This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Keva, Ossi; Tang, Patrik; Käkälä, Reijo; Hayden, Brian; Taipale, Sami J.; Harrod, Chris; Kahilainen, Kimmo K.

Title: Seasonal changes in European whitefish muscle and invertebrate prey fatty acid composition in a subarctic lake

Year: 2019

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2019 John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Rights: In Copyright

Rights url: <http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en>

Please cite the original version:

Keva, O., Tang, P., Käkälä, R., Hayden, B., Taipale, S. J., Harrod, C., & Kahilainen, K. K. (2019). Seasonal changes in European whitefish muscle and invertebrate prey fatty acid composition in a subarctic lake. *Freshwater Biology*, 64(11), 1908-1920. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13381>

Seasonal changes in European whitefish muscle and invertebrate prey fatty acid composition in a subarctic lake

Ossi Keva^{a*}, Reijo Käkälä^b, Patrik Tang^c, Brian Hayden^d, Sami J. Taipale^a, Chris Harrod^{e,f,g} & Kimmo K. Kahilainen^h

^{*} Corresponding author, e-mail address: ossi.keva@jyu.fi

^a Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35 (YA), 40014 Jyväskylä, Finland.

^b Helsinki University Lipidomics Unit (HiLIPID), Helsinki Institute of Life Sciences (HiLIFE), and Molecular and Integrative Biosciences Research Programme, Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, P.O.Box 65, FI-00014, Helsinki, Finland

^c Department of Biology, University of Bergen, P.O.Box 7803, NO-5020, Norway

^d Biology Department, Canadian Rivers Institute, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, NB E3B 5A3, Canada.

^e Instituto de Ciencias Naturales Alexander Von Humboldt, Universidad de Antofagasta, Avenida Angamos 601, Antofagasta, Chile.

^f Instituto Antofagasta, Universidad de Antofagasta, Avenida Angamos 601, Antofagasta, Chile.

^g Núcleo Milenio INVASAL, Concepción, Chile.

^h Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences, Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, Campus Evenstad, Anne Evenstads vei 80, NO-2480 Koppang, Norway

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

2

22 Key words: Annual; Diet shift; HUFA; n-3/n-6; Spawning; Winter ecology

23 FWB additional key words: Biochemical analyses; Experimental ecology; Fatty acid; Fish;

24 Food web; Fresh waters; Invertebrate; Zooplankton

Copy for Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

25 Summary:

- 26 1. Despite extensive research into fish fatty acids (FA) over recent decades, we know little about
27 seasonal changes of fish FA profile and content. Such changes are expected to be large in subarctic
28 lakes, where ambient light and temperature show extreme seasonal variation due to the long cold
29 period and polar night in winter.
- 30 2. We studied seasonal changes in the FA profile (mol%) and content (mg/g DW) of sexually mature
31 European whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus*) muscle in a large and deep subarctic lake located in
32 northern Fennoscandia. We collected fish, zooplankton and benthic macroinvertebrate samples
33 during three ice-covered months, including December (during whitefish spawning), and three
34 open-water months. Fish size, age, sex, stomach content and fullness, as well as gonadosomatic index
35 were also assessed as co-variates.
- 36 3. Whitefish changed diet from benthic macroinvertebrates to zooplankton from winter to summer.
37 Generally, whitefish somatic growth was slow and most energy was used for gonad growth.
38 Zooplankton had higher total content and different profile of FA compared to benthic
39 macroinvertebrates. Increased zooplanktivory in summer was detected with higher α -linolenic acid
40 (ALA, 18:3n-3) and stearidonic acid (SDA, 18:4n-3) percentage and content as well as increased the
41 ratio of polyunsaturated FAs (PUFAs) of n-3 and n-6 family (n-3/n-6 –ratio) in fish muscle.
- 42 4. Whitefish gonadal growth and development occurs during the summer growing season and
43 continues until the initiation of spawning in early winter. We found that the content of physiologically
44 crucial PUFA, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 20:5n-3), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6n-3), and
45 arachidonic acid (ARA, 20:4n-6) decreased by ca. 60% between late summer and the spawning period

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

4

in early winter. After spawning, total FA content of whitefish muscle increased rapidly reaching the maximum recorded level in mid-summer.

5. Seasonal changes in whitefish muscle FA profiles and contents were modified both by available diet and reproductive phase, however, reproductive physiology was clearly a stronger driver of the changes in muscle FA composition. In general, our results suggest a very slow turn-over rate of FA in dorsal muscle of slow growing subarctic whitefish.

1. Introduction

Seasonal variation of light and temperature in subarctic lakes has significant impacts on production and the metabolism of animals (McMeans et al., 2015). Primary production is generally low during the dark polar winter, followed by increased solar irradiance in spring, coupled with nutrient-rich runoff from watershed meltwater, which contribute to a primary production peak (Christoffersen et al., 2008; Lizotte, 2008; Hampton et al., 2017). In the clear water of subarctic lakes, benthic primary production plays a major role in the overall biomass production (Sierzen et al., 2003; Forsström et al., 2013). At an annual scale, benthic macroinvertebrate density remains relatively stable in such lakes, whereas zooplankton typically display a single summer peak coinciding with the late summer phytoplankton boom (Hayden et al., 2014; Hampton et al., 2017).

Light and temperature are key environmental cues for fish, inducing gonadal development and later spawning activities (e.g. Wanzenböck et al., 2012). Gonadal development places extremely high energetic demands on fish, and likely requires a high quality food supply prior to the gonads being grown (e.g. Jobling et al., 1998). At an annual scale, benthic macroinvertebrates are the most important prey for many salmonid species in subarctic lakes (Svenning et al., 2007; Amundsen and Knudsen, 2009; Eloranta et al., 2010; Hayden et al., 2014). However, salmonids show a dietary shift

1
2
3 68 from benthic prey to zooplankton during the summer coinciding with the peak zooplankton
4
5 69 abundance (Heikinheimo et al., 2000; Eloranta et al., 2010, 2013; Hayden et al., 2014). Zooplankton
6
7 70 may provide physiologically crucial highly unsaturated fatty acids (HUFA), such as eicosapentaenoic
8
9 71 acid (EPA, 20:5n-3), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6n-3) and arachidonic acid (ARA, 20:4n-6).
10
11
12 72 Fish are generally unable to synthesize these important biomolecules efficiently from precursor
13
14 73 molecules: α -linolenic (ALA, 18:3n-3) and linoleic acid (LIN, 18:2n-6) (e.g. Henderson 1996; Tocher
15
16 74 et al., 2003), and therefore rely on lower trophic levels for their supply. The current paradigm in
17
18 75 ecological FA studies is that EPA and DHA are synthesized only by some phytoplankton taxa
19
20 76 (Ahlgren et al., 1992, Gladyshev et al. 2013; Taipale et al., 2013, 2016) and transferred to fish via
21
22 77 zooplankton, allowing the growth and functions of delicate and complex organs of fishes, e.g. muscle,
23
24 78 eye, brain and gonads (e.g. Watanabe et al., 1989; Arts et al., 2001; Tocher et al., 2003). Salmonids
25
26 79 gain both somatic and gonadosomatic mass during summer period, and thus pelagic planktivory has
27
28 80 been suggested to be essential for gonadal development (Eloranta et al., 2010; 2013; Hayden et al.,
29
30 81 2014).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 82 Pelagic zooplankton, especially copepods tend to have higher EPA and DHA content compared to
38
39 83 benthic macroinvertebrates (e.g. Gladyshev et al., 2015; Makhutov et al., 2016), which in turn tend
40
41 84 to contain more ARA (e.g. Lau et al., 2012; Hixons et al., 2015). However, the paradigm of nutritional
42
43 85 superiority of zooplankton over benthic macroinvertebrates might be an over-simplification and
44
45 86 should be considered with caution (Gladyshev et al., 2018). Fish fatty acid (FA) composition
46
47 87 integrates different FAs from their foraging habitat and thus can become habitat specific. Therefore,
48
49 88 a consumer's FA composition can be considered as a useful proxy of the long-term feeding habitat.
50
51 89 For example, studying tissue n-3/n-6 ratios may reveal ecological segregation among different fish
52
53 90 species from the same lake (Kuusipalo and Kkel, 2000; Lau et al., 2012). Due to the trophic
54
55 91 retention of FAs, a low n-3/n-6 ratio in fish is often used as an indicator for utilization of littoral or
56
57 92 terrestrial resource (benthic macroinvertebrates), whereas a high ratio indicates the contribution of

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

6

pelagic phytoplankton via zooplankton (Kainz et al., 2017; Strandberg et al., 2018). Moreover, in many cases ALA, stearidonic acid (SDA, 18:4n-3) and EPA have been used as pelagic biomarkers, and LIN and ARA as littoral markers (Eloranta et al., 2013; Taipale et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 2019).

In vertebrate cells, FAs are used to build membrane phospholipids, from which FAs are subsequently cleaved off, for the synthesis of signaling molecules. When surplus energy is gained, FAs are stored as triacylglycerol, whereas under a negative energy status, FAs are deliberated from lipids for oxidative energy production (Henderson, 1996; Tan et al., 2014; Calder, 2015). The FA-derived structural molecules or energy production are essential for the growth and reproduction of fish. FA utilization rates differ: PUFAs are hydrolyzed from cytosolic lipid droplets more readily than monounsaturated (MUFA) or saturated (SFA) FAs – at the same time short carbon chains are easier to hydrolyze and further oxidize than long carbon chains (Groscolas and Raclot, 1998; Eroldoğan et al., 2013). Fish FA turnover rates vary among tissue types. A dietary change is visible in fish muscle FA composition 1–2 months after a switch to a new diet, and FA turnover rates are faster in juveniles than adults (Jobling et al., 2002; Milardi et al., 2016; Taipale et al., 2018). FA turnover rate in perivisceral fat and the whole carcass is longer, ≥ 3 months (Jobling et al., 2002).

Fish white muscle is usually characterized by large absolute and relative content of EPA, DHA and ARA (Łuczyńska et al., 2008; Muir et al., 2014; Gladyshev et al., 2017; Strandberg et al., 2018). Adipose salmonid fish, such as Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus* (L.)), have large lipid reserves in muscle and carcass (including skin), whereas in many lean species, such as cod (*Gadus morhua* L.), the liver is the most important lipid storage tissue (Jobling et al., 1998; 2008). Luzzana et al. (1996) reported that in Lake Maggiore (northern Italy), at the southernmost distribution of European whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus* (L.)), liver contains twice as much FAs than muscle and perivisceral adipose tissue is an important energy source for gonad development. However, information on annual variation of FA in muscle tissue remains scarce for subarctic whitefish, which often dominates lake

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

fish communities in the region. Whitefish hold a key role in food web dynamics, as they support important fisheries across their distribution and are sensitive to environmental stressors such as climatic and land use change (Hayden et al. 2017; Thomas et al. 2017).

Subarctic lakes provide excellent opportunities to study the relative importance of reproduction and pelagic dietary shifts on fish muscle FA composition, due to: i) the intense summer growth season and ii) the fact that benthic-derived energy dominates these systems for most of the year except for a short summer shift to pelagic-derived energy (Sierzen et al., 2003; Eloranta et al., 2010; Hayden et al., 2014). Such a shift could be especially important in autumn/winter spawning fishes that must develop their gonad tissues (which can reflect more than 20 % of somatic mass in females (e.g. Rösch 2000)) during summer and autumn. In the current study, we examined variation in annual dorsal muscle FA in whitefish inhabiting a well-studied subarctic lake (Hayden et al., 2014; Keva et al., 2017). The main motivation for the study was a lack of knowledge on how fish muscle FA composition and content vary intra-annually in subarctic lakes, and how dietary resource shifts, fish condition and the reproductive cycle may affect muscle FAs. To seek answers for these questions, we examined two hypotheses:

H1: The strong year-round reliance of whitefish on littoral benthic macroinvertebrates should be reflected in muscle FA composition, where littoral markers (e.g. ARA) should dominate FAs for most of the year. However, during, and shortly after the zooplankton dietary shift in late summer, pelagic markers (e.g. LIN, SDA and EPA) should increase in whitefish muscle FA content and profiles (Hayden et al., 2014).

H2: Gonadal development, spawning and overwintering are energetically expensive for fish (Jørgensen et al. 1997; Jobling et al., 1998). Whitefish invest HUFAs into gonad tissues by mobilizing FAs from perivisceral lipids, and to a lesser degree, from muscle lipids (Luzzana et al., 1996; Muir

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

8

et al., 2014). Gonadal development in whitefish is rapid, and usually occurs in late autumn, just prior to spawning in early winter (e.g. Hayden et al., 2014, Keva et al., 2017). Therefore, we hypothesized that content of n-3 HUFA (EPA and DHA) and n-6 HUFA (ARA) in whitefish muscle should be the lowest during, and after spawning. In addition, the total FA content of muscle should be the lowest in midwinter, and that the subsequent recovery should be slow as whitefish generally feed at very low rates during winter, due to low water temperatures (Hayden et al., 2013, 2014; Keva et al., 2017).

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Sampling area and period

Samples for this year-round study were collected in 2011 and 2012 both during ice-covered winter months (December, February, May) and ice-free summer months (June, July, September) from a subarctic lake, Kilpisjärvi (hereinafter Kilpis) located in northern Finland (Fig. S1). Kilpis is an oligotrophic lake with cold, clear and neutral water (detailed water chemistry in Hayden et al., 2014), with a surface area of 37.3 km², a shoreline length of 71.5 km, and maximum and mean depths of 57 m and 19.7 m, respectively. The catchment area (293 km²) mainly consists of subarctic tundra and human population densities are low (e.g. Hayden et al., 2017).

Whitefish dominate the fish fauna of Kilpis: they comprise approximately 95% of the total fish biomass (Harrod et al., 2010; Malinen et al., 2014). In this region, whitefish populations are often polymorphic, but Kilpis has only a single generalist morph that is the most ubiquitous to the region; the large sparsely rakered (LSR) whitefish (Harrod et al., 2010; Kahilainen et al., 2017). Seven other fishes inhabit Kilpis: alpine bullhead (*Cottus poecilopus* Heckel), pike (*Esox lucius* L.), burbot (*Lota lota* (L.)), minnow (*Phoxinus phoxinus* L.), brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.), Arctic charr and grayling (*Thymallus thymallus* L.) (Kahilainen et al., 2007). In Kilpis, copepods (especially *Eudiaptomus*

1
2
3 162 *graciloides* Liljeborg and to a smaller degree *Cyclops scutifer* Sars) dominate the pelagic zooplankton
4
5 163 community year-round, whereas cladocerans (mainly *Bosmina* sp. and to a smaller degree *Daphnia*
6
7
8 164 sp. and *Holopedium gibberum* Zaddach) are apparent during the mid- to late-summer months
9
10 165 (Kahilainen et al., 2007; Hayden et al., 2014). The pelagic zooplankton peak typically occurs in late
11
12 166 July, whereas densities are lowest in mid-winter (Hayden et al., 2014). The profundal benthos of
13
14
15 167 Kilpis largely consists of chironomid larvae, Oligochaeta and *Pisidium* sp., whereas the shallower
16
17 168 water littoral benthos is more diverse, and includes several insect larvae (Trichoptera, Plecoptera,
18
19 169 Ephemeroptera, Megaloptera, Dytiscidae, Tabanidae) and periphyton-grazing snails (*Lymnaea* sp.,
20
21 170 *Valvata* sp.) (Hayden et al., 2014).
22
23
24
25

26 171 2.2 Sampling methods and measurements
27
28
29

30 172 Fish samples were collected with 240 m long benthic gill net series including seven panels of different
31
32 173 mesh sizes (knot-to-knot mesh sizes: 12, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 45 mm; net height: 1.8 m) and one multi-
33
34 174 mesh NORDIC-net (5.25–55 mm; net height 1.5 m) set overnight (10–12h) during the open-water
35
36
37 175 sampling (Jun-12, Jul-12, Sep-12) or for up to two days (24–48h) during the under-ice sampling (Dec-
38
39 176 11, Feb-12, May-12). On capture, all fish were immediately euthanized by cranial concussion,
40
41 177 removed from nets, stored in ice and transported to the laboratory. Pelagic zooplankton were sampled
42
43 178 through vertical hauls (from depth of 10 m) of a plankton net (diameter 25 cm, mesh size: 50 µm),
44
45
46 179 benthic macroinvertebrates were sampled using an Ekman-grab (area: 272 cm²), in shallow littoral
47
48 180 areas benthic macroinvertebrates were also collected by a kick-net. All invertebrate and fish
49
50 181 individuals were identified to the lowest practical taxonomic level.
51
52
53

54 182 Fish total length (±1mm) and blotted wet mass (±0.1g) were measured, and the Fulton's condition
55
56
57 183 factor was derived from the formula (Nash et al., 2006): $K = M / TL^3 \times 100$, where K is condition factor,
58
59 184 M is mass (g) and TL is total length of fish (cm). Age determination was performed under microscope
60

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

10

1
2
3 185 using one clear and one burned-and-cracked sagittal otolith immersed under water in a petri-dish and
4
5 186 using a microfiche to read ventral scales pressed on a polycarbonate slide (Kahilainen et al., 2003).
6
7
8 187 We used these different bony structures to improve the reliability of aging (Kahilainen et al., 2017).
9
10 188 The first left gill arch was dissected and gill rakers were counted under preparation microscope. Gill
11
12 189 raker number is a heritable trait in coregonids, and is used for morph identification as they are related
13
14
15 190 to diet, whereby a high number of gill rakers facilitates dietary specialization to zooplankton
16
17 191 (Kahilainen et al., 2011a, 2011b).
18
19
20

21 192 Sex and maturation level were visually determined from gonads using a 1-7 scale, where values
22
23 193 between 1 and 3 represent juveniles and 4 and 7, mature individuals in different maturity stages.
24
25 194 Gonads were weighed (± 0.01 g) and the gonadosomatic index calculated (Hayden et al., 2014):
26
27
28 195 $GSI = GM/SM \times 100$, where GSI is gonadosomatic index, GM is the gonad mass (g) and SM is the
29
30 196 somatic mass (g). Stomach contents were characterized using a points method (Hynes, 1950), where
31
32 197 stomach fullness was visually estimated in scale of 0-10 (0=empty, 10=extended full). Prey items
33
34
35 198 were first identified to the lowest feasible taxonomic level under a dissection microscope and their
36
37 199 relative contribution to total fullness was estimated. A piece of dorsal muscle tissue and invertebrate
38
39 200 samples were freeze-dried (-80°C for 48h), ground to fine powder and frozen (-80°C) for subsequent
40
41
42 201 analysis. We took advantage from previously published stable isotope and total mercury studies
43
44 202 (Hayden et al., 2014; Keva et al., 2017) to gain individual values for fish age, sex and maturity stage
45
46 203 to select individuals to FA analyses. We selected six mature individuals (3 male, 3 female) per
47
48 204 sampling month, all from the same dominant year class (2003), and from a similar size class where
49
50
51 205 possible, to minimize potential effects of maturity, age and size on FA composition. Harsh ice-out
52
53 206 conditions in Jun-12 resulted in limited sample size and was supplemented with some older and larger
54
55 207 individual for FA analyses.
56
57
58
59
60

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

2.3 Fatty acid analysis

Freeze-dried samples were ground to fine powder and weighed (10 ± 1 mg) into tin cups, which were subsequently placed into test tubes (10 ml). Each sample was spiked with an internal standard (free FA 13:0) which was used in calculating FA content ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$) in the sample (formula 1). The sample and internal standard were mixed into 2 ml of 1% methanolic H_2SO_4 supplemented with 1 ml hexane, and the solution heated under nitrogen atmosphere in capped vials in a heat block at 95°C for 120 min. After cooling of the tubes, water (1.5 ml) and hexane (4 ml) were added, and subsequently generated FA methyl esters (FAMES) were extracted into hexane. FAME solutions were dried on $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_4$, concentrated under nitrogen flow, and the hexane volume adjusted to 1 ml. Samples were stored at -80°C until analyzed with a GC-2010 Plus gas chromatograph (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with an auto injector (AOC-20i) and a flame ionization detector (FID). The quantification was based on the FID responses, and the peak areas were integrated using GCsolution software (version 2.41.00, Shimadzu). The structures of the 80 FAs detected were identified based on their mass spectrum recorded by Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010 Ultra (Shimadzu) with mass selective detector (MSD). In the GC-FID and GC-MSD, the FAMES were chromatographed using a similar capillary column (Zebron XB-wax, length 30 m, diameter 0.25 mm, film thickness $0.25\ \mu\text{m}$; Phenomenex, Torrance CA, USA). FA molar percentages (mol%) were calculated as the ratio of FA peak area to the peak areas of all FAs adjusted with the theoretical correction factors for FID (Ackman, 1992). Sample FA content was calculated with the following formula (1) based on the assumption that the FID corrected ratio of each unknown FA amount to its peak area equals to the FID corrected ratio of the known amount of the standard FA to its peak area:

$$C_{FAi} = \frac{m_{st}}{m_{sample}} \times \frac{A_{FAi}}{A_{st}} \times \frac{M_{FAi}}{M_{st}} \times \frac{CF_{FAi}}{CF_{st}} \quad (1)$$

where C_{FAi} is the content of individual FA ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$) in the sample, m_{st} and m_{sample} are the masses of

internal standard FA (13:0) and the dried sample weighed into the tin cup (mg) respectively. A_{FAi} and A_{st} are the integrated peak areas of FA_i and the standard FA, respectively. M_{FAi} and M_{st} represent the molecular mass of FA_i and the standard FA (13:0). CF_{FAi} and CF_{st} are the corresponding theoretically calculated and experimentally confirmed correction factors for the slightly different FID responses of different FA structures. After these calculations we sorted FAs by their mean mol% contribution and selected FAs higher than 0.5 mol% for later analysis without normalizing the data to 100% (as done previously by Luzzana et al., 1996; Hessen and Leu, 2006). This subset of FAs was used in all further data analysis and cataloging. In addition, analyzed FAs were grouped into SFA, MUFA, PUFA, n-3 PUFA, n-6 PUFA, and also the dimethyl acetals derived from phospholipid alkenyl chains (DMAs) were included in the analyses. The ratios of n-3/n-6, unsaturated to saturated FAs (UFA/SFA) and the sum of all FAs (Tot-FA) were calculated.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Differences in fish background ecological data (variables described in *Sampling methods and measurements*) and FA between sexes were tested by month with T-test or Mann-Whitney U-test when appropriate. For the FA mol% data, we used permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) based on a Bray-Curtis distance matrix to test the most important variables driving dissimilarities. We used non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordinations based on the Bray-Curtis distance matrix to illustrate the PERMANOVA results. We used SIMPER (similarity percentage test) as a *post-hoc* means to characterize differences observed in the PERMANOVA results. Additionally, to test the differences of individual FA percentage (mol%) and content (mg/g DW) between sampling months in fish or between invertebrate habitats, we used Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni corrected t-tests (here-after Bonferroni test) for *post-hoc* comparisons. If the assumption of normality (Shapiro-Wilk's test) or homogeneity (Levene's test) was violated, we used repeated Welch's t-test (W-ANOVA) with Games Howell *post-hoc* tests. For hypothesis 1, we

examined the difference in FA quality and quantity between fish caught in September and fish from the previous months to reveal the effects of the shift from a benthic to pelagic diet on whitefish muscle FA composition. For hypothesis 2, we focused on the possible FA differences between the fish caught in December and previous and following months to reveal how spawning, and subsequent physiological recovery affected whitefish muscle FA composition. In all statistical tests, we used an alpha level of 0.05 to test null hypothesis. All statistical analyses were conducted using R through RStudio version 3.4.1. with base and/or vegan packages (R Core Team, 2017; Oksanen et al., 2018).

3. Results

3.1 Basic ecological metrics

We first examined potential differences in background ecological data between sexes: we found that the only factor that differed was GSI, with females continually having GSI values 5-10 times higher than males (Table S1). In the pooled ecological background data, the whitefish we examined were similar in age (mean±sd: 9.2±1.6) and size (TL: 29.2±3.8 cm, mass: 197.1±110.0 g, condition factor: 0.74±0.07, gill rakers: 24±2) throughout the study (Table 1; Table S1), apart from the individuals caught in June. These individuals were older (11.1±3.4) and larger (TL: 34.7±6.7 cm, mass: 356.7±206.3 g, condition factor: 0.74±0.12, gill rakers: 25±1) compared to the fish caught in the other months, and reflect issues with limited sample sizes following sampling immediately after ice break-up. GSI was stable from February to July and increased progressively towards the December spawning period (Table 1). Gill raker number remained stable during the whole season (Table 1). Condition factor was highest in September and lowest in February, but we did not find statistical differences (Table 1). Stomach fullness was lowest under ice, *i.e.* during and after spawning (Dec-May: 1.2±1.5) and highest in the open-water season (Jun-Sep: 4.7±1.1) (Table 1). Whitefish largely consumed benthic prey, especially *Pisidium* sp. and Chironomid larvae, which were present in the

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

14

stomachs throughout the year. However, in June and September, littoral *Eurycercus* sp. and pelagic zooplankton (e.g. *Bosmina* sp. and Calanoida) made the largest relative contribution to whitefish diet (Table 1).

We found very small differences in FAs between whitefish sexes i.e. six differences out of 138 potential comparisons (Table S2). In addition, PERMANOVA indicated that sampling month was the only important variable ($r^2=0.648$, $p<0.01$) explaining dissimilarities among whitefish FA profiles. Sex ($r^2=0.003$, $p=0.887$) or the month*sex interaction ($r^2=0.069$, $p=0.302$) were clearly non-significant (Table S3). Therefore, we pooled the two sexes together in all subsequent statistical analysis.

Ordination of FA profiles showed that invertebrates (classified by both taxa and habitat) were clearly differentiated from fish (Fig. 1; PERMANOVA: Table S3). Due to low sample size of invertebrates by taxa and month, the invertebrate data was pooled into to three habitat groups (pelagic zooplankton, littoral benthic macroinvertebrates, profundal benthic macroinvertebrates). Habitat ($r^2=0.240$, $p=0.003$) was the most important variable for explaining the dissimilarities between invertebrate FA profiles, with neither month ($r^2=0.087$, $p=0.880$), nor the habitat*month interaction ($r^2=0.089$, $p=1.0$) affecting FA profiles (Table S3). SIMPER, which indicated that 70-80% of the FA dissimilarity within fish and invertebrates was associated with habitat (Table 2) was explained by: 14:0, 16:0, 16:1n-7, 18:1n-7 18:1n-9, ARA, EPA and DHA. Similarly, SIMPER results for Fish FA profile data based on sampling month (Table 2) indicated that 70-80% of the dissimilarity was explained by: 14:0, 16:0, 18:0, 16:1n-7, 18:1n-9 ARA, EPA, DHA, but in some cases both LIN and SDA also contributed to dissimilarities.

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

3.2 H1 Late summer dietary shift towards pelagic zooplankton affects whitefish muscle FA composition

Invertebrate groups showed differences in mol% among the FA structural categories (ANOVA/W-ANOVA: SFA, $F_{2,12.3}=4.2$, $p=0.004$; MUFA, $F_{2,19.9}=14.5$, $p<0.001$; PUFA, $F_{2,36}=4.4$, $p=0.02$). SFA and PUFA were highest in pelagic zooplankton (*post-hoc* tests: $p<0.05$; Table S4), whereas MUFA showed lower contribution in pelagic zooplankton (15.2 ± 3.8 mol%) than in littoral benthic macroinvertebrates (30.2 ± 10.4 mol%) (*post-hoc* tests: $p<0.05$; Table S4). The FA profile of benthic macroinvertebrates was relatively similar between habitats, but MUFA was higher in littoral benthic macroinvertebrates compared to profundal benthic macroinvertebrate (19.9 ± 5.6 mol%) (*post-hoc* test: $p<0.05$; Table S4). DHA percentage was clearly highest in pelagic zooplankton ($5.9\pm4.5\%$ mol%), and ARA contribution was the highest in profundal benthic macroinvertebrates (2.2 ± 1.2 mol%), a difference highlighted by SIMPER (Table 2; Table 4S).

The n-3/n-6 ratio was the most important FA marker highlighting differences among invertebrate groups (Fig. S2; Table S6), being around 80% higher in pelagic zooplankton (2.43) compared to littoral and profundal benthic macroinvertebrates (1.38). The mean of Tot-FA (171.5 mg/g DW) was >100%, SFA (61.1 mg/g DW) and n-6 PUFA (22.1 mg/g DW) were >200% higher, PUFA (75.6 mg/g DW) was >300% higher and n-3 PUFA (53.5 mg/g DW) was >400% higher in pelagic zooplankton compared to benthic macroinvertebrate habitat groups. SIMPER and ANOVA results showed that EPA, DHA, ARA, 14:0, ALA, and 22:5n-6 were also clearly higher in zooplankton (Table 2; Fig. S2; Table S6). However, variation ($\pm$ SD) in pelagic zooplankton was relatively high due to seasonal changes in the FA content (Fig. S3-S5; Table S6), and therefore statistical differences in ANOVA was not found besides in n-3/n-6 -ratio.

In pelagic zooplankton, ALA, SDA and n-3/n-6 ratios all varied among months (ANOVA/W-

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

16

ANOVA: ALA, $F_{5,30}=5.5$, $p=0.001$; SDA, $F_{5,30}=4.2$, $p=0.005$; n-3/n-6 $F_{5,30}=8.5$, $p<0.001$), and all were highest in September (Fig. S2). Moreover, whitefish muscle SDA content varied among months (ANOVA: $F_{5,30}=6.8$, $p<0.001$; Fig. S2; Table S7) and were ca. twice as high in September (0.32 ± 0.14 mg/g DW) than in the other months (pooled average: 0.13 ± 0.04 mg/g DW). Moreover, n-3/n-6 ratio in whitefish muscle was found to be highest in September (3.91 ± 0.33) and lowest in December (2.72 ± 0.48) (Fig. 2; Table S7).

3.3 H2 Whitefish muscle FA profile and content during the spawning at December

Whitefish FA profile varied seasonally: December was particularly distinct (Fig. 1; Fig. 2; Fig. S2; Table 2, Table S5). The relative percentages of each FA category differed considerably between months (ANOVA/W-ANOVA: SFA, $F_{5,13.5}=11.4$, $p<0.001$; MUFA, $F_{5,13.8}=5.1$, $p=0.01$; PUFA, $F_{5,13.7}=8.1$, $p<0.001$; n-3 PUFA, $F_{5,30}=16.8$, $p<0.001$; n-6 PUFA, $F_{5,30}=18.9$, $p<0.001$). SFA and MUFA percentages were highest in December (45.6 ± 4.1 mol%) and decreased towards summer - reaching the lowest value recorded in June (31.9 ± 1.3 mol%) (*post-hoc* tests: $p<0.01$; Table S5). Conversely, the lowest percentage of n-3 PUFA (17.9 ± 6.8 mol%) and n-6 PUFA (7.0 ± 1.4 mol%) was found in December, and both FA classes increased towards the following summer (*post-hoc* tests: $p<0.01$ in all cases). Only DMA 16:0 remained static (~0.6 mol%) across the whole sampling period (Fig. S2; Table S5). In addition, UFA/SFA and n-3/n-6 -ratios differed among the months (ANOVA/W-ANOVA: $F_{5,13.2}=32.3$, $p<0.001$; $F_{5,30}=8.5$, $p<0.001$, respectively) being highest in September (1.6 ± 0.1 and 3.6 ± 0.3) and lowest in December (0.9 ± 0.2 and 2.5 ± 0.5) (*post-hoc* tests: $p<0.01$; Table S5).

To summarize the detailed FA profile data of whitefish muscle, 16 of the 24 selected FAs showed differences in their percentages in December compared to the other months (Fig. S2; Table S5). SIMPER and ANOVA results showed that only some n-3 PUFAs (ALA, SDA, 20:4n-3, EPA, DHA)

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

17

and individual n-6 PUFAs (ARA, 22:5n-6) decreased from September to December, after which they increased towards summer (Table 2; Fig. S2; Table S5). In contrast, SFAs (14:0, 16:0, 18:0) and n-7 and n-9 MUFAs (16:1n-9, 24:1n-9, 18:1n-9) increased in their percentages from September to December, and after that decreased towards February (Table 2; Fig. S2; Table S5).

Mean whitefish muscle total-FA content were almost 25% lower in December (15.36 ± 3.02 mg/g DW) than in the other months (pooled: 22.31 ± 5.92 mg/g DW), but the difference was not statistically significant (Fig. 2; Table S7). Moreover, PUFA, n-3 PUFA, n-6 PUFA content and UFA/SFA and n-3/n-6 ratios (from content data) showed intra-annual variation (ANOVA/W-ANOVA: PUFA, $F_{5,30}=7.2$, $p<0.001$; n-3 PUFA, $F_{5,13.5}=29.2$, $p<0.001$; n-6 PUFA, $F_{5,30}=5.7$, $p=0.001$; UFA/SFA, $F_{5,12.7}=15.4$, $p<0.001$; n-3/n-6, $F_{5,30}=7.9$, $p<0.001$). In December, PUFA (5.2 ± 1.0 mg/g DW), n-3 PUFA (3.9 ± 0.9 mg/g DW), n-6 PUFA (1.4 ± 0.1 mg/g DW) contents and UFA/SFA –ratio (1.3 ± 0.3) were at the lowest levels recorded during the study (*post-hoc* tests in all cases $p<0.05$; Table S7). PUFA content were around 60% lower in December (5.2 mg/g DW) than in other months (pooled average: 10.8 mg/g DW). SFA and MUFA content in fish muscle were stable throughout the year, yet showing generally the lowest content in February, despite 24:1n-9 which was lowest in September (Fig. 2; Table S7). Eight of the most abundant FAs contributed >75 % of the total FAs, and of these, three (ARA, EPA, DHA) showed differences in content among months (ANOVA/W-ANOVA: $p<0.05$ in all cases; Fig. 2; Fig. S2; Table 2; Table S7), and had the lowest content in December (*post-hoc* tests in all cases $p<0.05$).

4. Discussion

4.1 Main results

In our study conducted across a single annual cycle, we found that phytoplankton-zooplankton related markers (ALA, SDA and n-3/n-6) reached their highest percentages and ratio (respectively) in whitefish muscle tissue in September, approximately one to two months after the whitefish underwent a dietary shift to zooplankton (H1). In December, just prior to spawning, percentages and content of whitefish muscle HUFA (EPA, DHA and ARA) were the lowest, whereas in mid-summer they were higher (H2), emphasizing major biochemical differences during spawning time compared to summer.

4.2 H1 Whitefish dietary shift from benthic macroinvertebrate to zooplankton can be detected with FA biomarkers

Seasonal changes in zooplankton biomass volume and composition are associated with shifts in fish foraging behavior. Various empirical studies using stomach content and stable isotope analyses have shown that generalist salmonids undergo seasonal diet shifts in subarctic lakes (Amundsen and Knudsen, 2009; Eloranta et al., 2010; Kahilainen et al., 2016). During the ice-covered period, when pelagic zooplankton densities are low, generalist fishes typically feed on benthic macroinvertebrates. Moreover, feeding activity (stomach fullness) has been usually reported to be the highest in summer and the lowest in winter (Svenning et al., 2007; Hayden et al., 2015) – as seen here. However, feeding activity does continue during the long period of ice cover, but this has traditionally been related to maintenance metabolism only. Increased feeding activity and energy gain during summer result in a growing season for most fish, which is reflected in higher condition indices in summer than in winter (Le Cren, 1951; Tolonen, 1999). Eloranta et al. (2013) found in their snap-shot summer-winter field study, that Arctic charr muscle contained more FAs in summer than in winter, suggesting that it was

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

caused by summer-time zooplanktivory and overall high feeding activity. Whitefish muscle tissue is much leaner than that of Arctic charr and we observed relatively stable Tot-FA, n-3 PUFA and n-6 PUFA content outside the spawning period. This highlights the conservative nature of muscle FA composition and the major energy demand of gonadal development. Studies from aquaculture (e.g. Turchini et al. 2003; Suomela et al. 2017) have revealed that the consumption of fish feed provided in excess can modify muscle FA composition over a period of 1-2 months during the growing season. We did not find similar FA signature turnover rates in the current study, most likely due to limited prey resources and slow growth rate of whitefish, which gain only minor somatic growth during the growing season (Hayden et al., 2014; Keva et al., 2017). Previous whitefish studies have shown a clear dietary shift from benthic macroinvertebrates to zooplankton using SCA, but with stable isotope analysis of whitefish muscle, the shift was undetectable suggesting a very long turnover-time of muscle tissue in such cold-water lake (Hayden et al., 2014; Thomas and Crowther, 2015). Collectively, this may indicate that turnover-times of stable isotopes and FAs derived from aquaculture environments using optimal diets, excess feeding and lack of predation may not extend to wild populations in resource-limited subarctic lakes.

Despite the relative stability of FA composition outside the spawning period, increased zooplanktivory during late summer was highlighted by some FA markers. In this study pelagic zooplankton contributed less in whitefish stomach content than littoral zooplankton in summer (i.e. *Eurycercus* sp.). In literature, FA data of littoral *Eurycercus* is scarce, but some studies suggest it to contain significant amounts of ALA and HUFAs (Smirnov 2017), therefore being potentially nutritionally valuable for fish and closely similar to pelagic zooplankton. In the current study, dietary related changes in whitefish muscle FA composition were only observable during late summer – an observation that is consistent with the previous findings from slow growing subarctic fish (e.g. Milardi et al., 2016). SDA and ALA were at their highest percentages in whitefish muscle in September. This was in line with the dietary hypothesis, since zooplankton were also rich in these

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

20

FAs which have been previously reported to be higher in zooplankton than in benthic macroinvertebrates (e.g. Eloranta et al., 2013). Moreover, SFA 14:0 increased from June, reaching the highest content in December, but this change was not statistically significant due to high variance. However, 14:0 is a potential pelagic biomarker, typically high in diatoms, which are digested by zooplankton and later by fish (e.g. Taipale et al., 2016; Thomas et al., 2019). The n-6 PUFAs reached their maximum content in June and decreased steadily towards December, while n-3 PUFA content was relatively stable before fast decline during the spawning season in December. These trends result in both the seasonal maximum in the n-3/n-6 ratio in September and the minimum in December, and may originate from the interacting and combined effects of the dietary shift in mid-summer and gonadal investment in late autumn–early winter (Kainz et al., 2017; Strandberg et al., 2018).

4.3 H2 Energy investment to gonads affects the quantity and quality of whitefish muscle

FAs

Gonads include elevated content of lipids with HUFAs (especially EPA, DHA, ARA), which are essential for gonadal development, and are relocated from different tissues and organs to the gonads (Luzzana et al., 1996; Jobling et al., 1998; Muir et al., 2014). Muir et al. (2014) found that female lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis* Mitchill) condition did not affect egg FA content and therefore concluded that FA content of eggs is highly conserved. They demonstrated that total FA content in lake whitefish eggs were 3–4 times higher than in the muscle tissue. In addition, Strandberg et al. (2018) suggested that in the autumn-spawning pelagic zooplanktivore vendace (*Coregonus albula*), reproduction costs can be such to affect muscle FA composition up to the late spring in the following year. Sushchik et al. (2007) suggested that spawning was the main factor driving the seasonal changes i.e. EFA depletion during the spawning of riverine Siberian grayling (*Thymallus arcticus*).

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

21

In the present study, whitefish muscle Tot-FA, n-3 PUFA and n-6 PUFA content were lowest in December during spawning, but these were already recovered almost fully in February, reaching a maximum in mid-summer. Therefore, we conclude that gonadal development requires large amounts of energy and HUFAs (e.g. EPA, DHA and ARA), especially directly prior to spawning when most of the gain in gonadal mass is concentrated (Jobling et al., 1998). We did not find major differences in female and male muscle FA content nor profile seasonally, suggesting approximately similar qualitative gonad investment or high energy costs associated with spawning (or a combination of these factors). Surprisingly, the highest content of MUFA and SFA were observed during spawning and lowest right after, even more unexpectedly, a rapid increase in PUFAs was recorded after spawning. The high MUFAs and SFAs could be explained by the assimilation of perivisceral fat and translocation of storage fats from adipose tissue (mainly MUFAs and SFAs) to liver and muscular cells allowing the production of different lipid classes (Jobling et al., 1998). This is supported by the observation of elevated C:N ratio in whitefish liver in December (Keva et al., 2017), as FAs are high in carbon and low in nitrogen. However, the reasons for the relatively rapid and major increment of important HUFAs (+100–200 %) after spawning and during the time of low feeding activity remains unclear. We suggest that this may reflect an increased rate of lipid mobilization from other tissues, increased HUFA synthesis, increased feeding activity beginning in the spring, or most likely a combination of these factors.

In addition to the hypothesis we examined, we found that whitefish FA profiles reacted incrementally (or decreased) relative to other FA content. In our data, this trivial mathematical phenomenon related on the dependency of proportional variables, is particularly seen in whitefish muscle 16:0 where mol% data showed significant increases from September to December while 16:0 content showed no significant variation. Without the content data, we would not be able to identify whether this trend was driven by the changes in other FA content or simply by changes in 16:0 content. Therefore, we

argue that especially in FA studies recording temporal changes in FA quality, concentration-based analyses should be preferred as previously suggested (e.g. Gladyshev et al., 2018).

4.4 Conclusions

We showed that whitefish muscle contained ~60% less of the physiologically bioactive HUFA (EPA, DHA and ARA) during spawning (mean±sd: 0.83±0.15, 2.25±0.71, 0.68±0.08 mg/g DW), compared to other months (pooled average: 1.97±0.01, 5.84±0.08, 1.51±0.02 mg/g DW). We showed that seasonal variation in FA content was associated with annual dietary shifts and more closely with spawning. The recorded season based FA variation in fish muscle was at similar or even higher level to that reported in FA content across a gradient of lake productivity from oligo-, meso- to eutrophic lakes (Taipale et al., 2016). This underlines a pressing need to include seasonality in future studies and monitoring programs using FAs, at least in northern latitudes. As a future perspective, we suggest that researchers undertake year-round FA comparisons of low and high lipid-content fishes with different spawning times, preferably along a gradient of growth rates to test generality of current study results.

5. Acknowledgements

We thank G. Hayden, O. Kalttopää, J.-P. Myllykangas, J. Pelkonen, K. Nyberg, R. Rolls, O. Saari, M. Sujala and J. Marttila for their help in field and laboratory work. Kilpisjärvi Biological Station provided excellent facilities during the sampling. K.K.K. was supported by Academy of Finland (projects 1140903, 1268566) and Regional Developmental Fund (A30205). C.H. was supported by Núcleo Milenio INVASAL funded by Chile's government program, Iniciativa Científica Milenio from Ministerio de Economía, Fomento y Turismo and B.H. was supported by small grants of Fisheries Society of British Isles. University of Jyväskylä kindly provided a graduate fund to O.K.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The European Union directive (2010/63/EU) on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes was conformed at all stages in this study. We thank the editor and two anonymous reviewers for their comments that improved the focus and message of the paper.

6. Supplementary material

Open access supplementary data (Table 1S-7S & Fig. 1S-6S) related to this article can be found online at [TYPE THE URL HERE].

7. Author contributions

K.K.K. designed the study. K.K.K., B.H. and C.H. undertook field and laboratory work. R.K., P.T. and S.J.T. supervised O.K. in FA analyses. O.K. conducted the FA laboratory analyses, statistical analyses and wrote the first version of manuscript. All authors contributed in revising the manuscript and no conflict of interest occurs.

8. References

Ackman, R. G. (1992). Application of gas-liquid chromatography to lipid separation and analysis: qualitative and quantitative analysis. In *Fatty acids in foods and their health implications*. C. K. Chow, editor. Marcel Dekker, New York, 47–63.

Ahlgren, G., Gustafsson, I.-B., Boberg, M. (1992). Fatty acid content and chemical composition of freshwater microalgae. *Journal of Phycology* 28, 37–50.

Amundsen, P.-A., Knudsen, R. (2009). Winter ecology of Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) in a subarctic lake, Norway. *Aquatic Ecology* 43, 765–775.

Arts, M.T., Ackman, R.G., Holub, B.J. (2001). “Essential fatty acids” in aquatic ecosystems: a crucial link between diet and human health and evolution. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 58, 122–137.

Calder, P.C. (2015). Functional Roles of Fatty Acids and Their Effects on Human Health. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition* 39, S18–S32.

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

24

- Christoffersen, K.S., Amsinck, S.L., Landkildehus, F., Lauridsen, T.L. (2008). Lake flora and fauna in relation to Ice - melt, water temperature and chemistry at Zackenberg. *Advances in Ecological Research* 40, 371–389.
- Eloranta, A.P., Kahilainen, K.K., Jones, R.I. (2010). Seasonal and ontogenetic shifts in the diet of Arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus* in a subarctic lake. *Journal of Fish Biology* 77, 80–97.
- Eloranta, A.P., Mariash, H.L., Rautio, M., Power, M. 2013. Lipid-rich zooplankton subsidise the winter diet of benthivorous Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) in a subarctic lake. *Freshwater Biology* 58, 2541–2554.
- Eroldoğan, T.O., Yilmaz, A.H., Turchini, G.M., Arslan, M., Sirkecioğlu, N.A., Engin, K., ... Mumoğullarında, P. (2013). Fatty acid metabolism in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*): Effects of n-6 PUFA and MUFA in fish oil replaced diets. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 39, 941–955.
- Forsström, L., Roiha, T., Rautio, M. (2013). Responses of microbial food web to increased allochthonous DOM in an oligotrophic subarctic lake. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 68, 171–184.
- Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Makhutova, O.N. (2013). Production of EPA and DHA in aquatic ecosystems and their transfer to the land. *Prostaglandins and Other Lipid Mediators* 107: 117–126.
- Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Dubovskaya, O.P., Busheva, Z.F., Makhutova, O.N., Fefilova, E.B., ... Kalachova, G.S. (2015). Fatty acid composition of Cladocera and Copepoda from lakes of contrasting temperature. *Freshwater Biology* 60, 373–386.
- Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Makhutova, O.N., Glushchenko, L.A., Rudchenko, A.E., Makhrov, A.A., ... Dgebuadze, Y.Y. (2017). Fatty acid composition and contents of seven commercial fish species of genus *Coregonus* from Russian subarctic water bodies. *Lipids* 52, 1033–1044.
- Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Tolomeev, A.P., Dgebuadze, Y.Y. (2018) Meta-analysis of factors associated with omega-3 fatty acid contents of wild fish. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 28, 277–299.
- Groscolas, R., Raclot, T. (1998). Fatty acids, adipocytic metabolism and the development of adipose tissue. *Oléagineux, Corps gras, Lipides*. 5, 199–205.
- Hampton, S.E., Galloway, A.W., Powers, S.M., Ozersky, T., Woo, K.H., Batt, R.D., ... Xenopoulos, M.A. (2017). Ecology under lake ice. *Ecology Letters* 20, 98–111.
- Harrod, C., Mallela, J., Kahilainen, K.K. (2010). Phenotype-environment correlations in a putative whitefish adaptive radiation. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 79, 1057–1068.
- Hayden, B., Harrod, C., Kahilainen, K.K. (2014). Dual fuels: intra-annual variation in the relative importance of benthic and pelagic resources to maintenance, growth and reproduction in a generalist salmonid fish. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 83, 1501–1512.
- Hayden, B., Harrod, C., Kahilainen, K.K. (2013). The effects of winter ice cover on the trophic ecology of

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

- whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus* L.) in subarctic lakes. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* 22, 192–201.
- Hayden, B., Harrod, C., Sonninen, E., Kahilainen, K.K. (2015). Seasonal depletion of resources intensifies trophic interactions in subarctic freshwater fish communities. *Freshwater Biology* 60, 1000–1015.
- Hayden, B., Myllykangas J.P., Rolls, R.J., Kahilainen, K.K. (2017). Climate and productivity shape fish and invertebrate community structure in subarctic lakes. *Freshwater Biology* 62, 990–1003.
- Heikinheimo, O., Miinalainen, M., Peltonen H. (2000). Diet, growth and competitive abilities of sympatric whitefish forms in a dense introduced population: results of a stocking experiment. *Journal of Fish Biology* 57, 808–827.
- Henderson, R.J. (1996). Fatty acid metabolism in freshwater fish with particular reference to polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Archives of Animal Nutrition* 49, 5–22.
- Hessen, D.O., Leu, E. (2006). Trophic transfer and trophic modification of fatty acids in high Arctic lakes. *Freshwater Biology* 51, 1987–1998.
- Hixson, S.M., Sharma, B., Kainz, M.J., Wacker, A., Arts, M.T. (2015). Production, distribution, and abundance of long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: a fundamental dichotomy between freshwater and terrestrial ecosystems. *Environmental Reviews* 23, 141–424.
- Hynes, H.B.N. (1950). The food of freshwater sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* and *Pygosteus pungitius*), with a review of methods used in studies of the food of fishes. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 19, 36–58.
- Jobling, M., Johansen, S.J.S., Foshaug, H., Burkow, I.C., Jørgensen, E.H. (1998). Lipid dynamics in anadromous Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.) seasonal variation in lipid storage depots and lipid class composition. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 18, 225–240.
- Jobling, M., Larsen, A.V., Andreassen, B., Sigholt, T., Olsen, R.L. (2002). Influence of a dietary shift on temporal changes in fat deposition and fatty acid composition of Atlantic salmon post-smolt during the early phase of seawater rearing. *Aquaculture Research* 33, 875–889.
- Jobling, M., Leknes, O., Sæther, B.-S., Bendiksen, E.Å. (2008). Lipid and fatty acid dynamics in Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* tissues: Influence of dietary lipid concentrations and feed oil sources. *Aquaculture* 241, 87–94.
- Jørgensen, E.H., Johansen, S.J.S., Jobling, M. (1997). Seasonal patterns of growth, lipid deposition and lipid depletion in anadromous Arctic charr. *Journal of Fish Biology* 51, 312–326.
- Kahilainen, K., Lehtonen, H., Könönen, K. (2003). Consequence of habitat segregation to growth rate of two sparsely rakered whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus* (L.)) forms in a subarctic lake. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* 12, 275–285.
- Kahilainen, K.K., Malinen, T., Tuomaala, A., Alajärvi, E., Tolonen, A., Lehtonen, H. (2007). Empirical evaluation of phenotype-environment correlation and trait utility with allopatric and sympatric whitefish,

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

26

- 3 570 *Coregonus lavaretus* (L.), populations in subarctic lakes. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 92,
4 571 561–572.
- 7 572 Kahilainen, K.K., Siwertsson, A., Gjelland, K.Ø., Knudsen, R., Bøhn, T., Amundsen, P.-A. (2011a). The role
8 573 of gill raker variability in adaptive radiation of coregonid fish. *Evolutionary Ecology* 25, 573–588.
- 10 574 Kahilainen, K.K., Østbye, K., Harrod, C., Shikano, T., Malinen, T., Merilä, J. (2011b). Species introduction
12 575 promotes hybridization and introgression in *Coregonus*: Is there sign of selection against hybrids?
14 576 *Molecular Ecology* 20, 3838–3855.
- 16 577 Kahilainen, K.K., Thomas, S.M., Keva, O., Hayden, B., Knudsen, R., Eloranta, A.P.,... Järvinen, A. (2016).
17 578 Seasonal dietary shift to zooplankton influences stable isotope ratios and total mercury concentrations in
18 579 Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus* (L.)). *Hydrobiologia* 783, 47–63.
- 21 580 Kahilainen, K.K., Thomas, S.M., Nystedt, E.K.M., Keva, O., Malinen, T., Hayden, B. (2017).
22 581 Ecomorphological divergence drives differential mercury bioaccumulation in polymorphic European
24 582 whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus*) populations of subarctic lakes. *Science of the Total Environment* 599–600,
26 583 1768–1778.
- 28 584 Kainz, M.J., Hager, H.H., Rasconi, S., Kahilainen, K.K., Amundsen, P.A., Hayden, B. (2017). Polyunsaturated
29 585 fatty acids in fishes increase with total lipids irrespective of feeding sources and trophic position. *Ecosphere*
31 586 8, e01753.
- 33 587 Keva, O., Hayden, B., Harrod, C., Kahilainen, K.K. (2017). Total mercury concentrations in liver and muscle
34 588 of European whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus* (L.)) in a subarctic lake - Assessing the factors driving year-
36 589 round variation. *Environmental Pollution* 231, 1518–1528.
- 38 590 Kuusipalo, L., Käkälä, R. (2000). Muscle fatty acids as indicators of niche and habitat in Malawian cichlids.
40 591 *Limnology and Oceanography* 45, 1000–1005.
- 42 592 Lau, D.C.P., Vrede, T., Pickova, J., Goedkoop, W. (2012). Fatty acid composition of consumers in boreal lakes
43 593 – variation across species, space and time. *Freshwater Biology* 57, 24–38.
- 45 594 Le Cren, E.D. (1951). The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the
47 595 perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). *Journal of Animal Ecology* 20, 201–219.
- 49 596 Lizotte, M. P. (2008). Phytoplankton and primary production. In *Polar lakes and rivers: Limnology of Arctic
51 597 and Antarctic aquatic ecosystems*, eds. Vincent, W.F., Laybourn-Parry, J. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 53 598 Łuczyńska, J., Borejszo, Z., Łuczyński, M. (2008). The Composition of Fatty Acids in Muscles of Six
54 599 Freshwater Fish Species from the Mazurian Great Lakes (Northeastern Poland). *Archives of Polish
56 600 Fisheries* 16, 167–176.
- 58 601 Luzzana, U., Serrini, G., Moretti, V.M., Grimaldi, P., Paleari, M.A., Valfre, F. (1996). Seasonal variations in
59 602 fat content and fatty acid composition of male and female coregonid “bondella” from Lake Maggiore and

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

27

- landlocked shad from Lake Como (Northern Italy). *Journal of Fish Biology* 48, 352–366.
- Makhutova, O.N, Shulepina, S.P., Sharapova, T.A., Dubovskaya, O.P., Suschik, N.N., Baturina, M.A., ... Gladyshev, M.I. (2016) Content of Polyunsaturated fatty acids essential for fish nutrition in zoobenthos species. *Freshwater Science* 35, 1222–1234.
- Malinen, T., Tuomaala, A., Lehtonen, H., Kahilainen, K.K., 2014. Hydroacoustic assessment of mono- and polymorphic *Coregonus* density and biomass in subarctic lakes. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* 23, 424–437.
- McMeans, B.C., McCann, K.S., Humphries, M., Rooney, N., Fisk, A.T. (2015). Food web structure in temporally-forced ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 30, 662–672.
- Milardi, M., Käkälä, R., Weckström, J. & Kahilainen, K.K. (2016). Terrestrial prey fuels the fish population in a small, high-latitude lake. *Aquatic Sciences* 78, 695–706.
- Muir, A.M., Arts, M.T., Koops, M.A., Johnson, T.B., Krueger, C.C., Sutton, T.M., Rosenfeld, J. (2014). Reproductive life-history strategies in lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) from the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 71, 1256–1269.
- Nash, R.D.M., Valencia, A.H., Geffen, A.J., Meek, A., 2006. The origin of Fulton's condition factor — setting the record straight. *Fisheries* 31, 236–238.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., ... Wagner, H. (2018). vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-3. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>
- R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Rösch, R. (2000) . Gonadosomatic index (GSI) of female whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus*) in Lake Constance. *Limnologica* 30, 193–196.
- Sierzen, M. E., McDonald, M. E., Jensen, D. A. (2003). Benthos as the basis for arctic lake food webs. *Aquatic Ecology* 37, 437–445.
- Smirnov, N.N. (2017) Nutrition, in *Physiology of Cladocera, second edition*, ed. Gomez, K. Academic Press.
- Strandberg, U., Hiltunen, M., Taipale, S.J., Yeung, S., Kankaala, P. (2018). Planktivorous vendace (*Coregonus albula*) utilise algae-derived fatty acids for biomass increase and lipid deposition. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* 27, 533–541.
- Suomela, J.-P., Tarvainen, M., Kallio, H., Airaksinen, S. (2017). Fish oil finishing diet maintains optimal n-3 long-chain fatty acid content in European whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus*). *Lipids* 52, 849–855.
- Svenning, M.A., Klemetsen, A., Olsen, T. (2007). Habitat and food choice of Arctic charr in Linnévatn on Spitsbergen, Svalbard: The first year-round investigation in a High Arctic lake. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish* 16, 70–77.

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

28

- 1
2
3 635 Taipale, S., Strandberg, U., Peltomaa, E., Galloway, A.W.E, Ojala, A., Brett, M.T. (2013). Fatty acid
4 636 composition as biomarkers of freshwater microalgae: analysis of 37 strains of microalgae in 22 genera and
5 637 seven classes. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 71, 165–178.
- 8 638 Taipale, S.J., Vuorio, K., Strandberg, U., Kahilainen, K.K., Järvinen, M., Hiltunen, M.,... , Kankaala, P. (2016).
9 639 Lake eutrophication and brownification downgrade availability and transfer of essential fatty acids for
10 640 human consumption. *Environment International* 96, 156–166.
- 13 641 Taipale, S.J., Kahilainen, K.K., Holtgrieve, G.W., Peltomaa, E.T. (2018). Simulated eutrophication and
14 642 browning alters zooplankton nutritional quality and determines juvenile fish growth and survival. *Ecology*
15 643 *and Evolution* 8, 2671–2687.
- 18 644 Tan, J., McKenzie, C., Potamitis, M., Thorburn, A.N., Mackay, C.R., Macia, L., 2014. The Role of Short-
20 645 Chain Fatty Acids in Health and Disease, 5th ed, Advances in Immunology. Elsevier Inc.
- 22 646 Thomas, S.M., Crowther, T.W. (2015). Predicting rates of isotopic turnover across the animal kingdom: a
23 647 synthesis of existing data. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 84, 861–870.
- 26 648 Thomas, S.M., Harrod, C., Hayden, B., Malinen, T., Kahilainen, K.K. (2017). Ecological speciation in a
27 649 generalist consumer expands the trophic niche of a dominant predator. *Scientific Reports* 7, 8765.
- 30 650 Thomas, S.M., Kainz, M.J., Amundsen, P.-A., Hayden, B., Taipale, S.J., Kahilainen, K.K. (2019) Quantifying
31 651 resource polymorphism in European whitefish: analysis of fatty acid profiles provides clearer evidence than
32 652 traditional methods PLoS ONE (under review).
- 35 653 Tocher, D.R., Bell, J.G., Dick, J.R., Crampton, V.O. (2003). Effects of dietary vegetable oil on Atlantic salmon
36 654 hepatocyte fatty acid desaturation and liver fatty acid compositions. *Lipids* 38, 723–732.
- 39 655 Tolonen, A. (1999). Application of a bioenergetics model for analysis of growth and food consumption of
40 656 subarctic whitefish *Coregonus lavaretus* (L.) in Lake Kilpisjärvi, Finnish Lapland. *Hydrobiologia* 390,
41 657 153–169.
- 44 658 Turchini, M.G., Mentasti, T., Frøyland, L., Orban, E., Caprino, F., Moretti, V.M., Valfré, F. (2003). Effects of
45 659 alternative dietary lipid sources on performance, tissue chemical composition, mitochondrial fatty acid
46 660 oxidation capabilities and sensory characteristics in brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.). *Aquaculture* 225, 251–
47 661 267.
- 50 662 Watanabe, T., Thongrod, S., Takeuchi, T., Satoh, S., Kudota, S.S., Fujimaki, Y., Cho, C.Y. (1989). Effect of
51 663 dietary n-6 and n-3 fatty acids on growth, fatty acid composition and histological changes of white fish
52 664 *Coregonus lavaretus maraena*. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi* 55, 1977–1982.
- 55 665 Wanzenböck, J., Pamminer-Lahnsteiner, B., Winkler, K., Weiss, S.J. (2012). Experimental evaluation of the
56 666 spawning periods of whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus* complex) in Lake Mondsee, Austria. *Advances in*
57 667 *Limnology* 63, 89–97.
- 60

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Ecological characteristics (sample size; age; body size; condition factor, gill raker number, gonadosomatic index; GSI and diet) of whitefish. For each continuous variable monthly mean $\pm$ SD values and ANOVA statistics are presented if ANOVA $p < 0.05$. Bold superscript numbers before the mean values indicate statistical difference (Bonferroni corrected t-tests, $\text{adj.} p < 0.05$) against the indicated months. Stomach fullness, number of empty stomachs and detailed stomach content for different prey groups are presented as mean percentage contributions. Abbreviations l., n. and p. after invertebrate orders indicates larva, nymph and pupa respectively.

	² Feb-12	⁵ May-12	⁶ Jun-12	⁷ Jul-12	⁹ Sep-12	¹² Dec-11	ANOVA
N	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Age	9 $\pm$ 0	9 $\pm$ 0	¹² 11.2 $\pm$ 3.4	9 $\pm$ 0	9 $\pm$ 0	⁶ 8 $\pm$ 0	F _{5,30} =3.35
Total length (mm)	⁶ 274 $\pm$ 20	⁶ 288 $\pm$ 19	^{2,5,7,9,12} 347 $\pm$ 67	⁶ 282 $\pm$ 6	⁶ 286 $\pm$ 8	⁶ 272 $\pm$ 15	F _{5,30} =5.07
Total mass (g)	⁶ 145 $\pm$ 28	⁶ 177 $\pm$ 40	^{2,5,7,9,12} 356 $\pm$ 206	⁶ 166.43 $\pm$ 14	⁶ 187 $\pm$ 16	⁶ 150.23 $\pm$ 34	F _{5,30} =4.90
GSI	¹² 0.48 $\pm$ 0.39	¹² 0.48 $\pm$ 0.34	¹² 0.93 $\pm$ 0.61	¹² 0.78 $\pm$ 0.42	2.91 $\pm$ 1.68	^{2,5,6,7} 6.32 $\pm$ 5.59	F _{5,30} =5.52
Gill raker count	23 $\pm$ 2	24 $\pm$ 1	25 $\pm$ 1	24 $\pm$ 2	25 $\pm$ 1	27 $\pm$ 2	F _{5,30} =2.91
Condition factor	0.70 $\pm$ 0.04	0.73 $\pm$ 0.04	0.74 $\pm$ 0.13	0.74 $\pm$ 0.04	0.80 $\pm$ 0.04	0.74 $\pm$ 0.04	F _{5,30} =1.45
Stomach fullness	^{6,7,9} 0.83 $\pm$ 1.17	^{7,9} 2.00 $\pm$ 2.10	^{2,12} 4.33 $\pm$ 0.52	^{2,12} 4.50 $\pm$ 0.55	^{2,5,12} 5.17 $\pm$ 1.72	^{6,7,9} 0.83 $\pm$ 0.98	F _{5,30} =13.39
Empty stomachs	3	2	0	0	0	3	
Pelagic							
zooplankton	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	4.8	0.0	
<i>Bosmina</i> sp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	
Calanoida	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	
Copepoda	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	
Benthic							
zooplankton	0.0	41.7	0.0	50.0	48.4	0.0	
<i>Eurycercus</i> sp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	48.4	0.0	
<i>Megacyclops</i>	0.0	41.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Benthic macroinvertebrates	100.0	26.7	100.0	31.5	12.9	100.0	
Chironomid l.	70.0	15.8	18.5	5.6	0.0	60.0	
Chironomid p.	0.0	0.0	50.0	9.3	0.0	0.0	
Ephemeroptera n.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	
<i>Lymnaea</i> sp.	0.0	0.0	26.5	7.4	1.6	0.0	
<i>Pisidium</i> sp.	30.0	10.0	1.2	5.6	11.3	20.0	
Plecoptera n.	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Trichoptera l.	0.0	0.8	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	
Terrestrial insects	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	33.9	0.0	
Geometrid moth	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	0.0	
Other insects	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	22.6	0.0	
Fish	0.0	31.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Whitefish eggs	0.0	31.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	
Corixidae	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	
SUM (%)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Running head: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION 1

Table 2. SIMPER results of FA profile data. Columns separated with dashed lines indicate pairwise SIMPER tests between whitefish (LSR) and invertebrates: zooplankton (ZPL), benthic macroinvertebrates (BMI) grouped by habitats (upper section of the table) and subsequent months (lower section of the table). The total amount of dissimilarity (%) between groups is shown in the first underlined row in parentheses. FAs are ordered from the most to the least significant driver to total dissimilarity, dis.sum indicates cumulative sum in total dissimilarity. FA means from the tested groups are presented in the means column, corresponding with the group order in the underlined header.

<u>LSR--ZPL (38.3%)</u>			<u>LSR--profundal BMI (44.3%)</u>			<u>LSR--littoral BMI (45.6%)</u>			<u>ZPL--profundal BMI (41.2%)</u>			<u>ZPL--littoral BMI (43.0%)</u>			<u>profundal--littoral BMI (36.4%)</u>		
FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum
14:0	2.9--16.6	0.21	DHA	19.3--1.3	0.27	DHA	19.3--0.9	0.25	14:0	16.6--4.1	0.23	14:0	16.5--3.6	0.21	16:0	9.8--14.3	0.21
DHA	19.3--5.9	0.42	16:0	25.8--9.8	0.51	16:0	25.1--14.3	0.41	16:0	15.9--9.8	0.39	16:1n-7	6.0--14.0	0.35	16:1n-7	6.3--14.0	0.40
16:0	25.1--15.9	0.57	EPA	7.9--3.6	0.58	16:1n-7	2.6--14.0	0.56	DHA	5.9--1.3	0.48	16:0	15.9--14.3	0.47	18:1n-9	5.6--8.3	0.50
ARA	5.8--1.6	0.63	16:1n-7	2.6--6.3	0.63	EPA	7.9--3.3	0.63	18:1n-7	1.5--5.2	0.54	DHA	5.9--0.9	0.55	LIN	4.1--4.7	0.57
EPA	7.9--5.1	0.69	ARA	5.8--2.2	0.69	ARA	5.8--1.2	0.69	16:1n-7	6.0--6.3	0.6	18:1n-7	1.5--6.1	0.62	18:1n-7	5.2--6.1	0.63
16:1n-7	2.6--6.0	0.74	18:1n-9	5.2--5.6	0.73	18:1n-9	5.2--8.3	0.75	EPA	5.1--3.6	0.66	18:1n-9	5.2--8.3	0.69	EPA	3.6--3.3	0.69
ALA	1.8--4.1	0.78	18:1n-7	2.8--5.2	0.77	18:1n-7	2.8--6.1	0.80	18:1n-9	5.2--5.6	0.72	EPA	5.1--3.3	0.75	18:0	4.0--4.2	0.72
18:0	5.8--3.2	0.82	LIN	2.2--4.1	0.81	LIN	2.2--4.7	0.83	ALA	4.1--1.34	0.77	LIN	4.0--4.7	0.80	14:0iso	2.9--2.0	0.76
<u>Dec-11-- Feb-12 (23.6%)</u>			<u>Feb-12--Mar-12 (6.9%)</u>			<u>Mar-12--Jun-12 (9.2%)</u>			<u>Jun-12--Jul-12 (8.9%)</u>			<u>Jul-12--Sep-12 (9.4%)</u>			<u>Sep-12-- Dec-11 (21.0%)</u>		
FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum	FA	means	dis.sum
DHA	9.9--23.0	0.31	DHA	23.0--24.5	0.26	DHA	24.5--21.0	0.25	DHA	21.0--19.7	0.17	DHA	19.7--17.4	0.20	DHA	17.4--9.9	0.22
16:0	30.6--24.5	0.45	EPA	8.1--8.4	0.38	18:1n-9	3.9--6.1	0.38	18:1n-9	6.1--4.9	0.28	16:0	24.8--22.8	0.34	16:0	22.8--30.6	0.43
EPA	4.2--8.1	0.54	16:0	24.5--24.3	0.48	EPA	8.4--8.7	0.47	16:0	23.5--24.8	0.37	EPA	9.4--8.4	0.43	EPA	8.4--4.2	0.55
18:1n-9	7.4--4.0	0.62	ARA	6.4--6.7	0.55	16:1n-7	1.8--3.0	0.57	16:1n-7	3.0--2.8	0.46	16:1n-7	2.8--3.6	0.51	18:1n-9	4.8--7.4	0.62
14:0	5.4--2.5	0.70	14:0	2.5--1.9	0.61	16:0	24.3--23.5	0.65	14:0	1.6--2.8	0.53	ARA	6.11--4.9	0.58	14:0	3.2--5.4	0.68
ARA	3.4--6.4	0.76	16:1n-7	1.5--1.8	0.67	LIN	1.8--2.7	0.70	EPA	8.7--9.4	0.60	18:1n-9	4.9--4.8	0.63	18:0	5.3--7.5	0.74
18:0	7.5--5.7	0.81	18:1n-7	2.2--2.5	0.71	14:0	1.9--1.6	0.74	ARA	7.0--6.1	0.66	SDA	0.9--1.5	0.68	ARA	4.9--3.4	0.79
16:1n-7	3.2--1.5	0.85	18:1n-9	4.0--3.9	0.75	ARA	6.7--7.0	0.78	LIN	2.7--2.2	0.71	18:1n-7	2.8--3.2	0.72	16:1n-7	3.6--3.2	0.82

680

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure captions

Figure 1. nMDS biplot of whitefish and invertebrate FA profile data. Whitefish are shown as circles and month by different intensity of shading by gray scale. Invertebrate groups and habitats are presented with different marker shapes, with the shading of smaller overlaying circles indicating sampling month. The most important fatty acids corresponding to 70-80% of the total dissimilarities between groups were identified using SIMPER results (Table 2) and they are presented as light gray text.

Figure 2. Boxplots of whitefish muscle Total FA and PUFA content (mg/g DW) (A–B), UFA/SFA and n-3/n-6 –ratios (C–D) and content of eight most abundant FAs from the lowest to the highest contribution (E–L). Note the differences in y-axis scales in figures A, B, C, D, E-J, K-L. Bold horizontal lines indicate median values, the box indicate first and third quartile and whiskers indicate present minimum and maximum values unless outliers (open circles) are displayed (distance from median > 1.5*interquartile range).

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

32

Fig. 1.

SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

Fig. 2.

Supplementary material for: "Seasonal changes in European whitefish muscle and invertebrate prey fatty acid composition in a subarctic lake"

Ossi Keva*, Patrik Tang, Reijo Käkälä, Brian Hayden, Sami J. Taipale, Chris Harrod & Kimmo K. Kahilainen

*Corresponding author: Ossi Keva, ossi.keva@jyu.fi

This file contains 7 supplementary tables and 6 figures

Table S1 Whitefish basic ecological metrics (age, size, GSI, condition factor, gill rakers) by month and sex. Bold mean $\pm$ SD (n) values indicate statistical difference between sexes in month columns separated with dashed vertical lines. T-test (normal font) or Mann Whitney U-test (*italics*) were used to test the differences in the distributions.

Table S2 Whitefish muscle FA content (mg/g DW) by month and sex. Bold mean $\pm$ SD (n) values indicate statistical difference between sexes in month columns separated with dashed vertical lines. T-test (normal font) or Mann Whitney U-test (*italics*) was used to test the differences in the distributions.

Table S3 PERMANOVA results of invertebrate habitat group and whitefish muscle FA profile. Dissimilarities in FA profiles were compared against habitat, month, habitat*month (upper section of the table) and in a dataset only including fish data (lower section of the table) month, sex and month*sex.

Table S4 Invertebrate mean $\pm$ SD molar percent (mol%) of the selected FAs (contributing >0.5 of total LSR FA mol%). ANOVA (^A) or Welch ANOVA (^W) statistics (F, df between groups and df) and the corresponding p-value are shown in bold in the following column (p_{anova}) where asterisk (*) equals to $p < 0.001$. Pairwise Bonferroni-corrected T-tests or Games Howell tests are shown in the final column where $adj.p < 0.05$, where numbers indicate sampling months according to the head row.

Table S5 Whitefish mean $\pm$ SD molar percent values (mol%) of the FAs contributing >0.5 of total by month. ANOVA (^A) or Welch ANOVA (^W) statistics (F, df between groups and df) and the corresponding p-value are shown in bold in the following column (p_{anova}) where asterisk (*) equals to $p < 0.001$. Pairwise Bonferroni-corrected T-tests or Games Howell tests are shown in final column ($adj.p < 0.05$), where numbers indicate sampling months according to the header row.

Table S6 Invertebrate mean $\pm$ SD content (mg/g DW) of the selected FAs (contributing >0.5 of total LSR FA mol%). ANOVA (^A) or Welch ANOVA (^W) statistics (F, df between groups and df) and the corresponding p-value are shown in bold in the following column (p_{anova}) where asterisk (*) equals to $p < 0.001$. Pairwise Bonferroni-corrected T-tests or Games Howell tests are shown in the final column ($adj.p < 0.05$), where numbers indicate sampling months according to the head row.

Table S7 Whitefish mean $\pm$ SD content (mg/g DW) of the FAs contributing >0.5 of molar percent total by month. ANOVA (^A) or Welch ANOVA (^W) statistics (F, df between groups and df) and the corresponding p-value are shown in bold in the following column (p_{anova}) where asterisk (*) equals to $p < 0.001$. Pairwise Bonferroni-corrected T-tests or Games Howell tests are shown in the final column ($adj.p < 0.05$), where numbers indicate sampling months according to the head row.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure S1 Bathymetric map of Lake Kilpisjärvi located in Northern Fennoscandia. The sampling site is marked with an ellipse labelled A. Different shades of grey indicate the different depth zones of the lake and indicates river flow directions.

Figure S2 Invertebrate (A, B) and whitefish (C, D) mean mol% and content data, respectively, of the selected FAs. Grey hatching in the bars indicates A, B: different invertebrate groups (benthic algae, littoral benthic macroinvertebrates, profundal benthic macroinvertebrates and pelagic zooplankton); C, D: different months. The vertical line separates the eight most abundant FAs in whitefish muscle contributing >75% of all FAs and is provided to aid visual separation of FAs.

Figure S3 Pelagic zooplankton mean mol% and content (mg/g DW) of the selected FAs by month (A and B respectively). The vertical line is included only to aid visual sorting of eight most abundant FAs according to whitefish muscle FA profiles.

Figure S4 Littoral benthic macroinvertebrates mean mol% and content (mg/g DW) of the selected FAs by month (A and B respectively). The vertical line is included only to aid visual sorting of eight most abundant FAs according to whitefish muscle FA profiles.

Figure S5 Profundal benthic macroinvertebrates mean mol% and content (mg/g DW) of the selected FAs by month (A and B respectively). The vertical line is included only to aid visual sorting of eight most abundant FAs according to whitefish muscle FA profiles.

Figure S6 nMDS biplot of invertebrate (A) and whitefish muscle (B) FA profile data. Invertebrate groups are presented with colors and habitats with rasters (A). Whitefish are grouped by month indicated with gray shades, circles = females, squares = males. The most important fatty acids corresponding to 70-80% of the total dissimilarities between groups were identified using SIMPER results (Table 2) and they are presented as light gray text.

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

3

Table S1

	Dec-11		Feb-12		May-12	
	Female (3)	Male (3)	Female (3)	Male (3)	Female (3)	Male (3)
Age	8±0	8±0	9±0	9±0	9±0	9±0
Total length (mm)	282±15	262±5	273±8	275±31	283±17	294±23
Total mass (g)	173±35	127±5	141±11	150±43	162±33	192±47
GSI	11.39±1.03	1.25±0.13	0.77±0.32	0.18±0.14	0.77±0.19	0.19±0.03
Gill raker count	25.67±1.53	27.33±1.53	23.67±0.58	23±3.46	24±1.73	23±1
Condition factor	0.77±0.04	0.71±0.02	0.69±0.05	0.71±0.04	0.71±0.03	0.75±0.04
	Jun-12		Jul-12		Sep-12	
	Female (4)	Male (2)	Female (3)	Male (3)	Female (3)	Male (3)
Age	12±4	9±0	9±0	9±0	9±0	9±0
Total length (mm)	388±21	264±6	280±8	284±3	288±6	284±11
Total mass (g)	479±105	112±26	169±21	164±6	186±16	188±20
GSI	1.29±0.33	0.22±0.01	1.1±0.31	0.46±0.2	4.39±0.36	1.42±0.58
Gill raker count	24.75±1.26	24±1.41	23.33±3.06	24.33±1.53	24.67±1.15	25.67±1.53
Condition factor	0.81±0.08	0.61±0.1	0.77±0.05	0.71±0.02	0.78±0.03	0.82±0.04

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

Table S2

	December-11		February-12		May-12		June-12		July-12		September-12	
	Female (3)	Male (3)	Female (3)	Male (3)	Female (3)	Male (3)	Female (4)	Male (2)	Female (3)	Male (3)	Female (3)	Male (3)
SFA	6.75±0.14	6.98±2.94	6.23±1.84	5.82±0.64	5.71±0.96	7.13±0.25	7.04±1.53	5.95±0.87	5.84±2.6	6.85±2.98	5.05±1.89	8.44±2.47
14:0iso	0.11±0.03	0.13±0.06	0.11±0.01	0.11±0.01	0.10±0.03	0.09±0.03	0.11±0.01	0.11±0.04	0.09±0.06	0.11±0.03	0.18±0.05	0.15±0.06
14:0	0.49±0.11	0.92±0.87	0.34±0.14	0.33±0.07	0.24±0.09	0.32±0.11	0.24±0.01	0.28±0.06	0.38±0.15	0.41±0.21	0.39±0.14	0.59±0.16
15:0	0.13±0.02	0.14±0.07	0.13±0.02	0.12±0.01	0.11±0.03	0.13±0.03	0.12±0.01	0.11±0.01	0.13±0.07	0.15±0.05	0.17±0.06	0.20±0.08
16:0	4.59±0.31	4.45±1.51	4.37±1.29	4.10±0.55	4.05±0.70	5.15±0.31	5.14±1.18	4.23±0.74	4.17±1.95	4.86±2.13	3.41±1.32	5.69±1.37
18:0	1.42±0.02	1.33±0.47	1.28±0.41	1.17±0.13	1.21±0.19	1.43±0.08	1.43±0.33	1.23±0.15	1.07±0.43	1.32±0.58	0.90±0.32	1.81±0.84
MUFA	3.07±0.91	3.34±2.09	2.09±0.65	2.24±0.55	1.97±0.51	2.76±0.03	3.69±1.39	2.64±1.24	2.94±1.87	2.59±1.14	2.12±0.92	4.46±1.40
16:1n-5	0.13±0.03	0.12±0.04	0.12±0.05	0.11±0.03	0.09±0.01	0.14±0.02	0.11±0.02	0.10±0.02	0.15±0.09	0.17±0.12	0.10±0.04	0.18±0.03
16:1n-7	0.46±0.23	0.51±0.36	0.20±0.09	0.34±0.17	0.20±0.09	0.47±0.04	0.73±0.38	0.45±0.42	0.64±0.53	0.42±0.19	0.46±0.23	1.04±0.31
16:1n-9	0.13±0.03	0.20±0.14	0.10±0.04	0.10±0.03	0.10±0.03	0.13±0.03	0.10±0.03	0.10±0.01	0.13±0.06	0.13±0.05	0.09±0.03	0.16±0.03
18:1n-7	0.75±0.32	0.65±0.37	0.44±0.17	0.49±0.18	0.48±0.19	0.66±0.06	0.68±0.22	0.49±0.25	0.61±0.30	0.61±0.30	0.50±0.21	1.16±0.37
18:1n-9	1.27±0.37	1.55±1.09	0.83±0.27	0.88±0.18	0.76±0.21	1.05±0.03	1.79±0.82	1.21±0.60	1.21±0.85	1.02±0.50	0.81±0.37	1.70±0.79
24:1n-9	0.34±0.05	0.30±0.11	0.40±0.08	0.32±0.05	0.35±0.05	0.31±0.03	0.29±0.04	0.30±0.06	0.21±0.06	0.25±0.12	0.16±0.06	0.22±0.01
PUFA	5.01±0.71	5.42±1.32	12.92±2.71	11.55±2.47	13.81±2.11	14.22±1.79	15.45±2.66	12.46±0.99	11.03±4.39	13.48±6.12	9.61±4.00	13.99±2.19
n-3/n-6	2.68±0.34	2.75±0.68	3.60±0.39	3.72±0.42	3.85±0.23	3.60±0.25	3.18±0.53	3.30±0.21	3.37±0.24	3.89±0.30	4.12±0.30	3.70±0.21
n-6 PUFA	1.36±0.09	1.44±0.20	2.83±0.69	2.43±0.33	2.86±0.58	3.08±0.23	3.77±0.96	2.90±0.37	2.54±1.06	2.75±1.25	1.86±0.70	2.98±0.50
LIN	0.40±0.08	0.42±0.18	0.38±0.16	0.38±0.10	0.34±0.07	0.5±0.03	0.80±0.44	0.52±0.22	0.51±0.32	0.46±0.24	0.40±0.18	0.64±0.11
ARA	0.65±0.05	0.72±0.10	1.71±0.42	1.39±0.17	1.72±0.37	1.83±0.26	2.17±0.38	1.70±0.07	1.46±0.56	1.62±0.67	1.04±0.35	1.66±0.38
22:4n-6	0.09±0.02	0.09±0.02	0.15±0.02	0.14±0.03	0.19±0.06	0.18±0.03	0.24±0.13	0.22±0.11	0.15±0.04	0.13±0.04	0.10±0.05	0.25±0.20
22:5n-6	0.22±0.09	0.21±0.06	0.59±0.09	0.52±0.06	0.62±0.15	0.57±0.02	0.55±0.05	0.48±0.02	0.42±0.16	0.54±0.31	0.31±0.13	0.43±0.08
n-3 PUFA	3.65±0.64	3.98±1.20	10.09±2.06	9.12±2.14	10.95±1.53	11.14±1.56	11.69±1.87	9.55±0.62	8.48±3.33	10.73±4.88	7.75±3.31	11.01±1.71
ALA	0.30±0.04	0.26±0.06	0.30±0.14	0.32±0.07	0.27±0.03	0.41±0.03	0.53±0.16	0.38±0.13	0.33±0.11	0.48±0.30	0.38±0.19	0.77±0.44
SDA	0.13±0.06	0.13±0.05	0.15±0.05	0.12±0.04	0.15±0.02	0.11±0.03	0.16±0.03	0.11±0.03	0.15±0.09	0.18±0.05	0.32±0.09	0.32±0.20
20:4n-3	0.10±0.03	0.11±0.02	0.18±0.06	0.16±0.04	0.14±0.04	0.17±0.02	0.12±0.01	0.12±0.01	0.17±0.04	0.22±0.12	0.14±0.05	0.28±0.14
EPA	0.81±0.07	0.85±0.23	2.08±0.64	1.86±0.37	2.05±0.54	2.42±0.95	2.69±0.59	2.08±0.06	2.06±0.67	2.59±1.07	1.88±0.92	2.70±0.50
22:5n-3	0.23±0.03	0.22±0.09	0.53±0.18	0.51±0.12	0.62±0.15	0.73±0.17	0.86±0.35	0.68±0.25	0.54±0.20	0.57±0.21	0.42±0.14	0.69±0.18
DHA	2.09±0.59	2.42±0.92	6.84±1.10	6.16±1.61	7.72±1.01	7.31±0.51	7.33±0.92	6.19±0.15	5.24±2.32	6.68±3.30	4.61±1.98	6.24±0.90
DMA	0.08±0.01	0.09±0.03	0.12±0.05	0.10±0.05	0.13±0.02	0.15±0.06	0.18±0.07	0.15±0.02	0.11±0.07	0.12±0.05	0.11±0.04	0.17±0.04
DMA16:0	0.08±0.01	0.09±0.03	0.12±0.05	0.10±0.05	0.13±0.02	0.15±0.06	0.18±0.07	0.15±0.02	0.11±0.07	0.12±0.05	0.11±0.04	0.17±0.04
UFA/SFA	1.20±0.06	1.36±0.50	2.44±0.18	2.35±0.30	2.76±0.01	2.38±0.19	2.73±0.15	2.54±0.00	2.39±0.03	2.33±0.06	2.29±0.13	2.23±0.26
Tot-FA	14.9±0.10	15.82±4.71	21.36±5.21	19.71±3.65	21.63±3.58	24.26±2.06	26.36±5.37	21.19±3.13	19.92±8.91	23.04±10.28	16.89±6.83	27.06±5.58

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

5

Table S3

Model: (Invert. +Fish data)	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean sqs	F-model	R ²	p
Habitat	3	2.84	0.947	26.9059	0.525	0.001
Month	5	0.275	0.055	1.5612	0.051	0.075
Habitat:Month	15	0.502	0.033	0.9507	0.093	0.575
Residuals	51	1.795	0.035		0.332	
Total	74	5.411			1	
Model: (Invert. data)	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean sqs	F-model	R ²	p
Habitat	2	0.677	0.339	4.3272	0.24	0.003
Month	5	0.246	0.049	0.63	0.087	0.88
Habitat:Month	10	0.251	0.025	0.3205	0.089	1
Residuals	21	1.643	0.078		0.583	
Total	39	2.817			1	
Model: (Fish data)	Df	Sums of sqs	Mean sqs	F-model	R ²	p
Month	5	0.279	0.056	11.1068	0.648	0.001
Sex	1	0.001	0.001	0.2713	0.003	0.887
Month:Sex	5	0.03	0.006	1.1765	0.069	0.302
Residuals	24	0.121	0.005		0.28	
Total	35	0.431			1	

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION 6

Table S4

Invertebrate mol%	¹ BMI_littoral (21)	² BMI_profundal (12)	³ ZPL_pelagial (6)	Benthic algae (1)	ANOVA	p _{anova}	post-hoc tests
SFA	25.45±7.94	22.7±7.24	38.16±11.65	27.33	^w F _{2,12.3} =4.200	0.04	2-3
14:0iso	1.95±1.48	2.94±1.28	1.18±0.50	2.83	^w F _{2,21.4} =8.913	*	2-3
14:0	3.60±1.00	4.05±1.78	16.57±6.01	5.72	^w F _{2,10.1} =13.187	*	1-3, 2-3
15:0	1.40±0.85	1.99±0.72	1.30±0.37	1.80	^w F _{2,19.8} =3.758	0.04	2-3
16:0	14.29±8.13	9.76±8.07	15.91±4.71	15.56	^w F _{2,17.3} =2.064	0.16	
18:0	4.21±1.77	3.96±1.65	3.21±1.32	1.43	^w F _{2,15.2} =1.109	0.36	
MUFA	30.22±10.36	19.89±5.59	15.22±3.80	28.11	^w F _{2,19.9} =14.469	*	1-2, 1-3
16:1n-9	0.73±0.35	0.71±0.14	0.70±0.16	1.01	^w F _{2,15.6} =0.054	0.95	
16:1n-7	13.98±7.10	6.33±3.73	5.98±1.96	15.31	^w F _{2,22} =10.731	*	1-2, 1-3
16:1n-5	0.66±0.23	1.27±0.36	0.79±0.21	0.58	^w F _{2,13.7} =13.201	*	1-2, 2-3
18:1n-9	8.26±4.98	5.62±3.30	5.21±1.67	7.51	^w F _{2,21.3} =2.837	0.08	
18:1n-7	6.11±2.67	5.17±2.23	1.53±0.27	2.71	^A F _{2,36} =8.935	0.001	1-3, 2-3
24:1n-9	0.47±0.49	0.79±0.30	1.02±0.35	0.99	^w F _{2,14.7} =5.089	0.02	1-3
PUFA	15.02±6.26	16.89±5.29	25.15±13.31	16.34	^w F _{2,36} =4.377	0.02	1-3
n-3/n-6	1.65±2.19	1.35±0.35	2.35±0.38	2.13	^w F _{2,16.8} =13.969	*	2-3
n-6 PUFA	6.77±3.54	7.48±3.10	7.42±3.79	5.22	^A F _{2,36} =0.194	0.825	
LIN	4.73±3.54	4.11±3.02	4.02±2.07	3.33	^w F _{2,17} =0.226	0.8	
ARA	1.21±1.06	2.22±1.20	1.58±0.87	0.38	^w F _{2,14.6} =2.846	0.09	
22:4n-6	0.43±0.4	0.56±0.31	0.30±0.09	0.98	^w F _{2,22.1} =4.138	0.03	2-3
22:5n-6	0.40±0.43	0.58±0.31	1.52±0.84	0.54	^w F _{2,11.9} =5.04	0.03	1-3
n-3 PUFA	8.24±4.04	9.41±2.54	17.73±9.73	11.12	^w F _{2,11.8} =2.762	0.1	
ALA	1.67±0.99	1.39±0.54	4.11±2.27	4.00	^w F _{2,11.8} =4.268	0.04	
SDA	1.01±0.80	1.60±0.78	1.32±1.52	1.60	^w F _{2,11.7} =2.012	0.18	
20:4n-3	0.34±0.28	0.56±0.21	0.64±0.24	0.57	^w F _{2,14.1} =4.571	0.03	1-2
EPA	3.25±2.66	3.61±2.33	5.09±3.07	2.01	^w F _{2,13} =0.850	0.45	
22:5n-3	1.05±1.78	0.94±0.65	0.64±0.25	0.76	^w F _{2,23.2} =1.302	0.29	
DHA	0.93±0.94	1.31±0.78	5.91±4.45	2.18	^w F _{2,11.3} =4.058	0.05	
DMA	0.28±0.34	0.46±0.29	0.19±0.10	0.23	^w F _{2,21.9} =4.077	0.03	2-3
DMA16:0	0.28±0.34	0.46±0.29	0.19±0.10	0.23	^w F _{2,21.9} =4.077	0.03	2-3
UFA/SFA	1.99±0.99	1.73±0.47	1.22±0.65	1.63	^w F _{2,14.4} =2.452	0.12	
Tot-FA	70.96±13.78	59.94±12.71	78.73±5.67	72.01	^w F _{2,20.1} =9.303	*	2-3

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

7

Table S5

LSR mol%	¹² Dec-11 (6)	² Feb-12 (6)	⁵ May-12 (6)	⁶ Jun-12 (6)	⁷ Jul-12 (6)	⁹ Sep-12 (6)	ANOVA	p _{anova}	post-hoc tests
SFA	45.6±4.06	34.29±1.78	33.23±1.64	31.87±1.31	34.48±0.8	33.51±2.88	^w F _{5,13.5} =11.44	*	12-2, 12-5, 6-7, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
14:0 _{iso}	1.00±0.17	0.82±0.24	0.65±0.13	0.68±0.14	0.72±0.36	1.17±0.56	^w F _{5,13.7} =3.686	0.03	12-5, 12-6
14:0	5.44±2.88	2.46±0.47	1.90±0.65	1.61±0.54	2.77±0.46	3.17±0.59	^w F _{5,13.9} =6.271	*	5-9, 6-7, 6-9
15:0	1.03±0.11	0.82±0.16	0.72±0.15	0.64±0.13	0.87±0.29	1.11±0.33	^A F _{5,30} =4.271	0.005	5-9, 6-9
16:0	30.59±3.23	24.46±1.02	24.28±1.36	23.53±1.04	24.77±1.13	22.8±2.13	^w F _{5,13.8} =5.061	0.01	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
18:0	7.54±0.94	5.73±0.39	5.69±0.20	5.41±0.33	5.34±0.42	5.26±0.34	^w F _{5,13.6} =6.343	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
MUFA	17.38±4.3	10.05±1.45	10.17±1.51	13.3±3.24	12.66±2.29	13.58±2.28	^w F _{5,13.8} =5.056	0.01	12-2, 12-5, 2-9
16:1n-9	1.08±0.31	0.61±0.13	0.59±0.11	0.48±0.09	0.73±0.09	0.65±0.12	^w F _{5,13.9} =5.942	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 6-7,
16:1n-7	3.17±1.13	1.54±0.71	1.75±0.70	2.97±1.33	2.82±1.08	3.61±1.23	^A F _{5,30} =3.61	0.011	2-9
16:1n-5	0.84±0.11	0.65±0.10	0.62±0.12	0.55±0.13	0.82±0.19	0.75±0.22	^A F _{5,30} =3.592	0.012	12-6
18:1n-9	7.44±2.08	4.04±0.44	3.90±0.51	6.14±1.75	4.88±1.08	4.84±0.52	^w F _{5,13.6} =5.643	*	12-2, 12-5, 5-9
18:1n-7	3.78±1.22	2.18±0.47	2.45±0.45	2.43±0.52	2.79±0.65	3.24±0.6	^w F _{5,13.9} =3.23	0.04	2-9
24:1n-9	1.07±0.22	1.03±0.20	0.87±0.18	0.74±0.27	0.62±0.08	0.50±0.11	^A F _{5,30} =8.75	*	12-7, 12-9, 2-7, 2-9, 5-9
PUFA	24.93±8.17	46.38±3.14	48.71±3.79	46.97±2.68	44.81±2.37	40.65±6.75	^w F _{5,13.7} =8.081	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
n-3/n-6	2.49±0.45	3.38±0.32	3.44±0.25	2.94±0.40	3.36±0.36	3.63±0.28	^A F _{5,30} =8.471	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-7, 12-9, 6-9
n-6 PUFA	7.01±1.36	10.62±0.9	10.97±0.44	11.98±0.89	10.33±0.87	8.77±1.27	^w F _{5,13.5} =12.308	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 5-9, 6-7, 6-9
LIN	2.28±0.22	1.81±0.23	1.82±0.28	2.73±0.94	2.17±0.36	2.23±0.65	^w F _{5,13.7} =3.515	0.03	12-2, 12-5
ARA	3.44±1.02	6.37±0.79	6.65±0.56	7.01±0.51	6.11±0.63	4.90±0.72	^w F _{5,13.9} =13.882	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 2-9, 5-9, 6-9, 7-9
22:4n-6	0.37±0.10	0.49±0.06	0.59±0.11	0.65±0.21	0.47±0.12	0.46±0.14	^A F _{5,30} =3.513	0.013	12-6
22:5n-6	0.93±0.40	1.95±0.27	1.91±0.38	1.59±0.36	1.58±0.25	1.17±0.27	^A F _{5,30} =9.255	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 2-9, 5-9
n-3 PUFA	17.93±6.84	35.75±2.74	37.74±3.47	34.99±3.03	34.48±2.21	31.88±5.58	^w F _{5,13.8} =7.236	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
ALA	1.60±0.26	1.49±0.20	1.52±0.25	1.93±0.27	1.83±0.37	2.23±0.39	^A F _{5,30} =5.527	0.001	12-9, 2-9, 5-9
SDA	0.73±0.24	0.74±0.34	0.59±0.21	0.61±0.22	0.87±0.52	1.46±0.62	^A F _{5,30} =4.159	0.005	12-9, 2-9, 5-9, 6-9
20:4n-3	0.51±0.13	0.68±0.11	0.58±0.08	0.43±0.10	0.78±0.11	0.70±0.07	^A F _{5,30} =10.241	*	12-7, 12-9, 2-6, 5-7, 6-7, 6-9
EPA	4.23±1.47	8.10±0.23	8.42±2.03	8.71±0.88	9.35±1.14	8.37±1.47	^w F _{5,12.3} =8.5	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
22:5n-3	0.97±0.41	1.79±0.13	2.14±0.33	2.29±0.47	1.90±0.23	1.71±0.21	^A F _{5,30} =12.519	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
DHA	9.89±4.86	22.95±2.62	24.49±3.07	21.03±2.8	19.74±1.7	17.41±4.19	^w F _{5,13.7} =8.148	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 5-7, 5-9
DMA	0.61±0.12	0.62±0.15	0.75±0.21	0.80±0.21	0.64±0.10	0.75±0.22	^A F _{5,30} =1.368	0.264	
DMA16:0	0.61±0.12	0.62±0.15	0.75±0.21	0.80±0.21	0.64±0.10	0.75±0.22	^A F _{5,30} =1.368	0.264	
UFA/SFA	0.94±0.22	1.65±0.15	1.78±0.15	1.90±0.13	1.67±0.05	1.62±0.12	^w F _{5,13.2} =15.147	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9, 2-6, 6-7, 6-9,
Tot-FA	88.52±2.01	91.33±2.67	92.86±1.61	92.94±1.14	92.58±1.36	88.49±9.28	^w F _{5,13.7} =4.301	0.01	12-5, 12-6, 12-7

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION 8

Table S6

Invertebrate (mg/g DW)	¹ BMI_littoral (21)	² BMI_profundal (12)	³ ZPL_pelagial (6)	Benthic algae (1)	ANOVA	p _{anova}	post-hoc tests
SFA	27.00±25.00	27.48±28.19	61.05±32.89	1.33	^w F _{2,12.4} =2.716	0.11	
14:0 _{iso}	2.10±3.63	2.31±2.25	1.57±0.77	0.12	^w F _{2,22.6} =0.608	0.55	
14:0	3.08±2.92	4.29±4.54	22.87±13.79	0.23	^w F _{2,10.4} =5.936	0.02	1-3, 2-3
15:0	1.66±2.64	1.83±1.59	1.98±0.86	0.08	^w F _{2,21.3} =0.116	0.89	
16:0	14.94±16.38	15.13±18.72	27.98±15.23	0.80	^w F _{2,13.8} =1.691	0.22	
18:0	5.22±5.21	3.92±2.39	6.65±3.08	0.09	^w F _{2,14.9} =1.848	0.19	
MUFA	30.38±21.70	27.19±26.40	34.54±23.45	1.61	^w F _{2,13} =0.172	0.84	
16:1n-9	0.96±1.36	0.77±0.67	1.38±0.98	0.05	^w F _{2,14.1} =0.93	0.42	
16:1n-7	10.92±8.61	8.49±8.98	11.64±8.55	0.78	^w F _{2,13.5} =0.353	0.71	
16:1n-5	0.7±±0.69	1.60±1.97	1.42±0.80	0.03	^w F _{2,12} =2.733	0.11	
18:1n-9	9.29±7.76	9.18±11.71	12.77±9.54	0.47	^w F _{2,12.3} =0.334	0.72	
18:1n-7	7.18±5.99	5.34±3.94	3.61±2.39	0.17	^w F _{2,19.9} =2.367	0.12	
24:1n-9	1.33±2.66	1.82±2.08	3.72±1.80	0.10	^w F _{2,15.7} =3.304	0.06	
PUFA	22.62±26.15	24.6±21.61	75.66±62.41	1.14	^w F _{2,11.7} =1.954	0.19	
n-3/n-6	1.74±2.40	1.38±0.32	2.43±0.49	2.13	^w F _{2,14.1} =10.989	*	2-3
n-6 PUFA	8.05±6.92	10.93±10.29	22.12±19.14	0.36	^w F _{2,10.7} =1.712	0.23	
LIN	4.54±3.68	6.26±7.58	10.6±9.40	0.21	^w F _{2,10.3} =1.307	0.31	
ARA	1.60±1.82	2.84±2.04	4.88±4.06	0.03	^w F _{2,11.3} =2.821	0.1	
22:4n-6	0.88±1.49	0.80±0.62	0.99±0.72	0.08	^w F _{2,15.7} =0.149	0.86	
22:5n-6	1.03±1.94	1.03±1.22	5.65±5.03	0.05	^w F _{2,11.7} =2.358	0.14	
n-3 PUFA	14.57±20.92	13.67±11.46	53.54±43.65	0.78	^w F _{2,11.9} =2.293	0.14	
ALA	2.06±2.61	1.98±2.13	10.87±10.15	0.24	^w F _{2,11.4} =2.138	0.16	
SDA	1.65±3.01	1.65±1.48	4.24±7.64	0.10	^w F _{2,11.7} =0.32	0.73	
20:4n-3	0.71±1.55	0.78±0.73	1.71±0.98	0.04	^w F _{2,14.6} =2.253	0.14	
EPA	4.47±6.68	5.76±5.38	15.18±12.04	0.14	^w F _{2,12} =2.085	0.17	
22:5n-3	3.44±8.43	1.46±1.81	2.04±1.24	0.06	^w F _{2,21.7} =0.704	0.51	
DHA	2.24±4.43	2.03±1.81	19.49±14.88	0.19	^w F _{2,11.5} =3.878	0.05	
DMA	0.27±0.41	0.33±0.23	0.31±0.16	0.01	^w F _{2,19.6} =0.131	0.88	
DMA16:0	0.27±0.41	0.33±0.23	0.31±0.16	0.01	^w F _{2,19.6} =0.131	0.88	
UFA/SFA	2.40±1.13	2.25±0.65	1.74±0.95	2.07	^w F _{2,13.8} =0.985	0.4	
Tot-FA	80.27±68.42	79.60±74.60	171.56±114.80	4.09	^w F _{2,11.8} =1.689	0.23	

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

9

Table S7

LSR (mg/g DW)	¹² Dec-11 (6)	² Feb-12 (6)	⁵ May-12 (6)	⁶ Jun-12 (6)	⁷ Jul-12 (6)	⁹ Sep-12 (6)	ANOVA	p _{anova}	post-hoc tests
SFA	6.86±1.87	6.03±1.25	6.42±1.00	6.67±1.37	6.34±2.56	6.74±2.70	^A F _{5,30} =0.157	0.976	
14:0iso	0.12±0.04	0.11±0.01	0.10±0.03	0.11±0.02	0.10±0.05	0.16±0.05	^w F _{5,13.2} =1.502	0.25	
14:0	0.71±0.60	0.34±0.10	0.28±0.10	0.25±0.04	0.40±0.16	0.49±0.17	^w F _{5,12.8} =3.524	0.03	
15:0	0.14±0.05	0.12±0.02	0.12±0.03	0.11±0.01	0.14±0.06	0.18±0.06	^w F _{5,13.2} =1.838	0.17	
16:0	4.52±0.98	4.23±0.90	4.60±0.77	4.84±1.08	4.52±1.87	4.55±1.74	^A F _{5,30} =0.135	0.983	
18:0	1.37±0.30	1.22±0.28	1.32±0.17	1.36±0.29	1.20±0.48	1.36±0.76	^w F _{5,13.6} =0.246	0.93	
MUFA	3.20±1.45	2.17±0.54	2.37±0.54	3.34±1.33	2.77±1.40	3.29±1.66	^w F _{5,13.5} =1.326	0.31	
16:1n-9	0.16±0.10	0.10±0.03	0.11±0.03	0.10±0.02	0.13±0.05	0.12±0.04	^w F _{5,13.8} =0.973	0.47	
16:1n-7	0.48±0.27	0.27±0.14	0.34±0.16	0.64±0.38	0.53±0.37	0.75±0.40	^w F _{5,13.6} =2.296	0.1	
16:1n-5	0.12±0.03	0.11±0.03	0.12±0.03	0.11±0.02	0.16±0.10	0.14±0.05	^w F _{5,13.4} =0.717	0.62	
18:1n-9	1.41±0.74	0.85±0.21	0.90±0.21	1.59±0.75	1.11±0.63	1.25±0.74	^w F _{5,13.3} =1.611	0.22	
18:1n-7	0.70±0.31	0.46±0.15	0.57±0.16	0.62±0.23	0.61±0.27	0.83±0.45	^w F _{5,13.8} =1.035	0.44	
24:1n-9	0.32±0.08	0.36±0.07	0.33±0.05	0.29±0.04	0.23±0.09	0.19±0.05	^A F _{5,30} =5.735	0.001	12-9, 2-7, 2-9, 5-9
PUFA	5.21±0.97	12.23±2.44	14.02±1.76	14.46±2.61	12.25±4.95	11.8±3.75	^A F _{5,30} =7.229	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
n-3/n-6	2.72±0.48	3.66±0.37	3.73±0.26	3.22±0.43	3.63±0.38	3.91±0.33	^A F _{5,30} =7.902	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-7, 12-9
n-6 PUFA	1.40±0.14	2.63±0.53	2.97±0.41	3.48±0.88	2.64±1.04	2.42±0.82	^A F _{5,30} =5.708	0.001	12-5, 12-6
LIN	0.41±0.12	0.38±0.12	0.42±0.10	0.71±0.39	0.48±0.25	0.52±0.19	^A F _{5,13.8} =0.97	0.47	
ARA	0.68±0.08	1.55±0.33	1.77±0.29	2.02±0.38	1.54±0.56	1.35±0.47	^A F _{5,30} =8.431	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7
22:4n-6	0.09±0.01	0.15±0.03	0.19±0.04	0.24±0.11	0.14±0.04	0.18±0.15	^w F _{5,13.2} =9.259	*	12-2, 12-5
22:5n-6	0.22±0.07	0.55±0.08	0.59±0.10	0.52±0.06	0.48±0.23	0.37±0.12	^A F _{5,30} =7.644	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7
n-3 PUFA	3.82±0.88	9.61±1.95	11.04±1.39	10.97±1.84	9.61±3.94	9.38±2.96	^w F _{5,13.5} =29.222	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
ALA	0.28±0.05	0.31±0.10	0.34±0.08	0.48±0.16	0.40±0.21	0.57±0.37	^w F _{5,13.3} =2.31	0.1	
SDA	0.13±0.05	0.14±0.04	0.13±0.03	0.14±0.03	0.16±0.07	0.32±0.14	^A F _{5,30} =6.842	*	12-9, 2-9, 5-9, 6-9, 7-9
20:4n-3	0.10±0.02	0.17±0.05	0.15±0.03	0.12±0.01	0.20±0.08	0.21±0.12	^w F _{5,12.7} =4.338	0.02	12-5
EPA	0.83±0.15	1.97±0.48	2.23±0.72	2.48±0.55	2.33±0.85	2.29±0.80	^A F _{5,30} =5.428	0.001	12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9
22:5n-3	0.22±0.06	0.52±0.14	0.68±0.15	0.80±0.31	0.56±0.18	0.56±0.21	^A F _{5,30} =6.206	*	12-5, 12-6
DHA	2.25±0.71	6.50±1.29	7.52±0.75	6.95±0.93	5.96±2.67	5.43±1.64	^w F _{5,13.7} =31.282	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-9
DMA	0.09±0.02	0.11±0.04	0.14±0.04	0.16±0.06	0.12±0.05	0.14±0.05	^A F _{5,30} =2.239	0.076	
DMA16:0	0.09±0.02	0.11±0.04	0.14±0.04	0.16±0.06	0.12±0.05	0.14±0.05	^A F _{5,30} =2.239	0.076	
UFA/SFA	1.28±0.33	2.40±0.22	2.57±0.24	2.67±0.15	2.36±0.05	2.26±0.19	^w F _{5,12.7} =15.418	*	12-2, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9, 6-9
Tot-FA	15.36±3.02	20.54±4.13	22.94±2.98	24.63±5.14	21.48±8.77	21.97±7.88	^A F _{5,30} =1.795	0.144

Fig. S1

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION

11

Copy for Review

Fig. S2

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION 12

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Fig. S3

Fig. S4

APPENDIX: SEASONAL VARIATION IN WHITEFISH MUSCLE FATTY ACID COMPOSITION 13

Fig. S5

Fig. S6

Figure 2. Boxplots of whitefish muscle Total FA and PUFA content (mg/g DW) (A–B), UFA/SFA and n-3/n-6 – ratios (C–D) and content of eight most abundant FAs from the lowest to the highest contribution (E–L). Note the differences in y-axis scales in figures A, B, C, D, E–J, K–L. Bold horizontal lines indicate median values, the box indicate first and third quartile and whiskers indicate present minimum and maximum values unless outliers (open circles) are displayed (distance from median > 1.5*interquartile range).

156x112mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 1. nMDS biplot of whitefish and invertebrate FA profile data. Whitefish are shown as circles and month by different intensity of shading by gray scale. Invertebrate groups and habitats are presented with different marker shapes, with the shading of smaller overlaying circles indicating sampling month. The most important fatty acids corresponding to 70-80% of the total dissimilarities between groups were identified using SIMPER results (Table 2) and they are presented as light gray text.

175x87mm (300 x 300 DPI)