Author(s): Leppänen, Marja H.; Haapala, Eero A.; Väistö, Juuso; Ekelund, Ulf; Brage, Søren; Kilpeläinen, Tuomas O.; Lakka, Timo A.

Title: Longitudinal and cross-sectional associations of adherence to 24-hour movement guidelines with cardiometabolic risk

Year: 2022

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

1

2   MR JUUSO VÄISTÖ (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-7026-5934)

3

4

6

7

Longitudinal and cross-sectional associations of adherence to 24-hour movement guidelines with cardiometabolic risk

10

11   Marja H. Leppänen[a,b], Eero A. Haapala[b,c], Juuso Väistö[c], Ulf Ekelund[d,e], Søren Brage[d], Tuomas O. Kilpeläinen[f], Timo A. Lakka[c,g,h]

13

Affiliations

[a]Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland;

[b]Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland;

[c]Institute of Biomedicine, School of Medicine, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland;

[d]MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK;

[e]Department of Sport Medicine, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway;

[f]Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark;

[g]Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Kuopio University Hospital, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland;

[h]Kuopio Research Institute of Exercise Medicine, Kuopio, Finland

25

Address correspondence to: Marja Leppänen, Folkhälsan Research Center, Topeliuksenkatu 20, 00250 Helsinki, Finland, [marja.leppanen@folkhalsan.fi], tel: +358 44 7881067.

28   **ABSTRACT**

29

30   This study aimed to examine 1) adherence to 24-hour movement guidelines over a 2-year follow-

31   up in children aged 6-8 years and 2) association of this adherence with cardiometabolic risk

32   factors. Physical activity and sleep were assessed by a monitor combining heart rate and

33   accelerometry measurements. Screen time was reported by the parents. Body fat percentage, waist

34   circumference, blood glucose, serum insulin, plasma lipids and blood pressure were assessed, and

35   a cardiometabolic risk score was calculated using z-scores. Children were classified as meeting the

36   guidelines if they had on average ≥60min/day of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity during the

37   valid days; ≤120min/day of screen time; and 9–11h/day of sleep. In total, 485 children had valid

38   data at baseline or at 2-year follow-up. Analyses were conducted using adjusted logistic and linear

39   regression models. Most children adhered to the 24-hour movement guidelines at baseline, but the

40   adherence decreased over the 2-year follow-up. Meeting physical activity guidelines individually,

41   or in combination with screen time and/or sleep, was longitudinally associated with a lower

42   cardiometabolic risk score, insulin and waist circumference, and cross-sectionally additionally

43   with lower diastolic blood pressure and higher high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. However,

44   these associations became statistically non-significant after adjustment for body fat. In conclusion,

45   meeting 24-hour movement guidelines at baseline increases the odds of meeting them at 2-year

46   follow-up in school-aged children. Furthermore, meeting 24-hour movement guidelines is

47   associated with lower levels of cardiometabolic risk factors, but these associations are partly

48   explained by lower body fat. Thus, promoting movement behaviors, especially physical activity,

49   and healthy weight in early childhood is important in supporting cardiometabolic health in

50   children.

51

52   **Clinical Trial Registration:** clinicaltrials.gov NCT01803776

53   **Keywords:** body fat, metabolic profile, movement guidelines, paediatrics, prospective

INTRODUCTION

Engaging in sufficient levels of physical activity (PA), limiting screen time (ST), and having a sufficient amount of sleep have been associated with numerous health benefits in children.[1-3] Current 24-hour movement guidelines recommend that a healthy 24-hour day in school-aged children should include 1) at least 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA), 2) no more than two hours of ST, and 3) 9–11 hours of sleep.[4,5] However, only 2.0 to 14.9% of children aged 9-11 years from 12 countries participating in the large International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment (ISCOLE) met all these recommendations for MVPA, ST, and sleep.[6] Adherence to the 24-hour movement guidelines may track over time in preschool-aged children,[7] but not among students,[8] while such knowledge in school-aged children remains scarce.

Pathophysiological processes for cardiovascular diseases, the main cause of premature mortality worldwide,[9] start already in childhood.[10] Metabolic syndrome, referring to a cluster of cardiometabolic risk factors, including central obesity, insulin resistance, hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia, low plasma high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and hypertension, has been found to increase the risk of subclinical and clinical cardiovascular diseases in adults.[11] A large systematic review[12] estimated that the prevalence of childhood metabolic syndrome varies between 0 and 29% in different study populations, highlighting a need to clarify lifestyle-related factors that contribute to this variability.

There are few earlier studies on the combined association of movement behaviors, including PA, ST, and sleep, with cardiometabolic risk factors,[13,14] and most of them have been cross-sectional.[13,14] Studies in Canada[13] and the United States[14] have reported that children and youth who met a larger number of 24-hour movement guidelines had lower serum triglycerides (TG) and insulin,[13,14] higher serum HDL cholesterol,[13] and lower systolic blood pressure (SBP) than those meeting a smaller number of these guidelines.[13] In a longitudinal study among children in Denmark,[15] a combination of decreased MVPA and sleep duration and increased ST was associated with a 3.3 unit increase in the metabolic syndrome score over a 200-day follow-up compared with increased MVPA and sleep duration and decreased ST. Meeting the 24-hour movement guidelines has also been associated with a lower z-score for body mass index (BMI),[6] higher aerobic fitness,[13] and better health-related quality of life.[16] Thus, it is important to increase knowledge on the role of combined movement behaviors in supporting health in children and how fat mass may be related to it.

86   The longitudinal associations of adherence to the current 24-hour movement
87 guidelines with cardiometabolic risk factors are unknown. We therefore examined individual and
88 combined adherence to these guidelines at baseline, tracking of the adherence over a 2-year
89 follow-up, and how the adherence is related to cardiometabolic risk factors cross-sectionally and
90 longitudinally. In addition, we investigated whether differences in body fat may explain the
91 associations of adherence to these guidelines with cardiometabolic risk factors.

92

93 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

94

95 **Participants and Study Design**

96 The present study is a secondary analysis utilizing baseline and 2-year follow-up data from the
97 Physical Activity and Nutrition in Children (PANIC) study (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01803776)
98 that is an 8-year PA and dietary intervention study in a population sample of children from the city
99 of Kuopio, Finland.[17] The Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Northern Savo
100 approved the study protocol in 2006 (Statement 69/2006). The parents or caregivers of the
101 children gave their written informed consent, and the children provided their assent to
102 participation. We invited 736 children 6-8 years of age who started the first grade in 16 primary
103 schools of the city of Kuopio in 2007-2009. Of those children, 512 (69.6%) had data on PA, ST, or
104 sleep. The current study population consists of 249 boys (51.3%) and 236 girls (48.7%) with
105 complete data on PA, ST, and sleep duration at baseline or at 2-year follow-up. The included
106 children did not differ in terms of cardiometabolic risk factors from the children who were
107 excluded.

108

109 **Assessment of movement behaviors**

110 PA was assessed using a combined heart rate and body movement sensor Actiheart® (CamNtech
111 Ltd., Papworth, UK) for a minimum of four consecutive days and analyzed in 60 second epochs.[18]
112 The combined heart rate and movement sensor were attached to the child's chest with two
113 standard electrocardiographic electrodes (Bio Protech Inc., Wonju, South Korea). The children
114 were asked to wear the monitor continuously, including sleep and water-based activities. Heart
115 rate data were cleaned[19] and individually calibrated using parameters obtained from the maximal
116 cycle exercise test,[20] and were combined with movement sensor data to derive PA energy
117 expenditure. Instantaneous PA energy expenditure was estimated using branched equation

118 modelling[21] and expressed as time spent at intensity levels of standard metabolic equivalents
119 (METs), one MET corresponding to 71.2 J/min/kg, in minutes per day. In the current analyses,
120 MVPA was defined as PAs at ≥ 4 METs. PA data were accepted as a valid day if there was a
121 minimum of 48 h of activity recording in weekday and weekend day hours that included at least
122 12 h from morning (3–9 am), noon (9 am–3 pm), afternoon (3–9 pm), and night (9 pm–3 am) to
123 avoid potential bias from over-representing specific times and activities of the days. The children
124 were defined reaching the PA guidelines if they had at least 60 minutes of MVPA per day as an
125 average of the valid registered days.[4,5]

126 ST separately over weekdays and weekend days was assessed by the PANIC
127 Physical Activity Questionnaire that was filled out by the parents together with their child.[22] The
128 types of ST in our analyses included watching television and videos, using computer and playing
129 video and console games, and using mobile phone and playing mobile games. Time spent in ST
130 was calculated by summing up times spent in each type of activity and expressed in hours per
131 week weighted by the number of weekdays and weekend days. The children were defined
132 reaching the ST guidelines if they had no more than two hours of ST per day.[4,5]

133 Sleep duration was inferred from the combined heart rate and movement data by a
134 trained exercise specialist and was confirmed by a physician, was subtracted from sedentary time
135 to obtain the final sleep duration for the analyses.[23] The time of falling asleep was defined as
136 accelerometer counts decreasing to zero and heart rate to a plateau level. The time of waking up
137 was defined as accelerometer counts increasing and remaining above zero and heart rate
138 increasing and remaining above the plateau level. The children were defined reaching the sleep
139 guidelines if they had 9–11 hours of sleep per night.[4,5]

140

141 **Assessment of cardiometabolic risk factors**
142 A research nurse took blood samples in the morning after a 12-hour overnight fast. Plasma glucose
143 was measured by a hexokinase method, serum insulin by an electrochemiluminescence
144 immunoassay, plasma TG by a colorimetric enzymatic assay, and plasma HDL cholesterol by a
145 homogeneous colorimetric enzymatic assay.[24] SBP and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were
146 measured from the right arm using the Heine Gamma G7® aneroid sphygmomanometer (Heine
147 Optotechnik, Herrsching, Germany) to the accuracy of 2 mm Hg. The measurement protocol
148 included a 5-minute seated resting period followed by three measurements with 2-minute intervals
149 in between. The average of all three values was used for both SBP and DBP.

150   Body weight was measured using a calibrated InBody 720® bioelectrical impedance
151   device (Biospace, Seoul, South Korea). Height was measured using a wall-mounted stadiometer
152   without shoes. BMI was calculated by dividing body weight (kg) by height (m) squared, and BMI-
153   SDS was obtained using Finnish reference values.[25] The prevalence of normal weight, overweight,
154   and obesity were defined using the cut-off values provided by Cole and Lobstein.[26] Waist
155   circumference (WC) was measured at mid-distance between the bottom of the rib cage and the top
156   of the iliac crest, and the mean of the closest two values was used in the analyses. Body fat
157   percentage (BF%) was measured using the Lunar® dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry device (GE
158   Medical Systems, Madison, Wisconsin, USA).[27]

159   A continuous cardiometabolic risk score (CRS) was calculated as the sum of
160   population-specific z-scores of WC, insulin, glucose, TG, HDL cholesterol, and the mean of SBP
161   and DBP.[24] The z-score of HDL cholesterol was multiplied by -1 due to its inverse association
162   with cardiometabolic risk. A higher CRS indicates a less favourable cardiometabolic risk profile.

163

164   **Assessment of covariates**

165   The education of the more educated parent was used as parental education (categorized as low
166   [vocational school or less], middle [polytechnic], or high [university degree]). The children were
167   allocated to the intervention group (N=293, 60.4%) and the control group (N=192, 39.6%) after
168   the baseline measurements.[28] The combined PA and dietary intervention consisted of six
169   intervention visits during the 2-year follow-up. The children and their parents received
170   individualized advice regarding PA and diet from a specialist in exercise medicine and a clinical
171   nutritionist. The intervention and control groups were merged in the present analyses. Food
172   consumption as well as energy and nutrient intake were assessed by food records administered by
173   the parents on four predefined consecutive days, including two weekdays and two weekend days
174   (99%) or three weekdays and one weekend day (1%). The Finnish Children Healthy Eating Index
175   was used as an indicator of diet quality. The index was calculated by summing the reported
176   consumption of the following foods based on their quantiles in the present study population:
177   vegetables, fruit and berries (scored 1–10); high-fat (≥60%) vegetable oil-based spreads and
178   vegetable oils (0–10); low-fat (<1%) milk (0–9); fish (0–6); and foods with high sugar content
179   (10–1). The index ranged between 2 and 45, a higher score indicating higher diet quality.[29]

180

181   **Statistical Analysis**

182 The characteristics of the children are provided as arithmetic means (standard deviations, SDs) or

183 frequencies (percentages, %). The children were categorized as meeting or not meeting 1)

184 individual movement guidelines, 2) combinations of any two movement guidelines, or 3) all three

185 movement guidelines at baseline. Logistic regression analyses were used to assess whether

186 adherence to guidelines at baseline tracked over the 2-year follow-up. All models were adjusted

187 for age, sex, parental education, and study group at baseline. Furthermore, linear regression

188 analyses were used to examine the associations of CRS and each cardiometabolic risk factor with

189 1) individual movement guidelines, 2) combinations of any two movement guidelines, 3) all three

190 movement guidelines, and 4) the number of movement guidelines met. The models were

191 conducted both cross-sectionally at baseline and prospectively over the 2-year follow up (i.e.,

192 association of adherence to movement guidelines with CRS at baseline and with individual

193 cardiometabolic risk factors at 2-year follow-up). The Model 1 was unadjusted, and in Model 2,

194 the data were adjusted for sex, age, parental education, and study group at baseline. In additional

195 analyses, the Model 2 was adjusted for BF%. In the sensitivity analyses, we included also energy

196 intake and the Finnish Children Healthy Eating Index in the model as possible confounding

197 factors. However, further adjustment for these dietary factors had no influence on the associations

198 studied (Data not shown), and thus, we decided not to include them in the final models. All

199 statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS Statistics software, Version 25 (IBM, Armonk,

200 NY, USA). Associations with 2-sided p-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

201

202 **RESULTS**

203

204 The characteristics of the children at baseline and at 2-year follow-up are described in Table 1.

205 Out of 448 children with complete data on PA, ST, and sleep duration at baseline, 235 (52.5%)

206 complied with all three 24-hour movement guidelines (Figure 1), 173 (38.6%) two guidelines, 37

207 (8.3%) one guideline, and 3 (0.7%) none of the guidelines. Out of 365 children with complete data

208 on PA, ST, and sleep duration at 2-year follow-up, 91 (24.9%) complied with all three guidelines

209 (Figure 2), 167 (45.8%) two guidelines, 91 (24.9%) one guideline, and 16 (4.4%) none of the

210 guidelines.

211

212 **Adherence to the 24-hour movement guidelines**

213 Children who met all three 24-hour movement guidelines at baseline had 3.4 (95% confidence
214 interval [CI] 1.97 to 6.02) times higher odds of meeting the guidelines also at 2-year follow-up
215 compared with children who did not meet the guidelines at baseline after adjustments (P<0.001).
216 Similarly, children who met the PA guidelines at baseline had 2.5 (95% CI 1.41 to 4.56) times
217 higher odds of meeting the PA guidelines also at 2-year follow-up compared with children who
218 did not meet the PA guidelines at baseline (P=0.002). Children who met the ST guidelines at
219 baseline had 5.0 (95% CI 2.88 to 8.74) times higher odds of meeting the ST guidelines at 2-year
220 follow-up compared with children who did not meet the ST guidelines at baseline (P<0.001).
221 Meeting the sleep guidelines at baseline was significantly not associated with odds of meeting the
222 sleep guidelines at 2-year follow-up (OR 1.9, 95% CI 0.87 to 4.32, P=0.11).
223
224 **Cross-sectional associations between adherence to the 24-hour movement guidelines and**
225 **cardiometabolic risk factors at baseline**
226 Meeting all three guidelines, the guidelines for PA and ST, the guidelines for PA and sleep, or the
227 guidelines for PA alone were inversely associated with CRS, WC, and insulin after adjustments
228 for sex, age, parental education, and study group (Table 2). Similarly, meeting all three guidelines
229 or the guidelines for PA alone were inversely associated with DBP and directly with HDL
230 cholesterol. After further adjustment for BF%, the associations were no longer significant
231 (P>0.05). Meeting the guidelines for ST and sleep or for ST alone were inversely associated with
232 insulin after adjustment for sex, age, parental education, and study group. After further adjustment
233 for BF%, the associations remained significant (ST+sleep: B -0.54, 95% CI -0.98 to -0.09; ST: B -
234 0.51, 95% CI -0.99 to -0.03), respectively. Meeting three guidelines compared to two, one or zero
235 guidelines was inversely associated with CRS, WC, and insulin after adjustment for sex, age,
236 parental education, and study group (Table 3).
237
238 **Longitudinal associations between adherence to the 24-hour movement guidelines and**
239 **cardiometabolic risk factors**
240 Meeting all three guidelines, the guidelines for PA and ST, or the guidelines for PA alone at
241 baseline was inversely associated with CRS, WC, and insulin at 2-year follow-up after adjusting
242 for sex and age, parental education, and study group (Table 4). After further adjustment for BF%,
243 the associations were no longer significant (P>0.05). Meeting three guidelines compared to two,
244 one, or zero at baseline was inversely associated with CRS and WC at 2-year follow-up after

245   adjusting for sex and age, parental education, and study group (Table 3). In addition, meeting three

246   guidelines compared to one or zero at baseline was inversely associated with insulin. All

247   associations became non-significant after further adjustment for BF%.

248

249   **DISCUSSION**

250

251   Our study shows that over half of the school-aged children who participated in the PANIC study

252   met all three 24-hour movement guidelines at baseline, while the proportion was one-fourth two

253   years later. Meeting the guidelines, for all except sleep, at baseline increased the odds of meeting

254   the guidelines at 2-year follow-up compared to not meeting the guidelines at baseline.

255   Furthermore, meeting the guidelines, except for sleep, was cross-sectionally and longitudinally

256   associated with reduced cardiometabolic risk. However, the associations with cardiometabolic risk

257   were largely explained by differences in BF%.

258   The proportion of children meeting all three guidelines was higher than the

259   proportions reported in other studies.[6,13,14,30] The majority of children met the guidelines for sleep,

260   while the rates were lowest for ST, being still above the rates reported in other studies.[6,13,30] The

261   decrease in the adherence over the 2-year follow-up was highest regarding sleep, whereas

262   adherence to ST was moderate and PA did not change remarkably. Varying proportions of

263   children adhering to the guidelines between the studies may be due to differences in the age of the

264   participating children, cultural-related practices regarding movement behaviors as well as different

265   methodologies in assessing movement behaviors. Having a sufficient amount of sleep has been

266   associated with numerous health benefits in children,[3] and therefore, our finding highlight the

267   need to promote healthy sleep habits in early childhood. Moreover, the time period from 8 to 10

268   years may be critical in terms of increasing ST due to entertaining and/or educational reasons.

269   Since we also found that adherence to guidelines tracked over the 2-year follow-up, the findings

270   highlight the need to establish good practices already at an early age. Tracking of adherence to 24-

271   hour movement guidelines has previously been reported in preschool-aged children,[7] but not

272   among students.[8] Our study shows that the tracking is apparent also in school-aged children,

273   except what comes to sleep. Therefore, achieving the guidelines already in early childhood may

274   help to adhere to the guidelines and maintain cardiometabolic health when children get older.

275   To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating longitudinal

276   associations of adherence to 24-hour movement guidelines with cardiometabolic risk factors.

277 Meeting all the guidelines in which PA was included at baseline were associated with reduced
278 overall cardiometabolic risk (i.e., CRS) and several individual risk factors (i.e., mainly insulin and
279 WC) at 2-year follow-up. The findings indicate that PA may be the driver in supporting
280 cardiometabolic health in midchildhood above and beyond ST and sleep. Using different levels of
281 each movement behaviors, Hjorth et al.[15] found that lower levels of MVPA and shorter sleep were
282 associated with an increased cardiometabolic risk profile over 200-day follow-up. Yet, our
283 findings provide more knowledge on the associations over a longer follow-up period, and indicate
284 that paying attention to more than one movement behaviour at the same time may optimize the
285 health benefits in the long-term. However, due to the different methodologies applied between
286 studies, including differences in follow-up periods, and in the assessment of movement behaviors
287 and cardiometabolic risk factors, the findings should be confirmed in future studies. Furthermore,
288 our results showed that body fat influences the associations, which has been found also in Danish
289 children.[15] In future studies it is also important to investigate more deeply the interactive
290 associations of body fat and movement behaviors with cardiometabolic risk factors, and to clarify
291 whether body fat is a mediator or primary cause (i.e., children with overweight have less PA and
292 sleep as well as more ST leading to increased cardiometabolic risk). Yet, it is evident that
293 interventions promoting healthy body composition in childhood are warranted in order to support
294 cardiovascular health in later life. Although we have earlier found that most children participating
295 in the PANIC study did not meet the dietary recommendations,[31] dietary factors did not explain
296 the observed associations of meeting the PA guidelines with cardiometabolic risk factors.
297 However, there may still be some residual confounding by dietary factors in the associations
298 found, so diet needs to be taken into account in future studies dealing with the associations of
299 movement behaviors with cardiometabolic risk factors.

300 Contrary to the longitudinal findings, we observed cross-sectionally that meeting all
301 the guidelines in which PA was included was associated with lower overall cardiometabolic risk
302 (i.e., CRS) and more favourable levels of individual risk factors (i.e., lower insulin, DBP, and WC,
303 and higher HDL cholesterol). Our findings are in line with previous reports[13,14] suggesting that
304 meeting multiple guidelines may have a positive effect in reducing cardiometabolic risk. However,
305 we also showed that the observed associations were explained by differences in body fat.
306 Therefore, body fat should be taken into account when investigating associations of adherence to
307 movement behaviors with cardiometabolic risk factors in future studies.

308   We found that meeting the guidelines for ST and sleep or the guidelines for ST alone
309   were inversely associated with insulin at baseline, and these associations remained significant also
310   after adjustment for body fat. Carson and colleagues[13] have previously reported that meeting the
311   guidelines for ST and sleep was associated with a lower WC. It is notable that the somewhat
312   different methodologies in assessing ST and sleep may partly explain the differences in the results.
313   Assessing ST using self-report can be problematic due to the multitude of platforms and the
314   sporadic and multi-tasking nature of ST in children. Thus, there is a need to clarify associations of
315   different types of ST (e.g., passive or active use, use for entertaining or educational purposes) with
316   cardiometabolic risk factors. The results of a previous review[32] suggested that adequate sleep
317   duration in children and adolescents is associated with lower cardiometabolic risk.[32] Possible
318   reasons for these findings include alterations in the regulation of appetite and glucose as well as
319   sympathovagal balance, but these mechanisms are largely based on observations from studies
320   among adults.[32] One of the reasons for a weak association between sleep and cardiometabolic risk
321   factors in our study may be that the children were from a general population, and thus most of
322   them had no diseases and had a relatively healthy lifestyle in terms of PA and sleep. Therefore,
323   more research are warranted in populations with lower physical activity levels and higher levels of
324   sedentary time.

325   The strengths of the present study include the valid assessment of free-living PA and
326   sleep by individually calibrated combined movement and heart rate sensing, comprehensive
327   measurement of cardiometabolic risk factors and use of a continuous CRS instead of arbitrary cut-
328   offs for single risk factors, and the assessment of body composition using whole-body dual-energy
329   X-ray absorptiometry. In addition, the ST included all types of ST (i.e., watching television and
330   videos, using computer and playing video and console games, and using mobile phone and playing
331   mobile games) instead of restricting ST only to TV viewing. Finally, body size and composition in
332   the study sample were similar than in children of the large national reference population[25]
333   increasing generalization of the results to other children of the same age in Finland.

334   A weakness of our study is that 60% of the children were included in the
335   intervention group and participated in six family-based intervention visits during the 2-year
336   follow-up. However, there were no statistically significant differences in movement behaviors or
337   cardiometabolic risk factors between children in the intervention and those in the control group.
338   Moreover, the result did not differ essentially when the data were adjusted for the study group.
339   This limits the conclusion about causality between the observed associations. We also assessed ST

using a questionnaire filled out by the parents that has not been validated in Finnish children. It is possible that the questionnaire may have led to misreporting times spent in different types of activities, and further, total minutes spent in ST and the proportion of children meeting the ST guidelines.

In conclusion, over half of the children met all three 24-hour movement guidelines at baseline, while the proportion decreased to one-fourth at 2-year follow-up. Meeting the guidelines at baseline increased the odds of meeting the guidelines over 2-year follow-up compared to not meeting the guidelines, except sleep, at baseline. Furthermore, meeting most of the guidelines was cross-sectionally and longitudinally associated with overall cardiometabolic risk and several individual risk factors. However, the associations were in part explained by differences in BF%.

PERSPECTIVES

Our study shows that meeting the 24-hour movement behavior guidelines, all except sleep, at baseline increased the odds of meeting the guidelines at 2-year follow-up. Furthermore, the findings of our cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses emphasize the need to promote movement behaviors, especially physical activity, in order to support cardiovascular health of the young children. Yet, there is a need to longer follow-up studies examining the factors related to higher adherence to the guidelines in long term. Such knowledge would be highly valuable, not only for researchers, but also for clinicians in promoting health of young children and their families.

REFERENCES

1. Poitras VJ, Gray CE, Borghese MM, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between objectively measured physical activity and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S197-S239.

2. Cliff DP, Hesketh KD, Vella SA, et al. Objectively measured sedentary behaviour and health and development in children and adolescents: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2016;17(4):330-344.

3. Chaput J, Gray CE, Poitras VJ, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between sleep duration and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S266-S282.

4. Tremblay MS, Carson V, Chaput J, et al. Canadian 24-hour movement guidelines for children and youth: An integration of physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and sleep. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab. 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S311-S327.

5. Australian 24-hour movement guidelines for children and young people (5–17 years): An integration of physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep. Government of Australia, Department of Health. 2018.

6. Roman-Viñas B, Chaput J, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Proportion of children meeting recommendations for 24-hour movement guidelines and associations with adiposity in a 12-country study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2016;13(1):123.

402   7. Meredith-Jones K, Galland B, Haszard J, et al. Do young children consistently meet 24-h sleep
403   and activity guidelines? A longitudinal analysis using actigraphy. Int J Obes. 2019;43(12):2555-
404   2564.

405   8. Buchan MC, Carson V, Faulkner G, Qian W, Leatherdale ST. Factors associated with students
406   meeting components of Canada's new 24-hour movement guidelines over time in the COMPASS
407   study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(15):5326.

408   9. World Health Organization. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014. Geneva:
409   World Health Organization; 2015.

410   10. McGill J, H C, McMahan CA, Herderick EE, Malcom GT, Tracy RE, Strong JP. Origin of
411   atherosclerosis in childhood and adolescence. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000;72(5 Suppl):1307S.

412   11. National Cholesterol Education Program, (NCEP). Expert panel on detection, evaluation, and
413   treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III): Third report of the
414   national cholesterol education program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and
415   treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III) final report. Circulation.
416   2002;106(25).

417   12. Friend A, Craig L, Turner S. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in children: A systematic
418   review of the literature. Metab Syndr Relat Disord. 2013;11(2):71-80.

419   13. Carson V, Chaput J, Janssen I, Tremblay MS. Health associations with meeting new 24-hour
420   movement guidelines for canadian children and youth. Prev Med. 2017;95:7-13.

421   14. Katzmarzyk PT, Staiano AE. Relationship between meeting 24-hour movement guidelines and
422   cardiometabolic risk factors in children. J Phys Act Health. 2017;14(10):779-784.

423   15. Hjorth MF, Chaput J, Damsgaard CT, et al. Low physical activity level and short sleep
424   duration are associated with an increased cardio-metabolic risk profile: A longitudinal study in 8-
425   11 year old Danish children. PloS one. 2014;9(8):e104677.

426   16. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Standage M, Tremblay MS, et al. Associations between meeting
427   combinations of 24-h movement guidelines and health-related quality of life in children from 12
428   countries. Public Health. 2017;153:16-24.

429   17. Viitasalo A, Eloranta A, Lintu N, et al. The effects of a 2-year individualized and family-based
430   lifestyle intervention on physical activity, sedentary behavior and diet in children. Prev Med.
431   2016;87:81-88.

432   18. Brage S, Brage N, Franks PW, Ekelund U, Wareham NJ. Reliability and validity of the
433   combined heart rate and movement sensor Actiheart. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2005;59(4):561-570.

434 19. Stegle O, Fallert SV, MacKay DJC, Brage S. Gaussian process robust regression for noisy
435 heart rate data. TBME. 2008;55(9):2143-2151.

436 20. Brage S, Ekelund U, Brage N, et al. Hierarchy of individual calibration levels for heart rate
437 and accelerometry to measure physical activity. J Appl Physiol. 2007;103:682-692.

438 21. Brage S, Brage N, Franks PW, et al. Branched equation modeling of simultaneous
439 accelerometry and heart rate monitoring improves estimate of directly measured physical activity
440 energy expenditure. J Appl Physiol. 2004;96(1):343-351.

441 22. Lampinen E, Eloranta A, Haapala EA, et al. Physical activity, sedentary behaviour, and
442 socioeconomic status among Finnish girls and boys aged 6-8 years. Eur J Sport Sci. 2017;17:462-
443 472.

444 23. Collings PJ, Westgate K, Väistö J, et al. Cross-sectional associations of objectively-measured
445 physical activity and sedentary time with body composition and cardiorespiratory fitness in mid-
446 childhood: The PANIC study. Sports Med (Auckland, N.Z.). 2017;47:769-780.

447 24. Viitasalo A, Lakka T, Laaksonen D, et al. Validation of metabolic syndrome score by
448 confirmatory factor analysis in children and adults and prediction of cardiometabolic outcomes in
449 adults. Diabetologia. 2014;57(5):940-949.

450 25. Saari A, Sankilampi U, Hannila M, Kiviniemi V, Kesseli K, Dunkel L. New Finnish growth
451 references for children and adolescents aged 0 to 20 years: Length/height-for-age, weight-for-
452 length/height, and body mass index-for-age. Ann Med. 2011;43(3):235-248.

453 26. Cole TJ, Lobstein T. Extended international (IOTF) body mass index cut-offs for thinness,
454 overweight and obesity. Pediatr Obes. 2012;7(4):284-294.

455 27. Tompuri TT, Lakka TA, Hakulinen M, et al. Assessment of body composition by dual-energy
456 x-ray absorptiometry, bioimpedance analysis and anthropometrics in children: The Physical
457 Activity and Nutrition in Children study. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging. 2015;35:21-33.

458 28. Lakka TA, Lintu N, Väistö J, et al. A 2 year physical activity and dietary intervention
459 attenuates the increase in insulin resistance in a general population of children: The PANIC study.
460 Diabetologia. 2020; doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05250-0.

461 29. Haapala E, Eloranta A, Venäläinen T, et al. Diet quality and academic achievement: A
462 prospective study among primary school children. Eur J Nutr. 2017; 56(7): 2299-2308.

463 30. Manyanga T, Barnes JD, Chaput J, Katzmarzyk PT, Prista A, Tremblay MS. Prevalence and
464 correlates of adherence to movement guidelines among urban and rural children in Mozambique:
465 A cross-sectional study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2019;16(1):94.

466 31. Eloranta AM, Lindi V, Schwab U, et al. Dietary factors and their associations with
467 socioeconomic background in Finnish girls and boys 6-8 years of age: The PANIC study. Eur J
468 Clin Nutr. 2011; 65(11): 1211.

469 32. Quist JS, Sjödin A, Chaput J, Hjorth MF. Sleep and cardiometabolic risk in children and
470 adolescents. Sleep Med Rev. 2015;29:76-100.

471

472

473 **Figure legends**

474 **Figure 1.** Proportion of children adhering to the 24-hour movement guidelines at baseline

475 **Figure 2.** Proportion of children adhering to the 24-hour movement guidelines at 2-year follow-up

Table 1. Characteristics of children (N=485)

	At baseline			At 2-year follow-up		
	N	%	Mean (SD)	N	%	Mean (SD)
Sex (%, boys)	485	51.3		485	51.3	
Age (years)	485		7.6 (0.4)	426		9.8 (0.4)
Height (cm)	485		129 (5.7)	426		141 (6.3)
Weight (kg)	484		26.9 (5.0)	426		34.4 (7.3)
BMI-SDS[†] (kg/m^2)	484		-0.2 (1.1)	426		-0.1 (1.1)
Overweight or obese[‡]	63	13.0		73	17.1	
Body fat (%)	476		19.9 (8.2)	406		23.4 (9.2)
Parental education level[§]						
Low	94	19.4		62	14.8	
Medium	217	44.8		196	46.7	
High	173	35.7		162	38.6	
Study group (intervention)	192	39.6		192	39.6	
Actiheart wearing time in days	475		4.6 (1.5)	390		3.9 (1.1)
24-hour movement behaviors						
Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (min/day)	450		115 (64.3)	373		100 (56.2)
Screen time (min/day)	484		101 (52.1)	419		122 (57.3)
Sleep duration (h/night)	470		9.7 (0.5)	380		9.2 (0.6)
Cardiometabolic risk factors						
CRS	463		0.06 (3.6)	406		0.04 (3.5)

Waist circumference (cm)	485	56.8 (5.9)	426	61.3 (7.3)
Insulin (mU/l)	464	4.5 (2.4)	407	6.1 (3.5)
Glucose (mmol/l)	474	4.8 (0.4)	413	5.0 (0.4)
TG (mmol/l)	474	0.6 (0.2)	413	0.6 (0.3)
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	474	1.6 (0.3)	413	1.6 (0.3)
SBP (mmHg)	484	100 (7.3)	426	101 (7.6)
DBP (mmHg)	484	61.5 (7.1)	426	61.4 (7.8)

Abbreviations: BMI-SDS, body mass index SD score; CRS, cardiometabolic risk score; TG, triglycerides; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation.

[†] According to Saari et al. (2011) [‡] According to Cole et al. (2012) [§] Low indicates ≤ vocational school, medium indicates polytechnic, and high indicates university degree.

Table 2. Cross-sectional associations between meeting the 24-hour movement guidelines and cardiometabolic risk factors at baseline.

Cardiometabolic risk factors		Meeting guidelines						
		All guidelines	PA and ST	PA and sleep	ST and sleep	PA	ST	Sleep
		B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)
CRS	Model 1	-1.49 (-2.15, -0.83)***	-1.34 (-2.01, -0.67)***	-1.45 (-2.19, -0.72)***	-1.01 (-1.71, -0.31)**	-1.66 (-2.48, -0.84)***	-0.82 (-1.57, -0.07)*	-0.68 (-1.81, 0.46)
	Model 2	-1.33 (-1.98, -0.68)***	-1.16 (-1.83, -0.50)***	-1.44 (-2.17, -0.71)***	-0.89 (-1.59, -0.19)*	-1.66 (-2.49, -0.84)***	-0.66 (-1.42, 0.10)	-0.73 (-1.85, 0.39)
WC	Model 1	-3.19 (-4.25, -2.14)***	-3.17 (-4.24, -2.10)***	-3.59 (-4.76, -2.42)***	-1.36 (-2.51, -0.21)*	-4.36 (-5.64, -3.07)***	-1.19 (-2.42, 0.04)	-1.27 (-3.13, 0.58)
	Model 2	-2.87 (-3.92, -1.82)***	-2.87 (-3.95, -1.81)***	-3.74 (-4.89, -2.59)***	-0.96 (-2.11, 0.19)	-4.71 (-5.98, -3.43)***	-0.70 (-1.94, 0.53)	-1.19 (-3.02, 0.64)
Insulin	Model 1	-0.90 (-1.35, -0.45)***	-0.84 (-1.30, -0.38)***	-0.91 (-1.41, -0.40)***	-0.66 (-1.14, -1.19)**	-1.08 (-1.64, -0.52)***	-0.58 (-1.10, -0.07)*	-0.43 (-1.21, 0.35)
	Model 2	-0.83 (-1.28, -0.38)***	-0.75 (-1.20, -0.29)**	-0.80 (-1.31, -0.30)**	-0.71 (-1.19, -0.23)**	-0.95 (-1.52, -0.38)**	-0.60 (-1.12, -0.09)*	-0.48 (-1.25, 0.29)
Glucose	Model 1	-0.02 (-0.09, 0.05)	0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	-0.02 (-0.10, 0.06)	-0.06 (-0.13, 0.01)	0.00 (-0.08, 0.09)	-0.04 (-0.12, 0.04)	-0.06 (-0.18, 0.06)
	Model 2	-0.01 (-0.08, 0.06)	0.01 (-0.06, 0.08)	-0.04 (-0.12, 0.04)	-0.03 (-0.10, 0.05)	-0.03 (-0.12, 0.06)	-0.01 (-0.08, 0.08)	-0.05 (-0.17, 0.07)
TG	Model 1	-0.04 (-0.09, 0.01)	-0.04 (-0.09, 0.00)	-0.04 (-0.09, 0.01)	-0.04 (-0.09, 0.01)	-0.06 (-0.12, 0.00)*	-0.05 (-0.10, 0.01)	-0.01 (-0.09, 0.07)
	Model 2	-0.04 (-0.08, 0.01)	-0.04 (-0.09, 0.01)	-0.03 (-0.08, 0.02)	-0.04 (-0.09, 0.01)	-0.04 (-0.10, 0.01)	-0.05 (-0.10, 0.01)	-0.01 (-0.09, 0.07)
HDLc	Model 1	0.07 (0.01, 0.13)*	0.07 (0.02, 0.13)*	0.07 (0.01, 0.14)*	0.03 (-0.03, 0.09)	0.12 (0.05, 0.19)**	0.03 (-0.03, 0.10)	-0.03 (-0.13, 0.07)
	Model 2	0.07 (0.01, 0.13)*	0.08 (0.02, 0.14)*	0.06 (-0.00, 0.13)	0.04 (-0.03, 0.10)	0.11 (0.04, 0.18)**	0.04 (-0.02, 0.11)	-0.03 (-0.13, 0.07)
SBP	Model 1	-1.23 (-2.58, 0.12)	-0.83 (-2.20, 0.55)	-0.85 (-2.35, 0.65)	-1.49, -2.92, -0.06)*	-0.45 (-2.12, 1.22)	-1.27 (-2.80, 0.27)	-1.19 (-3.48, 1.11)
	Model 2	-1.01 (-2.37, 0.36)	-0.53 (-1.92, 0.86)	-0.78 (-2.30, 0.74)	-1.40 (-2.86, 0.05)	-0.33 (-2.03, 1.38)	-1.05 (-2.61, 0.52)	-1.28 (-3.59, 1.03)
DBP	Model 1	-1.65 (-2.97, -0.32)*	-1.47 (-2.82, -0.12)*	-1.68 (-3.15, -0.21)*	-0.56 (-1.97, 0.85)	-1.81 (-3.45, -0.18)*	-0.42 (-1.93, 1.09)	-0.43 (-2.69, 1.84)
	Model 2	-1.40 (-2.74, -0.06)*	-1.22 (-2.59, 0.14)	-1.79 (-3.28, -0.30)*	-0.23 (-1.67, 1.21)	-1.97 (-3.64, -0.30)*	-0.02 (-1.56, 1.53)	-0.50 (-2.77, 1.78)

Abbreviations: CRS, cardiometabolic risk score; WC, waist circumference; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride; PA, physical activity, ST, screen time. CRS was calculated as the sum of z-scores of WC + insulin + glucose + TG - HDL cholesterol + mean of SBP and DBP.

Values are unstandardized regression coefficients (B) with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) from linear regression analyses providing estimates of meeting the guidelines (not meeting as a reference group) associated with change in the cardiometabolic risk factors (CRS, WC [cm], insulin [mU/l], glucose [mmol/l], TG [mmol/l], HDL [mmol/l], SBP [mmHg], DBP [mmHg]). The Model 1 was unadjusted and Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, parental education, and research group. * p-value < 0.05; ** p-value < 0.01; *** p-value < 0.001. In Model 1 N for CRS was 428, for WC 448, for insulin 429, for glucose, TG, and HDL cholesterol 439, and for SBP and DBP 447. In Model 2, N for CRS was 427, for WC 447, for insulin 428, for glucose, TG, and HDL cholesterol 438, and for SBP and DBP 446.

Table 3. Associations between the number of guidelines met and cardiometabolic risk factors.

| Cardiometabolic risk factors at baseline | Number of guidelines met at baseline | | |
	2 vs 0 or 1 B (95% CI)	3 vs 0 or 1 B (95% CI)	3 vs 2 B (95% CI)
CRS	-0.54 (-1.76, 0.69)	-1.76 (-2.95, -0.57)**	-1.23 (-1.92, -0.53)**
WC	-1.24 (-3.19, 0.70)	-3.87 (-5.76, -1.99)***	-2.63 (-3.74, -1.52)***
Insulin	-0.60 (-1.44, 0.24)	-1.32 (-2.13, -0.50)**	-0.72 (-1.19, -0.24)**
Glucose	-0.10 (-0.23, 0.02)	-0.09 (-0.22, 0.03)	0.01 (-0.06, 0.09)
TG	-0.06 (-0.15, 0.03)	-0.08 (-0.17, -0.00)*	-0.03 (-0.07, 0.03)
HDL cholesterol	0.02 (-0.09, 0.13)	0.09 (-0.02, 0.19)	0.07 (0.00, 0.13)*
SBP	-0.56 (-3.09, 1.96)	-1.46 (-3.91, 0.99)	-0.90 (-2.35, 0.55)
DBP	0.83 (-1.66, 3.31)	-0.74 (-3.14, 1.67)	-1.56 (-2.99, -0.14)*

| Cardiometabolic risk factors at 2-year follow-up | Number of guidelines met at baseline | | |
	2 vs 0 or 1 B (95% CI)	3 vs 0 or 1 B (95% CI)	3 vs 2 B (95% CI)
CRS	-0.82 (-2.12, 0.49)	-1.72 (-2.99, -0.46)**	-0.91 (-1.67, -0.15)*
WC	-1.91 (-4.45, 0.64)	-4.36 (-6.83, -1.89)**	-2.45 (-3.94, -0.97)**
Insulin	-0.90 (-2.18, 0.38)	-1.54 (-2.78, -0.30)*	-0.64 (-1.38, 0.10)
Glucose	0.01 (-0.13, 0.14)	-0.04 (-0.18, 0.09)	-0.05 (-0.13, 0.03)
TG	-0.08 (-0.19, 0.02)	-0.08 (-0.18, 0.02)	0.00 (-0.06, 0.06)
HDL cholesterol	-0.00 (-0.12, 0.12)	0.04 (-0.08, 0.15)	0.04 (-0.04, 0.11)
SBP	1.01 (-1.78, 3.80)	0.77 (-1.95, 3.48)	-0.25 (-1.87, 1.38)
DBP	1.32 (-1.59, 4.24)	0.08 (-2.75, 2.92)	-1.24 (-2.94, 0.46)

Abbreviations: CRS, cardiometabolic risk score; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride; WC, waist circumference. CRS was calculated as the sum of z-scores of WC + insulin + glucose + TG - HDL cholesterol + mean of SBP and DBP.

Values are unstandardized regression coefficients (B) with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) from linear regression analyses providing estimates of the number of meeting the guidelines (lower number as a reference group) associated with change in the cardiometabolic risk factors (CRS, WC [cm], insulin [mU/l], glucose [mmol/l], TG

[mmol/l], HDL [mmol/l], SBP [mmHg], DBP [mmHg]). All models were adjusted for age, sex, parental education, and study group at baseline. * p-value < 0.05; ** p-value < 0.01; *** p-value < 0.001.

Table 4. Prospective associations between meeting guidelines at baseline and cardiometabolic risk factors at 2-year follow-up.

| Cardiometabolic risk factors		Meeting guidelines						
		All guidelines	PA and ST	PA and sleep	ST and sleep	PA	ST	Sleep
		B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)	B (95% CI)
CRS	Model 1	-1.19 (-1.90, -0.48)**	-1.29 (-2.01, -0.57)***	-1.33 (-2.12, -0.54)**	-0.58 (-1.34, 0.17)	-1.63 (-2.51, -0.75)***	-0.53 (-1.34, 0.28)	-0.57 (-1.77, 0.63)
	Model 2	-1.07 (-1.78, -0.36)**	-1.14 (-1.86, -0.41)**	-1.29 (-2.08, -0.50)**	-0.53 (-1.29, 0.24)	-1.59 (-2.48, -0.70)**	-0.39 (-1.21, 0.43)	-0.62 (-1.82, 0.58)
WC	Model 1	-3.36 (-4.79, -1.93)***	-3.46 (-4.91, -2.02)***	-3.36 (-4.95, -1.77)***	-1.83 (-3.36, -0.30)**	-4.27 (-6.03, -2.52)***	-1.64 (-3.29, 0.01)	-1.16 (-3.63, 1.30)
	Model 2	-2.83 (-4.23, -1.43)***	-2.99 (-4.41, -1.57)***	-3.65 (-5.18, -2.11)***	-1.04 (-2.55, 0.47)	-4.86 (-6.57, -3.16)***	-0.68 (-2.31, 0.95)	-1.13 (-3.52, 1.26)
Insulin	Model 1	-0.89 (-1.60, -0.17)*	-1.20 (-1.92, -0.49)**	-1.02 (-1.82, -0.23)*	-0.35 (-1.10, 0.41)	-1.59 (-2.47, -0.71)***	-0.53 (-1.34, 0.27)	0.06 (-1.14, 1.26)
	Model 2	-0.82 (-1.52, -0.12)*	-1.07 (-1.77, -0.36)**	-0.72 (-1.50, 0.06)	-0.51 (-1.26, 0.23)	-1.19 (-2.07, -0.31)**	-0.65 (-1.45, 0.14)	0.05 (-1.13, 1.22)
Glucose	Model 1	-0.05 (-0.12, 0.03)	-0.04 (-0.11, 0.04)	-0.04 (-0.12, 0.05)	-0.04 (-0.12, 0.04)	-0.01 (-0.10, 0.08)	-0.02 (-0.11, 0.06)	-0.05 (-0.18, 0.07)
	Model 2	-0.05 (-0.12, 0.03)	-0.04 (-0.11, 0.04)	-0.05 (-0.13, 0.04)	-0.03 (-0.11, 0.05)	-0.03 (-0.13, 0.06)	-0.01 (-0.09, 0.08)	-0.04 (-0.17, 0.09)
TG	Model 1	-0.02 (-0.08, 0.04)	-0.02 (-0.08, 0.03)	-0.05 (-0.11, 0.01)	-0.00 (-0.06, 0.06)	-0.05 (-0.13, 0.02)	0.01 (-0.06, 0.07)	-0.04 (-0.13, 0.06)
	Model 2	-0.01 (-0.07, 0.04)	-0.02 (-0.08, 0.04)	-0.05 (-0.11, 0.02)	-0.00 (-0.06, 0.06)	-0.05 (-0.12, 0.03)	0.01 (-0.06, 0.07)	-0.05 (-0.14, 0.05)
HDLc	Model 1	0.04 (-0.03, 0.10)	0.05 (-0.02, 0.12)	0.03 (-0.05, 0.10)	0.02 (-0.05, 0.08)	0.07 (-0.02, 0.15)	0.02 (-0.05, 0.10)	-0.04 (-0.15, 0.07)
	Model 2	0.04 (-0.03, 0.10)	0.05 (-0.02, 0.12)	0.01 (-0.06, 0.09)	0.02 (-0.05, 0.09)	0.05 (-0.04, 0.13)	0.03 (-0.05, 0.11)	-0.04 (-0.15, 0.07)
SBP	Model 1	-0.34 (-1.86, 1.19)	-0.21 (-1.75, 1.33)	-0.07 (-1.76, 1.61)	-0.29 (-1.89, 1.32)	0.46 (-1.42, 2.33)	-0.26 (-1.98, 1.46)	-1.00 (-3.57, 1.56)
	Model 2	-0.05 (-1.58, 1.48)	0.25 (-1.30, 1.81)	0.11 (-1.58, 1.80)	-0.15 (-1.78, 1.47)	0.91 (-0.99, 2.82)	0.10 (-1.64, 1.85)	-1.42 (-3.98, 1.15)
DBP	Model 1	-0.98 (-2.55, 0.59)	-0.97 (-2.56, 0.62)	-1.48 (-3.21, 0.26)	0.48 (-1.18, 2.13)	-1.74 (-3.67, 0.18)	0.56 (-1.21, 2.34)	-0.77 (-3.41, 1.87)
	Model 2	-0.98 (-2.58, 0.62)	-1.01 (-2.63, 0.62)	-1.58 (-3.34, 0.19)	0.58 (-1.12, 2.28)	-1.97 (-3.95, 0.02)	0.66 (-1.17, 2.48)	-0.71 (-3.40, 1.98)

Abbreviations: CRS, cardiometabolic risk score; WC, waist circumference; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TG, triglyceride; PA, physical activity, ST, screen time. CRS was calculated as the sum of z-scores of WC + insulin + glucose + TG - HDL cholesterol + mean of SBP and DBP.

Values are unstandardized regression coefficients (B) with their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) from linear regression analyses providing estimates of meeting the guidelines (not meeting as a reference group) associated with change in the cardiometabolic risk factors (CRS, WC [cm], insulin [mU/l], glucose [mmol/l], TG [mmol/l], HDL [mmol/l], SBP [mmHg], DBP [mmHg]). The Model 1 was unadjusted and Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, parental education, and research group. * p-value < 0.05; ** p-value < 0.01; *** p-value < 0.001. In Model 1 N for CRS was 369, for WC 389, for insulin 370, for glucose, TG, and HDL cholesterol 376, and for SBP and DBP 389. In Model 2, N for CRS was 368, for WC 388, for insulin 369, for glucose, TG, and HDL cholesterol 375, and for SBP and DBP 388.

Figure 1. Proportion of children adhering to the 24-h movement guidelines at baseline (N=448)

Figure 2. Proportion of children adhering to the 24-h movement guidelines at 2-year follow-up (N=365)