This is a self-archived version of an original article. This version may differ from the original in pagination and typographic details.

Author(s): Puttreddy, Rakesh; von Essen, Carolina; Rissanen, Kari

Title: Halogen Bonds in Square Planar 2,5-Dihalopyridine-Copper(II) Bromide Complexes

Year: 2018

Version: Accepted version (Final draft)

Copyright: © 2018 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.

Rights: In Copyright

Rights url: <http://rightsstatements.org/page/InC/1.0/?language=en>

Please cite the original version:

Puttreddy, R., von Essen, C., & Rissanen, K. (2018). Halogen Bonds in Square Planar 2,5-Dihalopyridine-Copper(II) Bromide Complexes. *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, 2018(20-21), 2393-2398. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201800144>

Accepted Article

Title: Halogen Bonds in Square Planar 2,5-Dihalopyridine-Copper(II) Bromide Complexes

Authors: Rakesh Puttreddy, Carolina von Essen, and Kari Rissanen

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 10.1002/ejic.201800144

Link to VoR: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201800144>

FULL PAPER

Halogen Bonds in Square Planar 2,5-Dihalopyridine-Copper(II) Bromide Complexes

Rakesh Puttreddy,^[a] Carolina von Essen,^[a] and Kari Rissanen^{*[a]}

Dedication ((optional))

Abstract: Halogen bonding in self-complementary 1:2 metal-ligand complexes obtained from copper(II) bromide (CuBr_2) and seven 2,5-dihalopyridines are analyzed using single crystal X-ray diffraction. All presented discrete complexes form 1-D polymeric chains connected with $\text{C}-\text{X}\cdots\text{Br}-\text{Cu}$ halogen bonds (XB). In (2-chloro-5-X-pyridine) $_2\text{CuBr}_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$ and I), only the C5-halogen, and in (2-bromo-5-X-pyridine) $_2\text{CuBr}_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$ and I) both, C2- and C5-halogens, form $\text{C}-\text{X}\cdots\text{Br}-\text{Cu}$ halogen bonds with the X acting as the XB donor and copper-coordinated bromide as the XB acceptor. The electron withdrawing C2-chloride in 2-chloro-5-X-pyridine- CuBr_2 complexes has only a minor effect on the $\text{C5}-\text{X5}\cdots\text{Br}-\text{Cu}$ XBs, and the $\text{X5}\cdots\text{Br}$ distances follow expected order, $\text{I5} < \text{Br5} < \text{Cl5}$ in the R_{XB} values of 0.91, 0.94 and 0.99, respectively. In 2-bromo-5-X-pyridine- CuBr_2 complexes, due to the polarization of both halogens, the $\text{C2}-\text{X2}\cdots\text{Br}-\text{Cu}$ and $\text{C5}-\text{X5}\cdots\text{Br}-\text{Cu}$ the R_{XB} values are very similar [0.92 – 0.99] due to competition of C2- and C5-halogens for XB formation. In addition to the classical halogen bonds the square planar Cu(II) complexes exhibit $\text{C2}-\text{X2}\cdots\text{Cu}$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}$ and Br) contacts perpendicular to the $\text{Br}-\text{Cu}-\text{Br}$ plane with shorter $\text{C2}-\text{Br2}\cdots\text{Cu}$ than $\text{C2}-\text{Cl2}\cdots\text{Cu}$ contacts. These interactions induce a *pseudo*-octahedral geometry for Cu(II) ions. Notably, $\text{C2}-\text{X2}\cdots\text{Br}-\text{Cu}$ halogen bonds and the additional $\text{C2}-\text{X2}\cdots\text{Cu}$ contacts are slightly enhanced by the C5-halogen electronegativity.

Introduction

The importance of hydrogen bonds^[1] (HB) in organic, organometallic and inorganic compounds has led to significant interest to other non-covalent interactions, most recently to the utilization of halogen bonding. The halogen bonds (XB), are predominantly studied in organic molecules and in the solid-state, as strong, specific and highly directional non-covalent interactions equivalent to HBs resulting in a rapidly developing area within supramolecular chemistry.^[2] Over the past decade, $\text{C}-\text{X}\cdots\text{A}-\text{C}$ [C = carbon, X = halogen as XB donor, A = XB acceptor, often halide anion], halogen bonding interactions have been of growing interest as valuable crystal engineering tool.^[3] The halogen bond has recently been defined^[4] by IUPAC and has recently been

extensively studied,^[2-3] also for complex halide anions^[5] using solid-state X-ray crystallography and computational methods.^[2-6] In transition metal complexes, the importance and the role of two descriptors are well studied, viz., (a) primary coordination sphere or metal-ligand interactions, and (b) secondary coordination sphere or non-covalent interactions.^[7] Structure and reactivity of metal complexes depend on metal-ligand interactions, while most chemical and physical properties are influenced by non-covalent interactions, e.g. HB and XB. In the solid-state X-ray crystal structures, the functional groups attached to the ligands in the coordination complexes gives rise to rich chemical environments allowing for variety of intra- and intermolecular non-covalent interactions from the substituents. To investigate XBs in metal complexes, halopyridines coordinated to metal ions makes it possible to study both $\text{C}-\text{X}\cdots\text{A}-\text{C}$ and $\text{C}-\text{X}\cdots\text{A}-\text{M}$ [X = halogen substituent, M = metal ion, A = XB acceptor] interactions. A few research groups, mainly, Brammer *et al.*,^[8] have crystallographically studied complexes of the type $\text{M}(\text{LX})_2\text{A}_2$ [LX = 2-, 3- and 4-halopyridines, M = metal and A = metal bound halides], where A acts as XB acceptor and X as XB donor. Furthermore, Brammer *et al.* explored the cooperative and competitive nature of HBs and XBs of $[\text{LH}]^+$ species as acceptors to tetrahedral MA_4 anions.^[9] To complement this, we have previously studied neutral pincer type viz., {2,6-bis[(di-*t*-butylphosphino)-methyl]phenyl}PdY ($\text{Y} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$ and I) and (terpyridine) Me_3PtI complexes where the metal bound halogen acts as the XB acceptor.^[10]

Figure 1. Representation of 2,5-dihalopyridine core numbering, and chemical structures of 2,5-dichloropyridine (1), 5-bromo-2-chloropyridine (2), 2-chloro-5-iodopyridine (3), 2-bromo-5-fluoropyridine (4), 2-bromo-5-chloropyridine (5), 2,5-dibromopyridine (6) and 2-bromo-5-iodopyridine (7).

In the present study, we analyze the halogen bonds in coordination complexes formed from 2,5-dihalopyridines and copper(II) bromide (CuBr_2). We utilize a series of seven 2,5-dihalopyridines, three based on 2-chloropyridine (1-3) and four based on 2-bromopyridine (5-7), with a different halogen substituent at the 5-position of the pyridine ring [X5 , Fig. 1]. We anticipated that, upon complexation with CuBr_2 , the X5

[a] Rakesh Puttreddy, Carolina von Essen and Kari Rissanen
University of Jyväskylä, Department of Chemistry, P.O. Box. 35,
40014 Jyväskylä, Finland
E-mail: rakesh.r.puttreddy@jyu.fi and kari.t.rissanen@jyu.fi
<https://www.jyu.fi/science/en/chemistry/research/structural-and-synthetic-chemistry/the-supramolecular-chemistry>

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

FULL PAPER

substituent will be electronically influenced by the X2 substituent as it resides close to positive Cu(II) coordination sphere. The formally negatively-charged bromide atoms bound to the Cu(II) ion are nucleophilic enough to act as the XB acceptors. However, considering different coordination geometries of Cu(II) and the orientation of ligands influenced by crystal packing interactions, this study further aims to examine: (a) whether either X2 or X5 or both of them are able to form C–X···Br–Cu halogen bonds in the solid-state. The crystallographic studies are supplemented by qualitative analysis of the electrostatic potential surfaces of the complexes, viz. by visualizing the modulation of the σ -hole at the X2 or X5 substituent or *vice versa*. In the following discussion, 2-chloro-, 2-bromo-, 3-chloro- and 3-bromopyridines from the literature^[11] are abbreviated as 2ClPy, 2BrPy, 3ClPy and 3BrPy.

Results and Discussion

The complexes were synthesized by mixing a 2:1 molar ratio of 2,5-dihalopyridine and CuBr₂ in acetonitrile at room temperature. If necessary, the reaction mixtures were sonicated to dissolve all the components. Slow evaporation of the resulting solution provides single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography (See Experimental Section for more details). Attempts to obtain crystals with 2-chloro-5-fluoropyridine were unsuccessful. In all complexes, the Cu(II) ion binds to two 2,5-dihalopyridine ligands and has centrosymmetric N₂Br₂ coordination sphere. In complexes (1)₂·CuBr₂ and (2)₂·CuBr₂, Cu(II) is square planar, while (3)₂·CuBr₂ has see-saw geometry with an τ_4 value of 0.47.^[12] In contrast to the reported square planar structure, (2-ClPy)₂·CuBr₂ (CCDC code PAMLEW),^[11a] the C2-chlorides in (1)₂·CuBr₂ and (2)₂·CuBr₂ are in *anti*-arrangement. However, the *anti*-arrangement of X5 substituent in (1)₂·CuBr₂ and (2)₂·CuBr₂ is similar to (3-ClPy)₂·CuBr₂ (CCDC code PAMKUL)^[11a] and (3-BrPy)₂·CuBr₂ (CCDC code YENXUL).^[11b] The small energy difference between *syn*- and *anti*-arrangements of the Cl2 substituents are reported,^[11a] the C2–Cl2···Cu contacts, particularly in *anti*-positions play a crucial role to stabilize square planar rather than distorted four coordinate Cu(II) geometries. The *trans*-C2–Cl2···Cu contacts of 3.0 Å around Cu(II) ion in (1)₂·CuBr₂ and (2)₂·CuBr₂ are remarkably short, ca. 3.04 Å, while

the distance of ca. 3.12 Å around see-saw geometry Cu(II) ion in (3)₂·CuBr₂ is similar to reported (2ClPy)₂·CuBr₂ [Fig. 2d].^[11a]

Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of (a) (1)₂·CuBr₂, (b) (2)₂·CuBr₂, (c) and (3)₂·CuBr₂ compared with the previously reported^[11a] structure of (d) (2ClPy)₂·CuBr₂. The electrostatic potential surfaces of X5 in free ligands (e) for 1 (Cl), (f) for 2 (Br) and (g) for 3 (I).

Complexes (1)₂·CuBr₂ and (2)₂·CuBr₂ are isomorphous and isostructural, and (3)₂·CuBr₂ is remarkably different in both crystallographic cell parameters as well as from structural perspective. The discrete metal complexes are extended to 1-D polymers *via* C5–X5···Br–Cu (X = Cl, Br and I) halogen bonds, as shown in Fig. 3 and Table 1. The C2–chlorides in (1)₂·CuBr₂ and (2)₂·CuBr₂ are not showing halogen bonding. The C5–Cl5···Br–Cu and C5–Br5···Br–Cu halogen bonds in (1)₂·CuBr₂ [R_{XB} = 0.99] and (2)₂·CuBr₂ [R_{XB} = 0.94] are stronger when compared to C3–X3···Br–Cu distances observed in (3-ClPy)₂·CuBr₂ [R_{XB} = 1.02]^[11a] and (3-BrPy)₂·CuBr₂ [R_{XB} = 0.98]^[11b], respectively. This suggests that the electron withdrawing Cl2-substituent *para* to X5 increase the electrophilic character of X5 resulting in shorter C5–X5···Br–Cu contacts. Despite the Cl2 being XB passive in (1)₂·CuBr₂ and (2)₂·CuBr₂, the 1-D chains are connected *via* weak C2–Cl2···H–C3 HB interactions to form a 3-D crystal lattice [See ESI, Fig. S1a].

Table 1. Solid-state X-ray crystallography bond parameters^a for complexes (1)₂·CuBr₂ – (7)₂·CuBr₂.

Complex	Motif	$d(X2\cdots Br)$, Å	$\angle C2-X2\cdots Br$ (°)	R_{XB}^b	Motif	$d(X5\cdots Br)$, Å	$\angle C5-X5\cdots Br$ (°)	R_{XB}^b
(1) ₂ ·CuBr ₂	C2–Cl2···Br–Cu	3.70 ^c	159.2 ^c	1.03 ^c	C5–Cl5···Br–Cu	3.546 [3.661]	170.6 [162.2]	0.99 [1.02]
(2) ₂ ·CuBr ₂	C2–Cl2···Br–Cu	3.727 ^c	159.3 ^c	1.04 ^c	C5–Br5···Br–Cu	3.489 [3.624]	173.1 [161.1]	0.94 [0.98]
(3) ₂ ·CuBr ₂	C2–Cl2···Br–Cu	3.603 ^c	161.0 ^c	1.00 ^c	C5–I5···Br–Cu	3.478 [3.506] ^d	174.2 [170.9] ^d	0.91 [0.92] ^d
(4) ₂ ·CuBr ₂	C2–Br2···Br–Cu	3.492 [3.460]	163.7 [163.4]	0.94 [0.94]	C5–F5···Br–Cu	3.741 ^e	161.3 ^e	1.13 ^e
(5) ₂ ·CuBr ₂	C2–Br2···Br–Cu	3.544 [3.460]	163.9 [163.4]	0.96 [0.94]	C5–Cl5···Br–Cu	3.550 [3.661]	171.2 [162.2]	0.99 [1.02]
(6) ₂ ·CuBr ₂	C2–Br2···Br–Cu	3.565 [3.460]	165.0 [163.4]	0.96 [0.94]	C5–Br5···Br–Cu	3.507 [3.624]	172.6 [161.1]	0.95 [0.98]
(7) ₂ ·CuBr ₂	C2–Br2···Br–Cu	3.531 [3.460]	168.0 [163.4]	0.95 [0.94]	C5–I5···Br–Cu	3.523 [3.506]	173.2 [170.9]	0.92 [0.92]

^aThe values in parentheses are C2- and C3-halogen based XB bond parameters in mono-substituted halopyridine-CuBr₂ complexes extracted from CSD database¹³; ^bThe normalized interaction ratio, R_{XB} , is defined as $(R_{XB} = d(XB)/X_{vdw} + B_{vdw})$,¹⁴ where $d(XB)$ [Å] is the distance between the donor atom (X) and the acceptor atoms (B) and divided by the sum of vdW radii [Å] of X and B. The van der Waals radii determined by Bondi were used to calculate R_{XB} values.¹⁵; ^cThe values are only for reference purpose and no C2–Cl2···Br–Cu XBs were observed; ^dPart of current study, see supporting information Fig S2 for X-ray crystal structure; ^eFor comparison, crystal structure not available for (3-fluoropyridine)₂·CuBr₂.

FULL PAPER

In $(3)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, the distorted Cu(II) geometry and the relative synpositions of bromides bound to Cu(II) ion and I5 of **3** favour 1-D polymeric zig-zag structure [Fig. 3c] through $\text{C5-I5} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ halogen bonds [$R_{\text{XB}} = 0.91$]. A closer inspection of crystal packing in $(3)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, reveals also weak $\text{C2-Cl2} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ contacts between adjacent 1-D chains [See ESI, Fig. S1b]. Thus, the order of XB strength increases in the order: $(1)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2 < (2)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2 < (3)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ according to the electrophilic character of the halogens^[6c] i.e., $\text{Cl5} < \text{Br5} < \text{I5}$ [Fig. 2e-g].

Figure 3. Section of crystal packing to display the 1-D halogen bonded polymeric structures in (a) $(1)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, (b) $(2)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and (c) $(3)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$. The halogen bonds are shown in black dotted lines.

The 2BrPy in the previously published $(2\text{-BrPy})_2 \cdot \text{CuCl}_2$ complex can be regarded as chelating rather than mono-dentate ligand, and Cu(II) ion as octahedral rather than square planar.^[16] The report^[16] reasoned that, the unusually short $\text{C2-Br2} \cdots \text{Cu}$ distance between the *ortho*-bromide and square planar Cu(II) ion results in a *pseudo*-octahedral $\text{N}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{Br}_2$ coordination sphere. In fact, the role of $\text{C2-X} \cdots \text{Cu}$ type interactions were overlooked in solid-state chemistry, although they are important to stabilize both metals and coordination polymers. The X-ray crystal structures of $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(7)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ all contain square planar Cu(II) ions, and exhibit $\text{C2-Br2} \cdots \text{Cu}$ interactions as shown in Fig. 4. The increase in size of the X2, Cl to Br, increases the possibility to $\text{X2} \cdots \text{Cu}$ interactions, and makes it a significant synthon of interest. Such systems have been studied using computational methods and X-ray crystal structures by Awwadi *et al.*^[16] The electrostatic negative potential around X2 interacts with the electrophile i.e., Cu(II) ion. Halogens F, Cl, Br and I positioned *para* to the Br2 have an influence to the $\text{C2-Br2} \cdots \text{Cu}$ interaction, as shown in Fig. 4. Our results show that the higher the electronegativity of the X5 is, the shorter the $\text{C2-Br2} \cdots \text{Cu}$ interaction distances. The $\text{C2-Br2} \cdots \text{Cu}$ contacts of 3.074 Å in $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ is similar to distances observed in $(2\text{BrPy})_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ [3.071 Å].^[11b]

Figure 4. X-ray Crystal structures of (a) $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, (b) $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, (c) $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and (d) $(7)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ to display *pseudo*-octahedral Cu(II) ion with N2Br4 coordination sphere. The electrostatic potential surfaces of the X5 of the free ligands are shown in (e) for **4** (F), (f) for **5** (Cl), (g) for **6** (Br) and (h) for **7** (I).

Complexes $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, crystallize in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$, are isomorphous and isostructural, while $(7)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ crystallizes in monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ and packs with a herringbone pattern. The structure of $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ is similar to previously reported (CCDC code XIBSAE).^[17] The formation of 1-D polymers in $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(7)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ is due to interactions between the Br2 and Br-Cu instead of X5 as observed in $(1)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(2)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(3)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ structures. The $\text{C2-Br2} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ XBs in $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(7)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ have R_{XB} 's 0.94, 0.96, 0.96 and 0.95, respectively. The $\text{C5-X5} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ ($\text{X} = \text{Cl, Br and I}$) halogen bonded 1-D polymers in $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(7)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ are interconnected with secondary weak XBs between the Br2 and the adjacent polymer Br-Cu, extending the polymers into 2-D network as shown in Fig. 5a-c. The $\text{X5} \cdots \text{Br}$ halogen bond distances with R_{XB} 's 0.99, 0.95 and 0.92 are longer than the corresponding values observed in $(1)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(2)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(3)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ complexes. The $\text{C5-F5} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ distances in $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ are above the sum of the vdW radii [3.32 Å], and cannot be regarded as halogen bonds. However, their relative positioning in the crystal lattice is caused due to favourable electrostatic interactions. To rationalize $\text{C-X} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ contacts, X-ray crystal structure coordinates of 1:2 metal-ligand complexes were used to calculate electrostatic potential surfaces for the X2- and X5 substituents [see ESI].^[18] The X2 and X5 substituents, notably chlorides, in modelled free ligands [Fig. 2 and 4] show more pronounced positive potential compared to Cu(II) complexes [see ESI, Fig. S3-S9]. Non-covalent electrostatic interactions can either be strong or act at long range, and are overlooked due to difficulty in visualizing clear surface potentials. The isostructural packing in $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, can be used to view ligand alignments for short and long range contacts. In $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, the formally negatively charged bromide of Cu-Br and aromatic C5-carbon of C5-F5 exhibit $\text{Cu-Br} \cdots \text{C}(\pi)$ contacts with $R_{\text{XB}} = 0.98$ [3.485 Å]. The halogen $\cdots \text{C}(\pi)$ interaction in $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, and similarly short contacts observed in other

FULL PAPER

Figure 5. Perspective view of one dimensional halogen bonded polymers in (a) $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, (b) $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, (c) $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and (d) $(7)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$. The black dotted lines represent the halogen bonds.

structures were analysed using Hirshfeld surfaces.^[19] The red-hot spots as an indication of short contacts between halogens and π -carbons were depicted in Fig. S10 [See Supporting Information]. The $\text{C2-X2} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ and $\text{C5-X5} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ XB distances are dependent on electronegativity of X2 and X5 substituents. For example, the more electronegative Cl2 in $(1)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(2)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(3)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ causes shortening of $\text{C5-X5} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ distances by electron-withdrawing effect. Similar behaviour can be observed in $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, i.e., the more electronegative F5 shortens $\text{C2-X2} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ contacts. The $\text{C2-X2} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ and $\text{C5-X5} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ XB distances in $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$, $(6)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ and $(7)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ are similar, due to relatively similar electronegativity of X2 and X5 substituents and simultaneous competition for halogen bonds. This further explains the longer $\text{C2-Br2} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ distances in $(5)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ [$R_{\text{XB}} = 0.96$] compared to $(2\text{-BrPy})_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ [$R_{\text{XB}} = 0.94$] despite the more electronegative Cl5. In contrast, the more electronegative Cl2 has a significant influence on $\text{C5-Br5} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ XBs in $(4)_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$.

Conclusions

The modulation of the $\text{C-X} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ halogen bonds and $\text{C-X} \cdots \text{Cu}$ interactions using a series of 2,5-dihalopyridines have been demonstrated in 2,5-dihalopyridine-copper(II) bromide complexes. Compared to previously published mono-substituted halopyridines, the di-substituted halopyridines have been proven to act as better synthons to understanding the electronic donor-acceptor properties in solid-state. The discrete 1:2 metal-ligand

complexes form 1-D polymer chains through halogen bonds between the halogen X2 or X5 substituent (donor) and copper-bound bromide anion (acceptor). In $(2\text{-chloro-5-halopyridine})_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ complexes, only X5 forms halogen bonds. However, in $(2\text{-bromo-5-halopyridine})_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ both X2 and X5 substituents form $\text{C-X} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ halogen bonds. The more electronegative F5 in $(2\text{-bromo-5-fluoropyridine})_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ is XB passive but polarizes the Br_2 to form $\text{Br}_2 \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ halogen bonds. The $\text{C5-X5} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ halogen bond angles for the $(2,5\text{-dihalopyridine})_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ complexes are closer to 180° when compared to the previously reported $\text{C3-X3} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ in $(3\text{-halopyridine})_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ complexes. The $\text{C2-X2} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ and $\text{C5-X5} \cdots \text{Br-Cu}$ halogen bonds are of similar strength in $(2\text{-bromo-5-halopyridine})_2 \cdot \text{CuBr}_2$ complexes due to competition of both X2 and X5 for halogen bonds. All complexes exhibit pseudo-octahedral $\text{C2-X2} \cdots \text{Cu}$ contacts, with stronger interactions for bromide than for chloride.

Experimental Section

General information: All solvents used for crystal growth were reagent grade and are used as received without further purification. The ligands, 2,5-dichloropyridine (1), 5-bromo-2-chloropyridine (2), 2-chloro-5-iodopyridine (3), 2-bromo-5-fluoropyridine (4), 2-bromo-5-chloropyridine (5), 2,5-dibromopyridine (6), 2-bromo-5-iodopyridine (7) and 3-iodopyridine (3IPy) were purchased from TCI Chemicals Europe, and copper(II) bromide from Sigma Aldrich. Infrared spectra were recorded using Bruker Tensor 27 FTIR spectrometer in attenuated total reflection (ATR) mode. See Supporting Information Figs. S11 – S18 for IR spectra.

FULL PAPER

General crystallization procedure: To a solution of CuBr_2 (0.067 mmol) in acetonitrile (1.0 ml), was added respective 2,5-dihalopyridine (0.134 mmol) dissolved in acetonitrile (0.5 ml) at room temperature. In case of precipitation, the samples were sonicated to clear solutions. The solutions were left in dark at room temperature, and subjected to slow evaporation to give single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis.

(1) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$: IR ν_{max} cm $^{-1}$: 3070, 2918, 2552, 1678, 1581, 1452, 1417, 1323, 1286, 1180, 1100, 913, 803, 702, 664, 542, 430.

(2) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$: IR ν_{max} cm $^{-1}$: 3088, 1549, 1450, 1410, 1357, 1233, 1109, 1096, 1042, 912, 827, 717, 661, 516, 498, 438.

(3) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$: IR ν_{max} cm $^{-1}$: 3082, 1558, 1443, 1346, 1130, 1021, 906, 817, 715, 643, 493, 483, 414.

(4) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$: IR ν_{max} cm $^{-1}$: 3088, 2388, 1578, 1456, 1373, 1267, 1229, 1097, 1031, 832, 711, 601, 528, 439, 430.

(5) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$: IR ν_{max} cm $^{-1}$: 3030, 1575, 1468, 1436, 1397, 1358, 1271, 1127, 1109, 1086, 1003, 913, 829, 754, 716, 624, 484.

(6) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$: IR ν_{max} cm $^{-1}$: 3086, 1569, 1466, 1401, 1354, 1278, 1231, 1124, 1101, 1085, 1041, 907, 827, 754, 713, 659, 495, 435.

(7) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$: IR ν_{max} cm $^{-1}$: 3078, 1557, 1438, 1384, 1343, 1279, 1217, 1133, 1095, 1073, 1040, 1017, 904, 815, 708, 659, 640, 477, 409.

(3IPy) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$: IR ν_{max} cm $^{-1}$: 3052, 1584, 1460, 1417, 1407, 1324, 1223, 1193, 1120, 1042, 1030, 915, 790, 685, 648, 527, 450, 409.

Crystal structure determination: The X-ray single crystal data and experimental details for data collections are given in Supporting Information Table S1 and S2. Single-crystal X-ray data for (1) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$, (2) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$, (3) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$, (4) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$, (5) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$, (6) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$ and (7) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$, were measured on a Bruker-Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer equipped with an APEX-II CCD detector using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α (λ = 0.71073 Å) radiation. The data for (3IPy) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$ was measured at 120.0 K using a Rigaku SuperNova dual-source Oxford diffractometer equipped with an Atlas detector using mirror-monochromated Cu-K α (λ = 1.54184 Å) radiation. The data collection and reduction for (3IPy) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$ were performed using the program *CrysAlisPro*.²⁰ For the data obtained from Bruker Nonius Kappa diffractometer were performed using the program COLLECT²¹ and HKL DENZO AND SCALEPACK.²² The gaussian face index absorption correction method²⁰ was used for (3IPy) $_2$ ·CuBr $_2$. The intensities for data collected using Bruker Nonius Kappa diffractometer were corrected for absorption using SADABS²³ with multi-scan absorption correction type method. All structures were solved with direct methods (SHELXS)²⁴ and refined by full-matrix least squares on F^2 using the OLEX2 software²⁵, which utilizes the SHELXL-2013 module.²⁴

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Academy of Finland (RP: grant no. 298817) and the University of Jyväskylä.

Keywords: halogen bond • halopyridine • copper(II) complexes • X-ray crystallography • intermolecular interactions

- [1] (a) G. A. Jeffrey, *An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding*, Oxford University Press, New York and Oxford, **1997**; (b) G. R. Desiraju, T. Steiner, *The Weak Hydrogen Bond: In Structural Chemistry and Biology*, International union of Crystallography, Monographs on Crystallography, Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York, **2001**; (c) G. Gilli, P. Gilli, *The*

- Nature of the Hydrogen Bond: Outline of a Comprehensive Hydrogen Bond Theory*, OUP Oxford, New York, **2009**; (d) I. Haiduc, F. T. Edelmann, *Supramolecular Organometallic Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2008**.
- [2] (a) L. C. Gilday, S. W. Robinson, T. A. Barendt, M. J. Langton, B. R. Mullaney, P. D. Beer, *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 7118–7195; (b) H. Wang, W. Wang, W. J. Jin, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 5072–5104; (c) G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo, R. Milani, T. Pilati, A. Priimagi, G. Resnati, G. Terraneo, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 2478–2601;
- [3] (a) A. M. Maharramov, K. T. Mahmudov, M. N. Kopylovich, A. J. L. Pombeiro, *Non-Covalent Interactions in the Synthesis and Design of New Compounds*, Wiley-VCH, **2016**. (b) Troff, R. W.; Mäkelä, T.; Topic, F.; Valkonen, A.; Raatikainen, K.; Rissanen, K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *2013*, 1617–1637. 456. (c) Rissanen, K. *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10*, 1107–1113. 457. (d) L. Brammer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, *33*, 476–489.
- [4] (a) G. R. Desiraju, P. S. Ho, L. Ko, A. C. Legon, R. Marquardt, P. Metrangolo, P. Politzer, G. Resnati and K. Rissanen, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2013**, *85*, 1711; (b) P. Metrangolo, G. Resnati, *IUCrJ*, **2014**, *1*, 5–7.
- [5] (a) P. Metrangolo, Y. Carcenac, M. Lahtinen, T. Pilati, K. Rissanen, A. Vij and G. Resnati, *Science*, **2009**, *323*, 1461–1464; (b) A. Abate, M. Brischetto, G. Cavallo, M. Lahtinen, P. Metrangolo, T. Pilati, S. Radice, G. Resnati, K. Rissanen and G. Terraneo, *Chem. Commun.*, **2010**, *46*, 2724–2726; (c) M. Müller, M. Albrecht, V. Gossen, T. Peters, A. Hoffmann, G. Raabe, A. Valkonen and K. Rissanen, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2010**, *16*, 12446–12453.
- [6] (a) M. H. Kolář, P. Hobza, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 5155–5187 (reference therein); (b) F. F. Awwadi, R. D. Willett, K. A. Peterson, B. Twamley, *Chem. – A Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 8952–8960 (reference therein); (c) K. Riley, J. Murray, J. Fanfrlík, J. Řezáč, R. Solá, M. Concha, F. Ramos, P. Politzer, *J. Mol. Model.* **2011**, *17*, 3309–3318; (d) P. Politzer, J. S. Murray, *ChemPhysChem* **2013**, *14*, 278–294; (e) P. Politzer, J. S. Murray, T. Clark, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 11178–11189; (f) P. Politzer, J. S. Murray, T. Clark, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 11178–11189; (g) T. Clark, P. Politzer, J. S. Murray, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2015**, *5*, 169–177; (h) P. Politzer, K. E. Riley, F. A. Bulat, J. S. Murray, *Comput. Theor. Chem.* **2012**, *998*, 2–8.
- [7] (a) R. L. Shook, A. S. Borovik, *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 3646–3660 (reference therein); (b) S. A. Cook, A. S. Borovik, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2407–2414.
- [8] (a) F. Zordan, L. Brammer, P. Sherwood, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 5979–5989; (b) F. Zordan, L. Brammer, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2006**, *6*, 1374–1379.
- [9] (a) F. Zordan, S. L. Purver, H. Adams, L. Brammer, *CrystEngComm* **2005**, *7*, 350–354; (b) L. Brammer, G. Minguez Espallargas, H. Adams, *CrystEngComm* **2003**, *5*, 343–345; (c) G. Minguez Espallargas, L. Brammer, P. Sherwood, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 435–440.
- [10] (a) M. T. Johnson, Z. Džolić, M. Cetina, O. F. Wendt, L. Öhrström, K. Rissanen, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2012**, *12*, 362–368; (b) B. N. Ghosh, M. Lahtinen, E. Kalenius, P. Mal, K. Rissanen, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2016**, *16*, 2527–2534.
- [11] (a) F. Awwadi, R. D. Willett, B. Twamley, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2011**, *11*, 5316–5323; (b) F. Awwadi, R. D. Willett, S. F. Haddad, B. Twamley, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2006**, *6*, 1833–1838.
- [12] L. Yang, D. R. Powell, R. P. Houser, *Dalton Trans.* **2007**, 955–964
- [13] (a) The Cambridge Structural database 2018 [updated December 2017], ConQuest version 1.19; (b) I. J. Bruno, J. C. Cole, P. R. Edgington, M. Kessler, C. F. Macrae, P. McCabe, J. Pearson, R. Taylor, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B* **2002**, *58*, 389–397.
- [14] R. Puttreddy, O. Jurček, S. Bhowmik, T. Mäkelä, K. Rissanen, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 2338–2341.
- [15] A. Bondi, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1964**, *68*, 441–451
- [16] F. F. Awwadi, R. D. Willett, B. Twamley, M. M. Turnbull, C. P. Landee, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2015**, *15*, 3746–3754.
- [17] F. F. Awwadi, S. F. Haddad, M. M. Turnbull, C. P. Landee, R. D. Willett, *CrystEngComm* **2013**, *15*, 3111–3118.
- [18] *Spartan'16* (version 2.0.8), Wavefunction, Inc., Irvine, USA..

FULL PAPER

- [19] (a) M. A. Spackman, D. Jayatilaka, *CrystEngComm* **2009**, *11*, 19–32; (b) J. J. McKinnon, M. A. Spackman, A. S. Mitchell, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B* **2004**, *60*, 627–668; (c) M. A. Spackman, J. J. McKinnon, D. Jayatilaka, *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10*, 377–388.
- [20] *Rigaku Oxford Diffraction 2017, CrysAlisPro Version 1.171.38.43.*
- [21] Bruker AXS BV, Madison, WI, USA; 1997–2004.
- [22] Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, *Methods Enzymol.* **1997**, *276*, 307–326.
- [23] R. H. Blessing, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **1997**, *30*, 421–426.
- [24] (a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.* **2008**, *A64*, 112–122; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.* **2015**, *A71*, 3–8.
- [25] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. J. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341.

FULL PAPER

Entry for the Table of Contents

Layout 2:

FULL PAPER

Halogen Bonding

Rakesh Puttreddy*, Carolina von Essen
and Kari Rissanen*

Page No. – Page No.

Halogen Bonds in Square Planar 2,5-
Dihalopyridine-Copper(II) Bromide
Complexes

Table of contents text: 2,5-Dihalopyridine-copper(II) bromide complexes were investigated aiming to understand the mutual polarization of X2 on X5 and *vice versa*, and their effect on C–X2/X5···Br–Cu halogen bond distances in solid-state X-ray crystal structures. In this approach, the change in C–X2/X5···Br–Cu halogen bond distances due to X2-/X5-substituent effects are evaluated by comparing with C–X2/X3···Br–Cu halogen bonds in (2-X-pyridine)₂•CuBr₂ (X = Cl and Br) and (3-X-pyridine)₂•CuBr₂ (X = Cl, Br and I) complexes, respectively. Our results reveal, in (2-chloro-5-X-pyridine)₂•CuBr₂ (X = Cl, Br and I), only the X5, and in (2-bromo-5-X-pyridine)₂•CuBr₂ (X = Cl, Br and I) both, X2- and X5, form C–X···Br–Cu halogen bonds. Several other interesting results such as predominant square planar Cu(II) centers and unique C–X2···Cu interactions are reported as a part of our structure-property study that utilizes C–X···Br–Cu halogen bonds.