

# This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Kujala, Jan; Mäkelä, Sasu; Ojala, Pauliina; Hyönä, Jukka; Salmelin, Riitta

Title: Beta- and gamma-band cortico-cortical interactions support naturalistic reading of continuous text

Year: 2024

Version: Published version

Copyright: © 2023 The Authors. European Journal of Neuroscience published by Federation c

Rights: <sub>CC BY 4.0</sub>

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

### Please cite the original version:

Kujala, J., Mäkelä, S., Ojala, P., Hyönä, J., & Salmelin, R. (2024). Beta- and gamma-band corticocortical interactions support naturalistic reading of continuous text. European Journal of Neuroscience, 59(2), 238-251. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.16212 RESEARCH REPORT

#### EIN European Journal of Neuroscience FENS

#### WILEY

## Beta- and gamma-band cortico-cortical interactions support naturalistic reading of continuous text

Jan Kujala<sup>1,2</sup> | Sasu Mäkelä<sup>2</sup> | Pauliina Ojala<sup>2,3</sup> | Jukka Hyönä<sup>4</sup> Riitta Salmelin<sup>2,3</sup>

Revised: 6 November 2023

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neuroscience and Biomedical Engineering, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland

<sup>3</sup>Aalto NeuroImaging, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland

<sup>4</sup>Department of Psychology and Speech-Language Pathology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

#### Correspondence

Jan Kujala, Department of Psychology, University of Jyväskylä, Kärki, Mattilanniemi 6, Jyväskylä FI-40014, Finland. Email: jan.j.kujala@jyu.fi

#### **Funding information**

Academy of Finland, Grant/Award Numbers: 255349, 315553, 355407; Sigrid Jusélius Foundation; Finnish Cultural Foundation; Aalto Brain Center

Edited by: Edmund Lalor

#### Abstract

Large-scale integration of information across cortical structures, building on neural connectivity, has been proposed to be a key element in supporting human cognitive processing. In electrophysiological neuroimaging studies of reading, quantification of neural interactions has been limited to the level of isolated words or sentences due to artefacts induced by eye movements. Here, we combined magnetoencephalography recording with advanced artefact rejection tools to investigate both cortico-cortical coherence and directed neural interactions during naturalistic reading of full-page texts. Our results show that reading versus visual scanning of text was associated with wide-spread increases of cortico-cortical coherence in the beta and gamma bands. We further show that the reading task was linked to increased directed neural interactions compared to the scanning task across a sparse set of connections within a wide range of frequencies. Together, the results demonstrate that neural connectivity flexibly builds on different frequency bands to support continuous natural reading.

#### **KEYWORDS**

coherence, Granger causality, language, magnetoencephalography

#### INTRODUCTION 1 1

Human cognitive processing including language perception and production has been thought to rely on more than just hierarchical progression of information and instead to build on multifaceted interactive neural systems (Mesulam, 1990). Yet, in time-resolved neuroimaging, most studies on language processing have focused on revealing the modulation of sequential neural activation patterns in distinct brain regions (Hulten et al., 2019; Marinkovic et al., 2003; Salmelin et al., 2000). However, during the last decades, there has been increased interest in explicitly determining the role of neural interactions and large-scale integration of information (Palva et al., 2005; Salmelin & Kujala, 2006; Singer, 1999), which, mechanistically, has been proposed to be based on dynamic linkage across brain regions via phase-synchronization within multiple frequency bands (Fries, 2005; Singer & Gray, 1995; Varela et al., 2001). Using magnetoencephalography (MEG), in particular, numerous electrophysiological investigations have sought to address the architecture of neural connectivity regarding different cognitive processes such as attention (Doesburg et al., 2016; Gross et al., 2004;

\_\_\_\_\_ This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. European Journal of Neuroscience published by Federation of European Neuroscience Societies and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

2 WILEY-EIN European Journal of Neuroscience FENS

Lobier et al., 2018) and working memory (Palva et al., 2005; Palva, Monto, Kulashekhar, & Palva, 2010; Palva, Monto, & Palva, 2010; Watrous et al., 2013). Within the language domain, task-relevant modulation of inter-areal phase coupling has been observed for speech production (Korzeniewska et al., 2011; Liljeström, Kujala, et al., 2015; Liljeström, Stevenson, et al., 2015) and perception (Fonteneau et al., 2014; Saarinen et al., 2015), reading (Kujala et al., 2007, 2012; Liljeström et al., 2018; Molinaro et al., 2013; Perrone-Bertolotti et al., 2012; Schoffelen et al., 2017; Vidal et al., 2012) and writing (Saarinen et al., 2020). Such studies have typically focused on processing and perception of individual words (Korzeniewska et al., 2011; Kujala et al., 2012; Liljeström et al., 2018). Continuous, naturalistic stimulation has been used to examine connectivity during speech perception (Saarinen et al., 2015), but, in the case of reading, MEG studies of connectivity have been limited to sequential presentation of words, thus precluding eye movements associated with natural reading (Kujala et al., 2007; Schoffelen et al., 2017).

Very similar paradigmatic choices have been made in electroencephalography (EEG) and MEG studies that have examined changes in local cortical activation: fully naturalistic paradigms have been used to investigate speech perception (Alexandrou et al., 2017, 2018; Gross, Hoogenboom, et al., 2013; Koskinen et al., 2020), whereas continuous reading with eye movements has been investigated almost exclusively at the sentence level (Loberg et al., 2019; Metzner et al., 2017a; Pfeiffer et al., 2020; Vignali et al., 2016). Largely, these differences stem from the differences in artefactual signals between speech perception and reading. Eye movements that are an integral part of natural reading (Metzner et al., 2017b; Rayner, 1998) induce severe artefacts to electrophysiological signals recorded with MEG and EEG (Dimigen et al., 2011). While such artefacts can be detrimental to accurate estimation of local cortical activity, they are even more problematic for studying corticocortical connectivity. We have recently tested and evaluated distinct approaches for removing ocular artefacts from continuous natural reading consisting of full-page texts (Mäkelä et al., 2022). Such reading induces several types of ocular artefacts, including blinks, forward and backward saccades and return-sweeps related to line changes. By utilizing either Adaptive Mixture ICA (AMICA) (Palmer et al., 2008) or an approach combining multiple blind source-separation techniques (Belouchrani et al., 1997; Hyvärinen, 1999; Jutten & Herault, 1991), also such richer artefactual patterns can be removed from the MEG data (Mäkelä et al., 2022), enabling the study of modulation of neural connectivity

during natural reading. In this study, we applied AMICA to suppress the eve-movement artefacts, as using a single method allows them to be identified in a more straightforward manner.

Overall, the existing literature on the neural underpinnings of reading builds on controlled event-related paradigms and involves measures of both cortical activity and connectivity. This literature presents a complex pattern of cortical structures and neural frequencies that support specifically reading and language processing as well as those that are necessary for processes such as working memory and attention that are critical for reading beyond the word level. As regards the possible cortical structures and their interconnectivity, regions particularly within the occipital, parietal, middle temporal and inferior frontal cortex have been shown to support reading or attentional control and working memory (Fiez & Petersen, 1998; Jensen et al., 2007; Jobard et al., 2003; Nacher et al., 2013; Owen et al., 2005: Petersen & Posner, 2012: Pugh et al., 1996; Salmelin & Kujala, 2006; Schoffelen et al., 2017). In the spectral domain, modulation of cortico-cortical coherence and directed neural interactions have been observed in speech perception and production as well as in reading studies across a wide range of frequencies including the theta, alpha, lowand high-beta, and low- and high-gamma bands (Kujala et al., 2012; Liljeström et al., 2018; Liljeström, Kujala, et al., 2015; Saarinen et al., 2015; Schoffelen et al., 2017). Notably, these frequency bands largely overlap with bands that have been shown to be central in supporting feedforward and feedback influences in visuospatial attention (Bastos et al., 2015; Michalareas et al., 2016). Thus, previous research has reported a rich set of cortical structures and distinct spectral connectivity patterns that are required in reading connected text and in visuospatial attention. However, it has not been addressed what kind of connectivity between brain regions would most critically support natural reading that incorporates, for example, moment-to-moment linguistic processing and mutual influence between neural systems that support general cognitive and more specific language-related processing.

Here, our goal was to study the cortex-wide integration of information during naturalistic reading by quantifying the modulation of long-range cortico-cortical connectivity patterns during reading versus visual scanning of full-page texts. We expected that naturalistic reading would be associated with increased coherent interactions compared to the scanning task, reflecting integration of information across different neural systems, particularly those supporting lexical access and sentence-level unification inherent in continuous reading.

#### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 | Participants and experimental design

Eighteen right-handed Finnish-speaking subjects with normal or corrected-to-normal vision participated in the study. Five of the subjects were left out of the analysed data as these subjects failed to comply to the task instructions in the visual scanning task, leaving 13 subjects (7 men and 6 women; age 20-50 years, mean 25.4; SD 8.3 years) in the final cohort. There were three specific exclusion criteria. First, subjects were excluded if a postexperiment questionnaire (see Section 2.2) suggested that they had read the text during the scanning task (accuracy difference between the reading and scanning conditions below one standard deviation of the mean). Second, subjects were excluded if they scanned the text either from bottom to up or right to left (i.e., initial fixations at the bottom and last fixations at the top of a page, initial fixations at the right and last fixations at the left edge of a line). Third, subjects were excluded for general, fundamental differences in eye-movement strategies (i.e., the difference in the number of fixations or saccades between the two conditions outside two standard deviations of the mean). None of the subjects reported a history of neurological abnormalities or psychiatric disorders. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects, in agreement with a prior approval of the local Ethics Committee (Hospital district of Helsinki and Uusimaa). The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Finnish National Board on Research Integrity.

#### 2.2 | Experimental design

The subjects were presented with a naturalistic reading task, as well as a visual scanning task to serve as a baseline condition for comparison. In the reading task, the subjects were instructed to read the texts in their usual way. In the scanning task, they were told to search for horizontally flipped letters 'a' and 'e', mimicking eyemovements in normal reading. The instruction was to read or scan each text only once from left to right. This type of a scanning task induces eye movement patterns that are very similar to normal reading (Rayner & Fischer, 1996; Vitu et al., 1995). The subjects were divided into two groups. The first group read the texts that the second group scanned, and the second group read the texts that the first group scanned. In both tasks, the stimuli were eight different three-page long texts picked from various Finnish-language novels and essays, slightly modified to be suitable for our study. The subjects

changed the page of the text by lifting their right index finger. Each page of text comprised eight lines. There were on average 87.8 words on each page (SD 6.4) and 11.0 words on each line (SD 1.5). In the scanned texts, the locations (line and position in a word) of the flipped letters were randomized for each page of text. There were three flipped letters in 25%, four in 38%, five in 23% and six in 15% of the scanned pages. Out of the flipped letters, 80% were flipped e's and 20% flipped a's. Before each text, a page with a yellow text 'read' or a blue text 'scan' was presented to inform the subject about the upcoming task. Each text was followed by one to two questions (12 in total for both reading and visual scanning) to measure participants' comprehension of the text (reading task) or to address their search for flipped letters (scanning task: 'Were there more than one type of flipped letters in the text?'). The number of scanned texts with a single type (52%) or two types (48%) of flipped letters was balanced, and for the scanned texts with two types of flipped letters, the second type was presented at the end of the page to motivate the subject to scan the whole text. The subjects responded verbally, and their answers were written down for further analysis. The whole experiment was followed by a surprise questionnaire to test how the subjects had processed the semantic content in the two tasks. This surprise quiz consisted of 40 sentences in a randomized order, each beginning with the phrase 'During the experiment I saw a text in which ...'. The subjects were asked to answer 'Yes', 'No' or 'I don't know'. Ten sentences described reading tasks, 10 scanning tasks and 20 sentences were non-related.

#### 2.3 | MEG recordings and preprocessing

The subjects' brain activity was recorded with a 306-channel MEG system (Elekta-Neuromag VectorView, Helsinki, Finland), band-pass filtered at .03-200 Hz and sampled at 600 Hz. Vertical and horizontal electrooculogram (EOG) signals were recorded for monitoring blinks and saccades. Anatomical MRIs were obtained with a 3T General Electric Signa system (Milwaukee, United States). Eye movements during the experiment were monitored with an Eye Link 1000 eye-tracker (SR Research Ltd.; Mississauga, ON, Canada) using a sampling rate of 1,000 Hz. The MEG data were preprocessed using the temporal extension of the Signal Space Separation (SSS) method (Taulu & Simola, 2006). Subsequently, the eye-movement artefacts (blinks and saccades) were removed using AMICA (Palmer et al., 2008) and in-house code for selecting the artefactual components based on the examination of the component time series and the evaluation of the sensor topographies of 4 WILLEY-EIN European Journal of Neuroscience FENS

the components (Mäkelä et al., 2022). In the subsequent analyses, data from only the 204 gradiometers were used as they are less prone to pick up signals from distant sources and external artefacts than magnetometers. The effectiveness of the artefact removal pipeline was evaluated by examining the mean power spectra across the two tasks for MEG data with and without AMICA preprocessing. This was conducted both for 12 different sensor groups distributed across the cortex (12-20 sensors per group) and for MEG data averaged across all sensors.

#### 2.4 Analysis of eye-movement patterns

To identify possible differences in eye movements between the reading and scanning conditions, we quantified and examined the properties of the fixations and saccades occurring during the two tasks. Specifically, we determined, for each subject, the mean duration of the fixations and saccades, the length of the forward saccades and the number of backward saccades for both tasks. The possible differences between the tasks were evaluated with paired-samples *t* tests (p < .05).

#### 2.5 **MEG data analysis**

Long-range cortico-cortical coherence was determined using Dynamic Imaging of Coherent Sources (DICS, Gross et al., 2001; Kujala et al., 2007) in six different frequency bands (4-7, 8-13, 13-20, 20-30, 35-45 and 60-90 Hz). In the coherence estimation, one subject's brain was first fitted with a surface-based grid (9-mm spacing along the surface of the cortex) in MNE (Gramfort et al., 2014) that was transformed into the other subjects' anatomies, leading to spatially equivalent sampling across the subjects. Grid points that were further than 7 cm from the closest MEG sensor were excluded from the analysis. Coherence estimation was based on an approach that utilizes the numerical maximization of

coherence across a set of source orientation combinations (Liljeström et al., 2018; Liljeström, Kujala, et al., 2015; Liljeström, Stevenson, et al., 2015). Specifically, the source current orientations for each connection were determined by identifying the orientation combination that maximized the mutual coherence between the two sources. This was done separately for the two experimental conditions by using 50 regularly spaced tangential orientations for both sources. Coherence was estimated across all grid point combinations for connections that were longer than 4 cm; the length threshold was set to avoid spurious coherence detection due to field spread effects that influence especially short-range connections (Liljeström, Kujala, et al., 2015; Schoffelen & Gross, 2009). These connections were then averaged across cortical parcel pairs. The set of parcels of interest was based on the automatically labelled anatomical parcellation consisting of 35 regions per hemisphere (Desikan et al., 2006). Sixteen of these regions that have been demonstrated to be involved in reading or other cognitive processes (Fiez & Petersen, 1998; Jensen et al., 2007; Jobard et al., 2003; Nacher et al., 2013; Owen et al., 2005; Petersen & Posner, 2012; Pugh et al., 1996; Salmelin & Kujala, 2006; Schoffelen et al., 2017) were selected for the analysis (see Figure 1). The parcel-level all-to-all coherence estimation was done within each hemisphere, and the modulation of cortico-cortical coherence between reading and scanning of text was evaluated using Wilcoxon signed rank test, corrected for multiple comparisons using false discovery rate (FDR) correction. For connections showing significant modulation of cortico-cortical coherence between the tasks, we examined whether the magnitude of the coherence modulation would be correlated with the results of the surprise questionnaire (accuracy difference between the tasks), using Spearman's rho (p < .05).

For connections showing significant increase in cortico-cortical coherence between the reading and visual scanning tasks, we also estimated whether the modulation of inter-areal synchrony would be associated with increases in directed neural interactions between the two



FIGURE 1 Parcels selected for the analyses. Bilateral rostral middle frontal cortex (a), caudal middle frontal cortex (b), pars orbitalis (c), pars triangularis (d), pars opercularis (e), superior temporal gyrus (f), middle temporal gyrus (g), superior parietal gyrus (h), supramarginal gyrus (i), inferior parietal gyrus (j), lateral occipital cortex (k), precuneus (l), cuneus (m), pericalcarine cortex (n), lingual gyrus (o) and fusiform gyrus (p). Identical sets of parcels were used in the left and right hemisphere.

conditions. Here, we used the multivariate Granger causality toolbox (MVGC; Barnett & Seth, 2014) to estimate the frequency-domain Granger causality (GC) within the same frequency bands that were used in the analysis of cortico-cortical coherence (4-7, 8-13, 13-20, 20-30, 35-45 and 60-90 Hz). Common beamformer weights across conditions were first obtained with DICS using two beamformers separately for the lower (1-45 Hz) and higher (60-90 Hz) frequencies; GC effects for the five lowest bands (4-7, 8-13, 13-20, 20-30 and 35-45 Hz) were examined using the 1-45 Hz beamformer, whereas the effects in the high-gamma band (60-90 Hz) were evaluated using the 60-90 Hz beamformer. Here, the averaged cross-spectral density matrix across the reading and scanning tasks was used to estimate the source orientation that maximized the signal power at each grid point. This orientation was used to estimate the beamformer weights at each grid point, which were then averaged within each parcel of interest to obtain a single time series per subject and condition that represented the neural activity at each parcel. These time series enabled the application of uniform autoregressive modelling and GC analysis across all frequency bands of interest. Here, for each tested connection between parcel pairs, the time series were segmented into 2-s long trials. Linear trends were removed from these trial-level time series, and the time series were also demeaned and subjected to firstorder differencing. Akaike and Bayesian information criteria were used to determine the optimal model order for GC estimation. To facilitate comparison of the GC values across conditions, we used for all estimates the same model order (30) that fell in the middle of the estimated model orders across subjects, the two information criteria and all connections. As the computed GC values represent biased estimates of the true GC of the underlying neural processes, we applied an unbiasing approach that allows for contrasting the GC values across the experimental conditions (Barrett et al., 2012). Here, for each connection and both conditions, the extracted 2-s long trials were randomly paired 200 times across the two parcels forming the connections. As each of these randomized pairs has a true GC value of zero, the process yields an approximation of a GC null distribution. By subtracting the mean values across the 200 obtained values from the original GC estimate, we then acquired unbiased GC values that can be subjected to statistical contrasting between the reading and scanning tasks. In the contrasting, we examined whether increases in cortico-cortical coherence for reading versus scanning would be associated with increased directed interactions between the reading and visual scanning task separately for both directions of each connection (i.e., from Parcels 1 to 2 and from Parcels 2 to 1) using one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank tests.

#### 3 | RESULTS

The questions following each text were answered with  $87 \pm 13\%$  (mean  $\pm$  SD) accuracy in the reading and  $80 \pm 8\%$  accuracy in the scanning task. There was no difference between the reading and visual scanning task in the task performance, as determined using Wilcoxon signed rank test (p = .195). The surprise questionnaire presented after the experiment, in turn, indicated that the subjects had processed the semantic content during the reading task (accuracy  $87 \pm 8\%$ ) better (Wilcoxon signed rank test, p = .00024) than during the scanning task (accuracy  $27 \pm 20\%$ ).

The reading and scanning conditions were associated with closely matched eye-movement patterns for all fixation and saccade measures: fixation duration  $237 \pm 34$  ms (mean  $\pm$  SD) for reading and  $231 \pm 31$  ms for scanning; saccade duration  $30 \pm 5$  ms for reading and  $29 \pm 3$  ms for scanning; length of forward saccades  $60 \pm 13$  units for reading and  $69 \pm 17$  for scanning; and number of backward saccades  $846 \pm 335$  for reading and  $781 \pm 402$  for scanning. The statistical analysis revealed a significant difference in the length of the forward saccades between the tasks (p = .0027), whereas no significant effects were detected for the other three measures (p > .1).

To account for the non-identical eve-movement patterns and the artefactual magnetic field patterns associated with them during the reading and scanning tasks, we applied a procedure based on the use of AMICA to suppress the eye-movement artefacts. Figure 2 shows the influence of the artefact suppression for MEG data averaged across the two conditions. The areal average spectra (Figure 2a) show that artefacts were suppressed primarily in the frontal and anterior temporal MEG sensors. The topoplots (Martínez-Cagigal, 2023) of the reading condition (Figure 2b) show that neural activity was qualitatively similar for unprocessed and AMICA-processed data in the frequency range (4-90 Hz) where the two experimental conditions were contrasted. At the lower frequencies (.3-5 Hz), however, there is a noticeable difference between unprocessed and AMICA-processed data, with unprocessed data showing largest weights in the right frontal sensors. The AMICA-based preprocessing substantially suppresses this effect, and the sensor-level weights show the most prominent weights over the bilateral parietal cortices after the preprocessing. The quantification of these effects across all MEG sensors (Figure 2c) revealed that the suppression was in the range of 1-10% across different frequencies, with a marked emphasis on the frequencies below 10 Hz, an  $\sim 1\%$  effect across most of the spectrum and an  $\sim 2\%$  effect for specific, narrow frequency bands (11-13 and 22-24 Hz).



**FIGURE 2** Comparison between sensor-level power spectra averaged across for data with and without AMICA-based preprocessing. (a) Areal averages in different MEG sensor groups subjects and the two conditions. The x-axis portrays the frequency (Hz) and the y-axis the magnitude  $(fT^2/cm^2)$  of the spectra. (b) Topoplots of the sensor-level power spectra for unprocessed and AMICA-processed data for the reading condition averaged at two frequency bands (4–90 and .3–5 Hz). Each topoplot is scaled to the plot-specific maximum. (c) Ratio between the power spectra averaged across all MEG sensors for the unprocessed and AMICA-processed data across the two conditions

The estimation of all-to-all coherence in the six frequency bands of interest across the 16 parcels per hemisphere revealed significant (p < .05, FDR, Wilcoxon signed rank test) modulation of cortico-cortical coherence in the low beta-band (13-20 Hz) and the low gammaband (35–45 Hz) between the reading and scanning tasks (see Figure 3). For all detected connections, coherence was higher during reading than scanning of text. Significant effects were detected exclusively in the right hemisphere for the 13–20 Hz band and in the left hemisphere for the 35-45 Hz band. In the right hemisphere, modulation of coherence was detected within the occipital and parietal cortices as well as between the occipital and parietal, occipital and temporal, and parietal and frontal cortex. In the left hemisphere, coherence was modulated within the occipital cortex and between the occipital cortex and the parietal as well as the frontal cortex. The testing for possible correlations between the amount of coherence increase and accuracy difference in the postexperiment questionnaire, across the tasks, did not yield any significant results (p > .05 for all 19 connections).

The evaluation of GC across the connections showing significant modulation of coherence revealed that directed influences as quantified using the unbiased GC estimates were increased for reading versus visual scanning across all the examined frequencies except the 60–90 Hz band (p < .05, one-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test). The analysis utilizing beamformer weights at 1–45 Hz revealed that the reading versus the scanning task was associated with higher GC from the left lateral occipital cortex to the left precuneus at 20–30 Hz and to the left pars triangularis at 4–7 Hz (Figure 4). Within the right hemisphere, higher GC for reading versus

scanning was detected from the inferior parietal gyrus to the superior parietal gyrus at 8–13 Hz and to the lateral occipital cortex at 13–20 Hz, from the fusiform gyrus to the middle temporal gyrus at 8–13, 13–20 and 20–30 Hz, from the middle temporal gyrus to the fusiform gyrus at 35–45 Hz and from the fusiform gyrus and precuneus to the Lateral occipital cortex at 35–45 Hz. The analysis of high-gamma bands effect utilizing beamformer weights at 60–90 Hz, in turn, did not reveal any significant modulations of GC across the reading and scanning conditions.

#### 4 | DISCUSSION

Here, we demonstrate that by combining advanced artefact rejection protocols and closely matched experimental conditions (Rayner & Fischer, 1996; Vitu et al., 1995), the modulation of cortico-cortical connectivity related to naturalistic reading can be investigated with electrophysiological neuroimaging. Specifically, we show that both coherent coupling and directed influences between brain regions are modulated between reading and visual scanning of full-page texts in the beta and gamma bands for phase synchronization as well across a broad range of frequencies for directed neural interactions. The observed modulations show that reading is associated with increased cortico-cortical coherence between brain regions compared to text scanning in the beta and gamma bands. This increased connectivity presumably supports the large-scale integration of information across cortical networks that is crucial for linguistic processing of written text. This notion is supported by the finding that the subjects could significantly more accurately

Pars opercularis (e)



FIGURE 3 Parcel-level connections showing higher cortico-cortical coherence for reading versus visual scanning of text (p < .05, FDR). Statistical testing was conducted separately within each hemisphere in six different frequency bands (4-7, 8-13, 13-20, 20-30, 35-45 and 60-90 Hz). Significant modulation of cortico-cortical coherence was detected only in the 13-20 and 35-45 Hz bands. See Figure 1 for all parcel labels.



## Higher Granger Causality for reading vs. visual scanning

Supramarginal gyrus (i)

FIGURE 4 Connections showing significantly higher Granger causality for reading than scanning of text. The arrows indicate the direction of the Granger causality effects with the arrowhead marking the receiving end of the influence. The frequency band where the effects were detected is marked next to the connections. Note that for the GC effects between the right fusiform gyrus and middle temporal gyrus bi-directional effects were detected, with the middle temporal cortex influencing the fusiform gyrus at 35-45 Hz and the fusiform gyrus influencing the middle temporal gyrus in several neighbouring frequency bands (8-13, 13-20 and 20-30 Hz).

FENS

answer the surprise questionnaire following the experiment when the questions pertained to the texts that were read instead of scanned. Possible differences in the content of the read and scanned texts, as such, are not a likely explanation for the modulation of coherence, as the texts were counter-balanced across subjects. Among the connections that showed increased inter-areal coherence associated with reading, we additionally observed, especially in the right hemisphere, increased directed neural interactions for reading versus scanning across a broad range of frequencies. Together, our findings demonstrate the role of long-range neural interactions in naturalistic reading and highlight the role of synchronized beta- and gamma-band oscillations and spectrally distributed directed neural interactions in supporting the reading process.

#### Topography of modulations of 4.1 cortico-cortical connectivity in natural reading

Modulation of coherent coupling between the reading and visual scanning tasks was observed prominently within the occipital cortex, likely reflecting the taskinduced requirement of forming words from the visual input during reading versus detection of inverted letters during scanning. Such patterns were observed both within the left and the right hemisphere. These neural interactions align with previous findings demonstrating that increased memory load is associated with increased inter-areal synchrony within the occipital cortex (Palva, Monto, Kulashekhar, & Palva, 2010) and that directed interactions from the dorsal to the ventral visual stream support task performance during working memory (Popov et al., 2018). Increased cortico-cortical coherence was also detected within the parietal cortex, between the right superior and inferior parietal parcels, and cross-lobar connectivity modulation in both hemispheres between the occipital and parietal cortices. These modulations likely reflect the differences between the reading and visual scanning tasks in directing visuospatial attention and in integrating top-down influences with bottom-up information across the visual hierarchy (Buffalo et al., 2010; Doesburg et al., 2016; Michalareas et al., 2016).

In the left hemisphere, cortico-cortical coherence between the occipital and inferior frontal cortex was significantly higher during the reading than the scanning task, whereas within the right hemisphere, we observed a corresponding increase between the parietal and frontal cortex. These findings suggest that the processing of written text in the left hemisphere is supported by the more direct unification of words within the language network

(Kujala et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2018), whereas in the right hemisphere, the modulation of neural interactions reflects a multi-stage hierarchy in integrating visual information with linguistic content.

Similar observations have been made in previous studies that have shown increased coherence for isolated words compared to symbols in the left hemisphere between the occipital and frontal cortices (Liljeström et al., 2018) and increased inter-areal coupling between the right parietal and frontal cortex associated with visual comparison (Saarinen et al., 2015). A notable difference compared to previous studies on neural interactions during reading (Kujala et al., 2007, 2012; Liljeström et al., 2018; Schoffelen et al., 2017) is the relatively small amount of observed connectivity from the temporal cortices to other brain regions in the present study. One likely reason for this is that whereas previous studies have focused on demonstrating the existence of neural interactions during reading (Schoffelen et al., 2017) or used stimuli with varying linguistic content (Liljeström et al., 2018), we were specifically interested in connections that were modulated across experimental conditions that had the same text stimuli but during which the tasks of the participants were different. Our findings suggest that some of the previously reported connectivity from the temporal cortices to other regions are primarily dependent on the content of the language stimuli rather than the unification of the words into a coherent whole as such (Kujala et al., 2007; Liljeström et al., 2018; Schoffelen et al., 2017). However, in both hemispheres, we observed increased coupling between the temporal and occipital cortex for reading compared to scanning, suggesting that the connectivity of the temporal cortices is important for semantic processing of words.

We detected modulation of cortico-cortical coherence and GC between the reading and scanning conditions both in the left and right hemisphere. There were marked modulations of coherence within the occipital cortex in both hemispheres as well as GC modulations in the right hemisphere. The two hemispheres also showed some distinct patterns of cortico-cortical connectivity. Modulations of both coherence and GC were detected within the right parietal cortex, whereas such effects were not observed in the left hemisphere. We also detected modulation of connectivity between the occipital and frontal cortex exclusively in the left hemisphere, both for coherence and GC. Moreover, the GC analysis revealed one additional topographical difference across the two hemispheres. Within the left hemisphere, we detected only bottom-up directed neural interactions, whereas in the right hemisphere, also top-down influences were seen. It is thus possible that the connectivity patterns within each hemisphere play distinct roles in supporting more

automatic hierarchical processing (left hemisphere) versus updating the linguistic expectation set by the preceding word sequences (right hemisphere). It should, however, be noted that for the coherence analysis, only individual bands showed significant results and that the set of connections showing modulation of GC was very sparse. The observed network patterns are thus likely to represent only a portion of the full range of corticocortical connectivity necessary for supporting natural reading. Accordingly, the present data do not allow us to make strong inferences on the distinct roles of the two hemispheres in natural reading or on possible differences in shorter and longer range neural connectivity across the hemispheres. Such interpretations necessitate further studies that allow the examination and identification of such modulations across a much broader range of connections.

#### 4.2 | Role of inter-areal synchrony and directed neural interactions in reading and scanning of text across different frequency bands

We detected modulation of cortico-cortical coherence in the beta and gamma bands that have been previously shown to be vital in supporting network-level neural dynamics in visual attention as well as picture naming and reading (Bastos et al., 2015; Bosman et al., 2012; Kujala et al., 2012; Liljeström, Kujala, et al., 2015; Schoffelen et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). Specifically, these frequency bands have been shown to support both feedforward and feedback influences in visuospatial attention, phonological processing and sentence unification as well as to be involved in anticipatory unification and in facilitatory and suppressive roles during speech production. It has also been proposed that the beta and gamma bands have distinct functions in word prediction from cumulative semantic interpretation and in accommodating words to the cumulative interpretation (Meyer, 2018). Notably, the detected modulations showed exclusively effects where the inter-areal coupling was stronger for reading than scanning. At a first glance, these findings align with the existing evidence that has shown the importance of these oscillations in both bottom-up and top-down neural interactions (Bosman et al., 2012).

However, when we examined the directed neural interactions with GC, the alignment between our and previous findings was more ambiguous. First, we did not detect effects of bottom-up directed influences in the gamma band that have been suggested to be central especially in visual attention (Bastos et al., 2015; Bosman et al., 2012; Michalareas et al., 2016). Instead, we

observed top-down influences within the gamma-band and both bottom-up and top-down influences in the betaband. In the lower theta and alpha bands, we detected exclusively bottom-up directed neural interactions. These findings agree conceptually with previous MEG work on reading where wide-spread directed neural interactions were detected across several other frequencies but not the gamma band, an effect argued to stem from the weakness of the gamma-band responses in language compared to visual processing (Schoffelen et al., 2017). Thus, it is possible that the systematic detection of modulation of directed, bottom-up neural interactions within the gamma-band in reading may necessitate a fairly high signal-to-noise ratio that is available in intracranial recordings but typically not afforded by MEG (Perrone-Bertolotti et al., 2012). Accordingly, the connections within the gamma band that can be identified with MEG during reading may represent isolated phenomena and not be informative on whether the gamma-band interactions would, in fact, be more involved in bottom-up than top-down processes.

The second slight misalignment between our and previous studies utilizing GC is the role of top-down betaband neural interactions. Previous studies on the visual system have systematically indicated that beta-band directed interactions are central in conveying top-down influences (Bastos et al., 2015; Michalareas et al., 2016), a finding that has been largely replicated for sentence-level reading (Schoffelen et al., 2017). Here, we detected both bottom-up and top-down directed interactions within the beta band, without a clear directional dominance. The only spectrally systematic observation on directionality in the present study was that directed interactions in the lower frequency bands (theta and alpha) band were exclusively bottom-up in nature, an observation that aligns with previous literature (Bastos et al., 2015; Schoffelen et al., 2017). In general, our findings suggest that naturalistic reading may involve spectrally more wide-spread inter-areal coupling than shown previously (Kujala et al., 2007), possibly reflecting efficient integration of linguistic information across large-scale networks.

#### 4.3 | Methodological considerations

In the present study, we contrasted natural reading of full-page texts to visual scanning of identical texts for flipped letters. This choice imposes several potential confounding factors and limitations to the observed results and their interpretation. First, while the eyemovement patterns during reading and scanning were closely matched, the subjects made on average longer FENS

forward saccades during scanning than reading. As the eye-movement patterns were not identical between the conditions, it is possible that the observed findings stem partially from the differences in artefacts related to them. While we applied an AMICA-based procedure to suppress these artefacts and thus to minimize such effects, the possibility remains that the residual artefacts may induce observable differences in cortico-cortical connectivity across the tasks. However, it should be noted that the suppression of eve-movement artefacts affected the MEG spectra primarily in frequencies below 10 Hz as well as in individual narrower bands (11-13 and 22-24 Hz). The frequency bands (13-20 and 35-45 Hz) that showed modulation of cortico-cortical coherence were only marginally influenced by the artefact removal, also proposing that the effects observed in these bands are unlikely to be due to differences in the eve-movement artefacts. As for the GC findings, we applied an unbiasing procedure that accounts for systematic differences in the signal-to-noise ratio of the data. The findings should, thus, specifically represent modulation of directed interactions between signals instead of artefactual effects.

The contrasting of reading and scanning of identical texts also limits how detailed and specific questions could be addressed within the present study. Based on the collected behavioural data, we could determine that the subjects remembered the content of the texts better when they had read than scanned them. We cannot, however, explicitly determine whether this was due to differences in, for example, lexical access of the words, unification at the sentence level or attention to words versus individual letters. Accordingly, based on the present study, it is not possible to infer the relationship between the observed connectivity modulation and specific linguistic subprocesses. However, based on the observed differences in the eye-movement patterns between the conditions and the existing literature on eye movements during reading and scanning/visual search, it is likely that the lexical access to the individual words as such contributed significantly to the observed neural effects. Reading and scanning conditions have been shown to differ regarding the initial landing position of the fixations, with scanning being associated with initial fixations closer to the end of words (Rayner & Fischer, 1996), which impairs word recognition (Reichle et al., 2003). While the more rightward landing position facilitates the parafoveal processing of the next word, it may also lead to increased likelihood of skipping the next word. The fact that we found longer forward saccades in letter search than in reading is consistent with the assumption that in search more words were skipped and when fixated, the initial landing position was less optimal for word recognition. This in turn likely resulted in incomplete lexical access

and poorer processing of the text's semantic content in scanning than reading (see also Rayner & Fischer, 1996). However, based on the present data, we cannot directly address the role of the differences in eye-movement patterns and overall reading strategies with respect to the observed effects. Nor can we determine how our findings might align with predictions from different models of reading as they could be explained, for example, by different emphasis on the lexical versus non-lexical route (Coltheart et al., 2001) or on memory, unification or control in language processing (Hagoort, 2005). Now that these types of studies have been shown to be feasible, future studies should parametrically manipulate these dimensions by contrasting reading of texts where only specific aspects differ. Such studies would also allow one to determine whether the modulations of cortico-cortical connectivity during continuous reading would reveal effects beyond those that can be observed in more traditional event-related paradigms, potentially linked to the integrative nature of moment-to-moment linguistic processing and eye-movement control that are inherent in natural reading (Rayner & Fischer, 1996).

In addition to the experimental design within the present study, also the relatively small sample size imposed limitations to the questions that could addressed and the interpretations that could be made based on the findings. As it was not possible to make specific hypotheses on which particular neural connections would be critical in natural reading, we analysed the modulations of corticocortical connectivity in an all-to-all manner. As a substantial proportion of the subjects failed to comply with the task instruction, the statistical power afforded by the subjects included in the study allowed us to detect only somewhat isolated neural effects. Specifically, we observed significant modulations of cortico-cortical coherence in two frequency bands, one band in the left hemisphere and a different one in the right hemisphere. Thus, it is not possible to make in-depth interpretations on whether the network topography or connectivity across distinct oscillators would be fundamentally different across the hemispheres. Similarly, the limited statistical power did not allow us to extend the analysis to the study of the role of interhemispheric connectivity. These questions would necessitate studies with larger sample sizes.

It should also be noted that we used all the collected MEG data, thus individually varying amounts of data in the two conditions, in the estimation of cortico-cortical connectivity to minimize the variance and uncertainty of the estimates (Halliday et al., 1995). As we used a condition-specific beamforming approach that allows determining cortico-cortical coherence also for sources with weak activity levels (Liljeström et al., 2018), the

potential signal-to-noise difference between the two conditions should not bias the magnitude of the coherence estimates. However, when investigating the amount of oscillatory power or when using different measures and approaches for determining cortico-cortical connectivity, such differences can bias the estimates across the conditions (Gross, Baillet, et al., 2013).

### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrate that coherent cortico-cortical connectivity and directed neural interactions across several frequencies are increased during naturalistic reading compared to visual scanning of full-page texts. In particular, our results show that reading is associated with increased coherent coupling across large-scale cortico-cortical networks in the beta and gamma band and that natural reading depends also on spectrally rich, directed neural interactions. Our findings demonstrate how both synchronized and directed long-range neural connectivity can flexibly build on different frequency bands to support the large-scale integration of information necessitated by natural reading.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jan Kujala: Conceptualization; formal analysis; methodology; supervision; validation; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing. Sasu Mäkelä: Formal analysis; funding acquisition; methodology; validation; writing—review and editing. Pauliina Ojala: Conceptualization; formal analysis; investigation; methodology; validation; writing—review and editing. Jukka Hyönä: Conceptualization; writing—review and editing. Riitta Salmelin: Conceptualization; funding acquisition; supervision; writing—original draft; writing—review and editing.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Academy of Finland (Grant Nos. 255349, 315553 and 355407 to RS), the Sigrid Jusélius Foundation (grant to RS), the Finnish Cultural Foundation (grant to SM) and Aalto Brain Center. We thank Antti Jalava for contributing to the design and implementation of the experimental paradigm as well as data collection.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at https://www.webofscience.com/api/gateway/wos/peer-review/10.1111/ejn.16212.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The MEG data cannot be made openly available, according to the ethical permission and national privacy regulations at the time of the study, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request and with permission of the Ethics Committee of the Hospital district of Helsinki and Uusimaa.

#### ORCID

Jan Kujala D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3056-753X

#### REFERENCES

- Alexandrou, A. M., Saarinen, T., Kujala, J., & Salmelin, R. (2018). Cortical tracking of global and local variations of speech rhythm during connected natural speech perception. *Journal* of Cognitive Neuroscience, 30, 1704–1719. https://doi.org/10. 1162/jocn\_a\_01295
- Alexandrou, A. M., Saarinen, T., Makela, S., Kujala, J., & Salmelin, R. (2017). The right hemisphere is highlighted in connected natural speech production and perception. *Neuro-Image*, 152, 628–638. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2017.03.006
- Barnett, L., & Seth, A. K. (2014). The MVGC multivariate Granger causality toolbox: A new approach to Granger-causal inference. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 223, 50–68. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013.10.018
- Barrett, A. B., Murphy, M., Bruno, M. A., Noirhomme, Q., Boly, M., Laureys, S., & Seth, A. K. (2012). Granger causality analysis of steady-state electroencephalographic signals during propofolinduced anaesthesia. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e29072. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0029072
- Bastos, A. M., Vezoli, J., Bosman, C. A., Schoffelen, J. M., Oostenveld, R., Dowdall, J. R., de Weerd, P., Kennedy, H., & Fries, P. (2015). Visual areas exert feedforward and feedback influences through distinct frequency channels. *Neuron*, *85*, 390–401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.018
- Belouchrani, A., Abed-Meraim, K., Cardoso, J. F., & Moulines, E. (1997). A blind source separation technique using secondorder statistics. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 45, 434–444. https://doi.org/10.1109/78.554307
- Bosman, C. A., Schoffelen, J. M., Brunet, N., Oostenveld, R., Bastos, A. M., Womelsdorf, T., Rubehn, B., Stieglitz, T., de Weerd, P., & Fries, P. (2012). Attentional stimulus selection through selective synchronization between monkey visual areas. *Neuron*, 75, 875–888. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron. 2012.06.037
- Buffalo, E. A., Fries, P., Landman, R., Liang, H., & Desimone, R. (2010). A backward progression of attentional effects in the ventral stream. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, 107, 361–365. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.0907658106
- Coltheart, M., Rastle, K., Perry, C., Langdon, R., & Ziegler, J. (2001). DRC: A dual route cascaded model of visual word recognition and reading aloud. *Psychological Review*, 108, 204– 256. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.108.1.204
- Desikan, R. S., Segonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B. T., Dickerson, B. C., Blacker, D., Buckner, R. L., Dale, A. M., Maguire, R. P., Hyman, B. T., Albert, M. S., & Killiany, R. J.

11

KUJALA ET AL.

4609568, 0, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ejn.16212 by Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd, Wiley Online Library on [12/12/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ejn.16212 by Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd, Wiley Online Library on [12/12/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ejn.16212 by Duodecim Medical Publications Ltd, Wiley Online Library on [12/12/2023].

onlinelibrary.wiley.c

nditic

ons) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

WILEY-EIN European Journal of Neuroscience FENS

(2006). An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *NeuroImage*, *31*, 968–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2006.01.021

- Dimigen, O., Sommer, W., Hohlfeld, A., Jacobs, A. M., & Kliegl, R. (2011). Coregistration of eye movements and EEG in natural reading: Analyses and review. *Journal of Experimental Psychol*ogy. *General*, 140, 552–572. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023885
- Doesburg, S. M., Bedo, N., & Ward, L. M. (2016). Top-down alpha oscillatory network interactions during visuospatial attention orienting. *NeuroImage*, 132, 512–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2016.02.076
- Fiez, J. A., & Petersen, S. E. (1998). Neuroimaging studies of word reading. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 95, 914–921. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.95.3.914
- Fonteneau, E., Bozic, M., & Marslen-Wilson, W. D. (2014). Brain network connectivity during language comprehension: Interacting linguistic and perceptual subsystems (Vol. 25, pp. 3962– 3976). Cereb Cortex. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu283
- Fries, P. (2005). A mechanism for cognitive dynamics: Neuronal communication through neuronal coherence. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9, 474–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005. 08.011
- Gramfort, A., Luessi, M., Larson, E., Engemann, D. A., Strohmeier, D., Brodbeck, C., Parkkonen, L., & Hamalainen, M. S. (2014). MNE software for processing MEG and EEG data. *NeuroImage*, *86*, 446–460. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuroimage.2013.10.027
- Gross, J., Baillet, S., Barnes, G. R., Henson, R. N., Hillebrand, A., Jensen, O., Jerbi, K., Litvak, V., Maess, B., Oostenveld, R., Parkkonen, L., Taylor, J. R., van Wassenhove, V., Wibral, M., & Schoffelen, J. M. (2013). Good practice for conducting and reporting MEG research. *NeuroImage*, 65, 349– 363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.10.001
- Gross, J., Hoogenboom, N., Thut, G., Schyns, P., Panzeri, S., Belin, P., & Garrod, S. (2013). Speech rhythms and multiplexed oscillatory sensory coding in the human brain. *PLoS Biology*, *11*, e1001752. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001752
- Gross, J., Kujala, J., Hämäläinen, M., Timmermann, L., Schnitzler, A., & Salmelin, R. (2001). Dynamic imaging of coherent sources: Studying neural interactions in the human brain. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 98, 694–699. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.98.2.694
- Gross, J., Schmitz, F., Schnitzler, I., Kessler, K., Shapiro, K., Hommel, B., & Schnitzler, A. (2004). Modulation of long-range neural synchrony reflects temporal limitations of visual attention in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101, 13050–13055. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404944101
- Hagoort, P. (2005). On Broca, brain, and binding: A new framework. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9, 416–423. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tics.2005.07.004
- Halliday, D. M., Rosenberg, J. R., Amjad, A. M., Breeze, P., Conway, B. A., & Farmer, S. F. (1995). A framework for the analysis of mixed time series/point process data—Theory and application to the study of physiological tremor, single motor unit discharges and electromyograms. *Progress in Biophysics*

and Molecular Biology, 64, 237-278. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0079-6107(96)00009-0

- Hulten, A., Schoffelen, J. M., Udden, J., Lam, N. H. L., & Hagoort, P. (2019). How the brain makes sense beyond the processing of single words—An MEG study. *NeuroImage*, 186, 586–594. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.11.035
- Hyvärinen, A. (1999). Fast and robust fixed-point algorithms for independent component analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 10, 626–634. https://doi.org/10.1109/72.761722
- Jensen, O., Kaiser, J., & Lachaux, J. P. (2007). Human gammafrequency oscillations associated with attention and memory. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 30, 317–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tins.2007.05.001
- Jobard, G., Crivello, F., & Tzourio-Mazoyer, N. (2003). Evaluation of the dual route theory of reading: A metanalysis of 35 neuroimaging studies. *NeuroImage*, 20, 693–712. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S1053-8119(03)00343-4
- Jutten, C., & Herault, J. (1991). Blind separation of sources, Part I: An adaptive algorithm based on neuromimetic architecture. *Signal Processing*, 24, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1684 (91)90079-X
- Korzeniewska, A., Franaszczuk, P. J., Crainiceanu, C. M., Kus, R., & Crone, N. E. (2011). Dynamics of large-scale cortical interactions at high gamma frequencies during word production: Event related causality (ERC) analysis of human electrocorticography (ECoG). *NeuroImage*, 56, 2218–2237. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.03.030
- Koskinen, M., Kurimo, M., Gross, J., Hyvarinen, A., & Hari, R. (2020). Brain activity reflects the predictability of word sequences in listened continuous speech. *NeuroImage*, 219, 116936. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116936
- Kujala, J., Pammer, K., Cornelissen, P., Roebroeck, A., Formisano, E., & Salmelin, R. (2007). Phase coupling in a cerebro-cerebellar network at 8-13 Hz during reading. *Cerebral Cortex*, 17, 1476–1485. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhl059
- Kujala, J., Vartiainen, J., Laaksonen, H., & Salmelin, R. (2012). Neural interactions at the core of phonological and semantic priming of written words. *Cerebral Cortex*, 22, 2305–2312. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr307
- Liljeström, M., Kujala, J., Stevenson, C., & Salmelin, R. (2015). Dynamic reconfiguration of the language network preceding onset of speech in picture naming. *Human Brain Mapping*, *36*, 1202–1216. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22697
- Liljeström, M., Stevenson, C., Kujala, J., & Salmelin, R. (2015). Task- and stimulus-related cortical networks in language production: Exploring similarity of MEG- and fMRI-derived functional connectivity. *NeuroImage*, *120*, 75–87. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.017
- Liljeström, M., Vartiainen, J., Kujala, J., & Salmelin, R. (2018). Large-scale functional networks connect differently for processing words and symbol strings. *PLoS ONE*, *13*, e0196773. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196773
- Loberg, O., Hautala, J., Hämäläinen, J. A., & Leppänen, P. H. T. (2019). Influence of reading skill and word length on fixationrelated brain activity in school-aged children during natural reading. *Vision Research*, 165, 109–122. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.visres.2019.07.008
- Lobier, M., Palva, J. M., & Palva, S. (2018). High-alpha band synchronization across frontal, parietal and visual cortex mediates

behavioral and neuronal effects of visuospatial attention. *NeuroImage*, *165*, 222–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2017.10.044

- Mäkelä, S., Kujala, J., & Salmelin, R. (2022). Removing ocular artifacts from magnetoencephalographic data on naturalistic reading of continuous texts. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 16, 974162. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.974162
- Marinkovic, K., Dhond, R. P., Dale, A. M., Glessner, M., Carr, V., & Halgren, E. (2003). Spatiotemporal dynamics of modalityspecific and supramodal word processing. *Neuron*, 38, 487– 497. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00197-1
- Martínez-Cagigal, V. (2023). Topographic EEG/MEG plot.
  MATLAB Central File Exchange. Retrieved October 6, 2023.
  [WWW Document]. URL https://se.mathworks.com/ matlabcentral/fileexchange/72729-topographic-eeg-meg-plot
- Mesulam, M. M. (1990). Large-scale neurocognitive networks and distributed processing for attention, language, and memory. *Annals of Neurology*, 28, 597–613. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana. 410280502
- Metzner, P., von der Malsburg, T., Vasishth, S., & Rösler, F. (2017a). The importance of reading naturally: Evidence from combined recordings of eye movements and electric brain potentials. *Cognitive Science*, 41(Suppl 6), 1232–1263. https:// doi.org/10.1111/cogs.12384
- Meyer, L. (2018). The neural oscillations of speech processing and language comprehension: State of the art and emerging mechanisms. *The European Journal of Neuroscience*, 48, 2609–2621. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.13748
- Michalareas, G., Vezoli, J., van Pelt, S., Schoffelen, J. M., Kennedy, H., & Fries, P. (2016). Alpha-beta and gamma rhythms subserve feedback and feedforward influences among human visual cortical areas. *Neuron*, *89*, 384–397. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.12.018
- Molinaro, N., Barraza, P., & Carreiras, M. (2013). Long-range neural synchronization supports fast and efficient reading: EEG correlates of processing expected words in sentences. *NeuroImage*, 72, 120–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.01.031
- Nacher, V., Ledberg, A., Deco, G., & Romo, R. (2013). Coherent delta-band oscillations between cortical areas correlate with decision making. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110, 15085–15090. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314681110
- Owen, A. M., McMillan, K. M., Laird, A. R., & Bullmore, E. (2005). N-back working memory paradigm: A meta-analysis of normative functional neuroimaging studies. *Human Brain Mapping*, 25, 46–59. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20131
- Palmer, J. A., Makeig, S., Kreutz-Delgado, K., & Rao, B. D. (2008). Newton method for the ICA mixture model. ICASSP, IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing—Proceedings, 1805–1808.
- Palva, J. M., Monto, S., Kulashekhar, S., & Palva, S. (2010). Neuronal synchrony reveals working memory networks and predicts individual memory capacity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107, 7580– 7585. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0913113107
- Palva, J. M., Palva, S., & Kaila, K. (2005). Phase synchrony among neuronal oscillations in the human cortex. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 25, 3962–3972. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 4250-04.2005

EIN European Journal of Neuroscience FENS

- Palva, S., Monto, S., & Palva, J. M. (2010). Graph properties of synchronized cortical networks during visual working memory maintenance. *NeuroImage*, 49, 3257–3268. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuroimage.2009.11.031
- Perrone-Bertolotti, M., Kujala, J., Vidal, J. R., Hamame, C. M., Ossandon, T., Bertrand, O., Minotti, L., Kahane, P., Jerbi, K., & Lachaux, J. P. (2012). How silent is silent reading? Intracerebral evidence for top-down activation of temporal voice areas during reading. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *32*, 17554–17562. https:// doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2982-12.2012
- Petersen, S. E., & Posner, M. I. (2012). The attention system of the human brain: 20 years after. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 35, 73–89. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-062111-150525
- Pfeiffer, C., Hollenstein, N., Zhang, C., & Langer, N. (2020). Neural dynamics of sentiment processing during naturalistic sentence reading. *NeuroImage*, 218, 116934. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuroimage.2020.116934
- Popov, T., Jensen, O., & Schoffelen, J. M. (2018). Dorsal and ventral cortices are coupled by cross-frequency interactions during working memory. *NeuroImage*, 178, 277–286. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.05.054
- Pugh, K. R., Shaywitz, B. A., Shaywitz, S. E., Constable, R. T., Skudlarski, P., Fulbright, R. K., Bronen, R. A., Shankweiler, D. P., Katz, L., Fletcher, J. M., & Gore, J. C. (1996). Cerebral organization of component processes in reading. *Brain*, *119*, 1221–1238. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/119. 4.1221
- Rayner, K. (1998). Eye movements in reading and information processing: 20 years of research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 124, 372– 422. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.124.3.372
- Rayner, K., & Fischer, M. H. (1996). Mindless reading revisited: Eye movements during reading and scanning are different. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 58, 734–747. https://doi.org/10.3758/ BF03213106
- Reichle, E. D., Rayner, K., & Pollatsek, A. (2003). The E-Z reader model of eye-movement control in reading: Comparisons to other models. *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 26, 445–526. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X03000104
- Saarinen, T., Jalava, A., Kujala, J., Stevenson, C., & Salmelin, R. (2015). Task-sensitive reconfiguration of corticocortical 6–20 Hz oscillatory coherence in naturalistic human performance. *Human Brain Mapping*, *36*, 2455–2469. https://doi.org/10. 1002/hbm.22784
- Saarinen, T., Kujala, J., Laaksonen, H., Jalava, A., & Salmelin, R. (2020). Task-modulated corticocortical synchrony in the cognitive-motor network supporting handwriting. *Cerebral Cortex*, 30, 1871–1886. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhz210
- Salmelin, R., Helenius, P., & Service, E. (2000). Neurophysiology of fluent and impaired reading: A magnetoencephalographic approach. *Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 17, 163–174. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004691-200003000-00005
- Salmelin, R., & Kujala, J. (2006). Neural representation of language: Activation versus long-range connectivity. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10, 519–525. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics. 2006.09.007
- Schoffelen, J. M., & Gross, J. (2009). Source connectivity analysis with MEG and EEG. *Human Brain Mapping*, *30*, 1857–1865. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20745

13

-WILEY-EIN European Journal of Neuroscience FENS

- Schoffelen, J. M., Hulten, A., Lam, N., Marquand, A. F., Udden, J., & Hagoort, P. (2017). Frequency-specific directed interactions in the human brain network for language. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114, 8083–8088. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1703155114
- Singer, W. (1999). Neuronal synchrony: A versatile code for the definition of relations? *Neuron*, 24, 49–65. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0896-6273(00)80821-1
- Singer, W., & Gray, C. M. (1995). Visual feature integration and the temporal correlation hypothesis. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 18, 555–586. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.18. 030195.003011
- Taulu, S., & Simola, J. (2006). Spatiotemporal signal space separation method for rejecting nearby interference in MEG measurements. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 51, 1759–1768. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/51/7/008
- Varela, F., Lachaux, J. P., Rodriguez, E., & Martinerie, J. (2001). The brainweb: Phase synchronization and large-scale integration. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, 2, 229–239. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/35067550
- Vidal, J. R., Freyermuth, S., Jerbi, K., Hamame, C. M., Ossandon, T., Bertrand, O., Minotti, L., Kahane, P., Berthoz, A., & Lachaux, J. P. (2012). Long-distance amplitude correlations in the high gamma band reveal segregation and integration within the reading network. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *32*, 6421– 6434. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4363-11.2012
- Vignali, L., Himmelstoss, N. A., Hawelka, S., Richlan, F., & Hutzler, F. (2016). Oscillatory brain dynamics during sentence

reading: A fixation-related spectral perturbation analysis. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *10*, 191. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2016.00191

- Vitu, F., O'Regan, J. K., Inhoff, A. W., & Topolski, R. (1995). Mindless reading: Eye-movement characteristics are similar in scanning letter strings and reading texts. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 57, 352–364. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03213060
- Wang, L., Hagoort, P., & Jensen, O. (2018). Language prediction is reflected by coupling between frontal gamma and posterior alpha oscillations. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 30, 432– 447. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn\_a\_01190
- Watrous, A. J., Tandon, N., Conner, C. R., Pieters, T., & Ekstrom, A. D. (2013). Frequency-specific network connectivity increases underlie accurate spatiotemporal memory retrieval. *Nature Neuroscience*, 16, 349–356. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nn.3315

**How to cite this article:** Kujala, J., Mäkelä, S., Ojala, P., Hyönä, J., & Salmelin, R. (2023). Betaand gamma-band cortico-cortical interactions support naturalistic reading of continuous text. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 1–14. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1111/ejn.16212