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ABSTRACT: We investigated the spatial and temporal variation in denitrification rates (isotope-pairing technique, IPT) and in the denitrifier community (examination of gene nirK by denaturing-gradient gel electrophoresis [DGGE] of microbial DNA) in the sediments of a boreal, clear-water, eutrophic lake using samples collected from shallow littoral, deep littoral and shallow profundal sediments during early summer, mid-summer, autumn and winter. The measured denitrification rates (44 to 613 μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹) are among the lowest ever reported from lacustrine sediments. Denitrification rates varied both spatially and temporally, being highest in the profundal zone during mid-summer and in the littoral zones during winter. Correlation analyses indicated that these variations were due to variations in the concentrations of nitrate and oxygen in the water overlying the sediment. The structure of the denitrifier community was temporally extremely stable and differed only slightly between the sites. Distance-based linear model (DISTLM) analysis indicated that the observed variation was probably due mainly to variations in the content of organic matter, and in the porosity, of the sediment. The structure of the denitrifier community and the denitrifying activities were uncoupled.
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INTRODUCTION

Denitrification is the primary mechanism by which nitrogen is removed from lakes (Saunders & Kalff 2001a). It is an important process in the control of eutrophication as it converts fixed nitrogen compounds to gaseous forms, mostly to N₂ (Fig. 1), which are biologically unavailable for most primary producers (Seitzinger 1988). Denitrification is an anaerobic process in which facultatively anaerobic microbes use nitrogen oxides as alternative terminal electron acceptors during the oxidation of organic matter (heterotrophic denitrification) or inorganic matter (autotrophic denitrification) (Zumft 1997). It is an anaerobic process in which facultatively anaerobic microbes use nitrogen oxides as alternative terminal electron acceptors during the oxidation of organic matter (heterotrophic denitrification) or inorganic matter (autotrophic denitrification) (Zumft 1997). Usually it takes place within a very narrow anoxic zone (thickness <10 mm), immediately below the oxic–anoxic interface in sediment, where the process is being fed both by nitrate diffusing to the anoxic zone from the overlying water and by nitrate produced in the thin oxic surface zone (thickness 0.1 to 5.0 mm) by nitrification (coupled nitrification–denitrification) (e.g. Seitzinger 1988, Nielsen 1992) (Fig. 1). Another potential N₂ gas forming microbial process is the anaerobic oxidation of ammonium (anammox), which is estimated to produce half of the gaseous N₂ in marine ecosystems (Dalsgaard et al. 2005). However, in freshwater ecosystems anammox has so far been detected only in sediments of non-saline parts of estuaries (Dale et al. 2009, Koop-Jakobsen & Giblin 2009) and in the anoxic water column of Lake Tanganyika (Schubert et al. 2006) and Lake Rassnitzer (Hamersley et al. 2009), and its wider importance in lacustrine nitrogen cycling remains to be studied.

Denitrification has been studied during recent decades in a wide variety of different lake ecosystems (reviewed by Seitzinger 1988, Saunders & Kalff 2001b, Steingruber et al. 2001). Studies have traditionally focused on temperate lakes, leaving the large number of lakes in the northern boreal zone almost totally unstudied. However, small lakes (<50 km²), which are typical of the boreal zone, are globally very important sinks of nitrogen (Harrison et al. 2009). For instance, in Finland there are ~190 000
lakes, most of them <50 km² (Simola & Arvola 2005), and there have been no previous studies addressing denitrification rates in these lakes. Therefore, for future local and global nitrogen modelling, more information is needed about the mechanisms of nitrogen retention, and especially about denitrification, in these lakes. It will be important to assess both the spatial and temporal variation in denitrification rates and to recognize the factors, e.g. temperature, O₂ concentration and supply of nitrate and labile organic matter (Christensen & Sørensen 1986, Seitzinger 1988, Saunders & Kalff 2001b), affecting this variation.

Besides environmental factors, the structure of the denitrifier community can affect process rates (e.g. Rich et al. 2003, Magalhães et al. 2008), but this has never been assessed in denitrification studies of lake sediments. Denitrifier communities are studied mostly by characterization of the diversity of functional genes coding for different reductase enzymes acting in the different steps of the nitrate reduction chain (Fig. 1) (Wallenstein et al. 2006). Reduction of nitrite is the key step in denitrification as it produces the first gaseous product (NO), which is not usable by primary producers. Therefore, the focus in studies of denitrifier communities has often been on nitrite reductase genes, nirK and nirS (Wallenstein et al. 2006). Approaches to microbial community fingerprinting, i.e. denaturing-gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) (Muyzer et al. 1993, Throbäck et al. 2004) and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) (Liu et al. 1997, Rich et al. 2003), provide cost-effective but sensitive methods for performing a statistically sufficient number of replicate analyses of community structure variations (Prosser 2010). DGGE, in particular, has been shown to have good resolution of denitrifier community structure (Enwall & Hallin 2009); indeed, the examination of nirK by DGGE has been successfully used in studies of denitrifier communities in different environments, including estuarine sediments (Fortunato et al. 2009), soils (Wertz et al. 2009) and waste-water treatment plants (Hallin et al. 2006). Overall, the emphasis in molecular ecological studies has been mostly on denitrifier communities in soils (Rich et al. 2003, Rich & Myrold 2004, Wolsing & Priemé 2004, Enwall et al. 2005, Rösch & Bothe 2005) and in estuarine sediments (Nogales et al. 2002, Smith et al. 2007, Magalhães et al. 2008) and marine sediments (Braker et al. 2001, Liu et al. 2003, Tiquia et al. 2006), with very little attention paid to freshwater communities (Perryman et al. 2008), especially those in lacustrine sediments (Kim et al. 2011).

Our purpose was to evaluate the spatial and temporal variation in denitrification rates, and in the denitrifier community, in the sediments of a boreal clearwater lake. Because previous studies have indicated that shallow areas in lakes act as 'hotspots' of denitrification (Ahlgren et al. 1994, Saunders & Kalff 2001b), the present study focused specifically on littoral and shallow profundal sites. Denitrification rates were measured by the isotope-pairing technique (IPT) (Nielsen 1992), and denitrifying communities were characterized using DGGE in nirK-based studies of microbial DNA extracted from sediments.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study lake.** Lake Ormajärvi is a dimictic, clear-water and eutrophic lake (area: 6.5 km²; drainage area: 116 km²; maximum depth: 30 m; mean depth: 10 m) located in southern Finland (61° 06' N, 24° 58'E) (see Ojala et al. 2011 for a detailed description of the study lake). The primary production in Lake Ormajärvi is strongly phosphorus-limited for most of the
other background information gathered on each occasion from each site, using plexiglass tubes connected to a gravity corer. Immediately after sampling, the tubes were sealed with rubber stoppers at both ends, covered with black plastic, placed in a cool box in an upright position, and carefully transported to the laboratory for processing, which took place within 1 h from sampling.

Concurrent with the sediment coring, profiles of oxygen concentrations, oxygen saturation, temperature and pH were measured from the whole water column above the sediment using a portable field meter (YSI 556 MPS, Yellow Springs Instruments). These measurements started ~10 cm above the sediment surface. pH was not recorded at the shallowest littoral point in early summer. Other background information gathered included concentrations of dissolved inorganic nutrients ([NO₃⁻ + NO₂⁻], [NH₄⁺], [PO₄³⁻]) in the water overlying the sediment (2 to 3 cm from the sediment surface) as well as the organic content and the porosity of the sediment. Nutrients were determined from water samples filtered through pre-rinsed filters (Millipore) of pore size 0.2 µm. Inorganic phosphorus (Murphy & Riley 1962) and nitrate–nitrite (Wood et al. 1967) were determined with flow injection analysis using standard methods (QuikChem®8000, Zellweger Analytics). The organic content of the sediment (loss on ignition, LOI) was determined as the ratio of the loss of mass of the sediment after combustion at high temperature (450°C for 4 h) to the dry mass of the sediment (determined by drying at 60°C for 48 h). Sediment porosity was calculated according to Tuominen et al. (1998).

### Table 1. Sampling sites, dates/seasons, number of sediment cores collected for denitrification analyses, sediment characteristics and physicochemical properties of water overlying the sediment in Lake Ormajärvi. The autumn values of sediment characteristics and physicochemical properties of littoral (1 m) and profundal (8 m) sites sampled on 2 occasions are represented as weighted averages (weighted by the number of sediment cores taken on each occasion). LOI = loss on ignition, i.e. content of organic matter in sediment (% of dry mass). [NO₃⁻], [NH₄⁺], [PO₄³⁻], [O₂], O₂ sat., T and pH = concentrations of nitrate, ammonium, phosphate and oxygen; oxygen saturation, temperature and pH of the water overlying the sediment, respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site (depth)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>No. of sediment cores</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>LOI (%)</th>
<th>[NO₃⁻] (μmol l⁻¹)</th>
<th>[NH₄⁺] (μmol l⁻¹)</th>
<th>[PO₄³⁻] (μmol l⁻¹)</th>
<th>O₂ (μmol l⁻¹)</th>
<th>O₂ sat. (%)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pro (8 m)</td>
<td>30 Jun 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>28.07</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lit (3 m)</td>
<td>13 Jun 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>18.21</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lit (1 m)</td>
<td>6 Jun 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>23.64</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early summer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro (8 m)</td>
<td>10 Aug 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>8.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lit (3 m)</td>
<td>3 Aug 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-summer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro (8 m)</td>
<td>10 Aug 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>35.07</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>6.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lit (3 m)</td>
<td>3 Oct 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lit (1 m)</td>
<td>3 &amp; 5 Oct 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro (8 m)</td>
<td>11 &amp; 12 Oct 06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lit (3 m)</td>
<td>1 Mar 07</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>36.07</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lit (1 m)</td>
<td>3 Mar 07</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>34.29</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro (8 m)</td>
<td>27 Feb 07</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>36.14</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Care for the [¹⁵NO₃⁻] concentration series were collected in addition to the actual measurement cores."
in incubations was always adequate to contain the whole denitrification zone (Fig. 1). The samples were enriched with K$^{15}$NO$_3$ (98 atom %; Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) to a final concentration of 100 μM and incubated, with a magnetic stirrer on the lid of the cores, at in situ temperature in darkness for 3 h. Microbial activity was then terminated by adding 1 ml ZnCl$_2$ (1 g ml$^{-1}$); the samples were mixed, and subsamples of the sediment–water slurry were transferred to gas-tight glass vials (12 ml, Exetainer®, Labco). The samples were analysed for their mass ratios of N$_2$ using a mass spectrometer (Europa Scientific, Roboprep-G-Plus and Tracermass) at the National Environmental Research Institute in Silkeborg, Denmark. The concentration of $^{15}$NO$_3$− applied was appropriate considering the assumptions underlying IPT regarding the first-order kinetics of the formation of labelled N$_2$ (D15, see below) and the independence of the measured denitrification rates of natural $^{14}$NO$_3$− (D14, see below) from the amount of added $^{15}$NO$_3$−. We tested these assumptions in October 2006 with sediments from 2 sampling stations by applying $^{15}$NO$_3$− in concentrations of 50, 100 and 200 μM (Fig. A1 in Appendix 1).

The denitrification rate was calculated from the ratios of $^{29}$N$_2$/(1$^{15}$N$^1$N) and $^{30}$N$_2$/(1$^{15}$N$^1$N), which are formed during the isotopic pairing. These ratios were calculated by dividing the currents of $^{29}$N$_2$ and $^{30}$N$_2$, given by the mass spectrometer, by the current of total N$_2$ ($^{29}$N$_2$, $^{29}$N$_2$, $^{30}$N$_2$ combined). Non-incubated control samples were analysed concurrently with the incubated samples. The isotopic ratios of non-incubated control samples were subtracted from those of incubated samples to calculate the excess of $^{29}$N$_2$ and $^{30}$N$_2$ produced during incubations. The concentration of N$_2$ in the vial was determined from mass spectrometer signals of concurrently analysed standard samples of N$_2$. Calculations of D15 (denitrification rate of added $^{15}$NO$_3$−), D14 (denitrification rate of natural $^{14}$NO$_3$−), $D_w$ (denitrification of the $^{14}$NO$_3$− in the water overlying the sediment) and $D_s$ (denitrification of the $^{14}$NO$_3$− produced in the sediment via nitrification) (Nielsen 1992) were made according to Tuominen et al. (1998). The [NO$_3$−] values used in calculations were assumed to be approximately the same as combined [NO$_3$−+NO$_2$−]. The denitrification rates obtained were converted to μmol N m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ by multiplying by the total volume of the sample (= volume of the water phase + volume of sediment × porosity) and dividing by the surface area of the sample and the incubation time.

Data on denitrification rates, hypolimnetic nitrate concentrations, and the geographical locations of previously studied lakes were collected to aid in the interpretation of the results of our study. The data were compiled from various review articles (Table 1 in Seitzinger 1988, Table 5 in Saunders & Kalff 2001b, Tables 1 & 2 in Piña-Ochoa & Álvarez-Cobelas 2006) and single studies (Ahlgren et al. 1994, Mengis et al. 1997, Nõges et al. 1998, Risgaard-Petersen et al. 1999, Svensson et al. 2001, De Medina et al. 2003, Scherniski 2003, Hasegawa & Okino 2004, Müller et al. 2005, McCarthy et al. 2007, Sollie & Verhoeven 2008, Nizzoli et al. 2010). Hypolimnetic nitrate concentrations of Nizzoli et al. (2010) and Ahlgren et al. (1994) were kindly provided by D. Nizzoli and I. Ahlgren, respectively.

**Molecular microbiological characterizations.** Denitrification communities were studied from the total of 36 samples representing each field replicate core collected for the denitrification incubations. Three sediment subsamples (depth of 0 to 1 cm) of 5 ml each were taken with a pipette around the incubation tubes and pooled into small sterile glass vials. From the vials, 200 μl samples were taken into small sterile plastic tubes and these were immediately stored at −20°C for subsequent transport in dry ice to the University of Jyväskylä, Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, where the samples were stored at −80°C before extraction of nucleic acid within 1 to 5 mo. According to previous studies, the sampled depth always contained most of the denitrification zone (Fig. 1). Nucleic acids were extracted from samples using a modified version of the protocol of Griffiths et al. (2000) (see Rissanen et al. 2010 for further details).

For DGGE analysis, PCR amplification of 472 bp fragments of nirK was carried out from DNA extractions using the following primer pairs suggested by Throback et al. (2004): F1aCu (5′-ATC ATG GTI[C/G] CTG CGG CGG-3′)/R3Cu (5′-GCC TCG AG[A/G] TTG TTG TT-3′) (Hallin & Lindgren 1999) with a GC-clamp (GGC GGC GCG CCC GCC CCC TCG CCC CCG CCC TCG CCC) attached to the 5′ end of R3Cu. In the PCR reaction, 1 μl of nucleic acid extract was used as a template in a 25 μl PCR mixture containing 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 0.3 μM of each primer, 1× Biotools reaction PCR, 1 μl of nucleic acid extract was used as a template in a 25 μl PCR mixture containing 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 0.3 μM of each primer, 1× Biotools reaction buffer, 1 mg ml$^{-1}$ bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.25 U Biotools polymerase. PCR amplification was performed in a GeneAmp PCR system 9600 (Perkin Elmer) with an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min and 35 cycles of amplification (94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 1 min, 72°C for 3 min).

DGGE was carried out in an Ingeny Phor electrophoresis unit (Ingeny) at 100 V for 18 h in 6% polyacrylamide gels (acylamide/bisacrylamide) submerged in 0.5 M TAE-buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 20 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM Na$_2$EDTA) at 60°C. The linear gradient of denaturing conditions (urea and formamide) determined from a preliminary analysis using a wide denaturant gradient (20 to 80%) was 40 to 70% (100% denaturant was defined as 7 M urea and 40% formamide) (Muyzer et. al. 1993). After elec-
trophoresis the gel was stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 mg l\(^{-1}\)), illuminated in UV light and photographed. Image analysis was done using the Quantity One software package (BioRad). DNA density curves for each lane were created after a rolling disc background subtraction, and bands were recognized semi-automatically. Bands with the same migratory distances in each lane were regarded as representing the same operational taxonomic unit (OTU). The relative abundance of each OTU from all the OTUs in each lane were quantified as a ratio of the total peak area for all peaks in a lane and converted into percentages by multiplying by 100. To confirm that DGGE bands represented real nirK sequences, samples of 3 of the dominant OTUs were taken from the gel, eluted in water, reamplified, and sequenced (BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit and ABI3100 capillary sequencing instrument; Applied Biosystems). DNA sequences were edited using the Contig Express program (Invitrogen), translated to protein sequences, and compared to protein databases using BLASTX software (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) (Altschul et al. 1997).

The sequence data have been deposited in the EMBL database (European Bioinformatics Institute, www.ebi.ac.uk/embl/) under accession numbers FN811666 to FN811668.

**Statistical analyses.** The average of D14, Dw, Dn, Dw% and Dn% of the 3 incubation tubes taken from each field replicate core were treated as replicates in statistical analyses (i.e. n = 3 for each Season/Site combination). Analysis of variation in D14 was based on a factorial design, with both Season and Site as fixed factors (α = 0.05) in analysis of variance (ANOVA). Because of a significant interaction between factors (see 'Results') we analysed simple effects of spatial differences separately at each level of Season, and temporal differences separately at each level of Site (Quinn & Keough 2002). Simple effects were studied using F-tests followed by pair-wise comparisons using the least significant difference (LSD) technique with Hochberg–Bonferroni (Hochberg 1988) corrected α-values in each partial test. Correlations among different environmental factors and D14, Dw, Dn, Dw% and Dn% were studied using Spearman correlation analysis. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 14.0 (SPSS).

Multivariate analyses of data from the DGGE examination of nirK were based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarities calculated among samples using square-root-transformed data of relative percentage abundances of OTUs. Analogous to the analysis of denitrification rates, the spatial and temporal variations in the structure of the nirK-containing communities were analysed using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, 9999 permutations) (Anderson 2001, McArdle & Anderson 2001) by applying a factorial design with both Season and Site as fixed factors. Because of the limited number of replicates, the PERMANOVA p-values of pair-wise tests were estimated using Monte Carlo random draws from the asymptotic permutation distribution. Data from the nirK-containing community were further assessed graphically using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS). The NMDS was constrained to 2 ordination axes. To minimize the Kruskall’s stress and to avoid local minimum solutions, NMDS was performed with 100 runs with the real data, a random starting configuration in each run, and an instability criterion of 0.0001. Monte Carlo tests of the real data versus randomized data (200 runs with randomized data) were used to assess the significance of the solution. A final solution with minimum stress (13.2%) was achieved with 82 iterations. The relationship between environmental factors and the structure of the nirK-containing community was analysed at both community and single-OTU levels using a distance-based linear model (DISTLM) procedure (Anderson 2001, McArdle & Anderson 2001) and Spearman correlation analysis, respectively. Environmental variables were analysed separately for their relationships with DGGE data. Because pH was not measured at the shallow littoral site in early summer, these samples were excluded when analyzing the relationship between pH and DGGE data. The possible effect of community structure on the D14 rates was studied at the community level using Mantel's test by comparing the relationships of distance matrices generated from the community structure data (Bray–Curtis) and process measurement data (Euclidean distance), and at the single-OTU level using Spearman correlation analysis. NMDS and Mantel's test were performed using PC-ORD version 4.01 (B. McCune and M. J. Mefford, PC-ORD for Windows: multivariate analysis of ecological data, 4.01 ed, MJM Software, Gleneden Beach, Oregon, USA, 1999), PERMANOVA and DISTLM using respective programs freely available from the website: www.stat.auckland.ac.nz/~mja/Programs.htm.

**RESULTS**

**Environmental factors**

The sampled sites differed from each other, with sediment at the shallow littoral site (1 m) having considerably lower porosity and LOI (i.e. organic matter content) than sediment at the other 2 sites. In general, the deeper littoral site (3 m) was more similar to the profundal site (8 m) than to the shallow littoral site (Table 1). There was some seasonal variation in the
organic content of sediment, with LOI increasing steadily in the shallow littoral (1 m) and being considerably higher during early summer than during the rest of the year in the deep littoral zone (3 m). LOI in the profundal zone was considerably lower in autumn than during the rest of the year. In the deep littoral there were visible clumps of algae on the sediment surface during all seasons, except for winter, whereas at the other sites algae were not observed.

The physicochemical characteristics of the water reflected the general seasonality of boreal lakes (Table 1). In mid-summer, both of the littoral sites were epilimnetic, and, based on measurements of vertical temperature profiles at the deepest area of the lake at 1 to 2 wk before our sampling (26 July) (Jäntti 2007), the profundal site was located at the thermocline. In mid-summer there was over-saturation of oxygen in the littoral zone. Also, pH and oxygen concentrations were higher, and inorganic nitrogen concentration lower, in the littoral sites than in the profundal zone (Table 1), which probably reflects vigorous primary production. In autumn, the chemical differences among sites diminished; in the profundal zone oxygen was replenished, pH and temperature raised, and inorganic nitrogen concentrations lowered; in the littoral sites, pH and temperature were lower, and in the deep littoral site concentrations of inorganic nitrogen had increased. At all sites, winter was characterized by very low temperatures, high concentrations of oxygen and nitrate, and very low concentrations of ammonium.

Denitrification rates

D14, Dn, and Dw rates in single incubation tubes varied from 44 to 613, from 18 to 429 and from 0.8 to 346 μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively. For the field replicates, i.e. the sample cores, the range was from 52 to 577, 22 to 414, and 18 to 264 μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ with average values of 220, 108 and 112 μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively. There was a significant interaction between Site and Season in D14 (F = 17.6, p < 0.001) indicating that the temporal variation was different at different sites (Fig. 2). Analysis of simple effects revealed that both site and season affected D14 (effect of Season: shallow littoral, F = 8.7, p < 0.001; deep littoral, F = 3.0, p < 0.05; profundal, F = 41.4, p < 0.001; effect of Site: early summer, F = 4.6, p < 0.05; mid-summer, F = 62.0, p < 0.001; winter, F = 4.5, p < 0.05). D14 rates were highest in the shallow littoral and profundal zones during early summer and in the profundal zone during mid-summer. In autumn, there were no significant spatial differences in D14 (F = 2.9, p > 0.05). In winter, the highest rates were observed in the shallow and deep littoral zones. In the shallow littoral zone, D14 was highest during early summer and winter, with significant differences between early summer and autumn and between winter and mid-summer and winter and autumn. In the deep littoral zone there were no significant temporal differences after the stringent Hochberg–Bonferroni correction of α-values. In the profundal zone, D14 peaked during mid-summer but was very stable during the other seasons. On most occasions, D14 was dominated by Dw (Fig. 2). The change in D14 from early summer to mid-summer was due to an increase in Dw and a decrease in Dn in the littoral zones, whereas Dn increased in the profundal zone. In autumn, the decrease in D14 was due to a decrease in Dw in the littoral zones and an increase in Dn in the deep littoral zone, whereas Dw decreased in the profundal zone. In winter, the increase in D14 in the littoral zone was due mainly to the considerable increase in Dw.

D14 increased when the concentration of nitrate in the water increased and the temperature and pH decreased (Table 2). Dn increased when the concentration of ammonium in the water increased and the pH decreased. There was also a slight but statistically not significant tendency of Dw to increase when the concentration of nitrate increased and oxygen saturation and temperature decreased. Dw did not correlate sig-
The community did not differ among seasons (p > 0.05). However, the nirK-containing community differed among sites (PERMANOVA, F = 9.27, p < 0.001; pairwise comparisons, p < 0.05). Visualization of the community structure by NMDS confirmed the results of PERMANOVA analyses; the different sites were well separated in the direction of increasing depth, with more overlap between deep littoral and profundal sites than between shallow and deep littoral sites, and the temporal variations were very minor (Fig. 3B).

The variation in the structure of the nirK-containing community was clearly most dependent on variation in sediment porosity and LOI (Table 3 & Table A1 in Appendix 1); these variables were also highly intercorrelated (Table 2). Much less variation in community structure was explained by variations in water variables, i.e. oxygen saturation, pH and the concentrations of inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus (Tables 3 & A1). However, these variables were also correlated with sediment characteristics (Table 2) and therefore this can also be explained by co-variation. We detected no indication of an effect of the composition of the nirK-containing community on D14; the abundance of only 1 nirK OTU correlated slightly with D14 at the single-OTU level (Table A1), and there was no correlation between community composition and D14 at the community level (Mantel’s test: r = 0.03, p > 0.05).

The translated protein sequences of bands extracted from the DGGE gel, representing OTUs 3, 11 and 12 (Fig. 3A), shared 93, 99 and 100% identities to the closest matched environmental NirK sequences but only 86, 85 and 66% identities to the closest matching NirK sequences of cultivated organisms affiliated to Silicibacter sp. TrichCH4B, Silicibacter sp. TrichCH4B, and Sinorhizobium medicae WSM419, respectively.
Denitrification rates are, on average, higher in freshwater ecosystems than in estuaries, coastal ecosystems and oceans (Piña-Ochoa & Álvarez-Cobelas 2006), and rates reported from lacustrine sediments range from 0 to 15,000 μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 4A). Although denitrification rates are usually higher in eutrophic lakes than in oligotrophic lakes (Seitzinger 1988, Saunders & Kalff 2001b), the rates we measured in Lake Ormajärvi (D14 varied between 44 and 613 μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹) are among the lowest ever reported from lacustrine sediments (e.g. Seitzinger 1988, Saunders & Kalff 2001b). The result cannot be explained by differing methods (cf. Groffman et al. 2006), as the same holds true when comparing our rates specifically with those of other lacustrine IPT studies (~0 to ~4300 μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹) (Fig. 4B). However, previous studies have usually been conducted in lakes at lower latitudes, between 40°N and 58°N, and our study is among the few carried out in the boreal zone (Fig. 4A). Indeed, our rates do compare well with those from IPT studies in Lakes Norrviken and Vallentuna (0 to ~600 μmol N m⁻² d⁻¹) in central Sweden (Ahlgren et al. 1994). Our rates also agree with those measured for sediments from the open sea areas of the northern Baltic Sea (Tuominen et al. 1998) and from a coastal station in the Gulf of Finland (Hietanen & Kuparinen 2008), and they are only slightly lower than in the river estuaries of the northern Baltic Sea (Silvennoinen et al. 2007). The nitrate concentration of water overlying the sediment has been shown to affect denitrification rates positively (Risgaard-Petersen et al. 1999, Hasegawa & Okino 2004, Piña-Ochoa & Álvarez-Cobelas 2006), and the lower process rates in lakes of the northern boreal zone compared to those in the temperate zone can be explained
by co-varying nitrate concentrations (Fig. 4B). This is most likely caused by larger anthropogenic nitrogen loads to watersheds in temperate areas compared to those of the boreal zone (Fig. 4A).

The observation that denitrification rates were higher in the profundal zone than in the littoral zone during mid-summer was unexpected because the few previous studies on spatial variation in lacustrine denitrification rates indicate the opposite, i.e. that rates are higher in the warmer littoral zone (Lake Norrviken in Ahlgren et al. 1994, Mengis et al. 1997, Saunders & Kalff 2001b). These contrasting results suggest that spatial variation in denitrification rates differs in different types of lake. In our study, the hotspot of denitrification was the shallow profundal zone in mid-summer, and in the light of these results we acknowledge that the spatial coverage in our study should have been extended to deeper profundal sites. The seasonal variation in denitrification in the profundal zone of Lake Ormajärvi, with high rates in mid-summer, is consistent with previous studies (Christensen & Sørensen 1986, Ahlgren et al. 1994, Piña-Ochoa & Álvarez-Cobelas 2006), but contrasting seasonality, with high rates in winter, as measured from the shallow littoral zone of our study lake, have also been recorded (Rysgaard-Petersen et al. 1999, Hasegawa & Okino 2004). Thus, temporal variation also differs between different lakes, but it can also differ between different sites within lakes. Our results definitely highlight the importance of adequately covering both spatial and temporal variation in denitrification rates, when assessing the natural nitrogen removal capabilities of lake ecosystems. This qualification has not been met in most of the previous studies on lake denitrification, probably because of the highly laborious and expensive measurement techniques involved.

Variations in process rates usually stem from variations in environmental factors. None of the environmental factors we recorded had very high correlation with the denitrification rates in our study. Besides explaining between-lake variation, the nitrate concentration of the water overlying the sediment was also of the greatest importance in explaining within-lake variation in denitrification rates in this study. The oxygen concentration of the water overlying the sediment controls the relative importance of $D_n$ and $D_w$ by controlling the thickness of the oxic sediment surface (e.g. Rysgaard et al. 1994). Low levels of oxygen can favour $D_w$ over $D_n$ via a decrease in nitrification and reduction of the diffusional distance of nitrate from the water column to the denitrification zone, and vice versa (e.g. Rysgaard et al. 1994). The variation in the relative importance of $D_w$ and $D_n$ components, and the slight negative relationship between oxygen saturation and $D_w$ and $O_2$ concentration and $D_n$, indicates that oxygen concentrations also affected the denitrification rates. We suggest that the inverse relationship between denitrification rates and temperature, which is in contrast with most studies on the effect of temperature on denitrification rates (Ahlgren et al. 1994, Saunders & Kalff 2001b, Piña-Ochoa & Álvarez-Cobelas 2006), is due to concurrent variations in the availability of nitrate and oxygen concentrations.

Fig. 4. Denitrification rates (μmol N m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) in lakes at different latitudes measured using (A) various techniques, and (B) the isotope-pairing technique (IPT); these data include rates measured in Ormajärvi (61° N; 44 to 613 μmol N m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, indicated by arrows). Anthropogenic nitrogen inputs into watersheds (μmol N m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) at different latitudes, and concurrently measured hypolimnetic nitrate concentration in IPT studies, are also shown in (A) and (B), respectively. Data on denitrification rates and hypolimnetic nitrate concentrations have been compiled from various review articles and single studies (see ‘Materials and methods’), and values for anthropogenic nitrogen inputs are adopted from Seitzinger et al. (2002). Denitrification rates are presented as the minimum and maximum values from each study, if available, or only as the reported mean.
affecting the denitrification rates. We further suggest that the low rates in the littoral zone in mid-summer during the time of highest temperatures were due to photosynthetically active free-living and periphytic algae, which competed with nitrifiers and denitrifiers for inorganic nitrogen (Rysgaard et al. 1995). Nitrogen fixation, indicating nitrogen limitation, was observed in the epilimnion of Lake Ormajärvi 2 wk before our sampling and confirms our suggestions (Jäntti 2007).

The coincident high rates in the profundal zone can be explained by high levels of nitrate and low levels of oxygen increasing \( D_n \). Low levels of oxygen probably also increased the flux of ammonium from sediment to the overlying water (e.g. Cowan et al. 1996), which would explain the concurrent high concentrations of ammonium and the negative dependency between ammonium and oxygen concentrations. In addition, the high concentration of oxygen during winter probably positively affected nitrification rates, which led to a high share of \( D_n \) and a high concentration of nitrate and a low concentration of ammonium in the water overlying the sediment. Spatial differences in denitrification rates can also be due to heterogeneity in the content of organic matter in the sediment (Saunders & Kalff 2001b), but we did not observe that. Variations in the quality of organic matter can also result in variation in denitrification rates (Hietanen & Kuparinen 2008), i.e. the high rates in the shallow littoral zone in early summer and winter, and in the deep littoral zone in winter, may have been due to easily degradable Typha latifolia and periphyton litter.

The composition of some previously studied denitrifier communities correlated with denitrification activity, i.e. the nirK-containing community in agricultural soil (Wertz et al. 2009) and the nosZ-containing community in estuarine sediment (Magalhães et al. 2008), while that of others did not (Rich & Myrold 2004, Enwall et al. 2005, Boyle et al. 2006, Cao et al. 2008). This indicates that denitrification activity may, in some cases, be affected by denitrifier community composition, but in other cases environmental factors are the dominant determinants (Wallenstein et al. 2006). The uncoupling of the nirK-containing community structure and denitrification activity suggests that the denitrification in Lake Ormajärvi is controlled more by environmental factors than by the structure of the nirK-containing community. Changes in environmental conditions may more immediately modify the denitrification rate, but may influence the community composition of denitrifiers in the longer term (Wallenstein et al. 2006). The seasonal variations in the environmental factors were large in Lake Ormajärvi, but the seasonal variations in the nirK-containing community were insignificant; this contrasts with previous studies of nirK-containing communities in aquatic sediments (Fortunato et al. 2009) and agricultural land (Woising & Priemé 2004, Wertz et al. 2009), and of nosZ-containing communities in sediments (Scala & Kerkhof 2000, Magalhães et al. 2008) that showed marked seasonal variations, but agrees with a study showing seasonal stability of an nirK-containing community in a hypersaline microbial mat (Desnues et al. 2007). This indicates that the response of denitrifier communities to variations in environmental factors may differ between different environments. The results imply that the structure of the nirK-containing community in our study lake does not respond to seasonal variations in environmental factors and is regulated mainly by factors acting in a spatial scale, i.e. spatial variations in the sediment’s content of organic matter, and structure (porosity), which have also previously been shown to affect the composition of microbial communities (e.g. Wallenstein et al. 2006, Wu et al. 2008). It is also possible that the response to environmental factors may vary between different denitrifier groups, i.e. between nirK and nirS communities (Desnues et al. 2007, Junier et al. 2008, Kim et al. 2011). In addition, besides community structure, as assessed by microbial community fingerprinting, the abundance of nirK-containing denitrifiers may also vary spatially and temporally (Dandie et al. 2008) and has also been noticed to correlate with denitrification activity in stream sediments (O’Connor et al. 2006). Therefore, we acknowledge that a more complete picture of variations in the denitrifier community would have been acquired by concurrent analyses of nirS-containing communities and the total abundance of denitrification genes.

In conclusion, the denitrification rates we measured from the boreal Lake Ormajärvi are among the lowest ever reported from lacustrine sediments. Denitrification rates varied spatially as well as seasonally, being highest during mid-summer in the profundal zone, and during winter in the littoral zones. These variations were explained by the availability of nitrate and the concentration of oxygen and, possibly, by the amount of labile organic matter. The structure of the nirK-containing community was temporally very stable, but differed slightly between study sites. This spatial variation is presumably a result of variations in the content of organic matter in the sediment and the porosity of the sediment. The structure of the nirK-containing community did not affect denitrification rates. This study has been one of the most thorough efforts to reveal the temporal and spatial variations and their controlling factors in lacustrine denitrification, and in the denitrifier communities. Showing the variability in the rate measurements, it calls for more efficient and integrative methods for the analysis of denitrification in lakes.
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Appendix 1.

Fig. A1. D14 (denitrification of the natural $^{14}$NO$_3^-$) and D15 (denitrification of the added $^{15}$NO$_3^-$) of $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ concentration tests in October 2006. Data are mean ± SD. n = 3, unless otherwise indicated.

Table A1. Correlations (Spearman’s rho) (p < 0.05) of square-root-transformed relative percentage abundances of different nirK operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with environmental factors (see Table 1) and with the denitrification rate of natural $^{14}$NO$_3^-$ (D14). n = 35, except for analyses of pH, where n = 32.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTU</th>
<th>LOI</th>
<th>Porosity</th>
<th>O$_2$ sat. (%)</th>
<th>[NH$_4^+$]</th>
<th>[NO$_3^-$]</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>[PO$_4^{3-}$]</th>
<th>[O$_2$]</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>D14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OTU1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU4</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>–0.65</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>–0.62</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU5</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>–0.45</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>–0.54</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.46</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.34</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU10</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>–0.50</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>–0.37</td>
<td>–0.46</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU12</td>
<td>–0.47</td>
<td>–0.35</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>–0.38</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU13</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU15</td>
<td>–0.80</td>
<td>–0.81</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>–0.36</td>
<td>–0.34</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU16</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.70</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>–0.45</td>
<td>–0.39</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU17</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU18</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.67</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>–0.51</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU19</td>
<td>–0.37</td>
<td>–0.40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.36</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.38</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.38</td>
<td>–0.37</td>
<td>–0.37</td>
<td>–0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU24</td>
<td>–0.59</td>
<td>–0.39</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>–0.41</td>
<td>–0.39</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU25</td>
<td>–0.79</td>
<td>–0.80</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTU26</td>
<td>–0.78</td>
<td>–0.84</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.36</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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