From mannose to small amphiphilic polyol - perfect linearity leads to spontaneous aggregation
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ABSTRACT

Terminally unsaturated and diastereochemically pure polyol derived from D-mannose shows spontaneous aggregation behavior in water solution. In order to study and clarify this unforeseen phenomenon, a conformational study based on NMR spectroscopy combined with ab initio structure analysis using the COSMO-solvation model was pursued. The results, together with X-
ray diffraction studies, suggest a low energy linear conformation for this particular substrate both in solid states and in solution. For such small-sized acyclic carbohydrate derivatives, the linear conformation appears to be a key prerequisite for the unusual molecular self-assembly reported herein.

**INTRODUCTION**

Carbohydrate-based amphiphiles are typically composed of a hydrophilic carbohydrate moiety attached to a relatively long, hydrophobic aliphatic carbon chain. In such compounds, the amphiphilicity stems purely from the solubility difference between the two distinct ends of the molecule, while the stereochemistry of the carbohydrate part and the conformational properties play only a minor role. However, as the molecular size becomes smaller, both the conformation and the consequential linearity or non-linearity of the molecule start to have a more significant influence on the amphiphilic behavior.

Acyclic compounds, in general, favor conformations where the steric interactions are minimized. These low-energy conformations are typically characterized by planar zigzag conformations of the carbon backbone minimizing the steric interactions between different substituents. Such reasoning is valid for acyclic carbohydrate derivatives as well, as long as there are no bulky substituents, typically hydroxyl groups, in 1,3-<i>syn</i> relationship. Thus, the stereochemistries of, for example, D-mannitol and D-galactitol (see Figure 1) allow these compounds to obtain a planar zigzag conformation, whereas the corresponding D-glucose derivative, D-glucitol, favors a conformation where the C2-C3 bond is rotated 120°. This twist in the carbon chain is due to the <i>syn</i>-relationship between the OH-groups at C-2 and C-4 (Figure 1).<sup>2,3</sup>
Figure 1. The low-energy conformations of D-mannitol and D-galactitol (top) and D-glucitol (bottom).

The naturally occurring monosaccharides can be utilized as precursors in the synthesis of other functionalized acyclic carbohydrate derivatives. Such approaches generally utilize the tautomeric equilibrium, termed mutarotation, and particularly the presence of the open chain aldehyde form (Scheme 1). To exemplify, metal-mediated allylation of unprotected monosaccharides yields alkene-terminated polyols with multiple chiral carbon atoms with predefined stereochemistry.\textsuperscript{4,5} The configurations of C2-C5 stem from the parent monosaccharide and only one stereocenter (C-6) is formed in the allylation reaction. Thereby, the product is formed as a mixture of two diastereoisomers with either \textit{threo} or \textit{erythro} configuration (C-5/C-6). The ratio between the two diastereomers depends on the substrate and reaction conditions. The \textit{threo} form is, however, the generally dominating one (Scheme 1).
Scheme 1. Metal-mediated allylation of unprotected D-mannose yielding alkene-terminated polyol diastereomers.

The diastereoisomers formed can, in general, be separated by acetylation-chromatography-deacetylation manipulations.\textsuperscript{4,5} Interestingly, in the case of D-mannose as starting material, the major product diastereomer (1a) can be conveniently isolated by precipitation from ethanol.\textsuperscript{6} Such alkene-terminated polyols, produced by this protocol, are synthetically attractive products as they are diastereomerically pure and contain multiple functional groups for further derivatizations.

Here, we report that the initially water soluble mannose derived polyol (1a) displays an unforeseen and highly interesting solubility behavior, spontaneously aggregating from a stirred water solution at ambient temperature (Figure 2). As investigated by us, the structural analogues derived from other similar monosaccharides do not show such behavior, making the D-mannose derivative 1a in this sense very unique. This experimental finding suggests some type of highly ordered structure for this particular, potentially amphiphilic, mannose-derived diastereomer in solution that then would explain the spontaneous aggregation process.
Figure 2. Progress of the spontaneous aggregation of a water solution of 1a (c = 0.2 M) at room temperature during the first hour after initial dissolution. The elapsed time is shown in the stopwatch display.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General remarks: Bruker Avance 500 MHz and 600 MHz NMR spectrometers were used to record the NMR spectra. PERCH software with spin simulation/iteration techniques was utilized to further analyze the $^1$H NMR spectra. Optical rotations were recorded with Perkin Elmer 241 polarimeter equipped with a Na-lamp (589 nm). Melting points were measured with a Stuart Scientific apparatus. HRMS were measured using Bruker micrOTOF-Q spectrometer operating in ESI$^+$ mode. For complete experimental details, see Supporting Information.

Synthesis: Compounds 1a, 2a and 3a were synthesized according to literature procedures.$^{5,6}$ Compound 1a was isolated by crystallization from EtOH (see Supporting information). For obtaining diastereomerically pure 2a and 3a, a peracetylation – chromatography – deacetylation procedure was pursued. For standard procedure, see for example reference 5.
**Non-8-ene-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexol (1a)**

White solid. mp 186–188 °C. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +22.8$ (c = 1.0, H$_2$O). $^1$H NMR (600.13 MHz, D$_2$O, 25 °C): $\delta = 5.90$ (dddd, $J_{8,7b} = 6.9$ Hz, $J_{8,7a} = 7.1$ Hz, $J_{8,9cis} = 10.2$ Hz, $J_{8,9trans} = 17.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-8), 5.18 (dddd, $J_{9trans,7a} = -1.4$ Hz, $J_{9trans,7b} = -1.5$ Hz, $J_{9trans,9cis} = -2.1$ Hz, $J_{9trans,8} = 17.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-9$_{trans}$), 5.13 (dddd, $J_{9cis,7a} = -1.1$ Hz, $J_{9cis,7b} = -1.1$ Hz, $J_{9cis,9trans} = -2.1$ Hz, $J_{9cis,8} = 10.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-9$_{cis}$), 3.99 (dd, $J_{6,5} = 1.5$ Hz, $J_{6,7a} = 5.7$ Hz, $J_{6,7a} = 8.3$ Hz, 1 H, H-6), 3.91 (dd, $J_{4,3} = 1.1$ Hz, $J_{4,5} = 9.4$ Hz, 1 H, H-4), 3.87 (dd, $J_{3,2} = 8.9$ Hz, 1 H, H-3), 3.76 (dd, $J_{2,1a} = 3.0$ Hz, $J_{2,1b} = 6.5$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 8.9$ Hz, 1 H, H-2), 3.67 (dd, $J_{1b,2} = 6.5$ Hz, $J_{1b,1a} = -11.9$ Hz, 1 H, H-1b), 3.59 (dd, $J_{5,6} = 1.5$ Hz, $J_{5,4} = 9.4$ Hz, 1 H, H-5), 2.40 (ddddd, $J_{7a,Heis} = -1.1$ Hz, $J_{7a,9trans} = -1.4$ Hz, $J_{7a,8} = 7.1$ Hz, $J_{7a,6} = 8.3$ Hz, $J_{7a,7b} = -14.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-7a), 2.35 (ddddd, $J_{7b,9cis} = -1.1$ Hz, $J_{7b,9trans} = -1.5$ Hz, $J_{7b,6} = 5.7$ Hz, $J_{7b,8} = 6.9$ Hz, $J_{7b,7a} = -14.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-7b) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (150.9 MHz, D$_2$O, 25 °C): $\delta = 135.2$ (C-8), 117.3 (C-9), 71.0 (C-5), 70.9 (C-2), 69.4 (C-3), 69.3 (C-6), 68.5 (C-4), 63.2 (C-1), 37.6 (C-7) ppm. HRMS calcd for C$_9$H$_{18}$O$_6$Na $[M+Na]^+$ 245.0996, found 245.0984.

**Non-8-ene-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexol (2a)**

White solid. mp 99–101 °C. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -3.5$ (c = 1.0, H$_2$O). $^1$H NMR (600.13 MHz, D$_2$O, 25 °C): $\delta = 5.88$ (dddd, $J_{8,7a} = 6.6$ Hz, $J_{8,7b} = 7.5$ Hz, $J_{8,9cis} = 10.2$ Hz, $J_{8,9trans} = 17.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-8), 5.18 (dddd, $J_{9trans,7b} = -1.4$ Hz, $J_{9trans,7a} = -1.6$ Hz, $J_{9trans,9cis} = -2.1$ Hz, $J_{9trans,8} = 17.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-9$_{trans}$), 5.14 (dddd, $J_{9cis,7b} = -1.0$ Hz, $J_{9cis,7a} = -1.2$ Hz, $J_{9cis,9trans} = -2.1$ Hz, $J_{9cis,8} = 10.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-9$_{cis}$), 3.96 (dd, $J_{4,3} = 2.3$ Hz, $J_{4,5} = 6.1$ Hz, 1 H, H-4), 3.84 (dd, $J_{6,5} = 3.5$ Hz, $J_{6,7a} = 5.0$ Hz, $J_{6,7b} = 8.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-6), 3.82 (dd, $J_{1a,2} = 3.0$ Hz, $J_{1a,1b} = -11.9$ Hz, 1 H, H-1a), 3.78 (dd, $J_{2,1a} = 3.0$ Hz, $J_{2,1b} = 6.4$ Hz, $J_{2,3} = 8.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-2), 3.70 (dd, $J_{3,4} = 2.3$ Hz, $J_{3,2} = 8.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-3), 3.67 (dd, $J_{5,6} =
3.5 Hz, $J_{5,4} = 6.1$ Hz, 1 H, H-5), 3.65 (dd, $J_{1b,2} = 6.4$ Hz, $J_{1b,1a} = -11.9$ Hz, 1 H, H-1b), 2.39 (dddd, $J_{7a,9cis} = -1.2$ Hz, $J_{7a,9trans} = -1.6$ Hz, $J_{7a,6} = 5.0$ Hz, $J_{7a,8} = 6.6$ Hz, $J_{7a,7b} = -14.3$ Hz, 1 H, H-7a), 2.34 (dddd, $J_{7b,9cis} = -1.0$ Hz, $J_{7b,9trans} = -1.4$ Hz, $J_{7b,8} = 7.5$ Hz, $J_{7b,6} = 8.2$ Hz, $J_{7b,7a} = -14.3$ Hz, 1 H, H-7b) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (150.9 MHz, D$_2$O, 25 °C): δ = 134.7 (C-8), 117.6 (C-9), 73.8 (C-5), 71.0 (C-3), 71.0 (C-2), 70.3 (C-6), 69.9 (C-4), 62.8 (C-1), 37.4 (C-7) ppm. HRMS calcd for C$_9$H$_{18}$O$_6$Na [M+Na]$^+$ 245.0996, found 245.1002.

(2R,3S,4R,5S,6R)-Non-8-ene-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexol (3a)

White solid. mp 115–117 °C. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -1.2$ (c = 1.0, H$_2$O). $^1$H NMR (600.13 MHz, D$_2$O, 25 °C):

δ = 5.89 (dddd, $J_{6,7a} = 6.5$ Hz, $J_{6,7b} = 7.7$ Hz, $J_{6,9cis} = 10.2$ Hz, $J_{6,9trans} = 17.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-8), 5.18 (dddd, $J_{9trans,7b} = -1.3$ Hz, $J_{9trans,7a} = -1.6$ Hz, $J_{9cis,9trans} = -2.1$ Hz, $J_{9trans,8} = 17.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-9$_{trans}$), 5.15 (dddd, $J_{9cis,7b} = -1.0$ Hz, $J_{9cis,7a} = -1.2$ Hz, $J_{9cis,9trans} = -2.1$ Hz, $J_{9cis,8} = 10.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-9$_{cis}$), 3.95 (ddd, $J_{2,3} = 1.6$ Hz, $J_{2,1a} = 4.8$ Hz, $J_{2,1b} = 8.0$ Hz, 1 H, H-2), 3.86 (ddd, $J_{6,7a} = 4.1$ Hz, $J_{6,5} = 6.6$ Hz, $J_{6,7b} = 8.3$ Hz, 1 H, H-6), 3.79 (dd, $J_{4,5} = 1.5$ Hz, $J_{4,3} = 9.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-4), 3.75 (dd, $J_{5,4} = 1.5$ Hz, $J_{5,6} = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H, H-5), 3.69 (dd, $J_{3,2} = 1.6$ Hz, $J_{3,4} = 9.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-3), 3.69 (dd, $J_{1a,2} = 4.8$ Hz, $J_{1a,1b} = -10.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-1a), 3.68 (dd, $J_{1b,2} = 8.0$ Hz, $J_{1b,1a} = -10.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-1b), 2.43 (dddd, $J_{7a,9cis} = -1.2$ Hz, $J_{7a,9trans} = -1.6$ Hz, $J_{7a,6} = 4.1$ Hz, $J_{7a,8} = 6.5$ Hz, $J_{7a,7b} = -14.5$ Hz, 1 H, H-7a), 2.25 (dddd, $J_{7b,9cis} = -1.0$ Hz, $J_{7b,9trans} = -1.3$ Hz, $J_{7b,8} = 7.7$ Hz, $J_{7b,6} = 8.3$ Hz, $J_{7b,7a} = -14.5$ Hz, 1 H, H-7b) ppm. $^{13}$C NMR (150.9 MHz, D$_2$O, 25 °C): δ = 134.5 (C-8), 117.8 (C-9), 72.2 (C-6), 71.7 (C-5), 70.0 (C-2), 70.0 (C-4), 69.5 (C-3), 63.2 (C-1), 37.0 (C-7) ppm. HRMS calcd for C$_9$H$_{18}$O$_6$Na [M+Na]$^+$ 245.0996, found 245.1002.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to elucidate the possible underlying structural details for the observed aggregation and for validating the hypothesis on amphiphilicity, a comprehensive conformational study of the D-mannose derived alkene-terminated polyol 1a by NMR spectroscopy was performed. The D-glucose and D-galactose derived analogues (2a and 3a), not showing any aggregation behavior in water solution under similar conditions, were examined as reference compounds. Furthermore, the most stable conformations were simulated using ab initio wave function methods. Finally, the aggregation of 1a was studied by X-ray diffraction techniques revealing the very high level of structural order as a plausible explanation for the spontaneous aggregation.

NMR spectroscopic study. Earlier, Lewis and coworkers have performed extensive conformational studies on a series of carbohydrate based polyols up to heptitols.3,7–9 The reported results were predominantly derived from Karplus equation with the corresponding proton–proton vicinal coupling constants ($^3J_{H,H}$) as input data.10 More recently, Murata formulated a more universal $J$-coupling based conformational model for acyclic structures.11,12 Relevant for the present study are the structures with dioxygenated fragments. When two protons are in gauche relationship in such structures, the $^3J_{H,H}$ should be less than 3 Hz, whereas for the corresponding anti-orientation, the $^3J_{H,H}$ varies between 7 and 10 Hz (Figure 3). Thus, for linear carbohydrate derivatives in planar zigzag conformation, $^3J_{H,H}$ should be either small or large as the corresponding dihedral angles are 60° (gauche) or 180° (anti), respectively. In contrast, medium sized coupling constants ($^3J_{H,H} = 3–7$ Hz) are characteristic for nonplanar conformations.
Herein, the $^1$H NMR spectra of the alkene-terminated derivatives of D-mannose (1a), D-glucose (2a) and D-galactose (3a) were studied in detail. Typically, the signals in $^1$H NMR spectra of such structures are overlapping and computational tools are required for accurate interpretation of the NMR data. For this purpose, PERCH software with spin simulation/iteration techniques was utilized. The $^3J_{	ext{H,H}}$ coupling constants relevant for 1a, 2a and 3a are given in Table 1.

Table 1. $^3J_{	ext{H,H}}$ values for compounds 1a, 2a and 3a (given in Hz).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>$^3J_{	ext{H2,H3}}$</th>
<th>$^3J_{	ext{H3,H4}}$</th>
<th>$^3J_{	ext{H4,H5}}$</th>
<th>$^3J_{	ext{H5,H6}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;1Hz (threo)</td>
<td>8.9 (erythro)</td>
<td>1.1 (threo)</td>
<td>9.4 (erythro)</td>
<td>1.5 (threo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1Hz (threo)</td>
<td>8.2 (erythro)</td>
<td>2.3 (threo)</td>
<td>6.1 (threo)</td>
<td>3.5 (threo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1Hz (threo)</td>
<td>1.6 (threo)</td>
<td>9.2 (erythro)</td>
<td>1.5 (threo)</td>
<td>6.6 (threo)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Characteristically, two adjacent protons in threo relationship occur in gauche-orientation while anti-orientation is expected for protons in erythro relationship when the carbohydrate backbone is
in the linear conformation. The coupling constant pattern for the D-mannose derivative 1a (Table 1, Entry 1) follows these rules as the configuration is ideal for linear conformation in the absence of 1,3-syn OH-groups. Owing to the configuration of 1a, the structure specific $^3J_{\text{H,H}}$ values for anti- and gauche-orientations can thus be deduced, i.e., ~9 Hz for anti-orientation and 1–1.5 Hz for gauche-orientation.

In contrast to 1a, the D-galactose derived analogue 3a has two OH-groups (O-4 and O-6) in 1,3-syn relationship leading to a twist in the carbon backbone. This can be observed from the intermediate sized coupling constant (6.6 Hz) between the H-5 and H-6 protons (Table 1, Entry 3). The configuration of the corresponding D-glucose derived analogue 2a disfavors the linear conformation even more clearly due to syn-relationship between both O-4/O-6 and O-3/O-5. For this structure, the $^3J_{\text{H,H}}$ values for protons in threo relationship vary between 2.3 Hz and 6.1 Hz indicating that the conformation is heavily distorted from the linear one. Based on the $^3J_{\text{H,H}}$ coupling constant patterns presented herein, it can be concluded that the D-glucose and D-galactose derivatives 2a and 3a, disfavor the linear zigzag conformation while the configuration of the D-mannose derivative 1a is evidently ideal for the perfectly linear conformation.

Further support for this conclusion is gained from NOESY experiments (see Supporting Information). An NOE correlation between the CH$_2$-protons at C7 and H-4 is observed for both 2a and 3a. This is possible since the nonlinear conformation of the carbon chain allows the carbohydrate backbone to come closer to the hydrophobic end. For the corresponding D-mannose derived structure (1a), this NOE correlation is not observed as a result of the linear zigzag conformation of this structure.

**Thermal analysis.** Furthermore, the linearity/nonlinearity seems to have a considerable effect on the melting point. The tentatively linear D-mannose derivative 1a melts at 186–188 °C (non-
corrected, initially measured melting point). In contrast, the non-linear structures 2a and 3a melt at significantly lower temperatures: 99–101 °C and 115–117 °C. The similar effect has previously been observed with sugar alcohols, i.e., D-mannitol, D-galactitol and D-glucitol as well (for structures, see Figure 1). Mannitol and galactitol with linear conformations melt at 166–168 °C and 188–189 °C, respectively, whereas the melting point of the non-linear glucitol is significantly lower (110–112 °C).14

To further investigate the thermal behavior of the D-mannose derivative 1a, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analyses of both bulk sample precipitated from EtOH and aggregated samples were carried out (for DSC scans and data see Supporting Information). Under heating, the bulk product of 1a shows a melting endotherm (onset temperature) at 181.9 °C preceded by a solid-solid transformation at 142.9 °C. The respective exothermic events of crystallization and solid-solid transformation with well-matching enthalpies to the endotherms are observed when cooling the sample. These thermal events are well reversible and show very little hysteresis as evidenced by a second heating/cooling cycle. Unlike the bulk, the aggregation product of 1a shows no solid-solid transformation in the first heating cycle. Also, the melting point onset temperature is increased by ca. 8 °C compared to the bulk sample of 1a. Cooling of the melt results in close reproduction of the thermal behavior of the bulk sample, indicating that the aggregate presents a metastable polymorph which, after melting, crystallizes to the polymorph represented by the bulk. This is confirmed by a second heating/cooling cycle which shows a good match of the temperatures and enthalpies to the bulk sample.

**Computational structure analysis.** In order to gain quantitative insight into the relevant structural parameters, each monomeric structure (1a, 2a and 3a) was optimized computationally. The computations were performed both under gas phase conditions and under an implicit water
solvation through the COSMO solvation model using the ab initio DF-LMP2/aug'-cc-pVTZ level of theory for the optimizations.\textsuperscript{15,16} This level of theory provides an accuracy comparable to canonical MP2 at a fraction of the computational cost.\textsuperscript{17,18} The optimized gas-phase geometries for 1a, 2a and 3a together with the corresponding COSMO-solvated geometries are depicted in Figures 4 and 5. The calculations (see supporting information for details) evidently support the observed planarity difference between the diastereochemically different structures. While at first sight it appears that the optimized geometries are not fully consistent with the observed coupling constants presented in Table 1, this is, however, not surprising as especially the structures 2a and 3a presumably occur in multiple Boltzmann distributed conformational states in the experimental setup. For the structure 1a, in turn, the linear conformation clearly dominates and the optimized geometry is fully in line with the observed coupling constant pattern and the corresponding conformation discussed above.

\textbf{Figure 4.} Optimized gas-phase geometries for 1a, 2a and 3a.

\textbf{Figure 5.} Optimized COSMO-solvated geometries for 1a, 2a and 3a.
In these systems, the planarity is proportional to the angle between $C_2-C_4-C_8$ and this angle can thus be utilized as a relevant measure of planarity. For perfectly planar structures, this angle should be $180^\circ$ (for numeric values of angle of planarity for $1a$, $2a$ and $3a$, see Table 2). It can be observed that the D-mannose derived structure $1a$ favors an almost perfectly planar form in both gas-phase and in implicit water solvation model. In contrast, the D-glucose and D-galactose derived structures $2a$ and $3a$ seem to be nonplanar under both conditions studied. However, the implicit solvation reduces the bend of all structures (see Table 2 for angles and Supporting Information for coordinates). This provides quantitative support for the hypothesis derived from the NOESY experiments, i.e., that the planarity of structure $1a$ may be relevant for the observed aggregation behavior. Also, the differing energy penalties associated with forcing the structures into a linear conformation in a crystal can explain the experimentally observed differences in the melting points between $1a$ and $2a/3a$. The reduced bend for the structure $2a$ in solution is due to a favored internal hydrogen bond network straightening the backbone. The concurrency between the formation of an intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bond network could be another cause for the difference in aggregation. Microsolvation studies coupled with a global optimization of the optimal bonding pattern and an analysis of the underlying energy landscapes can be used to prove this hypothesis. For further studies, dynamic simulations could be helpful in correctly assigning the driving force of the aggregation $1a$. 
Table 2. Relevant angle of planarity (C2-C4-C8) for the optimized structures in gas-phase and in solution (all values in degrees).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>gas-phase</th>
<th>solution (ε=80.4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>176.6</td>
<td>177.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>156.1</td>
<td>168.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>169.2</td>
<td>171.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X-ray diffraction studies and cryo-TEM imaging. Additionally, structural analysis of the solid aggregation product may offer insights to the relationship between the intramolecular structure and molecular packing which in turn can aid in deducing factors inducing the spontaneous aggregation of 1a. To investigate its solid state structure, single crystals of 1a were grown from an aqueous solution and were subjected to single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (full details in Supporting Information). The analysis reveals that the carbohydrate backbone of D-mannose derivative 1a adopts a linear conformation in the solid state (Figure 6, Tables S3-S6). This is exemplified by the angle of planarity $\angle$(C2-C4-C8) = 177.73(7)° which corresponds almost perfectly to the theoretical value obtained using the solvation model (177.6°). Due to the orientation of the hydroxyl group at the achiral C1 carbon, four of the OH-groups (O1, O2, O5 and O6) of 1a are located below the plane generated by the carbohydrate backbone whereas two OH-groups (O3 and O4) reside above the plane. This ensures effective intermolecular hydrogen bonding (HB) scheme where 1a is bonded to five distinct adjacent molecules. It is noteworthy that these intermolecular interactions occur via either two or three OH-groups with molecules that reside either above and below the plane, or parallel to the plane, respectively, guaranteeing high rigidity throughout the crystal lattice. Interestingly, the crystal structure of D-mannitol\textsuperscript{21} shows
very similar HB-connectivity parallel to the carbohydrate plane, compared to 1a, resulting in similar packing of these two compounds along the c-axes of the respective unit cells. However, a noticeable difference arises from the HB-connectivities of O1 and O2 of D-mannitol which engage in hydrogen bonding with altogether four adjacent molecules (HB-pattern with repeating single graph set$^{22} R_3^3(9)$) instead of three as observed for 1a (HB-pattern with alternating graph sets $R_2^2(10)$ and $R_4^4(8)$).

A close examination of the packing of 1a also allows us to speculate on the amphiphilic character of this specific D-mannose derivative. The effects of incorporating a large hydrophobic substituent into a polyol backbone are generally observed in the solid state as a formation of layered structures due to segregation of hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts of the molecules whereas the effects of small substituents, such as the allyl group in 1a, are not as profound. The crystal structure of 1a, viewed along the crystallographic c-axis (Figure 6), shows arrays of molecules in which the hydrophobic allyl groups are parallel to each other and point alternately up and down. The hydrogen bonding pattern extends in all three dimensions, and thus the hydrophobic effect of the allyl group is not significant enough to induce a layered packing of the molecules (cf. benzyl group in an aldonamide derivative of D-glycero-D-gulo-heptono-1,4-lactone$^{23}$). However, it should be noted that these observations only concern the solid state structure of 1a and do not necessarily reflect its amphiphilic behavior in solution.
Figure 6. Left: asymmetric unit of crystal structure of 1a. Right: intermolecular hydrogen bonding pattern (dotted lines) observed in crystal structure of 1a and viewed along crystallographic c-axis. Thermal ellipsoids are presented at the 50% probability level. Disordered H-atoms of O-5 and O-6 are omitted from the figure.

The conclusions drawn from the structural analysis of single crystals of 1a are valid for the aggregation product of 1a only if it presents a structural match to the measured single crystals. Therefore, powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) analyses of 1a bulk powder crystallized from ethanol, and the precipitate, obtained from spontaneous aggregation of 1a from an aqueous solution, were conducted and the results were compared to a simulated PXRD pattern obtained from the single crystal data of 1a. A side-by-side comparison (Figure 7) reveals that the simulated pattern agrees well with the PXRD pattern of the aggregation product implying that both the spontaneous aggregation and slow crystallization of 1a from an aqueous solution yield the same structure form. This can be further established by carrying out a Pawley analysis, (Figure S2) in which least-squares fit of the diffraction data is performed using the established unit cell parameters, space group setting and the peak profile parameters. The refined unit cell parameters (comparison of unit cells in Table S7) show a good fit to the single crystal unit cell with a somewhat anisotropic cell expansion (ca. 0.5% elongation of a and c cell axes whereas b axis shows a 1.7% lengthening). The overall increase in cell volume is typical considering the different measurement temperatures. Compared to the aggregation product and single crystals, the bulk precipitate clearly presents another polymorph of 1a illustrating the significance of crystallization conditions to induce the crystallization of a specific structural form. For 1a, such behavior can be expected on the basis of rich polymorphism of the parent non-functionalized D-mannitol.24-26
Figure 7. Simulated (single crystal, SC) and experimental powder X-ray diffraction patterns of compound 1a.

Furthermore, cryo-TEM imaging was performed in order to directly observe the aggregation behavior in water solutions. As the water vitrification process is very rapid, the solution microstructure in the frozen cryo-TEM specimen is expected to be preserved same as prior to vitrification. Figures S3 and S4 in Supporting Information show cryo-TEM images taken from the sample which was vitrified 37 min after the initial dissolution. The image clearly shows small spherical aggregates with diameter approximately 7-8 nm, which further form larger aggregation networks.

CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, the NMR spectroscopic data, theoretical analysis, thermal analysis and crystallographic data support the perfectly linear conformation of the alkene-terminated D-
mannose derived polyol (1a). This type of high level of structural order that is due to favorable relative stereochemistry of this structure is suggested to play a crucial role in the observed aggregation behavior of the water solution of this compound. In turn, the corresponding nonlinear analogues derived from D-glucose and D-galactose (2a and 3a) do not show similar aggregation behavior. The perfectly regular three-dimensional structure of 1a encourages to search further applications for this molecule. For example, the terminal alkene functionality could possibly be utilized in various coupling reactions, thus opening possibilities to synthesize novel hydrophilic functional materials. An intriguing thought is also the possible role of similar enantiomerically or diastereomerically pure small molecule amphiphiles as templates for mirror symmetry breaking and the origin of biomolecular homochirality.
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Notes

The initial findings on aggregation of 1a together with NMR spectroscopic data and computational results have been discussed in part earlier in the DrS Thesis of the first author; See: Saloranta, T. Development of Simple and Efficient Synthetic Strategies for Production of Fine Chemicals of Pharmaceutical relevance – Metal-Mediated Allylation Combined with Applied Catalysis. DrS Thesis, Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland, 2012. This thesis is also available via www.doria.fi.
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From mannose to small amphiphilic polyol - perfect linearity leads to spontaneous aggregation

Tiina Saloranta, Anssi Peuronen, Johannes Dieterich, Janne Ruokolainen, Manu Lahtinen, Reko Leino

Terminally unsaturated D-mannose derived polyol exhibits spontaneous aggregation from aqueous solution owing to its rigid backbone and semi-hydrophobic nature. This unconventional phenomenon is here shown by combinations of NMR spectroscopy, ab initio structure analysis, X-ray diffraction and thermal analysis to be due to the low energy linear conformation maintained by this particular substrate both in solid state and in solution.