

**This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint *may differ* from the original in pagination and typographic detail.**

Author(s): Ilander, Aki; Väisänen, Ari

Title: The determination of antimony and arsenic concentrations in fly ash by hydride generation inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (HG-ICP-OES)

Year: 2011

Version:

Please cite the original version:

Ilander, A. & Väisänen, A. (2011). The determination of antimony and arsenic concentrations in fly ash by hydride generation inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (HG-ICP-OES). *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 689 (2), 178-183.
doi:10.1016/j.aca.2011.01.043

All material supplied via JYX is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

1 **The determination of antimony and arsenic concentrations in fly ash by**
2 **hydride generation inductively coupled plasma optical emission**
3 **spectrometry (HG-ICP-OES)**

4 Aki Ilander*, Ari Väisänen

5 Department of Chemistry, P.O. Box 35, FIN-40014, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

6 **Abstract**

7 Hydride generation inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (HG-
8 ICP-OES) was used in the determination of As and Sb concentrations in fly ash samples.
9 The effect of sample pre-treatment reagents and measurement parameters used for
10 hydride generation was evaluated. Due to memory effects observed, the appropriate
11 read delay time was adjusted to 60 seconds resulting in RSDs 0.6% and 2.3% for As
12 and Sb, respectively. The most suitable volumes of pre-reduction reagents for 10 mL of
13 sample were 4 mL of KI/ascorbic acid (5%) and 6 mL of HCl (Conc.). The
14 determination of Sb was significantly interfered by HF, but the interference could be
15 eliminated by adding 2 mL of saturated boric acid and heating the samples to 60°C at
16 least 45 min. The accuracy of the method was studied by analyses of SRM 1633b and
17 two fly ash samples with the recovery test of added As and Sb. As high a recovery as
18 96% for SRM 1633b was reached for As using 193.696 nm with two-step ultrasound-
19 assisted digestion. A recovery rate of 103% was obtained for Sb using 217.582 nm and
20 the pre-reduction method with the addition of 2 mL of saturated boric acid and heating.
21 The quantification limits for the determination of As and Sb in the fly ash samples using
22 two-step ultrasound-assisted digestion followed with HG-ICP-OES were 0.89 and 1.37
23 mg kg⁻¹, respectively.

24 *Keywords:* Antimony; Arsenic; Fly ash; Hydride generation; ICP-OES

1. Introduction

The combustion of agricultural wastes, coal, municipal waste, peat and wood has generated huge amounts of different kinds of ashes during the previous decades [1]. It is well known that fly ashes contain significant amounts of toxic elements such as As, Hg, Pb, Sb, Se, and Sn [2-4]. Those elements are potential risks in the environment even at low concentrations [3,5]. A significant problem in the use of various kinds of fly ashes is the injurious effect on the environment and human health [5,6]. Potential applications for fly ashes include construction materials (cement and ceramic), geotechnical structures (road pavement and embankments) and agriculture (soil amendment) [1,7].

Microwave-accelerated and ultrasound-assisted digestions have become the most commonly used sample pre-treatment methods for the determination of trace element concentrations in different kinds of solid samples by ICP-OES. Those digestion methods have been successfully used for elemental analysis of many particulate materials such as contaminated soil, coal fly ash, biological samples and sediment [8-13]. The methods used in the analysis of trace elements in fly ash samples are based on atomic absorption or emission spectrometry together with a liquid sample introduction system [14,15].

During the last decades, hydride generation atomic spectrometry has become the most used technique for the determination of trace amount of elements that generate volatile species [16-21]. Hydride generation inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer is a sensitive tool for the determination of elements such as As, Bi, Sb, and Sn [22,23]. This involves a hydride generation device coupled with atomic spectrometry. Usually, there are a few main steps in the system: (1) generation of hydride, (2) collection of hydride, (3) transfer of the hydride, (3) atomization and excitation of the hydride and (5) detection of the signals [17,18,23].

50 An essential advantage of the hydride generation technique is the separation of analytes
51 from the matrix. This enables reducing or even eliminating interference and increasing
52 the sensitivity at the same time. However, different kinds of interferences are present,
53 such as chemical and spectral interference [16,22,23]. Chemical interferences can be
54 commonly separated to those in liquid phase and gas phase; for example, transition
55 metals can cause chemical interferences in the liquid phase. Several reagents, such as
56 EDTA, thiourea and KI, can be used to reduce chemical interferences, for instance those
57 of transition metals [17,22,23]. Spectral interferences in a hydride generation system,
58 being a consequence of the transport of interfering transition metals to the plasma, are
59 responsible for spectral overlap at some wavelengths [17,22].

60 Typically As and Sb must be reduced to a lower oxidation state (As (III) and Sb (III)),
61 before the determination of those elements by hydride generation. The most common
62 pre-reduction reagents for As and Sb are KI, L-cysteine, thiourea and a mixture of KI
63 and ascorbic acid [17,24,25]. In the last decades determinations of hydride forming
64 elements by many kinds of apparatus using hydride generation coupled to atomic
65 spectrometry were performed, but the number of papers dealing with the determination
66 of As and Sb in fly ashes is limited.

67 The aim of this study was to develop a sensible and reliable method for the
68 determination of As and Sb in power plant fly ashes by HG-ICP-OES. The
69 increasing demand for an accurate analysis of such ashes is caused by increasing
70 environmental concern. At the same time, the reuse potential of ashes has been
71 noticed worldwide.

2. Experimental

2.1. Instrumentation

All measurements were performed with a Perkin-Elmer (Norwalk, CT, USA) model Optima 4300 DV inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer. The continuous flow hydride generator (FIAS Mercury/Hydride Chemifold Mat No. B0507957) supplied by Perkin-Elmer (Norwalk, CT, USA) was used throughout. The continuous flow hydride generator consisted of readily available components: silicon, PTFE, PVC tubings, adapters, couple of connectors and PTFE membrane. Two mixing T's were used to combine the sample and reductant streams (first T) and to add the stripping argon (second T). After chemical resistant gas/liquid separator with PTFE membrane the gaseous hydrides were transported by the stripping argon flow directly into the base of ICP torch. The 2 mm. i.d. alumina sample injector tube was used. The chemifold apparatus was directly connected into injector tube and uses the ICPs flow rate pump. More detailed information for hydride generator components and apparatus designs were presented in report authored by C. P. Bosnak and L. Davidowski [25]. The element concentrations were determined with the following parameters of the instrument [25]: nebulizer flow of 0.5 L min^{-1} , auxiliary gas flow of 0.2 L min^{-1} , plasma gas flow of 17.0 L min^{-1} and plasma power of 1450 W. Two wavelengths for both elements were tested in axially viewed plasma. The wavelengths used are shown in Table 1.

2.2. Reagents

All reagents used were of analytical grade and only high purity water of $18.2 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}$ resistivity produced by a Maxima water purification system supplied by Elga (Buckinghamshire, UK) was used throughout. Nitric acid (65%) was supplied by

96 Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Hydrochloric acid (37%) and hydrofluoric acid
97 (40%) were supplied by VWR (Briare, France). The standard stock solutions of As and
98 Sb (1000 mg L^{-1}) for the ICP-OES measurements were supplied by Merck (Darmstadt,
99 Germany). The working concentration ranges used are shown in Table 1. L(+)-ascorbic
100 acid (99.7%) and boric acid (99.8%) were supplied by VWR (Fontenay-sous-Bois,
101 France and Leuven, Belgium). Sodium borohydride (> 98%), potassium iodide (99.5%)
102 and sodium hydroxide pellets (> 97.5%) were supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).
103 Two standard stock solutions were prepared for the interference tests. Standard stock
104 solutions of Al and Fe (10000 mg L^{-1}) were prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts
105 of $\text{Al}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ (> 99.4%) and $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ (> 99.0%) in 65 mL of 10%
106 HNO_3 and diluted to a volume of 250 mL with water; both reagents were supplied by
107 Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Other standard stock solutions used for interference tests
108 (Co, Cr, Cu and Ni 1000 mg L^{-1}) were also supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).
109 0.5% Sodium borohydride solution as a reducing agent, a mixture of 5% Potassium
110 iodide and 5% ascorbic acid solution and saturated boric acid solution as a pre-treatment
111 solution were used throughout.

112 **2.3. Samples**

113 A coal fly ash standard reference material, SRM 1633b [27], certified by the National
114 Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), and two fly ash samples collected from
115 Finnish wood burning plants were analyzed. Six replicate analyses of each fly ash
116 sample were performed.

117 **2.4. Digestion methods**

118 All three digestion procedures were performed with ultrasound or microwave methods.
119 Those methods were presented with more details in our earlier studies [28,29]. Two

120 digestion procedures were performed with an ultrasound method (US or US-TSD) and
121 one digestion procedure was performed with a microwave method standardized by the
122 USEPA (MW).

- 123 ○ US = Ultrasound, digestion solution of 10 mL (1:1) *aqua regia*, (9 min)
- 124 ○ US-TSD = Ultrasound (two-step): i) digestion solution of 6 mL of HNO₃, (9 min) ii)
125 digestion solution of 3 mL HNO₃ and 3 mL HF, (18 min)
- 126 ○ MW = Microwave, digestion solution of 9 mL HNO₃ and 3 mL HF, USEPA method
127 3052

128 ***2.5. Pre-reduction of As and Sb***

129 When As and Sb concentrations are determined with HG-ICP-OES, a pre-reduction of
130 the elements into the oxidation state III is necessary. A mixture of KI (5%) and ascorbic
131 acid (5%) was used as a reducing agent for As and Sb. Two different pre-reduction
132 procedures A and B were performed for As and Sb as follows:

133 ***Method A:***

134 10 mL of SRM or fly ash sample was placed into a 50 mL polypropylene volumetric
135 flask into which 10 ml of a pre-reduction solution containing 4 mL of KI/ascorbic acid
136 mixture and 6 mL of hydrochloric acid was added. The mixture was allowed to stand for
137 at least 30 minutes and then diluted to a volume of 50 mL with water. The sample was
138 then ready for measurements.

139 ***Method B:***

140 10 mL of SRM or fly ash sample was placed into a 50 mL polypropylene volumetric
141 flask into which 12 ml of a pre-reduction solution containing 2 mL of saturated boric
142 acid solution, 4 mL of KI/ascorbic acid mixture and 6 mL of hydrochloric acid was
143 added. The mixture was placed into a water bath (60°C) and was allowed to stand for at

144 least 45 minutes after which the flask was diluted to a volume of 50 mL with water. The
145 sample was then ready for measurements.

146 **3. Results and discussion**

147 ***3.1. Calibration***

148 All concentration measurements were carried out using four-point calibration. Multi-
149 element calibration standards were used for both elements. The sample matrix of
150 calibration standards was matched similar as samples. As and Sb were determined by
151 using two of the most sensitive emission lines to attain the sensitivity required. The
152 quantification limits for the determination of As and Sb (pre- reduction method B) in the
153 fly ash samples using an US-TSD as a digestion method (pre-treatment method B) were
154 found to be 0.89 and 1.37 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. It should be noted that the best
155 quantification limits for the determination of As and Sb in the fly ash samples using US
156 as a digestion method (pre-treatment method A) were found to be 0.16 and 0.45 mg kg⁻¹,
157 respectively. Extremely high values were obtained for the regression correlation
158 coefficients, as shown in Table 1.

159 ***3.2. Evaluation of determination parameters***

160 Some of the instrument parameters used was taken from the field application report
161 supplied by Perkin Elmer [25] such as plasma power, plasma gas flow, nebulizer flow
162 and auxiliary gas flow. The determination parameters optimized were washing and read
163 delay time as well as the sample flow rate. Axially viewed plasma was used throughout.
164 To maintain the plasma in a stable condition it was found useful to introduce water for
165 at least 20s between every sample. According to the literature [15,30,31] memory
166 effects can be handled by using appropriate washing solution and with long enough
167 rinsing time between each sample. Memory effects were tested by introducing samples

168 with As and Sb concentrations of 200 or 80 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ after which the determination of
169 samples with 20 fold lower concentrations was immediately performed. Test shows that,
170 the read delay time should be at least 60 seconds in order to eliminate memory effects,
171 resulting in RSDs 0.6% and 2.3% of three replicate measurements for As and Sb,
172 respectively. The memory effect in replicate measurements was significantly higher for
173 Sb than for As. Three different sample flow rates were tested (1.80, 2.00 and 2.20 mL
174 min^{-1}). Flow rate test resulted in RSDs (0.2-0.7%, 1.1-2.7% and 1.2-2.3%) and (0.1-
175 0.9%, 1.2-1.9% and 1.4-3.2%) of three replicate measurements for As and Sb at sample
176 flow rates of 1.80, 2.00 and 2.20 mL min^{-1} , respectively. The tests showed that a sample
177 flow rate of 2.20 mL min^{-1} was impractical because quite often the plasma went off
178 during the measurements. The test shows also that constancy of calibration resulted in
179 highest with a sample flow rate of 1.80 mL min^{-1} . According to tests the highest
180 repeatability and plasma stability was obtained at a sample flow rate of 1.80 mL min^{-1}
181 for the determination of As and Sb. It should be noted; however, that using a sample
182 flow rate of 1.80 mL min^{-1} the maximum intensities found were about 10-20% lower
183 than using other flow rates (2.00 and 2.20 mL min^{-1}).

184 ***3.3. Evaluation of matrix effects in pre-treatment procedures***

185 The evaluation of pre-treatment conditions and matrix effects were performed by
186 determining the concentrations of elements in SRM 1633b with different volumes of
187 pre-reduction solutions. The effect of hydrofluoric acid was thoroughly tested. As could
188 be seen in Figure 1a, tests showed that HF does not play a significant role in the
189 determination of As concentrations in fly ashes by HG-ICP-OES when the HF
190 concentration remains below 2%. According to the tests, HF has a significant effect on
191 the determination of Sb concentrations (Figure 1a). The recoveries of Sb at both
192 wavelengths were dramatically lower when HF was present even at low concentrations.

193 Due to this, saturated boric acid solution was tested for the elimination of this
194 interference. The HF was successfully eliminated by adding 2 mL of saturated boric
195 acid into a pre-reduction solution and heating the sample solution to 60°C at least 45
196 min (Table 2 and Figure 1b).

197 The reduction time, the volume of the reduction reagents and the order of introducing
198 the reduction reagents were also tested. As could be seen in Figure 1b, a 45 minute
199 reduction time was needed if 2 mL of saturated boric acid was used at 60°C. Other
200 temperatures were also tested (20 and 85°C) and 60°C was found the most suitable
201 (Figure 1c). At 20°C a recovery rate of only about 50% (Figure 1d) was obtained for Sb.
202 85°C was too high resulting in decreased recovery rates from 25 to 40% for both As and
203 Sb. The effect of hydrochloride acid and KI/ascorbic acid were tested. As could be seen
204 in Figure 2a, the volume of HCl does not play a significant role in the determination of
205 As concentrations. On the other hand, HCl has a significant effect on the determination
206 of Sb concentrations. According to the tests, the volume of KI/Ascorbic acid does not
207 play as significant role in the determination of Sb as in the determination of As
208 concentrations (Figure 2b). According to the reagent volume tests (Figures 1 and 2), the
209 appropriate volumes of KI/ascorbic acid, HCl and saturated boric acid solutions for 10
210 mL of sample solution were 4, 6 and 2 mL, respectively. Pre-reduction reagent order
211 tests showed that the order of introducing the reduction reagents did not significantly
212 affect the determination of As and Sb concentrations in fly ashes.

213 The effect of nitric acid on the determined concentrations was also tested. The test
214 showed that if the HNO₃ concentration in the samples was lower than 10%, it did not
215 play a significant role in the determination of As and Sb concentrations in fly ashes by
216 HG-ICP-OES (Figure 2c). Possible interferences caused by matrix elements Al, Co, Cr,
217 Cu, Fe, and Ni [17] were also tested. The test showed that Al, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe and Ni do

218 not significantly interfere in the determination of As and Sb at concentration levels of
219 500 mg L⁻¹ or lower of Al and Fe and 50 mg L⁻¹ or lower of Co, Cr, Cu or Ni (Table 3).
220 At those concentrations the relative intensities varied from 97.7 to 101.0% compared to
221 pure analyte solutions. P. Pohl [17] and P. Pohl *et al.* [32] also found that interference
222 caused by metals could be eliminated by using masking agents, such as L(+)-ascorbic
223 acid.

224 **3.4. Recovery test**

225 The recovery test was used to confirm the analysis of real fly ash samples (FA1 and
226 FA2) in which the main matrix element concentrations differed from SRM 1633b. The
227 recovery test of added As and Sb was performed at two levels of concentrations (50%
228 and 200% addition) (Table 4). Addition of As and Sb concentrations was performed
229 after digestion in sample solution. The recovery test for both pre-reduction methods (A
230 and B) with all digestion methods (US, US-TSD and MW) and both real fly ash samples
231 (FA1 and FA2) resulted in recovery rates from 93% to 106%. The recovery test with the
232 digestion method US-TSD followed with pre-reduction method B, using a mixture of
233 KI/ascorbic acid, HCl and saturated boric acid as the reducing agent, resulted in
234 recovery rates from 97% to 105% (Table 4).

235 **3.5. Evaluation of wavelengths**

236 The evaluation of wavelengths was performed by determining the concentrations of As
237 and Sb in SRM 1633b and real fly ash samples. As could be seen in Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5,
238 there was no significant difference between As wavelengths (188.979 nm and 193.696
239 nm) or Sb wavelengths (206.638 m and 217.582 nm), so that both wavelengths tested
240 could be used for the determination of As and Sb concentrations. The highest emission
241 intensities were obtained at 193.696 nm and 217.582 nm for As and Sb, respectively.
242

243 These wavelengths had also better LOD and LOQ values than others. Therefore 193.696
244 nm and 217.582 nm, respectively, are suggested for the determination of As and Sb in
245 fly ash samples by HG-ICP-OES.

246 **3.6. Analysis**

247 The coal fly ash standard reference material, SRM 1633b, and two fly ash samples
248 collected from different wood burning incineration plants were analyzed. As and Sb
249 were selected as analyte elements because of their toxic character and their presence in
250 incineration ashes. The concentrations (mean \pm confidence level of the mean) of the two
251 elements in SRM 1633b digested by ultrasound or microwave methods and determined
252 by HG-ICP-OES are shown in Table 2. As could be seen, the determination of As was
253 performed with recovery rates between 81-96%, whereas Sb recoveries were 51-103%.
254 The highest As recovery of 96% was obtained with the digestion method US-TSD
255 followed with HG-ICP-OES (method B); this is higher than that determined by M. A.
256 Vieira et al. [33] in SRM 1633b by HGAAS. The highest Sb recovery, 103%, was
257 obtained with the digestion method US-TSD. It should be noted that in SRM 1633b the
258 Sb concentration is not certified although it is given. Using the recovery test in Table 4,
259 As and Sb were also successfully determined in FA1 and FA2.

260 The As and Sb concentrations determined using three different digestion methods for
261 two fly ash samples are presented in Table 5. The concentrations of As for the real fly
262 ash samples (FA1 and FA2) by three different digestion methods resulted in
263 concentrations between 25-50 mg kg⁻¹. Significantly different concentrations of Sb
264 varying from 3 to 25 mg kg⁻¹ were obtained for real fly ash samples. The lowest
265 concentrations of Sb for all fly ash samples with boric acid and warming (method B)
266 were found in the digestion method US, whereas the lowest concentrations of Sb
267 without boric acid and warming (method A) were obtained in the digestion method US-

268 TSD. The lowest concentrations of As for all fly ash samples were found in the
269 digestion method MW (both pre-reduction methods). The As and Sb concentration
270 methods showed the same kind of trend between different digestion methods as in the
271 case of the SRM samples. The concentrations determined for As and Sb in real fly ash
272 samples resulted in a precision quite similar as those for the certified material (SRM
273 1633b).

274 **4. Conclusion**

275 The determination of As and Sb element concentrations in fly ash samples was
276 successfully performed using the hydride generation inductively coupled plasma optical
277 emission spectrometry (HG-ICP-OES). The most suitable method for the determination
278 of As and Sb was the digestion method US-TSD and a pre-reduction procedure with a
279 mixture of 4 mL of KI/ascorbic acid, 6 mL of HCl and 2 mL of saturated boric acid as a
280 reducing agent (method B), followed by the HG-ICP-OES measurement at 193.696 nm
281 and 217.582 nm. The quantification limits for the determination of As and Sb in the fly
282 ash samples using two-step ultrasound-assisted digestion followed with HG-ICP-OES
283 resulted in 0.89 and 1.37 mg kg⁻¹, respectively.

284 The recovery rates of As and Sb were as high as 96% and 103%, respectively. The
285 concentrations determined for As and Sb in fly ash samples (RSDs 1.9-5.8%) resulted in
286 a quite similar precision as those of the SRM 1633b (RSDs 1.2-2.1%). The As recovery
287 in all digestion methods was similar to or higher than those obtained by M. A. Vieira et
288 al. for SRM 1633b by HGAAS [33].

289 The major interference in the determination of Sb was caused by HF; therefore boric
290 acid with warming was needed in the determination of Sb. The accuracy of the method
291 was demonstrated with the analysis of SRM 1633b and two fly ash samples with the
292 recovery test of added As and Sb. The recovery test for both pre-reduction methods with

293 all digestion methods for both real fly ash samples was successfully performed. It is
294 well known that the accurate determination of toxic elements such as As and Sb is
295 crucial in cases of suspected environmental and health risks.

References

1. L. Reijnders, *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 43 (2005) 313.
2. O. Hjelm, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 47 (1996) 345.
3. M. Izquierdo, X. Querol, J. Davidovits, D. Antenucci, H. Nugteren, C. Fernández-Pereira, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 166 (2009) 561.
4. T. Sabbas, A. Poletti, S. R. Pomi, T. Astrup, O. Hjelm, P. Mostbauer, G. Cappai, G. Magel, S. Salhofer, C. Speiser, S. Heuss-Assbichler, R. Klein, P. Lechner, *Waste Manage.* 23 (2003) 61.
5. L. Ebdon, L. Pitts, R. Cornelis, H. Crews, O.F.X. Donald, Ph. Quevauviller, *Trace Element Speciation for Environment, Food and Health*, RSC. MPG Books Ltd, Bodmin, Cornwall, UK, 2001.
6. S. V. Vassilev, C. G. Vasileva, *Fuel* 86 (2007) 1490.
7. R. Kumar, S. Kumar, S. P. Methohta, *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 52 (2007) 157.
8. H. M. Kingston, L. B. Jassie, *Introduction to Microwave Sample Preparation: Theory and Practice*, American Chemical Society, Washington D.C., 1988.
9. T. J. Mason, *Sonochemistry: The Uses of Ultrasound in Chemistry*, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1990.
10. K. Lamb, S. Hill, *Analyst* 123 (1998) 103R.
11. F. Priego-Capote, M.D. Luque de Castro, *Trends Anal. Chem.* 23 (2004) 644.
12. F. Priego-Capote, M.D. Luque de Castro, *J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods* 70 (2007) 299.
13. K. Srogi, *Anal. Lett.* 39 (2006) 1261.
14. P. W. J. M. Boumans, *Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission Spectroscopy, Part I, Methodology, Instrumentation, and performance*, *Chemical Analysis Vol. 90.*, Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987.

- 321 15. J. Nölte, ICP Emission Spectrometry, A Practical Guide, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim,
322 2003.
- 323 16. H. Matusiewicz, M. Slachciński, *Microchem. J.* 82 (2006) 78.
- 324 17. P. Pohl, *Trends Anal. Chem.* 23 (2004) 87.
- 325 18. A. S. Ribeiro, M.A. Vieira, A. J. Curtius, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B* 59 (2004) 243.
- 326 19. M. Grotti, C. Lagomarsino, R. Frache, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* 20 (2005) 1365.
- 327 20. M.A. Vieira, A. S. Ribeiro, A. J. Curtius, *Microchem. J.* 82 (2006) 127.
- 328 21. E. J. Santos, A. B. Herrmann, S. K. Caires, V. L. A. Frescura, A. J. Curtius,
329 *Spectrochim. Acta Part B* 64 (2009) 553.
- 330 22. P. Pohl, W. Zyrnicki, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 468 (2002) 71.
- 331 23. A. R. Kumar, P. Riyazuddin, *Trends Anal. Chem.* 29 (2010) 166.
- 332 24. D. L. Tsalev, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B* 55 (2000) 917.
- 333 25. C. P. Bosnak, L. Davidowski, PerkinElmer Field Application Report, ICP-Optical
334 Emission, Continuous Flow Hydride Generation Using the Optima ICP, 2004
- 335 26. J. N. Miller, J. C. Miller, *Statistics and Chemometrics for Analytical Chemistry*, 4th
336 edition, Pearson Education Limited, Dorchester, 2000.
- 337 27. T.E. Gills, Certificate of Analysis, Standard Reference Material 1633b, National
338 Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, 1993.
- 339 28. A. Ilander, A. Väisänen, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 602 (2007) 195.
- 340 29. A. Ilander, A. Väisänen, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 16 (2009) 763.
- 341 30. G. Vujicic, I. Steffan, *Mikrochim. Acta* 3 (1989) 267.
- 342 31. J. Li, F. Lu, T. Umemura, K-I. Tsunoda, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 419 (2000) 65.
- 343 32. P. Pohl, I. J. Zapata, N. H. Bings, E. Voges, J. A. C. Broekaert, *Spectrochim. Acta Part*
344 *B* 63 (2007) 444.
- 345 33. M. A. Vieira, B. Welz, A. J. Curtius, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B* 57 (2002) 2057.

Table 1 Calibration data of the determination of samples by HG-ICP-OES.

Element	Wavelength (nm)	r	Atom/Ion (I/II)	LOD ^a (mg kg ⁻¹)	LOQ ^b (mg kg ⁻¹)	Calibration range (µg L ⁻¹)
As	188.979	1.0000	I	0.39	1.29	2 - 200
As	193.696	1.0000	I	0.27	0.89	2 - 200
Sb	206.836	0.9999	I	0.46	1.55	2 - 200
Sb	217.582	0.9999	I	0.41	1.37	2 - 200

^a LOD = limit of detection when 250 mg sample was digested and filtrate diluted to a volume of 100 mL and sample further diluted 10mL/50mL (US-TSD, method B). Calculated by substituting the intercept and its standard deviations multiplier ($a + 3s_a$) into the calibration line $y = bx + a$ [26].

^b LOQ = limit of quantification when 250 mg sample was digested and filtrate diluted to a volume of 100 mL and sample further diluted 10mL/50mL (US-TSD, method B). Calculated by substituting the intercept and its standard deviations multiplier ($a + 10s_a$) into the calibration line $y = bx + a$ [26].

Bold = suggested wavelength

354 Table 2 Element concentrations determined (mg kg^{-1}) in SRM 1633b using three different
 355 digestion procedures (mean of six replicate samples, with the confidence limit of the mean,
 356 $P = 0.05$).

Element	Microwave MW ^a	Ultrasound US ^b	Ultrasound US-TSD ^c	Certified
<i>Method A</i>				
As 188.979 nm	111.2 ± 1.3	124.9 ± 0.9	130.3 ± 1.8	136.2 ± 2.6
As 193.696 nm	111.6 ± 1.3	125.1 ± 0.8	130.6 ± 1.7	
Sb 206.836 nm	<LOQ	2.62 ± 0.11	<LOQ	6*
Sb 217.582 nm	<LOQ	2.65 ± 0.13	<LOQ	
<i>Method B</i>				
As 188.979 nm	111.0 ± 2.4	124.5 ± 0.9	129.8 ± 1.6	136.2 ± 2.6
As 193.696 nm	111.3 ± 2.3	124.8 ± 0.7	130.2 ± 1.6	
Sb 206.836 nm	5.81 ± 0.12	3.11 ± 0.14	6.16 ± 0.10	6*
Sb 217.582 nm	5.84 ± 0.11	3.13 ± 0.10	6.18 ± 0.09	

357 LOQ = limit of quantification ($< 1.55 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$)

358 **Bold** = suggested wavelength

359 Method A= without boric acid and warming

360 Method B= with boric acid and warming

361 * Non-certified value (SRM 1633b)

362 ^a Microwave, digestion solution of 9 mL HNO₃ and 3 mL HF, USEPA method 3052

363 ^b Ultrasound, digestion solution of 10 mL (1+1) *aqua regia*, 9 min (3 × 3 min),

364 ^c Ultra-sound (two-step): i) digestion solution of 6 mL of HNO₃, 9 min (3 × 3 min)

365 ii) digestion solution of 3 mL HNO₃ and 3 mL HF, 18 min (6 × 3 min)

366 Table 3 Influence of metals on the determination of synthetic As and Sb samples ($50 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)
 367 by HG-ICP-OES. Relative intensity (%) tolerance of As and Sb measurements with
 368 interfering element for pre-treatment method A.

	As 188.979 nm	As 193.696 nm	Sb 206.836 nm	Sb 217.582 nm
	Intensity (%)	Intensity (%)	Intensity (%)	Intensity (%)
Al (III)**	99.6-100.0	98.8-100.0	98.9-100.0	99.1-100.1
Co (II)*	99.6-100.9	99.6-101.0	99.0-101.0	99.5-100.7
Cr (III)*	100.0-100.6	100.0-100.9	100.0-100.5	100.0-100.6
Cu (II)*	98.9-100.8	99.1-100.4	97.8-100.0	97.9-100.0
Fe (III)**	99.1-100.1	99.5-100.9	99.2-100.2	99.2-100.1
Ni (II)*	99.1-100.0	99.0-100.0	97.7-100.0	97.8-100.0

369 * concentration interval of interfering element = $0-50\text{mg L}^{-1}$

370 ** concentration interval of interfering element = $0-500\text{mg L}^{-1}$

371 Table 4 Recoveries of added As and Sb for pre-reduction method B with digestion
 372 methods US-TSD and MW (mean of four replicate samples, with the confidence limit of
 373 the mean, $P = 0.05$).

Element	Method US-TSD Recovery (%)	Method MW Recovery (%)
FA1		
<i>Addition 50 %</i>		
As 188.979 nm	102.9 ± 2.2	97.5 ± 2.3
As 193.696 nm	102.8 ± 2.1	96.5 ± 2.3
Sb 206.836 nm	96.8 ± 3.2	98.6 ± 2.4
Sb 217.582 nm	98.8 ± 2.9	97.8 ± 2.6
<i>Addition 200 %</i>		
As 188.979 nm	100.2 ± 1.8	93.4 ± 2.3
As 193.696 nm	100.3 ± 1.6	93.8 ± 2.2
Sb 206.836 nm	97.6 ± 2.4	96.0 ± 2.9
Sb 217.582 nm	97.4 ± 2.2	96.5 ± 3.0
FA2		
<i>Addition 50 %</i>		
As 188.979 nm	101.5 ± 2.8	104.8 ± 4.0
As 193.696 nm	102.4 ± 2.7	105.6 ± 4.0
Sb 206.836 nm	103.0 ± 1.8	104.8 ± 3.7
Sb 217.582 nm	102.4 ± 1.6	104.8 ± 3.6
<i>Addition 200 %</i>		
As 188.979 nm	104.8 ± 2.3	101.7 ± 1.9
As 193.696 nm	104.6 ± 2.2	101.6 ± 1.8
Sb 206.836 nm	104.0 ± 1.3	103.5 ± 1.6
Sb 217.582 nm	103.5 ± 1.2	103.6 ± 1.4

374 **Bold = suggested wavelength**

375 Table 5 Element concentrations determined (mg kg^{-1}) for two fly ash samples (FA1 and
 376 FA2) collected from Finland (mean of six replicate samples, with the confidence limit of
 377 the mean, $P = 0.05$).

Element	Microwave MW ^a	Ultrasound US ^b	Ultrasound US-TSD ^c
<i>Method A</i>			
FA1			
As 188.979 nm	24.7 ± 1.1	40.0 ± 0.7	43.3 ± 1.4
As 193.696 nm	24.8 ± 1.0	39.9 ± 0.7	43.2 ± 1.3
Sb 206.836 nm	2.32 ± 0.16	15.8 ± 0.4	2.64 ± 0.32
Sb 217.582 nm	2.44 ± 0.15	16.4 ± 0.5	2.72 ± 0.30
FA2			
As 188.979 nm	23.4 ± 1.3	44.2 ± 0.7	45.6 ± 1.4
As 193.696 nm	23.6 ± 1.2	44.0 ± 0.6	45.7 ± 1.3
Sb 206.836 nm	<LOQ	2.62 ± 0.11	<LOQ
Sb 217.582 nm	<LOQ	2.63 ± 0.10	<LOQ
<i>Method B</i>			
FA1			
As 188.979 nm	26.6 ± 1.0	41.8 ± 0.6	42.7 ± 1.3
As 193.696 nm	26.8 ± 1.0	41.8 ± 0.5	42.8 ± 1.2
Sb 206.836 nm	24.8 ± 0.9	19.1 ± 0.5	24.4 ± 0.8
Sb 217.582 nm	25.1 ± 0.9	19.7 ± 0.4	24.7 ± 0.8
FA2			
As 188.979 nm	25.6 ± 1.2	44.2 ± 0.5	49.6 ± 3.0
As 193.696 nm	25.7 ± 1.0	44.3 ± 0.4	49.3 ± 2.9
Sb 206.836 nm	5.78 ± 0.34	3.19 ± 0.10	6.03 ± 0.14
Sb 217.582 nm	5.85 ± 0.28	3.20 ± 0.08	6.05 ± 0.13

378 LOQ = limit of quantification ($< 1.55 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$)

379 **Bold** = suggested wavelength

380 Method A= without boric acid and warming

381 Method B= with boric acid and warming

382 ^a Microwave, digestion solution of 9 mL HNO₃ and 3 mL HF, USEPA method 3052

383 ^b Ultrasound, digestion solution of 10 mL (1+1) *aqua regia*, 9 min (3 × 3 min)

384 ^c Ultrasound (two-step): i) digestion solution of 6 mL of HNO₃, 9 min (3 × 3 min)

385 ii) digestion solution of 3 mL HNO₃ and 3 mL HF, 18 min (6 × 3 min)

386









Figure 1 Test of pre-treatment conditions in the determination of As and Sb in SRM 1633b or synthetic sample using a mixture of 4 ml of KI/ascorbic acid and 6 mL of HCl as a pre-reduction reagents. a) Synthetic sample containing $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of As and Sb, 9.0% of HNO_3 and pre-treatment time of 60 min, b) SRM sample, digestion method US-TSD, saturated boric acid 2 mL and temperature of 60°C , c) and d) SRM sample, digestion method US-TSD and pre-treatment time of 60 min. In cases of b), c) and d) conc. of HF (1.2%) and HNO_3 (9.0%) was derived from digestion method US-TSD.



399

400

401

402

403

404

405

Figure 2 Test of pre-treatment conditions in the determination of As and Sb in synthetic samples containing 200 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of As and Sb. a) 4 mL of KI/ascorbic acid, b) 6 mL of HCl, c) 4 mL of KI/ascorbic acid and 6 mL of HCl. The pre-treatment time of 60 min and temperature of 20 °C was used throughout.