

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Leppänen, Miika; Maasilta, Ilari; Sundberg, Lotta-Riina

Title: Antibacterial efficiency of surface-immobilized Flavobacterium-infecting bacteriophage

Year: 2019

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2019 American Chemical Society

Rights: In Copyright

Rights url: <http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en>

Please cite the original version:

Leppänen, M., Maasilta, I., & Sundberg, L.-R. (2019). Antibacterial efficiency of surface-immobilized Flavobacterium-infecting bacteriophage. *ACS Applied Bio Materials*, 2(11), 4720-4727. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsabm.9b00242>

**Antibacterial efficiency of surface-immobilized
Flavobacterium-infecting bacteriophage**

Miika Leppänen, Ilari Maasilta, and Lotta-Riina Sundberg

ACS Appl. Bio Mater., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acsabm.9b00242 • Publication Date (Web): 08 Oct 2019Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on October 10, 2019**Just Accepted**

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

Antibacterial efficiency of surface-immobilized *Flavobacterium*-infecting bacteriophage

Miika Leppänen^{1,2}, Ilari J. Maasilta¹, Lotta-Riina Sundberg^{2*}

Nanoscience Center, ¹ Department of Physics, ² Department of
Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä,
P. O. Box 35, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland

Keywords: Phage therapy, surface adsorbed bacteriophages,
antibacterial surfaces, aquaculture, virus material, biomaterial

Abstract: Control of bacterial diseases by bacteriophages (phages) is gaining more interest due to increasing antibiotic resistance. This has led to technologies to attach phages on surfaces to form a biomaterial that can functionally display phages that interact with bacteria, to carry out successful infection cycles. Such a material could be applied in many environments, where the target pathogens are expected. Although this approach has been applied successfully in a few studies already, the basis of the antibacterial effect by the immobilized phages is unclear, and the interpretation of the results depends on the study. Here, we

1
2
3 studied the phage attachment density, their detachment rate and
4 infectivity on five different surfaces: silicon, amine-treated
5 silicon, gold, carboxylate-treated gold and crosslinker-activated
6 carboxylate-treated gold. The density of attached phages varied
7 between the different surfaces and was highest on the crosslinker-
8 activated carboxylate-treated gold. To understand whether the
9 antibacterial effect is caused by the attached or the detached
10 phages, the strength of the immobilization was analyzed by
11 performing 3-12 washing steps. The detachment rates differed
12 between the materials, with the amine treated silicon surface
13 generating the highest release of phages and maintaining the
14 highest infectivity, even after extensive washing. On the other
15 hand, covalent crosslinking seemed to interfere with the
16 infectivity. Our results suggest that the detachment of the phages
17 from the surface is a possible mechanism for the antibacterial
18 effect. Furthermore, we introduce a measure of the infectivity by
19 comparing the bacterial growth reductions produced by the phage-
20 treated materials to the effect caused by a known number of free
21 phages, resulting in a unit "Effective PFU/surface area", a
22 comparable standard between different studies.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 **1. Introduction**

53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Bacteriophages are viruses that parasitize bacteria to produce
4 progeny, and due to this property, they can be used to control the
5 growth and infectivity of the host. Although this approach, phage
6 therapy, has been known already for a century, interest is
7 increasing due to rising antibiotic resistance.¹ Because of their
8 host-specific infectivity, phages can be used as specialized
9 killers toward pathogenic bacteria in contrast to antibiotics,
10 which generally have a wide impact on microorganisms.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 Aquaculture i.e. fish farming is a growing food production
22 industry because of the collapse of many natural fisheries.² Fish
23 are grown in high densities, which increases the possibility of
24 disease outbreaks that can cause high mortalities.³ Usually,
25 treatment of these diseases requires the use of antibiotics, which
26 has been shown to lead to the increase of antibiotic resistance
27 among environmental bacteria.^{4,5} Columnaris disease caused by the
28 *Flavobacterium columnare* is a bacterial disease in freshwater fish
29 resulting in devastating epidemics at fish farms around the world.⁶
30 It has been shown that phage therapy can be used to prevent
31 columnaris disease in the laboratory environment by direct
32 addition of phages in the water.⁷ However, in a typical fish farm
33 environment (net pens and flow-through systems) the water volumes
34 can be extremely high, diluting or removing the added phages via
35 the water flow. Therefore, immobilization of phages on a surface
36 to provide a long-lasting antibacterial effect could be an optimal
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 solution. But the question remains: Are surface attached phages
4 any good? Furthermore, is the antibacterial effect caused by the
5 attached or the detached phages? This information is central to
6 understand the antibacterial effect of surface-immobilized phages.
7
8
9

10
11 Although an antimicrobial effect of immobilized phages has been
12 demonstrated already in studies regarding food packaging^{8,9} and
13 health care equipment^{10,11}, there is very little consistency
14 regarding the mechanisms of antibacterial effect of surface-
15 immobilized phages. In these previous studies, neither the number
16 of detached nor attached phages were reported, leaving the
17 mechanism of phage infection unclear. Covalent crosslinking of
18 phages to a surface has been found to increase the density and
19 activity of phages in biosensor applications¹²⁻¹⁵ and has been
20 utilized also in antibacterial studies.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ However, the mechanisms
21 behind the results remain unclear. Covalently immobilized phages
22 were found to cause the lysis of the bacteria on the surface,¹⁶ and
23 to have a higher antibacterial effect compared to physisorbed
24 phages.¹⁸ In contrast, Liana et al found that the infectivity of
25 the chemically modified and T4-phage treated indium tin oxide
26 surfaces was produced by the detached phages.¹⁹ Also, Wang et al
27 detected a higher infectivity on a plasma-treated surface without
28 a covalent crosslinker than with it.¹⁷
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 To clarify the mechanisms involved with antimicrobial effects of
54 immobilized phages, we studied the phage attachment density, the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 detachment rate and the infectivity of surface-immobilized
4 *Flavobacterium*-infecting bacteriophages on five different
5 surfaces: silicon, amine-treated silicon, gold, carboxylate-
6 treated gold and crosslinker-activated carboxylate-treated gold.
7 These specific surfaces were selected because they have been used
8 in the previous immobilization studies and are known to result in
9 different surface densities of phages.^{20,21} To understand whether
10 the antibacterial effect of these surfaces is caused by the
11 attached or the detached phages, the strength of the immobilization
12 was also analyzed by 3 - 12 washing steps. The amine treated
13 silicon surface generated a high release of phages and maintained
14 the highest infectivity, even after extensive washing, suggesting
15 that detached phages are important. On the other hand, covalent
16 crosslinking seemed to interfere with the phage infectivity.
17 Furthermore, by using a combination of microbiological and imaging
18 methods, we define a standard for reporting the antibacterial
19 efficiency of phage-based biomaterials.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **2. Materials and methods**

46 47 48 **2.1. Phage production**

49
50
51 Phage FL-1 is originally isolated from a fish farm in Finland,²²
52 and it infects *Flavobacterium* sp. It was obtained from the +4 °C
53 stock and its amplification was done using the standard double-
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 layer agar method with a phage-bacteria ratio producing semi-
4
5 confluent Shieh-agar²³ plates. After an overnight incubation, 5 ml
6
7 of Shieh was added per plate, and plates were shaken overnight at
8
9 +4 °C to elute the phages. The lysate was filtered with a 0.45 µm
10
11 filter to remove the bacteria. The filtered lysate was then
12
13 purified using an ÄKTAprime plus chromatography system with a
14
15 quaternary-amine activated ion-exchange column QA-1 by BIA
16
17 Separations (Suppl. Fig. S1, S2). The remaining salt was removed
18
19 by a two-step buffer replacement with a cellulose dialysis tube in
20
21 a 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer. Eventually, a pure phage solution
22
23 with 8×10^{10} PFU/ml was obtained (PFU=Plaque forming unit).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **2.2. Substrates**

32
33

34 The five selected substrate surfaces for this study were:
35
36 untreated crystalline silicon with a thin native oxide surface,
37
38 amine-treated crystalline silicon (with native oxide), untreated
39
40 gold, carboxylate-treated gold, and carboxylate-treated gold with
41
42 a covalent crosslinker. Precut (5 mm x 5 mm) crystalline silicon
43
44 substrates were purchased from Ted Pella, USA. (3-
45
46 Aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) was used as the amine compound
47
48 treating Si. The surface was prepared by first treating silicon
49
50 substrates for 1 min with 100 W O₂ plasma in a reactive ion etcher
51
52 (Oxford Plasmalab 80 Plus) to obtain hydroxyl groups to the silicon
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 surface. A 1:2 mixture of APTES: EtOH (99.5%) was then added for
4
5 20 min at 50 °C, washed with 99.5% EtOH and DI (De-ionized) water,
6
7 and baked at 108 °C for 50 min. The gold surface was prepared on
8
9 a silicon substrate with the JEOL JFC-1100 sputter coater using
10
11 about 1 kV energy, 10 mA current and 1 hour processing time. The
12
13 carboxylate treatment for the gold consisted of an MUA (11-
14
15 Mercaptoundecanoic acid) coating, done by submerging Au coated Si
16
17 substrates to 10 mM MUA for 24 h, followed by washings with 99.5%
18
19 EtOH and twice with sterile DI water. Additional crosslinker
20
21 activation of the MUA-treated gold was done by preparing a 0.1 M
22
23 EDC (1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride)
24
25 and 0.4 M NHS (N-Hydroxysuccinimide) mixture to DI water,
26
27 incubating the substrate in it at room temperature (RT) for 40 min
28
29 and dip washing it twice with DI water.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **2.3. Phage immobilization, detachment, and titration**

39
40

41 All the immobilization experiments were conducted in a 50 mM
42
43 phosphate buffer at pH 7.2. FL-1 bacteriophage solutions (200 µl)
44
45 with 1.5×10^8 PFUs were pipetted on the substrates individually
46
47 and left to adhere overnight at +4 °C under 90 RPM shaking. Next,
48
49 the materials were washed six times in 1 ml 20 mM phosphate buffer
50
51 (pH 7.2) by dipping to remove most of the unbound phages. After
52
53 these baseline washes, phages were detached from the sample
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 surfaces by first placing the samples into the well of a 24 well
4
5 plate with 1 ml of phosphate buffer and shaking at 400 RPM for a
6
7 set period of time. The buffer was then replaced and the shaking
8
9 was repeated 3, 6, 10 or 12 times. The first detachment was done
10
11 for 90 minutes and the following detachments for 20 - 30 minutes.
12
13 In addition, some samples were washed four extra times by dipping
14
15 after the last detachment treatment (12+4 samples). This extra
16
17 wash was used to minimize the number of phages transferred from
18
19 the detachment buffer. Fresh buffers were used for every sample at
20
21 every step during the detachments. The number of detached phages
22
23 was analyzed by titering. Plates were prepared by mixing 100 μ l
24
25 overnight grown *Flavobacterium sp.* and 2 ml Shieh with 0.7% low
26
27 melt agarose tempered to 40 °C and poured over the Petri dish.
28
29 After the agarose was solidified, a 10 μ l droplet of raw or diluted
30
31 detachment buffer was pipetted over it and incubated 48h, after
32
33 which the plaques were counted. Three individual replicates were
34
35 done for all samples and the standard error of the mean (SEM) was
36
37 calculated.
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Activation of phage carboxyl groups with the EDC crosslinker was
45
46 studied by adding 1 mg of EDC and 1 mg of NHS to 1 ml of 2×10^9
47
48 PFU/ml FL-1 phage solution, resulting in 5 mM EDC and 9 mM NHS.
49
50 The solution was incubated for 15 minutes at RT, and a dilution
51
52 series was plated with the double layer agar method. A diluted
53
54 crosslinker experiment was made with 50 μ M EDC and 90 μ M NHS.
55
56
57
58
59
60

2.4. Helium ion microscopy (HIM) and Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Samples were prepared for TEM using negative staining with 2% Phosphotungstic acid (PTA). Five microliters of purified FL-1 phage stock was pipetted on a Formvar-carbon-coated TEM-grid and let to adhere there for 2 min, 5 μ l of staining was added and after 2 min, the excess was dried off. Imaging was done with a JEOL JEM-1400 HC Transmission Electron Microscope. Substrates with immobilized phages were prepared for HIM imaging by removing the excess buffer with a corner of a paper, and then air-drying them in ambient conditions. Samples were imaged with Zeiss Orion Nanofab using helium as the imaging gas. An acceleration voltage of 30 kV and aperture size of 10 μ m were used, resulting in an ion current of 0.5 pA.

2.5. Infectivity measurements

Infectivity of the surfaces with immobilized phages was measured on a 24-well plate (Sarstedt, TC-plate 24 well, Standard, F). The substrate was placed in 1 ml of Shieh medium, and 50 μ l of fresh overnight grown *Flavobacterium sp.* culture (2.5×10^6 CFU) was added. The culture was mixed for 15 seconds at 400 RPM and then incubated at RT without shaking for 48 h. Before addition of the

1
2
3 bacteria, some substrates were shaken at 400 RPM for 5 sec,
4
5 incubated for 5 min and moved to a second well (containing the
6
7 Shieh medium), to study the effect of detached phages on bacterial
8
9 growth. To compare the effect of immobilized phages to the one
10
11 caused by free phages, infections with free phages with
12
13 multiplicities of infection (MOI: s) between 1 and 0.0001 were
14
15 performed by adding 10 μ l of FL-1 serial dilutions to some wells.
16
17 The optical density of the culture at the wavelength 590 nm was
18
19 measured with Multiskan microplate photometer after 18, 27 and 48
20
21 hours, after the latent period of the phage, known to be 1-2 hours
22
23 (Suppl. Fig. S3). A duplicate measurement was made at every time
24
25 point and the average value was calculated. Three individual
26
27 replicates were done for all the infectivity samples. The
28
29 statistical significance of the results was analyzed with the
30
31 Student's two-sample t-test.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **3. Results and discussion**

40
41
42 Purified FL-1 phage was imaged with TEM (Figure 1a). It is a
43
44 myophage with an icosahedral head (diameter 55 nm) and
45
46 approximately 100 nm long tail.
47
48

49 **3.1 Attached phages**




Figure 1. Phage FL-1 samples were imaged with TEM and HIM. a) TEM-image of phage FL-1 b) 12+4 detached Au+MUA+EDC sample imaged with HIM. c) Figure b with red dots marking the counted (intact) phage particles.

The number of attached phages for different surface treatments was analyzed by imaging the samples with HIM (Figure 1b). Four systematically selected areas ($100 \mu\text{m}^2$) per sample were imaged and only phage particles with an intact head and tail were counted (Figure 1c). More information is found in the Supplementary Table S1. Three individual replicates were done per treatment.

As expected, the density of attached phages varied between the surfaces (Figure 2). For each surface, no significant differences were found between 6 and 12+4 detachment treatments ($p > 0.05$). After the full set of 12+4 detachment steps, silicon had clearly the lowest phage density. The silicon surface is covered with a native oxide, giving it the chemical properties of silicon oxide.²⁴ Silicon oxide surface is negatively charged in pH 7,²⁵ polar²⁴ and weakly polarizable, which means that neither electrostatic (as

1
2
3 phages typically have negative effective charge at pH 7),²⁶ van der
4 Waals (vdW), nor hydrophobic forces are likely responsible for the
5 phage adsorption.
6
7
8
9

10 The density of phages on the APTES-treated silicon surface was
11 about 100-fold compared to the untreated silicon after a full set
12 of detachment treatments. This can be explained by the positive
13 charge of the APTES-surface at pH 7.2 due to the protonated amine
14 groups. Phage FL-1 has a negative effective charge at this pH, as
15 demonstrated during the purification of the phage stock, when
16 phages were bound to the amine treated surfaces of the monolithic
17 column at pH 7.2.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 The average phage density on the gold surface was about 50
29 phages/100 μm^2 , even though gold has been shown to have a slight
30 negative charge at pH 7.²⁷ The binding may have, however, been
31 caused by high polarizability, which results in strong vdW and
32 hydrophobic interactions.²⁸ Indeed, a previous study²¹ on adsorption
33 of non-tailed phages to SiO_2 , gold, carboxyl, methyl, and amine-
34 treated surfaces in different pH and ionic concentrations found
35 that for some phages, adsorption to the gold surface was almost as
36 high as to the amine-treated surface at pH 7. When the unfavorable
37 electrostatic effect was shielded by increasing the ionic strength
38 from 0.01 M to 0.1 M, adsorption increased even more. High
39 adsorption of tailed phages on gold is reported in the literature
40 with densities of 0.7,²⁹ 0.49³⁰ and 10.12 phages/ μm^2 ,³¹ with the
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 first two of those comparable to our observation of 50 phages/100
4 μm^2 .
5

6
7 The phage density on MUA treated gold surface was comparable to
8 gold and APTES-coated silicon. A previous study found fewer
9 attached phages on the APTES treated ITO-surface compared to the
10 carboxylate-treated one,²⁰ suggesting planar surfaces have distinct
11 adsorption dynamics compared to particulate surfaces, for which
12 amine treatment resulted in strong adsorption.^{32,33} This suggestion
13 was not confirmed by our study. Although the phage density on the
14 APTES is higher than on MUA in our measurements, the difference is
15 not significant ($p=0.07$).
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 The highest density of all the five surfaces was found on the
29 Au+MUA surface treated with EDC. Compared to untreated MUA, the
30 density was significantly higher ($p<0.05$), which was the result of
31 covalent immobilization by the carbodiimide chemistry.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 2. The average density of attached phages on the different surfaces calculated from the HIM images. The numbers after the name of the material are the number of detachment steps (6 or 12+4). Each replicate is shown by small black diamonds, the colored symbols + error bars show the mean \pm SEM, (n=3). For the Au+MUA+EDC 6 sample only one image area was used.




Figure 3. Different strategies for the covalent immobilization with the EDC a) Activation of the carboxylates on the MUA

1
2
3 surface with EDC. b) Activation of the carboxylates on the phage
4
5 proteins with EDC.
6
7

8
9 In the literature, covalent crosslinking has been done either by
10 activating the phage itself^{12,34} or by activating the surface.¹⁷ In
11
12 our study, the EDC crosslinker was used to activate the
13 carboxylates on the MUA surface prior to the reaction with the
14
15 amines on the phage (Figure 3a). Because the APTES surface had a
16
17 higher number of attached phages than the MUA surface, we were
18
19 also interested in activating the carboxylate groups on the phage
20
21 (Figure 3b). Preliminary experiments suggested that the
22
23 infectivity of the surface was lost when using this approach.
24
25 Therefore, we tested the infectivity of the phage after an EDC
26
27 activation with the double layer method. Treatment of phages with
28
29 5 mM EDC and 9 mM NHS (concentration commonly used in the
30
31 literature) reduced the infectivity (PFU's) of the phage solution
32
33 from $(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9$ PFU/ml to $(1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^3$ PFU/ml. When EDC
34
35 was diluted 100-fold (50 μ M EDC, 90 μ M NHS), phage infectivity was
36
37 reduced to $(4 \pm 2) \times 10^8$ PFU/ml. Therefore, activation of phages
38
39 with the crosslinker was not pursued further. Some previous studies
40
41 have discussed the possible harmful effects of phage activation
42
43 with EDC,^{16,34} but direct evidence of the loss of infectivity was
44
45 not shown before. We note that EDC activation of phages is not
46
47 location specific, which could prevent the phage from binding the
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

host, or could crosslink the phages with each other, compromising the infectivity.




Figure 4. Phage attachment and detachment. a) Percentage of attached phages of the initial phage stock (1.5×10^8 PFU). b) The number of detached phages from detachment step 12 divided by the attached phages after 12+4 rounds. Errors were calculated by adding the relative uncertainties in quadrature. Mean \pm SEM, (n=3).

To give an indication of the efficiency of phage attachment on different surfaces, we calculated the ratio of the total number of attached phages, calculated by multiplying the average phage density from HIM images with the total substrate area, to the number of PFUs in the purified phage stock used for the immobilization. For the Au+EDC+MUA surface, the percentage is high, over 40%, but for silicon about 0.1% (Figure 4a). It must be noted, however, that PFU of the initial stock was determined by

1
2
3 plaque assay in which only the number of infective phages are
4 counted. Imaging, on the other hand, sees all intact phage
5 particles but their infectivity cannot be concluded. Thus, the
6 estimate of phage attachment is actually an upper limit.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

14 **3.2. Detached phages**

15
16
17 To study the release of phages from the surfaces, we titered the
18 detachment buffers. After the first three rounds of detachment
19 washes, the highest number of phages were released to the
20 detachment buffer from the Si+APTES surface. For silicon, Au and
21 Au + MUA, the number of detached phages decreased with the increase
22 of the number of performed detachment steps. For the Si + APTES
23 sample, the change was not significant ($p > 0.05$). After a full
24 set of 12 detachment steps, APTES still has the highest release.
25 However, the number of attached phages did not really seem to
26 change on APTES along with the increase in the number of detachment
27 steps (Figure 2). This apparent contradiction may be explained by
28 the high number of phages still attached on APTES sample after
29 12+4 detachments compared to the number of detached phages, being
30 only ~ 0.1 percent of the attached (Figure 4b).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Next, we estimated the strength of the phage binding on different
50 surfaces, by studying the detached-attached ratios (Figure 4b).
51 The ratio was lowest for the Au+MUA+EDC, (4×10^{-7}), suggesting
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 strong binding. Indeed, EDC covalently crosslinks the surface
4 carboxylates and the phage amines. For gold and gold+MUA, the
5
6 result of relatively strong binding was not entirely expected, as
7
8 hydrophobic and vdW interactions are usually considered weaker
9
10 interactions compared to the electrostatic (as in APTES). In the
11
12 literature, a higher detachment ratio 0.007% for gold with a tailed
13
14 phage has been reported,²⁹ however, fewer washing steps (5 vs 18)
15
16 were made.
17
18
19
20

21 Previous studies of the release of the surface immobilized phages
22
23 are rare. Vonasek et al³⁵ found that amine treated cellulose
24
25 releases a significant number of phages in the aqueous environment.
26
27 Liana et al¹⁹ studied infectivity of immobilized phages on COOH,
28
29 NH₂, and CH₃ functionalized, and untreated ITO surfaces. All the
30
31 studied surfaces had a similar number of detaching phages (10⁶
32
33 PFU/ml), and they concluded that these phages produced the
34
35 antibacterial effect measured. Our results suggest that the number
36
37 of released phages varies between different surface treatments,
38
39 and also with the number of detachment steps done.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **3.3. Effective infectivity**

47
48

49 Infectivity of the immobilized phage was studied by measuring the
50
51 optical density of the host bacteria when exposed to the phage
52
53 treated material.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5. Effect of phage-treated surfaces and free phage on *Flavobacterium sp.* growth curves. a) Bacterial growth is reduced by the phage-treated surfaces (Si+APTES, Au+MUA+EDC) but not with phage-free surface (dashed line) or when no phage or surface is added (r, black line). b) Bacterial growth is reduced by both the phage-treated surfaces and added phage. Phage concentration 110 000 PFU/ml equals MOI 0.04 (with bacterial concentration of 2.5×10^6 CFU/ml). Mean \pm SEM, (n=3).

An example of results for the antibacterial effect of phage-immobilized surfaces is presented in Figure 5a. First of all, the phage treated surfaces were able to significantly reduce the growth of the bacteria compared to the reference (no added phage), as expected. For the Si+APTES 12+4 sample, the bacterial growth reduction occurred already at the first time point (19 h), or even before, demonstrating high antibacterial efficiency. For the Au+MUA+EDC surface, the maximum in the growth reduction occurred

1
2
3 at 27 hours. In a longer time scale, the turbidity of the culture
4 increased again even with the phage treated surfaces, as a result
5 of the growth of phage-resistant bacteria.³⁶
6
7

8
9 Often, the efficiency of the phage-treated surfaces has been
10 studied by comparing the growth of phage-exposed bacteria to growth
11 of untreated bacteria, to calculate the reduction in the
12 (logarithmic) colony forming unit (CFU). Another measure is the
13 equivalent multiplicity of infection for the materials, which
14 makes comparisons between different materials easier. There, the
15 growth reduction caused by the immobilized phage is compared to
16 different (known) MOIs of the free phage, and efficiency is
17 presented as equivalent MOI.¹⁷ However, here, we have developed the
18 approach further and present infectivity as “effective
19 infectivity” (PFU) per surface area. The benefit of this approach,
20 compared to the equivalent MOI,¹⁷ is that information on bacterial
21 numbers is not required. In this study, an effective infectivity
22 is computed by linear interpolation between the closest measured
23 free phage reference treatment optical densities $OD1$ and $OD2$
24 corresponding to PFUs $P1$ and $P2$, giving an Effective PFU for a
25 measured optical density OD_{Sample} of a phage-treated material:
26
27

$$28 \quad \text{Effective PFU} = P1 + \frac{P1 - P2}{OD2 - OD1} \times (OD1 - OD_{Sample}). \quad (1)$$

29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3 silicon sample, the optical density of the sample falls between
4
5 the values of 110 and 1100 PFUs/ml of added free phage at second
6
7 and third time points, so those are the data points used in the
8
9 interpolation. The first timepoint was left out from the
10
11 calculation because it does not fall between any free phage data
12
13 points. Similar calculations were conducted for every sample,
14
15 interpolating the efficiency separately for all time points, which
16
17 thus produced several measurement points for mean effective
18
19 infectivity over time. Three individual replicates were done for
20
21 all the samples and the standard error of the mean (SEM) was
22
23 calculated. The fractional error of the free phage titer was 23
24
25 percent (phage stock titer $(6.2 \pm 1.4) \times 10^9$ PFU/ml) and it was
26
27 added in quadrature. When using this approach for other phage-
28
29 bacterium systems, it should be noted that the bacterial growth
30
31 dynamics with phage differ between strains and species, and the
32
33 *Flavobacterium* strain used here responds relatively slowly
34
35 compared to e.g. *E. coli*, which may require shorter incubation
36
37 times. In addition, it is important to have a free phage reference
38
39 infection in the same conditions (simultaneously), to rule out the
40
41 effects of culture conditions and e.g. the growth phase of the
42
43 bacteria. The accuracy of the effective PFU can be increased by
44
45 using more free phage references, for example 100, 200, 500 and
46
47 1000 PFU/ml instead of 100 and 1000 PFU/ml.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 6. Phage detachment and effective infectivity of different surfaces with immobilized phage. Samples were dip-washed 6 times before detachments. The number after the name of the material indicates the number of detachment steps after dip washing. a) The number of infective phages in the detachment buffer per sample surface area. b) Average values of effective infectivity (defined in the text) of the phage treated materials per surface area. All 12-detachment step samples were washed an additional four times before infectivity tests. Colored symbols: Mean \pm SEM, (n=3), black symbols: individual replicates.

When comparing the infectivity and the number of detached phages, common trends but also differences can be found (Figure 6). APTES with a high number of detached phages had a high infectivity but gold with low number of detached phages also had a high infectivity. APTES infectivity shows a trend of decrease with the detachment steps (3 to 12), but the change was not statistically

1
2
3 significant ($p>0.05$). After all the 12+4 detachment steps, APTES
4 had the highest infectivity, 26 percent higher than gold ($P<0.05$)
5 and 160-fold compared to untreated silicon. The MUA treated gold
6 surface had about ten times lower infectivity than untreated gold.
7
8 EDC treated MUA has the lowest infectivity, about ten times lower
9 than silicon.
10
11
12
13
14
15

16
17 Previously, Liana et al.¹⁹ reported that all surfaces (carboxyl,
18 amine, neutral) produced an equal antibacterial effect by the
19 released phages. According to our results, the infectivity and the
20 number of released phages varies between the surface treatments.
21
22 Tawil et al.¹⁸ found that covalent immobilization with MUA+EDC
23 resulted in ten-fold growth reduction compared to plain gold. Their
24 result is contradictory to our results which show over 100-fold
25 infectivity of the plain Au compared to the MUA+EDC treated case
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 According to our results, the number of washes or detachment
36 treatments does not have a significant effect on the infectivity
37 of the surfaces. However, the number of detached phages varies
38 between the detachment treatments. A long lasting infectivity is
39 a desirable feature of the material for prolonged use in an aquatic
40 environment. Within this study, the Si+APTES or gold surface is
41 most suitable from that point of view.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 7. A well-change experiment was used to resolve the effect of the detached phages. a) Sample substrate was transferred to another sample well after 5 min incubation. b) The effect of the well change on the effective infectivity for the 6 and 12 times detached Si + APTES sample. Colored symbols: mean \pm SEM, (n=3), black symbols: individual replicates

While the actual antibacterial effect is caused by the phage replication, one of the main questions in using surfaces with immobilized phages is whether the initial effect is caused on the surface by the attached phages or in the solution by the detached phages. To separate the effects, we performed an experiment, where a Si + APTES surface was transferred from one well to another before the addition of bacteria. The sample was placed in 1 ml of Shieh medium, shaken 400 RPM 5 sec, incubated 5 minutes and moved to another well (Figure 7a). We expect that if the antibacterial effect is caused by the detaching phages, we should see bacterial

growth reduction in both of the wells. On the other hand, if the effect is caused by the attached phages, the antibacterial effect should be seen only in the second well, where the surface is present. We observed that infections occurred similarly in both wells (Figure 7b), suggesting that the antibacterial effect is mainly caused by detached phages for this surface.




Figure 8. All three PFUs (attached, detached, effective infecting) for the 12 or 12+4 detached substrates. Mean \pm SEM, (n=3),

As a final conclusion, the numbers of attached, detached and effectively infecting phages of all five materials are presented

1
2
3 in Figure 8, after the full set of detachment and washing steps.
4
5 Silicon and gold had equal number of detaching phages, but the
6
7 number of attached and effective PFUs were hundredfold on gold vs
8
9 silicon. This suggests that phages were attaching on the gold
10
11 surface in such a way that they retained their infectivity. In the
12
13 literature, when T4 phages were immobilized on the gold surface by
14
15 physisorption, the attachment and the lysis of the bacteria on the
16
17 surface were detected,^{37,38} proving that infection on the surface
18
19 is possible. However, it is worth to keep in mind that in real
20
21 life scenarios like in aquaculture it is possible that infectivity
22
23 is reduced by the presence of substances such as other organic
24
25 material on the surface.³⁹
26
27
28
29

30 In contrast, APTES-treated silicon had the highest infectivity
31
32 and also the highest number of detaching phages. Additionally, it
33
34 was confirmed by the well change experiment that detaching phages
35
36 produced the effect. The EDC crosslinked surface had the highest
37
38 number of attached phages, but the infectivity was lowest. The
39
40 high number of attached phages did not result in high infectivity.
41
42 It is possible that the infectivity was lost upon the chemical
43
44 interaction with the EDC treated surface, or by the imperfect
45
46 orientation of the phage. Unfortunately, it was not possible to
47
48 resolve the orientation of the immobilized phages with the imaging
49
50 methods used. In the literature, inequality between the
51
52 infectivity and the number of covalently bound phages has been
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 found before, with a suggestion that some phages are inactive or
4
5 misoriented.¹⁷
6
7
8
9

10 **Conclusions**

11
12
13 We studied the attachment density, detachment rate and
14
15 infectivity of *Flavobacterium*-infecting FL-1 bacteriophage on
16
17 different surfaces: silicon, amine-treated silicon, gold,
18
19 carboxylate-treated gold, and crosslinker-activated carboxylate-
20
21 treated gold. It was found that detached phages could produce a
22
23 significant antibacterial effect, especially on the amine-treated
24
25 silicon. Therefore, when studying phage immobilization, one must
26
27 be careful with what is causing the measured effect. Covalent
28
29 cross-linking between the phages and surface, on the other hand,
30
31 produced highest numbers of attached phages, but seemed to
32
33 interfere with infectivity. Therefore, we suggest that the
34
35 controlled release of antibacterial phage, i.e. the phage
36
37 reservoir approach, might be preferable for practical
38
39 applications. In the future, development of imaging methods
40
41 capable of resolving the orientation of the immobilized phage could
42
43 make a tremendous contribution to this field. We also introduced
44
45 a novel, standardisable, way to quantify the antibacterial effects
46
47 of surfaces, by comparing the antibacterial effect of the material
48
49 surface to the effect caused by a known number of free phages.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This effective PFU/surface measure will make results from
4 different studies comparable.
5
6
7
8
9

10 **Supporting Information.**

11
12
13 Purification data, data for density, growth curve.

14
15 (Supplementary.docx)
16
17

18 **Corresponding Author**

19
20
21 lotta-riina.sundberg@jyu.fi
22
23

24 **Author Contributions**

25
26
27 The experiments were conceived by all authors and performed by
28 M. L. The manuscript was written through contributions of all
29 authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of
30 the manuscript.
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Acknowledgements**

37
38
39 The authors would like to thank Dr. Elina Laanto for providing
40 the bacterial and phage isolate used in this study. L.-R. S.
41 acknowledges funding from the Academy of Finland (grants #266879
42 and #314939), and from the Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 **References**

1
2
3 (1) Roach, D. R.; Debarbieux, L. Phage Therapy: Awakening a
4 Sleeping Giant. *Emerg. Top. Life Sci.* **2017**, *1* (1), 93-103.
5
6

7
8 (2) Costa-Pierce, B. A. Sustainable Ecological Aquaculture
9 Systems: The Need for a New Social Contract for Aquaculture
10 Development. *Mar. Technol. Soc. J.* **2010**, *44* (3), 88-112.
11
12
13

14
15 (3) Bustos, P.; Young, N. D.; Rozas, M. A.; Bohle, H. M.;
16 Nowak, B. F. Amoebic Gill Disease (AGD) in Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo*
17 Salar) Farmed in Chile. *Aquaculture* **2011**, *310*, 281-288.
18
19
20
21

22
23 (4) BurrIDGE, L.; Weis, J. S.; Cabello, F.; Pizarro, J.;
24 Bostick, K. Chemical Use in Salmon Aquaculture: A Review of Current
25 Practices and Possible Environmental Effects. *Aquaculture* **2010**,
26 *306* (1), 7-23.
27
28
29
30
31

32
33 (5) Tamminen, M.; Karkman, A.; Löhmus, A.; Muziasari, W. I.;
34 Takasu, H.; Wada, S.; Suzuki, S.; Virta, M. Tetracycline Resistance
35 Genes Persist at Aquaculture Farms in the Absence of Selection
36 Pressure. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45* (2), 386-391.
37
38
39
40
41

42
43 (6) Declercq, A. M.; Haesebrouck, F.; Van den Broeck, W.;
44 Bossier, P.; Decostere, A. Columnaris Disease in Fish: A Review
45 with Emphasis on Bacterium-Host Interactions. *Vet. Res.* **2013**, *44*,
46 27.
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 (7) Laanto, E.; Bamford, J. K. H.; Ravantti, J. J.; Sundberg,
54 L.-R. The Use of Phage FCL-2 as an Alternative to Chemotherapy
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 against Columnaris Disease in Aquaculture. *Front. Microbiol.* **2015**,
4
5 6, 829.
6
7

8 (8) Lone, A.; Anany, H.; Hakeem, M.; Aguis, L.; Avdjian, A.-
9
10 C.; Bouget, M.; Atashi, A.; Brovko, L.; Rochefort, D.; Griffiths,
11
12 M. W. Development of Prototypes of Bioactive Packaging Materials
13
14 Based on Immobilized Bacteriophages for Control of Growth of
15
16 Bacterial Pathogens in Foods. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2016**, 217,
17
18 49-58.
19
20
21

22 (9) Anany, H.; Chen, W.; Pelton, R.; Griffiths, M. W.
23
24 Biocontrol of *Listeria Monocytogenes* and *Escherichia Coli* O157:H7
25
26 in Meat by Using Phages Immobilized on Modified Cellulose
27
28 Membranes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2011**, 77 (18), 6379-6387.
29
30
31

32 (10) Curtin, J. J.; Donlan, R. M. Using Bacteriophages to
33
34 Reduce Formation of Catheter-Associated Biofilms by *Staphylococcus*
35
36 *Epidermidis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2006**, 50 (4), 1268-
37
38 1275.
39
40
41

42 (11) Nogueira, F.; Karumidze, N.; Kusradze, I.;
43
44 Goderdzishvili, M.; Teixeira, P.; Gouveia, I. C. Immobilization of
45
46 Bacteriophage in Wound-Dressing Nanostructure. *Nanomedicine* **2017**,
47
48 13 (8), 2475-2484.
49
50
51

1
2
3 (12) Handa, H.; Gurczynski, S.; Jackson, M. P.; Auner, G.;
4
5 Mao, G. Recognition of Salmonella Typhimurium by Immobilized Phage
6
7 P22 Monolayers. *Surf. Sci.* **2008**, *602* (7), 1392-1400.
8
9

10 (13) Shabani, A.; Zourob, M.; Allain, B.; Marquette, C. A.;
11
12 Lawrence, M. F.; Mandeville, R. Bacteriophage-Modified Microarrays
13
14 for the Direct Impedimetric Detection of Bacteria. *Anal. Chem.*
15
16 **2008**, *80* (24), 9475-9482.
17
18
19

20 (14) Shabani, A.; Marquette, C. A.; Mandeville, R.; Lawrence,
21
22 M. F. Magnetically-Assisted Impedimetric Detection of Bacteria
23
24 Using Phage-Modified Carbon Microarrays. *Talanta* **2013**, *116*, 1047-
25
26 1053.
27
28
29

30 (15) Naidoo, R.; Singh, A.; Arya, S. K.; Beadle, B.; Glass,
31
32 N.; Tanha, J.; Szymanski, C. M.; Evoy, S. Surface-Immobilization
33
34 of Chromatographically Purified Bacteriophages for the Optimized
35
36 Capture of Bacteria. *Bacteriophage* **2012**, *2* (1), 15-24.
37
38
39

40 (16) Hosseinidoust, Z.; Van de Ven, T. G. M.; Tufenkji, N.
41
42 Bacterial Capture Efficiency and Antimicrobial Activity of Phage-
43
44 Functionalized Model Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2011**, *27* (9), 5472-5480.
45
46
47

48 (17) Wang, C.; Sauvageau, D.; Elias, A. Immobilization of
49
50 Active Bacteriophages on Polyhydroxyalkanoate Surfaces. *ACS Appl.*
51
52 *Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8* (2), 1128-1138.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (18) Tawil, N.; Sacher, E.; Mandeville, R.; Meunier, M.
4
5 Strategies for the Immobilization of Bacteriophages on Gold
6
7 Surfaces Monitored by Surface Plasmon Resonance and Surface
8
9 Morphology. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117* (13), 6686–6691.

11
12
13 (19) Liana, A. E.; Marquis, C. P.; Gunawan, C.; Justin
14
15 Gooding, J.; Amal, R. Antimicrobial Activity of T4 Bacteriophage
16
17 Conjugated Indium Tin Oxide Surfaces. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*
18
19 **2018**, *514*, 227–233.

21
22
23 (20) Liana, A. E.; Chia, E. W.; Marquis, C. P.; Gunawan, C.;
24
25 Gooding, J. J.; Amal, R. Adsorption of T4 Bacteriophages on Planar
26
27 Indium Tin Oxide Surface via Controlled Surface Tailoring. *J.*
28
29 *Colloid Interface Sci.* **2016**, *468*, 192–199.

31
32
33 (21) Armanious, A.; Aeppli, M.; Jacak, R.; Refardt, D.;
34
35 Sigstam, T.; Kohn, T.; Sander, M. Viruses at Solid-Water
36
37 Interfaces: A Systematic Assessment of Interactions Driving
38
39 Adsorption. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**.
40
41 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04644>.

42
43
44
45 (22) Laanto, E.; Sundberg, L.-R.; Bamford, J. K. H. Phage
46
47 Specificity of the Freshwater Fish Pathogen *Flavobacterium*
48
49 *Columnare*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2011**, *77* (21), 7868–7872.

1
2
3 (23) Shieh, H. S.; Others. Studies on the Nutrition of a Fish
4 Pathogen, *Flexibacter Columnaris*. *Microbios Letters* **1980**, *13*
5 (51/52), 129-133.
6
7

8
9
10 (24) Morita, M.; Ohmi, T.; Hasegawa, E.; Kawakami, M.;
11 Ohwada, M. Growth of Native Oxide on a Silicon Surface. *J. Appl.*
12 *Phys.* **1990**, *68* (3), 1272-1281.
13
14
15

16
17
18 (25) Parks, G. A. The Isoelectric Points of Solid Oxides,
19 Solid Hydroxides, and Aqueous Hydroxo Complex Systems. *Chem. Rev.*
20 **1965**, *65* (2), 177-198.
21
22
23

24
25
26 (26) Michen, B.; Graule, T. Isoelectric Points of Viruses. *J.*
27 *Appl. Microbiol.* **2010**, *109* (2), 388-397.
28
29
30

31 (27) Giesbers, M.; Kleijn, J. M.; Cohen Stuart, M. A. The
32 Electrical Double Layer on Gold Probed by Electrokinetic and
33 Surface Force Measurements. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2002**, *248*
34 (1), 88-95.
35
36
37

38
39
40 (28) Abdelsalam, M. E.; Bartlett, P. N.; Kelf, T.; Baumberg,
41 J. Wetting of Regularly Structured Gold Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2005**,
42 *21* (5), 1753-1757.
43
44
45

46
47
48 (29) Guntupalli, R.; Sorokulova, I.; Olsen, E.; Globa, L.;
49 Pustovyy, O.; Moore, T.; Chin, B.; Barbaree, J.; Vodyanoy, V.
50 Detection and Identification of Methicillin Resistant and
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Sensitive Strains of Staphylococcus Aureus Using Tandem
4 Measurements. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **2012**, *90* (3), 182-191.

5
6
7
8 (30) Singh, A.; Glass, N.; Tolba, M.; Brovko, L.; Griffiths,
9 M.; Evoy, S. Immobilization of Bacteriophages on Gold Surfaces for
10 the Specific Capture of Pathogens. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2009**, *24*
11 (12), 3645-3651.

12
13
14
15 (31) Singh, A.; Arya, S. K.; Glass, N.; Hanifi-Moghaddam, P.;
16 Naidoo, R.; Szymanski, C. M.; Tanha, J.; Evoy, S. Bacteriophage
17 Tailspike Proteins as Molecular Probes for Sensitive and Selective
18 Bacterial Detection. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2010**, *26* (1), 131-138.

19
20
21
22 (32) Cademartiri, R.; Anany, H.; Gross, I.; Bhayani, R.;
23 Griffiths, M.; Brook, M. A. Immobilization of Bacteriophages on
24 Modified Silica Particles. *Biomaterials* **2010**, *31* (7), 1904-1910.

25
26
27
28 (33) Zerda, K. S.; Gerba, C. P.; Hou, K. C.; Goyal, S. M.
29 Adsorption of Viruses to Charge-Modified Silica. *Appl. Environ.*
30 *Microbiol.* **1985**, *49* (1), 91-95.

31
32
33
34 (34) Bone, S.; Alum, A.; Markovski, J.; Hristovski, K.; Bar-
35 Zeev, E.; Kaufman, Y.; Abbaszadegan, M.; Perreault, F.
36 Physisorption and Chemisorption of T4 Bacteriophages on Amino
37 Functionalized Silica Particles. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2018**,
38 *532*, 68-76

1
2
3 (35) Vonasek, E.; Lu, P.; Hsieh, Y.-L.; Nitin, N.
4
5 Bacteriophages Immobilized on Electrospun Cellulose Microfibers by
6
7 Non-Specific Adsorption, Protein-ligand Binding, and Electrostatic
8
9 Interactions. *Cellulose* **2017**, *24* (10), 4581-4589.

10
11
12
13 (36) Luria, S. E.; Delbrück, M. Mutations of Bacteria from
14
15 Virus Sensitivity to Virus Resistance. *Genetics* **1943**, *28* (6), 491-
16
17 511.

18
19
20
21 (37) Mejri, M. B.; Baccar, H.; Baldrich, E.; Del Campo, F.
22
23 J.; Helali, S.; Ktari, T.; Simonian, A.; Aouni, M.; Abdelghani, A.
24
25 Impedance Biosensing Using Phages for Bacteria Detection:
26
27 Generation of Dual Signals as the Clue for in-Chip Assay
28
29 Confirmation. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2010**, *26* (4), 1261-1267.

30
31
32
33 (38) Balasubramanian, S.; Sorokulova, I. B.; Vodyanoy, V. J.;
34
35 Simonian, A. L. Lytic Phage as a Specific and Selective Probe for
36
37 Detection of Staphylococcus aureus—A Surface Plasmon Resonance
38
39 Spectroscopic Study. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* **2007**, *22* (6),
40
41 948-955.

42
43
44
45
46
47
48 **ToC figure**
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Are immobilized phages infective?
7

