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Background: Recent data on $N = 80$ isotones have suggested that the proton π $(1g_{7/2})$ subshell closure at $Z = 58$ has an impact on the properties of low-lying collective states.

Purpose: Knowledge of the $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ value of $^{140}$Nd is needed in order to test this conjecture.

Method: The unstable, neutron-rich nucleus $^{140}$Nd was investigated via projectile Coulomb excitation at the REX-ISOLDE facility with the MINIBALL spectrometer.

Results: The $B(E2)$ value of 33(2) W.u. expands the $N = 80$ systematics beyond the $Z = 58$ subshell closure.

Conclusions: The measurement demonstrates that the reduced collectivity of $^{138}$Ce is a local effect possibly due to the $Z = 58$ subshell closure and requests refined theoretical calculations. The latter predict a smoothly increasing trend.
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The mechanism that leads to the formation of nuclear collective states out of the individual motion of many nucleons is one of the major subjects in nuclear structure physics. There are clear relations between the collective properties of even-even nuclei, e.g., the level energies of the first excited $2^+$ states and the $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ transition strengths [1] and the number of nucleons in the valence shell. The global behavior of these quantities between the major shells as a function of the nucleon number is well understood in the frameworks of both collective and microscopic models. One could expect that these general trends in the collective properties between the major shells are modulated by the subshell structure. However, it is usually thought that the pairing correlations with an energy scale of about 2 MeV, smear out and dissolve the subshell structure as long as the separation energies between the subshells are only about a few hundred keV.

The recently observed evolution of the isovector quadrupole-collective valence-shell excitations in the $N = 80$ isotones, the so-called mixed-symmetry states (MSSs) [2], a special class of collective states, suggests that the properties of collective states may be more strongly influenced by the underlying subshell structure than previously thought [3]. In this example there is one single isolated one-phonon $2^+_1,ms$ state observed in $^{132}$Te [4], $^{134}$Xe [5], and $^{136}$Ba [6]. This is explained by the consideration that in these isotones the proton excitations mostly happen in the partially filled $g_{7/2}$ orbital, i.e., they are shell stabilized. However in $^{138}$Ce [3], which has a completely filled π $(g_{7/2})$ orbital at $Z = 58$, the formation of one-phonon excitations needs to include a breaking of the subshell closure and thereby the one-phonon MSS fragments suffer from a lack of shell stabilization. MSSs in $^{140}$Nd have been investigated in initial works [7,8], which were not conclusive on a possible fragmentation of M1 strength yet. In order to check whether the effect of the π $(g_{7/2})$ subshell is also detectable through the properties of the one-phonon fully symmetric $2^+_1$ states we have measured the absolute transition strength $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ in the unstable nucleus $^{140}$Nd. Indeed the obtained experimental result reveals a clear deviation of the absolute $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ strength of $^{138}$Ce (Z = 58) from the expected collective smooth evolution that implies a relation to the $g_{7/2}$ subshell closure. However, state-of-the-art microscopic models seem unable to reproduce this deviation, which prompts for further theoretical development.

The REX-ISOLDE facility at CERN [9] provided a beam of $^{140}$Nd with an energy of 399 MeV, corresponding to
the beam with a total intensity of $5 \times 10^5$ ions/s was contaminated by Sm ions of the same mass ($\approx 50\%$), which are easily surface ionized. In two subsequent runs the beam impinged on a 1.4 mg/cm$^2$ $^{48}$Ti target and on a 1.55 mg/cm$^2$ $^{64}$Zn target, respectively, for Coulomb excitation. The deexcitation $\gamma$ rays were detected in the high-purity germanium cluster array MINIBALL covering about $2\pi$ of the solid angle [12]. In both cases, mostly targetlike recoiling nuclei were detected in a double-sided silicon strip detector (DSSD) in coincidence with the emitted $\gamma$ rays. The DSSD was placed in forward direction covering an opening angle of $\theta_{lab} = 15.6^\circ - 51.8^\circ$ [13]. Figure 1 shows the sum of the $\gamma$-ray spectra of all detectors of the MINIBALL array with Doppler correction for mass $A = 140$ projectiles [Fig. 1(a)] and $^{48}$Ti target recoils [Fig. 1(b)] in coincidence with the particle signals from the DSSD. No other $\gamma$-ray transitions than the deexcitation of the $2^+_1$ state to the ground states at 531 keV for the $^{140}$Sm contamination, at 774 keV for $^{140}$Nd and at 984 keV for $^{48}$Ti were visible. In the case of the $^{64}$Zn target (cf. Fig. 2) instead of the transition in $^{48}$Ti the deexcitation of the Coulomb-excited $2^+_1$ state in $^{64}$Zn is visible at almost the same energy (992 keV).

The Coulomb excitation cross section for the $2^+_1$ state of $^{140}$Nd was measured relative to the known cross sections of the target excitations. A crucial point in this kind of experiment with a radioactive beam is the determination of the beam contaminates. In this experiment an isobaric contamination of $^{140}$Sm was observed. It was possible to determine the contribution of the $^{140}$Sm contaminant to the target excitation yield by performing runs with and without laser ionization. Figures 1 and 2 show the Doppler-corrected and background-subtracted spectra with the laser switched on (black) and off (red). The laser settings were optimized for ionizing Nd isotopes, such that the Nd excitation is suppressed for runs without the laser. The amount of Sm in the beam is not affected by the laser and can thereby serve for normalizing the spectra to the Sm contaminant. By subtracting the normalized laser-off spectrum a pure Coulomb excitation spectrum of Nd on either the Ti or the Zn target is obtained. Through this procedure one assures that the remaining target excitation yield is correlated to the yield of the Nd excitation and not to any other beam components. We have verified that the same result can also be achieved by normalizing the laser-on and laser-off spectra to the total time they have been collected for. The results are identical and here we present only the $\gamma$-ray yields $N_{\gamma}/\epsilon_{\gamma}$ obtained by normalizing the spectra to the Sm contaminant. The measured yields, i.e., $\gamma$-ray peak areas divided by relative detection efficiencies, are summarized in Tables I and II.

The Coulomb excitation cross section $\sigma$ for the $2^+_1$ state is influenced by both the transitional and the diagonal matrix elements, $M_{20}$ and $M_{22}$. Using the multiple Coulomb excitation code COSMIA2 [14] these matrix elements are varied such that the experimental yields (compare Tables I and II) are obtained by normalizing the spectra to the Sm contaminant. The measured yields, i.e., $\gamma$-ray peak areas divided by relative detection efficiencies, are summarized in Tables I and II.

![FIG. 1. (Color online) Background-subtracted particle-$\gamma$ coincidence spectra applying Doppler correction with respect to the (a) projectile or (b) recoiling target nuclei showing the only observed transitions, namely the $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ transitions in $^{140}$Sm at 531 keV, in $^{140}$Nd at 774 keV and in $^{48}$Ti at 984 keV. The unnormalized data with laser ionization switched on (black, 24 h measured time) and off (red, 14 h) are shown on the same scale.](image1)

![FIG. 2. (Color online) Background-subtracted particle-$\gamma$ coincidence spectra applying Doppler correction with respect to the (a) projectile or (b) recoiling target nuclei showing the only observed transitions, namely the $2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1$ transitions in $^{140}$Sm at 531 keV, in $^{140}$Nd at 774 keV and in $^{64}$Zn at 992 keV. The unnormalized data with laser ionization switched on (black, 10 h) and off (red, 6 h) are shown on the same scale.](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta_{lab}$ range</th>
<th>Ring (DSSD)</th>
<th>Detected</th>
<th>$^{140}$Nd</th>
<th>$^{48}$Ti</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.8°–33.0°</td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>565(24)</td>
<td>217(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.8°–45.6°</td>
<td>8–11</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>1346(53)</td>
<td>531(27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.7°–51.8°</td>
<td>12–15</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>1221(36)</td>
<td>547(27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Summary of the measured $\gamma$-ray yields in the $^{48}$Ti($^{140}$Nd,$^{140}$Nd*)$^{48}$Ti* reaction for the different ranges of scattering angles (corrected for relative $\gamma$-ray efficiency).
were defined. For the run with the 48Ti target, the targetlike
projectile’s excitation cross section $\sigma_T$ of the 2\textsuperscript{+} state of 48Ti, respectively 64Zn, taking also the angular distribution $W(\theta_{\gamma})$, including deorientation, into account,

$$\sigma_P(M_{20}, M_{22}) = \frac{N_P \epsilon_P \sigma^T}{N_T \epsilon_T \sigma^T} W(\theta_{\gamma})^T \sigma^T.$$  

Superscripts $P$ and $T$ denote quantities related to the projectile and target excitation, respectively. The main contributions to the uncertainty are the statistical errors of the experimental $\gamma$-ray yields $N_{\gamma}$ from projectile (140Nd) and target excitation (48Ti, 64Zn), as well as the uncertainties in the matrix elements of the target nuclei. The relative efficiencies of the germanium detectors at the transition energies is denoted by $\epsilon$. Their ratio in Eq. (1) has an error of approximately 1–2%. In order to maximize the sensitivity and simultaneously keep the statistical error at a reasonable level, different scattering-angle regimes were defined. For the run with the 48Ti target, the targetlike recoils with scattering angles $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 27.8^\circ\text{–}33.0^\circ, 37.8^\circ\text{–}45.6^\circ, 45.7^\circ\text{–}51.8^\circ$ have been selected, which each correspond to several rings of the DSSD. Due to the different kinematics the ranges have been adjusted for the 64Zn target (cf. Table II).

A fixed set of start parameters for the matrix elements $M_{20}$ and $M_{22}$ is given as input to the GOSIA2 program, which then calculates $\gamma$-ray yields from Coulomb-excitation theory. By comparison to the experimental yields a $\chi^2$ value is obtained for each set of initial start parameters $M_{20}$ and $M_{22}$. The variation of these start parameters results in a parameter free and fully predictive for the whole 60Nd.

A fixed set of start parameters for the matrix elements $M_{20}$ and $M_{22}$ results in an area in the $(M_{22}, M_{20})$ plane representing the experimental Coulomb excitation cross section. The projectile excitation is normalized to the target-excitation cross section $\sigma_T$ of the 2\textsuperscript{+} state of 48Ti, respectively 64Zn, taking also the angular distribution $W(\theta_{\gamma})$, including deorientation, into account,

$$\sigma_P(M_{20}, M_{22}) = \frac{N_P \epsilon_P \sigma^T}{N_T \epsilon_T \sigma^T} W(\theta_{\gamma})^T \sigma^T.$$  

Superscripts $P$ and $T$ denote quantities related to the projectile and target excitation, respectively. The main contributions to the uncertainty are the statistical errors of the experimental $\gamma$-ray yields $N_{\gamma}$ from projectile (140Nd) and target excitation (48Ti, 64Zn), as well as the uncertainties in the matrix elements of the target nuclei. The relative efficiencies of the germanium detectors at the transition energies is denoted by $\epsilon$. Their ratio in Eq. (1) has an error of approximately 1–2%. In order to maximize the sensitivity and simultaneously keep the statistical error at a reasonable level, different scattering-angle regimes were defined. For the run with the 48Ti target, the targetlike recoils with scattering angles $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 27.8^\circ\text{–}33.0^\circ, 37.8^\circ\text{–}45.6^\circ, 45.7^\circ\text{–}51.8^\circ$ have been selected, which each correspond to several rings of the DSSD. Due to the different kinematics the ranges have been adjusted for the 64Zn target (cf. Table II).

A fixed set of start parameters for the matrix elements $M_{20}$ and $M_{22}$ is given as input to the GOSIA2 program, which then calculates $\gamma$-ray yields from Coulomb-excitation theory. By comparison to the experimental yields a $\chi^2$ value is obtained for each set of initial start parameters $M_{20}$ and $M_{22}$. The variation of these start parameters results in a parameter free and fully predictive for the whole 60Nd.

A fixed set of start parameters for the matrix elements $M_{20}$ and $M_{22}$ is given as input to the GOSIA2 program, which then calculates $\gamma$-ray yields from Coulomb-excitation theory. By comparison to the experimental yields a $\chi^2$ value is obtained for each set of initial start parameters $M_{20}$ and $M_{22}$. The variation of these start parameters results in a parameter free and fully predictive for the whole 60Nd.
The shell-model calculations predict a strength of 27 W.u. but still below the measured value. Both calculations describe a smoother increase than what the data suggest. They are in agreement with the smaller \( B(E2) \) value for \(^{138}\text{Ce} \), but they do not reproduce the larger value for \(^{140}\text{Nd} \). Ignoring the \( B(E2) \) value for \(^{138}\text{Ce} \) all other experimental values, including the one for \(^{140}\text{Nd} \), seem to increase almost linearly. Regarding the quadrupole moment, the outcome of the shell-model calculations is \( Q(2^+_1) = +0.26\text{eb} \). Although the experimental result (\( Q = -0.48(31)\text{eb} \)) has the opposite sign, the absolute values are small, which agrees with the general observation of rather spherical shapes in the proximity of shell closures (\( N = 82 \)).

The near-linear behavior of the \( B(E2) \) values can be understood as a simple scaling with valence-proton number. The \( N_v N_p \) valence correlation scheme from Casten and Zamfir [25] describes the linear increase of collectivity with \( N_v = 2 \text{ (2 valence neutron holes)} \) and \( N_p = 0, 2, 4, \ldots \text{ for the protons).} \)

In that approach nuclear data is parameterized to explicitly emphasize the valence \( p-n \) interaction. It is assumed that the onset of collectivity, configuration mixing, and deformation in nuclei is solely due to the \( p-n \) interaction. This interaction is fairly long ranged, orbit independent, and relevant only for the valence protons and neutrons. These extreme assumptions, averaged over many valence nucleons, have proven to be reasonable. By applying this scheme to the \( N = 80 \) isotones we obtain a consistent description of the experimental data except for \( Z = 58 \) as indicated by the dashed line in Fig. 6. The single-particle degrees of freedom are accounted for only through the number of valence bosons, which is known to be a limited approximation. On the other hand data on the one-phonon MSSs strongly suggest that the single-particle degrees of freedom can influence the collective properties dramatically at least for the MSSs through the shell stabilization effect [3].

In an extreme shell-model scenario, considering the valence protons to occupy only the \( \pi g_{7/2} \) orbit, the \( B(E2) \) strength vanishes at \( Z = 50 \) and \( Z = 58 \) and it has a maximum at midsubshell, indicated by the solid curves in Fig. 6. The experimental data can be interpreted as a convolution of the both presented extreme scenarios. The suppression of the transition strength in \(^{138}\text{Ce} \) with respect to the \( N_p N_v \) behavior is considered as originating from the \( Z = 58 \) subshell closure. However, this local suppression of \( B(E2) \) strength in \(^{138}\text{Ce} \) is not seen in the shell-model calculations. Such a quenching might be the outcome of a subtle competition between the single-particle energy levels, responsible for the gap between different subshells, and the two-body correlations, especially pairing, which tend to smooth out the effects of the subshell structure. This hypothesis, suggested by the extreme shell-model picture, can be tested by fine tuning the single-particle levels used in the LSSM calculations [19] so as to counterbalance the smoothing action of pairing. Alternatively, one may surmise that the core polarization produces smaller effective charges in correspondence of a subshell closure. In order to test such a suggestion, one should compute explicitly the effective \( E2 \) operators within the linked cluster expansion theory, which...
is known to generate different effective charges for different subshells [26]. However, it was pointed out [19,21] that a calculation in an enlarged shell-model space, which includes core excitations, is not feasible.

In summary, the measured $B(E2; 2^+_1 \rightarrow 0^+_1)$ value of 33(2) W.u. in $^{140}$Nd is compared to recent large-scale shell-model calculations [21] as well as to the quasiparticle phonon model in connection to the systematics of the $N = 80$ isotones [18,21]. In both models, the computed $B(E2)$ strengths in the stable $N = 80$ isotones increase smoothly with $Z$. This trend is consistent with the experiments apart from some deviations in the heavier isotones, where the measured data do not have a smooth behavior. In fact, the $E2$ transition is suppressed to some extent in $^{138}$Ce and enhanced in $^{140}$Nd. Such an anomalous behavior is ascribed to the filling of the $\pi(g_{7/2})$ subshell for $Z = 58$. However, this shell effect is neither reproduced by the QPM, which tends to systematically underestimate the strength, nor by the LSSM, which yields a larger $B(E2)$ value for $^{138}$Ce and a smaller one for $^{140}$Nd. These discrepancies may be cured within the shell model by a more refined treatment of the single-particle energies capable of inducing a more pronounced subshell structure or by explicitly taking into account the excitations of the core.
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