

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Morano, Milena; Bortoli, Laura; Ruiz, Montse C.; Vitali, Francesca; Robazza, Claudio

Title: Self-efficacy and enjoyment of physical activity in children : factorial validity of two pictorial scales

Year: 2019

Version: Published version

Copyright: © The Authors, 2019.

Rights: _{CC BY 4.0}

Rights url: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Please cite the original version:

Morano, M., Bortoli, L., Ruiz, M. C., Vitali, F., & Robazza, C. (2019). Self-efficacy and enjoyment of physical activity in children : factorial validity of two pictorial scales. PeerJ, 7, Article e7402. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7402

Peer

Self-efficacy and enjoyment of physical activity in children: factorial validity of two pictorial scales

Milena Morano^{1,2}, Laura Bortoli³, Montse C. Ruiz⁴, Francesca Vitali⁵ and Claudio Robazza³

¹ Parisi-De Sanctis Institute, MIUR (Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research), Foggia, Italy

² School of Medicine and Health Sciences, "G. d'Annunzio" University of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy

³ BIND—Behavioral Imaging and Neural Dynamics Center, Department of Medicine and Aging Sciences, "G. d'Annunzio" University of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy

⁴ Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

⁵ Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine, and Movement, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

ABSTRACT

Background. Self-efficacy and enjoyment are two main constructs proposed within many motivational theories in any human endeavor, sport and physical activity included.

Methods. The purpose of this study was to examine the factor structure of two pictorial scales measuring self-efficacy and enjoyment levels in a sample of 14,035 Italian schoolchildren (7,075 boys and 6,960 girls, 6- to 7-year-olds). An important feature of the two scales is that they are in a pictorial format in order to prompt a straightforward understanding in children. The whole sample was randomly split in two subsamples according to gender and age and the factor structure of the measures was examined across subsamples.

Results. Data were subjected to confirmatory factor analysis, which yielded satisfactory fit indices on the measures of both subsamples. Overall findings supported the single factor structure of the scales, which can be easily administered to 6- to 7-year-old children to assess two relevant psychological constructs in physical education.

Subjects Kinesiology, Public Health

Keywords Physical education, Primary school, Psychological constructs, Assessment, Confirmatory factor analysis

INTRODUCTION

Self-efficacy and enjoyment are central mechanisms underlying motivated behaviours, such as sport and physical activity (see *Bandura, 1997; Ryan & Deci, 2017*). Self-efficacy is defined as an individual's belief in their own capabilities to accomplish a task or succeed in specific situations; it is considered the cognitive mechanism that mediates information on personal capacities to successfully execute necessary courses of action in a specific domain (*Bandura, 2001*). In the context of physical education and sport, self-efficacy has been extensively examined (*Feltz, Short & Sullivan, 2008*) and identified as an important correlate of physical activity and fitness in mediating children achievement striving (*Feltz, 1992; McAuley & Blissmer, 2000; Barnett et al., 2011*). In fact, research evidence has shown

Submitted 3 June 2019 Accepted 3 July 2019 Published 29 July 2019

Corresponding author Laura Bortoli, laura.bortoli@unich.it

Academic editor Laura Guidetti

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 7

DOI 10.7717/peerj.7402

Copyright 2019 Morano et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

physical self-efficacy to be both a determinant and a consequence of physical activity (*McAuley, Peña & Jerome, 2001*). Efficacy beliefs refer to judgments about the ability to accomplish a task, while previous positive experiences of accomplishments can enhance self-efficacy beliefs. Hence, an individual's judgement of motor ability and skill levels can be considered an important factor in self-perception, because successful performance is associated with high self-efficacy (*Moritz et al., 2000*). Furthermore, physical performance and self-perceived physical fitness are positively related to perceived competence (*Sollerhed et al., 2008*), which has been shown to predict participation in physical activity (*Bauman et al., 2012*; *Di Battista et al., 2018*) and enjoyment (*Cairney et al., 2012*).

In the physical activity and sport domains, enjoyment is conceptualized as a positive affective response resulting from participation that reflects generalized feelings typically described as pleasure, liking, and fun (*Scanlan & Simons, 1992*). The experience of enjoyment during physical education classes is associated with enhanced intrinsic motivation, increased physical activity participation, and the adoption of active and healthy lifestyles (*Wallhead & Buckworth, 2004; Dishman et al., 2005; Jaakkola et al., 2017; Bortoli et al., 2018; Vitali et al., 2019*). Thus, understanding enjoyment motives and other variables known to influence physical activity levels, successful motor experiences, and improvement of physical fitness can help researchers and practitioners design more effective intervention strategies to promote healthy lifestyles among school-aged children.

In health psychology literature, physical self-efficacy and enjoyment are currently considered important correlates and determinants of physical activity and healthy behaviors in adults (*Trost et al., 2002*), children, and adolescents (*Lubans, Foster & Biddle, 2008*). To broaden our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the antecedents and consequences of these two variables, there is a need of valid and reliable measures in the assessment of people of all ages, children included.

Different measures have been developed to gauge self-efficacy in 8–12-year-old children. Some of them are often used in health research and refer to coping self-efficacy, which is the confidence in performing physical activity despite encountering social or environmental barriers (e.g., "I can be physically active even if I have to stay at home"; *Bartholomew et al.*, 2006). Other measures refer to task self-efficacy, namely, the confidence in using specific motor capabilities or performing skills (e.g., "I am able do to very difficult exercises"; *Colella et al.*, 2008).

Enjoyment in physical activity is often measured using the Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES; *Kendzierski & DeCarlo, 1991*). The PACES is a scale consisting of 16 bipolar items, originally developed to assess the extent to which individuals enjoy doing any given physical activity. Preliminary evidence of reliability and validity has been found with samples of university students. *Motl et al. (2001)* revised the PACES for use with young adolescent females. *Moore et al. (2009)* found the revised form of the PACES a valid measure of enjoyment of physical activity also in 8-year-old children.

De Civita et al. (2005) highlighted the need to pay special attention in developing assessment instruments adequately validated for young children. To maximize reliability and validity, the items need to be adapted to the individual developmental stage, level of emerging sense of self, cognitive capacity, and emotional awareness. Given the importance

of assessing self-efficacy and enjoyment constructs in children, the purpose of this study was to develop two new short measures typified by a response pictorial format adequate for 6–7-year-olds, and to examine their factor structure. We also examined possible differences in self-efficacy and enjoyment by gender and age.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Participants

The sample consisted of 14,035 children aged 6 to 7 years (7,075 boys and 6,960 girls). The participants were drawn from about 800 mixed gender classes of primary schools located in a region in Central Italy. All classes were involved in a large project of physical activity named "At school of health: Increase in physical activity in the I and II classes of Primary School". The main goal of the project was to prevent obesity and promote healthy lifestyles in children. Physical activity was conducted during customary lessons held by expert physical education teachers.

Measures Self-efficacy

Colella et al. (2008) developed a 6-item physical self-efficacy scale to assess perceived speed, strength, coordination, and fatigue in girls and boys ranging in age from 8 to 10 years. To render the scale more easily understandable by younger children (i.e., 6–7-year-olds) and to help them grasp the meaning of the items, we selected four statements and slightly modify the items by representing them with emoticons and pictograms (see Scales S1). Item scores ranged from 1, indicating low efficacy (e.g., "I run very slow") to 4, representing high efficacy (e.g., "I run very slow").

Enjoyment

Individuals' enjoyment of physical activity was measured using four items selected from the 16-item Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale (PACES; *Carraro, Young & Robazza, 2008*), which was intended to gauge enjoyment of 11 to 19 years old students involved in physical education classes at school. The scale was slightly modified by anchoring the items to emoticons to render them easily understandable by children (see Scales S1). Item scores ranged from 1 (not at all) to 5 (very much).

For both scales, participants are required to think of themselves when playing or performing physical education exercises. They are then asked to indicate for each item the response that best represents their personal feelings.

Procedure

Agreement to conduct the study was sought from the school headmasters after the purpose of the study had been explained to them. All the participants' parents provided written informed consent with anonymity and confidentiality being assured for all the participants. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Health Department of the Abruzzo Region in reference to the Regional Prevention Plan 2014–2018 - Program 2, Action 2.

Assessment was conducted by a team of experts specifically instructed in the assessment procedure. The measures were administered in small groups of children at the end

of physical education lessons and without the presence of the teachers. Children were presented with the scale, informed that there were no right or wrong responses, and assured that their answers were confidential. Before commencing the assessment, the researchers made sure that all children had a correct understanding of the instructions and items.

Data analysis

Data were preliminarily examined for missing values, and 124 cases with missing data were deleted. To examine the factor structure of the measures, the whole sample was randomly split in two subsamples, which were homogeneous in terms of gender and age (see Data S2). Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was then conducted to assess the factorial validity of the scales across subsamples. Given that data distribution of both measures was negatively skewed, a robust diagonally weighted least squares (DWLS) estimation was used. In particular, model parameters, standard errors, and chi-square statistics robust to non-normality were estimated using the weighted least squares meanand variance-adjusted method (WLSMV; Muthén & Muthén, 2017), which is appropriate for estimating CFA model parameters with ordered categorical variables (see Finney & DiStefano, 2013). Flora & Curran (2004) demonstrated that WLSMV produces accurate test statistics, parameter estimates, and standard errors of CFA models with sample sizes ranging from 100 to 1,000. Although WLSMV works well with relatively small sample sizes, according to Brown (2015) very skewed categorical indicators call for larger samples. This is a reason why we involved a very large sample of children in our study. The comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker Lewis fit index (TLI), the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), and the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR) were examined. A good model fit is inferred when CFI and TLI values are close to .95, SRMR is lower than .08, and RMSEA is lower than .06 (Browne & Cudeck, 1993; Hu & Bentler, 1999; Schumacker & Lomax, 2004). All data analyses were conducted in Mplus version 8.3 (Muthén & Muthén, 2017).

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics and fit indices of the self-efficacy scale and the enjoyment scale across subsamples, gender, and age are reported in Table 1. As can be seen, CFA results yielded satisfactory fit indices on both measures. CFA was also conducted on the measurement model (i.e., the two measures together) on the data of the whole sample. Good fit indices for this model were found: CFI = .987, TLI = .980, RMSEA = .039 (90% CI [.036–.042]), SRMR = .027, with standardized factor loadings ranging from .649 to .810. Correlation between self-efficacy scale and enjoyment latent factors was .540.

To examine possible gender and age differences on the total sample, mean scores of the two scales were transformed using the NEWX = 1/(K–X) formula proposed to adjust negatively skewed data (*Tabachnick & Fidell, 2013*), where the constant K was the largest score + 1. Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) by gender and age on the transformed mean scores of the scales yielded significant results for gender, Wilks' λ = .984, *F*(2, 14030) = 111.095, *p* < .001, η_p^2 = .016. Univariate follow-up showed significant

Subsample (<i>n</i>)	Gender, age	Scale	$M \pm SD$	$\chi^2 (df = 2)$	CFI	TFI	SRMR	RMSEA (90% CI)	Factor loadings (min–max)
1 (1674)	Girls, 6 yrs.	Self-efficacy	3.39 ± 0.56	2.313	1.000	.999	.006	.010 (.000–.050)	.592–.785
		Enjoyment	4.78 ± 0.44	3.440	.999	.998	.010	.021 (.000–.057)	.706–.826
2 (1673)		Self-efficacy	3.47 ± 0.55	1.468	1.000	1.001	.005	.000 (.000–.044)	.686–.769
		Enjoyment	4.81 ± 0.39	9.672	.997	.992	.013	.048 (.021–.080)	.775–.850
1 (1696)	Boys, 6 yrs.	Self-efficacy	3.53 ± 0.49	7.438	.995	.984	.013	.040 (.012–.073)	.577–.736
		Enjoyment	4.78 ± 0.46	4.378	.999	.996	.010	.026 (.000–.061)	.676843
2 (1696)		Self-efficacy	3.58 ± 0.48	.085	1.000	1.005	.001	.000 (.000000)	.631703
		Enjoyment	4.80 ± 0.45	7.228	.998	.994	.009	.039 (.011–.072)	.788–.863
1 (1807)	Girls, 7 yrs.	Self-efficacy	3.42 ± 0.49	4.103	.999	.997	.008	.024 (.000–.058)	.635–.741
		Enjoyment	4.81 ± 0.33	20.353	.984	.953	.029	.071 (.045–.101)	.622804
2 (1806)		Self-efficacy	3.50 ± 0.48	15.956	.995	.984	.016	.062 (.036–.092)	.675802
		Enjoyment	4.82 ± 0.33	17.995	.993	.980	.020	.067 (.041–.096)	.741844
1 (1842)	Boys, 7 yrs.	Self-efficacy	3.53 ± 0.48	11.551	.995	.985	.014	.051 (.025–.081)	.670–.725
		Enjoyment	4.77 ± 0.42	4.081	.999	.997	.009	.024 (.000–.057)	.710828
2 (1841)		Self-efficacy	3.59 ± 0.44	16.623	.991	.973	.018	.063 (.037–.093)	.641–.758
		Enjoyment	4.80 ± 0.36	5.582	.998	.994	.011	.031 (.000063)	.718806

 Table 1
 Descriptive statistics, fit indices, and standardized factor loadings for self-efficacy and enjoyment scales across subsamples, gender, and age.

Notes.

 $\chi^2(df)$, chi-square (degrees of freedom); CFI, comparative fit index; TLI, Tucker Lewis fit index; SRMR, standardized root mean square residual; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation.

Confirmatory factor analysis fit indexes are satisfactory for both measures across subsamples, gender, and age.

differences on self-efficacy scores, F(1, 14031) = 177.166, p < .001, with boys scoring higher than girls. However, the effect size of the difference was small, Hedges' g = .225 (90% CI [.197–.253]).

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to examine the factor structure of two pictorial scales measuring self-efficacy and enjoyment levels. Findings provided support for the internal consistency and factorial validity of a single-factor structure of the scales, which can be easily administered to 6–7-year-old children. Therefore, the results suggest that these scales can be used to assess self-efficacy and enjoyment of children involved in physical activities in school settings. Their use could promote additional research to examine, in particular, concurrent and predictive validity.

An important feature of the two scales is their pictorial format to make them easily understandable for children. The advantages of using pictorial scales initially emerged in clinical and experimental contexts interested in measuring perception of physical exertion in children and adolescents (*Robertson et al., 2000*). Indeed, perceived exertion scales for adults proved to be unreliable, because not equated with the cognitive development of children under the age of 9 and 10 years. In particular, 6–7-year-old children are at the start of the middle childhood stage, a developmental phase of cognitive capacities, physical self-perception, and emotional awareness. At this stage, children are unable to reliably report their perceptions and feelings by assigning numbers to words or phrases. They may also find difficult to understand words that do not belong to their current vocabulary (*Williams, Eston & Furlong, 1994*). For these reasons, *Robertson et al. (2000)* developed the Children's OMNI Scale of Perceived Exertion, a perceived exertion scale specifically designed for use with children. The scale contains both pictorial and verbal descriptors representing a cyclist on a slope, positioned along a numerical scale ranging from 0 (not tired at all) to 10 (very, very tired). The term OMNI is a contraction of the word omnibus, to indicate a scale with broadly generalizable measurement properties. Different OMNI Scales were later developed to assess exertional perceptions of children engaged in other dynamic exercise modes, such as walking or running (*Utter et al., 2002*; for other examples of OMNI Scales, see *Heyward & Gibson, 2014*; *Armstrong & Van Mechelen, 2017*).

In contrast to the single item format of the OMNI Scales, *Coulter & Woods (2011)* used a pictorial style, self-report measure comprised of six items to examine children's active behaviors out of school and their enjoyment in physical activities they partake in. However, the factor structure of the scale was not examined. In a more detailed perspective, *Barnett et al. (2016)* developed a pictorial instrument (PMSC; Pictorial scale for Perceived Movement Skill Competence) for children aged 4–5 years to gauge, with the help of an adult, their perception of six locomotor and six object control skills based on the *Ulrich*'s (2000) Test of Gross Motor Development (TGMD-2).

Drawing on previous studies using pictorial scales, we developed two new short, selfassessment pictorial scales measuring self-efficacy and enjoyment to be easily and quickly administered to children. These scales contained selected and adapted items from the *Colella et al.*'s (2008) physical self-efficacy scale for children and the *Carraro, Young & Robazza*'s (2008) Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale. Item responses were then transformed into a pictorial format to make them more "child friendly". CFA yielded satisfactory fit indices across gender and age, thereby suggesting that the two scales can reliably gauge self-efficacy and enjoyment of physical activity in children. The negatively skewed distribution of scores in both measures indicates a general perception of high self-efficacy and enjoyment levels, which is desirable in children engaged in physical activity. Therefore, low scores on one or both scales may reveal individual issues related to the participation in physical tasks and suggest a need for appropriate interventions.

In a review of potential mediators of children's physical activity, *Brown, Hume & ChinAPaw (2009)* underlined the need for future research examining the psychometric properties of measures of potential mediators in different study samples to ensure that appropriate, valid, and reliable instruments are used. More clearly identified associations between hypothesized mediators and physical activity can facilitate the development of more effective interventions. Physical activity is considered one of the most important factors to successfully prevent or treat childhood overweight and obesity (*World Health Organization, 2016*), and interventions are often targeting young children, even preschool children (*Ward et al., 2016*). Our scales may contribute to this call for use of appropriate instruments in the assessment of relevant constructs in physical activity settings, adequately validated in the specific population of interest.

For applied purposes, assessing self-efficacy and enjoyment can enable teachers to early identify children with negative attitudes toward physical activities. Most children like moving and playing, which are essential components of their development. Through active play children not only refine their physical abilities and learn motor skills, but also develop social relationships, self-confidence, and creativity (*Truelove, Vanderloo & Tucker, 2017*). Therefore, teachers should pay special attention to less active children, and find specific goals and strategies to enhance their intrinsic motivation. Moreover, valid measures would enable teachers to assess the effectiveness of physical activity programs aimed at enhancing children's interest and motivation.

CONCLUSIONS

Self-efficacy and enjoyment have important consequences on individuals' quality of life (*Kahneman, Diener & Schwarz, 1999; Morano et al., 2016*), which is a multidimensional construct that reflects one's perceptions of fitness, life satisfaction, and wellbeing (*Bowling, 2001*). Enhancing children enjoyment for physical education and their actual and perceived physical abilities are expected to stimulate the adoption of an active lifestyle and improve health-related quality of life (*Vitali et al., 2019*). Given the importance of the two constructs, we have developed two new short pictorial scales, very easy to administer, and adequate for 6–7-year-olds. Of note, the two scales do not require a direct assistance from an adult for the assessment of children.

A limitation of this study is that we only examined the factor structure of the two scales. Additional research is necessary to establish the validity and reliability of these scales in physical education and different physical activity domains, including sport and leisure. Further validation is also needed to take account of a range of variables, such as age, gender, physical abilities, body mass index, and culture (*Crocker, Bouffard & Gessaroli, 1995*). Taken together, our study findings provide initial evidence for the use of the two pictorial scales with 6–7-year-old children in physical education contexts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was part of a large project named "Scuola in Movimento" ("Movement at School") targeting first and second grade children from schools located in the Abruzzo region of Italy. The project was realized by the Health Department of the Abruzzo Region in collaboration with the Regional Sport School of the Olympic Committee, the Regional School Office, the Italian Paralympic Committee, the Universities of L'Aquila and Chieti-Pescara, and the Italian Sport Medical Federation.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

The project was funded by the Health Department of the Abruzzo Region (Assessorato alla Sanità della Regione Abruzzo). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Health Department of the Abruzzo Region (Assessorato alla Sanità della Regione Abruzzo).

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Milena Morano and Laura Bortoli conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Montse C. Ruiz conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Francesca Vitali conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Claudio Robazza conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

Human Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Health Department of the Abruzzo Region in reference to the Regional Prevention Plan 2014–2018 - Program 2 "At school of health" - Action 2 "Increase in physical activity in the I and II classes of Primary School" (Regional Prevention Plan 2014–2018 - Program 2).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data are available as a Supplemental File.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7402#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Armstrong N, Van Mechelen W (eds.) 2017. Oxford textbook of children's sport and exercise medicine. 3rd edition. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bandura A. 1997. Self-efficacy: the exercise of control. New York: Freeman.
- **Bandura A. 2001.** Social cognitive theory: an agentic perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology* **52**:1–26 DOI 10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1.
- Barnett LM, Morgan PJ, Van Beurden E, Ball K, Lubans DR. 2011. A reverse pathway? Actual and perceived skill proficiency and physical activity. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 43:898–904 DOI 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181fdfadd.

- Barnett LM, Vazou S, Abbott G, Bowe SJ, Robinson LE, Ridgers ND, Salmon J. 2016. Construct validity of the pictorial scale of perceived movement skill competence. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise* 22:294–302 DOI 10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.09.002.
- **Bartholomew JB, Loukas A, Jowers EM, Allua S. 2006.** Validation of the physical activity self-efficacy scale: testing measurement invariance between Hispanic and Caucasian children. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* **3**:70–78 DOI 10.1123/jpah.3.1.70.
- Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, Wells JC, Loos RJ, Martin BW. 2012. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? *Lancet* 380:258–271 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60735-1.
- Bortoli L, Vitali F, Di Battista R, Ruiz MC, Robazza C. 2018. Initial validation of the Psychobiosocial States in Physical Education (PBS-SPE) scale. *Frontiers in Psychology* 9:Article 2446 DOI 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02446.
- **Bowling A. 2001.** *Measuring disease: a review of disease-specific quality of life measurement scales.* 2nd edition. Philadelphia: Open University Press.
- Brown H, Hume C, ChinAPaw M. 2009. Validity and reliability of instruments to assess potential mediators of children's physical activity: a systematic review. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport* 12:539–548 DOI 10.1016/j.jsams.2009.01.002.
- **Brown T. 2015.** *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research.* 2nd edition. New York: The Guilford Press.
- **Browne MW, Cudeck R. 1993.** Alternative ways of assessing model fit. In: Bollen KA, Long JS, eds. *Testing structural equation models*. Beverly Hills: Sage, 136–162.
- Cairney J, Kwan MY, Velduizen S, Hay J, Bray SR, Faught BE. 2012. Gender, perceived competence and the enjoyment of physical education in children: a longitudinal examination. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 9:Article 26 DOI 10.1186/1479-5868-9-26.
- Carraro A, Young MC, Robazza C. 2008. A contribution to the validation of the physical activity enjoyment scale in an Italian sample. *Social Behavior and Personality* 36:911–918 DOI 10.2224/sbp.2008.36.7.911.
- Colella D, Morano M, Bortoli L, Robazza C. 2008. A physical self-efficacy scale for children. *Social Behavior and Personality* 36:841–848 DOI 10.2224/sbp.2008.36.6.841.
- **Coulter M, Woods CB. 2011.** An exploration of children's perceptions and enjoyment of school-based physical activity and physical education. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* **8**:645–654 DOI 10.1123/jpah.8.5.645.
- **Crocker PR, Bouffard M, Gessaroli ME. 1995.** Measuring enjoyment in youth sport settings: a confirmatory factor analysis of the Physical Activity Enjoyment Scale. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* **17**:200–205 DOI 10.1123/jsep.17.2.200.
- De Civita M, Regier D, Alamgir AH, Anis AH, FitzGerald MJ, Marra CA. 2005. Evaluating health-related quality-of-life studies in paediatric populations: some conceptual, methodological and developmental considerations and recent applications. *PharmacoEconomics* **23**:659–685 DOI 10.2165/00019053-200523070-00003.
- Di Battista R, Robazza C, Ruiz MC, Bertollo M, Vitali F, Bortoli L. 2018. Student intention to engage in leisure-time physical activity: the interplay of taskinvolving climate, competence need satisfaction and psychobiosocial states in

physical education. *European Physical Education Review* [Epub ahead of print] DOI 10.1177/1356336X18770665.

Dishman RK, Motl RW, Saunders R, Felton G, Ward DS, Dowda M, Pate RR. 2005. Enjoyment mediates effects of a school-based physical activity intervention. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 37:478–487 DOI 10.1249/01.MSS.0000155391.62733.A7.

Feltz DL. 1992. Understanding motivation in sport: a self-efficacy perspective. In: Roberts GC, ed. *Motivation in sport and exercise*. Champaign: Human Kinetics, 93–105.

Feltz DL, Short S, Sullivan P. 2008. Self-efficacy in sport. Research and strategies for working with athletes, teams, and coaches. Champaign: Human Kinetics.

- **Finney SJ, DiStefano C. 2013.** Nonnormal and categorical data in structural equation modelling. In: Hancock GR, Mueller RO, eds. *Structural equation modeling: a second course.* 2nd edition. Charlotte: Information Age Publishing, 439–492.
- **Flora DB, Curran PJ. 2004.** An empirical evaluation of alternative methods of estimation for confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data. *Psychological Methods* **9**:466–491 DOI 10.1037/1082-989X.9.4.466.
- Heyward VH, Gibson AL. 2014. *Advanced fitness assessment and exercise prescription*. 7th edition. Champaign: Human Kinetics.
- **Hu L, Bentler PM. 1999.** Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling* **6**:1–55 DOI 10.1080/10705519909540118.
- Jaakkola T, Yli-Piipari S, Barkoukis V, Liukkonen J. 2017. Relationships among perceived motivational climate, motivational regulations, enjoyment, and PA participation among Finnish physical education students. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 15:273–290 DOI 10.1080/1612197X.2015.1100209.
- Kahneman D, Diener E, Schwarz N (eds.) 1999. Wellbeing: the foundations of hedonic *psychology*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Kendzierski D, DeCarlo KJ. 1991. Physical activity enjoyment scale: two validation studies. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 13:50–64 DOI 10.1123/jsep.13.1.50.
- Lubans DR, Foster C, Biddle SJH. 2008. A review of mediators of behavior in interventions to promote physical activity among children and adolescents. *Preventive Medicine* 47:463–470 DOI 10.1016/j.ypmed.2008.07.011.
- McAuley E, Blissmer B. 2000. Self-efficacy determinants and consequences of physical activity. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews* 28:85–88.
- McAuley E, Peña MM, Jerome GJ. 2001. Self-efficacy as a determinant and an outcome of exercise. In: Roberts G, ed. *Advances in motivation in sport and exercise*. Champaign: Human Kinetics, 235–261.
- Moore JB, Yin Z, Hanes J, Duda J, Gutin B, Barbeau P. 2009. Measuring enjoyment of physical activity in children: validation of the physical activity enjoyment scale. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* **21**:S116–S129 DOI 10.1080/10413200802593612.

- Morano M, Rutigliano I, Rago A, Pettoello-Mantovani M, Campanozzi A. 2016. A multicomponent, school-initiated obesity intervention to promote healthy lifestyles in children. *Nutrition* **32**:1075–1080 DOI 10.1016/j.nut.2016.03.007.
- Moritz SE, Feltz DL, Fahrbach KR, Mack DE. 2000. The relation of self-efficacy measures to sport performance: a meta-analytic review. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport* 71:280–294 DOI 10.1080/02701367.2000.10608908.
- Motl RW, Dishman RK, Saunders R, Dowda M, Felton G, Pate RR. 2001. Measuring enjoyment of physical activity in adolescent girls. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 21:110–117 DOI 10.1016/S0749-3797(01)00326-9.
- Muthén LK, Muthén BO. 2017. *Mplus user's guide*. 8th edition. Los Angeles: Muthén & Muthén.
- Robertson RJ, Goss FL, Boer NF, Peoples JA, Foreman AJ, Dabayebeh IM, Millich RB, Balasekaran G, Riechman SE, Gallagher JD, Thompkins T. 2000. Children's OMNI scale of perceived exertion: mixed gender and race validation. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 32:452–458 DOI 10.1097/00005768-200002000-00029.

Ryan RM, Deci EL. 2017. Self-determination theory: basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness. New York: The Guilford Press.

- Scanlan TK, Simons J. 1992. The construct of sport enjoyment. In: Roberts GC, ed. *Motivation in sport and exercise.* Champaign: Human Kinetics, 199–215.
- Schumacker RE, Lomax RG. 2004. *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling*. 2nd edition. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Sollerhed AC, Apitzsch E, Råstam L, Ejlertsson G. 2008. Factors associated with young children's self-perceived physical competence and self-reported physical activity. *Health Education Research* 23:125–136 DOI 10.1093/her/cym010.
- **Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS. 2013.** *Using multivariate statistics.* 6th edition. Boston: Pearson Education.
- Trost SG, Owen N, Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Brown W. 2002. Correlates of adults' participation in physical activity: review and update. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 34:1996–2001 DOI 10.1249/01.MSS.0000038974.76900.92.
- Truelove S, Vanderloo LM, Tucker P. 2017. Defining and measuring active play among young children: a systematic review. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* 14:155–166 DOI 10.1123/jpah.2016-0195.
- Ulrich DA. 2000. The test of gross motor development. 2nd edition. Austin: Pro-ed.
- Utter AC, Roberson RJ, Nieman DC, Kang J. 2002. Children's OMNI scale of perceived exertion: walking/running evaluation. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 34:139–144 DOI 10.1097/00005768-200201000-00021.
- Vitali F, Robazza C, Bortoli L, Bertinato L, Schena F, Lanza M. 2019. Enhancing fitness, enjoyment, and physical self-efficacy in primary school children: a DEDIPAC naturalistic study. *PeerJ* 7:e6436 DOI 10.7717/peerj.6436.
- Wallhead TL, Buckworth J. 2004. The role of physical education in the promotion of youth physical activity. *Quest* 56:285–301 DOI 10.1080/00336297.2004.10491827.
- Ward DS, Welker E, Choate A, Henderson KE, Lott M, Tovar A, Wilson A, Sallis JF. 2016. Strength of obesity prevention interventions in early care and

education settings: a systematic review. *Preventive Medicine* **95**:S37–S52 DOI 10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.09.033.

- Williams JG, Eston RG, Furlong B. 1994. CERT: a perceived exertion scale for young children. *Perceptual and Motor Skills* 79:1451–1458 DOI 10.2466/pms.1994.79.3f.1451.
- **World Health Organization. 2016.** Childhood overweight and obesity. *Available at http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/childhood/en/.*