorption of CDOM, particularly at the longer wavelengths of
the visible spectrum range.\textsuperscript{25,26} DIC photoproduction and the photoreduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) increase exponentially with shorter wavelengths of irradiance,\textsuperscript{27–29} indicating that Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction has strong spectral dependence. Photon flux densities of solar radiation increase from low values at the UV range to the maximum of the visible spectrum range. The light absorption by CDOM and the photochemical reactivity of DOM are all spectrally dependent due to solar radiation, and spectral dependence should be accounted for the Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction.

This study quantified the impact of [Fe] and pH on the optical properties of CDOM, the light-induced changes in optical properties, DICprs, and the spectral-apparent quantum yields for DIC photoproduction (\(\phi_{\lambda}\)). To generate the associations between Fe(III) and DOM (Fe-DOM), the same concentration (10 mg DOM L\(^{-1}\)) of Fe-free DOM isolate from lake water received Fe(III) at different concentrations. Our experiments covered 20 combinations of pH and [Fe], where the pH ranged from 4 to 9.4 and the Fe loadings on DOM were from 0.004 to 3.5 \(\mu\)mol Fe mg DOM\(^{-1}\). Competing multiple regression models were used to find the most parsimonious model that was able to explain the effect of pH and [Fe] on the optical properties of CDOM and DIC photoproduction. On the basis of the modeling, we estimated the magnitude of the Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction. Determination of \(\phi_{\lambda}\) allowed us to evaluate the spectral dependence of DIC photoproduction and showed that Fe shifted the action spectrum of DIC photoproduction towards the visible spectrum range.

\section*{RESULTS}

\textbf{Dependence of Optical Properties on pH and [Fe].} When the same DOM concentration (10 mg DOM L\(^{-1}\)) was associated with the different Fe concentrations and adjusted to pH values ranging from 4 to 9.4 (Table S2), the absorption coefficient of CDOM at 330 nm (\(a_{330}\)) increased with the rising [Fe] (Figure 1a; "Init." in Table S5). The dependence of \(a_{330}\) on [Fe] and pH (expressed as the concentration of hydrogen ion, \([H^+] = 10^{-pH}\) in the models) was analyzed with eight competing regression models (Table S8). The \(a_{330, \text{Dark}}\) was significantly dependent on [Fe] in all models that included [Fe] as a separate predictor variable (shown as bold regression coefficients \(b^1\) for the models 2, 4, 6, and 8 in Table S8). In the model 7 without [Fe] as a separate predictor variable, \(a_{330, \text{Dark}}\) was significantly dependent on pH (term \([H^+]\), coefficient \(b^2\)) and the interaction between pH and [Fe] (term [Fe] \([H^+]\), coefficient \(b^3\); Table S8). Among the models containing only significant terms (models 1, 2, and 7 in Table S8), the model 2 had the lowest value of AICc (110 in Table S8). Therefore, the model 2 (marked with * in Table S8) was selected to explain the simplest significant dependence of \(a_{330, \text{Dark}}\) on the predictor variables. According to the best model 2 (Table S8), \(a_{330, \text{Dark}}\) was significantly dependent on [Fe] alone. The model 2 (Table S8) is illustrated as a surface in Figure 1a together with color-coded dots that show \(a_{330, \text{Dark}}\) in the 20 experiments (Table S5). In a similar manner, text in the later results section refers to the significant dependencies of the most parsimonious models marked with * in Tables S8–S18, which are also illustrated as surfaces in Figures 1–3.

The Fe associated with DOM increased the absorption coefficient of CDOM at different wavelengths unequally because the spectral slope coefficients (\(S_{275-285}\), \(S_{330-400}\)) decreased with increasing [Fe] associated to DOM (Table S6). The value of \(S_{275-285}\), which was seen as a decrease in the slope ratio (\(S_{\text{Init.}}\)) (Figure 1b, Table S6). The changes in \(S_{275-285}\) (model 2 in Table S9) and \(S_{\text{R}}\) (model 2 in Table S10) depended only on [Fe], as illustrated for \(S_{\text{R}}\) (Figure 1b). Therefore, the changes in optical properties of CDOM depended only on the introduced [Fe] and not on pH adjustment (Tables S9–S11, Figure 1).

Simulated solar irradiation decreased \(a_{330}\) (i.e., caused photobleaching) and increased \(S_{275-285}\) and \(S_{\text{R}}\) values (Tables S5 and S6). The photobleaching of \(a_{330}\) (\(\Delta a_{330}\)) and photochemistry-induced change in \(S_{275-285}\) (\(\Delta S_{275-285}\)) and in \(S_{\text{R}}\) (\(\Delta S_{\text{R}}\)) depended positively on the interaction between [Fe] and acidity (Figure 2a,b; model 5 in Table S11, models 6 in Tables S12 and S13). \(\Delta S_{275-285}\) and \(\Delta S_{\text{R}}\) were additionally negatively dependent on [Fe] (Figure 2b; models 6 in Tables S12 and S13).

\textbf{Rate of DIC Photoproduction at Different pH and [Fe].} Irradiation produced DIC at rates ranging from 1.72 to 12.08...
mu mol C L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ (Table S7). Because each experiment contained an identical concentration (10 mg DOM L$^{-1}$) of the same solid-phase extracted DOM (SPE-DOM), the up to 7-fold differences in the rate of DIC photoproduction were caused by the experimental adjustments of pH and [Fe]. According to the best model, DICpr was dependent on the interaction between pH and [Fe]. (Figure 2c; model S in Table S14).

**Influence of Fe and pH on $\phi_2$**. The calculations of $\phi_2$ (details in Supporting Information) accounted for the light absorption by the introduced Fe (Figure 1) and photobleaching of CDOM during the irradiations (Figure 2). The $\phi_2$ values are reported in Table S7 with two parameters: $c$, the apparent quantum yields at wavelength 0 nm ($\phi_0$) and $d$, the spectral slope coefficient of $\phi_2$ (eq 2). These two parameters allow the calculation of $\phi_2$ spectrally, as shown in Figure S5 or at a specific wavelength (e.g., 330 nm; $\Delta_{330}$, Table S7), which approximates the median wavelength ($\lambda_{50%}$) that induced DIC photoproduction during the irradiations.

Both $\Delta_{330}$ and $c$ were positively dependent on the interaction between [Fe] and acidity (Figure 3a,b; models S in Tables S15 and S16) similar to that found for the rate of DIC photoproduction (Figure 2c; model S Table S14). The spectral slope coefficient of $\phi_2$, $d$, had a similar but negative dependence on the interaction between [Fe] and acidity (Figure 3c, model S in Table S17). The rise in $c$ indicated a general increase in $\phi_2$ at any wavelength, but the decrease in $d$ meant that $\phi_2$ increased relatively more at longer wavelengths.

To demonstrate the role of [Fe] on DIC photoproduction at different pH values, we calculated the relative contribution of Fe-stimulated $\phi_{330}$ ($\phi_{330,Fe}$) to the total $\phi_{330}$ ($\phi_{330,total}$) for a few selected [Fe] (Figure 4). When [Fe] was $>$ 10 $\mu$M, Fe-stimulated reactions dominated DIC photoproduction at pH 4, but their contribution fell to a few percent at pH 6 and was negligible at pH $>$ 7 (Figure 4).

Figure 5 compares the largest $\phi_2$ at pH 4 with 18 $\mu$M [Fe] (experiment #11) to the $\phi_2$ without the introduced Fe at a similar pH (#1; Table S7). The Fe-stimulated $\phi_2$ is calculated as the difference in $\phi_2$ between experiment #11 and #1 (#11–#1, Figure 5, red dotted line). The spectral slope coefficient for $\phi_2$ was smaller for the Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction ($d = 0.020$ nm$^{-1}$, Figure 5, red dotted line) than for the corresponding experiment without the introduced Fe ($d = 0.025$ nm$^{-1}$ in #1, Figure 5, blue dotted line).

To illustrate the potential environmental impact of Fe on DIC photoproduction in acidic waters (pH $\approx$ 4), we calculated the action spectra for DIC photoproduction per m$^2$ using a typical daily solar radiation spectrum and the $\phi_2$ values reported in Figure 5 (Figure 6). The rate of DIC photoproduction calculated as an integral over 300–700 nm was 24–56 $\mu$mol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ in the presence of 18 $\mu$M [Fe] and 5.8 times larger than 424 $\mu$mol C m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ in the presence of negligible 0.04 $\mu$M [Fe] (Figure 6). Fe shifted the action spectrum toward the visible spectrum range and caused Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction even at wavelengths $>$ 500 nm (Figure 6). At wavelengths $>$ 500 nm, Fe stimulus is nearly entirely responsible for DIC photoproduction (Figure 6). The median wavelength for DIC photoproduction ($\lambda_{50%}$) shifted by 20 nm from 378 (#1) to 398 nm (#11; Figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

This study systematically examined the combined impact of pH and introduced Fe(III) onto the optical properties of CDOM, the rates of photo-reactions (photobleaching, DIC photoproduction), and the spectral photochemical reactivity ($\phi_2$) of a natural Fe-free isolate of aquatic DOM. Our major findings (summarized in Table 1) imply that Fe can have a strong effect.
on the optical properties and photochemistry of DOM, but the effect is often regulated by pH.

**Impact of Fe and pH on Optical Properties.** As expected for the DOM isolated from a humic lake, its low $S_R (\approx 0.7)$ is a characteristic of a terrestrial DOM that has entered the aquatic
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**Figure 3.** Impact of [Fe] and pH on the apparent quantum yields spectra for DIC photoproduction, $\phi_3$ values (a) at 330 nm, $\phi_{330}$ (b) $c$ of eq 2; and (c) spectral slope coefficient of $\phi_3$ (=$d$ of eq 2). Dots indicate experimental data (Table S7), and the surfaces show the fit of the best model on data (models 5 in Tables S14–S16). Please note that in (c) the orientation of the three-dimensional cube is different.

![Figure 4](image4)

**Figure 4.** Contribution of Fe to the apparent quantum yields for DIC photoproduction at 330 nm ($\phi_{330,\text{Fe}}/\phi_{330,\text{total}}$) at the selected [Fe], along a pH gradient. The ratio $\phi_{330,\text{Fe}}/\phi_{330,\text{total}}$ is calculated from model 5 in Table S15 (also shown as a surface in Figure 3a). According to model 5, $\phi_{330,\text{Fe}} = \phi_{330,\text{total}} - 3.76 \times 10^{-4} (\text{mol C mol photons}^{-1})$, where the intercept refers to a pH-independent $\phi_{330}$ without Fe. The concentration of DOM was always 10 mg DOM L$^{-1}$, therefore, e.g., 35 μM Fe refers to a loading of 3.5 μmol Fe mg DOM$^{-1}$.

![Figure 5](image5)

**Figure 5.** $\phi_{330}$ in acidic (pH $\approx$ 4) experiments #1 (0.04 μM [Fe]) and #11 (18 μM [Fe]) as well as the Fe-stimulated $\phi_3$ calculated as a difference in $\phi_3$ values between #11 and #1. $c$ and $d$ are reported in Table S7 for #1 and #11. For the Fe-stimulated $\phi_3$ (#11–#1), $c = 0.934$ mol C mol photons$^{-1}$ and $d = 0.0200$ nm$^{-1}$. The same $\phi_3$s are shown in (a) linear and (b) in logarithmic scales.
regime recently.38 Our experimental Fe introduction demonstrated that the association of Fe(III) with DOM decreases $S_{750-295}$ more than $S_{500-400}$ when independent of pH and causes a decline in $S_a$ in agreement with earlier studies.25,26 These observations suggest that Fe(III) associated with DOM contributes to a high $a_2$ and low $S_a$ that is related to the fresh input of terrestrial CDOM into the aquatic regime.25,38

In this study, $a_2$ increases linearly along the concentration of Fe(III) associated with DOM, consistent with the previous findings.25,26,42 The rise in $a_2$ caused by Fe(III) is pH-independent according to this (pH 4–9.4) and an earlier study (pH 2–7).26 Some studies have reported an increase in $a_2$ with pH,12–14 but for waters rich in Fe, this pH effect is smaller than the contribution of Fe(III) to $a_2$ (this study).26,42 For instance, 35 $\mu$M Fe doubled $a_2$ and contributed about 50% to the light absorption by Fe(III)-DOM (Table S5). Similar or even higher contributions of Fe to the light absorption by CDOM have been reported in rivers33 or springs fed by groundwater.25

Irradiation decreases (i.e., photobleaches) $a_2$ and increases $S_R$, according to this and earlier studies.6,38 In our experiments, these changes in the optical properties of CDOM took place both in the presence and absence of Fe, although to a different degree, depending on the combination of [Fe] and pH. In this study, Fe-stimulated photobleaching increased $S_R$ and $S_{750-295}$ at low pH but resisted these changes in the spectral slopes at high pH (Figure 2a,b; Tables S12 and S13). The stimulatory effect of [Fe] and acidity may be partly related to photo-produced Fe(II), which absorbs light weakly and oxidizes slowly to highly absorbing Fe(III) at low pH.26,45 Additionally, the same combination (high Fe(III) and low pH) stimulates photoproduction of HO*, which can attack organic chromophores and enhance their photobleaching.46–48 At high pH, photoproduced Fe(II) is oxidized rapidly back to highly absorbing Fe(III) and the photobleaching of Fe-DOM likely primarily concerns the organic chromophores of CDOM. The chromophores of Fe(III) in nonacidic waters seem to withstand photobleaching similar to iron oxides that are commonly used as weather-resistant outdoor pigments in neutral—alkaline conditions.39

### Impact of Fe and pH on DIC Photoproduction.

According to our irradiation experiments, pH (4–9.4) alone has a nonsignificant impact on DICpr when [Fe] is low (Table S7). Similarly, an earlier study showed that an experimental acidification by one pH unit had a nonsignificant effect on DIC photoproduction in lake waters (pH 4.2–7.2) with low [Fe].50 Our study showed that Fe stimulates DIC photoproduction in acidic but not in neutral to alkaline conditions. In agreement with our findings, DIC photoproduction was dependent on [Fe] in 38 Swedish lakes, including acidic lakes (pH 4.5–9.5) but the dependence was absent in a subset of 27 lakes having pH >6 (Figure S6).51 Likewise, in six lakes and two reservoirs (Quebec, Canada), the broadband-apparent quantum yields for DIC photoproduction showed a nonsignificant relationship with ambient pH 6.4–8.2.51 Fe introduction to circumneutral Brandy Lake inflow did not give rise to photochemical loss of DOC.23 Thus, pH has a minor role on DIC photoproduction in the absence of Fe (ref 50, this study) or in neutral to alkaline waters even in the presence of Fe (refs 21, 23, 51, this study).

Our experiments showed that Fe stimulates DIC photoproduction only in acidic conditions similar to those found earlier in natural water samples.25,49,52 According to our volumetric DICpr (Figure 2c), $\phi_{\lambda}$ (Figures 3 and 4), and the areal rates calculated from $\phi_{\lambda}$ (Figure 6), the Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction is responsible for up to 86% of the total DIC photoproduction at pH 4 with up to 3.5 $\mu$mol Fe mg DOM$^{-1}$. In the example illustrated in Figure 6 (pH 4, 1.8 $\mu$mol Fe mg DOM$^{-1}$), Fe contributed 83% to the total DIC photoproduction. The calculated contribution (Figure 5) would fall to 56% at pH 4, with 1 $\mu$mol Fe mg DOM$^{-1}$, which is the highest reported amount of Fe complexed by DOM in natural waters.49 In typical boreal wetlands and forested streams (pH 4–5; 0.3–0.4 $\mu$mol complexed Fe mg DOM$^{-1}$), the calculated (Figure 5) contribution of Fe to DIC photoproduction is between 4 and 33%. In a strict sense, these calculations apply to our experimental conditions, but they suggest that Fe can contribute up to ~50% to DIC photoproduction in acidic surface waters.

How Does Iron Stimulate DIC Photoproduction at Low pH? Our study was not designed to address the

![Figure 6. Calculated action spectrum for areal DIC photoproduction at pH 4. DIC photoproduction is calculated as $\int \phi_\lambda Q_\lambda d\lambda$, where $\phi_\lambda (\text{mol C mol photons}^{-1} \text{nm}^{-1})$ is from experiments #1, #11, or #11–#1 (Figure 5) and $Q_\lambda$ (mol photons$^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1} \text{nm}^{-1})$ is the mean daily solar photon flux density at the earth surface averaged across the latitudes (168 W m$^{-2}$ global radiation), having the properties of ASTM G173-03 reference solar spectrum.41 The arrows show the median wavelength inducing areal DIC photoproduction ($\lambda_{50\%}$), which is 378 nm in #1 without Fe and 398 nm in #11 with 18 $\mu$M Fe.](image-url)
mechanistic details of Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction, but here, we discuss how our observations fit to the mechanistic understanding gained by earlier studies. Fe can contribute to DIC photoproduction through two principal mechanisms: (1) the photochemical decarboxylation of Fe(III)—carboxylate complexes and (2) the photo-Fenton reactions (Figure S1). DOM can bind Fe(III) into Fe(III)—carboxylate complexes ([1], Figure S1). In the first mechanism, a light-induced ligand-to-metal-charge-transfer (LMCT; [2], Figure S1) can decarboxylate the Fe(III)—carboxylate complexes and produce DIC ([3], Figure S1). In the second mechanism, photo-produced ferrous ion (Fe(II)) can reduce dioxygen (O₂) to superoxide/hydroperoxyl radicals (HO₂*/O₂−; [4], Figure S1). Dismutation of HO₂*/O₂− produces hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), which can oxidize Fe(II) and generate hydroxyl radicals (HO•) through photo-Fenton reactions ([5] and [9], Figure S1). HO• can oxidize DOM and produce DIC ([6], Figure S1). A single reaction between DOM and HO• can release CO₂ ([6], Figure S1), but approximately three moles HO• are typically needed to produce 1 mol CO₂ from DOM.13

Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction benefits from acidic conditions (refs 23, 50, this study), as indicated with red circles in Figure S1: (i) acidity facilitates complexation of Fe(III) with DOM instead of formation of insoluble Fe(III)/(hydr)oxides;18 (ii) at low pH, O₂− equilibrates to HO₂−;24 (iii) acidity promotes the dismutation of HO₂*/O₂− to H₂O₂;54 and (iv) acidity promotes the formation of HO• instead of a weaker oxidant Fe(IV).28 Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction through the photochemical decarboxylation of Fe(III)—carboxylate complexes can be efficient (quantum yields up to 1 in Fe(III)-oxalate),5 but requires re-formation of Fe(III)—carboxylate complexes that is favored by low pH. Photo-Fenton mechanisms do not necessarily require physical association between DOM and Fe, because numerous species of Fe(III),36 simple ions ([Fe(III)OH (H₂O)₃]1+),27 inorganic colloids or solids,97 or organic complexes46,58 can efficiently yield Fe(II) and HO•. Our study cannot evaluate the relative importance of the two principal mechanisms for Fe-stimulated DIC production, but both of these mechanisms require photochemical reduction of Fe(III) and benefit from low pH.

ϕ,5 and Spectral Dependence of Fe-Stimulated DIC Photoproduction. The ϕ,5,50 determined in this study have a similar range reported earlier for freshwater, in which the magnitude of the reported ϕ,5,50 depend mostly on the optical properties of DOM but decrease with pH (Figure S7).24,28,37,59,60 The pH dependence of ϕ,5,50 in freshwater (Figure S7) is possibly related to their indigenous Fe because the magnitude of ϕ,5,50 depends on the interaction of pH and Fe. In this study, the spectral slope coefficient of ϕ,5 for Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction was lower compared to that of the corresponding slope without Fe stimulation (Figure S5). This indicates that the contribution of Fe to DIC photoproduction changes with wavelength. This phenomenon depends in part on the effect of Fe(III) on the optical properties of DOM (a reduction of spectral slope of DOM, Table S6)25,28 but also suggests that Fe is photochemically active at long wavelengths.

All mechanisms for Fe-assisted DIC photoproduction (Figure S1) require the photochemical reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II). The photoreduction of Fe(III) is involved in the HO• production from [Fe(III)OH (H₂O)₃]1+27 and in the photochemical dissolution of lepidocrocite.28 The apparent quantum yields for these reactions decrease exponentially with wavelength and have spectral slopes similar to those of Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction (Figure S8). This similarity suggests that the apparent quantum yields for the photochemical reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) decreases exponentially with wavelength and determines the spectral dependence of associated reactions (e.g., the photoproduction of HO•,27 dissolved Fe(II),50 or DIC (this study)).

Our calculated action spectrum for Fe-stimulated DIC photoproduction at pH 4 was shifted toward the visible spectrum range compared to that for DIC photoproduction without Fe (Figure 6). Although the UV and the short-wavelength visible spectrum range dominate the calculated DIC photoproduction, Fe is almost entirely responsible for DIC photoproduction at the wavelengths >500 nm (Figure 6). Irradiation at 590−630 or 577 nm can photoreduce Fe(III) in lepidocrocite or in Fe(III)-phenolate (quantum yields approximately 10−4), respectively.29,61 These observations indicate that the light absorption by Fe in the visible spectrum range even at >500 nm can reduce Fe(III)-DOM to Fe(II) and stimulate DIC photoproduction in acidic waters.

Environmental Significance. During the past two to three decades, the export of Fe from land to inland waters has increased and enhanced the role of Fe in water color.10,12,14,62 Since the 1980s, a reduction in sulfate deposition has raised pH,60 and mobilized soil-derived organic matter to aquatic systems.64,65 This study suggests that these trends may reduce photobleaching of color (or CDOM) and DIC photoproduction in some instances because the chromophores of Fe(III) photobleach poorly and cannot efficiently mediate DIC photoproduction at pH ≈7. In naturally and anthropogenically acidic surfaces or atmospheric waters instead, Fe stimulates the photobleaching of CDOM and can enhance DIC photoproduction remarkably at wavelengths >500 nm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling, Extraction of DOM, and Chemicals. Approximately 200 L lake water was collected from the humic Lake Kuivajarvi (0.61 km²; DOC ≈ 12 mg L−1; total nitrogen ≈ 0.4 mg L−1; total phosphorus ≈ 15 µg L−1; 61°50.743′N, 24°17.134′E; Finland) on October 8, 2013. Water was collected into acid-washed polyethylene containers and filtered through 0.2 µm (Sartobran 300 Sterile Capsule; Sartorius Stedim, Germany) for solid phase extraction (SPE) with Bond Elut PPL cartridges (Agilent Technologies), which previously retained 76% of DOC in humic lake water.31,32 Prior to SPE, water was acidified to pH ≈ 2 with hydrochloric acid (HCl; Merck, Germany) and equilibrated overnight with 0.01 M fluoride (NaF; Merck, Germany), which was added as a ligand to complex Fe. These complexes and the unbound F− passed the SPE cartridge during extraction. To evaluate the retention of Fe in the SPE-DOM, we collected water before and after SPE cartridge and preserved the water samples with super-pure nitric acid (Romil, U.K.) for Fe determination. (Total iron measurement is described in the Supporting Information.) The concentration of Fe was 3.42 ± 0.034 and 3.45 ± 0.044 µmol L−1 (mean ± SD) in triplicated water samples before and after the SPE cartridge, respectively. The negligible difference indicates that the SPE-DOM was free of Fe within the analytical accuracy (<0.04 µmol L−1) of determination. In agreement with this finding, the measured concentration of total Fe was 0.04 ± 0.002 µmol L−1 in the aqueous solutions of SPE-DOM (10 mg DOM L−1) used for the experiments.
Ultrapure water (Ultra Clear UV UF TM system; Evoqua Water Technologies) was used in all experiments. The Fe solutions were prepared by dissolving iron (III) sulfate hydrate (AnalaR, VWR International Ltd., U.K.) in 0.1 M HCl. All the quartz- and glassware used in the experiments were soaked in 2% nitric acid (Merck, Germany) for >24 h, rinsed throughout with ultrapure water, and pre-combusted at 450 °C for 4 h.

**Experimental Design.** To examine the combined impact of pH and concentration of total iron (denoted [Fe]) on the spectral properties of CDOM, the rates of photoreactions (photobleaching, DIC photoproduction), and \( \phi_{\lambda} \), we generated the associations between Fe and DOM (Fe-DOM) using the same concentration of SPE-DOM (10 mg DOM L\(^{-1}\)) but different loadings of Fe on DOM at pH values ranging from 4 to 9.4 (Figure S2b, Table S2). The selected Fe loadings on DOM ranged from 0.004 (no introduced Fe) to 3.5 \( \mu \)mol Fe mg DOM\(^{-1}\) (the highest amount of introduced Fe; Figure S2b, Table S2). The lowest loading represented the small amount of Fe that was associated to SPE-DOM despite our attempts to isolate entirely Fe-free DOM using a Fe-complexing ligand (F\(^-\)) during the extraction. Our lowest loading was substantially smaller than the median (0.357 \( \mu \)mol Fe mg DOM\(^{-1}\)) found in large Swedish and Canadian lakes among 58,888 water samples examined. The largest loading was representative of the upper 10% of loadings (2.0–12.8 \( \mu \)mol Fe mg DOM\(^{-1}\)) observed in 6128 Finnish river water samples. Our lowest experimental pH (pH 4) corresponded to that found in naturally acidic boreal streams or even in circumneutral lakes during algal blooms.

To find the optimal combinations of [Fe] and pH values across the anticipated range of loadings and pH, we selected nine combinations according to a central-composite design and replicated five of them (Figure S2, Tables S1 and S2). To highlight the effect of pH with high [Fe] and without Fe, we introduced five additional combinations from pH 4 to 9.4 and from 0.004 to 3.5 \( \mu \)mol Fe mg DOM\(^{-1}\), which resulted in a total of 20 experiments (Table S2, Figure S2). The Pearson correlation coefficient between [Fe] and pH was 0.09 (p value >0.1), showing that the selected combinations of pH and [Fe] were not correlated and that pH and [Fe] were independent predictor variables in the statistical analyses.

To evaluate the changes in optical properties and to measure DIC photoproduction, the selected 20 combinations of Fe-DOM were exposed to simulated solar radiation. The optical properties were measured prior to irradiation, from the irradiated, dark control, and initial samples. The spectral absorption by CDOM was measured with a spectroradiometer (SR991; Macam Photometrics, Scotland, U.K.). These measurements, together with characterization of downwelling and upwelling light fields, allowed us to calculate the spectral absorption of photons by CDOM in the irradiated samples, accounting for vial dimension, inner filtering effects, and CDOM photobleaching during irradiation (Supporting Information).

**Analytical Measurements.** After the irradiation, the DIC concentration and the spectral absorption by CDOM was measured from the irradiated, dark control, and initial samples. The DIC concentration was determined as a median of multiple (\( n = 3 \)) injections into an inorganic carbon reaction vessel of a TOC-Lcnsj Shimadzu, Japan; detection limit 0.3 \( \mu \)mol C L\(^{-1}\)). The analyzer was 6-point calibrated with sodium hydrogen carbonate (Nacalai Tesque Inc., Japan) standard solutions on each measurement day. The analytical precision ranged from 0.18 to 2 \( \mu \)mol C L\(^{-1}\) for individual DIC determination, with a median of 0.28 \( \mu \)mol C L\(^{-1}\) for all determinations. The concentration of DIC was identical in the initial and dark control samples (95% confidence intervals for the difference were between -0.51 and 0.18 \( \mu \)mol C L\(^{-1}\)). This lack of difference indicated that all vials received initially the same DIC concentration and that any dark processes modifying the DIC concentration were negligible. DIC photoproduction was calculated as the difference in the DIC concentration between the irradiated and the corresponding dark control sample.

The spectral absorption by CDOM was measured with a UV–vis spectrophotometer (Lambda 850; PerkinElmer) in a 1 cm quartz cuvette. The apparent absorbance of CDOM and blanks (ultrapure water) was first measured from 190 to 700 nm at 1 nm steps against air (i.e., an empty reference cell holder). The absorption coefficient of CDOM at wavelength \( \lambda \) (\( \alpha_{\lambda} \) m\(^{-1}\)) was calculated from eq 1.

**Preparation of Fe-DOM.** The acidic (pH \( \approx 1.2 \)) solutions for experiments were prepared as a 1:1 mixture of SPE-DOM solution and Fe(III) solution in a 500 mL gas exchange flask (see the graphical abstract) to final concentrations of 10 mg DOM L\(^{-1}\) and [Fe] between 0 and 35 \( \mu \)mol of the introduced Fe(III) L\(^{-1}\) (Table S2). The solutions were adjusted to pH 2 with NaOH and bubbled with CO\(_2\)-free air (2 L min\(^{-1}\)) for 30–45 min to reduce the background DIC concentration. To associate Fe(III) with DOM, the pH of solutions inside the gas exchange flasks were raised slowly with NaOH to the selected pH values (Table S2, Figure S2b). This type of titration leads to the mononuclear binding of Fe on DOM\(^{35,56}\) or to the colloids of Fe(III)(oxy)hydroxide stabilized by DOM.\(^{19,20}\) According to a chemical equilibrium modeling described in the Supporting Information, Fe(III) was bound entirely on DOM in the experiments #1–13 at \( \leq 1.8 \) \( \mu \)mol Fe mg DOM\(^{-1}\) but in the experiments #14–20 up to 28% of Fe(III) existed as iron(oxy)hydroxides (Table S2). No visual precipitates were observed at any stage of the experiments. The absence of precipitates suggested that Fe(III)(oxy)hydroxide colloids were stabilized by DOM.\(^{19,20}\) For the statistical analyses, we used the total concentration of Fe in the experiments because both Fe complexed by DOM and iron(oxy)hydroxides affect optical properties\(^{23,26}\) and can induce DIC photoproduction.\(^{23}\)

Each Fe-DOM solution was tapped in a set of one quartz and two glass vials overflowing with a volume of the vial (~12 mL) more than three times and sealed without headspace using ground glass stoppers. The quartz vial was exposed to simulated solar radiation (labeled “irradiated”). One glass vial was placed in an ice water bath (0 °C; “initial”). The other glass vial was wrapped in aluminum foil (“dark control”) but otherwise treated identically as the quartz vial.

**Simulated Solar Irradiation.** Solutions in quartz vials were irradiated for 5 h (765 W m\(^{-2}\)) using an Atlas Suntest CPS+ solar simulator (Atlas Material Testing Technology), as described previously\(^{37}\) and in the Supporting Information. For the irradiation at +20 °C, the quartz vials were placed on a stainless steel grid immersed in a water bath, along with the dark controls. The spectral photon flux densities (mol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) nm\(^{-1}\)) incident to the quartz vials from above and below were measured from 240 to 800 nm at 1 nm intervals with a spectroradiometer (SR991; Macam Photometrics, Scotland, U.K.). These measurements, together with characterization of downwelling and upwelling light fields, allowed us to calculate the spectral absorption of photons by CDOM in the irradiated samples, accounting for vial dimension, inner filtering effects, and CDOM photobleaching during irradiation (Supporting Information).
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where $A_{\text{solution}, i}$ and $A_{\text{blank}, i}$ are the apparent absorbance of DOM solution and blank, respectively, and $l$ is the path length of the cuvette (m).

The CDOM spectral slope coefficients were calculated as a linear fit to ln-transformed $a_i$ for two spectral regions: 275–295 nm ($S_{275-295}$ nm$^{-1}$) and 350–400 nm ($S_{350-400}$ nm$^{-1}$). The slope ratio ($S_6$ dimensionless) was expressed as $S_6 = S_{275-295} / S_{350-400}$, according to Helms et al.28

The initial and its corresponding dark control samples had identical $a_i$, $S_{275-295}$, $S_{350-400}$, and $S_6$ (Tables S5, S6), indicating negligible changes in the optical properties of CDOM due to the sample treatment without the irradiation. The photochemistry-induced changes in optical properties were calculated by subtracting the values of dark controls from those of irradiated solutions.

**Calculation of AQY Spectrum.** The $\phi_d$ (mol C mol photons$^{-1}$ at wavelength $\lambda$) was calculated by dividing the amount of photoproduced DIC with the number of photons absorbed by CDOM in the Fe-DOM solutions during the irradiations as in Aarnos et al.37 but now with a Monte Carlo approach (details in Supporting Information). In these calculations, it was assumed that $\phi_d$ decreases exponentially with increasing wavelength.

$$\phi_d = c e^{-d\lambda}$$

(2)

where $c$ represents AQY (mol C mol photons$^{-1}$) at the reference wavelength (0 nm) and $d$ is spectral slope coefficient for $\phi_d$ (nm$^{-1}$). This assumption was adapted from the earlier determinations of $\phi_d$ for DIC photoproduction (Supporting Information) and for Fe(III) photoreduction.27,29

**Statistical Analyses.** The dependencies of the optical properties of CDOM and the photochemical transformations of DOM on [Fe] and pH were explored with eight competing regression models (Supporting Information, Tables S8–S18). The models tested the explanatory power of [Fe] and pH separately, together, or through the interaction of [Fe] and pH (Tables S8–S18). For the statistical analyses, pH was expressed as the concentration of hydrogen ion ([H$^+$]; $c$ = 10$^{-pH}$) using the same unit (mol L$^{-1}$) as for [Fe]. For the visualization of models in Figures 1–4, [H$^+$] was transformed back to pH. To identify the simplest statistically significant dependence between the response and the predictor variables, we sought for the most parsimonious model among those candidate models that included only significant terms. From those models, the best one was selected on the basis of the corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc)$_{39,40}$ to provide the simplest significant dependence of the optical properties or photochemical transformation of DOM on pH and [Fe]. Statistical analyses were performed using MATLAB R2013a (The MathWorks Inc.) and R (version 3.3.3, R Core Team 2017).
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