

**This is an electronic reprint of the original article.  
This reprint *may differ* from the original in pagination and typographic detail.**

**Author(s):** Arto Valkonen; Erkki Kolehmainen; Anna Zakrzewska; Agnieszka Skotnicka; Ryszard Gawinecki

**Title:** 2-Methyl-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinazoline

**Year:** 2011

**Version:**

**Please cite the original version:**

Valkonen, A., Kolehmainen, E., Zakrzewska, A., Skotnicka, A., & Gawinecki, R. (2011).  
2-Methyl-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinazoline. Acta Crystallographica, Section E,  
Structure Reports Online, 67 (4), o923-o924. doi:10.1107/S1600536811009664

All material supplied via JYX is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

## 2-Methyl-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinazoline

Arto Valkonen,<sup>a\*</sup> Erkki Kolehmainen,<sup>a</sup> Anna Zakrzewska,<sup>b</sup> Agnieszka Skotnicka<sup>b</sup> and Ryszard Gawinecki<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of Jyväskylä, Department of Chemistry, PO Box 35, FIN-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland, and <sup>b</sup>University of Technology and Life Sciences, Department of Chemistry, Seminaryjna 3, PL-85-326 Bydgoszcz, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: arto.m.valkonen@jyu.fi


Received 9 March 2011; accepted 14 March 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 123$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.046;  $wR$  factor = 0.095; data-to-parameter ratio = 9.5.

The title compound,  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2$ , was formed during the lithiation of 2-methylquinazoline with phenyllithium followed by hydrolysis of the intermediate lithium 2-methyl-4-phenyl-4*H*-quinazolin-3-ide. NMR spectra as well as single-crystal X-ray structural data indicate that the reaction product to have the same structure in chloroform solution as in the crystalline state. The phenyl substituent is twisted out of the plane of the 3,4-dihydroquinazoline ring system by  $86.47(7)^\circ$ . In the crystal, intermolecular  $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$  interactions connect the molecules into infinite chains.

### Related literature

For organolithium compounds and lithiation, see: Gawinecki *et al.* (2006); Kolehmainen *et al.* (2000); Wakefield (1976); Armarego (1967). For previous characterizations of the title compound, see: Suri *et al.* (1993). For related structures, see: Rajnikant *et al.* (2002).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

$\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2$   
 $M_r = 222.28$   
 Trigonal,  $P3_1$   
 $a = 9.5600(4)$  Å  
 $c = 11.2569(5)$  Å  
 $V = 890.97(7)$  Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 3$   
 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 0.07$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 123$  K  
 $0.35 \times 0.13 \times 0.12$  mm

#### Data collection

Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD with APEXII detector diffractometer  
 6729 measured reflections  
 1468 independent reflections  
 1215 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.068$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.095$   
 $S = 1.06$   
 1468 reflections  
 155 parameters  
 1 restraint  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.19$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.19$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

**Table 1**

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{N3}-\text{H3}\cdots\text{N1}^i$	0.88	2.04	2.908 (3)	169

Symmetry code: (i)  $-y, x - y + 1, z + \frac{1}{3}$ .

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Bruker, 2008); cell refinement: *DENZO-SMN* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO-SMN*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR2004* (Burla *et al.*, 2005); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *Mercury* (Macrae, *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

Academy Professor Kari Rissanen and the Academy of Finland (project No. 212588 for KR) are gratefully acknowledged for funding. Dr Katri Laihia is thanked for characterization of the NMR spectra.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IM2274).

### References

- Armarego, W. L. F. (1967). *The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds, Fused Pyrimidines*, Part I, *Quinazolines*, edited by D. J. Brown, p. 35. New York: Interscience.
- Bruker (2008). *COLLECT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Burla, M. C., Caliandro, R., Camalli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., De Caro, L., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (2005). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **38**, 381–388.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Gawinecki, R., Kolehmainen, E., Loghmani-Khouzani, H., Ośmiałowski, B., Lovász, T. & Rosa, P. (2006). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 2817–2824.
- Kolehmainen, E., Ośmiałowski, B., Krygowski, T. M., Kauppinen, R., Nissinen, M. & Gawinecki, R. (2000). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, pp. 1259–1266.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41**, 466–470.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.

Rajnikant, Gupta, V. K., Suri, O. P. & Lal, M. (2002). *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **40**, 59–61.  
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.

Suri, K. A., Satti, N. K., Mahajan, B., Suri, O. P. & Dhar, K. L. (1993). *Indian J. Chem. Sect. B*, **32**, 1171–1172.  
Wakefield, B. J. (1976). *The Chemistry of Organolithium Compounds*, pp. 26, 32, 112, 138, 190. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

**supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2011). E67, o923-o924 [ doi:10.1107/S1600536811009664 ]

## 2-Methyl-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinazoline

A. Valkonen, E. Kolehmainen, A. Zakrzewska, A. Skotnicka and R. Gawinecki

### Comment

Addition of phenyllithium to 2-methylquinazoline takes place exclusively at the 3,4-position (neither 2-methyl-2-phenyl-1,2-dihydroquinazoline nor 2-methyl-4-phenyl-1,4-dihydroquinazoline were detected in the reaction mixture). Susceptibility of quinazolines to undergo the nucleophilic addition to their 3,4-double bonds has been reported earlier (Suri *et al.*, 1993). It is also known that 4-substituted 3,4-dihydroquinazolines can be generated from quinazolines when treated with organometallic compounds (Armarego, 1967). Furthermore, low susceptibility of 2-methyl group to lithiation precludes 2-methylquinazoline to be used as a starting material in syntheses of the important  $C_{exo}$ -substituted 2-methylquinazolines (Wakefield, 1976; Kolehmainen *et al.*, 2000; Gawinecki *et al.*, 2006).

In crystalline state the title compound shows the 3,4-dihydroquinazoline moiety to be planar (Fig. 1). The phenyl substituent is twisted out of plane of the moiety by 86.47 (7)°, which is rather close to the twist (79.3 (1)°) found in 2-methyl-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinazolinium chloride (Rajnikant *et al.*, 2002). Intermolecular N3—H...N1 hydrogen bonds (-*y*, *x*-*y* + 1, *z* + 1/3 direction) define the supramolecular structure and connect the molecules to infinite helical chains (Fig. 2). Unfortunately, no reliable determination of the absolute structure (or handedness of helix) is possible by X-ray crystallography.

### Experimental

A solution of 2-methyl-quinazoline (10.09 g, 0.07 mol) in absolute ethyl ether (100 ml) was added dropwise with stirring to a solution of phenyllithium [obtained by a standard method starting from freshly distilled bromobenzene (15.70 g, 0.1 mol), absolute ethyl ether (0.5 L) and lithium (2.80 g, 0.4 mol)]. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for additional 2 h and the reaction was quenched by addition of water (0.5 L). The organic layer was combined with the ether extracts of the water layer, dried ( $K_2CO_3$ ) and evaporated to dryness. The crude solid product was recrystallized from ethanol to give white crystals (51%) melting at 168–170 °C [lit. mp 168–170 °C (Suri *et al.*, 1993)].  $^1H$  NMR ( $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  (p.p.m.) = 7.26–7.34 (m, 5H, H12—H16), 7.13 (dd, 1H, H7), 7.02 (d, 1H, H8), 6.90 (dd, 1H, H6), 6.71 (d, 1H, H5), 5.67 (s, 1H, H4), 2.02 (s, 3H, CH3).  $^{13}C$  NMR ( $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  (p.p.m.) = 153.9 (C2), 145.3 (C11), 140.6 (C9), 128.1 (C7), 127.8 (C14), 128.7 (C13, C15), 127.3 (C12, C16), 126.7 (C5), 124.1 (C6), 123.3 (C10), 58.1 (C4), 22.5 (C17).

Suitable single crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained by very slow evaporation of analytical sample from NMR-tube, where  $CDCl_3$  was used as a solvent.

### Refinement

In the absence of significant anomalous dispersion effects, Friedel pairs were averaged. All H atoms were visible in electron density maps, but were calculated at their idealized positions and allowed to ride on their parent atoms at C—H distances of 0.95 Å (aromatic), 0.98 Å (methyl), 1.00 Å (methine), and N—H distance of 0.88 Å, with  $U_{iso}(H)$  of 1.2 times  $U_{eq}(C,N)$  or 1.5 times  $U_{eq}(C)$  (methyl).

## Figures




Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the title compound showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are represented by circles of arbitrary size.




Fig. 2. Part of the helical chain. Right-handed arbitrary presentation.

## 2-Methyl-4-phenyl-3,4-dihydroquinazoline

### Crystal data

$C_{15}H_{14}N_2$

$M_r = 222.28$

Trigonal,  $P3_1$

Hall symbol: P 31

$a = 9.5600$  (4) Å

$c = 11.2569$  (5) Å

$V = 890.97$  (7) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 3$

$F(000) = 354$

$D_x = 1.243$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 3635 reflections

$\theta = 0.4$ – $28.3^\circ$

$\mu = 0.07$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 123$  K

Long plate, colourless

$0.35 \times 0.13 \times 0.12$  mm

### Data collection

Bruker–Nonius KappaCCD with APEXII detector diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite

Detector resolution: 9 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>

$\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans

6729 measured reflections

1468 independent reflections

1215 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{int} = 0.068$

$\theta_{max} = 28.2^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{min} = 2.5^\circ$

$h = -12 \rightarrow 12$

$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$

$l = -12 \rightarrow 14$

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

$wR(F^2) = 0.095$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.06$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0321P)^2 + 0.2356P]$
1468 reflections	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
155 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
1 restraint	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
N1	-0.1844 (3)	0.2606 (3)	0.0291 (2)	0.0332 (5)
N3	-0.1137 (3)	0.4459 (3)	0.1862 (2)	0.0308 (5)
H3	-0.1520	0.4742	0.2470	0.037*
C2	-0.2197 (3)	0.3278 (3)	0.1150 (2)	0.0277 (6)
C4	0.0619 (3)	0.5311 (3)	0.1691 (2)	0.0266 (6)
H4	0.1125	0.5233	0.2447	0.032*
C5	0.2646 (3)	0.4997 (4)	0.0469 (3)	0.0339 (7)
H5	0.3474	0.5863	0.0910	0.041*
C6	0.3061 (4)	0.4266 (4)	-0.0421 (3)	0.0379 (7)
H6	0.4165	0.4634	-0.0591	0.045*
C7	0.1854 (4)	0.2994 (4)	-0.1060 (3)	0.0362 (7)
H7	0.2129	0.2484	-0.1667	0.043*
C8	0.0256 (4)	0.2473 (3)	-0.0813 (2)	0.0327 (6)
H8	-0.0563	0.1604	-0.1258	0.039*
C9	-0.0184 (3)	0.3198 (3)	0.0081 (2)	0.0281 (6)
C10	0.1033 (3)	0.4480 (3)	0.0727 (2)	0.0264 (6)
C11	0.1197 (3)	0.7087 (3)	0.1459 (2)	0.0257 (6)
C12	0.1080 (3)	0.7642 (3)	0.0349 (2)	0.0295 (6)
H12	0.0722	0.6927	-0.0311	0.035*
C13	0.1485 (3)	0.9247 (3)	0.0197 (3)	0.0341 (7)
H13	0.1425	0.9627	-0.0570	0.041*
C14	0.1977 (3)	1.0291 (4)	0.1157 (3)	0.0351 (7)
H14	0.2219	1.1376	0.1055	0.042*
C15	0.2112 (3)	0.9745 (3)	0.2266 (3)	0.0337 (6)
H15	0.2470	1.0461	0.2925	0.040*

## supplementary materials

---

C16	0.1724 (3)	0.8150 (3)	0.2415 (2)	0.0287 (6)
H16	0.1820	0.7781	0.3178	0.034*
C17	-0.3942 (3)	0.2713 (4)	0.1398 (3)	0.0384 (7)
H17A	-0.4623	0.1767	0.0907	0.058*
H17B	-0.4170	0.3581	0.1211	0.058*
H17C	-0.4172	0.2424	0.2239	0.058*

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
N1	0.0291 (12)	0.0346 (13)	0.0338 (13)	0.0144 (11)	-0.0030 (10)	-0.0035 (11)
N3	0.0272 (12)	0.0290 (12)	0.0297 (12)	0.0090 (10)	0.0067 (10)	-0.0042 (10)
C2	0.0270 (14)	0.0274 (14)	0.0282 (14)	0.0131 (12)	0.0016 (11)	0.0043 (11)
C4	0.0253 (13)	0.0249 (13)	0.0282 (14)	0.0114 (11)	-0.0007 (11)	0.0003 (11)
C5	0.0275 (14)	0.0318 (15)	0.0395 (17)	0.0126 (12)	0.0032 (12)	-0.0008 (12)
C6	0.0352 (16)	0.0366 (16)	0.0451 (18)	0.0204 (14)	0.0136 (14)	0.0069 (14)
C7	0.0548 (19)	0.0348 (16)	0.0307 (15)	0.0313 (15)	0.0137 (14)	0.0080 (13)
C8	0.0410 (16)	0.0273 (14)	0.0308 (15)	0.0179 (13)	0.0017 (13)	-0.0010 (12)
C9	0.0329 (15)	0.0278 (13)	0.0264 (13)	0.0174 (12)	-0.0015 (12)	0.0014 (11)
C10	0.0276 (13)	0.0230 (13)	0.0279 (14)	0.0122 (11)	0.0036 (11)	0.0032 (11)
C11	0.0196 (12)	0.0264 (13)	0.0309 (15)	0.0113 (11)	0.0030 (10)	0.0009 (11)
C12	0.0266 (14)	0.0333 (15)	0.0297 (14)	0.0158 (12)	-0.0011 (12)	-0.0010 (12)
C13	0.0306 (15)	0.0352 (16)	0.0378 (16)	0.0175 (13)	0.0008 (12)	0.0069 (13)
C14	0.0277 (15)	0.0271 (14)	0.0511 (18)	0.0141 (12)	0.0046 (13)	0.0047 (13)
C15	0.0278 (15)	0.0312 (15)	0.0416 (16)	0.0142 (12)	-0.0011 (12)	-0.0073 (13)
C16	0.0253 (13)	0.0306 (14)	0.0298 (15)	0.0137 (12)	-0.0021 (11)	-0.0030 (11)
C17	0.0282 (16)	0.0404 (17)	0.0404 (17)	0.0125 (13)	-0.0001 (13)	-0.0014 (13)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

N1—C2	1.296 (4)	C8—H8	0.9500
N1—C9	1.413 (3)	C9—C10	1.401 (4)
N3—C2	1.341 (4)	C11—C12	1.384 (4)
N3—C4	1.467 (3)	C11—C16	1.390 (4)
N3—H3	0.8800	C12—C13	1.392 (4)
C2—C17	1.500 (4)	C12—H12	0.9500
C4—C10	1.509 (4)	C13—C14	1.384 (4)
C4—C11	1.523 (3)	C13—H13	0.9500
C4—H4	1.0000	C14—C15	1.384 (4)
C5—C6	1.388 (4)	C14—H14	0.9500
C5—C10	1.394 (4)	C15—C16	1.387 (4)
C5—H5	0.9500	C15—H15	0.9500
C6—C7	1.387 (5)	C16—H16	0.9500
C6—H6	0.9500	C17—H17A	0.9800
C7—C8	1.378 (4)	C17—H17B	0.9800
C7—H7	0.9500	C17—H17C	0.9800
C8—C9	1.400 (4)		
C2—N1—C9	116.5 (2)	C5—C10—C9	119.3 (2)



C2—N3—C4	124.2 (2)	C5—C10—C4	119.9 (2)
C2—N3—H3	117.9	C9—C10—C4	120.8 (2)
C4—N3—H3	117.9	C12—C11—C16	119.2 (2)
N1—C2—N3	126.1 (2)	C12—C11—C4	121.9 (2)
N1—C2—C17	118.6 (2)	C16—C11—C4	118.6 (2)
N3—C2—C17	115.3 (2)	C11—C12—C13	120.2 (3)
N3—C4—C10	109.3 (2)	C11—C12—H12	119.9
N3—C4—C11	108.5 (2)	C13—C12—H12	119.9
C10—C4—C11	114.8 (2)	C14—C13—C12	120.4 (3)
N3—C4—H4	108.0	C14—C13—H13	119.8
C10—C4—H4	108.0	C12—C13—H13	119.8
C11—C4—H4	108.0	C15—C14—C13	119.6 (3)
C6—C5—C10	121.1 (3)	C15—C14—H14	120.2
C6—C5—H5	119.5	C13—C14—H14	120.2
C10—C5—H5	119.5	C14—C15—C16	120.0 (3)
C7—C6—C5	119.6 (3)	C14—C15—H15	120.0
C7—C6—H6	120.2	C16—C15—H15	120.0
C5—C6—H6	120.2	C15—C16—C11	120.6 (3)
C8—C7—C6	119.9 (3)	C15—C16—H16	119.7
C8—C7—H7	120.0	C11—C16—H16	119.7
C6—C7—H7	120.0	C2—C17—H17A	109.5
C7—C8—C9	121.3 (3)	C2—C17—H17B	109.5
C7—C8—H8	119.4	H17A—C17—H17B	109.5
C9—C8—H8	119.4	C2—C17—H17C	109.5
C8—C9—C10	118.9 (2)	H17A—C17—H17C	109.5
C8—C9—N1	118.5 (3)	H17B—C17—H17C	109.5
C10—C9—N1	122.6 (2)		
C9—N1—C2—N3	-1.0 (4)	N1—C9—C10—C4	-0.4 (4)
C9—N1—C2—C17	179.0 (3)	N3—C4—C10—C5	-175.0 (2)
C4—N3—C2—N1	7.2 (4)	C11—C4—C10—C5	62.8 (3)
C4—N3—C2—C17	-172.8 (3)	N3—C4—C10—C9	5.4 (3)
C2—N3—C4—C10	-8.7 (4)	C11—C4—C10—C9	-116.8 (3)
C2—N3—C4—C11	117.2 (3)	N3—C4—C11—C12	-81.0 (3)
C10—C5—C6—C7	-0.4 (4)	C10—C4—C11—C12	41.6 (3)
C5—C6—C7—C8	0.4 (4)	N3—C4—C11—C16	93.3 (3)
C6—C7—C8—C9	-0.3 (4)	C10—C4—C11—C16	-144.1 (2)
C7—C8—C9—C10	0.2 (4)	C16—C11—C12—C13	-0.1 (4)
C7—C8—C9—N1	-179.9 (2)	C4—C11—C12—C13	174.2 (2)
C2—N1—C9—C8	177.9 (3)	C11—C12—C13—C14	-1.3 (4)
C2—N1—C9—C10	-2.3 (4)	C12—C13—C14—C15	2.0 (4)
C6—C5—C10—C9	0.3 (4)	C13—C14—C15—C16	-1.3 (4)
C6—C5—C10—C4	-179.3 (3)	C14—C15—C16—C11	-0.1 (4)
C8—C9—C10—C5	-0.2 (4)	C12—C11—C16—C15	0.8 (4)
N1—C9—C10—C5	179.9 (2)	C4—C11—C16—C15	-173.7 (2)
C8—C9—C10—C4	179.4 (2)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
---------------	-------	-------------	-------------	---------------

# supplementary materials

N3—H3 $\cdots$ N1<sup>i</sup>

0.88

2.04

2.908 (3)

169

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-y, x-y+1, z+1/3$ .

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

