

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Puerta Lombardi, Braulio Michele; Gendy, Chris; Gelfand, Benjamin S.; Bernard, Guy M.; Wasylishen, Roderick E.; Tuononen, Heikki M.; Roesler, Roland

Title: Side-on Coordination in Isostructural Nitrous Oxide and Carbon Dioxide Complexes of Nickel

Year: 2021

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2020 the Authors

Rights: _{CC BY 4.0}

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Please cite the original version:

Puerta Lombardi, B. M., Gendy, C., Gelfand, B. S., Bernard, G. M., Wasylishen, R. E., Tuononen, H. M., & Roesler, R. (2021). Side-on Coordination in Isostructural Nitrous Oxide and Carbon Dioxide Complexes of Nickel. Angewandte Chemie, 60(13), 7077-7081. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202011301

IP Nickel Complexes Very Important Paper

How to cite:

International Edition: doi.org/10.1002/anie.202011301 German Edition: doi.org/10.1002/ange.202011301

Side-on Coordination in Isostructural Nitrous Oxide and Carbon Dioxide Complexes of Nickel

Braulio M. Puerta Lombardi⁺, Chris Gendy⁺, Benjamin S. Gelfand, Guy M. Bernard, Roderick E. Wasylishen, Heikki M. Tuononen,* and Roland Roesler*

In memory of Professor Suning Wang

Abstract: A nickel complex incorporating an N_2O ligand with a rare η^2 -N,N'-coordination mode was isolated and characterized by X-ray crystallography, as well as by IR and solidstate NMR spectroscopy augmented by ¹⁵N-labeling experiments. The isoelectronic nickel CO₂ complex reported for comparison features a very similar solid-state structure. Computational studies revealed that η^2 -N₂O binds to nickel slightly stronger than η^2 -CO₂ in this case, and comparably to or slightly stronger than η^2 -CO₂ to transition metals in general. Comparable transition-state energies for the formation of isomeric η^2 -N,N'- and η^2 -N,O-complexes, and a negligible activation barrier for the decomposition of the latter likely account for the limited stability of the N₂O complex.

Among the numerous oxides of nitrogen, nitrous oxide (N_2O) is most intimately intertwined with modern human activities. It figures on the WHO's List of Essential Medicines for use in pain management,^[1] and it also has a long history as a recreational drug dubbed "laughing gas".^[2] It is used as an oxidant ("nitrous") in racing engines and is a suitable propellant in rockets,^[3] as well as in whipped cream and cooking oil canisters. Industrially, N₂O is an important by-product in nitric acid and adipic acid manufacturing.^[4] Although industrial pollutants are not to be neglected,



natural, enzymatic denitrification processes^[5] are the main source of N₂O in the environment and for this reason the gas was proposed to be part of the biosignature of life on exoplanets.^[6] The widespread use of nitrogen fertilizers led to an enhancement of denitrification processes and N2O rose to prominence as a greenhouse gas 300 times more potent than CO₂, and "the dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st Century".^[7] Although its decomposition into elements is thermodynamically favorable $(\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\circ}_{\rm gas} 82.1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$, the high activation barrier $(250 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})^{[8]}$ associated with this process means that N₂O persists in the atmosphere for an average of 117(8) years.^[9] Consequently, interest towards using N₂O as a synthon,^[10] as well as towards catalyzing its decomposition into elements has increased in recent years,^[11] in turn prompting investigations meant to elucidate the interaction of this prominent small molecule with metals.

 N_2O reacts readily with numerous metal complexes and organic substrates, mostly as an oxidant but also as a nitrogen atom donor,^[4,10] and can be trapped by frustrated Lewis pairs^[12] and N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs).^[13] Its reactivity involving insertion into M–C and M–H bonds is well documented.^[10,14] In contrast to its isoelectronic counterpart CO_2 , which has a rich coordination chemistry,^[15] N₂O has been generally described as a poor, or exceedingly poor ligand due to its weak σ -donating and π -accepting properties, low polarity, and oxidizing character.^[86,16]

Extensive investigations of ruthenium derivative A (Figure 1), which remained for more than three decades the only known metal complex of nitrous oxide, revealed that N₂O coordinated in a linear fashion via the terminal nitrogen



Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 1-6

© 2020 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

These are not the final page numbers!

Wiley Online Library

and was a poor ligand susceptible to reduction and displacement.^[17] These conclusions were supported by computational studies,^[17c,18] the spectroscopic characterization of complex **B**^[19] as well as the NMR characterization of surfacecoordinated N₂O.^[20] Confirmation of these findings was provided over the last decade by the comprehensive characterization of discrete, end-on bonded complexes C, D and E (Figure 1).^[21-23] The rich coordination chemistry of CO₂ suggests that the isoelectronic N₂O molecule should also be able to adopt a bent, N,N'-side-on coordination mode, which had been probed computationally for surface binding.^[24] Linear N₂O bound at the [4Cu:2S] active site of nitrous oxide reductase has been shown to display long, side-on Cu···N contacts.^[25] Ultimately, the first η^2 -*N*,*N'*-N₂O complex F, which was persistent below -25 °C, was recently characterized and the π -basicity of the metal was shown to be key to its isolation.^[26]

We reported on a bis(NHC)₂Ni⁰-GeCl₂ complex incorporating a siloxane-linked (NHC)₂Ni⁰ fragment with a bent L₂M geometry.^[27] Computational studies indicated that this fragment featured the frontier orbitals necessary for efficient η^2 -interactions with π -acidic ligands.^[18c,28] Thus, we hypothesized that a bis(NHC)₂ supported Ni⁰ would be an excellent candidate for stabilizing side-on, η^2 -N₂O complexes, especially taking into account the resilience of NHC ligands to oxidation. Design of ligand **1**, incorporating a shorter silane linker, aimed to impose a narrow C_{NHC}-Ni-C_{NHC} angle and increase the π -basicity of the metal.^[29] This allowed us to characterize analogous η^2 -bound Ni⁰ complexes of N₂O and CO₂ and to assess the relative binding ability of the two ligands for the first time.

Prepared by deprotonation of its bis(imidazolium) precursor, ligand **1** reacted with Ni(cod)₂ to yield (**1**)Ni(η^2 -cod), **2** (Scheme 1). Solution ¹H NMR analysis of **2** revealed a broad, complex spectrum denoting C_1 symmetry, reflected in the ¹³C NMR spectrum by the presence of two resonances for the coordinated carbene carbons (200.4 and 208.4 ppm). Reduced conformational fluxionality in complexes containing bis-(NHC)Ni fragments was shown to lead to broad, poorly resolved resonances in the solution NMR spectra, as well as lowering of the expected time-averaged symmetry.^[27] An Xray diffraction experiment on **2** confirmed chelation of the ligand to Ni in a bent geometry (C1-Ni1-C8 107.9(1)°) (Figure S28) and the η^2 -coordination of 1,5-cyclooctadiene.

In solution, complex **2** reacted with 1 atm of N_2O at room temperature to yield **3**, which was isolated as a yellow crystalline solid. The low solubility of **3** precluded its characterization in solution. As a solid, it can be stored for months at -78 °C and handled at room temperature in vacuum or under an inert atmosphere, but partial decomposition is apparent after 12 hours at room temperature (by IR). Heating to 70 °C in THF leads to dissolution upon N_2 development (Figure S3). The ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectrum of **3** (Figure S19) features two resonances corresponding to the coordinated carbene carbons at 183.7 and 192.5 ppm, similar to the values measured in solution for **2**.

The ¹⁵N CP-MAS NMR resonances for bound N_2O in an isotopically enriched sample of **3** were observed at 365 and 312 ppm (Figure 2), corresponding to the central and terminal



Scheme 1. Synthesis of compounds 1–3 and 5, and the postulated, fleeting η^2 -*N*,*O*-isomer 4. Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl.



Figure 2. ^{15}N CP-MAS NMR spectrum of 3 containing 33% $^{15}N_2O$, the latter prepared using an original method (see Supporting Information).

nitrogen atoms in N₂O, respectively (vs. the gas phase computed values of 395 and 313 ppm). These values are significantly deshielded compared to those observed in the κ^{1} -*N*-N₂O and the η^2 -*N*,*N'*-N₂O complexes, as well as those for free N₂O (Table 1). Resonances corresponding to the naturally abundant nitrogen atoms in the imidazole rings appear between 187.5-190.4 ppm, matching literature data for NHC ligands.^[30] Infrared spectroscopy suggests that N_2O is side-on, η^2 -N,N'-coordinated in 3. The observed ν_{NN} stretching and $v_{\rm NNO}$ bending vibrations (Figure 3) at 1533 and 1138 cm⁻¹, respectively (vs. the gas phase computed values of 1725 and 1243 cm^{-1} , and the experimental values for **F** of 1624 and 1131 cm⁻¹) shift to lower frequencies (1495 and 1121 cm⁻¹) in ¹⁵N-enriched samples of **3**. The ν_{NN} stretching vibration measured in 3 is the lowest value observed in N₂O metal complexes, both κ^1 -N-N₂O (2234–2303 cm⁻¹ for ν_{NN} and 1150–1337 cm⁻¹ for ν_{NO} in **A–E**) and η^2 -*N*,*N*'-N₂O (1624 cm⁻¹ for $\nu_{\rm NN}$ and 1131 cm⁻¹ for $\nu_{\rm NNO}$ in **F**), in agreement with the high π -basicity of the (1)Ni⁰ fragment and its strong interaction with the π^* system of N₂O.

X-ray crystallography revealed for **3** the expected, bent (**1**)Ni fragment (\pm CNC 104.47(13)°) with the side-on, η^2 -*N*,*N*'-coordinated N₂O ligand completing the coordination

Table 1: Selected ¹⁵N NMR resonances for free and bound N₂O.

Cpd.	$N_2 O^{[26]}$	B ^[19]	$\mathbf{D}^{[a],[22]}$	D ^{[b], [22]}	F ^[26]	3	3 ^[c]
Solv. δN _{term} δN _{cent}	tol- <i>d</i> ₈ 135 218	CD ₂ Cl ₂ 126	DFB ^[d] 109 245	DFB ^[d] 103 246	tol <i>-d</i> ₈ 159 309	solid 312 365	gas 313 395

[a] $E = CH_2$. [b] E = O. [c] Computed. [d] $DFB = 1,2-F_2C_6H_4$.

www.angewandte.org © 2020 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 1-6

These are not the final page numbers!



Figure 3. Overlaid FT-IR spectra for 3 and $3-(^{15}N_2O)$ (99% isotopically enriched) with spectral difference below.



Figure 4. Solid-state structure of one of the two independent molecules of **3** with 50% thermal ellipsoids, and hydrogen atoms omitted. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] with [calculated values]: N5–N6 1.225(4) [1.210], N5–O1 1.276(4) [1.239], Ni1–N5 1.803(3) [1.821], Ni–N6 1.926(3) [1.910], Ni1–C1 1.901(3) [1.934], Ni1–C8 1.893(3) [1.919]; N5-N6-O1 134.7(3) [138.4], C1-Ni1-C8 104.47(13) [107.7].

sphere of nickel (Figure 4). The metric parameters characterizing the N₂O moiety (N5–N6 1.225(4) Å and N5–O1 1.276-(4) Å) are consistent with the calculated values and compare well with the N–N bond length measured in **F** (1.212(8) Å). The dihedral angle formed by the N₂O and C_{NHC}NiC_{NHC} planes measures only 8.4(3)°.

Aiming to provide a comparison for **3**, its CO₂ analog **5** was prepared by reaction of **2** with 1 atm of CO₂ in THF. The product was stable under an inert atmosphere and did not dissolve in hydrocarbon or ethereal solvents. The solid-state ¹³C NMR spectrum of **5** (Figure S21) is very similar to the spectrum of **3**, featuring two carbene resonances (188.2 and 192.2 ppm) and a resonance corresponding to the CO₂ ligand (167.3 ppm). A characteristic ν_{CO} stretching vibration is observed in the IR spectrum of **5** at 1695 cm⁻¹ (vs. the gas phase computed value of 1855 cm⁻¹). The solid-state structure of **5** (Figure 5) is very similar to that of **3**. The bond angles in the coordinated CO₂ and N₂O match closely (\angle NNO 134.7-(3)° in **3** vs. \angle OCO 135.0(2)° in **5**) but differences are apparent in the bond distances to their terminal atoms (N5–O1 1.275(3) Å in **3** vs. C35–O2 1.217(3) Å in **5**).

A DFT comparison of the binding energies of L in (1)Ni(L) (with ΔG° in parenthesis) yielded values of 87 (33) and 110 (56) kJ mol⁻¹ for L = CO₂ and N₂O, respectively,



Figure 5. Solid-state structure of **5** with 50% thermal ellipsoids, and hydrogen atoms omitted. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] with [calculated values]: O1–C35 1.283(4) [1.264], C35–O2 1.218(4) [1.206], Ni1–O1 1.949(2) [1.937], Ni–C35 1.828(3) [1.839], Ni1–C1 1.973(3) [1.964], Ni1–C8 1.866(2) [1.856]; O1-C35-O2 134.6(3) [137.5], C1-Ni1-C8 106.95(10) [109.4].

while for a hypothetical complex of (1)Ni with the classic π acceptor ethylene, the energies are even greater, at 129 (74) kJ mol⁻¹. Furthermore, an energy decomposition analysis with ETS-NOCV revealed that the instantaneous interaction energies of L in (1)Ni(L) follow a similar trend, largely owing to the significantly stronger orbital interactions (in parathesis): -401 (-643) and $-415 (-709) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for $L = CO_2$ and N₂O, respectively. The total orbital interaction term can further be decomposed using NOCV, showing a dominant contribution (83% for 3 and 84% for 5) involving donation from the metal to the π^* system of the ligand. Taken as a whole, the results of DFT calculations indicate that N₂O binds to $(1)Ni^0$ slightly stronger than CO₂ due to stronger orbital interactions in 3. To probe whether the observed energetic trend is more general, the equilibrium $TM-CO_2 +$ $N_2O \rightleftharpoons TM-N_2O + CO_2$ (TM = transition metal fragment) was analyzed computationally for 12 crystallographically characterized η^2 -C,O-CO₂ complexes and their hypothetical N₂O analogues. The data (Table S2) showed stronger binding for N_2O in 9 systems (up to 26 kJ mol⁻¹) demonstrating that when bound in η^2 -fashion, N₂O is a comparable or slightly better π -acceptor than CO₂. However, it needs to be stressed that N₂O is oxidizing whereas CO₂ is not, for which reason the increased binding energy in the hypothetical N₂O systems considered above is unlikely to stabilize η^2 -N,N-N₂O complexes over metal or ligand oxidation.

The energy landscape for the formation of **3** from $(1)Ni^0$ and N₂O was also probed with computational methods (Figure S31). The results revealed that the formation of 3involves a modest barrier ($\Delta G^{\dagger} = 38 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$), with $\Delta G^{\circ} =$ -56 kJmol^{-1} . The formation of the η^2 -O,N-N₂O isomer, 4, though not observed experimentally, was found to involve a greater barrier ($\Delta G^{\dagger} = 70 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) and a minute ΔG° of -2 kJ mol⁻¹. However, **4** appears to be a metastable species and readily converts to $(1)NiO(N_2)$ almost without a barrier. Barrierless decomposition of η^2 -O,N-N₂O bound to iron has been investigated computationally and matched experimental observations.^[31] Thus, at a relative energy of -63 kJ mol^{-1} , $(1)NiO(N_2)$ represents the lowest energy point on the potential energy surface and confirms that metal-oxo formation is thermodynamically favored over η^2 -N,N-N₂O complex formation, albeit only by 7 kJ mol⁻¹. As suggested by the

www.angewandte.org

calculated energy landscape, **3**, unlike **5**, is a kinetic, not a thermodynamic, product, in agreement with its limited stability. Similarly, decomposition of **F** was reported to proceed via formation of a reactive metal-oxo species and transfer of oxygen to the ancillary isocyanide ligand.^[26]

To summarize, employing the π -basic fragment (1)Ni, we isolated **3** by reaction of **2** with N₂O. The rare $\eta^2 - N_1 N'$ coordination mode of the N₂O ligand in **3** was proved by single-crystal X-ray crystallography, as well as ¹⁵N CP-MAS NMR and IR spectroscopy aided by ¹⁵N isotopic enrichment. The isostructural, η^2 -CO₂ complex **5** was also synthesized, allowing a direct comparison of the metal binding properties of the two isoelectronic small molecules of environmental relevance. Computational studies indicate that π -acceptance is the main contributor to N₂O binding in **3**, and place the η^2 -N,N'-metal binding ability of this ligand to the (1)Ni fragment in-between that of CO₂ and ethylene. In general, the η^2 -N,N'binding ability of N₂O to transition metals is found to be comparable to, or slightly better than that of CO₂. This demonstrates that the need for a strongly π -basic metal fragment comes not so much from the frequently invoked "poor σ -donating and π -accepting properties" of N₂O, but from the need to stabilize $\eta^2 - N, N'$ -coordination over the thermodynamically more favorable metal-oxo formation. The well-known oxidizing character of N₂O may be mostly, if not entirely responsible for the scarcity of η^2 -metal complexes employing this ligand, and more of such complexes are expected to be in reach in designs featuring the right balance of π -basicity and resilience to oxidation at the metal center and associated ligands.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the Universities of Calgary, Jyväskylä, and Alberta, as well as the NSERC of Canada in the form of Discovery Grants #2019-07195 to R.R. and #2019-06816 to R.E.W. The project received funding from the European Research Council under the EU's Horizon 2020 programme (grant #772510 to H.M.T). R.E.W. acknowledges the CFI and the Government of Alberta for NMR Facilities support. Computational resources were provided by the Finnish Grid and Cloud Infrastructure (persistent identifier urn:nbn:fi:research-infras-2016072533) and the University of Calgary.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: back bonding · carbon dioxide · N-heterocyclic carbenes · nickel · nitrous oxide

- World Health Organization. World Health Organization model list of essential medicines: 21st list 2019. 2019 Geneva: World Health Organization.
- [2] M. Laing, S. Afr. J. Sci. 2003, 99, 109-114.

[3] V. Zakirov, M. Sweeting, T. Lawrence, J. Sellers, Acta Astronautica 2001, 48, 353-362.

Angewandte

I Edition Chemie

- [4] V. N. Parmon, G. I. Panov, A. Uriarte, A. S. Noskov, *Catal. Today* 2005, 100, 115–131.
- [5] a) N. Lehnert, H. T. Dong, J. B. Harland, A. P. Hunt, C. J. White, *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2018, *2*, 278–289.
- [6] a) J. L. Grenfell, Phys. Rep. 2017, 713, 1-17.
- [7] A. R. Ravishankara, J. S. Daniel, R. W. Portmann, *Science* 2009, 326, 123–125.
- [8] a) A. H. H. Chang, D. R. Yarkony, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 99, 6824–6831; b) W. C. Trogler, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 187, 303–327.
- [9] M. J. Prather, J. Hsu, N. M. DeLuca, C. H. Jackman, L. D. Oman, A. R. Douglass, E. L. Fleming, S. E. Strahan, S. D. Steenrod, O. A. Søvde, I. S. A. Isaksen, L. Froidevaux, B. Funke, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2015, 120, 5693-5705.
- [10] K. Severin, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 6375-6386.
- [11] M. Konsolakis, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 6397-6421.
- [12] a) E. Otten, R. C. Neu, D. W. Stephan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9918–9919; b) R. C. Neu, E. Otten, A. Lough, D. W. Stephan, Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 170–176; c) M. J. Kelly, J. Gilbert, R. Tirfoin, S. Aldridge, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14094–14097; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 14344–14347.
- [13] a) A. G. Tskhovrebov, E. Solari, M. D. Wodrich, R. Scopelliti, K. Severin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 232–234; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 236–238; b) A. G. Tskhovrebov, B. Vuichoud, E. Solari, R. Scopelliti, K. Severin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 9486–9492.
- [14] a) G. A. Vaughan, P. B. Rupert, G. L. Hillhouse, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5538-5539; b) G. A. Vaughan, C. D. Sofield, G. L. Hillhouse, A. L. Rheingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5491-5493.
- [15] a) A. Pastor, A. Montilla, F. Galindo, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **2017**, 68, 1–91; b) A. Paparo, J. Okuda, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2017**, 334, 136–149.
- [16] W. B. Tolman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1018–1024; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 1034–1041.
- [17] a) J. N. Armor, H. Taube, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 6874–6876; b) F. Bottomley, W. V. F. Brooks, Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 501–502; c) F. Paulat, T. Kuschel, C. Näther, V. K. K. Praneeth, O. Sander, N. Lehnert, Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 6979–6994.
- [18] a) D. F.-T. Tuan, R. Hoffmann, *Inorg. Chem.* 1985, 24, 871–876;
 b) H. Yu, G. Jia, Z. Lin, *Organometallics* 2008, 27, 3825–3833;
 c) J. G. Andino, K. G. Caulton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 12576–12576.
- [19] C. B. Pamplin, E. S. F. Ma, N. Safari, S. J. Rettig, B. R. James, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8596–8597.
- [20] a) S. Hu, T. M. Apple, J. Catal. 1996, 158, 199–204; b) V. M. Mastikhin, I. L. Mudrakovsky, S. V. Filimonova, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1988, 149, 175–179; c) V. M. Mastikhin, I. L. Mudrakovsky, S. V. Filimonova, Zeolites 1990, 10, 593–597.
- [21] N. A. Piro, M. F. Lichterman, W. H. Harman, C. J. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2108–2111.
- [22] M. R. Gyton, B. Leforestier, A. B. Chaplin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 15295-15298; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 15439-15442.
- [23] V. Zhuravlev, P. J. Malinowski, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 11697–11700; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 11871–11874.
- [24] a) C.-L. Hu, Y. Chen, J.-Q. Li, Y.-F. Zhang, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, 438, 213–217; b) A. Heyden, B. Peters, A. T. Bell, F. J. Keil, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2005, 109, 1857–1873.
- [25] A. Pomowski, W. G. Zumft, P. M. H. Kroneck, O. Einsle, *Nature* 2011, 477, 234–237.
- [26] C. C. Mokhtarzadeh, C. Chan, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold, J. S. Figueroa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 15003-15007.
- [27] C. Gendy, A. Mansikkamäki, J. Valjus, J. Heidebrecht, P. C.-Y. Hui, G. M. Bernard, H. M. Tuononen, R. E. Wasylishen, V. K.

ewandte.org © 2020 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 1–6

These are not the final page numbers!



5

Michaelis, R. Roesler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 154–158; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 160–164.

- [28] a) T. Ziegler, *Inorg. Chem.* 1985, 24, 1547–1552; b) L. P.
 Wolters, F. M. Bickelhaupt in *Structure and Bonding* (Eds.: O. Eisenstein, S. Macgregor), Springer, Berlin, 2014.
- [29] a) C. Massera, G. Frenking, *Organometallics* 2003, 22, 2758–2765; b) F. Hering, J. Nitsch, U. Paul, A. Steffen, F. M. Bickelhaupt, U. Radius, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, 6, 1426–1432.
- [30] A. J. Arduengo, R. L. Harlow, M. Kline, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 361–363.
- [31] a) P. B. Armentrout, L. F. Halle, J. L. Beauchamp, *J. Chem. Phys.* 1982, 76, 2449–2457; b) L. Zhao, Y. Wang, W. Guo, H. Shan, X. Lu, T. Yang, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2008, *112*, 5676–5683.

Manuscript received: August 19, 2020 Revised manuscript received: October 5, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: October 27, 2020 Version of record online:



Communications



Side-on Coordination in Isostructural Nitrous Oxide and Carbon Dioxide Complexes of Nickel



The characterization of η^2 -N,N'-N₂O and CO₂ complexes of nickel and the associated computational study reveal that the bonding ability of N₂O to nickel is intermediate between that of CO₂ and that of H₂C=CH₂. It is shown that in general, N₂O η^2 -binds to metals comparably to or stronger than CO₂, indicating that the rarity of η^2 -N₂O metal complexes is due mostly to its oxidizing character and not to its weak σ -donating and π -accepting properties.

6 www.angewandte.org © 2020 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 1-6

These are not the final page numbers!