

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Rytkönen, Heidi Maria

Title: Event plane determination with the new ALICE FIT detector

Year: 2021

Version: Published version

Copyright: © Copyright owned by the author(s)

Rights: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Rights url: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Please cite the original version:

Rytkönen, H. M. (2021). Event plane determination with the new ALICE FIT detector. In ICHEP2020 : 40th International Conference on High Energy physics (Article 814). Sissa Medialab. POS Proceedings of Science, 390. <https://doi.org/10.22323/1.390.0814>

Event plane determination with the new ALICE FIT detector

Heidi Rytönen^a on behalf of the ALICE collaboration

^a*Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä,
PO Box 35, FI-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland*

E-mail: heidi.rytkonen@cern.ch

During the on-going second long shutdown of LHC, the forward detectors of the ALICE experiment are implementing an extensive upgrade. In particular, a new Fast Interaction Trigger (FIT) has been designed and built. It consists of three sub-detector systems delivering a broad range of online functionalities, and an essential input for event characterization and physics analysis. For instance, FIT will deliver the precise collision time for the TOF-based particle identification, provide the centrality and the event plane information, and measure the cross section of diffractive processes. This note will discuss usage of FIT in the event plane determination during Run 3. A simulated event plane resolution at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV is also presented.

*40th International Conference on High Energy physics - ICHEP2020
July 28 - August 6, 2020
Prague, Czech Republic (virtual meeting)*

1. Introduction

Quark-gluon plasma (QGP), a state of deconfined and strongly interacting matter existing at high temperatures and densities, is the main subject of study in ultra-relativistic heavy-ion collisions. ALICE is the only dedicated heavy-ion experiment at the LHC. During the on-going second long shutdown of the CERN accelerator system, ALICE is upgrading several of its detectors and installing three new ones [1].

One of the new detectors is the Fast Interaction Trigger (FIT) [2], shown in Figure 1. FIT consists of two Cherenkov arrays called FT0 on both sides (A- and C-side) of the interaction point, as well as two scintillation detectors at high pseudorapidities that make up the Forward Diffractive Detector (FDD). FT0-A is attached to FV0, a large scintillation disk. The two other additions to ALICE are the new Inner Tracking System (ITS) and the Muon Forward Tracker (MFT).

FIT has several functionalities [2] such as luminosity monitoring for both ALICE and LHC, trigger generator, and the collision time measurement needed for the particle identification. Also, FIT subsystems are used for centrality and event plane determination. Presently we are studying the performance of the upgraded forward detectors.

2. Event plane determination

The reaction plane, Ψ_{RP} , of a heavy-ion collision is defined by the beam axis and impact parameter vector connecting the centers of the colliding nuclei. Since the impact parameter cannot be measured in real experiments, one has to estimate Ψ_{RP} from observed final state particles. The azimuthal distribution of the final state particles can be written

$$\frac{dN}{d\phi} \propto 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n \cos(n(\phi - \Psi_n)), \quad (1)$$

where v_n 's are the flow Fourier coefficients (flow harmonics) and Ψ_n 's are the so-called event plane angles [3]. As the second flow harmonic v_2 is the most directly related to the geometry of the heavy-ion collision and enhances the particle emission in the in-plane direction, we use the second-order event plane as an estimate for the reaction plane.

The event plane angles Ψ_n are determined, event-by-event, using a Q-vector [3]. The Q-vector components are calculated by summing over each particle in the event in the following way:

$$\vec{Q}_n = \left(\sum_i \cos(n\phi_i), \sum_i \sin(n\phi_i) \right). \quad (2)$$

Here ϕ_i is the azimuthal angle of the particle, and n refers to the flow harmonic. Using the components of \vec{Q}_2 , the second order event plane is then

$$\Psi_2 = \arctan \left(\frac{Q_{2,x}}{Q_{2,y}} \right) / 2. \quad (3)$$




Figure 1: The active parts of the three FIT subsystems.

Due to the finite multiplicity of the collision, detector effects, and secondary particles that might obscure the flow signal, the event plane differs from the real reaction plane. This difference is quantified by the event plane resolution

$$R_2 = \langle \cos(2(\Psi_2 - \Psi_{RP})) \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where the mean $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$ is calculated over all the events. As the true reaction plane Ψ_{RP} is unknown, the resolution is estimated using various methods involving correlations between the event planes obtained with different detectors [3].

3. Measuring event plane with FIT

The event plane resolution of various FIT subsystems has been estimated previously at 2.76 TeV via simulations, see Figure 2. At the moment the event plane resolution is studied at 5.5 TeV that is the energy for Pb-Pb collisions during Run 3. The initial collision is simulated using AMPT (A Multi-Phase Transport Model) generator [4] that is capable of producing the needed flow signal [5], and the particle transport through the simulated ALICE detector setup is done using GEANT.

In these simulations, we aim to understand, for example, the effect of the secondaries on the resolution in the new upgraded detector setup. Also, the event plane needs several corrections [3] once the real data is measured.

4. Summary

The expected performance of the FIT detector matches all ALICE requirements for the LHC Run 3 and 4. Currently, in preparation for the physics analysis of the first collision data, we are conducting detailed simulation studies for the event plane determination. They are needed for the error analysis and the calibration.

References

- [1] W. H. Trzaska, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 958(2020) 162116.
- [2] W. H. Trzaska, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 845:463–466 (2017).
- [3] A. M. Poskanzer, and S.A. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C, 58:1671-1678 (1998).
- [4] Z. W. Lin, C. M. Ko, B. A. Li, B. Zhang, and S. Pal, Phys. Rev. C 72 (2005) 064901.
- [5] S.Acharya *et al.* [ALICE], Phys. Rev. C 97 (2018) no.2, 024906.




Figure 2: Simulation of the event plane resolution at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. The old names T0C+, T0A+, and V0A+ correspond to FT0-C, FT0-A, and FV0 respectively. The old event plane detectors of Run 1 and 2 (V0A and V0C) are included for comparison.