

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Finell, Eerika; Tolvanen, Asko; Pekkanen, Juha; Ståhl, Timo; Luopa, Pauliina

Title: Dampness and student-reported social climate : two multilevel mediation models

Year: 2021

Version: Published version

Copyright: © 2021 the Authors

Rights: _{CC BY 4.0}

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Please cite the original version:

Finell, E., Tolvanen, A., Pekkanen, J., Ståhl, T., & Luopa, P. (2021). Dampness and studentreported social climate : two multilevel mediation models. Environmental Health, 20, Article 30. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-021-00710-5

RESEARCH

Environmental Health

Open Access

Dampness and student-reported social climate: two multilevel mediation models



Eerika Finell^{1*}, Asko Tolvanen², Juha Pekkanen^{3,4}, Timo Ståhl⁵ and Pauliina Luopa⁶

Abstract

Background: Little previous research has analysed the relationship between schools' indoor air problems and schools' social climate. In this study, we analysed a) whether observed mould and dampness in a school building relates to students' perceptions of school climate (i.e. teacher-student relationships and class spirit) and b) whether reported subjective indoor air quality (IAQ) at the school level mediates this relationship.

Methods: The data analysed was created by merging two nationwide data sets: survey data from students, including information on subjective IAQ (N = 25,101 students), and data from schools, including information on mould and dampness in school buildings (N = 222). The data was analysed using multilevel mediational models.

Results: After the background variables were adjusted, schools' observed mould and dampness was not significantly related to neither student-perceived teacher-student relationships nor class spirit. However, our mediational models showed that there were significant indirect effects from schools' observed mould and dampness to outcome variables via school-level subjective IAQ: a) in schools with mould and dampness, students reported significantly poorer subjective IAQ (standardised $\beta = 0.34$, p < 0.001) than in schools without; b) the worse the subjective IAQ at school level, the worse the student-reported teacher-student relationships ($\beta = 0.31$, p = 0.001) and class spirit ($\beta = 0.25$, p = 0.006).

Conclusions: Problems in a school's indoor environment may impair the school's social climate to the degree that such problems decrease the school's perceived IAQ.

Keywords: Indoor air quality, Class spirit, Multilevel analysis, Teacher-student relationships, Indoor environmental problems, Mould, Dampness

Background

In many countries, children spend a large part of their day in school buildings, where indoor climate conditions can be poor [1-3]. A cumulative body of research has pointed to the importance of optimizing these conditions because they may have adverse health effects on schoolchildren [4-6]. In addition, poor indoor climate conditions may increase absentee rates and decrease academic performance [7-10].

* Correspondence: eerika.finell@tuni.fi

¹School of Social Sciences, Tampere University, 33014 Tampere, Finland Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



In addition to these harms, poor indoor climate conditions may also influence social relationships in schools. Literature from various fields supports this supposition. Slow-moving environmental disasters often erode community cohesion, leading to conflict and social alienation [11–13]. The school facility literature shows that students report social disorder and teachers are less motivated to teach when schools' physical environments are perceived to be dilapidated or in need of repair [14, 15]. Indoor air problems in workplaces are often accompanied by social conflicts and experiences of injustice [16, 17]. However, only little is known about whether and how poor indoor climate is related to students' perception of the *social climate* in schools, although the need

© The Author(s). 2021 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

to study this effects is already acknowledged in the field [18]. Social climate here refers to the interpersonal relationships and teaching practices present in a specific school [19, 20]. To the best of our knowledge, only one study published in English to date has analysed this; it showed that student-perceived teacher-student relationships were worse in schools with indoor air problems than in schools without [21].

The present study

This paper focuses on students' perceptions of the quality of teacher-student relationships and class spirit (i.e. the classroom's emotional climate), which are important components of student-perceived social climate [19, 22]. These components greatly influence students' psychosocial well-being, behaviour and academic performance [23–25].

Our study has two aims. First, it analyses whether a school's observed mould and dampness is related to student-perceived teacher-student relationships and class spirit, using a large representative sample of students in Finland. Moisture damage in schools is relatively common in many countries [26], and it may have adverse health effects [5]. Second, the article analyses whether this relationship is mediated by students' overall perception of their school's indoor air quality (IAQ) (i.e. school-level subjective IAQ). That is, we suppose that moisture damage per se does not necessarily affect social climate. The important mediator is the effect of the school's IAQ as perceived by its users. Previous research has shown that schools' indoor air problems are related to subjective IAQ [27–29], and that subjective IAQ is

related to student-perceived teacher-student relationships [30]. However, no previous studies have tested whether school-level subjective IAQ mediates the relationship between a school's indoor air problems and student-perceived social climate. Our conceptual model is visualised in Fig. 1.

Methods

Data and participants

The *student-level* data was obtained through the School Health Promotion Study (SHP), a nationwide classroom survey. The SHP has monitored the health and wellbeing of Finnish adolescents since 1996, and it is conducted by the Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL). Our data collection was approved by the THL's ethical committee (THL/1704/6.02.0 1/2016).

We focused on students in the eighth and ninth grades (14–16 years old). The adolescents were informed of the aim and content of the survey, and they had the opportunity to decline to take part. Their parents and guardians were also informed. Written consent was not necessary, since the survey was conducted anonymously. The data was collected in 2017 during school lessons. In total, 84% (N = 730) of Finland's lower-secondary schools participated.

The *school-level* data was obtained from the Benchmarking System of Health Promotion Capacity-Building's (BSHPCB) data collection from comprehensive schools. This data too was collected in 2017. The BSHPCB is a nationwide benchmarking tool for local governments and schools to manage, plan and evaluate their own health promotion activities and resources in basic education. The



data collection form is completed by the school's principal together with a student welfare team. The BSHPCB is run by the THL and the data collection in basic education is done in collaboration with Finnish National Agency for Education. In total, 91% of Finland's lower-secondary schools participated.

We included schools in our analyses using two variables from the BSHPCB. The first variable measured when the most recent inspection of the health and safety of the school environment and the well-being of the school community had been carried out. This inspection is required by Healthcare Act 1326/2010, which states that all schools in Finland should be checked every 3 years. The triennial official inspection is conducted in cooperation with the school health service, representatives of the school (e.g. the principal), representatives from the health authority, occupational healthcare, occupational health and safety, and the authorities responsible for the construction and maintenance of school buildings [31]. The inspection that focuses on buildingrelated factors is reported in detail elsewhere [21]. For our analyses, we selected only schools where the inspection had been carried out in 2016 or 2017.

The second variable measured whether mould and dampness had been observed in the school (see section A building-related predictor). We included in our analyses the schools where a) mould and dampness had been identified during the check and the problems had not been remediated, and b) no mould and dampness had been identified during the check. We excluded from our analyses schools with fewer than 10 students (N = 51), students that needed special education (N = 89) and students who did not report their age or reported that their age was less than 14 (N = 340).

The final data set consisted of 25,101 students from 222 schools where both the inspection of the health and safety of the school environment and SHP were conducted.

Measures

Outcome variables

The perceived quality of teacher-student relationships was measured by three items: 'teachers encourage me to express my opinion in class'; 'teachers are interested in how I am doing'; 'teachers treat us (students) fairly'. The response scale was 1 = fully agree, 2 = agree, 3 = disagree, 4 = fully disagree. A mean rating of the items was calculated. Only if the respondent had answered all three items was the score calculated. These items have also been used in many previous studies as indicators of teacher-student relationships [30, 32]. The reliability was reasonable (Cronbach's alpha = 0.75). The data source was the SHP. *Class spirit* was measured by three items: 'it's peaceful to work in my class'; 'the atmosphere in our class is such that I dare to express my opinion freely'; 'the pupils in my class get along well'. The response scale was the same as above, and the mean rating was calculated similarly. These items have also been used in many previous studies as indicators of class spirit [21, 27]. The reliability was reasonable (Cronbach's alpha = 0.68). The data source was the SHP.

Mediator

Our mediator was *the subjective assessment of IAQ (subjective IAQ)*. It was measured by two items: 'have any of the following things bothered you at your school during **this school year**? a) 'Stuffy air (bad indoor air)'; b) 'unpleasant odour'. These items were measured on a three-point scale (1 = not at all, 2 =some, 3 =a lot). A mean rating of the items was calculated. If the respondent had not answered both items, the score was not calculated. Cronbach's alpha was 0.71. The data source was the SHP.

A building-related predictor

Observed mould and dampness was measured by one item: 'were the following issues evaluated in the most recent inspection of the health and safety of the school environment: problems with mould and dampness?' The response options were: 1) no data available; 2) not included in the inspection; 3) inspected, no deficiencies detected; 4) inspected, deficiencies detected but not yet corrected; 5) inspected, deficiencies detected and corrected. In this study, we included in the analyses only on the third and fourth options, and they were recoded as follows: 0 = inspected, no deficiencies detected; 1 =inspected, deficiencies detected but not yet corrected. The data source was the BSHPCB.

Background variables

Gender and age were used as student-level background variables only. Fathers' level of education and studentperceived teacher-student relationships were used as both student-level and school-level background variables. Fathers' level of education was used as an indicator of students' socio-economic status. The response options on fathers' education were: 1 = comprehensive school or equivalent (i.e. primary level), 2 = upper-secondary school, high school or vocational education institution (i.e. secondary level), 3 = occupational studies in addition to upper-secondary school, high school or vocational education institution (i.e. secondary level and occupational studies), 4 = university, university of applied sciences or other higher-education institution (i.e. tertiary level). All these background variables were reported by the SHP. The school size (i.e. number of students)

reported by the BSHPCB was used as a school-level background variable only.

Calculation

The mediation analyses were conducted by analysing two two-level linear regression path models [33]: one where the outcome measure was student-reported teacher-student relationships, and one where the outcome measure was class spirit. Multilevel analysis is required when the data is hierarchical [33]. We built the models and then analysed them using Mplus statistical software 8.0 [34]. We used full information maximum likelihood estimation (FIML) with robust standard errors (the MLR estimator in Mplus) as an estimation method. MLR is robust to moderate violations of assumptions such as non-normality [35].

We used a latent factor approach first introduced by Jöreskog [36]. In order to estimate the student-level and school-level variance in each variable in the model, their total variance was decomposed into two latent uncorrelated components by Mplus. The first latent component (i.e. student level) represented the degree students' answers deviated from their school mean (e.g. the cluster mean of reported symptoms). The second latent component (i.e. school level) represented the degree the school mean deviated from the grand mean [34, 37].

We started by analysing the intraclass correlations (ICC) by using a null model. In a null model only the outcome variable without any predictors is inserted in the model. It is used to estimate the variance between student and school levels and the ICC [33]. The ICC reports the proportion of the variance belonging to the school level [33]. Then we analysed design effects (DEFF) of each variable. The DEFF reports how much larger a variable's sampling variance is from the mean than would be the case if the sample had been drawn from a simple random population [38]. When a DEFF is greater than 1.1 and the researcher is interested in estimating the effects of group-level predictors, multilevel modelling is needed [39]. The DEFF can be estimated as a function of the ICC and average cluster size [38].

Then, we estimated the *total*, *direct* and *indirect* effects of our two mediational models. The *total effect* refers to the relationship between the predictor (i.e. observed mould and dampness) and the outcome variable (i.e. teacher-student relationships or class spirit) when the mediator (i.e. subjective IAQ) is not controlled. In Fig. 2, the total effect is represented by path *C*. A significant total effect is not required when testing mediational models [40]. The *direct effect* refers to the relationship between the predictor and the outcome variables when the mediator is controlled. In Fig. 3, the direct effect is represented by path *c*'. The *indirect effect* is the product





of path *a* multiplied by path *b* (see Fig. 3). In our model, the independent variable and the mediator were at school level, and the outcome variable was at student level – a so-called 2–2-1 design [41]. If one variable is a school-level variable, the *indirect effect* exists at the school level [42]. The analyses were conducted according to a syntax based on articles by Preacher, Zyphur and Zhang [42] and Preacher, Zhang and Zyphur [43]. The syntax is available online at http://quantpsy.org/medn.htm.

We report both unadjusted and adjusted models. In the adjusted model, we used fathers' education, age and gender as both student-level and school-level variables. School size and observed mould and dampness were included only at the school level. All continuous predictors and background variables were centred by their grand means.

Finally, we counted the Monte Carlo confidence intervals to assess the significance of the indirect effects. These intervals accurately reflect the asymmetric nature of the sampling distribution of an indirect effect [43]. This type of analysis has been shown to be superior to the Sobel test [44]. For helping interpretation, we present the between-level standardised coefficients of direct and total effects. To report the effect size of indirect effects, we partially standardised their regression coefficients by dividing the indirect estimates by the between-level variance of the outcome variable [45]. \mathbb{R}^2 was used as an indicator of explained variance. Mplus provides separate R^2 for the student and school levels [46].

Missing values

The number of missing values varied between the variables. Age, subjective IAQ and observed mould and dampness had the lowest percentages of missing values (0%), and socio-economic status had the highest (12%). Values were assumed to be missing at random [47]. In such cases FIML is a recommended method for handling missing data, because it uses all available data for estimation and produces unbiased parameter estimators [47].

Results

The descriptives of all variables are reported in Table 1. About 30% of the students (N = 7398) studied in schools with observed mould and dampness.

First, the null models were analysed [33]. The within and between variance, ICC and DEFF of outcome variables and subjective IAQ are reported in Table 2. Although the outcome variables' variance between schools was only 3–4% of the total variance, their DEFF was strong. For example, the DEFF of 4.6 indicates that the sampling variance of the mean was almost five times larger than if the student sample had been drawn from a simple random population (see Table 2). The pairwise correlations of the main variables are reported in Table 3. Observed mould and dampness was not correlated with the outcome variables.

Table 4 reports the total, direct and indirect effects of the unadjusted and adjusted mediational models between *observed mould and dampness* and *teacher-student relationships*. The total and direct effects between

Table 2 Variance within and between schools, intraclass correlation and design effect of outcome variables and subjective IAQ (N = 24,511-24,786 students, 222 schools)

	σ^2_W	σ^{2}_{B}	ICC	DEFF
Student-teacher relationships	0.357	0.014	0.038	5.16
Class spirit	0.343	0.012	0.033	4.63
Subjective IAQ	0.347	0.045	0.116	13.84

observed mould and dampness and the outcome measure were not significant. Nevertheless, there was a significant indirect path via school-level subjective IAQ: a) in schools with observed mould and dampness, students reported significantly worse subjective IAQ than in schools without such problems (in the adjusted model, the effect size was 0.8, indicating a large effect [48]; b) the worse the subjective IAQ at the school level, the worse the student-perceived teacher-student relationships. The school-level subjective IAQ fully mediated the effect between observed mould and dampness and student-reported teacher-student relationships. The partially standardised indirect effect in the adjusted model was 0.25, which indicates a small effect [48].

Table 4 also reports the total, direct and indirect effects of the unadjusted and adjusted mediational models between *observed mould and dampness* and *class spirit*. The total and direct effects between observed mould and dampness and the outcome measure were not significant. Nevertheless, there was a significant indirect path via school-level subjective IAQ: a) in schools with observed mould and dampness, students reported significantly worse subjective IAQ than in schools without such problems; b) the worse the subjective IAQ at the school level, the worse the class spirit. The school-level subjective IAQ fully mediated the effect between

Table 1	Descriptives of	background	variables,	predictors and	outcome	variables	from t	he raw o	data	by inc	loor environment (context
---------	-----------------	------------	------------	----------------	---------	-----------	--------	----------	------	--------	--------------------	---------

	Schools without dampness and mould $(N = 162)$			Schools with da (N = 60)	X ² /F-Test	P-value			
	Mean (SD) / %	Minmax.	N	Mean (SD) / %	Minmax.	Ν			
Subjective IAQ	1.83 (0.62)	1–3	17,474	1.95 (0.62)	1–3	7312	207.02 ^a	< 0.001	
Teacher-student relationships	2.27 (0.61)	1–4	17,276	2.28 (0.61)	1–4	7235	0,61ª	0,435	
School spirit	2.06 (0.60)	1–4	17,360	2.05 (0.58)	1–4	7280	1,66ª	0,198	
Gender (female %)	51		8955	52		3876	6.55	0.010	
Age (years)	14.86 (0.72)	14–18	17,703	14.84 (0.72)	14–18	7398	5.31 ^a	0.021	
Father's education									
Primary level	9		1402	9		610	3.95	0.267	
Secondary level	34		5203	32		2127			
Secondary level and additional education	22		3442	22		1445			
Tertiary level	35		5432	36		2375			
School size (students per school)	398.41 (222.15)	62-1032	162	425.37 (206.78)	28-1013	60	0.67 ^a	0.414	
aF-test									

Table 3 Correlation coefficients between main variables at student and school levels, estimated using full information maximum likelihood estimation with robust standard errors (N = 24,958-25,101 students, 222 schools)

	Student level		School level					
	Teacher-student relationships	School spirit	Observed mould and dampness	Teacher-student relationships	School spirit			
Observed mould and dampness			1					
Teacher-student relationships	1		0.01	1				
School spirit	0.29***	1	-0.03	0.47***	1			
Subjective IAQ	0.29***	0.15***	0.36***	0.27**	0.22**			

p* < 0.05, *p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001

observed mould and dampness and class spirit. The partially standardised indirect effect in the adjusted model was 0.19, which indicates a small effect [48].

Discussion

We found that observed mould and dampness was not directly related to student-perceived teacher-student relationships or class spirit at the school level. Instead, the indirect effects via subjective IAQ were significant: a) observed mould and dampness in school buildings was related to students' overall evaluation of their school's IAQ so that in schools with mould and dampness they reported worse IAQ; b) school-level subjective IAQ was related to social climate variables so that the worse the school-level subjective IAQ, the worse the student-reported teacher-student relationships and class spirit. Although these indirect effects were small, our findings are important: a school's social climate is essential to students' academic performance and psychosocial well-being [19, 22, 49]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to learn how schools' indoor air problems also affect factors other than health and academic performance, which have mostly been studied in earlier studies.

Table 4 Unstandardised and standardised betas of the total, direct and indirect effects of the mediational models at the school level (N = 222)

	Unadjusted model			Adjusted model			
	Beta ^a	Stand. beta	95% Cl	Beta ^a	Stand. beta	95% Cl	
Teacher-student relationships							
Total effect	0.003	0.011	-0.039-0.044	0.00	0.00	-0.047-0.046	
Direct effects							
Observed mould and dampness \rightarrow Poor teacher-student relationships	-0.026	-0.098	- 0.069-0.017	-0.029	- 0.108	-0.075-0.017	
Observed mould and dampness \rightarrow Poor subjective IAQ	0.173***	0.361	0.114-0.233	0.165***	0.343	0.096-0.234	
Poor subjective IAQ \rightarrow Poor teacher-student relationships	0.166**	0.297	0.070-0.262	0.175**	0.312	0.075-0.274	
Indirect effect	0.029**	0.245 ^b	0.011-0.050 ^c	0.029**	0.245 ^b	0.011-0.053 ^c	
R ²	0.077			0.161			
Class spirit							
Total effect	-0.007	-0.028	-0.042-0.028	-0.005	-0.020	- 0.042-0.033	
Direct effects							
Observed mould and dampness \rightarrow Poor class spirit	-0.030	-0.126	- 0.069-0.008	-0.026	- 0.105	-0.066-0.014	
Observed mould and dampness \rightarrow Poor subjective IAQ	0.172***	0.359	0.113-0.232	0.165***	0.344	0.097-0.234	
Poor subjective IAQ \rightarrow Poor class spirit	0.136**	0.268	0.045-0.226	0.128**	0.251	0.037-0.219	
Indirect effect	0.023**	0.210 ^b	0.008-0.041 ^c	0.021**	0.192 ^b	0.006–0.038 ^c	
R ²	0.064			0.159			

^aUnstandardised beta. ^bPartially standardised beta. ^cMonte Carlo confidence intervals. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

To the best of our knowledge, only one previous study has analysed how schools' indoor air problems affect their social climate [21]. This earlier study analysed a large body of school and student data from Finland that had been collected 4 years earlier than the data used in the present study. It showed a direct relationship between schools' indoor air problems and studentperceived teacher-student relationships, whereas present results show a more complex relationship. In addition, only students with high average grades reported worse class spirit in schools with indoor air problems in the previous study. Although the outcome variables were the same in these two studies, building-related information was measured differently. In the former study it was measured by a more general item (i.e. biological agents - indoor air, mould etc.), whereas in the present study we used a more specific item focusing only on mould and dampness.

Our study suggests that building-related problems affect perceived social climate only if they decrease the subjective IAQ of the people that spend time in the building. The important task for future research is to better understand why poor subjective IAQ has this role. There are potentially multiple reasons. Poor subjective IAQ may act as a marker of severity of moisture and mould problems to building users. Previous school facility research has shown that when there are perceived problems in a school building, teachers feel less motivated to teach [14] and principals report that their ability to deliver instruction is disturbed [50]. These factors may relate to student-perceived school climate. Poor subjective IAQ may also reflect building-related problems [51] that may affect the health and sick absentee rates of both students and teachers [5, 6] and these factors may influence their social interaction too. Finally, poor subjective IAQ is often accompanied by evaluation and - if building-related problems are found - remediation processes that create noise and may require people to move to one or more temporary buildings. All these unusual conditions can produce stress for both teachers and students.

From the practical perspective, our findings point to the importance of preventing the potential social consequences of indoor air problems in schools. In addition to investigating and properly remediating school buildings, the authorities should pay attention to the psychosocial well-being of the organisation and map the need for social support. For trust-building, open, regular and factual information-sharing is essential [52], as well as developing clear and fair management and decisionmaking procedures.

Our study has both strengths and limitations. The strength of our study is the large and representative sample. Data sets that include building-level and student-level information from more than 200 schools are rare. This has allowed us to test multilevel mediational models, which have often been neglected because of small school-level samples. The fact that both our mediator and our outcome variables were derived from the same cross-sectional student data set is a limitation of our study. However, no alternative mediational models could be built, because there was no direct relation between observed mould and dampness and social climate variables. Of course, longitudinal data is needed to confirm these findings. Another limitation is that we did not have direct physical measurements, and thus we had to rely on principals' reports of inspection results.

Conclusion

Observed mould and dampness is indirectly related to student-perceived teacher-student relationships and class spirit via school-level subjective IAQ. These findings suggest that building-related problems worsen perceived social climate only if they decrease the subjective IAQ of the people that spend time in the building. This means that complaints of poor IAQ need to be taken seriously. In addition, the psychosocial consequences of delayed remediation processes should be taken into account when their urgency is being evaluated.

Abbreviations

BSHPCB: Benchmarking System of Health Promotion Capacity-Building; DEFF: Design effect; THL: Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare; FIML: Full information maximum likelihood estimation; IAQ: Indoor air quality; ICC: Intraclass correlation; SHP: School Health Promotion Study

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

EF was responsible for conceptualization, design of the work, analysis and interpretation of data. She also drafted the manuscript and substantively revised it. AT was responsible for analysis and interpretation of data and substantively revised the manuscript. PL and TS were responsible for data acquisition and have commented the manuscript several times. JP has substantively revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The data analyses and manuscript preparation were funded by the Academy of Finland (323125).

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare with its permission. Restrictions apply to the availability of these data.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Data collection was approved by the THL's ethical committee (THL/1704/ 6.02.0 1/2016). Written consent was not necessary, since the survey was conducted anonymously.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹School of Social Sciences, Tampere University, 33014 Tampere, Finland.
²Methodology Centre for Human Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, PO Box 35, 40014 Jyväskylä, Finland.
³Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, PO Box 20, 00014 Helsinki, Finland.
⁴Environmental Health Unit, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, PO Box 95, 70701 Kuopio, Finland.
⁵Department of Welfare, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Biokatu 10, 33520 Tampere, Finland.
⁶Department of Welfare, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, PO Box 30, 00271 Helsinki, Finland.

Received: 27 May 2020 Accepted: 1 March 2021 Published online: 19 March 2021

References

- Carrer P, Bruinen de Bruin Y, Franchi M, Valovirta E. The EFA project: indoor air quality in european schools: EFA; 2002. Available from: https://www.isiaq. org/docs/papers/2D2o2.pdf.
- Csobod E, Annesi-Maesano I, Carrer P, Kephalopoulos S, Madureira J, Rudnai P, et al. Sinphonie. Schools indoor pollution and health. Observatory network in Europe. Final report. European Union; 2014.
- Egorov A. School environment: policies and current status. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2015.
- Borràs-Santos A, Jacobs JH, Täubel M, Haverinen-Shaughnessy U, Krop EJ, Huttunen K, et al. Dampness and mould in schools and respiratory symptoms in children: the HITEA study. Occup Environ Med. 2013;70:681–7.
- Fisk WJ, Chan WR, Johnson AL. Does dampness and mold in schools affect health? Results of a meta-analysis. Indoor Air. 2019;29:895–902.
- Kanchongkittiphon W, Mendell MJ, Gaffin JM, Wang G, Phipatanakul W. Indoor environmental exposures and exacerbation of asthma: an update to the 2000 review by the institute of medicine. Environ Health Perspect. 2015; 123:6–20.
- Haverinen-Shaughnessy U, Shaughnessy RJ, Cole EC, Toyinbo O, Moschandreas DJ. An assessment of indoor environmental quality in schools and its association with health and performance. Build Environ. 2015;93:35–40.
- Mendell MJ, Eliseeva EA, Davies MM, Spears M, Lobscheid A, Fisk WJ, et al. Association of classroom ventilation with reduced illness absence: a prospective study in California elementary schools. Indoor Air. 2013;23:515– 28.
- Simons E, Hwang S-A, Fitzgerald EF, Kielb C, Lin S. The impact of school building conditions on student absenteeism in upstate New York. Am J Public Health. 2010;100:1679–86.
- Toyinbo O, Shaughnessy R, Turunen M, Putus T, Metsämuuronen J, Kurnitski J, et al. Building characteristics, indoor environmental quality, and mathematics achievement in Finnish elementary schools. Build Environ. 2016;104:114–21.
- Couch SR, Coles CJ. Community stress, psychosocial hazards, and EPA decision-making in communities impacted by chronic technological disasters. Am J Public Health. 2011;101:S140–8.
- 12. Edelstein MR. Contaminated communities: coping with residential toxic exposure. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge; 2018.
- Kroll-Smith JS, Couch SR. As if exposure to toxins were not enough: the social and cultural system as a secondary stressor. Environ Health Perspect. 1991;95:61–6.
- Earthman GI, Lemasters LK. Teacher attitudes about classroom conditions. J Educ Adm. 2009;47:323–35.
- Plank SB, Bradshaw C, Young H. An application of "broken-windows" and related theories to the study of disorder, fear, and collective efficacy in schools. Am J Educ. 2009;115:227–47.
- 16. Finell E, Seppälä T. Indoor air problems and experiences of injustice in the workplace: a quantitative and a qualitative study. Indoor Air. 2018;28:125–34.
- 17. Lahtinen M, Huuhtanen P, Kähkönen E, Reijula K. Psychosocial dimensions of solving an indoor air problem. Indoor Air. 2002;12:33–46.
- Magzamen S, Mayer AP, Schaeffer JW, Reynolds SJ. Advancing a multidisciplinary research framework on school environment, occupant health, and performance. Indoor Air. 2015;25:457–61.
- Cohen J, McCabe EM, Michelli NM, Pickeral T. School climate: research, policy, practice, and teacher education. Teach Coll Rec. 2009;111:180–213.

- Zullig KJ, Koopman TM, Patton JM, Ubbes VA. School climate: historical review, instrument development, and school assessment. J Psychoeduc Assess. 2010;28:139–52.
- Finell E, Tolvanen A, Haverinen-Shaughnessy U, Laaksonen S, Karvonen S, Sund R, et al. Indoor air problems and the perceived social climate in schools: a multilevel structural equation analysis. Sci Total Environ. 2018;624: 1504–12.
- Schaps E. The role of supportive school environments in promoting academic success. In: Getting results, developing safe and healthy kids update 5: student health, supportive schools, and academic success. Sacramento: California Department of Education; 2005. p. 37–56.
- Jia Y, Way N, Ling G, Yoshikawa H, Chen X, Hughes D, et al. The influence of student perceptions of school climate on socioemotional and academic adjustment: a comparison of Chinese and American adolescents. Child Dev. 2009;80:1514–30.
- 24. McNeely C, Falci C. School connectedness and the transition into and out of health-risk behavior among adolescents: a comparison of social belonging and teacher support. J Sch Health. 2004;74:284–92.
- Wang M-T, Eccles JS. Social support matters: longitudinal effects of social support on three dimensions of school engagement from middle to high school. Child Dev. 2012;83:877–95.
- Haverinen-Shaughnessy U, Borras-Santos A, Turunen M, Zock J-P, Jacobs J, Krop EJM, et al. Occurrence of moisture problems in schools in three countries from different climatic regions of Europe based on questionnaires and building inspections - the HITEA study: occurrence of moisture problems in schools. Indoor Air. 2012;22:457–66.
- Finell E, Haverinen-Shaughnessy U, Tolvanen A, Laaksonen S, Karvonen S, Sund R, et al. The associations of indoor environment and psychosocial factors on subjective evaluation of indoor air quality among lower secondary school students - a multilevel analysis. Indoor Air. 2017;27:329–37.
- Mečiarová Ľ, Vilčeková S, Krídlová Burdová E, Kapalo P, Mihaľová N. The real and subjective indoor environmental quality in schools. Int J Environ Health Res. 2018;28:102–23.
- Wang J, Smedje G, Nordquist T, Norbäck D. Personal and demographic factors and change of subjective indoor air quality reported by school children in relation to exposure at Swedish schools: a 2-year longitudinal study. Sci Total Environ. 2015;508:288–96.
- 30. Finell E, Tolvanen A, Pekkanen J, Minkkinen J, Ståhl T, Rimpelä A. Psychosocial problems, indoor air-related symptoms, and perceived indoor air quality among students in schools without indoor air problems: a longitudinal study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15:1497.
- Hietanen-Peltola M, Korpilahti U. Terveellinen, turvallinen ja hyvinvoiva oppilaitos. Opas ympäristön ja yhteisön monialaiseen tarkastamiseen [A healthy, safe and well-being school. A guide to the multidisciplinary inspection of the environment and the community]. Helsinki: THL; 2015.
- Karvonen S, Vikat A, Rimpelä M. The role of school context in the increase in young people's health complaints in Finland. J Adolesc. 2005;28:1–16.
- Hox JJ. Multilevel analysis. Techniques and applications. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge; 2010.
- Muthén LK, Muthén BO. Mplus User's Guide. 7th ed. Los Angeles: Muthén & Muthén; 1998.
- Savalei V. Small sample statistics for incomplete nonnormal data: extensions of complete data formulae and a Monte Carlo comparison. Struct Equ Model Multidiscip J. 2010;17:241–64.
- Jöreskog KG. A general approach to confirmatory maximum likelihood factor analysis. Psychometrika. 1969;34:183–202.
- Asparouhov T, Muthén BO. Constructing covariates in multilevel regression. Mplus. Web Notes, 11. www.statmodel.com; 2006.
- Muthen BO, Satorra A. Complex sample data in structural equation modeling. Sociol Methodol. 1995;25:267.
- Lai MHC, Kwok O. Examining the rule of thumb of not using multilevel modeling: the "design effect smaller than two" rule. J Exp Educ. 2015;83: 423–38.
- Shrout PE, Bolger N. Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: new procedures and recommendations. Psychol Methods. 2002;7: 422–45.
- 41. Krull JL, MacKinnon DP. Multilevel modeling of individual and group level mediated effects. Multivar Behav Res. 2001;36:249–77.
- 42. Preacher KJ, Zyphur MJ, Zhang Z. A general multilevel SEM framework for assessing multilevel mediation. Psychol Methods. 2010;15:209–33.

- Preacher KJ, Zhang Z, Zyphur MJ. Alternative methods for assessing mediation in multilevel data: the advantages of multilevel SEM. Struct Equ Model Multidiscip J. 2011;18:161–82.
- 44. Preacher KJ, Selig JP. Advantages of Monte Carlo confidence intervals for indirect effects. Commun Methods Meas. 2012;6:77–98.
- Miočević M, O'Rourke HP, MacKinnon DP, Brown HC. Statistical properties of four effect-size measures for mediation models. Behav Res Methods. 2018; 50:285–301.
- Muthén BO. Mplus technical appendices. Los Angeles: Muthén & Muthén; 1998.
- Enders C, Bandalos D. The relative performance of full information maximum likelihood estimation for missing data in structural equation models. Struct Equ Model Multidiscip J. 2001;8:430–57.
- 48. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 1992;1:98–101.
- 49. Kearney C. School absenteeism and school refusal behavior in youth: a contemporary review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2008;28:451–71.
- Duyar I. Relationship between school facility conditions and the delivery of instruction evidence from a national survey of school principals. J Facil Manag. 2010;8:8–25.
- Finell E, Tolvanen A, Ikonen R, Pekkanen J, Ståhl T. Students' school-level symptoms mediate the relationship between a school's observed moisture problems and students' subjective perceptions of indoor air quality. Indoor Air. 2021;31:40–50.
- Lahtinen M, Ginström A, Harinen S, Lappalainen S, Tarkka O, Unhola T. Selätä sisäilmastokiista - Viesti viisaasti [Escape from indoor environmental dispute - Post wisely]. Helsinki: Finnish Institute of Occupational Health; 2010.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- · thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

