

This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Saine, Sonja; Aakala, Tuomas; Purhonen, Jenna; Launis, Annina; Tuovila, Hanna; Kosonen, Timo; Halme, Panu

Title: Effects of local forest continuity on the diversity of fungi on standing dead pines

Year: 2018

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2017 Elsevier B.V.

Rights: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0; CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Rights url: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Please cite the original version:

Saine, S., Aakala, T., Purhonen, J., Launis, A., Tuovila, H., Kosonen, T., & Halme, P. (2018). Effects of local forest continuity on the diversity of fungi on standing dead pines. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 409, 757-765. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.11.045>

1 **EFFECTS OF LOCAL FOREST CONTINUITY ON THE DIVERSITY OF FUNGI ON STANDING**
2 **DEAD PINES**

3 Saine Sonja, Aakala Tuomas, Purhonen Jenna, Launis Annina, Tuovila Hanna, Kosonen Timo & Halme
4 Panu

5 **APPENDIX B. Responses of individual species**

6 In the main text of this article we report the results of the community analyses. Here we report analyses on
7 the responses of single species analyses using the same explanatory variables. We also briefly report the
8 methods of the single species analyses and shortly discuss the results.

9 **METHODS**

10 Responses of single species were analyzed with a Mixed Effects Logistic Regression (n = 52). The aim was
11 to study which environmental variables explain occurrences of each species the best. Species that occurred
12 on ≥ 10 study trunks were included into the analysis (n = 14). A Mixed Effects Logistic Regression with a
13 binomial distribution and a log-linear link function was conducted separately for each species. Explanatory
14 variables were the same as in the GLMM (see the main text). Site and trunk identities were included into the
15 model as hierarchically structured random effects by nesting trunks within sites. The model selection was
16 conducted as in the GLMM. The analysis was performed in R (version 3.3.2; R Core Team, 2016) using
17 function “glmer” from the package “lme4” (Bates et al., 2016).

18 **RESULTS**

19 Studied variables explained the presence of four species altogether. For the rest, the final models did not
20 include any statistically significant variables. Occurrences of *Actidium hysterooides* were negatively affected
21 by years from death (Table B.1). The final model also included dead wood diversity that had a marginally
22 significant negative effect on the species (Table B.1). Occurrences of *Chaenothecopsis pusiola* were best
23 explained by a negative effect of dead wood diversity, a negative effect of age at death, and a positive effect
24 of years from death (Table B.1). These were all variables included in the final model. Canopy openness
25 appeared to have a positive effect on the occurrences of *Glonium nitidum* (Table B.1). Number of stumps
26 and years from death were the other variables included in the final model (Table B.1). The negative effect of

27 years from death was marginally significant (Table B.1). Occurrences of *Micarea elachista* were best
28 explained by a negative effect of dead wood diversity (Table B.1). Another variable included in the final
29 model was the number of stumps that had a marginally significant positive effect on the species as well
30 (Table B.1).

31 Species final models of which included marginally significant effects of certain variables were
32 *Micarea prasina* (a positive effect of years from death), *Mycocalicium subtile* “thin” (a negative effect of
33 management intensity), Pyrenomycete sp. 4 (a negative effect of dead wood diversity, and a positive effect
34 of canopy openness), and *Trichaptum fuscoviolaceum* (a negative effect of years from death) (Table B.1).

35 **Table B.1.** Results from the Mixed Effects Logistic Regression for individual species (n = 52 for each). Cells
 36 show estimates (B), standard errors (SE), z values, and statistical significances (P). Dead wood (DW)
 37 diversity was calculated with Shannon's diversity index. The units used for variables are in brackets.
 38 Abbreviations: YFD = years from death, AAD = age at death, stumps = management intensity, canopy =
 39 canopy openness.

Species		B	SE	z value	P
<i>Actidium hysteroioides</i>	(Intercept)	-1.72	0.76	-2.27	0.023
	DW diversity	-1.09	0.62	-1.75	0.081
	YFD (y)	-1.42	0.69	-2.07	0.039
<i>Chaenothecopsis pusiola</i>	(Intercept)	-0.75	0.35	-2.16	0.031
	DW diversity	-0.76	0.37	-2.05	0.041
	AAD (y)	-0.81	0.41	-1.97	0.049
<i>Glonium nitidum</i>	YFD (y)	0.97	0.41	2.38	0.017
	(Intercept)	0.18	0.61	0.30	0.763
	Stumps (pc ha ⁻¹)	1.00	0.67	1.48	0.138
<i>Micarea contexta</i>	YFD (y)	-1.04	0.55	-1.90	0.058
	Canopy (%)	1.75	0.78	2.25	0.025
	(Intercept)	-1.73	0.69	-2.51	0.012
<i>Micarea elachista</i>	YFD (y)	0.55	0.44	1.25	0.213
	(Intercept)	-3.19	0.92	-3.46	< 0.001
	DW diversity	-2.58	0.92	-2.81	0.005
<i>Micarea melaena</i>	Stumps (pc ha ⁻¹)	0.84	0.47	1.80	0.072
	(Intercept)	0.81	0.46	1.77	0.076
	AAD (y)	-0.43	0.43	-1.01	0.315
<i>Micarea misella</i>	(Intercept)	-0.59	0.41	-1.44	0.149
	YFD (y)	0.12	0.34	0.35	0.728
	(Intercept)	-1.20	0.71	-1.69	0.091
<i>Micarea prasina</i>	YFD (y)	0.74	0.44	1.68	0.093
	(Intercept)	-0.86	0.32	-2.74	0.006
	AAD (y)	-0.51	0.36	-1.44	0.150
<i>Mycocalicium subtile "big"</i>	(Intercept)	-2.34	1.15	-2.03	0.043
	Stumps (pc ha ⁻¹)	-1.70	0.99	-1.72	0.085
	(Intercept)	-1.31	0.34	-3.82	< 0.001
Pyrenomycete sp. 1	Canopy (%)	0.33	0.39	0.85	0.398
	(Intercept)	-2.46	2.55	-0.96	0.335
	DW diversity	-1.15	1.28	-0.90	0.370
Pyrenomycete sp. 2	AAD (y)	-1.25	1.33	-0.94	0.346
	YFD (y)	-1.04	1.21	-0.86	0.388
	(Intercept)	-0.65	0.44	-1.49	0.136
Pyrenomycete sp. 4	DW diversity	-0.82	0.43	-1.94	0.053
	Canopy (%)	0.99	0.51	1.93	0.053
	(Intercept)	-1.71	0.61	-2.83	0.005
<i>Trichaptum fuscoviolaceum</i>	YFD (y)	-0.84	0.49	-1.70	0.089

41 **DISCUSSION**

42 Occurrences of *Chaenothecopsis pusiola* showed a positive association with time since tree death, and for
43 *Micarea prasina* the positive effect was nearly statistically significant. The species occurred more likely on
44 trunks that had died longer time ago. The positive effect of time since tree death on *C. pusiola* might be
45 explained by its suggested parasitic relationship with lichens and non-symbiotic algal colonies (Tuovila,
46 2013). Also, more suitable habitats form with time, as the species prefers decorticated wood (Löhmus and
47 Löhmus, 2001). *M. prasina* is a crustose lichen especially common in old-growth forests (Stenroos et al.,
48 2015). Presumably, this slow-growing species (Stenroos et al., 2011) benefits from long periods since tree
49 death like lichens in general.

50 Years from death had a negative effect on pyrenomycetes *Actidium hysteroioides* and *Glonium nitidum*
51 and polypore *Trichaptum fuscoviolaceum*, yet the effect was not statistically significant for the latter two. All
52 these species might be early successional species. Many pyrenomycetes latent in the wood are abundant in
53 initial decay stages (Heilmann-Clausen, 2001; Hendry et al., 2002). Additionally, increasing moisture
54 content with proceeding decomposition (Sollins et al., 1987) might hinder these species adapted to dry
55 conditions (Boddy et al., 1989, 1985). *T. fuscoviolaceum* is a pioneer species that is often among the initial
56 decomposers (Niemelä et al., 1995; Renvall, 1995). The species loses in competitive ability or due to
57 depleting resources when late-stage specialists colonize the community (Rayner and Boddy, 1988; Stokland
58 et al., 2012).

59 *C. pusiola* responded negatively to the increasing trunk age at death. The species seems to occur
60 frequently on decorticated, decayed surfaces on the base of the boles (Hanna Tuovila, personal
61 communication). Such microhabitat patches might be more common in younger trunks due to the differences
62 in decay succession. Old standing kelo trees, for example, rarely offer such microhabitats. Therefore, the
63 species might prefer trunks that have died at younger age.

64 Dead wood diversity had a negative effect on *C. pusiola*, *Micarea elachista*, *A. hysteroioides* and
65 Pyrenomycete sp. 4, although the effect was not statistically significant for the latter two. *C. pusiola* also
66 occurs in managed forests as long as suitable substrates are available (Hanna Tuovila, personal
67 communication), and therefore the species might not be dependent on old-growth forests *per se*. The

68 negative effect on *M. elachista* might indicate that the species is not dead wood dependent as such since it
69 can also grow on old living trees (Coppins, 1983; Czarnota, 2007). Like many pyrenomycetes, also *A.*
70 *hysterioides* and Pyrenomycete sp. 4 might be associated with early stages of decomposition (Heilmann-
71 Clausen, 2001; Hendry et al., 2002). The emergence of more specialized species with increasing dead wood
72 diversity might have an adverse effect on these species.

73 Pyrenomycetes *G. nitidum* Ellis and Pyrenomycete sp. 4 showed a positive response to canopy
74 openness, yet the effect was not statistically significant for the latter. Pyrenomycetes in general are
75 characterized by high resistance to water stress (Boddy et al., 1989, 1985). Consequently, the competitive
76 superiority of these species in dry circumstances might explain the result.

77 Altogether, negative responses to local continuity were predominant among individual species. Such
78 responses could be expected from generalists that lose to late-stage specialists in competitiveness (Marvier et
79 al., 2004). Kruys et al. (1999) hypothesized that species dependent on dead wood continuity require habitats
80 that are scarce within a landscape. Presumably, these species are specialists and rare. In our study, such
81 species did not probably have enough occurrences to be included in the analyses. Some of these species
82 might be *e.g.* veteran tree specialists that inhabit the oldest trunks for which the age parameters were not
83 successfully quantified. Therefore, more research on rare and specialized species is required to clarify their
84 relationship with local continuity.

85 **REFERENCES**

- 86 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., Christensen, R.H.B., Singmann, H., Dai, B., Grothendieck,
87 G., Green, P., 2016. lme4: Linear Mixed-Effects Model using 'Eigen' and S4, version 1.1-12.
88 <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lme4/lme4.pdf>. Accessed 30.11. 2016.
- 89 Boddy, L., Gibbon, O.M., Grundy, M.A., 1985. Ecology of *Daldinia concentrica*: effect of abiotic variables
90 on mycelial extension and interspecific interactions. *Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc.* 85, 201–211.
91 doi:10.1016/S0007-1536(85)80183-2
- 92 Boddy, L., Owens, E.M., Chapela, I.H., 1989. Small scale variation in decay rate within logs one year after
93 felling: effect of fungal community structure and moisture content. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 62, 173–
94 184. doi:10.1016/0378-1097(89)90110-9
- 95 Coppins, B.J., 1983. A taxonomic study of the lichen genus *Micarea* in Europe. *Bull. Br. Museum (Natural*
96 *Hist. Bot.* 11, 1–204.
- 97 Czarnota, P., 2007. The lichen genus *Micarea* (Iecanorales, Ascomycota) in Poland. *Polish Bot. Stud.* 23, 1–
98 197.
- 99 Heilmann-Clausen, J., 2001. A gradient analysis of communities of macrofungi and slime moulds on
100 decaying beech logs. *Mycol. Res.* 105, 575–596. doi:10.1017/S0953756201003665
- 101 Hendry, S.J., Boddy, L., Lonsdale, D., 2002. Abiotic variables effect differential expression of latent
102 infections in beech (*Fagus sylvatica*). *New Phytol.* 155, 449–460.
- 103 Kruys, N., Fries, C., Jonsson, B.G., Lämås, T., Ståhl, G., 1999. Wood-inhabiting cryptogams on dead
104 Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) trees in managed Swedish boreal forests. *Can. J. For. Res.* 29, 178–186.
105 doi:10.1139/x98-191
- 106 Lõhmus, P., Lõhmus, A., 2001. Snags, and their lichen flora in old Estonian peatland forests. *Ann. Bot.*
107 *Fenn.* 38, 265–280.
- 108 Marvier, M., Kareiva, P., Neubert, M.G., 2004. Habitat destruction, fragmentation, and disturbance promote
109 invasion by habitat generalists in a multispecies metapopulation. *Risk Anal.* 24, 869–878.
110 doi:10.1111/j.0272-4332.2004.00485.x
- 111 Niemelä, T., Wallenius, T., Kotiranta, H., 1995. Interactions of fungi at late stages of wood decomposition.
112 *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* 32, 141–152.
- 113 Rayner, A.D.M., Boddy, L., 1988. *Fungal decomposition of wood: its biology and ecology.* John Wiley &
114 Sons, Chichester.
- 115 R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Version 3.3.2. R Foundation
116 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.r-project.org/>. Accessed 30.11. 2016.
- 117 Renvall, P., 1995. Community structure and dynamics of wood-rotting Basidiomycetes on decomposing
118 conifer trunks in northern Finland. *Karstenia* 35, 1–51.
- 119 Sollins, P., Cline, S.P., Verhoeven, T., Sachs, D., Spycher, G., 1987. Patterns of log decay in old-growth
120 Douglas-fir forests. *Can. J. For. Res.* 17, 1585–1595. doi:10.1139/x87-243
- 121 Stenroos, S., Ahti, T., Lohtander, K., Myllys, L., Haikonen, V. (Eds.), 2011. *Suomen jäkäläopas.*
122 *Kasvimuseo, Luonnontieteellinen keskusmuseo LUOMUS, Helsinki.*
- 123 Stenroos, S., Velmala, S., Pyhälä, J., Ahti, T. (Eds.), 2015. *Suomen rupijäkälät.* Luonnontieteellinen
124 *keskusmuseo LUOMUS, Helsinki.*
- 125 Stokland, J.N., Siitonen, J., Jonsson, B.G., 2012. *Biodiversity in dead wood.* Cambridge University Press,

126 Cambridge, UK.

127 Tuovila, H., 2013. Sticky business – diversity and evolution of Mycocaliciales (Ascomycota) on plant
128 exudate. University of Helsinki.