

JYX



This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Klemme, Ines; Karvonen, Anssi

Title: Shoaling with infected conspecifics does not improve resistance to trematode infection

Year: 2018

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2018 Blackwell Verlag GmbH

Rights: In Copyright

Rights url: <http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en>

Please cite the original version:

Klemme, I., & Karvonen, A. (2018). Shoaling with infected conspecifics does not improve resistance to trematode infection. *Ethology*, 124(3), 170-176.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12717>

1 Shoaling with infected conspecifics does not improve resistance to trematode infection

2

3 Ines Klemme & Anssi Karvonen

4

5 University of Jyväskylä, Department of Biological and Environmental Science, P.O. Box 35,

6 40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland

7 email: ines.klemme@jyu.fi, anssi.t.karvonen@jyu.fi

8

9

10 running title: Socially triggered resistance

11

12

13 Acknowledgments

14 This study was funded by the Academy of Finland (grants #263864 and #292736 to AK).

15

16 Abstract

17

18 Group living animals can gain protection against parasitic infections through social contacts with
19 previously infected conspecifics (social immunisation). Recent research suggests that such
20 protective effects can be induced through visual or chemical cues released by infected
21 individuals, resulting in anticipatory immune upregulation among group members. Here, we
22 study cue-induced social resistance in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* exposed to a
23 trematode parasite, the eye-fluke *Diplostomum pseudospathaceum*. We established groups of
24 naïve individuals (receivers) that were paired with previously infected individuals (donors) at
25 different ratios of donors to receivers and at different time points since donor exposure to capture
26 varying concentrations of the anticipated cues. While the pre-infection elevated resistance among
27 the donors, there was no evidence of social transfer of resistance, regardless of the ratio of
28 donors and receivers in a group or the time since the pre-infection. The results suggest that
29 resistance through social signalling may be system-specific and requires further study into the
30 generality of the phenomenon as well as the nature of the cues involved.

31

32

33 Key words: group living, social immunisation, parasite, cue, rainbow trout, *Diplostomum*
34 *pseudospathaceum*

35

36

37 Introduction

38

39 Parasites are expected to play an important role in the evolution of sociality as group living is
40 typically accompanied by both health-related costs and benefits (reviewed in Côté & Poulin
41 1995; Kappeler et al. 2015). For example, social interactions between group members increase
42 the risk of contracting contagious parasites (Alexander 1974; Côté & Poulin 1995; Rifkin et al.
43 2012; Patterson & Ruckstuhl 2013; Kappeler et al. 2015). On the other hand, the risk of infection
44 with non-contagious parasites acquired from the environment can be lower in groups due to a
45 decreased *per capita* attack rate with increasing group size (dilution effect, Poulin & FitzGerald
46 1989; Mooring & Hart 1992), or due to improved parasite avoidance, possibly through increased
47 vigilance and information sharing (Stumbo et al. 2012; Mikheev et al. 2013).

48 Recent research has revealed that group-living can also confer protection against
49 contagious parasites through social immunisation, where naïve group members show improved
50 resistance to parasites after social contacts with previously exposed group mates (reviewed in
51 Masri & Cremer 2014). This could result from immune priming following a low-dose parasite
52 transfer from infected individuals to naïve group members (Konrad et al. 2012) or from a direct
53 transfer of antimicrobial compounds between individuals (Hamilton et al. 2010). Moreover, two
54 recent studies suggest that social immunisation can also be induced through cues perceived by
55 naïve individuals in infected group mates that cause anticipatory immune upregulation. For
56 example, naïve rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) shoaling with conspecifics that have
57 recovered from a recent nonlethal bacterial infection show improved survival after a challenge
58 with more lethal doses of the same pathogen (Mothersill et al. 2015). The nature of the cue
59 aiding in transfer of resistance is unknown, but it appears to be released as response to

60 pathogenic stress (Mothersill et al. 2015). Further, humans visually perceiving symptoms of
61 infectious disease upregulate their immune system compared to individuals that perceive non-
62 disease-related threats (Schaller et al. 2010). In fact, many animals show clear signs of infection,
63 such as altered behaviour or changes in appearance or olfactory identity, that are perceived by
64 conspecifics (reviewed in Hart 1990; Kavaliers et al. 2004; Curtis 2014) and could be used to
65 assess infection risk. Given the general nature of these cues, we propose that social immunisation
66 may not only protect gregarious animals against contact-transmitted diseases, but also against
67 infectious parasitic stages prevailing in the environment. For example, although group members
68 carrying non-contagious parasites do not pose a direct infection risk, they may signal the risk of
69 acquiring such parasites from the environment, making anticipatory defence reactions beneficial.

70 As immune functions incur a number of costs (Sheldon & Verhulst 1996; Lochmiller &
71 Deerenberg 2000; Graham et al. 2005), the cues used for preventive upregulation must be
72 reliable. The risk of exposure to free-living parasitic stages can be variable and unpredictable,
73 particularly for mobile hosts that move in and out of infection areas. Here, the proportion of
74 infected individuals within a group and/or the intensity of infection in individual group members
75 may indicate parasite prevalence in the environment and consequently, general infection risk.
76 This could be mediated by the strength of cue emission in the group with a certain threshold at
77 which immune upregulation becomes cost effective for naïve conspecifics. Further, the reliability
78 of cues indicating infection with parasitic stages acquired in the environment may decrease with
79 the age of infection, as older infections do not necessarily coincide with the presence of parasites
80 in the environment. However, if cue emission is induced by pathogenic stress (see Mothersill et
81 al. 2015), its occurrence or strength may vary from the initial exposure to the appearance of
82 symptoms and possibly clearance, depending on the specifics of each host-parasite interaction.

83 Thus, conspecifics may be able to assess the stage of infection through the quantity or quality of
84 cues emitted.

85 Here, we study cue-induced immunisation against the trematode *Diplostomum*
86 *pseudospathaceum*, in relation to infection prevalence within host groups and the time from the
87 initial parasite exposure of the host group members. The parasite's life-cycle involves asexual
88 reproduction in the first intermediate snail host, a second intermediate fish host that serves as
89 transmission vehicle (no reproduction) and finally sexual reproduction in the final bird host
90 (Chappell et al. 1994). Free-living stages (cercariae) emerge in large numbers from the snail
91 hosts and upon encounter penetrate the skin or gills of the fish. Then, they move towards its eye
92 lenses, causing damage to body tissues and blood vessels during migration (Erasmus 1959;
93 Ratanara-Brockelman 1974). Fish hosts have been shown to suffer from pathogenic stress caused
94 directly by the acute invasion of the parasite, as heart rates can increase for several days
95 following exposure (Laitinen et al. 1996), and activity decreases (Gopko et al. 2015). In the
96 host's eye lens, the parasites develop to metacercariae (the bird-infecting stage) within 4-8 weeks
97 that induce eye cataracts (Chappell et al. 1994; Karvonen 2012). These cataracts impair host
98 vision (Shariff et al. 1980) and consequently affect fish physiology and behaviour (Seppälä et al.
99 2005b;a; Karvonen & Seppälä 2008; Seppänen et al. 2008; Voutilainen et al. 2008), but in a
100 different manner than acute invasion. Although, this eye-fluke is one of the most common fish
101 parasites in both natural populations (Chappell et al. 1994; Valtonen & Gibson 1997) and
102 aquaculture conditions (Chappell et al. 1994; Karvonen et al. 2006), the prevalence of infection
103 varies greatly among host species and populations (Chappell et al. 1994; Valtonen & Gibson
104 1997; Rellstab et al. 2011; Karvonen 2012), leading to variation in the ratio of infected to
105 uninfected individuals. As infection prevalence among snail hosts is also variable among

106 populations, but generally low (Louhi et al. 2013) and cercarial shedding is seasonal (Karvonen
107 2012), fish hosts experience variable encounter rates in space and time.

108 Many fish species, including rainbow trout, aggregate in groups that include extensive
109 social interactions (shoals; Pitcher & Parrish 1993; Delcourt & Poncin 2012), mediated by
110 visual, chemical, mechanical, electrical and acoustic communication (Rosenthal & Lobel 2006).
111 Whether infections with *D. pseudospathaceum* can be communicated among group members is
112 unknown, but there is evidence suggesting that fish are able to recognize infections with other
113 non-contagious parasites (Barber et al. 1998; Tobler & Schlupp 2008) and that they can transfer
114 stress via chemical cues (Toa et al. 2004; Vavrek & Brown 2009; Barcellos et al. 2011;
115 Giacomini et al. 2015). We established experimental shoals of rainbow trout that were composed
116 of naïve individuals (receivers) and already infected individuals (donors) in different ratios
117 (30:10 and 10:30) and exposed them to *D. pseudospathaceum* five days after shoal
118 establishment. We repeated this setup at different time points after the original infection of the
119 donors. Based on the anticipated stress-related emission of cues indicating infection risk, we
120 predict that (i) receivers show socially induced resistance to *D. pseudospathaceum* and such
121 effects are stronger when cues most likely coincide with the presence of the parasite in the
122 environment, i.e. (ii) in donor-biased compared to receiver-biased groups and (iii) when
123 infections are recent.

124

125

126 **Methods**

127

128 **Experimental animals**

129 Juvenile rainbow trout (size selected, average body mass 2.9 g) were obtained from a fish farm in
130 Finland on 23 June 2015. The farm is supplied with groundwater, which ensured that all
131 individuals were free of *D. pseudospathaceum* infection. During the experiment, the fish were
132 kept in aerated ground water (17°C) and fed daily with commercial fish pellets.

133 Cercariae of *D. pseudospathaceum* were obtained from naturally infected snail hosts
134 *Lymnaea stagnalis*, collected from Lake Vuojärvi (Central Finland, 62° N, 25° E) during 22 – 28
135 June 2015. The snails were maintained individually at 4 °C and were fed with lettuce *ad libitum*.
136 Before each experimental infection (see below), 12-14 snails were transferred to room
137 temperature and allowed to shed cercariae for a maximum of 3 hours. The cercarial suspensions
138 of all snails were combined and parasite density was estimated by counting the number of
139 parasites in ten 1 ml samples.

140 All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of
141 the Finnish Regional State Administrative Agency (License code: ESAVI/4415/04.10.07/2014).

142

143 Experimental setup

144 Rainbow trout were haphazardly divided into six randomly assigned tanks (500 l), two of which
145 housed 290 individuals each (receivers) while the remaining four housed 230 individuals each
146 (donors). On 27 June, receivers were marked by clipping the adipose fin under anaesthesia (MS-
147 222) so that they could be separated from donors in the experimental groupings. The donors were
148 also anaesthetised, but returned to their tanks without fin clipping.

149 On 28 June, the water volume in all six tanks was lowered to 100 l. To produce the
150 donors, fish in two randomly selected tanks were each exposed to an estimated number of 2300
151 parasite cercariae (10 cercariae per fish; ‘infected donors’) while the two other donor groups

152 were sham exposed with ground water without parasites ('control donors'). Receiver groups
153 were not exposed. After 30 minutes, the water volume in all tanks was brought back to 500 l.

154 Fish groups consisting of donors and receivers were established at three different time
155 points after the exposure of donor individuals: 2 days post-exposure (p.e.) capturing the initial
156 stress effects of the infection, 21 days p.e., when parasites were still developing, and 34 days
157 p.e., when parasites were fully developed and inducing cataracts. Each time, 80 'infected
158 donors', 80 'control donors' and 160 'receivers' were haphazardly selected from the holding
159 tanks and distributed among eight other tanks, each containing 40 individuals in 180 l of water.
160 The groups were formed so that four tanks had combinations of 'infected donors' and 'receivers'
161 in proportions 30:10 (2 tanks) and 10:30 (2 tanks), while the other four tanks had combinations
162 of 'control donors' and 'receivers' in equal proportions and replication.

163 After five days of social contact within a tank, all group members were exposed
164 individually to *D. pseudopathaceum* in small containers with 500 ml of water and 100 cercariae.
165 After 30 minutes of exposure, all fish were returned to their groups for 24 hours to allow parasite
166 establishment in the eye lenses. Subsequently, all fish groups were euthanized with an overdose
167 of MS-222 anaesthetic, measured for length and dissected for parasite numbers. Dissection was
168 conducted blind to the treatment applied to each group. Metacercariae established during the pre-
169 exposure and the re-exposure of 'infected donors' could be differentiated by their clear size
170 difference (Sweeting 1974). Fish length increased with time (GLM, $X^2 = 772.8$, $P < 0.001$), but
171 did not differ between infected donors, control donors and receivers grouped with infected and
172 control donors ($X^2 = 4.8$, $P = 0.184$, interaction not significant).

173

174 Statistics

175 Parasite load (both eyes combined) was analysed using generalized linear mixed models
176 (GLMM) with Laplace approximation and negative binomial probability distribution. Parasite
177 load (excluding parasites from the pre-exposure of ‘infected donors’) was entered as dependent
178 variable and treatment (‘infected donors’, ‘control donors’, ‘receivers’ and ‘control receivers’),
179 ratio of donors to receivers (30:10 and 10:30), time since pre-exposure (7, 26 and 39 days) and
180 all interactions were entered as fixed factors, and fish length as covariate. Each fish group was
181 labelled with an individual ID, which was included as random factor (N = 24) to account for
182 potential group effects. The analysis revealed a negative effect of fin-clipping on parasite
183 resistance, as fin-clipped receiver fish had significantly higher parasite loads than both control
184 donors and infected donors ($P < 0.019$ for all pairwise *Bonferroni* corrected comparisons of
185 donor and receiver fish). This was unexpected as adipose fin clipping in salmonid fish is
186 considered to be non-invasive with negligible effects (Use of Fishes in Research Committee
187 2014). To exclude this effect, two separate models, one including the two donor groups
188 (‘infected donors’ and ‘control donors’) and the other the two receiver groups (grouped with
189 ‘infected donors’ and with ‘control donors’) were used. Further, due to a miscalculation, one
190 group (round 2, 30 control donors : 10 receivers) consisted only of 29 individuals. However, as
191 the ratio of donors to receivers was comparable to the original setup (21 control donors : 8
192 receivers), the group was included into the statistical analyses. Thus, the final sample sizes were
193 240 for ‘infected donors’, 231 for ‘control donors’, 240 for ‘receivers’ and 238 for ‘control
194 receivers’. All analyses were conducted using SAS (v. 9.4).

195

196

197 Results

198

199 All 'infected donors' harboured fully developed metacercariae following the pre-exposure with
200 an average of ($\bar{x} \pm SE$) 8.1 ± 0.2 parasites per fish (range 2-17). These infections activated host
201 resistance and resulted in a reduced parasite infection success among the 'infected donors'
202 compared to 'control donors' (Table 1, Figure 1a). The reduction in parasite load among the
203 'infected donors' also increased with time from 2.7% one week post exposure, to 10.4 % four
204 weeks post exposure and 13.6 % six weeks post exposure (Figure 1 a), but this change was not
205 statistically significant (Table 1). Parasite load also decreased with time since pre-exposure in
206 both 'infected donors' and 'control donors' (Table 1, Figure 1a), which was most likely caused
207 by a decreasing parasite infectivity with time. The ratio of donors to receivers in a group did not
208 affect parasite load among donor individuals (Table 1). Finally, parasite load was negatively
209 related to fish length (Table 1), a pattern that is commonly observed in this system.

210 In contrast, parasite load did not differ between receivers grouped either with 'infected
211 donors' or 'control donors' (Table 2, Figure 1b) suggesting absence of transfer of infection
212 resistance. Parasite load decreased again with time (Table 2, Figure 1b), but there was no
213 interaction with treatment, indicating that the time since pre-exposure in donors had no effect on
214 the result. There was also no effect of the ratio of donors to receivers in a group (Table 2).

215

216

217 Discussion

218

219 Recent research suggests that infection resistance of fish to bacteria can be induced in naïve
220 individuals without an actual contact with the pathogen through cues emitted by previously
221 infected conspecifics (Mothersill et al. 2015). Here, we did not find such an effect in rainbow

222 trout exposed to the trematode *D. pseudospathaceum*. Resistance was comparable for individuals
223 that had been grouped either with infected conspecifics or with uninfected control individuals.
224 Resistance was also not affected by the ratio of infected individuals in a group or the time from
225 their initial exposure. Overall, this suggests that the occurrence of cue-induced resistance may be
226 system-specific and requires more study as to the exact mechanisms.

227 In the rainbow trout - *Vibrio* system, the cue perceived by receiver individuals caused an
228 increase in cellular calcium (Mothersill et al. 2015). A similar response was observed by receiver
229 fish paired with conspecifics that had been exposed to physical stressors, such as radiation (Lyng
230 et al. 2000; Mothersill et al. 2006). Other studies have also shown that chemical cues emitted by
231 infected individuals and individuals experiencing other forms of stress can induce similar
232 responses in conspecifics. For example, female mice exposed to urine of both, males infected
233 with a sporozoan or nematode parasite and physically stressed males, show decreased sensitivity
234 to pain mediated through increased opioid levels (Kavaliers & Colwell 1993; Kavaliers et al.
235 2006). Although, the main explanation for this response is facilitation of behavioural infection
236 avoidance (Kavaliers et al. 2004), opioids also play a role in immune signalling and may thus be
237 involved in anticipatory immune upregulation (Penn & Potts 1998 and references therein).
238 Generally, fish can perceive stress induced by various sources in conspecifics and consequently
239 produce a physiological stress response (Toa et al. 2004; Vavrek & Brown 2009; Barcellos et al.
240 2011; Giacomini et al. 2015). Thus, cues released in consequence of stress could be good
241 candidates for immunisation through social signalling. However, our findings suggest that this
242 needs to be verified in different systems.

243 The perception of a cue inducing protection against infection likely depends on the
244 strength of its emission within a social group. This may vary not only with the ratio of donors to

245 receivers, but also with exposure doses experienced by the donors and the resulting infection
246 intensities. For example, in some fish species, physiological responses associated with exposure
247 to *D. pseudospathaceum* have been observed only at high exposure doses (Laitinen et al. 1996).
248 Although exposure doses and the resulting parasite loads in the present study were in the range
249 of those expected (dose) or observed (load) under natural conditions (e.g. Valtonen & Gibson
250 1997), they were on the lower end of the range, as donor individuals harboured on average four
251 parasites per eye after the pre-exposure. Consequently, pathogenic stress levels of donors may
252 have been too low to induce socially triggered resistance in receivers. However, a resistance
253 response was elicited earlier in rainbow trout grouped with conspecifics that likely experienced
254 only moderate pathogenic stress, as these had been exposed to a nonlethal dose of bacteria and
255 had already recovered from the infection (Mothersill et al. 2015).

256 Using cues emitted by infected conspecifics to upregulate personal immune responses
257 can offset the increased risk of contracting contagious parasites in groups and may thus be seen
258 as an adaption to compensate health related costs of sociality (Masri & Cremer 2014). If so,
259 selection pressures on social immunisation against non-contagious parasites may be low. First,
260 infections with non-socially transmitted parasites may not reliably signal infection risk to
261 conspecifics, as they do not necessarily coincide with the presence of infective stages in the
262 environment. For example, in the present system with infection hotspots and highly mobile hosts,
263 infection risk may be too variable and unpredictable to make cue-induced immunisation cost-
264 effective. However, infection risk also varies seasonally, as cercarial production in the snail hosts
265 is temperature regulated (Karvonen 2012). In northern latitudes, for example, infection risk
266 prevails only during 3-4 months each year (Karvonen et al. 2004). Thus, infection in others,
267 particularly if recent, may signal the onset of cercarial shedding and thus, an overall risk of

268 infection. Second, grouping is expected to provide other benefits against free-living parasitic
269 stages. In our study system, infection intensities with *D. pseudospathaceum* decrease with group
270 size, possibly due to a dilution effect (Karvonen et al. 2005). Other experiments have also
271 demonstrated a decreased exposure risk to trematode parasites in shoaling versus solitary fish
272 (Stumbo et al. 2012). Additionally, groups are also more efficient in behaviourally avoiding *D.*
273 *pseudospathaceum* compared to solitary individuals (Mikheev et al. 2013), possibly due to an
274 enhanced potential for parasite detection ("many eyes" theory; Treherne & Foster 1980; Lima
275 1995). However, as sociality enhances the possibility of acquiring information from others, it
276 may provide additional protection against virulent non-contagious parasites present in a group's
277 environment.

278 In conclusion, our results do not support the prediction that group living induces social
279 resistance to a trematode infection. Social immunisation is an emerging field of research that
280 may have important implications for disease dynamics and, owing to a natural vaccine effect, for
281 the management of natural and captive populations. However, the mechanisms of cue-induced
282 social immunisation are not well understood. More studies are needed to gain insights into the
283 generality of the phenomenon in different host-parasite systems and the nature of the cues
284 involved in protective immune stimulation.

285

286

287 References

288

289 Alexander, R. D. (1974). The evolution of social behavior. *Annual Review of Ecology and*
290 *Systematics* 5324-383.

291 Barber, I., Downey, L. C. & Braithwaite, V. A. (1998). Parasitism, oddity and the mechanism of
292 shoal choice. *Journal of Fish Biology* 53(6), 1365-1368.

293 Barcellos, L. J. G., Volpato, G. L., Barreto, R. E., Coldebella, I. & Ferreira, D. (2011). Chemical
294 communication of handling stress in fish. *Physiology & Behavior* 103(3-4), 372-375.

295 Chappell, L. H., Hardie, L. J. & Secombes, C. J. (1994). Diplostomiasis: the disease and host-
296 parasite interactions. In: Pike, A. W. & Lewis, J. W. (Eds), *Parasitic diseases of fish* (pp.
297 59-86). Dyfed: Samara Publishing Limited.

298 Côté, I. M. & Poulin, R. (1995). Parasitism and group-size in social animals: a meta-analysis.
299 *Behavioral Ecology* 6(2), 159-165.

300 Curtis, V. A. (2014). Infection-avoidance behaviour in humans and other animals. *Trends in*
301 *Immunology* 35(10), 457-464.

302 Delcourt, J. & Poncin, P. (2012). Shoals and schools: back to the heuristic definitions and
303 quantitative references. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 22(3), 595-619.

304 Erasmus, D. A. (1959). The migration of *Cercaria X* Baylis (Strigeida) within the fish
305 intermediate host. *Parasitology* 49(1-2), 173-190.

306 Giacomini, A., de Abreu, M. S., Koakoski, G., Idalencio, R., Kalichak, F., Oliveira, T. A., da
307 Rosa, J. G. S., Gusso, D., Piato, A. L. & Barcellos, L. J. G. (2015). My stress, our stress:
308 Blunted cortisol response to stress in isolated housed zebrafish. *Physiology & Behavior*
309 139182-187.

310 Gopko, M., Mikheev, V. N. & Taskinen, J. (2015). Changes in host behaviour caused by
311 immature larvae of the eye fluke: evidence supporting the predation suppression
312 hypothesis. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 69(10), 1723-1730.

313 Graham, A. L., Allen, J. E. & Read, A. F. (2005). Evolutionary causes and consequences of
314 immunopathology. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 36:373-397.

315 Hamilton, C., Lejeune, B. T. & Rosengaus, R. B. (2010). Trophallaxis and prophylaxis: social
316 immunity in the carpenter ant *Camponotus pennsylvanicus*. *Biology Letters* 7(1), 89-92.

317 Hart, B. L. (1990). Behavioral adaptations to pathogens and parasites: Five strategies.
318 *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 14(3), 273-294.

319 Kappeler, P. M., Cremer, S. & Nunn, C. L. (2015). Sociality and health: impacts of sociality on
320 disease susceptibility and transmission in animal and human societies. *Philosophical
321 Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* 370(1669).

322 Karvonen, A. (2012). *Diplostomum spathaceum* and related species. In: Woo, P. & Buchmann,
323 K. (Eds), *Fish parasites: pathobiology and protection* (pp. 260-269). Oxfordshire, UK:
324 CABI.

325 Karvonen, A., Pauku, S., Seppälä, O. & Valtonen, E. T. (2005). Resistance against eye flukes:
326 naive versus previously infected fish. *Parasitology Research* 95(1), 55-59.

327 Karvonen, A., Savolainen, M., Seppälä, O. & Valtonen, E. T. (2006). Dynamics of *Diplostomum*
328 *spathaceum* infection in snail hosts at a fish farm. *Parasitology Research* 99(4), 341-345.

329 Karvonen, A. & Seppälä, O. (2008). Effect of eye fluke infection on the growth of whitefish
330 (*Coregonus lavaretus*) - An experimental approach. *Aquaculture* 279(1-4), 6-10.

331 Karvonen, A., Seppälä, O. & Valtonen, E. T. (2004). Parasite resistance and avoidance behaviour
332 in preventing eye fluke infections in fish. *Parasitology* 129:159-164.

333 Kavaliers, M., Choleris, E., Agmo, A., Braun, W. J., Colwell, D. D., Muglia, L. J., Ogawa, S. &
334 Pfaff, D. W. (2006). Inadvertent social information and the avoidance of parasitized male

335 mice: A role for oxytocin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
336 *United States of America* 103(11), 4293-4298.

337 Kavaliers, M., Choleris, E., Agmo, A. & Pfaff, D. W. (2004). Olfactory-mediated parasite
338 recognition and avoidance: linking genes to behavior. *Hormones and Behavior* 46(3),
339 272-283.

340 Kavaliers, M. & Colwell, D. D. (1993). Aversive responses of female mice to the odors of
341 parasitized males: neuromodulatory mechanisms and implications for mate choice.
342 *Ethology* 95(3), 202-212.

343 Konrad, M., Vyleta, M. L., Theis, F. J., Stock, M., Tragust, S., Klatt, M., Drescher, V., Marr, C.,
344 Ugelvig, L. V. & Cremer, S. (2012). Social transfer of pathogenic fungus promotes active
345 immunisation in ant colonies. *Plos Biology* 10(4).

346 Laitinen, M., Siddall, R. & Valtonen, E. T. (1996). Bioelectronic monitoring of parasite-induced
347 stress in brown trout and roach. *Journal of Fish Biology* 48(2), 228-241.

348 Lima, S. L. (1995). Back to the basics of antipredatory vigilance - the group-size effect. *Animal*
349 *Behaviour* 49(1), 11-20.

350 Lochmiller, R. L. & Deerenberg, C. (2000). Trade-offs in evolutionary immunology: just what is
351 the cost of immunity? *Oikos* 88(1), 87-98.

352 Louhi, K. R., Karvonen, A., Rellstab, C., Louhi, R. & Jokela, J. (2013). Prevalence of infection
353 as a predictor of multiple genotype infection frequency in parasites with multiple-host life
354 cycle. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 82(1), 191-200.

355 Lyng, F. M., Seymour, C. B. & Mothersill, C. (2000). Production of a signal by irradiated cells
356 which leads to a response in unirradiated cells characteristic of initiation of apoptosis.
357 *British Journal of Cancer* 83(9), 1223-1230.

358 Masri, L. & Cremer, S. (2014). Individual and social immunisation in insects. *Trends in*
359 *Immunology* 35(10), 471-482.

360 Mikheev, V. N., Pasternak, A. F., Taskinen, J. & Valtonen, T. E. (2013). Grouping facilitates
361 avoidance of parasites by fish. *Parasites & Vectors* 6301.

362 Mooring, M. S. & Hart, B. L. (1992). Animal grouping for protection from parasites: selfish herd
363 and encounter-dilution effects. *Behaviour* 123173-193.

364 Mothersill, C., Austin, D., Fernandez-Palomo, C., Seymour, C., Auchinachie, N. & Austin, B.
365 (2015). Rescue of fish exposed to a lethal dose of pathogen, by signals from sublethally
366 exposed survivors. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 362(5).

367 Mothersill, C., Bucking, C., Smith, R. W., Agnihotri, N., O'Neill, A., Kilemade, M. & Seymour,
368 C. B. (2006). Communication of radiation-induced stress or bystander signals between
369 fish in vivo. *Environmental Science & Technology* 40(21), 6859-6864.

370 Patterson, J. E. H. & Ruckstuhl, K. E. (2013). Parasite infection and host group size: a meta-
371 analytical review. *Parasitology* 140(7), 803-813.

372 Penn, D. & Potts, W. K. (1998). Chemical signals and parasite-mediated sexual selection. *Trends*
373 *in Ecology & Evolution* 13(10), 391-396.

374 Pitcher, T. J. & Parrish, J. K. (1993). Functions of shoaling behaviour in teleosts In: Pitcher, T. J.
375 (Ed), *Behaviour of teleost fishes* (pp. 363-439). London: Publisher: Chapman & Hall.

376 Poulin, R. & FitzGerald, G. J. (1989). Shoaling as an anti-ectoparasite mechanism in juvenile
377 sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus spp.*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 24(4), 251-255.

378 Ratanara-Brockelman, C. (1974). Migration of *Diplostomum spathaceum* (Trematoda) in fish
379 intermediate host. *Zeitschrift Fur Parasitenkunde-Parasitology Research* 43(2), 123-134.

380 Rellstab, C., Louhi, K. R., Karvonen, A. & Jokela, J. (2011). Analysis of trematode parasite
381 communities in fish eye lenses by pyrosequencing of naturally pooled DNA. *Infection*
382 *Genetics and Evolution* 11(6), 1276-1286.

383 Rifkin, J. L., Nunn, C. L. & Garamszegi, L. Z. (2012). Do animals living in larger groups
384 experience greater parasitism? A meta-analysis. *American Naturalist* 180(1), 70-82.

385 Rosenthal, G. G. & Lobel, P. S. (2006). Communication. In: Sloman, K. A., Wilson, R. W. &
386 Balshine, S. (Eds), *Behaviour and physiology of fish* (pp. 39-78). Amsterdam: Academic
387 Press Elsevier B.V.

388 Schaller, M., Miller, G. E., Gervais, W. M., Yager, S. & Chen, E. (2010). Mere visual perception
389 of other people's disease symptoms facilitates a more aggressive immune response.
390 *Psychological Science* 21(5), 649-652.

391 Seppälä, O., Karvonen, A. & Valtonen, E. T. (2005a). Impaired crypsis of fish infected with a
392 trophically transmitted parasite. *Animal Behaviour* 70895-900.

393 Seppälä, O., Karvonen, A. & Valtonen, E. T. (2005b). Manipulation of fish host by eye flukes in
394 relation to cataract formation and parasite infectivity. *Animal Behaviour* 70889-894.

395 Seppänen, E., Kuukka, H., Huuskonen, H. & Piironen, J. (2008). Relationship between standard
396 metabolic rate and parasite-induced cataract of juveniles in three Atlantic salmon stocks.
397 *Journal of Fish Biology* 72(7), 1659-1674.

398 Shariff, M., Richards, R. H. & Sommerville, C. (1980). The histopathology of acute and chronic
399 infections of rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson with eye flukes, *Diplostomum*
400 spp. *Journal of Fish Diseases* 3(6), 455-465.

401 Sheldon, B. C. & Verhulst, S. (1996). Ecological immunology: Costly parasite defences and
402 trade-offs in evolutionary ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 11(8), 317-321.

403 Stumbo, A. D., James, C. T., Goater, C. P. & Wisenden, B. D. (2012). Shoaling as an antiparasite
404 defence in minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) exposed to trematode cercariae. *Journal of*
405 *Animal Ecology* 81(6), 1319-1326.

406 Sweeting, R. A. (1974). Investigations into natural and experimental infections of freshwater fish
407 by common eye-fluke *Diplostomum spathaceum* rud. *Parasitology* 69(DEC), 291-300.

408 Toa, D. G., Afonso, L. O. B. & Iwama, G. K. (2004). Stress response of juvenile rainbow trout
409 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to chemical cues released from stressed conspecifics. *Fish*
410 *Physiology and Biochemistry* 30(2), 103-108.

411 Tobler, M. & Schlupp, I. (2008). Influence of black spot disease on shoaling behaviour in female
412 western mosquitofish, *Gambusia affinis* (Poeciliidae, Teleostei). *Environmental Biology*
413 *of Fishes* 81(1), 29-34.

414 Treherne, J. E. & Foster, W. A. (1980). The effects of group-size on predator avoidance in a
415 marine insect. *Animal Behaviour* 28(1)119-1122.

416 Use of Fishes in Research Committee (joint committee of the American Fisheries Society, the
417 American Institute of Fishery Research Biologists, and the American Society of
418 Ichthyologists and Herpetologists). (2014). Guidelines for the use of fishes in research.
419 American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland.

420 Valtonen, E. T. & Gibson, D. I. (1997). Aspects of the biology of diplostomid metacercarial
421 (Digenea) populations occurring in fishes in different localities of northern Finland.
422 *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 34(1), 47-59.

423 Vavrek, M. A. & Brown, G. E. (2009). Threat-sensitive responses to disturbance cues in juvenile
424 convict cichlids and rainbow trout. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 46(3), 171-180.

425 Voutilainen, A., Figueiredo, K. & Huuskonen, H. (2008). Effects of the eye fluke *Diplostomum*
 426 *spathaceum* on the energetics and feeding of Arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus*. *Journal of*
 427 *Fish Biology* 73(9), 2228-2237.

428

429 Table 1 General linear mixed model (GLMM) analysis of parasite load in ‘infected donors’ and
 430 ‘control donors’, explained by treatment (pre-exposure and sham exposure), ratio of donors to
 431 receivers in a group (30:10 and 10:30), time since pre-exposure (7, 26 and 39 days) and fish
 432 length. Fish group is included in the model as a random factor.

factors	df		F	P
	denominator	numerator		
treatment	1	442	4.41	0.041
ratio	1	442	0.19	0.667
time	2	12	249.70	<0.001
treatment*ratio	1	442	1.285	0.258
treatment*time	2	442	0.58	0.562
time*ratio	2	442	0.26	0.772
treatment*time*ratio	2	442	0.26	0.772
length	1	441	8.61	0.004

433

434

435

436 Table 2 General linear mixed model (GLMM) analysis of parasite load in receivers, explained by
 437 treatment (grouped with ‘infected donors’ and with ‘control donors’), ratio of donors to receivers
 438 in a group (30:10 and 10:30), time since pre-exposure of donors (7, 26 and 39 days) and fish
 439 length. Fish group is included in the model as a random factor.



factors	df		F	P
	denominator	numerator		
treatment	1	453	0.03	0.854
ratio	1	453	0.50	0.481
time	2	453	265.26	<0.001
treatment*ratio	1	453	0.23	0.633
treatment*time	2	453	0.49	0.614
time*ratio	2	453	0.52	0.593
treatment*time*ratio	2	453	0.23	0.797
length	3	453	0.21	0.649

440

441

442 Figure 1

443



444

445 **Fig 1** Parasite load (Least-square means \pm SE) of (a) donor individuals and (b) receiver

446 individuals after experimental exposures varying in time since pre-exposure of 'infected donors'.