This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint *may differ* from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Author(s): Knott, Jackson P.; Hänninen, Mikko M.; Rautiainen, Mikko; Tuononen, Heikki; Hayes, Paul G.

Title: Insights into the decomposition pathway of a lutetium alkylamido complex via intramolecular C–H bond activation

Year: 2017

Version:

Please cite the original version:

Knott, J. P., Hänninen, M. M., Rautiainen, M., Tuononen, H., & Hayes, P. G. (2017). Insights into the decomposition pathway of a lutetium alkylamido complex via intramolecular C–H bond activation. *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry*, 845, 135-143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jorganchem.2017.04.008>

All material supplied via JYX is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Insights into the decomposition pathway of a lutetium alkylamido complex *via* intramolecular C–H bond activation

Jackson P. Knott^a, Mikko M. Hänninen^{a,b}, J. Mikko Rautiainen^b, Heikki M. Tuononen^b, Paul G. Hayes^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Lethbridge, 4401 University Drive, Lethbridge, AB Canada, T1K 3M4

^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014, Jyväskylä, Finland

ABSTRACT: Synthesis, characterization and reaction chemistry of lutetium alkylamido $\text{LLu}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)(\text{NHCPh}_3)$ (**2**), $\text{L} = 2,5\text{-}[\text{Ph}_2\text{P}=\text{N}(4\text{-}^i\text{PrC}_6\text{H}_4)]_2\text{NH}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_2)$, is reported. Complex **2** undergoes cyclometalation of the NHCPh_3 ligand at elevated temperatures to produce the orthometalated complex **3**, $\text{LLu}(\kappa^2\text{-N,C-}(\text{NHCPh}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)))$ which converts to 0.5 equivalents of bis(amido) $\text{LLu}(\text{NHCPh}_3)_2$ (**4**), upon heating at 80 °C for 24 hours. Reaction of complex **2** with 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) does not promote alkane elimination nor imido formation. A kinetic analysis of the thermal decomposition of complex **2**, supported by deuterium labelling studies and computational analysis (PBE0/def2-TZVP/SDD(Lu)), indicate direct $\text{C}_{\text{sp}^2}\text{-H}$ activation, rather than C–H addition across a transient $\text{LLu}=\text{NCPH}_3$ species, occurs.

Terminal imido complexes ($\text{LM}=\text{NR}$) of transition metals and actinides have received considerable attention in recent decades because of their versatile catalytic and group transfer properties.¹ The striking paucity of analogous compounds that feature a rare earth (group III and lanthanides) metal centre has prompted extensive efforts to isolate examples of this elusive class of compound.² Interest in this area increased in 2003 when Hessen proposed a terminal imido intermediate in the reaction of a scandium diene complex with benzonitrile.³ While that ephemeral species ($\text{C}_5\text{H}_4(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{Sc}=\text{N}(\text{C}(\text{Ph})\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{Me})=\text{C}(\text{Me})\text{CH}_2)$) was neither isolated nor spectroscopically observed, Mindiola and co-workers provided compelling evidence for a transient scandium imido functionality in 2008.⁴ The formation of $[2\text{-P}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{-4-MeC}_6\text{H}_3]_2\text{NSc}=\text{N}(2,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)(\text{NC}_5\text{H}_5)$ was supported by intermolecular $\text{C}_{\text{sp}^2}\text{-H}$ bond activation of aromatic solvents and complemented by complexation with $\text{Al}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ to afford $[2\text{-P}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{-4-MeC}_6\text{H}_3]_2\text{NSc}=\text{N}(2,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)(\text{Al}(\text{CH}_3)_3)$ in 45% yield. Subsequent work reported intriguing reaction chemistry utilizing a tautomerization process between a pyridyl-anilide complex and a pyridine-imido species, wherein various pyridine derivatives were activated and functionalized.⁵ Following these seminal contributions, the first structurally characterized terminal rare earth imido complex was reported by Chen *et al.* in 2010.⁶ Since then, only a handful of papers describing these exceptional compounds have been published.^{5b,7}

Despite the limited amount of research performed hitherto, terminal scandium imido species have displayed remarkable reactivity with a large selection of small molecules. Notably, these complexes have been shown to transform various unsaturated compounds into useful synthetic building blocks,^{7b,7g} in addition to readily reacting with main group fragments^{7i,j} and transition metal complexes.^{7c}

While impressive in their initial scope, a general mechanistic understanding of the formation of the $\text{LM}=\text{NR}$ ($\text{M} = \text{Sc}, \text{Y}, \text{Lu}$) motif

has not been realized. For example, alkane elimination from an alkylamido complex (resulting in an imido functionality) is not typically observed in the absence of internal or external Lewis base.^{7e,8} This result was highlighted by Piers and co-workers when a scandium alkylamido complex, $\text{L}_{\text{nacnac}}\text{Sc}(\text{NHDipp})(\text{CH}_3)$, $\text{L}_{\text{nacnac}} = (\text{DippNC}(\text{tBu})\text{CHC}(\text{tBu})\text{NDipp})$, $\text{Dipp} = 2,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3$, underwent cyclometalation of a Dipp isopropyl group upon thermolysis at 90 °C. However, the presence of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) was found to stimulate N–H bond activation, resulting in the spectroscopically characterized imido complex $\text{L}_{\text{nacnac}}\text{Sc}=\text{NDipp}(\text{DMAP})$.^{7e} Furthermore, the only external Lewis bases that have proven useful for initiating imido formation have been pyridine derivatives, with DMAP being employed in the majority of structurally characterized examples.^{6,7a,c-f} Notably though, a β -diketiminato ancillary ligand bearing a tethered dimethylamino group has been demonstrated to promote imido formation under mild conditions.^{7b,j} While all of the aforementioned examples are limited to scandium, it is noteworthy that Anwender recently reported terminal lutetium and yttrium imido species $(\text{Tp}^{\text{tBu,Me}})\text{Y}=\text{N}(2,6\text{-Me}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)(\text{DMAP})$, $(\text{Tp}^{\text{tBu,Me}})\text{Lu}=\text{N}(3,5\text{-}(\text{CF}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3)(\text{DMAP})$ that exhibit very short $\text{M}=\text{N}$ bond distances.⁹ Albeit a preliminary milestone, this remarkable contribution has demonstrated that the imido bonding motif is accessible for rare earth metals larger than scandium.

All isolated and spectroscopically characterized complexes of the form $\text{LM}=\text{NR}$ ($\text{M} = \text{Sc}, \text{Y}, \text{Lu}$) feature an aromatic group bound to the imido nitrogen, with the most common being Dipp. Due to the linear nature of the $\text{Sc}=\text{NR}$ bond, overlap of the Dipp π -symmetric orbitals with the antibonding orbitals of the multiply bound NR ligand might occur, which would decrease the $\text{Sc}=\text{NR}$ bond order, and could thus be a contributing factor to the paucity of such compounds in the literature. With this in mind, this work utilizes a nitrogenous ligand bearing an aliphatic CPh_3 moiety *in lieu* of a traditional aromatic substituent.

Previously, we reported a thermally stable dialkyl lutetium complex which was supported by a bis(phosphinimine)pyrrole ligand, $\text{LLu}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ (**1**), $\text{L} = 2,5\text{-}[\text{Ph}_2\text{P}=\text{N}(4\text{-}^i\text{PrC}_6\text{H}_4)]_2\text{NH}(\text{C}_4\text{H}_2)$.¹⁰ This complex has been shown to resist thermal decomposition (80 °C) unlike our previously utilized bis(phosphinimine)carbazole framework.^{11,12} Rare earth complexes supported by the carbazole scaffold underwent spontaneous self-destructive cyclometalation at the *P*- and *N*-bound groups of the phosphinimine donors. As such, we have chosen to employ our pyrrole-based ligand in a strategic attempt to generate a robust $\text{Lu}=\text{N}$ functionality. Herein, we report the synthesis and thermolysis of a lutetium imido precursor, along with deuterium labelling, kinetic and computational studies that shed light upon its observed chemical reactivity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Scheme 1. Formation of $\text{LLu}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)(\text{NHCPH}_3)$ (**2**).

Reaction of dialkyl complex $\text{LLu}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ (**1**) with one equivalent of Ph_3CNH_2 for one hour at ambient temperature in toluene solution afforded the desired alkylamido complex $\text{LLu}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)(\text{NHCPH}_3)$ (**2**) as a white powder isolated in 98% yield, (Scheme 1). This transformation was supported by the emergence of a new signal in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum at δ 23.8, along with concomitant disappearance of a resonance at δ 25.1 corresponding to $\text{LLu}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$. Corroborating evidence was also observed in the ^1H NMR spectrum as one equivalent of SiMe_4 (δ 0.00) was produced in the reaction mixture. In addition, a broad singlet ($\text{N}-\text{H}$) resonating at δ 2.93, which did not give rise to any cross-peaks in either the $^1\text{H}-^1\text{H}$ COSY or $^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}$ HSQC spectra, gradually appeared over the course of the reaction, eventually integrating as 1H. Although complex **2** is thermally stable at ambient temperature as a solid for short periods it steadily decomposes in solution (even at -35°C) to 0.5 equivalents of $\text{LLu}(\text{NHCPH}_3)_2$ (**4**) and several unidentified metal containing species. Hence, despite exhaustive efforts, we were unable to obtain X-ray quality crystals or analytically pure samples of complex **2**.

Scheme 2. Experimentally Observed Decomposition of Complex **2**

Thermolysis for 24 hours at 80°C resulted in complete consumption of complex **2** and generation of one dominant product, complex **4**, which appears as a singlet at δ 21.7 in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum. The formation of one equivalent of SiMe_4 (δ 0.00) and concomitant disappearance of the $\text{N}-\text{H}$ signal (δ 2.93) from **2** were also observed in the ^1H NMR spectrum. In addition, a new singlet, which emerged at δ 2.70 and integrated as 2H, was assigned as the $\text{N}-\text{H}$ of **4**. Intriguingly, careful spectroscopic monitoring of this reaction revealed the formation of a reaction intermediate, **3** (*vide infra*), which resonates at δ 25.0 in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra. This intermediate began converting to complex **4** before quantitative consumption of the alkylamido starting material (complex **2**) was observed and, despite thorough attempts, we were unable to isolate it in pure form. In solution complex **3** exhibits spectra consistent with C_s symmetry on the NMR timescale and is thus unlikely to be a metallocycle complex resulting from a single *P*- or *N*-aryl $\text{C}_{\text{sp}^2}\text{-H}$

bond activation, which we have previously observed with our bis(phosphinimine)carbazole ligand scaffolds (*vide supra*).¹¹⁻¹³

Notably, a weak, but diagnostic resonance at δ 198.0 in the $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum is consistent with previously observed C_{sp^2} atoms bound to lutetium,¹²⁻¹⁴ implying that complex **2** decomposes via *ortho*-metalation of one of the phenyl rings of the triphenylmethyl-amido ligand to afford cyclometalated complex **3** $\text{LLu}(\kappa^2\text{-N,C}, (\text{NHCPH}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)))$, (Scheme 2). Due to the similarity of the diffusion coefficient ($4.17(2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) for complex **3**, obtained *via* a DOSY NMR experiment, to that of complexes **2** ($5.62(2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) and **4** ($8.71(2) \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$), we have ruled out the possibility that intermediate **3** exists as a dinuclear species in solution. Notably, after decomposition of complex **2**, the diamido product, complex **4**, comprises only 50% of the phosphorus-containing species. Accordingly, the remaining unidentified and intractable by-products rendered it impossible to isolate complex **3** as an analytically pure material, and is the logical source for the production of Ph_3CNH_2 needed for the formation of complex **4** (*vide infra*). Thus, in an effort to lend support to our spectroscopic data and corroborate the proposed identity of the NCPh_3 cyclometalated **3**, we undertook a computational study (*vide infra*) on the decomposition of alkylamido **2**.

Scheme 3. Possible Mechanisms for the Formation of Complex **3**.

Deuterium Labelling Study

Stirring Ph_3CNH_2 with a catalytic amount of 35% DCl in D_2O for 10 minutes at ambient temperature proved an effective manner to

produce Ph_3CND_2 with approximately 95% deuterium incorporation at the amine functionality. $\text{LLu}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)(\text{NDCPh}_3)$ (**2-d**) was formed by the same synthetic procedure as that used to prepare complex **2**, with the exception that a much longer reaction time (24 h vs. 1 h), likely due to a large kinetic isotope effect (KIE), was required. As depicted in Scheme 3, two plausible pathways exist for the formation of complex **3**: 1) direct $\text{C}_{\text{sp}^2}\text{-H}$ bond activation of the triphenylmethylamido ligand (Pathway 1); or 2) N-H bond activation leading to a terminal imido intermediate (Pathway 2) which undergoes $\text{C}_{\text{sp}^2}\text{-H}$ addition across the reactive $\text{Lu}=\text{N}$ functionality.

The thermal decomposition of complex **2** was quantitatively monitored to three half-lives over a broad range of temperatures (343 K to 373 K). The reaction was established to be first order in [2] with observed rate constants ranging from $6.84(3) \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $1.38(4) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for complex **2**, and $7.79(5) \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $1.63(2) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for **2-d** (Figure 1), providing an averaged $k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{D}}$ of 1.3 (Table 1). This low value is consistent with a secondary KIE and would be expected if Pathway 1 were operative as the N-H(D) bond remains intact throughout the entire process. Additionally, no evidence for the formation of *d*₁-SiMe₄, as would be the case if the reaction proceeded according to Pathway 2, was observed by either ¹H or ²H NMR spectroscopy.

Table 1. Observed Rate Constants for the Thermal Decomposition of Complexes **2** and **2-d**

T (K)	$k_{\text{H}} (\text{s}^{-1})$	$k_{\text{D}} (\text{s}^{-1})$	$k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{D}}$
343	$6.84(3) \times 10^{-6}$	$7.79(5) \times 10^{-6}$	1.2
353	$2.32(4) \times 10^{-5}$	$3.74(4) \times 10^{-5}$	1.6
363	$4.88(3) \times 10^{-5}$	$6.44(6) \times 10^{-5}$	1.3
373	$1.38(4) \times 10^{-4}$	$1.63(2) \times 10^{-4}$	1.2

Fig 1. Top: First order plots of the thermal decomposition of complex **2** at various temperatures; Bottom: Eyring plot for the thermal decomposition of complexes **2** and **2-d**

An Eyring plot was constructed from the aforementioned rate data allowing for the extraction of activation parameters $\Delta H^\ddagger = 24(1) \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = -12.0(1) \text{ e.u.}$ for complex **2** and $\Delta H^\ddagger = 23(1) \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger = -12.2(5) \text{ e.u.}$ for **2-d** for this transformation. As expected if Pathway 1 were operative, the activation parameters for **2** and **2-d** are the same within experimental error. The large negative entropy of activation, which is similar to a previous examples of aryl group cyclometalation in organolutetium complexes,^{12,13} suggests a highly ordered transition state, consistent with a σ -bond metathesis process. Notably, these experimentally determined values closely match those determined computationally (**2**: $\Delta H^\ddagger = 26.4 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta S^\ddagger = -15.1 \text{ e.u.}$; **2-d**: $\Delta H^\ddagger = 26.3 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta S^\ddagger = -12.4 \text{ e.u.}$).

Solid-state structure of complex **4**

Complex **4** was independently synthesized as a white solid in 77% yield by reaction of $\text{LLu}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ with 2 equivalents of Ph_3CNH_2 in toluene solution for 22 h at ambient temperature. Complex **4** was recrystallized from a 5:3 toluene:heptane mixture at -35°C which gave colourless X-ray quality needles from which the solid-state structure was determined (Figure 2).

Fig. 2. Thermal ellipsoid plot (30% probability) of complex **4** with hydrogen atoms (except amido hydrogens H4 and H5), isopropyl groups and non-ipso carbons of the N5 CPh₃ group omitted for clarity.

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for Complex **4**

Bond distances (Å)		Bond angles (°)	
Lu1–N1	2.293(4)	N1–Lu1–N4	107.0(1)
Lu1–N2	2.453(3)	N1–Lu1–C78	106.8(1)
Lu1–N3	2.397(3)	N2–Lu1–N3	143.7(1)
Lu1–N4	2.144(4)	N4–Lu1–N5	118.3(1)
Lu1–N5	2.143(3)	P1–N2–C17	118.9(3)
P1–N2	1.620(4)	P2–N3–C38	121.3(3)
P2–N3	1.599(4)		

Complex **4**, which crystallized in the triclinic *P*-1 space group, exhibits a coordination environment about lutetium that is best described as distorted square pyramidal ($\tau_5 = 0.16$). The ancillary *NNN*-pincer ligand (**L**) is coordinated in a planar fashion, occupying three of the pyramid base sites. The remaining base position is filled by one of the bulky triphenylmethylamido ligands (N5). Selected bond lengths and angles are reported in Table 2. At 2.453(3) and 2.397(3) the respective Lu–N2 and Lu–N3 bond distances are

slightly longer than those found in complex **1** [2.364(2) and 2.332(3)], likely as a consequence of the sterically demanding amido groups. All other geometrical parameters closely match those of dialkyl **1**.¹⁰ Interestingly, the Lu–N4 and Lu–N5 bonds lengths of 2.144(4) and 2.143(3) Å, respectively, are similar to other Lu–NHR distances and slightly shorter than the average Lu–NHR bond length (2.194 Å),¹⁵ which is supportive of our hypothesis that amido ligands bearing aliphatic substituents may form stronger Lu–N bonds than their aromatic counterparts. This difference is even more striking when one considers the great steric demand imparted by the bulky CPh₃ groups.

Reactivity of complex **2** with 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP)

Since the presence of a Lewis base appears to be a key factor in generating terminal imido species from the requisite alkylamido complexes, we explored the reaction chemistry of complex **2** with varying stoichiometries of DMAP. Over the course of 24 h at ambient temperature, a slow reaction between **2** and 1 equivalent of DMAP led to the formation of 0.5 equivalents of bisamide **4**, as well as unidentified contaminants, similar to the decomposition of complex **2** in the absence of DMAP. When the reactants were heated at 80 °C for 24 h, an intractable mixture containing a significant proportion (46%) of complex **4** was obtained. Upon repeating the experiment (80 °C for 24 h) using an excess (4 equivalents) of DMAP a slightly broader distribution of undisclosed products prevailed, but complex **4** remained the predominant species (25%). The analogous substoichiometric reaction (0.5 equivalents of DMAP) also resulted in the production of LLu(NHCPh₃)₂, albeit at a notably retarded rate. In order to reach completion, the reaction had to be heated at 70 °C for 168 h.

The mechanism for the formation of **4** (from complex **2**) in the presence of DMAP remains unknown. While a ligand redistribution process may seem plausible, at no point during the reaction was the thermally robust dialkyl LLu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂ (or LLu=NCPH₃) observed by either ¹H or ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. Similarly, no direct evidence for the formation of complex **3**, LLu(κ²-N,C-(NHCPh₂(C₆H₄))), was obtained throughout the duration of the reaction. However, given that ¹H NMR spectroscopy indicated the liberation of SiMe₄ (δ 0.00), it is conceivable that the orthometalated compound **3** formed, only to rapidly decompose into a myriad of products in the presence of DMAP.

Computational studies

As mentioned previously, we have been unable to isolate intermediate **3** as a pure compound; hence, it seemed prudent to corroborate our experimental data with computational studies. To alleviate computational demand we have truncated our system such that *p*-phenyl groups have been replaced with methyl substituents and 4-ⁱPrC₆H₄ (Pipp) with phenyl. Preliminary mapping of decomposition routes was performed with potential energy surface (PES) scans at the PBE0/SVP/SDD(Lu) level. Final transition state and intermediate structures have been calculated at the PBE0/def2-TZVP/SDD(Lu) level of theory.

Fig 3. Calculated conformers for **2'**.

Since the solid state structure of complex **2** (*i.e.* an X-ray crystal structure) was not available, work initiated with construction of a

conformation based upon the solid-state geometry of complex **4**, wherein one of the NHCPh₃ ligands was substituted with a CH₂SiMe₃ group. Notably, this procedure yielded two conformers, **2'-G1** and **2'-G2**, that can interconvert by rotation of the amido and alkyl groups about the Lu–N and Lu–C bonds, respectively (Figure 3). The distinguishing difference between the two structures is the proximity of the CPh₃ groups to the lutetium centre. According to calculations, the shortest distance from a C_{sp2}–H to the CH₂SiMe₃ methylene carbon in **2'-G1** is only 3.282 Å, whereas in **2'-G2** the corresponding distance is 4.481 Å. Hence, it is reasonable to expect that **2'-G1** is more prone to C–H bond activation and cyclometallation. Furthermore, calculations indicate that **2'-G1** is approximately 1.5 kcal·mol^{–1} lower in energy than **2'-G2**. Given the similarity of the energies of the **2'** conformations and their structural relationship, **2'-G1** has been used in the following analysis as a starting point for decompositions routes.

PES scans of pathway 1 for the formation of **3'** from **2'-G1**, combined with subsequent optimizations, suggested a plausible seven-coordinate stationary point structure corresponding to the transition state. The identity of the stationary point as the sought-after transition state (**2'-TS**) was confirmed by the sole imaginary vibration (–1221 cm^{–1}) corresponding to the proton transfer from an aromatic carbon to the methylene carbon. The overall reaction energy profile is presented in Figure 4. According to the calculations, the reaction is endothermic (Δ*H* = 9.0 kcal·mol^{–1}) but slightly exergonic (Δ*G* = –0.9 kcal·mol^{–1}) with an activation barrier of Δ*G*[‡] = 30.9 kcal·mol^{–1}. These results agree well with the experimental observation that cyclometallation of **2** only proceeds to completion after a prolonged period (24 h) at high temperature (80 °C). The insignificant energy difference (within the error for computations) for the transition state with proteo and deuterated amide ligands also support the small KIE observed experimentally (*vide supra*).

Fig. 4. Energy profile (kcal·mol^{–1}) of the cyclometallation and subsequent decomposition of complex **2'-G1**.

The optimized geometry of **3'** is presented in Figure 5. The coordination sphere about lutetium consists of the three nitrogen atoms of the bis(phosphinimine)pyrrole ligand (N1, N2 and N3), the amido nitrogen (N4) and the cyclometalated aromatic carbon (C1). The coordination geometry is best described as distorted trigonal bipyramidal (τ₅ = 0.63), and the geometrical parameters are in good agreement with both the solid-state structure of complex **1** and previously reported cyclometalated lutetium complexes.^{12–14} For example, Lu–C bond lengths of seven different structures with similar cyclometalated aromatic groups range from 2.337 to 2.478 Å,^{13,14,16} in the geometry optimized **3'** this distance is 2.363 Å. Furthermore,

the Lu1–C1–C2 (see Figure 5) bond angle of 110.8° also corresponds well with experimental values (106.9–117.1°).^{12–14, 17}

Fig. 5. Structure of **3'** optimized at the PBE0/def2-TZVP/SDD(Lu) level. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Lu1–C1 = 2.363, Lu1–N1 = 2.344, Lu1–N2 = 2.362, Lu1–N3 = 2.374, Lu1–N4 = 2.104; Lu1–C1–C2 = 110.8, N1–Lu1–C1 = 168.1, N1–Lu1–N4 = 92.9, N2–Lu1–N3 = 130.4.

The second phase of the decomposition reaction of complex **2** involves formation of the bisamido species **4** (Figure 5). Various routes for the formation of complex **4**, such as ligand redistribution and further metalation of **3**, could be considered. Preliminary calculations using the smaller basis set, PBE0/SVP/SDD(Lu), indicated that **3'** is amenable to dimerization. Given that ligand redistribution would be required to liberate the free amine (Ph₃CNH₂) essential for the formation of complex **4**, LLu(NHCPh₃)₂, further metalation of the *P*- or *N*-aryl substituents of the bis(phosphinimine)pyrrole ligand and subsequent formation of higher nuclearity species, as observed previously with this type of ligand, is a likely necessity.^{11–12} Such a species is the most likely intermediate and/or side product in any reaction releasing Ph₃CNH₂. However, due to the size of these systems we were unable to determine energetics for this type of reactivity as the used computational facilities do not allow for the imperative high-level reaction energy calculations needed for such large systems (more than 200 atoms). Because of this practical restriction we concentrated our efforts upon estimating the last energy barrier for the formation of complex **4**. The process was hypothesized to proceed through a metallacycle ring-opening process by reaction with an equivalent of free Ph₃CNH₂ (*vide supra*), as this kind of reactivity has already been observed for related Lu complexes.¹³ Calculation of a transition state for the direct reaction of triphenylmethylamine and **3'** suggests that the activation barrier ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 19.1$ kcal·mol^{–1}) for the formation of **4'** from **3'** is notably lower than the barrier for the preceding cyclometallation reaction ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 30.9$ kcal·mol^{–1}). The lower activation barrier for this second step could explain the experimental difficulties associated with isolating intermediate **3**, as well as the fact that considerable quantities of complex **4** are formed even before all of starting material **2** is completely consumed. Furthermore, the highly exergonic reaction energy ($\Delta G = -17.8$ kcal·mol^{–1}) supports this mechanism for formation of **4**.

Conclusions

In summary, we have prepared a new lutetium complex, LLu(CH₂SiMe₃)(NHCPh₃) (**2**) and studied its thermal decomposition, in the presence and absence of DMAP. Although it was anticipated that the aliphatic CPh₃ group on the amido nitrogen would encourage loss of SiMe₄, along with concomitant formation of the imido complex LLu=NCPH₃, the phenyl substituents proved to be prone to C–H activation, affording orthometalated LLu(κ^2 -N,C-

(NHCPh₂(C₆H₄))) (**3**). Kinetic, deuterium labelling and computational studies indicated that the putative imido species was not a transient intermediate en route to complex **3**. Accordingly, future endeavours to solicit formation of a lutetium imido functionality will target cyclometallation-resistant alkylamido starting materials. In this vein, preliminary evidence suggests that replacing the electron withdrawing *P*-Ph₂ groups with donating *P*-*i*Pr₂ moieties dramatically enhances the thermal stability of analogous lutetium alkylamido complexes. Current efforts are underway to fully explore the reaction chemistry of such molecules.

Experimental details

Manipulation of air- and moisture-sensitive materials and reagents was carried out under an argon atmosphere using vacuum line techniques or in an MBraun glove box. Solvents used for air-sensitive materials were purified using an MBraun solvent purification system (SPS), stored in PTFE-sealed glass vessels over “titanocene” (pentane, benzene, and toluene), and freshly distilled at the time of use. Benzene-*d*₆ was dried over sodium benzophenone ketyl, degassed *via* three freeze–pump–thaw cycles, distilled *in vacuo* and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves in glass bombs under argon. Unless noted, all NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature with a Bruker Avance II NMR spectrometer (300.13 MHz for ¹H, 75.47 MHz for ¹³C, and 121.48 MHz for ³¹P). Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million relative to the external standards SiMe₄ (¹H, ¹³C) and 85% H₃PO₄ (³¹P); residual H-containing species in C₆D₆ (δ 7.16 (¹H), δ 128.39 (¹³C)) were used as internal references. Assignments were aided by the use of ¹³C{¹H}-DEPT-90, ¹³C{¹H}-DEPT-135, ¹H-¹³C{¹H}-HSQC, and ¹H-¹H-COSY experiments (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, sp = septet, m = multiplet, br = broad, ov = overlapping signals). Elemental analyses were performed using an Elemental Vario Microcube instrument. The reagents Lu(CH₂SiMe₃)₃(THF)₂, LLu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂ (**1**) and 2,5-[Ph₂P=N(4-*i*PrC₆H₄)]₂NH(C₄H₉) (HL), were prepared according to literature methods.¹⁸ A solid sample of LiCH₂SiMe₃ was obtained by removal of pentane from a 1.0 M solution purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. A sample of 6.0 M HCl was prepared by dilution of a concentrated solution. All other reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. Ph₃CND₂ was prepared by stirring Ph₃CNH₂ in D₂O with a catalytic amount (~10%) of DCl (35% w/w in D₂O) for 10 min. Unless otherwise specified, reported yields correspond to those obtained for analytically pure samples. When additional purification was required to generate analytically pure compounds for combustion analysis, both the crude and analytical yields are included. In such cases, the reported crude yield corresponds to material that was utilized for successive synthetic steps, and was >98% pure as indicated by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. (N.B. NMR spectra displayed in the ESI were obtained using these “crude” samples.) It should also be noted that when reported, analytical yields are generally artificially low, as excess crystals grown for X-ray diffraction experiments are used for this purpose. Those recrystallizations were not carried out under conditions that maximized yield, but rather, were optimized for the growth of X-ray quality crystals.

LLu(CH₂SiMe₃)(NHCPh₃) (2**).** In an argon atmosphere glove box, LLu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂ (**1**) (263 mg, 0.250 mmol) was weighed into a 20 mL scintillation vial and dissolved in 2 mL of benzene. Ph₃CNH₂ (63.5 mg, 0.245 mmol) was weighed into another vial and dissolved in 3 mL of benzene. The Ph₃CNH₂ solution was added dropwise over 5 minutes into the benzene solution of LLu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂. The resulting colourless mixture was stirred for 1 hour at ambient temperature at which point the benzene solvent was removed *in vacuo* to yield an off-white oily solid. The solid was triturated 3 times with 3 mL of pentane, followed by 3 times with 3 mL of heptane, and dried under reduced pressure to yield a white powder (297.0 mg, 98% (crude)). ¹H NMR (benzene-*d*₆): δ

7.55 (ov m, 8H, *o*-phenyl *H*), 7.51 (ov m, 4H, *m*-Pipp *H*), 6.96-6.94 (ov m, 31 H, *o*-Pipp *H* (4), *m*- and *p*-phenyl *H* (12), *m*-, *p*- and *o*-phenyl CPh₃ *H* (15)), 6.67 (dd, ³*J*_{H-P} = 2.1 Hz, ⁴*J*_{H-P} = 0.6 Hz, 2H, pyrrole *H*), 2.93 (s, 1 H, NH), 2.73 (sp, ³*J*_{H-H} = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.18 (d, ³*J*_{H-H} = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.16 (s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃), -0.23 (d, ³*J*_{H-Y} = 2.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (benzene-*d*₆): δ 154.7 (s, aromatic ipso-C, CPh₃), 144.1 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 4.9 Hz, aromatic ipso-C, Pipp), 143.3 (s, aromatic ipso-C, Ph), 133.7 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 25.8 Hz, aromatic CH, *o*-Ph), 133.0 (s, aromatic ipso-C, *p*-Pipp), 132.6 (s, aromatic CH, *o*-Pipp), 132.2 (s, aromatic CH, *p*-Ph), 131.8 (s, aromatic ipso-C, pyrrole), 130.5 (s, aromatic ipso-C), 129.6 (s, aromatic CH, *o*-Ph CPh₃), 128.6 (s, aromatic CH, *p*-Ph CPh₃), 128.0 (s, aromatic CH, *m*-Ph CPh₃), 127.5 (s, aromatic CH, *o*-Pipp), 126.0 (s, aromatic CH, *m*-Pipp), 119.3 (dd, ²*J*_{C-P} = 29.5 Hz, ³*J*_{C-P} = 11.0 Hz, pyrrole CH), 75.3 (s, Ph₃CNH), 37.0 (s, Lu-CH₂), 34.2 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 24.7 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 5.1 (s, Si(CH₃)₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (benzene-*d*₆): δ 23.8 (s). Anal. Calcd. (%) for C₇₀H₇₅LuN₄P₂Si: C, 67.95; H, 6.11; N, 4.53. Found: C, 66.40; H, 5.12; N, 5.85.

LLu(κ^{2-N,C}-(NHCPPh₂(C₆H₄))) (3) In an argon atmosphere glove box, LLu(CH₂SiMe₃)(CPh₃NH) (25.3 mg, 0.0207 mmol) was weighed into a *J*-Young tube and dissolved in 0.5 mL of benzene-*d*₆. The resulting solution was heated at 80 °C for 24 hours resulting in a pale yellow solution. ¹H NMR (benzene-*d*₆): δ 7.62-7.46 (ov m, 13H, *o*-phenyl *H* (8) + *o*-Phenyl CPh₃ *H* (5)), 7.33 (dd, ³*J*_{H-H} = 6.6 Hz, ⁴*J*_{H-P} = 3.3 Hz, 4H, *o*-Pipp *H*), 6.98-6.48 (ov m, 25 H, *m*- and *p*-phenyl *H* (Pipp, PPh₂ and CPh₃) + *m*-Pipp *H*), 6.61 (d, ³*J*_{H-P} = 2.1 Hz, 2H, pyrrole *H*), 3.35 (s, 1 H, NH), 2.73 (sp, ³*J*_{H-H} = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.18 (d, ³*J*_{H-H} = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (benzene-*d*₆): δ 198.0 (s, aromatic C, Lu-C), 154.9 (s, aromatic ipso-C, CPh₃), 154.9 (s, aromatic ipso-C, CPh₃), 144.5 (d, ¹*J*_{C-P} = 5.8 Hz, aromatic ipso-C, Pipp), 142.4 (s, aromatic ipso-C, Ph), 134.1 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 10.6 Hz, aromatic CH, *o*-Ph), 133.8 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 11.1 Hz, aromatic CH, *o*-Ph), 132.4 (s, aromatic ipso-C, *p*-Pipp), 130.9 (dd, ¹*J*_{C-P} = 90.7 Hz, ³*J*_{C-P} = 6.9 Hz, aromatic ipso-C, pyrrole), 129.5 (s, aromatic CH, *o*-Ph CPh₃), 129.0-128.7 (ov m, aromatic CH, *o*-, *p*- and *m*-Ph CPh₃), 128.7 (s, aromatic CH, *m*-Ph CPh₃), 127.2 (s, aromatic CH, *o*-Pipp), 127.1 (s, aromatic CH, *m*-Pipp), 119.2 (dd, ²*J*_{C-P} = 34.7 Hz, ³*J*_{C-P} = 10.3 Hz, pyrrole CH), 74.8 (s, Ph₃CNH), 33.9 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 24.5 (s, CH(CH₃)₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (benzene-*d*₆): δ 25.0.

LLu(NHCPPh₃)₂ (4). In an argon atmosphere glove box, LLu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂ (50.4 mg, 0.0480 mmol) was weighed into a 20 mL scintillation vial and dissolved in 2 mL of toluene. Ph₃CNH₂ (26.2 mg, 0.101 mmol) was weighed into a vial and dissolved in 3 mL of toluene. The Ph₃CNH₂ solution was added dropwise over 5 minutes to the stirring solution of LLu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂. The resulting colourless mixture was stirred for 22 h at ambient temperature at which point the volatiles were removed *in vacuo* to yield a pale yellow oil. This oil was triturated 2 times with 3 mL of pentane and dried *in vacuo* to yield a white powder (52.2 mg, 77% (crude)). An analytically pure sample was obtained by recrystallization from toluene/heptane (5:3) mixture at -35 °C (6.81 mg, 10%). ¹H NMR (benzene-*d*₆): δ 7.54 (ddd, ³*J*_{H-P} = 20.1 Hz, ³*J*_{H-H} = 7.8 Hz, ⁴*J*_{H-H} = 1.2 Hz, 8H, *o*-phenyl *H*), 7.41-7.38 (ov m, 12 H, *m*- and *p*-phenyl *H*), 7.03-6.91 (30 H, *m*-, *p*- and *o*-phenyl CPh₃ *H*), 6.78 (dd, ³*J*_{H-H} = 8.4 Hz, ⁴*J*_{H-P} = 1.8 Hz, 4H, *o*-Pipp *H*), 6.70 (d, ³*J*_{H-H} = 8.4 Hz, *m*-Pipp *H*), 6.66 (dd, ³*J*_{H-P} = 2.4 Hz, ⁴*J*_{H-P} = 1.2 Hz, 2H, pyrrole *H*), 2.73 (sp, ³*J*_{H-H} = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.70 (s, 2H, NH), 1.18 (d, ³*J*_{H-H} = 6.9 Hz, 12H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (benzene-*d*₆): δ 154.4 (s, aromatic ipso-C, CPh₃), 144.8 (d, ¹*J*_{C-P} = 5.5 Hz) aromatic ipso-C, Pipp), 141.9 (s, aromatic ipso-C, Ph), 133.9 (d, ²*J*_{C-P} = 16.2 Hz, aromatic CH, *o*-Ph), 132.6 (s, aromatic ipso-C, *p*-Pipp), 132.2 (s, aromatic CH, *p*-Ph), 131.4 (s, aromatic ipso-C, pyrrole), 129.8 (s, aromatic CH, *o*-Ph, CPh₃), 129.0 (s, aromatic CH, *p*-Ph, CPh₃), 128.7 (s, aromatic CH, *o*-Pipp), 127.9 (s, aromatic CH, *m*-Ph, CPh₃), 126.9 (s, aromatic CH, *m*-Pipp), 125.8 (s, aromatic CH, *m*-

Ph), 119.2 (dd, ²*J*_{C-P} = 27.6 Hz, ³*J*_{C-P} = 11.5 Hz, pyrrole CH), 75.1 (s, Ph₃CNH), 34.0 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 24.7 (s, CH(CH₃)₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (benzene-*d*₆): δ 21.7 (s). Anal. Calcd. (%) for C₈₅H₈₀LuN₅P₂: C, 72.48; H, 5.72; N, 4.97. Found: C, 71.77; H, 5.80; N, 4.54.

General DMAP Studies. An NMR tube was charged with complex **2** (0.0062 g, 0.0050 mmol) and the desired stoichiometry of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (0.5, 1, 2, or 4 equivalents). Benzene-*d*₆ was added to the NMR tube and sealed with a rubber septum. The mixture was shaken to dissolve all reagents and placed into an 80 °C oil bath. The reaction was heated for 24 hours at which point the product distribution was determined by ³¹P{¹H} and ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

NMR Kinetics. The rate constant *k*₁ was determined by monitoring ³¹P{¹H} NMR resonances over at least 3 half lives at a given temperature. In a typical experiment complex **2/2-d₁** (0.0185 g, 0.0151 mmol) was added into an NMR tube charged with an internal H₃PO₄ standard. Toluene-*d*₈ (0.55 mL) was added to the tube and sealed with a rubber septum. The mixture was shaken to dissolve all reagents and then immediately placed in a dry ice bath (-78 °C). This tube was added into the NMR probe which had been pre-equilibrated to the desired temperature. The sample equilibrated to this temperature over the course of shimming the probe. ³¹P{¹H} spectra were recorded at specific time intervals until the reaction had progressed to at least 3 half lives. The extent of reaction at each time interval was determined by integration of the peak intensity relative to that of the H₃PO₄ standard. An appropriately long delay between scans was utilized to ensure that integration was quantitative and not effected by T₁ relaxation times. The observed rate constant *k*₁(obsd) was determined according to the law of mass action.

Diffusion Experiments. Diffusion measurements were performed using a Bruker Avance III HD 700 MHz spectrometer equipped with a gradient controller and a TXO probe with automatic tuning and a shielded z-gradient. The gradient shape was sinusoidal and its length was 1.8 ms. The gradient strength was increased by 16 increments of 6.2% (2% – 95%). The time between the midpoints of these gradients was 99.97 ms. Experiments were performed at 292 K within the NMR probe.

X-ray crystallography

Single crystals of complex **4** were obtained over 24 hours from a toluene/heptane (5:3) solution of **4** at -35 °C. Crystals were coated in dry Paratone oil under an argon atmosphere and mounted onto a MiTeGen microloop. Data were collected at 173 K using a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer (Mo Kα radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å) outfitted with a CCD area-detector and a KRYO-FLEX liquid nitrogen vapour cooling device. A data collection strategy using ω and φ scans at 0.5° steps yielded full hemispherical data with excellent intensity statistics. Unit cell parameters were determined and refined on all observed reflections using APEX2 software.¹⁹ Data reduction and correction for Lorentz polarization were performed using SAINT-Plus software.²⁰ Absorption corrections were applied using SADABS.²² The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by the least squares method on *F*² using the SHELX software suite²² using the Olex2 program.²³ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. C-H hydrogen atom positions were calculated and isotropically refined as riding models to their parent atoms, whereas N-H hydrogens were located from the Fourier difference maps and isotropically refined with a fixed N-H bond length. A summary of selected data collection and refinement parameters is presented below.

Crystal Data for 4: C₉₁H₈₄LuN₅P₂ (*M* = 1484.54 g/mol): triclinic, space group *P*-1 (no. 2), *a* = 12.314(2) Å, *b* = 13.648(2) Å, *c* = 23.195(4) Å, α = 97.8241(18)°, β = 99.3181(17)°, γ = 106.8141(17)°, *V* = 3612.7(10) Å³, *Z* = 2, *T* = 173 K, μ(MoKα) = 1.462 mm⁻¹, *D*_{calc} = 1.365 g/cm³, 38497 reflections measured (3.178° ≤ 2θ ≤ 50°), 12722 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.0658, *R*_{sigma} = 0.0752)

which were used in all calculations. The final R_1 was 0.0380 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) and wR_2 was 0.0819 (all data).

Computational details

The geometry optimizations and full vibration analysis of all studied complexes were performed using the Gaussian 09 program package.²⁴ The PBE1PBE²⁵ hybrid functional and SVP^{26a} or def2-TZVP^{26b-c} basis sets by Ahlrichs *et al.* were used in all optimizations and frequency calculations. For Lu, a small-core SDD pseudopotential by Cao and Dolg was used.²⁷

Appendix A. Supplementary Data

X-ray crystallographic details in CIF format, bond lengths and angles for **4** in PDF format, NMR spectra of **2**, **3**, and **4** in PDF format, and xyz coordinates of the geometry optimized complexes can be found in the supporting information.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: p.hayes@uleth.ca

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was generously supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), the Academy of Finland and the University of Jyväskylä. Mr. Tony Montina is acknowledged for expert technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- (a) Mindiola, D. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2006**, *39*, 813; (b) Scott, J.; Mindiola, D. J. *Dalton Trans.* **2009**, 8463; (c) Gianetti, T. L.; La Pierre, H. S.; Arnold, J. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, 3783; (d) Hayton, T. W. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, 39, 1145; (e) Mao, W.; Xiang, L.; Chen, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, ASAP. (DOI:10.1016/j.ccr.2016.12.007)
- Summerscales, O. T.; Gordon, J. C. *RSC Advances* **2013**, *3*, 6682.
- Beetstra, D. J.; Meetsma, A.; Hessen, B.; Teuben, J. H. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 4372.
- Scott, J.; Basuli, F.; Fout, A. R.; Huffman, J. C.; Mindiola, D. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 8502.
- (a) Wicker, B. F.; Fan, H.; Hickey, A. K.; Crestani, M. G.; Scott, J.; Pink, M.; Mindiola, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 20081; (b) Wicker, B. F.; Scott, J.; Fout, A. R.; Pink, M.; Mindiola, D. J. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 2453.
- Lu, E.; Li, Y.; Chen, Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 46, 4469.
- (a) Lu, E.; Chu, J.; Chen, Y.; Borzov, M. V.; Li, G. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 47, 743; (b) Chu, J.; Lu, E.; Liu, Z.; Chen, Y.; Leng, X.; Song, H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7677; (c) Lu, E.; Zhou, Q.; Li, Y.; Chu, J.; Chen, Y.; Leng, X.; Sun, J. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48, 3403; (d) Rong, W.; Cheng, J.; Mou, Z.; Xie, H.; Cui, D. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 5523; (e) Chu, T.; Piers, W. E.; Dutton, J. L.; Parvez, M. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 1159; (f) Jian, Z.; Rong, W.; Mou, Z.; Pan, Y.; Xie, H.; Cui, D. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48, 7516; (g) Chu, J.; Kefalidis, C. E.; Maron, L.; Leng, X.; Chen, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 8165; (h) Lu, E.; Chu, J.; Chen, Y.; Borzov, M. V.; Li, G. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 743; (i) Chu, J.; Lu, E.; Chen, Y.; Leng, X. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 1137; (j) Chu, J.; Han, X.; Kefalidis, C. E.; Zhou, J.; Maron, L.; Leng, X.; Chen, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 10894.
- Knight, L. K.; Piers, W. E.; Fleurat-Lessard, P.; Parvez, M.; McDonald, R. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 2087.
- Schadle, D.; Meermann-Zimmermann, M.; Schadle, C.; Maichle-Moessmer, C.; Anwender, R. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, 1334.
- Johnson, K. R. D.; Hannon, M. A.; Ritch, J. S.; Hayes, P. G. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, 41, 7873.
- Zamora, M. T.; Johnson, K. R. D.; Hänninen, M. M.; Hayes, P. G. *Dalton Trans.* **2014**, 43, 10739.
- Johnson, K. R. D.; Hayes, P. G. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 6352.
- Johnson, K. R. D.; Hayes, P. G. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 58.
- (a) Liu, B.; Liu, X.; Cui, D.; Liu, L. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 1453; (b) Petrov, A. R.; Thomas, O.; Harms, K.; Rufanov, K. A.; Sundermeyer, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2010**, *695*, 2738; (c) Hillesheim, Nina S.; Elfferding, M.; Linder, T.; Sundermeyer, J. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2010**, *636*, 1776.
- (a) Schädle, D.; Maichle-Mössmer, C.; Schädle, C.; Anwender, R. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 662; (b) CSD database (version 5.38) search resulting in 18 Lu-NHAr mononuclear structures.
- (a) Rufanov, K. A.; Müller, B. H.; Spannenberg, A.; Rosenthal, U. *New J. Chem.* **2006**, *30*, 29; (b) Liu, B.; Liu, X.; Cui, D.; Liu, L. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 1543; (c) Liu, B.; Cui, D.; Ma, J.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 834.
- (a) Rufanov, K. A.; Müller, B. H.; Spannenberg, A.; Rosenthal, U. *New J. Chem.* **2006**, *30*, 29; (b) Liu, B.; Cui, D.; Ma, J.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 834.
- (a) Johnson, K. R. D.; Hannon, M. A.; Ritch, J. S.; Hayes, P. G., *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, 41, 7873-7875; (b) Estler, F.; Eickerling, G.; Herdtweck, E.; Anwender, R. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 1212.
- APEX2, Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2010.
- SAINT-Plus, version 7.23a; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2009.
- Sheldrick, G. M. *SADABS*, version 2004/1; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2008.
- Sheldrick, G. M., *Acta Crystallogr A* **2008**, *64* (Pt 1), 112.
- Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2009**, *42*, 339.
- Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Jr, Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J., *Gaussian 09 Revision D.01*. Gaussian Inc. : Wallingford CT, 2009.
- (a) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865; (b) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*, 1396; (c) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 6158.
- (a) Schäfer, A.; Horn, H.; Ahlrichs, R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *97*, 2571; (b) Weigend, F.; Häser, M.; Patzelt, H.; Ahlrichs, R. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *294*, 143; (c) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. *PCCP* **2005**, *7*, 3297.
- Cao, X.; Dolg, M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *115*, 7348.