

**This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint *may differ* from the original in pagination and typographic detail.**

Author(s): Vesterinen, Jussi; Devlin, Shawn; Syväranta, Jari; Jones, Roger

Title: Accounting for littoral primary production by periphyton shifts a highly humic boreal lake towards net autotrophy

Year: 2016

Version:

Please cite the original version:

Vesterinen, J., Devlin, S., Syväranta, J., & Jones, R. (2016). Accounting for littoral primary production by periphyton shifts a highly humic boreal lake towards net autotrophy. *Freshwater Biology*, 61(3), 265-276. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12700>

All material supplied via JYX is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Accounting for littoral primary production by periphyton shifts a highly humic boreal lake towards net autotrophy.

RH: Humic lake net autotrophy

Jussi Vesterinen^{1*}, Shawn P. Devlin^{1,2}, Jari Syväranta^{1,3} & Roger I. Jones¹

- 5 1) University of Jyväskylä, Department of Environmental & Biological Science, P.O.
 Box 35 40014 Jyväskylä, Finland
- 2) Current Address: Flathead Lake Biological Station, University of Montana,
 Polson, MT, 59860.
- 3) Current address: Aarhus University, Department of Bioscience, Vejlsøvej 25, 8600
10 Silkeborg, Denmark

*Corresponding author: jussi.p.vesterinen@jyu.fi

15 **Abstract**

1. The prevailing view that many humic lakes are net heterotrophic is commonly based on pelagic measurements alone. Poor light conditions in humic lakes are assumed to constrain littoral primary production (PP), such that the littoral zone has been considered an insignificant contributor to whole-lake PP. However, that assumption is based on models and inferences from pelagic processes which do not take littoral zone structure into account.

20 Many lakes have an extensive ring of aquatic vegetation lying near the water surface, which provides substratum for epiphytic algae under well-illuminated conditions.

2. We measured both pelagic and littoral PP and community respiration (CR) in Mekkojärvi, a small, highly humic headwater lake, in southern Finland throughout the open water season in 2012. We used a ^{14}C incorporation technique to measure pelagic PP, while littoral PP was determined using changes in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentrations during *in situ* incubations. We then estimated whole-lake PP and CR for both the littoral and pelagic zones.

3. We found that littoral PP usually dominated whole-lake PP, contributing >90% to total PP in summer. A mean pelagic production to respiration ratio (GPP:CR) of 0.4 clearly indicated strong net heterotrophy, but a value of 2.2 for the littoral zone indicated strong autotrophy.

30 For both habitats combined, the mean whole lake GPP:CR was 1.6, indicating net autotrophy.

4. We suggest that littoral PP can contribute significantly to whole-lake PP even in highly humic lakes, and that the littoral contribution can shift some lakes which have been considered net heterotrophic to metabolic balance or even net autotrophy during the ice-free period. Small humic lakes like Mekkojärvi with rings of littoral vegetation are widespread, especially in the boreal zone, and at least for similar lakes, evaluating lake metabolism from pelagic measurements alone is likely to be misleading.

40 Keywords: Benthic Primary Production, Lake Metabolism, Heterotrophy, Autotrophy,
Dissolved Organic Carbon.

Introduction

Most studies concerning primary production (PP) in lakes have included only phytoplankton and have ignored benthic algae in littoral habitats (Vadeboncoeur, Vander Zanden & Lodge, 2002). Over the last decade, a consensus has emerged that littoral and pelagic food webs are linked and that a combination of the PP of phytoplankton, benthic algae and macrophytes together with allochthonous organic carbon (OC) forms the energetic base of lake food webs (Vander Zanden & Vadeboncoeur, 2002; Vadeboncoeur *et al.*, 2008; Reynolds 2008; Karlsson *et al.*, 2009; Cole *et al.*, 2011). Although the view of discrete pelagic and littoral food webs has changed, quantitative integrations of littoral processes into whole-lake dynamics remain under-represented in the literature (Vadeboncoeur & Steinman, 2002). Of the few studies investigating littoral productivity and dynamics, most are from clear-water lakes, both oligotrophic (eg. Ask *et al.*, 2009) and eutrophic (eg. Van de Bogert *et al.*, 2007), and only very few from dystrophic humic lakes. There is strong evidence that benthic algae can make substantial contributions to whole-lake PP in clear-water lakes (Vadeboncoeur *et al.*, 2003; Andersson & Brunberg, 2006; Ask *et al.*, 2009) and can provide a major food resource for consumers even in large lakes, despite a low benthic contribution to whole-lake PP (Hecky & Hesslein, 1995; Hobson & Welch, 1995; Vander Zanden & Vadeboncoeur, 2002; Devlin, Vander Zanden & Vadeboncoeur, 2013). In contrast, poor light penetration into humic lakes is considered to severely constrain primary production, and especially benthic primary production (Karlsson *et al.*, 2009).

Allochthonous (terrestrially derived) organic matter can affect several fundamental functions in lake ecosystems (e.g. constraining primary production) and allochthony increases along a humic gradient (Jones 1992; Carpenter *et al.*, 2005; Jansson *et al.*, 2007). Incorporation of allochthonous OC into lake food webs increases heterotrophy, leading to community respiration (CR) that exceeds gross primary production (GPP) and overall net

heterotrophy (del Giorgio & Peters, 1994; Duarte & Agusti, 1998). However, most studies investigating whole-lake carbon flux concern only pelagic habitats without measurements of benthic autotrophic production or metabolism in the littoral zone. Ask *et al.* (2009) measured biomass production of algae and bacteria in both pelagic and benthic habitats in subarctic clear-water lakes and found that pelagic habitats were net heterotrophic with respiration and net CO₂ production dominating carbon fluxes, whereas primary production was dominant in benthic habitats. Those authors also concluded that allochthonous OC supported a significant part of the total consumer biomass production in both pelagic and benthic habitats. Humic or highly turbid lakes, where light effectively limits phytoplanktonic primary production to the uppermost water layers, are expected to have very low benthic contribution to whole-lake PP (Vadeboncoeur *et al.*, 2008). This is especially pronounced if a lake has a high depth ratio (DR = \bar{z}/z_{\max}) and lacks illuminated benthic habitats. Consequently, and possibly due to more demanding sampling and measurement techniques for benthic algae and benthic PP in complex littoral habitats compared to relatively simple pelagic PP measurements, inclusion of littoral habitats has been rare in studies of humic lakes.

We measured primary production and respiration in both pelagic and littoral habitats of a small, highly humic lake, Mekkojärvi, which has been well studied (e.g. Kairesalo, Lehtovaara & Saukkonen, 1992; Järvinen & Salonen, 1998; Salonen *et al.*, 2005; Taipale *et al.*, 2008). There are numerous similar lakes in Finland and globally, with the estimated total number of lakes with surface area from 0.1 to 1 ha being $2.77 \cdot 10^8$ (Downing *et al.*, 2006). Finnish lakes are predominantly shallow due to flat topography and consequently allochthonous carbon inputs are diluted in a small volume of water resulting in higher TOC concentrations and water colour than in deeper lakes (Kortelainen, 1999). Climate change is expected to increase terrestrial exports of DOC to lakes (Tranvik & Jansson, 2002) affecting the light climate in these lakes. Previous studies have revealed that carbon fluxes in the

pelagic zone of Mekkojärvi are dominated by heterotrophic processes and the lake has been considered to be net heterotrophic. However, the littoral zone of Mekkojärvi consists of a surrounding floating moss bed with submerged, inert, sedge stems supporting profuse epiphytic algal mats (periphyton) under well-illuminated conditions in summer. The productivity of this periphyton has not been measured before. Species diversity and biomass of animals in the littoral zone of Mekkojärvi is much higher than in the pelagic zone, and those animal communities have been assumed to have strong reliance on periphyton (Kairesalo *et al.*, 1992). Our main hypothesis was that the periphyton productivity in the littoral zone should be high in order to support the abundant macroinvertebrate consumers, despite the argument that benthic PP should be low considering the trophic status, light regime and basin shape of the lake (Vadeboncoeur *et al.*, 2008). Our second hypothesis was that, although the pelagic zone in Mekkojärvi is strongly dominated by heterotrophic processes, the littoral zone is more dominated by autotrophic processes and would offset pelagic heterotrophy even to the extent of making the lake net autotrophic.

105

Methods

Study site

The study was conducted at Mekkojärvi (61°13'N 25°3'E) in the Evo forest area in southern Finland (Fig. 1A) during the open water season in 2012. Mekkojärvi is a small (0.35 ha) and highly humic (water colour 300–800 mg Pt l⁻¹) headwater lake with maximum depth of 4.3 m and mean depth of 2 m. The lake receives a high loading of terrestrial organic matter from its catchment area, and has high DOC concentrations and low pH (Table 1). Despite being so shallow, the very high water colour and shelter from wind by the surrounding coniferous forest cause the lake to develop a very steep temperature and oxygen stratification gradient in summer, when the thermocline lies between 0.5–1.0 m and anoxia generally

115

occurs below 1.0 m. The lake cannot sustain fish populations due to complete under-ice anoxia during winter, and the absence of planktivorous fish has led to very dense populations of the large bodied cladoceran *Daphnia longispina* in summer. Our study was conducted as part of a whole-lake food web experiment in 2012, when Mekkojärvi was divided into two basins with a plastic curtain and adult perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) (n = 100) were introduced to one basin in early July, while the other basin remained fishless. Both lake basins were always sampled simultaneously.

Mekkojärvi has a depth ratio of 0.47 and lacks illuminated benthic surfaces due to its dark brown water colour and extremely low light penetration (light-attenuation coefficient, K_d , ranges from 4.5 to 7.5). Despite its moderate DR, Mekkojärvi does not have a clearly defined littoral zone, as the lake is surrounded by a floating moss bed with fallen submerged terrestrial sedges, and a water column lies underneath the vegetation mat leading to a more cylindrical lake morphometry than implied by the DR. The littoral zone is thus composed mainly of *Sphagnum* and *Warnstorfia* moss species, with submerged and emergent macrophytes such as *Menyanthes trifoliata*, *Phragmites australis*, *Carex* spp. and *Utricularia* sp. associated with the moss mat. The littoral vegetation mat does not extend further than ca. 1 m from the lake edge and not deeper than ca. 0.5 m, but it forms a complex physical structure, which is well-illuminated and has a large surface area for epiphytic algal development. Relatively thick (ca. 1 cm) periphyton communities, rich in pennate diatoms (Bacillariophyceae), develop early in June, and achieve their highest biomass from July to mid-August. The area of littoral vegetation mat, estimated from a satellite image by using an area calculator tool (in Retkikartta.fi, © Metsähallitus, MML, CGI 2015), is ca. 0.086 ha, which covers ca. 25% of the whole lake area and gives a ratio of pelagic to littoral zone by area of 3.0.

140

Physical and chemical parameters

Light attenuation was measured at each sampling period using a single planar LI-COR[®] (Li-192) Underwater Quantum light sensor. Light was measured at 0.5 m intervals from the surface to the bottom of the lake and light attenuation coefficients were calculated (Kirk, 2003). Temperature and oxygen concentration were measured during every sampling in 2012 and once in 2014 with an oxygen and temperature sensor (YSI 55 probe, Yellow Springs Instruments). Daily irradiance values (measured with a Kipp & Zonen B.V., model CM11) and day lengths were obtained from the Finnish Meteorological Institute measurement station in Jokioinen, ca. 110 km west from Mekkojärvi. Nutrient, DOC and POM samples were taken at each sampling period and were analysed at Lammi Biological Station.

Pelagic phytoplankton biomass, production and respiration

Composite water samples were taken with an acrylic tube sampler (Limnos) by combining three pulls covering each of three strata (0–0.5, 0.5–1.5 and 1.5–3 m) corresponding to epi- meta- and hypolimnion in both basins of the lake. Samples were taken 10 times between May–October in 2012. Phytoplankton biomass was estimated as chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*) concentration in the composite water samples. Samples were filtered onto Whatman GF/C glass fibre filters and chl *a* was measured by UV-spectrophotometry (Shimadzu UV-1800) according to a standard spectrophotometric method (SFS 5772, Finnish Standards Association).

Primary production in the pelagic habitat was measured eight times from composite water samples from four strata (0–0.2 m, 0.2–0.5 m, 0.5–1 m and 1–3 m) from both basins according to the ¹⁴C incorporation method described by Schindler, Schmidt & Reid (1972). Subsamples were pipetted into 20 mL glass vials (containing NaH¹⁴CO₃) with four replicates

(one dark sample in each depth). Samples were then incubated *in situ* in each stratum for 24 h. The ^{14}C activity was measured with a Packard Tri-Carb[®] liquid scintillation counter. These 24 h determinations were assumed to approximate most closely to net primary production (NPP). Primary productivity of the water column was converted from volumetric basis to areal basis by multiplying the values from each stratum by the total depth of the stratum and then summing over the whole water column. Whole-lake daily PP estimates were calculated by multiplying daily areal values by the lake surface area.

Pelagic community respiration (CR) was measured eight times, together with PP, as the increase in DIC concentration during dark incubations. Subsamples (two initials and two samples for dark incubation) were taken from composite water samples from each stratum into 50 mL glass BOD bottles. Half of the bottles were wrapped in aluminium foil and incubated *in situ* for 24 h in each stratum and the other half (t_{0h}) were placed on ice and taken immediately to the laboratory, where DIC concentration was measured with a DIC-analyser (Salonen, 1981). This was repeated for t_{24h} samples the next day. Areal values and whole-lake estimates for CR were calculated as for pelagic PP. Pelagic NPP was converted to GPP as the sum of NPP and CR values in the photic zone, assuming that most of the CR was attributable to primary producers.

Littoral primary production, respiration and periphyton biomass

Primary production in the littoral habitats was measured eight times, concurrently with pelagic PP, from *in situ* incubations of periphyton samples in both dark and transparent 20 ml glass vials where the consumption or production of DIC over time indicates either respiration or production. Periphyton PP on moss and on sedges per unit dry mass of substratum was compared and there was no statistically significant difference in PP rates (t -test, $t_{39} = -0.2005$, $P = 0.8421$, data not shown). This allowed us to sample routinely from

submerged sedges instead of from the more complex moss substratum. Furthermore, sampling of sedges was appropriate because while lying under the water surface they were metabolically inert. To insure that the sedge substratum was indeed metabolically inert, epiphyton was carefully removed from sedges and the cleaned substratum was incubated in both light and dark. No change in DIC was measured (data not shown). The lake was sampled at eight sites around the shoreline, four sites from each basin. Samples were collected by clipping random sedge pieces into 20 mL glass vials and filling with surrounding littoral water. Trial incubations of this littoral water without any epiphyton or substratum demonstrated no substantive changes in DIC concentration over time. Four replicate samples of surrounding water to obtain initial DIC concentrations were taken from each sampling site. Samples were incubated *in situ* for 2 h at noon, half of the samples in dark conditions and the other half in the surface water in the same light conditions as those from which the periphyton originated (barely submerged under the water surface). After the incubations, samples were put into ice and dark and taken immediately to the laboratory, where DIC was measured with a DIC-analyser (Salonen, 1981). Periphyton was then entirely removed from the collected substratum by scraping with a spatula and was filtered onto glass fibre filters (Whatman GF/F) and chl *a* was determined as described above for phytoplankton. Periphyton biomass was estimated as total chl *a* per dry weight of substratum in a sample. Substrata were dried at 60 °C for 24 h and dry mass was recorded.

Gross primary production (GPP) was calculated from the difference in DIC between dark and light samples ($GPP = DIC_{\text{dark}} - DIC_{\text{light}} / \text{incubation time}$); CR was calculated from the difference in DIC between dark and initial samples ($CR = DIC_{\text{dark}} - DIC_{\text{initial}} / \text{incubation time}$). Net primary production (NPP) was then calculated as $NPP = GPP - CR$, assuming that most of the CR was attributable to primary producers. Values for each incubated sample were normalized to mg C g dry weight (DW) substratum⁻¹. To estimate whole-lake littoral primary

production, we quantified the availability of littoral substratum, which consisted of macrophytes and moss. Littoral substratum along 40 cm of lakeshore at 12 sites within each basin was entirely physically removed, carefully collected and dried at 60° C. We calculated the average dry weight of littoral substratum per meter of lake shore (20.1 and 22.5 g DW substratum m⁻¹, respectively) in both basins and, since the substratum consists mainly of flat and horizontally aggregated macrophytes and moss leaves, we divided the weight by two in order to conservatively estimate the mass of the illuminated side of the substratum. It should be noted that more than half the substrate collected had substantial development of epiphyton and that this approach is likely to have yielded a conservative estimate of available substratum. We compared the use of this method of whole-lake extrapolation to a simple areal extrapolation method and found that the results were within 20% at the whole-lake scale. Given the vast oversimplification of defining the heterogeneous, extremely rugose and complex physical nature of the littoral substratum as a plane, we considered that extrapolation by substratum weight was more realistic. Daily estimates for periphyton PP were calculated from a slightly modified version (Eq. 1) of the calculation described by Vadeboncoeur *et al.* (2008). For whole-lake estimates, we calculated PP per m of lake shore and multiplied that by the total shoreline lengths of each basin (135 and 185 m, respectively). Daily estimates for periphyton respiration were calculated by multiplying the mean values at noon by 24.

235 Periphyton PP^{day} =

$$\Delta t \sum_{\text{sunrise}}^{\text{sunset}} [\text{PP}_{\text{max}} \tanh(I_{zt}/I_k)]$$

(Eq. 1)

where Δt is the time increment (h), PP_{max} is the light-saturated primary production (mg C g dry-weighted substrate⁻¹ h⁻¹) derived from incubating samples right under the surface at noon, \tanh is the hyperbolic tangent function, I_{zt} is the light at depth z ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and time t (h)

240 and I_k is the light intensity for onset of saturation, which was set to $300 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ according to Hill (1996). We used the irradiance data and day lengths obtained from the Finnish Meteorological Institute in Jokioinen. To define I_z , the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) on the surface was multiplied by 0.75, which was the average fraction of light at the incubation depth over the whole sampling period (Fig. 1B).

245

Statistical analyses

Since the lake was divided into two basins with a plastic curtain, and one basin was fishless and the other had fish, we used multivariate repeated measures of ANOVA (RMA) to test if there were statistically significant differences in PP, CR and chl *a* between the sampling occasions and the basins. The data were log-transformed, when necessary, to achieve the requirements of parametric analyses. A non-significant basin effect (between-subject factor) revealed that the fish experiment and the lake division did not affect the production and respiration values, which allowed us to pool the data. The daily estimates for periphyton PP (Eq. 1) were performed using R project for Statistical Computing version 3.0 (R Core Team, 2013). Other tests were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0.0.2. If not expressly noted, all the descriptive statistics are means + S.E.

250

255

Results

Pelagic primary productivity, respiration and phytoplankton biomass

260 Physical and chemical parameters are reported in Table 1. Light attenuation coefficient, K_d , ranged from 4.5 to 7.5. Pelagic NPP (Fig. 2A) was highest in late May ($331 \pm 274 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), indicating a clear spring maximum of phytoplankton. PP then decreased towards autumn, being lowest in late September ($9.0 \pm 1.7 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$). PP values for 19 July and 1 August include data from only one basin, as the data from the other basin were

265 unreliable. RMA was not executed for the pelagic PP data, since variation was substantial and assumptions for repeated measures were not met; however, a t-test showed no differences in mean PP for the whole sampling period between the two basins (t -test, $t_{40} = 0.254$, $P = 0.801$), or in pairwise comparisons between the basins on each date, allowing us to pool the data from the two basins.

270 Community respiration also varied substantially (Fig. 2A), and exhibited rates over one order of magnitude higher than those for PP. The highest mean CR on 18 June was $3210 \pm 1655 \text{ mg C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, of which the hypolimnetic fraction was 78%. The mean hypolimnetic fraction of the CR in the water column over the sampling period was $77\% \pm 5$. CR values for 1 and 15 August include values from only one basin. RMA for CR from May to August 275 showed a significant main effect of dates ($F_{3, 48} = 11.88$, $P < 0.01$), indicating change over time, but there was no significant interaction between the basins and the dates ($F_3 = 2.03$, $P = 0.12$), allowing us to pool the data from the two basins.

Chlorophyll a concentration in the epilimnion was rather constant from spring to autumn ($8.0 \pm 1.1 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) and substantially lower than in the metalimnion ($27.2 \pm 6.2 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) and hypolimnion ($55.4 \pm 4.4 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) (Fig. 3A). However, the high chl a concentrations in both meta- and hypolimnion presumably contain appreciable quantities of bacteriochlorophyll (BChl), which is abundant in Mekkojärvi and which overlaps with chl a in spectrophotometric determination (Karhunen *et al.*, 2013). Indeed BChl may also have contributed to epilimnetic chl a , as chl a did not follow the same pattern as pelagic PP. When 285 the basins were compared for the mean chl a over the sampling period, chl a was higher in the fishless basin ($37.8 \pm 5.4 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) than in the basin which had fish ($22.6 \pm 4.2 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) (t -test, $t_{58} = -2.200$, $P < 0.05$).

Littoral primary productivity, respiration and periphyton biomass

290 Littoral periphyton NPP was highest in spring and throughout the summer except for a
rapid decrease in early July (Fig. 2C), and showed a pattern of decline towards autumn,
decreasing substantially from late-August to late-September (Fig. 2B, C). The mean NPP at
noon was 35.8 ± 3.2 mg C g DW substratum⁻¹ h⁻¹ and the highest value was determined on 20
July (47.2 ± 11.0 mg C g DW substratum⁻¹ h⁻¹). RMA revealed a significant main effect of
295 time ($F_{7,98} = 6.940$, $P < 0.01$), which was not affected by basin treatments ($F_{7,98} = 1.098$, $P =$
 0.366). The only significant difference in NPP was observed between 30 August and 27
September ($F_{1,14} = 3.462$, $P < 0.05$), although the main effect of basin was not significant ($F_{1,$
 $14} = 3.982$, $P = 0.066$), allowing us to pool the data from both basins. Mean daily littoral NPP
was 364 ± 66 mg C g DW substratum⁻¹ d⁻¹ and the highest mean rates were observed on 20
300 July (663 ± 2 mg C g DW substratum⁻¹ d⁻¹), which was later than the highest noon rates
observed on 4 July (Fig. 2B, C). This, as well as the decrease in daily NPP values on 30
August, was caused by rapid changes in weather and light conditions, which gave lower daily
estimates. NPP rates remained constant until August, when variation increased between the
basins.

305 Littoral CR was low relative to the highest NPP rates (Fig. 2B, C). The mean CR at
noon was 16.7 ± 2.4 mg C g DW substrate⁻¹ h⁻¹; the highest rates were observed on 4 July
(37.0 ± 11.3 mg C (g DW substratum)⁻¹ h⁻¹) and the lowest on 27 September (4.6 ± 1.3 mg C
(g DW substratum)⁻¹ h⁻¹). RMA revealed no significant main effect of time ($F_{2.88,40.36} = 1.530$,
 $P = 0.222$) and no interaction between time and the basins ($F_{2.88,40.36} = 0.252$, $P = 0.852$), or
310 any main effect of basin ($F_{1,14} = 0.013$, $P = 0.858$). When the daily CR values were
calculated from the hourly rates, the mean CR over the sampling periods was 395 ± 65 mg C
(g DW substratum)⁻¹ d⁻¹.

The mean periphyton chl *a* content over the sampling periods was 0.7 ± 0.1 mg (g
DW substratum)⁻¹; the highest contents were observed on 30 August (1.3 ± 0.3 mg (g DW

315 substratum)⁻¹) and the lowest on 27 September ($0.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg (g DW substratum)}^{-1}$) (Fig. 3B).
RMA revealed a significant main effect of time ($F_{2,52, 35,36} = 5.705, P < 0.01$), but no
interactions between time and basin ($F_{2,52, 35,36} = 1.191, P = 0.323$).

Whole-lake estimates for net primary production and respiration

320 Whole-lake estimates showed that littoral contributions dominated whole-lake NPP
from spring to early autumn (Fig. 4A, B). The mean littoral contribution to whole-lake NPP
over the sampling period was $81 \pm 8\%$. Pelagic NPP contribution most early in the spring
(24%) and in late autumn (54%), while in the summer the pelagic contribution varied from 2
to 20%. The highest estimate for the whole-lake NPP in the littoral was 4.1 kg C d^{-1} on 21
325 June and the lowest was 17.5 g C d^{-1} on 27 September, while the mean was $2.4 \pm 0.7 \text{ kg C d}^{-1}$.
The highest whole-lake estimate for pelagic NPP was 1.1 kg C d^{-1} on 31 May and the lowest
was 32.4 g C d^{-1} on 27 September, while the mean was $338 \pm 165 \text{ g C d}^{-1}$. Whole-lake
estimates for July could not be calculated due to missing pelagic data from the fishless basin,
but the littoral contributions in the basin with fish remained high in July (77 and 91%).

330 Whole-lake estimates of pelagic CR showed higher values in spring when the highest
peak, observed on 21 June, was 10.4 kg C d^{-1} (Fig. 4C, D). The lowest estimate was 385 g C
 d^{-1} on 30 August while the mean was $3.2 \pm 1.9 \text{ kg C d}^{-1}$ over five sampling periods. Littoral
CR was relatively stable, ranging from 766 g C d^{-1} in late September to 4.4 kg C d^{-1} in late
August, while the mean was $2.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ kg C d}^{-1}$ (Fig. 4C, D).

335

Production to respiration ratio (GPP:CR)

The mean GPP:CR ratio in the littoral zone was $2.2 \pm 0.4, n = 8$), but was
significantly lower in the pelagic zone ($0.4 \pm 0.1, n = 5$) (*t*-test, $t_{11} = 3.185, P < 0.01$). The
highest GPP:CR ratio in the littoral was 4.8 on 20 July, and the lowest was 1.0 on 27

340 September. For the pelagic zone, the highest GPP:CR ratio was 1.0 on 20 July, and the lowest
was 0.2 on 16 August. The whole-lake average of five sampling periods was 1.6 ± 0.5 . The
results indicated net heterotrophy ($P:R < 1$) in the pelagic zone but net autotrophy ($P:R > 1$) in
the littoral zone (Fig. 5). When whole-lake pelagic and littoral results were combined, the
outcome indicated net autotrophy for the complete lake ecosystem (Fig. 5).

345

Discussion

Our results demonstrate an overwhelming dominance of littoral PP in whole-lake
autotrophic production in Mekkojärvi. The lake is very humic, which limits light penetration,
while its depth ratio and complex littoral structure leave the majority of the lake bottom in
350 darkness. However, littoral vegetation lining the lake perimeter is well-illuminated and
supports highly productive periphyton assemblages, with mean contribution to whole-lake
NPP over 80% throughout the sampling period. Intense respiration of allochthonous OC in
the pelagic habitat substantially exceeded phytoplankton PP, indicating strong net
heterotrophy with low GPP:CR ratios in the open water of the lake. In contrast, well-
355 developed and highly productive periphyton mats led to net autotrophy in the littoral habitat,
and when incorporated into whole-lake PP the system GPP:CR ratio was net autotrophic.
Estimating PP and CR for structurally complex littoral zones is very challenging, and our
estimates of whole-lake littoral PP and CR undoubtedly have higher uncertainty than the
pelagic values. Nevertheless, the littoral values are so high that the associated uncertainty
360 cannot alter their essential message. Moreover, the values for littoral NPP must be
underestimates, as we obtained these by subtracting CR from GPP, and the true respiration
attributable to primary producers must be less than the CR. Hence our results demonstrate
that, despite high terrestrial inputs of DOC and dominance of heterotrophic processes in the
pelagic zone, some humic lakes can be metabolically balanced or even net autotrophic when

365 highly productive periphyton communities are included in measurements of whole-lake
metabolism. Our findings challenge the current theoretical framework regarding whole-lake
metabolism in humic systems and provide some answers to recently posed questions about
the carbon budgets in lakes (Hanson *et al.*, 2014).

Lakes with high DOC, high colour and low pelagic primary production are often
370 assumed to be heterotrophic due to the allochthonous OC (Cole *et al.*, 2000). However,
littoral primary production is often overlooked in lakes like Mekkojärvi, as many factors that
regulate possible littoral contributions to whole lake autochthony would appear to seriously
constrain the potential significance of periphyton. Indeed, based on Mekkojärvi's depth ratio,
light attenuation and P content, equations presented by Vadeboncoeur *et al.* (2008) predict
375 that the overall contribution of littoral PP to whole-lake PP should be a maximum of 10%.
However, these models do not account for sub-surface littoral vegetation lining the lake
perimeter that provides substantial substratum for colonization by epiphytic algae.

Previous work demonstrating that benthic algal contribution to whole-lake PP
declines rapidly with increasing DOC concentration in northern boreal lakes, offers a
380 perspective for autotrophy in humic lakes when epiphytic benthic algae is not measured and
included as a source of PP (e.g. Ask *et al.*, 2009). When metabolism on sediment and by
periphyton colonizing only the lake bottom are measured, logically the reduced light
penetration caused by high DOC concentrations explains why benthic algae could not
contribute substantially to humic lake autotrophy. However, to fully account for and evaluate
385 the contribution of benthic algae in humic lakes, the extremely productive, albeit easily
overlooked, PP from shallow periphyton needs to be included.

It is still likely that large north temperate lakes are generally net heterotrophic, as
bacterial respiration of allochthonous OC can proceed in the whole water column during the
entire year, while photosynthesis in most of the lakes is restricted to the uppermost water

390 layers (Jansson *et al.*, 2000). That is evident if a lake does not have extensive littoral
vegetation and the ratio of pelagic area to the area of littoral vegetation is very high.
However, northern areas have millions of lakes with mean depth less than 3 m and a littoral
zone that dominates the pelagic zone (Wetzel, 1990). In Finland, where ca. 10% of the
surface area is covered by lakes, over 95% of the 190 000 lakes are smaller than 1 km²
395 (Raatikainen & Kuusisto, 1990) and a majority of these are humic with a high concentration
of organic matter (Kämäri *et al.*, 1991). Although our results are from only one lake, we can
assume that in small humic lakes, where littoral vegetation comprising floating moss and
macrophytes is a widespread characteristic, estimates of PP and lake metabolism based only
on measurements from the pelagic zone and ignoring epiphytic PP are likely to be seriously
400 erroneous.

Pelagic PP in Mekkojärvi was similar to that in previous studies of the lake (Salonen
et al., 2005; Taipale, Kankaala & Jones, 2007): a clear spring peak was followed by a
decrease towards autumn with mean rates under 100 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹ and relatively high
variation. Salonen *et al.* (2005) measured the CR in Mekkojärvi *in situ* from a 1 m water
405 column in the epilimnion and found respiration rates 5.2 times higher than PP. Even so, they
concluded that total water column CR was likely to be considerably underestimated, as CR in
the anoxic hypolimnion has been shown to contribute significantly to whole-lake CR (Cole &
Pace, 1995). Our finding of a 77% contribution from the hypolimnetic fraction to total
pelagic CR, with a mean rate of almost 1 g C m⁻² d⁻¹ is consistent with this view. One of the
410 greatest uncertainties in measuring PP by ¹⁴C incorporation is whether it measures net or
gross primary production, or something in-between (Marra, 2009). We used a ¹⁴C
incorporation method with 24 h incubation, as has been widely used at other nearby humic
lakes (Arvola *et al.*, 1999), as a longer (24 h) incubation time has been considered appropriate
in unproductive lakes, and such an incubation time should give values approximating NPP.

415 Other uncertainty is involved in deriving GPP from NPP by adding CR, as this includes the
respiration of organisms other than autotrophs and gives an overestimate of GPP. The true
autotrophic respiration must be less than CR and therefore the true PP:R ratios of the lake
will be higher than our estimates; hence the lake must actually be more autotrophic and our
interpretations remain conservative.

420 Oxygen data from the pelagic (Table 1) at noon demonstrate undersaturation of O₂ in
the epilimnion at the relevant temperatures, indicating apparent heterotrophy. Although we do
not have DO data from the littoral in 2012, we measured DO from both habitats in late-May
2014 (Table 1). DO in the littoral was measured just above the moss from several sampling
sites and from the epilimnion (0–0.5 m) in the pelagic. In the littoral zone oxygen saturation
425 varied between 105 and 120%, whereas in the pelagic it was under 70%. These results give
support to our high PP rates and demonstrate marked spatial heterogeneity in oxygen in the
lake. Hence the undersaturation of oxygen in the open water is not inconsistent with an
overall metabolic balance for the lake.

The extremely high littoral production we measured in Mekkojärvi is likely to support
430 a productive littoral food web. Van Duinen *et al.* (2013) found that periphyton constituted a
significant food resource for aquatic macroinvertebrates in small and highly humic bog pools
in southwest Estonia. Lau *et al.* (2014) also showed that autochthonous resources are the
main driver of secondary production in five dystrophic lakes in Sweden. While they did not
directly measure primary production, our results of high epiphytic production support their
435 findings. The most diverse and abundant macroinvertebrate community in Mekkojärvi lives
within the littoral moss, and it is likely that periphyton is the basal food resource in this
habitat. Moreover, the most widespread fish species in small humic lakes, European perch
(*Perca fluviatilis*), relies mainly on littoral macroinvertebrates for food in such lakes (e.g.

Nyberg *et al.*, 2010). The contribution of periphyton to macroinvertebrate diets and the lake
440 food web remains unclear in Mekkojärvi and deserves further study.

Our findings demonstrate that littoral zones in humic lakes offer a highly productive
environment for lake biota, and are also as critical to whole-lake metabolism as the pelagic
processes that have been much better documented in the past. Littoral primary production can
dominate pelagic PP, and in doing so can offset the high heterotrophic pelagic respiration
445 even to the extent of producing a balanced or net autotrophic whole-lake metabolism. This
contradicts the most widely held current view (Del Giorgio, Cole & Cimbleris, 1997) and
demonstrates that there is much more to be learned regarding the role of littoral processes in
humic lake metabolism. However, our estimates cover only the ice-free period. Respiratory
processes, mainly due to decomposition of OC, continue in boreal Finnish lakes at a low
450 levels throughout the winter ice cover (Kortelainen *et al.*, 2006), which may still make these
lakes net heterotrophic on an annual basis.

We estimated that the ratio of pelagic to littoral zone by area in Mekkojärvi is 3.0,
which was derived from areal calculations of both habitats from satellite imagery. Littoral
area was determined as originating at the land-water interface and ending at the edge of the
455 vegetation. Although crude, we believe this approach provides a reasonable estimate. The
number of lakes with the similar pelagic-littoral ratios approaches 10^5 worldwide (Peters &
Lodge, 2010) with the majority other lakes having lower values. Yet, it is hard to give
quantitative estimates of the prevalence of humic lakes ringed by similar floating vegetation
with highly productive epiphyton as occurs in Mekkojärvi. Bryophyte mats and sedges are
460 characteristic of oligotrophic humic lakes (Rintanen, 1996; Heino & Toivonen, 2008) and
therefore there is a high probability that many lakes that are similar to Mekkojärvi in size and
region contain similar vegetation features. Aquatic moss stands in small, humic Finnish lakes
have expanded in recent decades showing a clear trend of filling the entire waterbody

(Rintanen, 1996). A recent overview of the biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in natural
465 bog pools (Beadle *et al.*, 2015) highlighted the need for future studies, as knowledge of these
ecosystems is limited. While lakes like Mekkojärvi differ in some regards from bog pools,
there are relevant similarities in humic contents, vegetation and structure of the basins. Those
bog pools are assumed to be net heterotrophic, even though there is evidence that
invertebrates significantly rely on periphyton (Van Duinen *et al.*, 2013). We suggest that
470 littoral primary production and respiration should also be taken into account in the future
studies of humic systems, as our data demonstrate that they are likely to be more productive
and less heterotrophic than previously assumed.

Acknowledgments

475 We thank Lammi Biological Station for providing us with accommodation and laboratory
facilities. Special thanks to Jaakko Vainionpää and Riitta Ilola for water chemistry analyses
and guidance in the laboratory. This study was supported by the Maj and Tor Nessling
Foundation, the Academy of Finland (grant 137671 to RIJ), Lammi Biological Station and by
the Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions (660655) under the EU Horizon 2020.

480

References

- Andersson E. & Brunberg A.K. (2006) Net autotrophy in an oligotrophic lake rich in dissolved organic carbon and with high benthic primary production. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 43:1–10.
- Arvola L., Eloranta P., Järvinen M., Keskitalo J. & Holopainen A. (1999) Primary production of phytoplankton. Keskitalo J. & Eloranta P., editors. *Limnology of humic waters*. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands. p137.
- Ask J., Karlsson J., Persson L., Ask P., Byström P. & Jansson M. (2009) Whole-lake estimates of carbon flux through algae and bacteria in benthic and pelagic habitats of clear-water lakes. *Ecology* 90: 1923–1932.
- Beadle J.M, Brown, L.E. & Holden J. (2015) Biodiversity and ecological functioning in natural bog pools and those created by rewetting schemes. *WIREs Water* 2:65–84.
- Carpenter S.R., Cole J.J., Pace M.L., Van de Bogert M., Bade D.L., Bastviken D., Gille C.M., Hodgson J.R., Kitchell J.F. & Kritzberg E.S. (2005) Ecosystem subsidies: Terrestrial support of aquatic food webs from ^{13}C addition to contrasting lakes. *Ecology* 86: 2737–2750.
- Cole J.J. & Pace M.L. (1995) Bacterial secondary production in oxic and anoxic freshwaters. *Limnology and Oceanography* 40: 1019–1027.
- Cole J.J., Pace M., Carpenter S. & Kitchell J. (2000) Persistence of net heterotrophy in lakes during nutrient addition and food web manipulation. *Limnology and Oceanography* 45:1718–1730.

- Cole J.J., Carpenter S.R., Kitchell J., Pace M.L., Solomon C.T. & Weidel B. (2011) Strong evidence for terrestrial support of zooplankton in small lakes based on stable isotopes of carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 108: 1975–1980.
- Del Giorgio P. & Peters R.H. (1994) Patterns in planktonic P:R ratios in lakes: Influence of lake trophic and dissolved organic carbon. *Limnology and Oceanography* 39: 772–787.
- Del Giorgio P.A., Cole J.J. & Cimbleris A. (1997) Respiration rates in bacteria exceed phytoplankton production in unproductive aquatic systems. *Nature* 385: 148–151.
- Devlin S.P., Vander Zanden M.J. & Vadeboncoeur Y. (2013) Depth-specific variation in carbon isotopes demonstrates resource partitioning among the littoral zoobenthos. *Freshwater Biology* 53: 2389–2400
- Downing J., Prairie Y.T., Cole J.J., Duarte C.M., Tranvik L.J., Striegl R.G., McDowell W.H., Kortelainen P., Caraco N.F., Melack J.M. & Middelburg J.J. (2006) The global abundance and size distribution of lakes, ponds, and impoundments. *Limnology and Oceanography* 51: 2388–2397.
- Duarte C.M. & Agusti S. (1998) The CO₂ balance of unproductive aquatic ecosystems. *Science* 281: 234–236.
- Hanson P.C., Pace M.L., Carpenter S.R., Cole J.J. & Stanley E.H. (2014) Integrating landscape carbon cycling: Research needs for resolving organic carbon budgets in lakes. *Ecosystems* DOI: 10.1007/s10021-014-9826-9

- 525 Hecky R.E. & Hesslein R.H. (1995) Contributions of benthic algae to lake food webs as revealed by stable isotope analysis. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 14: 631–653.
- Heino J. & Toivonen H. (2008) Aquatic plant biodiversity at high latitudes: patterns of richness and rarity in Finnish freshwater macrophytes. *Boreal Environmental Research* 13: 1–14.
- 530 Hill W.R. (1996) Effect of Light. Pages 121–148 in Stevenson R.J., Bothwell M.L. & Lowe R.L., editors. *Algal ecology: freshwater benthic ecosystems*. Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.
- Hobson K. & Welch H. (1995) Cannibalism and trophic structure in a High Arctic lake: Insights from stable-isotope analysis. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 52: 1195–1201.
- 535 Jansson M., Bergström A., Blomqvist P. & Drakare S. (2000) Allochthonous organic carbon and phytoplankton/bacterioplankton production relationships in lakes. *Ecology* 81: 3250–3255.
- 540 Jansson M., Persson L., De Roos A., Jones R.I. & Tranvik L.J. (2007) Terrestrial carbon and intraspecific size-variation shape lake ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 22: 316–322.
- Järvinen M. & Salonen K. (1998) Influence of changing food web structure on nutrient limitation of phytoplankton in a highly humic lake. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 55: 2562–2571.
- Jones R.I. (1992) The influence of humic substances on lacustrine planktonic food-chains.

545 *Hydrobiologia* 229: 73–91.

Kairesalo T., Lehtovaara A. & Saukkonen P. (1992) Littoral-pelagial interchange and the decomposition of dissolved organic matter in a polyhumic lake. *Hydrobiologia* 229: 199–224

Karhunen J., Arvola L., Peura S. & Tirola M. (2013) Green sulphur bacteria as a component of the photosynthetic plankton community in small dimictic humic lakes with an anoxic
550 hypolimnion. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 68: 267–272.

Karlsson J., Byström P., Ask J., Ask P., Persson L. & Jansson M. (2009) Light limitation of nutrient-poor lake ecosystems. *Nature* 460: 506–509.

Kirk J. (2003) The vertical attenuation of irradiance as a function of the optical properties of the water. *Limnology and Oceanography* 48: 9–17.

555 Kortelainen P. (1999) Organic carbon concentrations in boreal lakes. In: *Limnology of humic waters* (Ed. Keskitalo J. & Eloranta P.) p. 54 Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Kortelainen P., Rantakari M., Huttunen J. T., Mattsson T., Alm J., Juutinen S., Larmola T., Silvola J. & Martikainen P. J. (2006) Sediment respiration and lake trophic state are important
560 predictors of large CO₂ evasion from small boreal lakes. *Global Change Biology* 12: 1554–1567.

Kämäri J., Forsius M., Kortelainen P., Mannio J. & Verta M. (1991) Finnish lake survey: Present status of acidification. *Ambio* 20: 23–27.

Lau D.C.P., Sundh I., Vrede T., Pickova J. & Goedkoop W. (2014) Autochthonous resources
565 are the main driver of consumer production in dystrophic boreal lakes. *Ecology* 95: 1506–1519.

- Peters J.A. & Lodge D.M. (2010) Littoral zone. In: *Lake Ecosystem Ecology: A Global Perspective* (Ed. Likens G.E.). p. 19. Academic Press, China.
- 570 Marra J. (2009) Net and gross productivity: weighing in with ^{14}C . *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 56: 123–131.
- Nyberg K., Vuorenmaa J., Tammi J., Nummi P., Väänänen V.-M., Mannio J. & Rask M. (2010) Re-establishment of perch in three lakes recovering from acidification: rapid growth associated with abundant food resources. *Boreal Environment Research* 15: 480-490.
- 575 R Core Team. (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <http://www.R-project.org/>
- Raatikainen M. & Kuusisto E. (1990) The number and surface area of the lakes in Finland. *Terra* 102: 97–110 (in Finnish with English summary)
- Reynolds C.S. (2008) A Changing Paradigm of Pelagic Food Webs. *International Review of Hydrobiology* 93: 517–531.
- 580 Rintanen T. (1996) Changes in the flora and vegetation of 113 Finnish lakes during 40 years. *Annales Botanici Fennici* 33: 101–122.
- Salonen K. (1981) Rapid and precise determination of total inorganic carbon and some gases in aqueous solutions. *Water Research* 15: 403–406.
- 585 Salonen K., Hammar T., Kuuppo P., Smolander U. & Ojala A. (2005) Robust parameters confirm predominance of heterotrophic processes in the plankton of a highly humic pond. *Hydrobiologia* 543: 181–189.

- Schindler D.W., Schmidt R.V. & Reid R.A. (1972) Acidification and bubbling as an alternative to filtration in determining phytoplankton production by the ^{14}C method. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 29: 1627–1631.
- 590 Taipale S., Kankaala P. & Jones R.I. (2007) Contributions of Different Organic Carbon Sources to Daphnia in the Pelagic Foodweb of a Small Polyhumic Lake: Results from Mesocosm DI^{13}C -Additions. *Ecosystems* 10: 757–772.
- Taipale S., Kankaala P., Tiirola M., Jones R.I. (2008) Whole-lake dissolved inorganic ^{13}C additions reveal seasonal shifts in zooplankton diet. *Ecology* 89: 463–474.
- 595 Tranvik L.J. & Jansson, M. (2002) Terrestrial export of organic carbon. *Nature* 415: 861–862.
- Vadeboncoeur Y. & Steinman A. (2002) Periphyton Function in Lake Ecosystems. *The Scientific World Journal* 2: 1449–1468.
- Vadeboncoeur Y., Vander Zanden M. & Lodge D.M. (2002) Putting the lake back together: Reintegrating benthic pathways into lake food web models. *Bioscience* 52: 44–54.
- 600 Vadeboncoeur Y., Jeppesen E., Vander Zanden M., Schierup H., Christoffersen K. & Lodge D.M. (2003) From Greenland to green lakes: Cultural eutrophication and the loss of benthic pathways in lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography* 48: 1408–1418.
- Vadeboncoeur Y., Peterson G., Vander Zanden M. & Kalff J. (2008) Benthic algal production across lake size gradients: Interactions among morphometry, nutrients, and light. *Ecology* 89: 2542–2552.
- 605

- Van de Bogert M. C., Carpenter S.R., Cole J.J. & Pace M.L. (2007) Assessing pelagic and benthic metabolism using free water measurements. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 5 145–155.
- 610 van Duinen G.A., Vermonden K., Bodelier P.L.E., Hendriks A.J., Leuven R.S.E.W., Middelburg J.J., van der Velde G. & Verberk W.C.E.P. (2013) Methane as a carbon source for the food web in raised bog pools. *Freshwater Science* 32: 1260–1272.
- Vander Zanden M. & Vadeboncoeur Y. (2002) Fishes as integrators of benthic and pelagic food webs in lakes. *Ecology* 83: 2152–2161.
- 615 Wetzel R.G. (1990) Land-water interfaces: Metabolic and limnological regulators. *Verhandlungen des Internationalen Verein Limnologie* 24: 6–24.

Figure 1. A) Location and bathymetry (numbers indicate depth contours in m) of the study
620 lake Mekkojärvi. Dashed line illustrates the lake division curtain and squares the sampling
points in the pelagic measurements. B) The mean (\pm S.E.) light attenuation in Mekkojärvi in
2012 ($n = 13$).

Figure 2. Pelagic (A) and littoral (B, C) net primary production (bars) and community
625 respiration (lines) in Mekkojärvi in 2012. Pelagic NPP represents areal means (\pm S.E.)
combining both basins of the lake. Some dates (19 July and 6 August for pelagic NPP and 6
August. for pelagic CR) have values only from one basin. Littoral NPP and CR represent
mean (\pm S.E.) net primary production and community respiration of periphyton per gram dry-
weighted substratum per hour (B) and per day (C). Differences in daily light regime account
630 for inconsistencies in proportional scaling between hourly and daily rates on individual dates.

Figure 3. Pelagic (A) and periphyton (B) chl *a* in Mekkojärvi in 2012. Pelagic chl *a*
represents mean (\pm S.E.) concentrations in three strata combining both basins. Periphyton chl
a is expressed as mean (\pm S.E.) chl *a* content per gram dry-weighted substratum and
635 combines both basins.


Figure 4. A) Estimates of the whole-lake littoral and pelagic net primary production and B)
their proportions of whole-lake net primary production. C) and D) the same for the whole-
lake community respiration.

640

Figure 5. Comparison of whole-lake GPP and CR from pelagic and littoral habitats, both
separately and in combination. The values are plotted on a logarithmic scale. The line
represents a GPP:CR ratio of 1.

Table 1. Mean (\pm SE) values for some chemical parameters in the epi-, meta- and hypolimnion in Mekkojärvi during the open water period of 2012: chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*), dissolved oxygen (DO), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), particulate organic carbon (POC), total phosphorus (Tot-P), ammonium (NH₄-N) and combined oxidized inorganic nitrogen (NO₂-N + NO₃-N). pH is expressed as median. N refers to sampling occasions. Values from 2014 represent the mean (\pm SE) values from a single sampling occasion in late-May.

2012	N	0–0.5 m	0.5–1 m	1–3 m
pH	12	5.3*	5.4*	5.7*
Chl <i>a</i> ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	10	8.1 \pm 0.0	27.2 \pm 0.0	55.4 \pm 0.0
DO ($\text{mg O}_2 \text{ L}^{-1}$)	9	4.8 \pm 0.4	1.9 \pm 0.5	1.0 \pm 0.0
DOC (mg C L^{-1})	10	32.0 \pm 1.5	33.0 \pm 1.1	30.0 \pm 0.5
DIC (mg C L^{-1})	8	2.9 \pm 0.2	4.5 \pm 0.9	8.6 \pm 1.7
POC (mg C L^{-1})	10	0.5 \pm 0.0	0.8 \pm 0.1	1.0 \pm 0.0
Tot-P ($\mu\text{g P L}^{-1}$)	10	12.0 \pm 0.7	15.0 \pm 2.0	58.0 \pm 4.4
NH ₄ ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	10	34.0 \pm 4.0	55.0 \pm 9.4	384.0 \pm 33.5
NO ₂ + NO ₃ ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	10	36.0 \pm 6.9	65.0 \pm 8.9	74.0 \pm 9.5
2014	N	Pelagic	N	Littoral
DO ($\text{mg O}_2 \text{ L}^{-1}$)	2	7.3 \pm 3.9	8	10.0 \pm 0.5
Oxygen saturation (%)	2	68.0 \pm 0.1	8	121.0 \pm 4.1
Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	2	11.5 \pm 0.1	8	23.7 \pm 0.3



655 Figure 1.










Figure 2.




660

Figure 3.



665

Figure 4.



670 Figure 5.