This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Covi, Giovanni

Title: An inverse problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field

Year: 2020

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2019 IOP Publishing Ltd

Rights: CC BY-NC-ND 3.0

Rights url: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>

Please cite the original version:

Covi, G. (2020). An inverse problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field. *Inverse Problems*, 36(4), Article 045004. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/ab661a>

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

An inverse problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field

To cite this article before publication: Giovanni Covi 2019 *Inverse Problems* in press <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/ab661a>

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is “the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an ‘Accepted Manuscript’ watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors”

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2019 IOP Publishing Ltd.

During the embargo period (the 12 month period from the publication of the Version of Record of this article), the Accepted Manuscript is fully protected by copyright and cannot be reused or reposted elsewhere.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a subscription basis, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 licence after the 12 month embargo period.

After the embargo period, everyone is permitted to use copy and redistribute this article for non-commercial purposes only, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions will likely be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected, unless specifically stated otherwise in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

An inverse problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field

Giovanni Covi

Department of Mathematics, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

giovanni.g.covi@jyu.fi

Abstract

This paper shows global uniqueness in an inverse problem for a fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation (FMSE): an unknown electromagnetic field in a bounded domain is uniquely determined up to a natural gauge by infinitely many measurements of solutions taken in arbitrary open subsets of the exterior. The proof is based on Alessandrini's identity and the Runge approximation property, thus generalizing some previous works on the fractional Laplacian. Moreover, we show with a simple model that the FMSE relates to a long jump random walk with weights.

Keywords: Fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation, Non-local operators, Inverse problems, Calderón problem

2010 MSC: 35R11, 35R30

1. Introduction

This paper studies a fractional version of the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field, or a fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation (FMSE), establishing a uniqueness result for a related inverse problem. We thus deal with a non-local counterpart of the classical magnetic Schrödinger equation (MSE) (see [33]), which requires to find up to gauge the scalar and vector potentials existing in a medium from voltage and current measurements on its boundary.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary, representing a medium containing an unknown electromagnetic field. The solution of the Dirichlet problem for the MSE is a function u satisfying

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_A u + qu := -\Delta u - i\nabla \cdot (Au) - iA \cdot \nabla u + (|A|^2 + q)u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases},$$

1 INTRODUCTION

where f is the prescribed boundary value and A, q are the vector and scalar potentials in the medium. The boundary measurements are encoded in $\Lambda_{A,q} : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (or DN) map. The inverse problem consists in finding A, q in Ω up to gauge by knowing $\Lambda_{A,q}$.

The study of the local MSE has both mathematical and practical interest, since it constitutes a substantial generalization of the Calderón problem (see [6]). This problem first arose for the prospection of the ground in search of valuable minerals. In the method known as Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT), electrodes are placed on the ground in order to deliver voltage and measure current flow; the resulting data carries information about the conductivity of the materials underground, allowing deductions about their composition ([42]). A similar method is also used in medical imaging. Since the tissues of a body have different electrical conductivities ([26]), using the same setup harmless currents can be allowed to flow in the body of a patient, thus collecting information about its internal structure. This technique can be applied to cancer detection ([20]), monitoring of vital functions ([8]) and more (see e.g. [23]). Various engineering applications have also been proposed. A recent one (see [21]) describes a sensing skin consisting of a thin layer of conductive copper paint applied on concrete. In case of cracking of the block, the rupture of the surface would result in a local decrease in conductivity, which would in turn be detected by EIT, allowing the timely substitution of the failing block. The version of the problem with non-vanishing magnetic field is interesting on its own, as it is related to the inverse scattering problem with a fixed energy (see [33]). First order terms also arise by reduction in the study of numerous other inverse problems, among which isotropic elasticity ([35]), special cases of Maxwell and Schrödinger equations ([31], [16]), Dirac equations ([34]) and the Stokes system ([22]). The survey [39] contains more references on inverse boundary value problems for the MSE.

Below we introduce a fractional extension of the local problem. This is motivated by the connection between anomalous diffusion and random walks, as explained in the end of the Introduction and in Section 5. Fix $s \in (0, 1)$, and consider the fractional divergence and gradient operators $(\nabla \cdot)^s$ and ∇^s . These are based on the theoretical framework laid down in [13], [14], and were introduced in [10] as non-local counterparts of the classical divergence and gradient. They are defined to be the adjoint of each other, and also they have the expected property that $(\nabla \cdot)^s \nabla^s = (-\Delta)^s$, the fractional Laplacian. Fix now a

1 INTRODUCTION

vector potential A , and consider the magnetic versions $(\nabla \cdot)_A^s$ and ∇_A^s of the above operators. These correspond to $(-i\nabla + A) \cdot$ and $(-i\nabla + A)$, whose combination results in the local magnetic Laplacian $(-\Delta)_A$. Analogously, we will show how $(\nabla \cdot)_A^s$ and ∇_A^s can be combined in a fractional magnetic Laplacian $(-\Delta)_A^s$. As expected, this operator will reduce to the known $(-\Delta)^s$ if $A \equiv 0$. The next step will be setting up the Dirichlet problem for FMSE as

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_A^s u + qu = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = f & \text{in } \Omega_e \end{cases}.$$

Since our operators are non-local, the exterior values are taken over $\Omega_e = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. The well-posedness of the direct problem is granted by the assumption that 0 is not an eigenvalue for the left hand side of FMSE (see e.g. [38]). We can therefore define the DN map $\Lambda_{A,q}^s : H^s(\Omega_e) \rightarrow (H^s(\Omega_e))^*$ from the bilinear form associated to the equation. The inverse problem is to recover A and q in Ω from $\Lambda_{A,q}^s$. Because of a natural gauge $\sim$ enjoyed by FMSE, solving the inverse problem completely is impossible; however, the gauge class of the solving potentials can be fully recovered:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$ be a bounded open set, $s \in (0, 1)$, and let $(A_i, q_i) \in \mathcal{P}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose $W_1, W_2 \subset \Omega_e$ are non empty open sets, and that the DN maps for the FMSEs in Ω relative to (A_1, q_1) and (A_2, q_2) satisfy*

$$\Lambda_{A_1, q_1}^s[f]|_{W_2} = \Lambda_{A_2, q_2}^s[f]|_{W_2}, \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(W_1).$$

Then $(A_1, q_1) \sim (A_2, q_2)$, that is, the potentials coincide up to the gauge $\sim$.

The set $\mathcal{P}$ of potentials and the gauge $\sim$ are defined in Section 3. $\mathcal{P}$ contains all potentials (A, q) satisfying certain properties, among which (p5): $\text{supp}(A) \subseteq \Omega^2$. We suspect this assumption to be unnecessary, but we nonetheless prove our Theorem in this easier case, and highlight the occasions when (p5) is used.

The proof is based on three preliminary results: the integral identity for the DN map, the weak unique continuation property (WUCP) and the Runge approximation property (RAP). The WUCP is easily proved by reducing our case to that of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$, for which the result is already known (see e.g. [37], [17]). For this we use (p5). The proof of the RAP then comes from the WUCP and the Hahn-Banach theorem. Eventually, we use this result, the integral identity and (p5) to complete the proof by means of Alessandrini's identity. This technique generalizes the one studied in [17].

1 INTRODUCTION

We consider Theorem 1.1 to be very satisfactory, as gauges show up in the local case $s = 1$ as well (again, see [33]). For comparison see [7], where it is shown that no gauge exists for a fractional Schrödinger equation with a local first-order perturbation of the form $b(x) \cdot \nabla u(x)$. As observed in Section 3, our operator can be regarded as a fractional Schrödinger equation with a non-local perturbation of the kind

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x, y) \cdot \nabla^s u(x, y) dy ,$$

and thus our results extend the investigation in [7] in a rather natural way. One may also compare our operator with the one studied in [3]. In such work the authors consider non-local lower-order perturbations of the fractional Schrödinger equation of the form $(-\Delta)_\Omega^{t/2} b(x) (-\Delta)_\Omega^{t/2} u(x)$, where the symbol $(-\Delta)_\Omega^{t/2}$ denotes the regional fractional Laplacian. In the case of complete data, [3] shows that the perturbation b and the potential q can be completely recovered; however, in the case of a single measurement, the authors interestingly find that there exist natural obstacles to the full recovery of both b and q .

Besides the purely mathematical appeal, we believe that the problem we are considering may also be interesting from a practical point of view. As a matter of fact, fractional mathematical models are nowadays quite common in many different fields of science, including image processing ([19]), physics ([13], [15], [18], [28], [32], [44]), ecology ([25], [30], [36]), turbulent fluid dynamics ([9], [11]) and mathematical finance ([1], [29], [40]). For more references, see [5]. All these applications involve anomalous diffusion, i.e. a diffusion process in which events that are quite far from the mean are still allowed to happen with a relatively high probability. As a consequence, one can model such phenomena with anomalous diffusion random walks. These are "anomalous" in the sense that the variance of the length of the jumps is not finite as in the classical diffusion case. The authors of [43] have proved how the fractional Laplacian corresponds to a long jump random walk of this kind. In Section 5 we extend their line of reasoning to our magnetic fractional operator, showing that its leading term corresponds to a long jump random walk with weights. We also prove that this is an anomalous diffusion random walk.

2 PRELIMINARIES

2. Preliminaries

Operators on bivariate vector functions.

Definition 2.1. Let $A \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$. The symmetric, antisymmetric, parallel and perpendicular parts of A at points x, y are

$$A_s(x, y) := \frac{A(x, y) + A(y, x)}{2}, \quad A_a(x, y) := A(x, y) - A_s(x, y),$$

$$A_{\parallel}(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{A(x, y) \cdot (x - y)}{|x - y|^2} (x - y) & \text{if } x \neq y \\ A(x, y) & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}, \quad A_{\perp}(x, y) := A(x, y) - A_{\parallel}(x, y).$$

The L^2 norms of A with respect to the first and second variable at point x are

$$\mathcal{J}_1 A(x) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A(y, x)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2}, \quad \mathcal{J}_2 A(x) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A(x, y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

Remark 2.2. Being $A \in C_c^\infty$, these two integrals are finite and the definitions make sense. Moreover, since $A_a \cdot A_s$ is an antisymmetric scalar function and $A_{\parallel} \cdot A_{\perp} = 0$, by the following computations

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|A_a + A_s\|_{L^2}^2 = \|A_a\|_{L^2}^2 + \|A_s\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\langle A_a, A_s \rangle \\ &= \|A_a\|_{L^2}^2 + \|A_s\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} A_a \cdot A_s dx dy = \|A_a\|_{L^2}^2 + \|A_s\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|A_{\parallel} + A_{\perp}\|_{L^2}^2 = \|A_{\parallel}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|A_{\perp}\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\langle A_{\parallel}, A_{\perp} \rangle \\ &= \|A_{\parallel}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|A_{\perp}\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} A_{\parallel} \cdot A_{\perp} dx dy = \|A_{\parallel}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|A_{\perp}\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

the four operators $(\cdot)_s, (\cdot)_a, (\cdot)_{\parallel}, (\cdot)_{\perp}$ can be extended to act from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. This is true of $\mathcal{J}_1 A$ and $\mathcal{J}_2 A$ as well:

$$\|\mathcal{J}_1 A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\mathcal{J}_1 A)(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |A(y, x)|^2 dy dx = \|A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}^2. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2.3. The equalities defining $(\cdot)_s, (\cdot)_a, (\cdot)_{\parallel}, (\cdot)_{\perp}$ in Definition 2.1 for $A \in C_c^\infty$ still hold a.e. for $A \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Proof. We prove the Lemma only for $(\cdot)_s$, as the other cases are similar. For all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A^i \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $\|A - A^i\|_{L^2} \leq 1/i$. By (1),

$$\left\| A_s - \frac{A(x, y) + A(y, x)}{2} \right\|_{L^2} \leq$$

2 PRELIMINARIES

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|(A - A^i)_s\|_{L^2} + \left\| A_s^i - \frac{A^i(x, y) + A^i(y, x)}{2} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \left\| \frac{(A(x, y) - A^i(x, y)) + (A(y, x) - A^i(y, x))}{2} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&= \|(A - A^i)_s\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{(A(x, y) - A^i(x, y)) + (A(y, x) - A^i(y, x))}{2} \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq 2\|A - A^i\|_{L^2} \leq 2/i. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4. If $A \in C_c^\infty$, the operators $(\cdot)_s, (\cdot)_a, (\cdot)_\parallel, (\cdot)_\perp$ commute with each other; because of Lemma 2.3, this still holds a.e. for $A \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Thus in the following we use e.g. the symbol $A_{s\parallel}$ for both $(A_s)_\parallel$ and $(A_\parallel)_s$.

Sobolev spaces. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and $r \in \mathbb{R}, p \in (1, \infty), n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. By the symbols $W^{r,p} = W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W_c^{r,p}(\Omega)$ we denote the usual L^p -based Sobolev spaces. We also let $H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the standard L^2 -based Sobolev space with norm $\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\langle \xi \rangle^s \hat{u})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where $s \in \mathbb{R}, \langle \xi \rangle := (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ and the Fourier transform is

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}u(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx.$$

One should note that there exist many equivalent definitions of fractional Sobolev spaces (see e.g. [12]). Using the Sobolev embedding and multiplication theorems (see e.g. [4], [2]), these spaces can often be embedded into each other:

Lemma 2.5. Let $s \in (0, 1), p := \max\{2, n/2s\}$ and $h \geq 0$. Then the embeddings

$$\begin{aligned}
(e1). \quad H^s \times H^s &\hookrightarrow L^{n/(n/2+sp-2s)}, & (e5). \quad L^{2p} \times L^{2p} &\hookrightarrow L^p, \\
(e2). \quad H^s \times L^p &\hookrightarrow L^{2n/(n+2s)}, & (e6). \quad H^{sp-2s} &\hookrightarrow L^p, \\
(e3). \quad L^{2p} \times L^2 &\hookrightarrow L^{2n/(n+2s)}, & & \\
(e4). \quad L^{2p} \times H^s &\hookrightarrow L^2, & (e7). \quad L^{2n/(n+2h)} &\hookrightarrow H^{-h}
\end{aligned}$$

hold, where $\times$ indicates the pointwise product. $\square$

Let $U, F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open and a closed set. We define the spaces

$$H^s(U) = \{u|_U, u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

$$\tilde{H}^s(U) = \text{closure of } C_c^\infty(U) \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \text{ and}$$

2 PRELIMINARIES

$$H_F^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp}(u) \subset F\},$$

where $\|u\|_{H^s(U)} = \inf\{\|w\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}; w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n), w|_U = u\}$. For $s \in (0, 1)$ and a bounded open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $X := H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)/\tilde{H}^s(U)$. If U is a Lipschitz domain, then then $\tilde{H}^s(U)$ and $H_U^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be identified for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [17]); therefore, $X = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)/H_U^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and its elements are equivalence classes of functions from $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coinciding on U_c . X is called *abstract trace space*.

Non-local operators. If $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, its fractional Laplacian is (see [27], [12])

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) := \mathcal{C}_{n,s} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|y - x|^{n+2s}} dy,$$

for a constant $\mathcal{C}_{n,s}$. Its Fourier symbol is $|\xi|^{2s}$, i.e. $(-\Delta)^s u(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^{2s} \hat{u}(\xi))$. By [24], Ch. 4 and [41], $(-\Delta)^s$ extends as a bounded map $(-\Delta)^s : W^{r,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow W^{r-2s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let $\alpha(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the map

$$\alpha(x, y) = \frac{\mathcal{C}_{n,s}^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{y - x}{|y - x|^{n/2+s+1}}.$$

If $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the *fractional gradient of u at points x and y* is

$$\nabla^s u(x, y) := (u(x) - u(y))\alpha(x, y), \quad (4)$$

and is thus a symmetric and parallel vector function of x and y . Since it was proved in [10] that $\|\nabla^s u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}^2 \leq \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$, and thus that the linear operator ∇^s maps $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, we see that ∇^s can be extended to $\nabla^s : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Using a proof by density similar to the one for Lemma 2.3, one sees that (4) still holds a.e. for $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, the *fractional divergence* is defined as that operator $(\nabla \cdot)^s : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\langle (\nabla \cdot)^s v, u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \langle v, \nabla^s u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}, \quad (5)$$

i.e. it is by definition the adjoint of the fractional gradient. As observed in [10], Lemma 2.1, if $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the equality $(\nabla \cdot)^s(\nabla^s u)(x) = (-\Delta)^s u(x)$ holds in weak sense, and $(\nabla \cdot)^s(\nabla^s u) \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There exists a constant $k_{n,s}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{F}(\nabla^s u)(\xi, \eta) = k_{n,s} \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^{n/2+1-s}} + \frac{\eta}{|\eta|^{n/2+1-s}} \right) \mathcal{F}u(\xi + \eta).$$

2 PRELIMINARIES

Proof. As $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that $\nabla^s u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, and we can compute its Fourier transform in the variables ξ, η . By a change of variables,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}(\nabla^s u)(\xi, \eta) &= \frac{C_{n,s}^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|y - x|^{n/2+s+1}} (y - x) dx dy \\
 &= k'_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{e^{-iz \cdot \eta}}{|z|^{n/2+s+1}} z \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot (\xi + \eta)} (u(x) - u(x + z)) dx dz \\
 &= k'_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{z}{|z|^{n/2+s+1}} e^{-iz \cdot \eta} \mathcal{F}u(\xi + \eta) (1 - e^{iz \cdot (\xi + \eta)}) dz \\
 &= k''_{n,s} \mathcal{F}u(\xi + \eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (e^{-iz \cdot \eta} - e^{iz \cdot \xi}) \nabla_z (|z|^{1-n/2-s}) dz \\
 &= k''_{n,s} \mathcal{F}u(\xi + \eta) \left(\eta \mathcal{F}(|z|^{1-n/2-s})(\eta) + \xi \mathcal{F}(|z|^{1-n/2-s})(-\xi) \right) \\
 &= k_{n,s} \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^{n/2+1-s}} + \frac{\eta}{|\eta|^{n/2+1-s}} \right) \mathcal{F}u(\xi + \eta). \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7. *The fractional gradient extends as a bounded map*

$$\nabla^s : H^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{r-s} L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}),$$

and if $r \leq s$ then also $\nabla^s : H^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^{r-s}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Proof. Start with $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla^s u\|_{\langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{r-s} L^2}^2 &= (\langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{r-s} \nabla^s u, \langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{r-s} \nabla^s u)_{L^2} \\
 &= (\langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{2(r-s)} \nabla^s u, \nabla^s u)_{L^2} \\
 &= (\mathcal{F}(\langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{2(r-s)} \nabla^s u), \mathcal{F}(\nabla^s u))_{L^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

From the previous Lemma we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}(\langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{2(r-s)} \nabla^s u) &= (1 + |\xi + \eta|^2)^{r-s} \mathcal{F}(\nabla^s u) \\
 &= (1 + |\xi + \eta|^2)^{r-s} k_{n,s} \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^{n/2+1-s}} + \frac{\eta}{|\eta|^{n/2+1-s}} \right) \mathcal{F}u(\xi + \eta) \\
 &= k_{n,s} \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|^{n/2+1-s}} + \frac{\eta}{|\eta|^{n/2+1-s}} \right) \mathcal{F}(\langle D_x \rangle^{2(r-s)} u)(\xi + \eta) \\
 &= \mathcal{F}(\nabla^s (\langle D_x \rangle^{2(r-s)} u)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of the fractional gradient and (6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla^s u\|_{\langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{r-s} L^2}^2 &= (\mathcal{F}(\nabla^s (\langle D_x \rangle^{2(r-s)} u)), \mathcal{F}(\nabla^s u))_{L^2} \\
 &= (\nabla^s (\langle D_x \rangle^{2(r-s)} u), \nabla^s u)_{L^2} = (\langle D_x \rangle^{2(r-s)} u, (-\Delta)^s u)_{L^2} \\
 &= (\langle D_x \rangle^{r-s} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u, \langle D_x \rangle^{r-s} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u)_{L^2} \\
 &= \|(-\Delta)^{s/2} u\|_{H^{r-s}}^2 \leq c \|u\|_{H^r}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

An argument by density completes the proof of the first part of the statement.

For the second one, observe that $r \leq s$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H^{r-s}}^2 &= (\langle D_{x,y} \rangle^{r-s} v, \langle D_{x,y} \rangle^{r-s} v)_{L^2} = (\langle D_{x,y} \rangle^{2(r-s)} v, v)_{L^2} \\ &= ((1 + |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)^{r-s} \hat{v}, \hat{v})_{L^2} \leq c((1 + |\xi + \eta|^2)^{r-s} \hat{v}, \hat{v})_{L^2} \\ &= c(\langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{2(r-s)} v, v)_{L^2} = c\|v\|_{\langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{r-s} L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\langle D_x + D_y \rangle^{r-s} L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \subseteq H^{r-s}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. $\square$

As a consequence of the above Lemma, the fractional divergence can be similarly extended as $(\nabla \cdot)^s : H^t(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow H^{t-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $t \geq s$.

3. Definition and properties of FMSE

Fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $\Omega_e = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ be the *exterior domain*, and also recall that $p := \max\{2, n/2s\}$. The *vector potential* and *scalar potential* are two functions $A : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mapsto \mathbb{C}^n$ and $q : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. The following properties are of interest:

- (p1). $\mathcal{I}_1 A, \mathcal{I}_2 A \in L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (p2). $A_{s\parallel} \in H^{sp-s}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathbb{C}^n)$,
- (p3). $A_{a\parallel}(x, y) \cdot (y - x) \geq 0$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
- (p4). $q \in L^p(\Omega)$,
- (p5). $A \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, $\text{supp}(A) \subseteq \Omega^2$.

With respect to the above properties, we define four sets of potentials:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_0 &:= \{\text{vector potentials } A \text{ verifying } (p1) - (p3)\}, \\ \mathcal{A} &:= \{\text{vector potentials } A \text{ verifying } (p1) - (p3) \text{ and } (p5)\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_0 &:= \{\text{pairs of potentials } (A, q) \text{ verifying } (p1) - (p4)\}, \\ \mathcal{P} &:= \{\text{pairs of potentials } (A, q) \text{ verifying } (p1) - (p5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. The peculiar definitions for the spaces in (p1), (p2) and (p4) are due to computational necessities: they make the following quantities

$$\|qu\|_{H^{-s}}, \quad \|(\nabla \cdot)^s A_{s\parallel}\|_{L^p}, \quad \|(\mathcal{I}_2 A)^2\|_{L^p}, \quad \|u \mathcal{I}_2 A\|_{L^2}$$

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

finite for $u \in H^s$, as needed in Remark 3.6, Lemma 3.3 and (7). This is easily proved by using Lemma 2.5. However, if $n \geq 4$, then $p = n/2s$, and so in this case $L^{2p} = L^{n/s}$ and $H^{sp-s} = H^{n/2-s}$; this simplifies the assumptions for n large enough.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By (p1) and (e4),

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x, y)u(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A(x, y)|^2 dy dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)^2 \mathcal{J}_2 A(x)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = \|u \mathcal{J}_2 A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq k \|u\|_{H^s} \|\mathcal{J}_2 A\|_{L^{2p}} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and thus the magnetic fractional gradient of u can be defined as the function $\nabla_A^s u : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\langle \nabla_A^s u, v \rangle := \langle \nabla^s u + A(x, y)u(x), v \rangle, \quad \text{for all } v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}). \quad (8)$$

By the same computation, ∇_A^s acts as an operator $\nabla_A^s : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$, $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. The magnetic fractional divergence is defined by duality as that operator $(\nabla \cdot)_A^s : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\langle (\nabla \cdot)_A^s v, u \rangle := \langle v, \nabla_A^s u \rangle.$$

By construction, the magnetic fractional divergence and gradient can be combined; we call magnetic fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)_A^s := (\nabla \cdot)_A^s (\nabla_A^s)$ that operator from $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, for all $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle (-\Delta)_A^s u, v \rangle = \langle \nabla_A^s u, \nabla_A^s v \rangle. \quad (9)$$

Remark 3.2. If $A \equiv 0$, the magnetic fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)_A^s$ is reduced to its non-magnetic counterpart $(-\Delta)^s$, as expected. Since the fractional Laplacian is well understood (see e.g. [17]), from now on we assume $A \not\equiv 0$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $A \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{A}_0$ and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The equation

$$(-\Delta)_A^s u = (-\Delta)^s u + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (A_{a\parallel} \cdot \nabla^s u) dy + \left((\nabla \cdot)^s A_{s\parallel} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A|^2 dy \right) u \quad (10)$$

holds in weak sense.

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

Proof. By (9), $(-\Delta)_A^s u \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and in order to prove (10) in weak sense one needs to compute $\langle (-\Delta)_A^s u, v \rangle$ for $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By (9) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (-\Delta)_A^s u, v \rangle &= \langle \nabla^s u + A(x, y)u(x), \nabla^s v + A(x, y)v(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla^s u, \nabla^s v \rangle + \langle Au, Av \rangle + \langle \nabla^s u, Av \rangle + \langle \nabla^s v, Au \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where all the above terms make sense, since by formula (7) $\nabla^s u, \nabla^s v, Au$ and Av all belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. The new term $\langle \nabla^s u, A(y, x)v(x) \rangle$ is also finite, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (-\Delta)_A^s u, v \rangle &= \langle \nabla^s u, \nabla^s v \rangle + \langle Au, Av \rangle + \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla^s u, A(x, y)v(x) \rangle - \langle \nabla^s u, A(y, x)v(x) \rangle + \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla^s u, A(y, x)v(x) \rangle + \langle \nabla^s v, A(x, y)u \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

For the first term on the right hand side of (11), by definition,

$$\langle \nabla^s u, \nabla^s v \rangle = \langle (\nabla \cdot)^s \nabla^s u, v \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^s u, v \rangle. \quad (12)$$

For the second one, by the embeddings (e5), (e2) and (e7),

$$\langle Au, Av \rangle = \left\langle u(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A(x, y)|^2 dy, v \right\rangle = \langle u(\mathcal{J}_2 A)^2, v \rangle. \quad (13)$$

Since $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by (3) we deduce $\mathcal{J}_2(\nabla^s u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now (e3) implies that $\mathcal{J}_2(\nabla^s u)\mathcal{J}_2 A \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the other hand, by Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u \cdot A dy \right\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u \cdot A dy \right|^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^s u| |A| dy \right)^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla^s u|^2 dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{n}{n+2s}} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{J}_2(\nabla^s u) \mathcal{J}_2 A|^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}} dx = \|\mathcal{J}_2(\nabla^s u) \mathcal{J}_2 A\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u \cdot A dy \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+2s}}$. Now $\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u \cdot A dy, v \rangle$ is finite by (e7), and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^s u, A(x, y)v(x) \rangle - \langle \nabla^s u, A(y, x)v(x) \rangle &= \\ &= \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u \cdot A(x, y) dy, v \right\rangle - \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u \cdot A(y, x) dy, v \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u \cdot (A(x, y) - A(y, x)) dy, v \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u \cdot A_a dy, v \right\rangle = \left\langle 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u \cdot A_a dy, v \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

The last steps use Lemma 2.3 to write A_a for $A \in L^2$ and to see that $\nabla^s u$ is a.e. a parallel vector for $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which implies $\nabla^s u \cdot A_{a\perp} = 0$ a.e.. This computes the third and fourth terms on the right hand side of (11). For the last two terms observe that, since $A(y, x)v(x) - A(x, y)v(y)$ is antisymmetric, by Lemma 2.3 we have $\langle \nabla^s u, A(y, x)v(x) - A(x, y)v(y) \rangle = 0$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla^s u, A(y, x) v(x) \rangle + \langle \nabla^s v, Au \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} A(x, y) \cdot (v(y) \nabla^s u + u(x) \nabla^s v) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} A \cdot \alpha \left(v(y)(u(x) - u(y)) + u(x)(v(x) - v(y)) \right) dx dy \quad (15) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} A_{s\parallel} \cdot \alpha \left(u(x)v(x) - u(y)v(y) \right) dx dy \\ &= \langle A_{s\parallel}, \nabla^s(uv) \rangle = \langle u(\nabla \cdot)^s A_{s\parallel}, v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the third line of (15) the integrand is the product of a symmetric, parallel vector and A ; this reduces A to $A_{s\parallel}$. From (e1), (e7) and Lemma 2.7 one sees that $\nabla^s(uv) \in H^{s-sp}$, and now $\langle A_{s\parallel}, \nabla^s(uv) \rangle$ makes sense by (p2). Eventually, (5), (e6), (e2) and (e7) explain the last step. Equation (10) follows from (11), (12), (13), (14) and (15). $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $A \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap \mathcal{A}_0$. There exists a symmetric distribution $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that $\sigma \geq 1$ and $A_{a\parallel} = \alpha(\sigma - 1)$ a.e..*

Proof. Because of Lemma 2.3, $A_{a\parallel}$ is a parallel vector almost everywhere, and thus $\|A_{a\parallel} - (A_{a\parallel})_{\parallel}\|_{L^2} = 0$. Again by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \|A_{a\parallel} - (A_{a\parallel})_{\parallel}\|_{L^2} = \left\| A_{a\parallel} - \frac{A_{a\parallel} \cdot (x - y)}{|x - y|^2} (x - y) \right\|_{L^2} \\ &= \left\| A_{a\parallel} - \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{C_{n,s}^{1/2}} \frac{A_{a\parallel} \cdot (x - y)}{|x - y|^{1-n/2-s}} \right) \frac{C_{n,s}^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{y - x}{|y - x|^{n/2+s+1}} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &= \left\| A_{a\parallel} - \left(\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{C_{n,s}^{1/2}} \frac{A_{a\parallel} \cdot (y - x)}{|x - y|^{1-n/2-s}} \right) - 1 \right) \alpha \right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and B_{r_1}, B_{r_2} are balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at the origin

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

such that $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset B_{r_1} \times B_{r_2}$, then by (1), (2) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \left\langle 1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{C_{n,s}^{1/2}} |y-x|^{n/2+s} \left(A_{a\parallel} \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \right), \phi \right\rangle \right| = \\
 & = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{C_{n,s}^{1/2}} |y-x|^{n/2+s} \left(A_{a\parallel} \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \right) \right) \phi \, dy \, dx \right| \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| 1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{C_{n,s}^{1/2}} |y-x|^{n/2+s} \left(A_{a\parallel} \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \right) \right| |\phi| \, dy \, dx \\
 & \leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \int_{B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_2}} \left| 1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{C_{n,s}^{1/2}} |y-x|^{n/2+s} \left(A_{a\parallel} \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \right) \right| \, dy \, dx \\
 & \leq k \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + \int_{B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_2}} |y-x|^{n/2+s} \left| A_{a\parallel} \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \right| \, dy \, dx \right) \\
 & \leq k \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + \int_{B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_2}} (|x| + |y|)^{n/2+s} |A_{a\parallel}| \, dy \, dx \right) \\
 & \leq k' \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + \int_{B_{r_1}} \int_{B_{r_2}} |A_{a\parallel}| \, dy \, dx \right) \\
 & \leq k' \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + \|A_{a\parallel}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}^2 \right) \leq k' \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \left(1 + \|A\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}^2 \right) < \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus it makes sense to define a distribution $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that

$$\langle \sigma, \phi \rangle = \left\langle 1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{C_{n,s}^{1/2}} |y-x|^{n/2+s} \left(A_{a\parallel} \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} \right), \phi \right\rangle$$

holds for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Given that $A_{a\parallel}$ is antisymmetric, it is clear that σ is symmetric; moreover, property (p3) assures that $\sigma \geq 1$. $\square$

Remark 3.5. If $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the previous Lemma we can rewrite the leading term of $(-\Delta)_A^s$ as

$$C_{n,s} \, PV \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, y) \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \, dy.$$

This shows the connection between the magnetic and classical fractional Laplacians: if $\sigma(x, y) \equiv 1$, i.e. if $A_{a\parallel} \equiv 0$, the formula above defines $(-\Delta)^s u$. Moreover, if $\sigma(x, y)$ is separable (i.e. there are functions $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma_1(x)\sigma_2(y)$) we get the fractional conductivity operator (see [10]).

Consider $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and $f \in H^s(\Omega_e)$. We say that $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solves

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

FMSE with exterior value f if and only if

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_A^s u + qu = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = f & \text{in } \Omega_e \end{cases}$$

holds in weak sense, that is if and only if $u - f \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ and, for all $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle (-\Delta)_A^s u, v \rangle + \langle qu, v \rangle = 0. \quad (16)$$

Remark 3.6. By (p1), (p2) and (p4), formula (16) makes sense. This was already partially shown in the above discussion about the magnetic fractional Laplacian. For the last term, just use (p4), (e2) and (e7).

Old gauges, new gauges. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be the abelian group of all strictly positive functions $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi|_{\Omega_e} = 1$. For $(A, q), (A', q') \in \mathcal{P}_0$, define

$$(A, q) \sim (A', q') \Leftrightarrow (-\Delta)_A^s u + qu = (-\Delta)_{A'}^s u + q'u, \quad (17)$$

$$(A, q) \approx (A', q') \Leftrightarrow \exists \phi \in G : (-\Delta)_A^s(u\phi) + qu\phi = \phi((- \Delta)_{A'}^s u + q'u) \quad (18)$$

for all $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Both $\sim$ and $\approx$ are equivalence relations on $\mathcal{P}_0$, and thus we can consider the quotient spaces $\mathcal{P}_0/\sim$ and $\mathcal{P}_0/\approx$. Moreover, since $\phi \equiv 1 \in G$, we have $(A, q) \sim (A', q') \Rightarrow (A, q) \approx (A', q')$.

We say that FMSE has the gauge $\sim$ if for each $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}_0$ there exists $(A', q') \in \mathcal{P}_0$ such that $(A', q') \neq (A, q)$ and $(A, q) \sim (A', q')$. Similarly, we say that FMSE has the gauge $\approx$ if for each $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}_0$ there exist $\phi \in G$, $(A', q') \in \mathcal{P}_0$ such that $\phi \neq 1$, $(A', q') \neq (A, q)$ and $(A, q) \approx (A', q')$.

Remark 3.7. The definitions (17) and (18), which have been given for FMSE, can be extended to the local case in the natural way.

If $s = 1$, it is known that $(-\Delta)_A(u\phi) + qu\phi = \phi \left((-\Delta)_{A + \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi}} u + qu \right)$ for all $\phi \in G$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If we choose $\phi \neq 1$, we have $\left(A + \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi}, q \right) \neq (A, q)$ and $(A, q) \approx \left(A + \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi}, q \right)$, which shows that MSE has the gauge $\approx$. On the other hand, if $(A, q) \sim (A', q')$ then necessarily $A = A'$ and $q = q'$: thus, MSE does not enjoy the gauge $\sim$. We now treat the case $s \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $(A, q), (A', q') \in \mathcal{P}_0$. Then $(A, q) \sim (A', q')$ if and only if $A_{a\parallel} = A'_{a\parallel}$ and $Q = Q'$, where

$$Q := q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A|^2 dy + (\nabla \cdot)^s A_{s\parallel}, \quad Q' := q' + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A'|^2 dy + (\nabla \cdot)^s A'_{s\parallel}.$$

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

Proof. One direction of the implication is trivial: by (10) and the definition, it is clear that if $A_{a\parallel} = A'_{a\parallel}$ and $Q = Q'$ then $(-\Delta)_A^s u + qu = (-\Delta)_{A'}^s u + q'u$. For the other one, use Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 to write $(-\Delta)_A^s u + qu = (-\Delta)_{A'}^s u + q'u$ as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\alpha|^2 (\sigma' - \sigma)(u(y) - u(x)) dy + u(x)(Q - Q') \\ &= C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sigma' - \sigma) \frac{u(y) - u(x)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy + u(x)(Q - Q'). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Fix $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u(y) := \psi(y)e^{-1/|x-y|}|x-y|^{n+2s}$; one sees that $u \in \mathcal{S}$, since it is compactly supported and all the derivatives of the smooth function $e^{-1/|x-y|}$ vanish at x . Thus $u \in H^s$, and we can substitute it in (19):

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sigma(x, y) - \sigma'(x, y)) e^{-1/|x-y|} \psi(y) dy = \langle (\sigma(x, \cdot) - \sigma'(x, \cdot)) e^{-1/|\cdot-x|}, \psi \rangle.$$

Being ψ arbitrary and $e^{-1/|x-y|}$ non-negative, we deduce that $y \mapsto \sigma(x, y) - \sigma'(x, y)$ is zero for any fixed x , that is, $\sigma = \sigma'$. Then $A_{a\parallel} = A'_{a\parallel}$ by Lemma 3.4, and also $Q = Q'$ by (19). $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Let $A \neq 0$. Then FMSE has the gauge $\sim$.*

Proof. If $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and $A' \in \mathcal{A}_0$ is such that $A_{a\parallel} = A'_{a\parallel}$, then by the previous Lemma $(A, q) \sim (A', q')$ if and only if $Q = Q'$, that is

$$q' = q + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A|^2 dy + (\nabla \cdot)^s A_{s\parallel} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A'|^2 dy - (\nabla \cdot)^s A'_{s\parallel}.$$

Since $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}_0$, we have $A_{s\parallel}, A'_{s\parallel} \in H^{sp-s}$ and $\mathcal{J}_2 A, \mathcal{J}_2 A' \in L^{2p}$. By the former fact, $(\nabla \cdot)^s A_{s\parallel}, (\nabla \cdot)^s A'_{s\parallel}$ belong to H^{sp-2s} and eventually to L^p because of (e6). By the latter fact and (e5), $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A|^2 dy, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A'|^2 dy \in L^p$. Also, $q \in L^p$ because $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}_0$. This implies that (p4) holds for the q' computed above. Hence, if we find $A' \in \mathcal{A}_0$ such that $A_{a\parallel} = A'_{a\parallel}$, and then take q' as above, we get a $(A', q') \in \mathcal{P}_0$ in gauge $\sim$ with a given $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}_0$. We now show how to do this with $A \neq A'$, which implies that FMSE enjoys $\sim$.

Fix $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}_0$, and for the case $A_\perp \neq 0$ let $A' := A_\parallel - A_\perp$. Then $A \neq A'$, because $A_\perp \neq A'_\perp$; moreover, from $A_\parallel = A'_\parallel$ we get $A_{a\parallel} = A'_{a\parallel}$ and $A'_{s\parallel} = A_{s\parallel} \in H^{sp-s}$. Eventually, $|A'|^2 = |A'_\parallel|^2 + |A'_\perp|^2 = |A_\parallel|^2 + |-A_\perp|^2 = |A_\parallel|^2 + |A_\perp|^2 = |A|^2$ implies $\mathcal{J}_2 A' = \mathcal{J}_2 A$, and A' verifies (p1). If instead we have $A_\perp \equiv 0$, let $A' = A_\parallel + RA_\parallel$, where R is any $\pi/2$ rotation. Then as before $A_{a\parallel} = A'_{a\parallel}$

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

and $A'_{s\parallel} = A_{s\parallel} \in H^{sp-s}$, because $A_{\parallel} = A'_{\parallel}$. We also have $A \neq A'$, because $A_{\perp} = 0 \neq RA_{\parallel} = A'_{\perp}$. Finally, since $\mathcal{J}_2 A \in L^p$, A' verifies (p1):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 A' &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A'|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A'_{\parallel}|^2 + |A'_{\perp}|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A_{\parallel}|^2 + |RA_{\parallel}|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2|A_{\parallel}|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{2} \mathcal{J}_2 A. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.10. *FMSE does not have the gauge $\approx$.*

Proof. Let $(A, q), (A', q') \in \mathcal{P}_0$ such that $(A, q) \approx (A', q')$. Then there exists $\phi \in G$ such that $(-\Delta)_A^s(u\phi) + qu\phi = \phi((-\Delta)_{A'}^s u + q'u)$ for all $u \in H^s$. Fix $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u(y) := \psi(y)e^{-1/|x-y|}|x-y|^{n+2s}$ as in Lemma 3.8. Then $u \in \mathcal{S}$, and by Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= C_{n,s} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sigma(x, y) \frac{u(x)\phi(x) - u(y)\phi(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} - \sigma'(x, y) \frac{u(x)\phi(x) - u(y)\phi(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \right) dy \\ &\quad + u(x)\phi(x)(Q - Q') \\ &= C_{n,s} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} (\sigma'(x, y)\phi(x) - \sigma(x, y)\phi(y)) dy \\ &= C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(y)e^{-1/|x-y|} (\sigma'(x, y)\phi(x) - \sigma(x, y)\phi(y)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Here the principal value disappears because the integral is not singular. Given the arbitrariness of ψ and the non negativity of the exponential, we deduce $\sigma(x, y)\phi(y) = \sigma'(x, y)\phi(x)$ for all $y \neq x$. On the other hand, since σ, σ' are symmetric and $\phi > 0$, by taking the symmetric part of each side

$$\sigma(x, y) \frac{\phi(x) + \phi(y)}{2} = (\sigma(x, y)\phi(y))_s = (\sigma'(x, y)\phi(x))_s = \sigma'(x, y) \frac{\phi(x) + \phi(y)}{2}.$$

This implies $\sigma = \sigma'$, and the equation can be rewritten as $\sigma(x, y)(\phi(y) - \phi(x)) = 0$. Being $\sigma > 0$, it is clear that ϕ must be constant, and therefore equal to 1. This means that whenever $(A, q), (A', q') \in \mathcal{P}_0$ are such that $(A, q) \approx (A', q')$ with some $\phi \in G$, then $\phi \equiv 1$, i.e. FMSE does not have the gauge $\approx$. $\square$

By the last two Lemmas, FMSE enjoys $\sim$, but not $\approx$. Observe that the reverse is true for the classical magnetic Schrödinger equation. This surprising difference is due to the non-local nature of the operators involved: FMSE has $\sim$ because the coefficient of its gradient term is not the whole vector potential A , as in the classical case, but just a part of it. On the other hand, the restriction imposed by the antisymmetry of such part motivates the absence of $\approx$.

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

Bilinear form. Let $s \in (0, 1)$, $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and define the *bilinear form* $B_{A,q}^s : H^s \times H^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$B_{A,q}^s[u, v] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_A^s u \cdot \nabla_A^s v \, dy dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} quv \, dx .$$

Observe that by Fubini's theorem and Lemmas 3.3, 3.4

$$\begin{aligned} B_{A,q}^s[u, u] &= \langle (-\Delta)^s u, u \rangle + 2\langle \nabla^s u, A_a u \rangle + \langle Qu, u \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla^s u, \nabla^s u \rangle + 2\langle \nabla^s u, \alpha(\sigma - 1)u \rangle + \langle Qu, u \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla^s u, \nabla^s u + (\sigma - 1)\alpha(u(x) - u(y)) \rangle + \langle Qu, u \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla^s u, \sigma \nabla^s u \rangle + \langle Qu, u \rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Since again by Lemma 3.4 we have $\sigma \geq 1$, for the first term

$$\langle \nabla^s u, \sigma \nabla^s u \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \sigma |\nabla^s u|^2 \, dy dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\nabla^s u|^2 \, dy dx = \langle (-\Delta)^s u, u \rangle ,$$

and thus $B_{A,q}^s[u, u] \geq B_{0,Q}^s[u, u]$. Now Lemma 2.6 from [38] gives the well-posedness of the direct problem for FMSE, in the assumption that 0 is not an eigenvalue for the equation: if $F \in (\tilde{H}^s(\Omega))^*$ then there exists a unique solution $u_F \in H^s(\Omega)$ to $B_{A,q}^s[u, v] = F(v)$, $\forall v \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$, that is a unique $u_F \in H^s(\Omega)$ such that $(-\Delta)_A^s u + qu = F$ in Ω , $u_F|_{\Omega_e} = 0$. For non-zero exterior value, see e.g. [10] and [17]; one also gets the estimate

$$\|u_f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(\|F\|_{(\tilde{H}^s(\Omega))^*} + \|f\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}) . \quad (20)$$

Lemma 3.11. Let $v, w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f, g \in H^s(\Omega_e)$ and $u_f, u_g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $((-\Delta)_A^s + q)u_f = ((-\Delta)_A^s + q)u_g = 0$ in Ω , $u_f|_{\Omega_e} = f$ and $u_g|_{\Omega_e} = g$. Then

1. $B_{A,q}^s[v, w] = B_{A,q}^s[w, v]$ (symmetry),
2. $|B_{A,q}^s[v, w]| \leq k\|v\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|w\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$,
3. $B_{A,q}^s[u_f, e_g] = B_{A,q}^s[u_g, e_f]$,

where $e_g, e_f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are extensions of g, f respectively.

Proof. Symmetry follows immediately from the definition. For the second point, use (e2), (e7) and the definition of magnetic fractional gradient to write

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{A,q}^s[v, w]| &= |\langle \nabla_A^s v, \nabla_A^s w \rangle + \langle qv, w \rangle| \leq |\langle \nabla_A^s v, \nabla_A^s w \rangle| + |\langle qv, w \rangle| \\ &\leq \|\nabla_A^s v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_A^s w\|_{L^2} + \|qv\|_{H^{-s}} \|w\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq k'\|v\|_{H^s} \|w\|_{H^s} + k''\|q\|_{L^p} \|v\|_{H^s} \|w\|_{H^s} \leq k\|v\|_{H^s} \|w\|_{H^s} . \end{aligned}$$

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

For the third point, first compute

$$\begin{aligned} B_{A,q}^s[u_f, u_g] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((-\Delta)_A^s u_f + qu_f) u_g dx = \int_{\Omega_e} ((-\Delta)_A^s u_f + qu_f) u_g dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_e} ((-\Delta)_A^s u_f + qu_f) e_g dx = B_{A,q}^s[u_f, e_g], \end{aligned}$$

and then $B_{A,q}^s[u_f, e_g] = B_{A,q}^s[u_f, u_g] = B_{A,q}^s[u_g, u_f] = B_{A,q}^s[u_g, e_f]$. $\square$

The DN-map and the integral identity.

Lemma 3.12. *There exists a bounded, linear, self-adjoint map $\Lambda_{A,q}^s : X \rightarrow X^*$ defined by*

$$\langle \Lambda_{A,q}^s[f], [g] \rangle = B_{A,q}^s[u_f, g], \quad \forall f, g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where X is the abstract quotient space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)/\tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ and $u_f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solves $(-\Delta)_A^s u_f + qu_f = 0$ in Ω with $u - f \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$.

Proof. We first prove that the tentative definition of the DN-map does not depend on the representatives of the equivalence classes involved. Let $\phi, \psi \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ and compute by Lemma 3.11

$$\begin{aligned} B_{A,q}^s[u_{f+\phi}, g + \psi] &= \int_{\Omega_e} (g + \psi)((-\Delta)_A^s + q)u_{f+\phi} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_e} g((-\Delta)_A^s + q)u_f dx = B_{A,q}^s[u_f, g]. \end{aligned}$$

The ψ disappears because it vanishes in Ω_e , while the ϕ plays actually no role, since $f = f + \phi$ over Ω_e implies $u_{f+\phi} = u_f$. The boundedness of $\Lambda_{A,q}^s$ follows from 3.11 and (20): first compute

$$|\langle \Lambda_{A,q}^s[f], [g] \rangle| = |B_{A,q}^s[u_f, g]| \leq k \|u_f\|_{H^s} \|g\|_{H^s} \leq c \|f\|_{H^s} \|g\|_{H^s},$$

for all $f \in [f]$, $g \in [g]$, and then observe that this implies

$$|\langle \Lambda_{A,q}^s[f], [g] \rangle| \leq k \inf_{f \in [f]} \|f\|_{H^s} \inf_{g \in [g]} \|g\|_{H^s} = k \| [f] \|_X \| [g] \|_X.$$

Finally, we prove the self-adjointness from Lemma 3.11:

$$\langle \Lambda_{A,q}^s[f], [g] \rangle = B_{A,q}^s[u_f, e_g] = B_{A,q}^s[u_g, e_f] = \langle \Lambda_{A,q}^s[g], [f] \rangle = \langle [f], \Lambda_{A,q}^s[g] \rangle. \quad \square$$

The DN-map will now be used to prove an integral identity.

3 DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF FMSE

Lemma 3.13. *Let $(A_1, q_1), (A_2, q_2) \in \mathcal{P}$, f_1, f_2 be exterior data belonging to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u_i \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the solution of $(-\Delta)_{A_i}^s u_i + q_i u_i = 0$ with $u_i - f_i \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, 2$. The following integral identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\Lambda_{A_1, q_1}^s - \Lambda_{A_2, q_2}^s) f_1, f_2 \rangle &= \\ &= 2 \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((A_1)_{a\parallel} - (A_2)_{a\parallel}) \cdot \nabla^s u_1 dy, u_2 \right\rangle + \langle (Q_1 - Q_2) u_1, u_2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Proof. The proof is a computation based on the results of Lemmas 3.12 and 3.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\Lambda_{A_1, q_1}^s - \Lambda_{A_2, q_2}^s) f_1, f_2 \rangle &= B_{A_1, q_1}^s[u_1, u_2] - B_{A_2, q_2}^s[u_1, u_2] \\ &= \langle \nabla^s u_1, \nabla^s u_2 \rangle + 2 \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (A_1)_{a\parallel} \cdot \nabla^s u_1 dy, u_2 \right\rangle + \langle Q_1 u_1, u_2 \rangle - \\ &\quad - \langle \nabla^s u_1, \nabla^s u_2 \rangle - 2 \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (A_2)_{a\parallel} \cdot \nabla^s u_1 dy, u_2 \right\rangle - \langle Q_2 u_1, u_2 \rangle \\ &= 2 \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((A_1)_{a\parallel} - (A_2)_{a\parallel}) \cdot \nabla^s u_1 dy, u_2 \right\rangle + \langle (Q_1 - Q_2) u_1, u_2 \rangle. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The WUCP and the RAP. Let $W \subseteq \Omega_e$ be open and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $u = 0$ and $(-\Delta)_{A_1}^s u + q u = 0$ in W . If this implies that $u = 0$ in Ω as well, we say that FMSE has got the WUCP. It is known that WUCP holds if both A and q vanish, that is, in the case of the fractional Laplace equation (see [38]).

Let $\mathcal{R} = \{u_f|_{\Omega}, f \in C_c^\infty(W)\} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ be the set of the restrictions to Ω of those functions u_f solving FMSE for some smooth exterior value f supported in W . If $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$, we say that FMSE has got the RAP.

Remark 3.14. *The WUCP and the RAP are non-local properties. For example, the RAP shows a certain freedom of the solutions to fractional PDEs, since it states that they can approximate any L^2 function. This is not the case for a local operator, e.g. the classical Laplacian, whose solutions are much more rigid.*

Lemma 3.15. *The WUCP implies the RAP in the case of FMSE.*

Proof. We follow the spirit of the analogous Lemma of [17]. Let $v \in L^2(\Omega)$, and assume that $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$ for all $w \in \mathcal{R}$. Then if $f \in C_c^\infty(W)$ and $\phi \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$

4 MAIN RESULTS

solves $(-\Delta)_A^s \phi + q\phi = v$ in Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle v, u_f |_{\Omega} \rangle = \langle v, u_f - f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(u_f - f) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} v(u_f - f) dx = \int_{\Omega} ((-\Delta)_A^s \phi + q\phi)(u_f - f) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((-\Delta)_A^s \phi + q\phi)(u_f - f) dx \\ &= B_{A,q}^s[\phi, u_f] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((-\Delta)_A^s \phi + q\phi)f dx. \end{aligned}$$

However, $B_{A,q}^s[\phi, u_f] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((-\Delta)_A^s u_f + qu_f)\phi dx = 0$, and so $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((-\Delta)_A^s \phi + q\phi)f dx = 0$. Given the arbitrariness of $f \in C_c^\infty(W)$, this implies that $(-\Delta)_A^s \phi + q\phi = 0$ in W . Now we use the WUCP: from $(-\Delta)_A^s \phi + q\phi = 0$ and $\phi = 0$ in W , an open subset of Ω_e , we deduce that $\phi = 0$ in Ω as well. By the definition of ϕ and the fact that $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ it now follows that $v \equiv 0$. Thus if $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$ holds for all $w \in \mathcal{R}$, then $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ must vanish; by the Hahn-Banach theorem this implies that $\mathcal{R}$ is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$. $\square$

4. Main results

The inverse problem. We prove Theorem 1.1 under the assumption $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}$, while for all the previous results we only required $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}_0$. We find that (p5) makes physical sense, as the random walk interpretation of FMSE suggests; however, we move the consideration of the general case to future work. By (p5) and Lemma 3.4 we easily deduce that $\sigma(x, y) \equiv 1$ whenever $(x, y) \notin \Omega^2$, since in this case $A_{a||}(x, y) = 0$. Another consequence of (p5) is:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then FMSE enjoys the WUCP.*

Proof. Suppose that for all $x \in W \subseteq \Omega_e$ we have $u(x) = 0$, $(-\Delta)_A^s u(x) + q(x)u(x) = 0$. This in particular implies that $(-\Delta)_A^s u(x) = 0$. Since $x \notin \Omega$, for almost every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we must have $A(x, y) = A(y, x) = 0$ by property (p5), which means that $A_{a||}(x, y) = 0$. It is now an easy consequence of Lemma 3.3 that $(-\Delta)^s u(x) = 0$ for all $x \in W$. The known WUCP for the fractional Laplacian ([17]) gives the wanted result. $\square$

We are ready to solve the inverse problem, which we restate here:

4 MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$ be a bounded open set, $s \in (0, 1)$, and let $(A_i, q_i) \in \mathcal{P}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Suppose $W_1, W_2 \subset \Omega_e$ are non empty open sets, and that the DN maps for the FMSEs in Ω relative to (A_1, q_1) and (A_2, q_2) satisfy*

$$\Lambda_{A_1, q_1}^s[f]|_{W_2} = \Lambda_{A_2, q_2}^s[f]|_{W_2}, \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(W_1).$$

Then $(A_1, q_1) \sim (A_2, q_2)$, that is, the potentials coincide up to the gauge $\sim$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $W_1 \cap W_2 = \emptyset$. Let $f_i \in C_c^\infty(W_i)$, and let $u_i \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solve $(-\Delta)_{A_i}^s u_i + q_i u_i = 0$ with $u_i - f_i \in \dot{H}^s(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Knowing that the DN maps computed on $f \in C_c^\infty(W_1)$ coincide when restricted to W_2 and the integral identity (21), we write *Alessandrini's identity*:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle (\Lambda_{A_1, q_1}^s - \Lambda_{A_2, q_2}^s) f_1, f_2 \rangle \\ &= 2 \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((A_1)_{a\parallel} - (A_2)_{a\parallel}) \cdot \nabla^s u_1 dy, u_2 \right\rangle + \langle (Q_1 - Q_2) u_1, u_2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We can refine (22) by substituting every instance of u_i with $u_i|_\Omega$. In fact, since u_i is supported in $\Omega \cup W_i$ and $(\Omega \cup W_1) \cap (\Omega \cup W_2) = \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (Q_1 - Q_2) u_1, u_2 \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_1 u_2 (Q_1 - Q_2) dx = \int_{\Omega} u_1 u_2 (Q_1 - Q_2) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u_1|_\Omega u_2|_\Omega (Q_1 - Q_2) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_1|_\Omega u_2|_\Omega (Q_1 - Q_2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by property (p5),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s u_1 \cdot ((A_1)_{a\parallel} - (A_2)_{a\parallel}) dy, u_2 \right\rangle &= \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((A_1)_{a\parallel} - (A_2)_{a\parallel}) \cdot \nabla^s u_1 dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_2(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sigma_1(x, y) - \sigma_2(x, y)) |\alpha|^2 (u_1(x) - u_1(y)) dy dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (u_2|_\Omega)(x) \int_{\Omega} (\sigma_1(x, y) - \sigma_2(x, y)) |\alpha|^2 ((u_1|_\Omega)(x) - (u_1|_\Omega)(y)) dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Eventually we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u_2|_\Omega)(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sigma_1(x, y) - \sigma_2(x, y)) |\alpha|^2 ((u_1|_\Omega)(x) - (u_1|_\Omega)(y)) dy dx + \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_1|_\Omega u_2|_\Omega (Q_1 - Q_2) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

5 A RANDOM WALK INTERPRETATION FOR FMSE

The RAP holds by Lemmas 3.15 and 4.1. Fix any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, and let $f_i^{(k)} \in C_c^\infty(W_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $u_1^{(k)}|_\Omega \rightarrow 1$, $u_2^{(k)}|_\Omega \rightarrow f$ in L^2 . Inserting these solutions in (23) and taking the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(Q_1 - Q_2) dx = 0$, so that, given that $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ is arbitrary, we deduce $Q_1(x) = Q_2(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$. Coming back to (23), we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u_2|_\Omega)(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sigma_1(x, y) - \sigma_2(x, y)) \frac{(u_1|_\Omega)(x) - (u_1|_\Omega)(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy dx = 0,$$

where $u_i \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ once again solves $(-\Delta)_{A_i}^s u_i + q_i u_i = 0$ with $u_i - f_i \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ for some $f_i \in C_c^\infty(W_i)$ and $i = 1, 2$. Choosing $u_2^{(k)}|_\Omega \rightarrow f$ in L^2 for some arbitrary $f \in L^2$, by the same argument

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sigma_1(x, y) - \sigma_2(x, y)) \frac{(u_1|_\Omega)(x) - (u_1|_\Omega)(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy = 0$$

for $x \in \Omega$. Fix now some $x \in \Omega$ and an arbitrary $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Since $g(y) := \psi(y)e^{-1/|x-y|}|x-y|^{n+2s} \in \mathcal{S} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ as in Lemma 3.8, by the RAP we find a sequence $u_1^{(k)}|_\Omega \rightarrow g$. Substituting these solutions and taking the limit,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\sigma_1(x, y) - \sigma_2(x, y)) \psi(y) e^{-1/|x-y|} dy = 0.$$

Thus we conclude that for all $x \in \Omega$ it must be $\sigma_1(x, y) = \sigma_2(x, y)$ for all $y \in \Omega$, i.e. $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ over Ω^2 . But then σ_1 and σ_2 coincide everywhere, because they are both 1 in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \Omega^2$. This means that $(A_1)_{a\parallel} = (A_2)_{a\parallel}$. Moreover, since by (p2), (p4) and (p5) we have $Q_1 = 0 = Q_2$ over Ω_e , by the argument above $Q_1 = Q_2$ everywhere. It thus follows from Lemma 3.8 that $(A_1, q_1) \sim (A_2, q_2)$. $\square$

5. A random walk interpretation for FMSE

Diffusion phenomena can often be seen as continuous limits of random walks. The classical result for the Laplacian was extended in [43] to the fractional one by considering long jumps. Similarly, the fractional conductivity equation was shown in [10] to arise from a long jump random walk with weight $\gamma^{1/2}$, where γ is the conductivity. We now show how the leading term in FMSE is itself the limit of a long jump random walk with weights. For simplicity, here we take σ as smooth and regular as needed. Let $h > 0$, $\tau = h^{2s}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $t \in \tau\mathbb{Z}$. We consider a random walk on $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ with time steps from $\tau\mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$f(x, k) := \begin{cases} \sigma(x, x + hk) |k|^{-n-2s} & \text{if } k \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases},$$

5 A RANDOM WALK INTERPRETATION FOR FMSE

and then observe that $\forall x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(x, k) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} f(x, k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \sigma(x, x + hk) |k|^{-n-2s} \\ &\leq \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} |k|^{-n-2s} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can normalize $f(x, k)$, and get the new function $P(x, k)$

$$P(x, k) := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(x, j) \right)^{-1} \sigma(x, x + hk) |k|^{-n-2s} & \text{if } k \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (24)$$

$P(x, k)$ takes values in $[0, 1]$ and verifies $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} P(x, k) = 1$; we interpret it as the probability that a particle will jump from $x + hk$ to x in the next step.

Remark 5.1. *Let us compare $P(x, k)$ for the fractional Laplacian, conductivity and magnetic Laplacian operators. $P(x, k)$ always decreases when k increases; the fractional Laplacian, which has $\sigma(x, y) \equiv 1$, treats all the points of $\mathbb{R}^n$ equally: no point is intrinsically more likely to be reached at the next jump; the fractional conductivity operator, which has $\sigma(x, y) = \sqrt{\gamma(x)\gamma(y)}$, distinguishes the points of $\mathbb{R}^n$: those with high conductivity are more likely to be reached. However, the conductivity field is independent from the current position of the particle. The magnetic fractional Laplacian operator has no special $\sigma(x, y)$ and it distinguishes the points of $\mathbb{R}^n$ in a more subtle way, as the conductivity field depends on the position of the particle: the same point may have high conductivity if the particle is at x and a low one if it is at y .*

Remark 5.2. *We now see why $\sigma > 0$ and $\sigma(x, y) = 1$ if $(x, y) \notin \Omega^2$: these are needed for $y \mapsto \sigma(x, y)$ to be a conductivity as in [10] for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Let $u(x, t)$ be the probability that the particle is at point x at time t . Then

$$u(x, t + \tau) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} P(x, k) u(x + hk, t).$$

We can compute $\partial_t u(x, t)$ as the limit for $\tau \rightarrow 0$ of the difference quotients, and then substitute the above formula (see [10]). As the resulting sum approximates the Riemannian integral, we eventually get that for some constant $C > 0$

$$\partial_t u(x, t) = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, y) \frac{u(y, t) - u(x, y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy.$$

5 A RANDOM WALK INTERPRETATION FOR FMSE

If $u(x, t)$ is independent of t , the leading term of FMSE is recovered.

We shall now prove that the random walk we are considering represents anomalous diffusion. In a classical diffusion scenario, very long jumps should happen with very low probability. This is mathematically reflected in the property that the variance of the length of the jumps is finite. However, this is not the case for our random walk:

Lemma 5.3. *If $s \in (0, 1)$, then the second moment of the length of the jumps of the random walk (24) is infinity. Moreover, if $s \in (1/2, 1)$ the first moment is finite, and if $s \in (0, 1/2]$ it is infinity.*

Proof. Fix any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $s \in (0, 1)$. The second moment of the length of the jumps of the random walk (24) is proportional to

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} |k|^2 \frac{\sigma(x, x + hk)}{|k|^{n+2s}} \geq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{|k|^{n+2s-2}}.$$

By the integral test for the convergence of a series, we deduce that the above series diverges because

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} \frac{dx}{|x|^{n+2s-2}} = c \int_1^\infty \rho^{1-2s} d\rho = c \rho^{2-2s} \Big|_{\rho=1}^\infty = \infty.$$

Thus the second moment is infinity. For the first moment, let $M > \text{diam}(\Omega)/h$. Then $(x, x + hk) \notin \Omega^2$ for $|k| > M$, either because $x \notin \Omega$ or because, if $x \in \Omega$, then $|hk| > \text{diam}(\Omega)$ and therefore $x + hk \notin \Omega$. Thus by (p5) we know that $\sigma(x, x + hk) = 1$ if $|k| > M$. Of course we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}} |k| \frac{\sigma(x, x + hk)}{|k|^{n+2s}} = \sum_{\substack{|k| > M \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}}} \frac{1}{|k|^{n+2s-1}} + \sum_{\substack{|k| \leq M \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}}} \frac{\sigma(x, x + hk)}{|k|^{n+2s-1}},$$

because the second sum in the right hand side has only a finite amount of finite terms, and is therefore finite itself. For the other sum in the right hand side, we use again the integral test: the first moment will be finite if and only if the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_M} \frac{dx}{|x|^{n+2s-1}} = c \int_M^\infty \rho^{-2s} d\rho$$

is itself finite. We see that this happens if and only if $s \in (1/2, 1)$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

6 ONE SLIGHT GENERALIZATION

Remark 5.4. If $s \in (1/2, 1)$, the variance of the length of the jumps of the random walk (24) is infinity because of the above Lemma. Therefore, in this case the random walk represents anomalous diffusion.

6. One slight generalization

We now briefly consider a fractional magnetic conductivity equation (FMCE) and show that it shares similar features as FMSE. Let $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}$ and let γ be a conductivity in the sense of [10]. Consider $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\nabla_A^s : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, if $\Theta(x, y) := \sqrt{\gamma(x)\gamma(y)}\text{Id}$ by the properties of γ we know that $\Theta \cdot \nabla_A^s u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Thus we define the *fractional magnetic conductivity operator*

$$C_{\gamma, A}^s u(x) := (\nabla \cdot)_A^s (\Theta \cdot \nabla_A^s u)(x), \quad C_{\gamma, A}^s : H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We say that $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solves the FMCE with exterior value $f \in H^s(\Omega_e)$ if

$$\begin{cases} C_{\gamma, A}^s u(x) + q(x)u(x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = f & \text{in } \Omega_e \end{cases}$$

holds in weak sense.

Lemma 6.1. Let $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in H^s(\Omega_e)$, $w = \gamma^{1/2}u$ and $f = \gamma^{1/2}g$. Moreover, let $(A, q) \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} q' := q'_{\gamma, A, q} &= \frac{q}{\gamma} - (\nabla \cdot)_A^s A_{s\parallel} + \frac{(\nabla \cdot)^s (A\gamma^{1/2}(y))}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} - \frac{(-\Delta)^s (\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} + \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(-\frac{\nabla^s (\gamma^{1/2}) \cdot A}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} + |A|^2 \left(\frac{\gamma^{1/2}(y)}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} - 1 \right) \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

FMCE with potentials (A, q) , conductivity γ and exterior value g is solved by u if and only if w solves FMSE with potentials (A, q') and exterior value f , i.e.

$$\begin{cases} C_{\gamma, A}^s u + qu = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{in } \Omega_e \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (-\Delta)_A^s w + q'w = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ w = f & \text{in } \Omega_e \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, the following formula holds for all $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$C_{\gamma, A}^s (\gamma^{-1/2}w) + q\gamma^{-1/2}w = \gamma^{1/2} \left((-\Delta)_A^s + q' \right) w.$$

6 ONE SLIGHT GENERALIZATION

Proof. Let us start from some preliminary computations. One sees that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^s w &= \nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}u) = \gamma^{1/2}(y)\nabla^s u + u(x)\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \\ &= \gamma^{1/2}(y)\nabla^s u + w(x)\frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)},\end{aligned}$$

from which $\nabla^s u = \frac{\nabla^s w}{\gamma^{1/2}(y)} - w(x)\frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)\gamma^{1/2}(y)}$, and eventually

$$\nabla_A^s u = \frac{\nabla^s w}{\gamma^{1/2}(y)} - w(x)\frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)\gamma^{1/2}(y)} + A(x, y)\frac{w(x)}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)}. \quad (25)$$

By the definition of magnetic fractional divergence, if $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (\nabla \cdot)_A^s (\Theta \cdot \nabla_A^s u), v \rangle &= \langle \gamma^{1/2}(x)\gamma^{1/2}(y)\nabla_A^s u, \nabla_A^s v \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma^{1/2}(x)\gamma^{1/2}(y)\nabla_A^s u, \nabla^s v \rangle + \langle \gamma^{1/2}(x)\gamma^{1/2}(y)\nabla_A^s u, Av \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma^{1/2}(x)\gamma^{1/2}(y)\nabla_A^s u, \nabla^s v \rangle + \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma^{1/2}(y)\nabla_A^s u \cdot A dy, \gamma^{1/2}v \right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Applying formula (25), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (\nabla \cdot)_A^s (\Theta \cdot \nabla_A^s u), v \rangle &= \langle \gamma^{1/2}(x)\nabla^s w, \nabla^s v \rangle + \langle w(x)(A(x, y)\gamma^{1/2}(y) - \nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})), \nabla^s v \rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma^{1/2}(y) \left(\frac{\nabla^s w}{\gamma^{1/2}(y)} - w(x)\frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)\gamma^{1/2}(y)} + A(x, y)\frac{w(x)}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} \right) \cdot A dy, \gamma^{1/2}v \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma^{1/2}(x)\nabla^s w, \nabla^s v \rangle + \langle w(x)(A(x, y)\gamma^{1/2}(y) - \nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})), \nabla^s v \rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\nabla^s w \cdot A - w(x)\frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \cdot A}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} + |A|^2 w(x)\frac{\gamma^{1/2}(y)}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} \right) dy, \gamma^{1/2}v \right\rangle.\end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

We treat the resulting terms separately. For the first one, by symmetry,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \gamma^{1/2}(x)\nabla^s w, \nabla^s v \rangle &= \langle \nabla^s w, \gamma^{1/2}(x)\nabla^s v \rangle = \langle \nabla^s w, \nabla^s(v\gamma^{1/2}) - v(y)\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \rangle \\ &= \langle (-\Delta)^s w, v\gamma^{1/2} \rangle - \langle \nabla^s w, v(y)\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^s w, v\gamma^{1/2} \rangle - \langle \nabla^s w, v(x)\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \rangle \\ &= \langle (-\Delta)^s w, v\gamma^{1/2} \rangle - \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s w \cdot \frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} dy, \gamma^{1/2}v \right\rangle.\end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

For the second part of (26), we will compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A(x, y)\gamma^{1/2}(y) - \nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}), w(x)\nabla^s v \rangle &= \\ &= \langle A(x, y)\gamma^{1/2}(y) - \nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}), \nabla^s(vw) - v(y)\nabla^s w \rangle \\ &= \left\langle (\nabla \cdot)^s \left(A(x, y)\gamma^{1/2}(y) - \nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \right), vw \right\rangle - \left\langle \left(A(x, y)\gamma^{1/2}(y) - \nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \right) v(y), \nabla^s w \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left(\frac{(\nabla \cdot)^s(A\gamma^{1/2}(y))}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} - \frac{(-\Delta)^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} \right) w(x), v\gamma^{1/2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \left(A(y, x)\gamma^{1/2}(x) - \nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \right) v(x), \nabla^s w \right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\langle \left(\frac{(\nabla \cdot)^s(A\gamma^{1/2}(y))}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} - \frac{(-\Delta)^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} \right) w(x), v\gamma^{1/2} \right\rangle - \\
&\quad - \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(y, x) \cdot \nabla^s w \, dy, v\gamma^{1/2} \right\rangle + \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} \cdot \nabla^s w \, dy, v\gamma^{1/2} \right\rangle. \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (27) and (28) into (26), we conclude the proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle (\nabla \cdot)_A^s(\Theta \cdot \nabla_A^s u), v \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^s w, v\gamma^{1/2} \rangle - \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla^s w \cdot \frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} \, dy, \gamma^{1/2} v \right\rangle + \\
&\quad + \left\langle \left(\frac{(\nabla \cdot)^s(A\gamma^{1/2}(y))}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} - \frac{(-\Delta)^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} \right) w(x), v\gamma^{1/2} \right\rangle - \\
&\quad - \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(y, x) \cdot \nabla^s w \, dy, v\gamma^{1/2} \right\rangle + \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} \cdot \nabla^s w \, dy, v\gamma^{1/2} \right\rangle + \\
&\quad + \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\nabla^s w \cdot A - w(x) \frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \cdot A}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} + |A|^2 w(x) \frac{\gamma^{1/2}(y)}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} \right) dy, \gamma^{1/2} v \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle (-\Delta)^s w + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A_{a||} \cdot \nabla^s w \, dy + w(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |A|^2 \, dy + (\nabla \cdot)^s A_{s||} \right), v\gamma^{1/2} \right\rangle + \\
&\quad + \left\langle \left\{ -(\nabla \cdot)^s A_{s||} + \frac{(\nabla \cdot)^s(A\gamma^{1/2}(y))}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} - \frac{(-\Delta)^s(\gamma^{1/2})}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} + \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(-\frac{\nabla^s(\gamma^{1/2}) \cdot A}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} + |A|^2 \left(\frac{\gamma^{1/2}(y)}{\gamma^{1/2}(x)} - 1 \right) \right) dy \right\} w(x), v\gamma^{1/2} \right\rangle \\
&= \langle (-\Delta)_A^s w + (q' - q/\gamma)w, v\gamma^{1/2} \rangle. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the FMCEs can be reduced to FMSEs; hence, we know that FMCE enjoys the same gauges as FMSE, and most importantly we can consider and solve an analogous inverse problem.

Acknowledgements. This work is part of the PhD research of the author, who was partially supported by the European Research Council under Horizon 2020 (ERC CoG 770924). The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Mikko Salo for his reliable guidance and constructive discussion in the making of this work.

References

- [1] Akgiray, V., Booth, G., 1988. The stable-law model of stock returns. J. Bus. Econ. Stat. 6 .
- [2] Behzadan, A., Holst, M., 2017. Multiplication in Sobolev spaces, revisited, arXiv:1512.07379v2, 2017 .

REFERENCES

- [3] Bhattacharyya, S., Ghosh, T., Uhlmann, G., 2019. Inverse Problem for Fractional-Laplacian with Lower Order Non-local Perturbations. arXiv:1810.03567 .
- [4] Bourgain, J., Brezis, H., Mironescu, P., 2001. Another look at Sobolev spaces. Optimal Control and PDEs IOS P .
- [5] Bucur, C., Valdinoci, E., 2018. Nonlocal diffusion and applications. arXiv:1504.08292v10 .
- [6] Calderón, A.P., 1980. On an inverse boundary value problem. Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics, Soc. Brasileira de Matemática, 1980 .
- [7] Cekic, M., Lin, Y.H., Ruland, A., 2018. The Calderón problem for the fractional Schrödinger equation with drift. arXiv:1810.04211v1 .
- [8] Cheney, M., Goble, J., Isaacson, D., Newell, J., 1990. Thoracic impedance images during ventilation. Annual Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society .
- [9] Constantin, P., 2006. Euler equations, Navier-Stokes equations and turbulence. Mathematical foundation of turbulent viscous flows, Lecture Notes in Math., pages 1-43. Springer, Berlin .
- [10] Covi, G., 2018. Inverse problems for a fractional conductivity equation. Nonlinear Analysis .
- [11] Dalibard, A.L., Gerard-Varet, D., 2013. On shape optimization problems involving the fractional Laplacian. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 19.
- [12] Di Nezza, E., Palatucci, G., Valdinoci, E., . Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012) , 521 – 573.
- [13] Du, Q., Gunzburger, M., Lehoucq, R.B., Zhou, K., 2012. Analysis and Approximation of Nonlocal Diffusion Problems with Volume Constraints. SIAM Rev. 54, No. 4, 667–696.
- [14] Du, Q., Gunzburger, M., Lehoucq, R.B., Zhou, K., 2013. A nonlocal vector calculus, nonlocal volume-constrained problems, and nonlocal balance laws. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 23, No. 3, 493–540.
- [15] Eringen, A., 2002. Nonlocal continuum field theory. Springer, New York .
- [16] Eskin, G., 2001. Global uniqueness in the inverse scattering problem for the Schrödinger operator with external Yang-Mills potentials . Comm. Math. Phys. 222.
- [17] Ghosh, T., Salo, M., Uhlmann, G., 2017. The Calderón problem for the

REFERENCES

fractional Schrödinger equation. Analysis & PDE (to appear).

[18] Giacomini, G., Lebowitz, J.L., 1997. Phase segregation dynamics in particle systems with long range interaction I. J Stat Phys 87 .

[19] Gilboa, G., Osher, S., 2008. Nonlocal operators with applications to image processing. Multiscale Model. Simul. 7 .

[20] Guo, Z., Zou, Y., 2003. A review of electrical impedance techniques for breast cancer detection . Med. Eng. Phys. 2.

[21] Hallaji, M., Pour-Ghaz, M., Seppänen, A., 2014. Electrical impedance tomography-based sensing skin for quantitative imaging of damage in concrete. Smart Mater. Struct. 23.

[22] Heck, H., Li, X., Wang, J.N., 2007. Identification of Viscosity in an Incompressible Fluid. Indiana Univ. Math. J., Vol. 56, No. 5 , 2489 – 2510.

[23] Holder, D., 2005. Electrical Impedance Tomography. Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia .

[24] Hörmander, L., 1990. The analysis of linear partial differential operators, Springer.

[25] Humphries, N.E., et al., 2010. Environmental context explains Lévy and Brownian movement patterns of marine predators. Nature 465.

[26] Jossinet, J., 1998. The impedivity of freshly excised human breast tissue. Physiol. Meas. 19, 61–75.

[27] Kwasnicki, M., 2015. Ten equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplace operator. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 20, No. 1 (2017) .

[28] Laskin, N., 2000. Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals. Physics Letters 268 .

[29] Levendorski, S.Z., 2004. Pricing of the American put under Lévy processes. Int. J. Theor. Appl. Finance 7.

[30] Massaccesi, A., Valdinoci, E., 2017. Is a nonlocal diffusion strategy convenient for biological populations in competition? Journal of Mathematical Biology, Volume 74, Issue 1–2 , 113–147.

[31] McDowall, S.R., 2000. An electromagnetic inverse problem in chiral media . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 .

[32] Metzler, R., Klafter, J., 2000. The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. Phys. Rep. 339 .

[33] Nakamura, G., Sun, Z., Uhlmann, G., 1995. Global identifiability for an inverse problem for the Schrödinger equation in a magnetic field. Matem-

REFERENCES

- atische Annalen, 303(1):377-388 .
- [34] Nakamura, G., Tsuchida, T., 2000. Uniqueness for an inverse boundary value problem for Dirac operators . Comm. PDE 25.
- [35] Nakamura, G., Uhlmann, G., 1994. Global uniqueness for an inverse boundary problem arising in elasticity . Invent. Math. 118.
- [36] Reynolds, A.M., Rhodes, C.J., 2009. The Lévy flight paradigm: Random search patterns and mechanisms. Ecology 90 .
- [37] Rüländ, A., 2015. Unique continuation for fractional Schrödinger equations with rough potentials. Comm. PDE, 40(1):77-114 .
- [38] Rüländ, A., Salo, M., 2017. The fractional Calderón problem: low regularity and stability, arXiv:1708.06294v1, 2017 .
- [39] Salo, M., 2007. Recovering first order terms from boundary measurements. J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 73.
- [40] Schoutens, W., 2003. Lévy Processes in Finance: Pricing Financial Derivatives. Wiley, New York .
- [41] Taylor, M.E., 1996. Partial differential equations III, Springer-Verlag.
- [42] Uhlmann, G., 2009. Electrical impedance tomography and Calderón's problem. Inverse Problems .
- [43] Valdinoci, E., 2009. From the long jump random walk to the fractional Laplacian. Bol. Soc. Esp. Mat. Apl. SeMA, No. 49 .
- [44] Zhou, K., Du, Q., 2010. Mathematical and numerical analysis of linear peridynamic models with nonlocal boundary conditions. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 48 .