

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Aaltonen, Sari; Palviainen, Teemu; Rose, Richard J.; Kujala, Urho M.; Kaprio, Jaakko; Silventoinen, Karri

Title: The Associations Between Leisure-Time Physical Activity and Academic Performance : A Twin Study

Year: 2021

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2022 Human Kinetics

Rights: In Copyright

Rights url: <http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en>

Please cite the original version:

Aaltonen, S., Palviainen, T., Rose, R. J., Kujala, U. M., Kaprio, J., & Silventoinen, K. (2021). The Associations Between Leisure-Time Physical Activity and Academic Performance : A Twin Study. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health*, 18(8), 998-1003. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2020-0746>

1 **The Associations between Leisure-Time Physical Activity and Academic**
2 **Performance: A Twin Study**

3

4 **ABSTRACT**

5 **Background** Both genetic and environmental influences have been shown to contribute to
6 the association between physical activity and overall academic performance. We examined
7 whether leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) shares genetic and environmental variances
8 between spelling, essay writing, reading aloud, reading comprehension and mathematics in
9 early adolescence. Moreover, we investigated whether genetic polymorphisms associated
10 with physical activity behavior affect these academic skills.

11 **Methods** Participants were 12-year-old Finnish twins (n=4356–4370 twins/academic skill,
12 49% girls). Academic skills were assessed by teachers and LTPA was self-reported. Polygen-
13 ic scores for physical activity behavior were constructed from the UK Biobank. Quantitative
14 genetic modeling and linear regression models were used to analyze the data.

15 **Results** The trait correlations between LTPA and academic skills were significant but weak
16 ($r=0.05-0.08$). The highest trait correlation was found between LTPA and mathematics. A
17 significant genetic correlation was revealed between LTPA and essay writing ($r_A=0.14$). Re-
18 garding polygenic scores of physical activity, the highest correlations were found with read-
19 ing comprehension, spelling and essay writing, but these results only approached statistical
20 significance (p-values 0.09–0.15).

21 **Conclusions** Our results suggest that reading and writing are the academic skills that most
22 likely share a common genetic background with LTPA.

23 There is a strong body of research on the association between physical activity and academic
24 performance¹⁻⁴. However, recent systematic reviews have concluded that the levels of associ-
25 ations between physical activity and different academic skills vary greatly^{5,6}. The strongest
26 evidence for the association with physical activity was found for mathematics by Singh et al.
27 (2019)⁵, while Haverkamp et al. (2020)⁶ only demonstrated a significant effect of physical
28 activity on academic skills within the language domain. In addition to these conflicting asso-
29 ciation findings within different academic skills, the direction of the potential association and
30 the nature of causality between physical activity and academic performance has also re-
31 mained under debate without any clear results on whether the association constitutes a causal
32 effect⁷⁻¹⁰.

33

34 Previous studies have also shown that similar to overall academic performance, mathematic-
35 and reading-specific academic skills are highly heritable^{11,12}. Moreover, physical activity has
36 been shown to be moderately heritable^{13,14}. We have also shown, contrary to the idea of cau-
37 sality, that the association between leisure-time physical activity (LTPA) and grade point
38 average can partly reflect overlapping genetic and familial influences⁷. Therefore, a better
39 understanding of common genetic and familial background that potentially account for the
40 associations between physical activity and different academic skills would be warranted.

41

42 In this study, we aimed to examine to what extent LTPA and academic performance in
43 spelling, essay writing, reading aloud, reading comprehension and mathematics share genetic
44 and environmental influences in early adolescence using genetic twin modeling and polygen-
45 ic scores (PGS). By using these two different methods, each making different methodological
46 assumptions, we are able to analyze the genetic background of LTPA and academic perfor-
47 mance more comprehensively than when relying on only one method.

48 METHODS

49 The participants of this study were drawn from the FinnTwin12 study, which is a population-
50 based longitudinal study of health and behavior in Finnish twins born in 1983–1987¹⁵. The
51 twins and their parents completed study questionnaires on health, behavior, lifestyle and so-
52 cial/interpersonal environments when the twins were 11–12 years old (age range 10.8–12.3
53 years). The response rate was 90%. Most of the twins were in the same class and had the
54 same teacher who usually had a long-term teaching relationship with the twins. The teachers
55 assessed the twins' behavior and academic skills at school. In this study, we had data availa-
56 ble on LTPA and academic skills from 4356 to 4370 twins per skill (51% boys and 49%
57 girls) including 2102 full twin pairs. DNA was extracted from blood and saliva samples col-
58 lected when the twins were young adults (mean age 24.4 years). Within the sample, 32% of
59 the twins were monozygotic, while 31% were same-sex dizygotic and 31% were opposite-sex
60 dizygotic twins.

61

62 ASSESSMENT OF ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

63 The teachers of the twins assessed spelling, essay writing, reading aloud, reading comprehen-
64 sion and mathematics when the twins were at the mean age of 11.4 years with the following
65 question specifically tailored for the study: “Please, evaluate a twin’s performance in the fol-
66 lowing academic skills compared to the average pupil in your class?”. The response options
67 were categorized as follows: 1) clearly below the average, 2) slightly below the average, 3)
68 average, 4) slightly above the average, and 5) clearly above the average.

69

70

71 ASSESSMENT OF LEISURE-TIME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

72 Twins self-reported their LTPA based on a structured question on the frequency of LTPA
73 excluding physical education classes at school: “How often do you exercise in your leisure
74 time?”. There were five response options: 1) not at all 2) two to three times in six months, 3)
75 two to three times a month, 4) two to three times a week, and 5) just about every day.

76

77 DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

78 The age of the twins was calculated based on their date of birth obtained from the Finnish
79 Population Register Centre and the date of return of the study questionnaire. The sex of the
80 twins was also provided by the Finnish Population Register Centre and cross-checked with
81 the self-reported questionnaire data. The zygosity of the twins was mainly based on measured
82 genotypes. However, there were a few twins who did not have a DNA sample and their
83 zygosity was based on questions on physical similarity at age 11–12. This method has been
84 shown to have high validity in this twin cohort¹⁶.

85

86 POLYGENIC SCORES

87 Genome-wide genotype data were used to produce PGS for physical activity behavior. The
88 PGSs were constructed for self-reported and accelerometer-measured physical activity from
89 the UK Biobank data that is based on the general UK population between ages 40–69^{17,18}.
90 The self-reported questions based on the “number of days/week of walked 10+ minutes”
91 (PGS_{WALKING}), “number of days/week of moderate physical activity 10+ minutes”
92 (PGS_{MODERATE}) and “number of days/week of vigorous physical activity 10+ minutes”
93 (PGS_{VIGOROUS}). The accelerometer-measured physical activity based on walking activity
94 (PGS_{MEASURED WALKING}), moderate intensity activity (PGS_{MEASURED MODERATE}) and overall
95 activity (PGS_{MEASURED TOTAL}) was tracked with Axivity AX3 wrist accelerometer over 7

96 days¹⁹. Kujala et al. (2020)²⁰ have reported the details of the genotyping and polygenic scor-
97 ing.

98

99 **STATISTICAL METHODS**

100 First, we estimated intra-class correlation coefficients to quantify the degrees to which
101 monozygotic and dizygotic twins resemble each other for LTPA and academic skills (Sup-
102 plementary table 1). The genetic twin modeling began by decomposing the trait variation in
103 LTPA and academic skills into three components (additive genetic variation (A), shared envi-
104 ronmental variation (C), and unique environmental variation (E)) and comparing different
105 univariate models to select the best-fitting model (Supplementary table 2)²¹. Based on the
106 best-fitting univariate model, we estimated genetic and environmental contributions to LTPA
107 and academic skills by sex (Supplementary table 3): the contributions of genetic influences to
108 LTPA were 30% in boys and 17% in girls, whereas the heritability estimates for the academic
109 skills ranged from 64% to 77% in boys and from 53% to 69% in girls. Next, bivariate
110 Cholesky decompositions were conducted to estimate trait correlations between LTPA and
111 academic skills²². We further decomposed these trait correlations into genetic and environ-
112 mental correlations and estimated to what extent the proportions of the trait correlations are
113 explained by genetic and environmental factors. The bivariate Cholesky decompositions were
114 also used to derive a test of causality between these two traits: a causal association should
115 appear as both genetic and environmental correlations²³. The correlations were initially per-
116 formed based on the univariate model-fitting results. However, decompositions for boys and
117 girls separately could not be reliably estimated; thus, we present the bivariate Cholesky de-
118 composition results for both sexes as main findings. The findings for boys and girls separate-
119 ly are shown in Supplementary table 4. OpenMx software (version 2.0.1) was used for these
120 quantitative genetic analyses²⁴.

121

122 We used linear regression models to analyze whether the academic skills were associated
123 with genetic susceptibility to physical activity behavior (presented as PGSs). The physical
124 activity-related PGSs and academic skills were scaled to obtain standardized normal distribu-
125 tion with a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1. The regression models were adjusted for
126 sex and the first 10 genetic principal components to control for population stratification. Be-
127 cause we analyzed twins as individuals, the regression models were controlled for the cluster-
128 ing of twins within pairs because the observations between co-twins may be correlated. We
129 used Stata 14.1 software (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA) to produce linear regres-
130 sion models as well as baseline statistics. Descriptive statistics are presented in Supplemen-
131 tary table 5. The means and standard deviations of LTPA and academic skills stratified by
132 zygosity and sex are provided in Supplementary table 6.

133

134 **ETHICS OF THE STUDY**

135 The ethics committee of the Department of Public Health of the University of Helsinki (Fin-
136 land), the ethics committee of the Helsinki University Central Hospital District (Finland) and
137 the Institutional Review Board of Indiana University (USA) approved the FinnTwin12 study
138 protocol. The parents of the twins initially provided written informed consent for study par-
139 ticipation, but as young adults, the twins themselves provided written informed consent for
140 genetic analyses.

141

142 **RESULTS**

143 The trait correlations between LTPA and academic skills were positive and statistically sig-
144 nificant but weak (from 0.05 to 0.08) (Table 1). The highest trait correlation was found be-
145 tween LTPA and mathematics. Based on the Cholesky decomposition, common genetic in-

146 influences statistically significantly contributed to the association between LTPA and essay
147 writing ($r_A=0.14$), supporting a genetically-influenced mechanism underlying the association.
148 The next highest genetic correlations were found between LTPA and reading aloud as well as
149 between LTPA and mathematics (both $r_A=0.11$), but these findings did not reach statistical
150 significance. Even though the importance of familial factors in explaining the associations
151 between LTPA and academic skills was highlighted by intra-class correlation coefficients and
152 by the fact that shared environmental influences could not be dropped from the best-fitting
153 final models (Supplementary table 2), no significant shared environmental correlations were
154 found between LTPA and different academic skills. Furthermore, no significant unique envi-
155 ronmental correlations between LTPA and academic skills were found.

156

157 The associations between genetic susceptibility to physical activity behavior (i.e., PGSs) and
158 academic skills are shown in Table 2. The analyses revealed weak and non-significant associ-
159 ations between the PGSs for physical activity behavior and academic skills: positive within
160 PGSs based on the accelerometer-measured physical activity and mostly negative within
161 PGSs based on the questionnaire-based physical activity. With regard to accelerometer-
162 measured physical activity, the highest associations were found between $PGS_{MEASURED\ WALK-}$
163 ING and spelling and essay writing (both $r=0.05$), but these results only lean toward statistical
164 significance ($p=0.13$ and $p=0.15$, respectively). Although many associations related to PGSs
165 based on the questionnaire-based physical activity were even lower than those based on the
166 accelerometer-measured physical activity, the association between $PGS_{VIGOROUS}$ and reading
167 comprehension ($r=-0.06$) approached statistical significance ($p=0.09$). The next highest asso-
168 ciations regarding the PGSs based on the questionnaire-based physical activity behavior were
169 found between $PGS_{VIGOROUS}$ and spelling ($r=-0.05$, $p=0.15$) as well as between $PGS_{VIGOROUS}$
170 and essay writing ($r=-0.06$, $p=0.15$).

171 **DISCUSSION**

172 By using genetically informative twin data, we examined the genetic and familial associa-
173 tions between LTPA and academic skills in spelling, essay writing, reading aloud, reading
174 comprehension and mathematics in early adolescence. Regarding the twin modeling, the most
175 apparent finding to emerge was that all academic skills were positively associated with
176 LTPA. We found the highest association between LTPA and mathematics. However, these
177 observed associations between LTPA and academic skills shared genetic influences to a small
178 extent; only the association between LTPA and essay writing was found to have a significant
179 genetic component. Reading aloud and mathematics showed the next highest genetic correla-
180 tion with LTPA, but without statistical significance. We found no significant environmental
181 correlations (neither shared nor unique environmental correlations) between LTPA and aca-
182 demic skills.

183

184 Contrary to the twin modeling results, PGSs for physical activity behavior were not signifi-
185 cantly associated with academic skills – however, the results related to reading comprehen-
186 sion, spelling and essay writing approached a customary level of statistical significance. It is
187 important to note that PGSs were based on age groups older (i.e., 40–69-year-olds) than our
188 study participants (i.e., 11–12-year-olds). This may potentially affect the associations found
189 between PGSs and academic skills. The reality of the associations may be represented more
190 accurately by PGSs based on the accelerometer-measured physical activity than based on the
191 questionnaire-based physical activity because accelerometers may better reflect voluntary
192 physical activity behavior and inherent physical activity abilities of the individual, regardless
193 of the individual's age.

194

195 This study supports evidence from previous observations indicating a positive association
196 between LTPA and academic performance¹⁻³. We found the highest trait correlation between
197 LTPA and mathematics, which reflects the results of the meta-analysis of Singh et al.
198 (2019)⁵. Moreover, our study confirms the results of the previous studies indicating that
199 LTPA is moderately^{13,14} and academic performance highly heritable^{11,12,25,26}. We found a sta-
200 tistically significant genetic correlation ($r_A=0.14$) regarding the association between LTPA
201 and essay writing. This result, along with the non-overlapping shared environmental and non-
202 overlapping unique environmental influences between LTPA and essay writing, challenges
203 the assumption of a potential causal relationship between LTPA and essay writing by indicat-
204 ing that there is a genetic relationship between these two traits (a causal association between
205 LTPA and essay writing should appear as both genetic and environmental correlations). The
206 genetic correlation of 0.14 we found between LTPA and essay writing is also in line with the
207 genetic correlation estimate we found in our previous study between LTPA and grade point
208 average for boys at age 12 ($r_A=0.17$) when using the same data-set⁷. Overall, our twin model-
209 ing and analyses related to PGSs show that reading and writing are the academic skills that
210 most likely share a common genetic background: results are systematic yet not statistically
211 significant regarding all estimates. Speculatively, these common genetic backgrounds might
212 explain the trait correlations found between these traits as well as suggest that reading and
213 writing represent, to a great extent, a grade point average.

214

215 In light of our previous study⁷, showing that the association between LTPA and grade point
216 average was also partly explained by the overlapping familial environmental influences, it is
217 somewhat surprising that common familial background was not found to exist between LTPA
218 and any academic skill. It is possible that the academic performance data used in the current
219 study did not reliably estimate shared environmental influences: the confidence intervals of

220 shared environmental correlations are wide, which may indicate that our data are underpow-
221 ered to decompose shared environmental influences explaining the proportions of the trait
222 correlations between LTPA and academic skills.

223

224 We focused on twins' frequency of LTPA, which greatly reflects voluntary behavior. Our
225 assessment may be a restricted picture of the total LTPA, but it still represents twins' physical
226 activity behavior in their leisure time. The validity of physical activity questionnaires used in
227 Finnish twins have been demonstrated^{27,28}. Academic skills were reported by teachers. The
228 measurements were not standardized and not totally comparable but teachers evaluated the
229 twins' skills as objectively as possible. In Finland, practically all teachers have undergone
230 Master's level training and schools follow a national curriculum meaning that teachers' eval-
231 uations are based on similar principles.

232

233 A further limitation is that our study design was cross-sectional. Even though our cross-
234 sectional twin data contain genetic information that can be used to derive a test of causality
235 between leisure-time physical activity and academic skills^{23,29}, longitudinal studies would be
236 more informative about the genetic and environmental influences behind the long-term asso-
237 ciations between LTPA and academic skills. This is because the twin modeling results are
238 always age- and time-specific, as well as sensitive to changes in the overall and environmen-
239 tal variances. For example, we have shown in our previous study that an emotionally warm,
240 supportive, and encouraging family environment in childhood can enhance children's genetic
241 potential for voluntary physical activity even years after the influence of the home environ-
242 ment in childhood³⁰.

243

244 Major strengths of our study are the population-based sample and its large size and relatively
245 equal sex representation. Due to the very high participation rate, various selection biases are
246 also unlikely in our study. Thus, the generalizability of our study findings is good but limited
247 to individuals at age 11–12 years. A further strength is that we were able to use two different
248 measures to assess genetic influences affecting physical activity behavior: twin modeling and
249 PGSs.

250

251 Despite some limitations, our study certainly adds to the understanding of the association
252 between LTPA and academic performance. The most obvious finding to emerge from this
253 study is that the roots of the associations between LTPA and academic skills related to writ-
254 ing and reading may be due to common genetic influences rather than causality as previously
255 speculated. However, it is important to be cautious interpreting our results because our sam-
256 ple size may not have been large enough for the bivariate twin modeling analyses. Thus, this
257 study should be repeated using larger twin or family samples – this may enable a more relia-
258 ble assessment of potential overlapping shared environmental influences between LTPA and
259 academic skills.

260

261 **FUNDING SOURCE**

262 The research work has been supported by the Academy of Finland (grants 100499, 205585,
263 141054, 265240, 263278, 264146 and 312073 to J.K.), the Finnish Ministry of Education and
264 Culture (to U.M.K.), the Juho Vainio Foundation (to S.A. and U.M.K.), and the Finnish Cul-
265 tural Foundation (to S.A.). Data collection of the FinnTwin12 study has been supported by
266 the U.S. National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (grants AA-12502, AA-00145
267 and AA-09203 to R.J.R.).

268

269 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

270 R.J.R. and J.K. designed and contributed to the data collection of the FinnTwin12 study.
271 S.A., U.M.K., J.K., and K.S. designed the present study. S.A., T.P. and K.S. conducted the
272 statistical analyses. S.A. drafted the manuscript and T.P., R.J.R., J.K., U.M.K. and K.S. criti-
273 cally revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript. The authors declare
274 no conflict of interest.

275

276 **REFERENCES**

- 277 1. Alvarez-Bueno C, Pesce C, Cavero-Redondo I, Sanchez-Lopez M, Garrido-Miguel M,
278 Martinez-Vizcaino V. Academic achievement and physical activity: A meta-analysis. *Pediat-*
279 *rics*. 2017;140(6):10.1542/peds.2017-1498. doi: e20171498.
- 280 2. Mura G, Vellante M, Nardi AE, Machado S, Carta MG. Effects of school-based physical
281 activity interventions on cognition and academic achievement: A systematic review. *CNS*
282 *Neurol Disord Drug Targets*. 2015;14(9):1194-1208.
- 283 3. Marques A, Santos DA, Hillman CH, Sardinha LB. How does academic achievement re-
284 late to cardiorespiratory fitness, self-reported physical activity and objectively reported
285 physical activity: A systematic review in children and adolescents aged 6-18 years. *Br J*
286 *Sports Med*. 2018;52(16):1039-097361. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-097361.
- 287 4. Barbosa A, Whiting S, Simmonds P et al. Physical activity and academic achievement: An
288 umbrella review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(16):10.3390/ijerph17165972. doi:
289 E5972.
- 290 5. Singh AS, Saliasi E, van den Berg V, et al. Effects of physical activity interventions on
291 cognitive and academic performance in children and adolescents: A novel combination of a
292 systematic review and recommendations from an expert panel. *Br J Sports Med*. 2019;53(10):
293 640-647.

- 294 6. Haverkamp BWR, Vertessen K, van Ewijk H, Oosterlaan J, Hartman E. Effects of physical
295 activity interventions on cognitive outcomes and academic performance in adolescents and
296 young adults: A meta-analysis. *J Sports Sci.* 2020;38(23):2637-2660.
- 297 7. Aaltonen S, Latvala A, Jelenkovic A, et al. Physical activity and academic performance:
298 Genetic and environmental associations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2020;52(2):381-390.
- 299 8. Lees C, Hopkins J. Effect of aerobic exercise on cognition, academic achievement, and
300 psychosocial function in children: A systematic review of randomized control trials. *Prev*
301 *Chronic Dis.* 2013;10:E174. doi: 10.5888/pcd10.130010.
- 302 9. Aaltonen S, Latvala A, Rose RJ, Kujala UM, Kaprio J, Silventoinen K. Leisure-time
303 physical activity and academic performance: Cross-lagged associations from adolescence to
304 young adulthood. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:39215. doi: 10.1038/srep39215.
- 305 10. Kari JT, Viinikainen J, Bockerman P et al. Education leads to a more physically active
306 lifestyle: Evidence based on Mendelian randomization. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.*
307 2020;30(7):1194-1204.
- 308 11. Kovas Y, Haworth CM, Dale PS, Plomin R. The genetic and environmental origins of
309 learning abilities and disabilities in the early school years. *Monogr Soc Res Child Dev.*
310 2007;72(3):vii, 1-144. doi: MONO453.
- 311 12. Davies G, Tenesa A, Payton A, et al. Genome-wide association studies establish that hu-
312 man intelligence is highly heritable and polygenic. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2011;16(10):996-1005.
- 313 13. de Geus EJ, Bartels M, Kaprio J, Lightfoot JT, Thomis M. Genetics of regular exercise
314 and sedentary behaviors. *Twin Res Hum Genet.* 2014;17(4):262-271.
- 315 14. Lightfoot JT, DE Geus, E. J. C., Booth FW, et al. Biological/genetic regulation of physi-
316 cal activity level: Consensus from GenBioPAC. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2018;50(4):863-873.
- 317 15. Rose RJ, Salvatore JE, Aaltonen S, et al. FinnTwin12 cohort: An updated review. *Twin*
318 *Res Hum Genet.* 2019;22(5):302-311.

- 319 16. Jelenkovic A, Ortega-Alonso A, Rose RJ, Kaprio J, Rebato E, Silventoinen K. Genetic
320 and environmental influences on growth from late childhood to adulthood: A longitudinal
321 study of two Finnish twin cohorts. *Am J Hum Biol.* 2011;23(6):764-773.
- 322 17. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, et al. The UK biobank resource with deep phenotyping
323 and genomic data. *Nature.* 2018;562(7726):203-209.
- 324 18. Doherty A, Jackson D, Hammerla N, et al. Large scale population assessment of physical
325 activity using wrist worn accelerometers: The UK biobank study. *PLoS One.*
326 2017;12(2):e0169649. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169649.
- 327 19. Doherty A, Smith-Byrne K, Ferreira T, et al. GWAS identifies 14 loci for device-
328 measured physical activity and sleep duration. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):5257-4. doi:
329 10.1038/s41467-018-07743-4.
- 330 20. Kujala UM, Palviainen T, Pesonen P, et al. Polygenic risk scores and physical activity.
331 *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2020;52(7):1518-1524.
- 332 21. Rijdsdijk FV, Sham PC. Analytic approaches to twin data using structural equation mod-
333 els. *Brief Bioinform.* 2002;3(2):119-133.
- 334 22. Posthuma D, Beem AL, de Geus EJ, et al. Theory and practice in quantitative genetics.
335 *Twin Res.* 2003;6(5):361-376.
- 336 23. Verhulst B, Estabrook R. Using genetic information to test causal relationships in cross-
337 sectional data. *J Theor Polit.* 2012;24(3):328-344.
- 338 24. Boker S, Neale M, Maes H, Wilde M, Spiegel M, Brick T, Spies J, Estabrook R, Kenny S
339 and Bates T, Mehta P, Fox J.. OpenMx: An open source extended structural equation model-
340 ing framework. *Psychometrika.* 2011;76(2):306-317.
- 341 25. de Zeeuw E.L., de Geus E.J.C., Boomsma D.I. Meta-analysis of twin studies highlights
342 the importance of genetic variation in primary school educational achievement. *Trends Neu-*
343 *rosci Educ.* 2015;4:69-76.

- 344 26. Okbay A, Beauchamp JP, Fontana MA, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies
345 74 loci associated with educational attainment. *Nature*. 2016;533(7604):539-542.
- 346 27. Leskinen T, Waller K, Mutikainen S, et al. Effects of 32-year leisure time physical activ-
347 ity discordance in twin pairs on health (TWINACTIVE study): Aims, design and results for
348 physical fitness. *Twin Res Hum Genet*. 2009;12(1):108-117.
- 349 28. Waller K, Kaprio J, Kujala UM. Associations between long-term physical activity, waist
350 circumference and weight gain: A 30-year longitudinal twin study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*.
351 2008;32(2):353-361.
- 352 29. Duffy DL, Martin NG. Inferring the direction of causation in cross-sectional twin data:
353 Theoretical and empirical considerations. *Genetic Epidemiology*. 1994;11:483-502.
- 354 30. Aaltonen S, Kaprio J, Kujala UM, Pulkkinen L, Rose RJ, Silventoinen K. The interplay
355 between genes and psychosocial home environment on physical activity. *Med Sci Sports
356 Exerc*. 2018;50(4):691-699.
357

Physical Activity and Academic Performance

358 **Table 1** Trait correlations (r_{trait}) as well as the correlations between additive genetic (r_A), shared environmental (r_C) and unique environmental (r_E)
 359 influences for LTPA and academic skills with 95% confidence intervals at age 12.

Trait 1	Trait 2	Model	Trait correlation			Additive genetic correlation		Shared environmental factors		Unique environmental factors	
			r_{trait} (95% CI)	r_A (95% CI)	% Explained of r_{trait}	r_C (95% CI)	% Explained of r_{trait}	r_E (95% CI)	% Explained of r_{trait}		
Spelling	LTPA	Sexes combined	0.05 (0.01 to 0.09)	0.08 (-0.06 to -0.22)	80%	0.03 (-0.35 to 0.40)	11%	0.02 (-0.05 to 0.09)	9%		
Essay writing	LTPA	Sexes combined	0.06 (0.02 to 0.09)	0.14 (0.00 to 0.28)	*	-0.13 (-0.66 to 0.25)	*	0.03 (-0.05 to 0.10)	11%		
Reading aloud	LTPA	Sexes combined	0.05 (0.01 to 0.09)	0.11 (-0.03 to 0.25)	*	-0.06 (-0.41 to 0.24)	*	0.05 (-0.02 to 0.12)	22%		
Reading comprehension	LTPA	Sexes combined	0.05 (0.01 to 0.09)	0.01 (-0.13 to 0.14)	7%	0.16 (-0.11 to 0.44)	78%	0.03 (-0.04 to 0.11)	14%		
Mathematics	LTPA	Sexes combined	0.08 (0.04 to 0.12)	0.11 (-0.04 to 0.26)	74%	0.06 (-0.37 to 0.46)	14%	0.04 (-0.03 to 0.11)	12%		

360 CI=confidence intervals, LTPA=leisure-time physical activity, *= cannot be calculated reliably

361

362 **Table 2** Associations between genetic susceptibility to physical activity behavior (presented as polygenic scores) and academic skills when sex
 363 and the first 10 principal components are taken into account.

	Genetic susceptibility to physical activity behavior											
	Accelerometer-measured physical activity						Questionnaire-based physical activity					
	PGS _{MEASURED WALKING}		PGS _{MEASURED MODERATE}		PGS _{MEASURED TOTAL}		PGS _{WALKING}		PGS _{MODERATE}		PGS _{VIGOROUS}	
	B-coeff.	p-value	B-coeff.	p-value	B-coeff.	p-value	B-coeff.	p-value	B-coeff.	p-value	B-coeff.	p-value
Spelling	0.05	0.13	0.04	0.24	0.04	0.26	0.004	0.91	-0.01	0.76	-0.05	0.15
Essay writing	0.05	0.15	0.04	0.24	0.02	0.65	-0.04	0.31	-0.05	0.58	-0.06	0.15
Reading aloud	0.03	0.32	0.03	0.37	0.03	0.44	-0.01	0.71	-0.004	0.92	-0.04	0.27
Reading comprehension	0.04	0.19	0.01	0.75	0.02	0.60	-0.01	0.67	-0.03	0.34	-0.06	0.09
Mathematics	0.02	0.48	0.02	0.61	0.03	0.32	-0.001	0.97	0.006	0.86	-0.03	0.32

364 PGS=polygenic scores