



# This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint *may differ* from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Author(s): Rissanen, Antti; Ojala, Anne; Dernjatin, Markus; Jaakkola, Jouni; Tiirola, Marja

Title:Methylophaga and Hyphomicrobium can be used as target genera in monitoring<br/>saline water methanol-utilizing denitrification

Year: 2016

Version:

### Please cite the original version:

Rissanen, A., Ojala, A., Dernjatin, M., Jaakkola, J., & Tiirola, M. (2016). Methylophaga and Hyphomicrobium can be used as target genera in monitoring saline water methanol-utilizing denitrification. Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology, 43(12), 1647-1657. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-016-1839-2

All material supplied via JYX is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

# *Methylophaga* and *Hyphomicrobium* can be used as target genera in monitoring saline water methanol-utilizing denitrification

Antti J. Rissanen<br/>1, 2\* · Anne Ojala<sup>3,4</sup> · Markus Dernjatin<br/>5 · Jouni Jaakkola<sup>5</sup> · Marja Tiirola<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry and Bioengineering, Tampere University of Technology, P.O. Box 541, FI-33101 Tampere, Finland
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 65, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 27, FI-00014, Helsinki, Finland
- <sup>5</sup> SEA LIFE, Helsinki, Tivolitie 10, FI-00510 Helsinki, Finland

\*Corresponding author. E-mail address: antti.rissanen@tut.fi tel.: +358 40 1981145 fax.: +358 3 3641392

### Acknowledgements

We thank H. Devlin, B. Thamdrup and S. Hallin for comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. This study was funded by Maa- ja Vesitekniikan Tuki ry (A.J.R.) and the Academy of Finland (projects 286642 to A.J.R. and 120089 to M.T.), as well as the European Research Council (ERC) Consolidator project 615146 (M.T.).

1	Abstract Which bacterial taxonomic groups can be used in monitoring saline water
2	methanol-utilizing denitrification and whether nitrate is transformed into $N_2$ in the process
3	are unclear. Therefore, methylotrophic bacterial communities of two efficiently
4	functioning (nitrate/nitrite reduction was 63-96 %) tropical and cool seawater reactors at a
5	public aquarium were investigated with clone library analysis and 454 pyrosequencing of
6	the 16S rRNA genes. Transformation of nitrate into $N_2$ was confirmed using <sup>15</sup> N labeling
7	in incubation of carrier material from the tropical reactor. Combining the data with
8	previous study results, Methylophaga and Hyphomicrobium were determined to be
9	suitable target genera for monitoring the function of saline water methanol-fed
10	denitrification systems. However, monitoring was not possible at the single species level.
11	Interestingly, potential nitrate-reducing methylotrophs within Filomicrobium and closely
12	related Fil I and Fil II clusters were detected in the reactors suggesting that they also
13	contributed to methylotrophic denitrification in the saline environment.
14	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Keywords Methylotrophy · Denitrification · Saline water · Reactor · 16S rRNA

### 32

## 33 Introduction

34

35 Denitrification, step-wise reduction of water-soluble nitrate  $(NO_3^{-})$  via nitrite  $(NO_2^{-})$  to 36 gaseous nitric oxide (NO), nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) and di-nitrogen ( $N_2$ ) by facultative 37 anaerobic heterotrophic bacteria, provides an important biotechnological water treatment 38 process for nitrogen (N) removal. Denitrification is mostly a community process, as many 39 denitrifiers perform only partial denitrification reducing  $NO_3^-$  to  $NO_2^-$  or to  $N_2O$ , and only 40 some bacterial species are capable of the whole denitrification chain from  $NO_3^-$  to  $N_2$  gas 41 [10]. Due to the low C:N ratio of the influent water in many N removal systems, an 42 external carbon source, usually methanol, is added to the process. Methanol-utilizing 43 denitrification systems are widely applied in municipal wastewater treatment plants [18]. 44 In addition, these systems are especially important for reducing toxic inorganic N 45 compounds in closed marine facilities, for example, in public aquaria [21] and aquaculture 46 farms [25]. 47 The physicochemical and technical aspects of methanol-utilizing denitrification 48 processes have been comprehensively characterized [18, 24, 25, 26]. However, 49 denitrification is usually measured by  $NO_x$  reduction, that is, the disappearance of  $NO_3^{-1}$ 50 /NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> [23]. This indirectly measured denitrification rate denotes the conversion of water-51 soluble NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> into gaseous forms, but the proportions of NO, N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> in the end-52 product are not specified. Thus far, only a few studies have been conducted on direct 53 measurements of gaseous end-products [17, 27]. These studies mostly focused on N<sub>2</sub>O 54 production [17, 27], and the conversion of  $NO_3^-$  to  $N_2$  has only very rarely been measured

or even confirmed in water treatment facilities [6].

56 Optimal control and operation of wastewater treatment processes would also 57 greatly benefit from microbiological data [27, 43], such as monitoring the presence and 58 abundance of taxonomic groups crucial for system function [27]. The search for potential 59 target taxonomic groups for monitoring saline water methanol-utilizing denitrification 60 processes should be carried out in efficiently functioning systems and should focus on 61 methylotrophic (C1-compound utilizing) organisms as they play a key role in the current 62 processes, by utilizing methanol as an electron donor in denitrification and by 63 transforming methanol into various extracellular organic compounds, which can then be 64 utilized by co-occurring non-methylotrophic denitrifiers [27]. Methylotrophic bacteria of 65 the genera Methylophaga and Hyphomicrobium have been shown to dominate the two 66 previously studied saline water methanol-fed denitrification systems: a moving bed 67 biofilm reactor at a seawater aquarium [3, 21, 22] and a laboratory-scale continuously 68 stirred tank reactor (CSTR) that treat synthetic saline wastewater [32]. In addition to these 69 two genera, Azoarcus and Paracoccus were important methylotrophs in CSTR [32]. 70 Further analyses in the aquarium showed the genetic potential of Methylophaga to reduce 71  $NO_3^-$  to  $NO_2^-$  and *Hyphomicrobium* to complete the denitrification by converting  $NO_2^-$ 72 into N<sub>2</sub> [2, 3, 42]. However, physicochemical and biological variations among bioreactors 73 could lead to differences in the community composition. Thus, other methylotrophs could 74 be important for the function of these systems given that methylotrophy is a quite widely 75 dispersed trait among bacteria [19], and many can also conduct partial or complete 76 denitrification [4, 5, 8, 12, 20, 45]. Therefore, more studies are needed to determine 77 suitable target taxonomic groups for monitoring the function of saline water methanol-fed 78 denitrification processes.

79 This study investigated  $NO_x^-$  reduction and the bacterial communities of two 80 methanol-utilizing denitrifying bioreactors in a marine fish aquarium operating at two

81 water circulation temperatures. We aimed to confirm the microbiological transformation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to N<sub>2</sub> using batch incubations and the <sup>15</sup>N tracer technique. Furthermore, we 82 83 aimed to find suitable target taxonomic groups for monitoring the function of saline water 84 methanol-utilizing denitrification processes. This was achieved via a clone library and 454 85 pyrosequencing analysis of the 16S rRNA genes and comparison of the results for the two 86 study reactors to those for previously studied systems [3, 21, 32]. We specifically focused 87 on the analysis of taxonomic groups that harbor known methylotrophs.

- **Materials and methods** 88
- 89

### 90 Sampling site and sampling for molecular microbiology 91

92 The public fish aquarium SEA LIFE Helsinki Finland

93 (https://www.visitsealife.com/helsinki/) is divided into two water recirculation systems

94 (warm tropical, AQUAR\_T, and cold North Atlantic, AQUAR\_C) and has a total water

95 volume of 420 m<sup>3</sup> with 27–34 ppt salinity. Both circulation systems have their own

96 fluidized-bed type denitrification reactors that receive NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-rich water from the

97 nitrification stage. The volume of each reactor is ~330 L of which ~90 L is the fluidized

98 carrier material bed (oolitic sand, 100 kg, density = 1.5 kg/L). The temperature inside the

99 denitrification reactors of AQUAR\_T and AQUAR\_C was 23-24 °C and 18-19 °C,

100 respectively. Two samples of oolitic sand for molecular microbiological analyses were

101 collected twice, on 10 November 2008 and 8 September 2010, from the AQUAR\_T and

- 102 AQUAR\_C reactors, in sterile 50 mL plastic containers and stored at -20 °C before
- 103 processing within 1 to 2 months. The reactors utilized methanol as their carbon source
- 104 except AQUAR\_C in 2008 when a mixture of methanol and saccharose was used until the

105 sampling time point after which only methanol was used.

106

### 107 **Denitrification measurements**

109 The  $NO_x^{-1}$  concentrations inside the reactors, near the reactor outlet ( $NO_x^{-1}$ ) and in the 110 inflow water feeding the reactors (NO<sub>x</sub> inflow) were measured using Spectroquant® nitrate 111 and nitrite test kits (Merck Millipore, Germany) with a Spectroquant® Nova 60 112 photometer (Merck Millipore, Germany) from both reactors 1 to 2 times per month for 2.3 113 years (time period 24 September 2008 to 28 December 2010). The methanol addition 114 (Met<sub>f</sub>, mmol/h) and water flow (W<sub>f</sub>, L/h) rates were adjusted by the operators and for this 115 study reported for 1.5 to 2 month periods before bacterial sampling in 2008 and 2010 (24 116 September to 10 November 2008 and 13 July to 8 September 2010). Hourly NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> loads 117  $(_LNO_x inflow and _LNO_x out, mmol N/h)$  were calculated using the W<sub>f</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> concentration 118 values for these time periods. Denitrification was then estimated indirectly as the relative 119  $NO_x^-$  reduction (%):

120 
$$NO_{x}$$
 reduction  $= \frac{(NO_{x} - NO_{x})}{NO_{x}} \times 100$ 

121 and as the actual  $NO_x$  reduction:

122 
$$actual NO_x$$
  $reduction = LNO_x$   $inflow - LNO_x$   $out$ ,

123 which was converted into the  $NO_x^-$  reduction rate of the carrier material (µmol N/L<sub>car</sub>/h). 124 Direct denitrification measurement was performed with bottle incubation in 2010. 125 Batches of oolitic sand (~36 mL) collected from the middle of the filter bed in AQUAR T 126 were put in 120 mL glass bottles (11 bottles altogether). The bottles were filled with 127 anoxic reactor water by submerging them in the reactor, and while submerged, they were 128 closed with caps that had butyl rubber stoppers. Each bottle was injected with  $\sim 219 \,\mu$ mol 129 (~7 mg) of methanol and ~50  $\mu$ mol (~0.7 mg) of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, which had a 2% <sup>15</sup>N isotope label, and then shaken briefly but vigorously. The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> stock solution (0.1 M NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N) 130 was prepared from NaNO<sub>3</sub> and K<sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., MA, 131 132 USA). The total NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration after the addition was ~664  $\mu$ M, which is

133 approximately the same as the average  $NO_{x inflow}$  concentration (~688 µM) during the ~2 134 month period before sampling in 2010. The bottles were incubated non-shaken at 21-22 135 °C and sacrificed in batches after 2 h (4 bottles), 6 h (4 bottles) and 21 h (3 bottles) of 136 incubation. One non-incubated water sample taken from the reactor before the incubation 137 periods served as the 0 time point control. The water subsamples were stored in 12 mL 138 borosilicate glass Exetainer® tubes with screw-capped butyl rubber septa (Labco Ltd., 139 High Wycombe, UK), and the microbial activity in the vials was terminated by adding 0.1 140 mL of  $ZnCl_2$  (1 g/mL). The concentration and <sup>15</sup>N content of the N<sub>2</sub> gas in the water was 141 measured as in Tiirola et al. [41]. Denitrification was calculated as the rate of the total N<sub>2</sub> 142 gas accumulation and converted to the N<sub>2</sub> production rate of the carrier material (µmol 143 N/L<sub>car</sub>/h). In addition, reduction of  $NO_3^-$  to  $N_2$  gas was verified by the accumulation of excess <sup>15</sup>N-containing N<sub>2</sub> gas. The concentration of the excess <sup>15</sup>N-containing N<sub>2</sub> gas, 144 [excess <sup>15</sup>N], was calculated for each sample as 145  $[excess \ ^{15}N] = \frac{(at\%^{15}N_{\text{sample}} \times [N_{2\text{sample}}] - at\%^{15}N_{\text{zero}} \times [N_{2\text{sample}}])}{100}$ 146 where [N<sub>2sample</sub>] is the N<sub>2</sub> gas concentration in the incubated sample and the at%<sup>15</sup>N<sub>sample</sub> 147

and the at%<sup>15</sup>N<sub>zero</sub> are the <sup>15</sup>N content (in %) of the N<sub>2</sub> gas in the incubated and nonincubated (0 time point) samples, respectively.

150

### 151 Molecular microbiological analyses

152

153 DNA was extracted from 0.5–0.6 g of frozen oolitic sand from each sample using glass

bead beating and phenol-chlorophorm extraction, which was followed by isopropanol-

155 NaCl precipitation (pH 8) and dissolution of the DNA pellet in TE buffer.

156 PCR for the clone library analyses of the 16S rRNA genes was performed with

- 157 primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') / 907R (5'-
- 158 CCGTCAATTCMTTTGAGTTT-3<sup>'</sup>) as previously described [36] but using the following

159	program: initial denaturation at 95 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min and 30 cycles of amplification (94 $^{\circ}$ C for
160	30 s, 53 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 3 min) and final elongation at 72 °C for 15 min. The PCR
161	products of the replicate samples were pooled for subsequent cloning and sequencing,
162	which was carried out as previously described [36].
163	To get deeper insight into the bacteria inhabiting the methanol-fed denitrification
164	reactors, the bacterial community in AQUAR_T, which used methanol as the sole carbon
165	source, was studied with 454 pyrosequencing. Equal amounts of nucleic acid extracts from
166	replicate samples of AQUAR_T in 2008 were pooled before the PCR reactions. PCR
167	amplification of the 16S rRNA genes using primers 341F (5'-
168	CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3') / 805R (5'-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'),
169	subsequent purification steps and sequencing were performed as previously described
170	[33].
171	
172	Sequence analysis
170	
1/3	
173 174	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used
173 174 175	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used for sequence alignment, chimera-checking (chimera.uchime executable), classification of
173 174 175 176	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used for sequence alignment, chimera-checking (chimera.uchime executable), classification of sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs; 97 % identity threshold) and
<ol> <li>173</li> <li>174</li> <li>175</li> <li>176</li> <li>177</li> </ol>	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used for sequence alignment, chimera-checking (chimera.uchime executable), classification of sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs; 97 % identity threshold) and taxonomic classification of the OTUs (using the Ribosomal Database Project database).
<ol> <li>173</li> <li>174</li> <li>175</li> <li>176</li> <li>177</li> <li>178</li> </ol>	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used for sequence alignment, chimera-checking (chimera.uchime executable), classification of sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs; 97 % identity threshold) and taxonomic classification of the OTUs (using the Ribosomal Database Project database). Variations in the community structure among the samples were analyzed with hierarchical
<ol> <li>173</li> <li>174</li> <li>175</li> <li>176</li> <li>177</li> <li>178</li> <li>179</li> </ol>	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used for sequence alignment, chimera-checking (chimera.uchime executable), classification of sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs; 97 % identity threshold) and taxonomic classification of the OTUs (using the Ribosomal Database Project database). Variations in the community structure among the samples were analyzed with hierarchical clustering (UPGMA linkage, Bray-Curtis distances) using PAST version 3.09 [13].
<ol> <li>173</li> <li>174</li> <li>175</li> <li>176</li> <li>177</li> <li>178</li> <li>179</li> <li>180</li> </ol>	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used for sequence alignment, chimera-checking (chimera.uchime executable), classification of sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs; 97 % identity threshold) and taxonomic classification of the OTUs (using the Ribosomal Database Project database). Variations in the community structure among the samples were analyzed with hierarchical clustering (UPGMA linkage, Bray-Curtis distances) using PAST version 3.09 [13]. Representative sequences of the OTUs assigned to taxonomic groups of known
<ol> <li>173</li> <li>174</li> <li>175</li> <li>176</li> <li>177</li> <li>178</li> <li>179</li> <li>180</li> <li>181</li> </ol>	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used for sequence alignment, chimera-checking (chimera.uchime executable), classification of sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs; 97 % identity threshold) and taxonomic classification of the OTUs (using the Ribosomal Database Project database). Variations in the community structure among the samples were analyzed with hierarchical clustering (UPGMA linkage, Bray-Curtis distances) using PAST version 3.09 [13]. Representative sequences of the OTUs assigned to taxonomic groups of known methylotrophs derived from the previous literature [8, 19, 21, 22, 32, 45], in this case
<ol> <li>173</li> <li>174</li> <li>175</li> <li>176</li> <li>177</li> <li>178</li> <li>179</li> <li>180</li> <li>181</li> <li>182</li> </ol>	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used for sequence alignment, chimera-checking (chimera.uchime executable), classification of sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs; 97 % identity threshold) and taxonomic classification of the OTUs (using the Ribosomal Database Project database). Variations in the community structure among the samples were analyzed with hierarchical clustering (UPGMA linkage, Bray-Curtis distances) using PAST version 3.09 [13]. Representative sequences of the OTUs assigned to taxonomic groups of known methylotrophs derived from the previous literature [8, 19, 21, 22, 32, 45], in this case <i>Hyphomicrobiaceae</i> and <i>Methylophaga</i> , were subjected to phylogenetic tree analyses
<ol> <li>173</li> <li>174</li> <li>175</li> <li>176</li> <li>177</li> <li>178</li> <li>179</li> <li>180</li> <li>181</li> <li>182</li> <li>183</li> </ol>	In the analysis of the clone library sequences, the Mothur program package [38] was used for sequence alignment, chimera-checking (chimera.uchime executable), classification of sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs; 97 % identity threshold) and taxonomic classification of the OTUs (using the Ribosomal Database Project database). Variations in the community structure among the samples were analyzed with hierarchical clustering (UPGMA linkage, Bray-Curtis distances) using PAST version 3.09 [13]. Representative sequences of the OTUs assigned to taxonomic groups of known methylotrophs derived from the previous literature [8, 19, 21, 22, 32, 45], in this case <i>Hyphomicrobiaceae</i> and <i>Methylophaga</i> , were subjected to phylogenetic tree analyses [neighbor-joining (NJ) method, Kimura-2 distances, pairwise exclusion of gaps] using

185	Tags and primer sequences, as well as low-quality sequences (containing
186	ambiguous nucleotides and homopolymers longer than eight nucleotides) were removed
187	from the 454 pyrosequencing library. Sequences (~200 bp) were thereafter processed as
188	described above for the clone library analysis except phylogenetic trees were not
189	constructed.
190	The 16S rRNA gene sequences of the clone libraries were deposited in the NCBI
191	GenBank (accession numbers KP098736-KP098970, KP098976-KP098984 and
192	KP098989-KP099005). The 454 pyrosequencing data were deposited in the NCBI SRA
193	database (SRX646347).
194	
195	Results
196 197	Functioning of denitrifying reactors
198 199	The operator-adjusted $W_f$ and $Met_f$ were lower in 2010 than in 2008 in both reactors
200	during the 1.5 to 2 month period before bacterial sampling (Table 1). The average hourly
201	NO <sub>x</sub> <sup>-</sup> load in the inflow decreased in AQUAR_T and increased in AQUAR_C from 2008
202	to 2010 (Table 1). The average molar ratio of the added methanol to the N load
203	methanol:NOx-Ninflow (mol:mol) decreased from 2008 to 2010 in both reactors (Table 1).
204	The ratio was higher in AQUAR_C than in AQUAR_T in 2008 and vice versa in 2010
205	(Table 1).
206	The relative $NO_x^-$ reduction, on average, was slightly higher in AQUAR_C (91 %,
207	range: 81–95 %) than in AQUAR_T (86 %, range: 63–96 %) during the 2.3 year
208	monitoring period (24 September 2008 to 28 December 2010, excluding the very low
209	values caused by the pumping and carbon dosage problems on 4 November 2008 in both
210	reactors and on 4 June 2009 in AQUAR_C; Fig. 1). When averaged over the 1.5 to 2
211	month period before the bacterial sampling, the average actual $NO_x^-$ reduction rate

212	expressed per carrier (oolitic sand) volume was lower in 2008 than in 2010 in both
213	reactors (Table 1). The rate was higher in AQUAR_T than in AQUAR_C in 2008 and vice
214	versa in 2010 (Table 1).
215	Bottle incubation tests confirmed that $N_2$ was produced and $NO_3^-$ was transformed
216	into $N_2$ by microbes in AQUAR_T (Fig. 2). The estimated $N_2$ production rate of the
217	carrier material was 56.4 µmol N/L <sub>car</sub> /h.
218 219 220	Variation in microbial community structure
221	The clone library analysis of the 16S rRNA genes indicated that the variation in the
222	bacterial community structure was higher between the reactors than between the years
223	(Table 2; Online Resource 1). Variation between the years in the community structure was
224	considerably higher in the cooler reactor AQUAR_C, which was first fed with a mixture
225	of methanol and saccharose, than in the warmer reactor AQUAR_T (Table 2; Online
226	Resource 1).
227	Different taxonomic groups were assigned to a putative methylotrophic function
228	based on the previous literature. The methylotrophic groups detected in the reactors were
229	the genera Methylophaga, Hyphomicrobium, Filomicrobium, as well as clusters Fil I and
230	Fil II, which were more closely related to Filomicrobium than to Hyphomicrobium (Table
231	2; Figs. 3 and 4). Betaproteobacterial methylotrophs were not detected. In the
232	phylogenetic tree, the OTUs assigned to the genus Hyphomicrobium were positioned
233	between the previously assigned Hyphomicrobium clusters I and II [35] (Fig. 3). Most of
234	the OTUs and sequences assigned to Methylophaga clustered close to M.
235	nitratireducenticrescens, whereas one of the OTUs had its closest relative in M.
236	thiooxydans (Fig. 4).

237	The relative abundance of the putative methylotrophs was considerably higher in
238	the warmer reactor AQUAR_T than in the cooler reactor AQUAR_C in both years (Table
239	2). In addition, methylotrophs were more abundant in 2010 than in 2008 in AQUAR_C
240	whereas the opposite took place in AQUAR_T (Table 2). The relative abundance of
241	Methylophaga was considerably higher in the warmer reactor AQUAR_T than in the
242	cooler reactor AQUAR_C (Table 2). In AQUAR_C, Methylophaga were absent in 2008
243	and present at very low numbers in 2010. Correspondingly, there was a higher abundance
244	of Methylophaga in 2010 than in 2008 in AQUAR_T (Table 2). Methylophaga in
245	AQUAR_T had their closest relative in <i>M. nitratireducenticrescens</i> , whereas those in
246	AQUAR_C were most closely related to <i>M. thiooxydans</i> (Fig. 4). Of the family
247	Hyphomicrobiaceae, Hyphomicrobium were much more abundant in the warmer reactor
248	AQUAR_T than in the cooler reactor AQUAR_C. There was a higher abundance of
249	Hyphomicrobium in 2010 than in 2008 in AQUAR_C and vice versa in AQUAR_T (Table
250	2). In contrast, the bacteria of the Fil I cluster were much more abundant in AQUAR_C
251	than in AQUAR_T (Table 2). In AQUAR_T, Fil I bacteria were absent in 2008 and
252	present at very low abundance in 2010. Correspondingly, there was a higher abundance of
253	Fil I bacteria in 2010 than in 2008 in AQUAR_C. Fil II cluster bacteria were present in
254	low abundance in both reactors and were absent in AQUAR_T in 2010 (Table 2).
255	Filomicrobium cluster bacteria were present in low abundance and only in AQUAR_T
256	(Table 2; Fig. 3).
257	The relative abundance of other bacteria also varied between reactors and years and
258	was generally higher in AQUAR_C than in AQUAR_T (Table 2). Within
259	Gammaproteobacteria, there was a considerably large group of unclassified 16S rRNA
260	gene sequences that probably represented clades without cultured representatives (Table
261	2). Of Alphaproteobacteria (other than methylotrophs), Rhodobacteraceae and

262 Phyllobacteriaceae as well as non-methylotrophic Hyphomicrobiaceae within Maritalea 263 and Mar I clusters were detected (Table 2; Fig. 3). According to BLAST searches [1] of 264 the NCBI nr-database, the detected Rhodobacteraceae were most closely related to the 265 non-methylotrophic genus Roseovarius. Of the Proteobacteria, also Deltaproteobacteria 266 were present. Other detected phyla were Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, 267 Chloroflexi, Deferribacteres, Planctomycetes and Spirochaetes (Table 2). 268 There were differences in the results of the clone library analysis and 454 269 pyrosequencing (Table 2). Most importantly, 454 pyrosequencing had lower resolution to 270 detect Alphaproteobacteria or resolve different genera within Hyphomicrobiaceae and 271 resulted in a higher proportion of unclassified bacterial sequences than the clone library 272 analysis (Table 2). Since high taxonomic resolution was necessary to identify clusters 273 especially within *Hyphomicrobiaceae*, the focus in this study was on the clone library 274 results.

275

### 276 Discussion

277

278 Both study reactors removed N very efficiently. The relative NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction was even 279 slightly higher than measured at other methanol-fed sea water denitrification bioreactors 280 that reduced 65–90 % [22] and up to 88 % [24] of NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup>. In contrast, the average actual 281  $NO_x$  reduction rates were within the lower end of the range (300–9000  $\mu$ mol N/L<sub>car</sub>/h) 282 measured at other systems [21, 23, 24]. The differences in the actual NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction rates 283 are mostly due to 3–10 times higher N loads (as expressed per carrier volume) in the previously studied reactors [23, 24]. However, the differences can be also partially 284 285 attributed to the use of deaeration systems to remove  $O_2$  in the inflow and the amendment 286 of the trace metal solution in the previously studied reactors, which enhanced

287 denitrification [21, 23]. To our knowledge, this is the first study of saline water methanol-288 utilizing denitrification systems that confirmed  $NO_3^-$  is reduced to N<sub>2</sub>. However, the N<sub>2</sub> 289 production measured in the batch tests was only 6–7 % of the actual NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction rates 290 in the AQUAR\_T reactor. This difference is very likely due to the lack of shaking during 291 incubation, which reduced the contact between NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and the bacteria on the carrier 292 material. However, the possible formation of other gases,  $N_2O$  [17] and NO, during the 293 incubation periods can also explain a small part of this discrepancy. 294 Combining the results from the two study reactors and the two previously studied 295 systems [3, 21, 32] shows that putative methylotrophic bacteria belonging to 296 Methylophaga and Hyphomicrobium are very common in efficiently functioning saline 297 water methanol-fed denitrification systems. This result indicates that these bacteria are 298 crucial for the function of the process. Thus, these two genera can serve as targets when 299 monitoring the function of saline-water methanol-utilizing denitrification systems. 300 However, there were considerable species-level differences between the systems. 301 Hyphomicrobium nitrativorans, which dominated in a previously studied system [3, 21], 302 were not found at all in the AQUAR reactors, and Methylophaga nitratireducenticrescens, 303 which inhabited a previously studied reactor [3] and AQUAR\_T, were not found in 304 AQUAR C. Thus, no single *Methylophaga* or *Hyphomicrobium* species can be 305 determined for use as a general target species for monitoring the function of saline water 306 methanol-fed denitrification systems. 307 Interestingly, this study is also the first to show that members of *Filomicrobium* 308 sp. and *Filomicrobium*-related Fil I and Fil II clusters can be abundant in efficiently 309 functioning saline water methanol-fed denitrification systems. In addition to results from 310 cultivation-based [45] and genomic [14] studies of Filomicrobium strains, further 311 confirmation of the bacteria's methylotrophic metabolism was provided by the concurrent

312	increase in the relative abundance of Hyphomicrobium, Methylophaga and Fil I bacteria in
313	AQUAR_C from 2008 to 2010 when the C source changed from saccharose+methanol to
314	solely methanol. In 2008, the methylotrophs were probably over-competed by more
315	efficiently growing non-methylotrophs, for example, in Bacteroidetes, Rhodobacteraceae
316	and Maritalea. Furthermore, the concurrent decrease in Hyphomicrobium and Fil II
317	bacteria in AQUAR_T from 2008 to 2010 could due to the decrease in the availability of
318	methanol (a decrease in $Met_f$ and methanol: $NO_x$ - $N_{inflow}$ before sampling).
319	Previous studies also suggest that Filomicrobium participate in denitrification by
320	dissimilatory reduction of $NO_3^-$ to $NO_2^-$ [14, 45]. Thus, in addition to <i>Methylophaga</i> [2, 3],
321	Filomicrobium, Fil I and Fil II bacterial clusters could couple methylotrophy with the
322	reduction of $NO_3^-$ to $NO_2^-$ and supply it for denitrifiers capable of further denitrification
323	steps [3]. However, previously studied strains of Methylophaga thiooxydans [7] and M.
324	<i>nitratireducenticrescens</i> [42] also had the $NO_2^-$ reductase gene, although it was truncated
325	in M. nitratireducenticrescens [42]. In addition, M. nitratireducenticrescens had genes for
326	NO and $N_2O^2$ reduction [42]. This suggests that <i>Methylophaga</i> species coupling
327	methylotrophy to $NO_2^-$ , NO and $N_2O$ reduction could exist in nature and in saline water
328	methanol-fed denitrification systems. Since only a few Filomicrobium strains have been
329	characterized for their metabolic potential [14, 45], it is possible that some species within
330	Filomicrobium, Fil I and Fil II clusters are also capable of this.
331	Thorough comparative analyses of the factors that affect the growth and activity of
332	Filomicrobium and Hyphomicrobium species do not exist. Therefore, many possible
333	factors might have affected the genera- and species-level variation of Hyphomicrobiaceae
334	between the AQUAR reactors and previously studied systems [3, 21]. In a comparison of
335	denitrifying <i>Hyphomicrobium</i> species, $NO_3^-$ significantly affected the growth and activity
336	of <i>Hyphomicrobium</i> species with <i>H. nitrativorans</i> growing and denitrifying at higher NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>

337	concentrations than the other studied species, <i>H. zavarzinii</i> and <i>H. denitrificans</i> [30].
338	Thus, the considerably lower N loads could explain the presence and dominance of
339	Hyphomicrobium species other than H. nitrativorans in the AQUAR reactors. Similarly,
340	Filomicrobium, Fil I and Fil II bacteria could have been favored in the lower N load
341	conditions that prevail in the AQUAR system. Furthermore, O <sub>2</sub> constantly enters AQUAR
342	reactors due to the lack of a preceding deaeration step but is rapidly consumed there based
343	on the anoxic conditions ( $[O_2] < 0.1$ mg/L, below the detection limit of the $O_2$ probe) that
344	prevail inside the reactors [9]. Thus, variation in the O <sub>2</sub> availability between the systems
345	can also explain the differences in the bacterial communities. Part of the Hyphomicrobium
346	and Filomicrobium OTUs in the AQUAR reactors may have been favored by O <sub>2</sub> .
347	Analogously, as in aerobic methane oxidation coupled with denitrification (AME-D) [46],
348	these bacteria could have contributed to the overall denitrification performance by
349	consuming $O_2$ and by aerobically converting methanol to organic substrates utilizable by
350	non-methylotrophic denitrifiers. However, in addition to variating $NO_3^-$ and $O_2$ ,
351	differences in the inocula (the original bacterial community that colonized the reactors)
352	and in the carrier materials between the reactors as well as the amendment of the trace
353	metal solution and the higher addition of methanol in the previously studied systems [3,
354	21, 22] could have also affected the differences in the bacterial communities.
355	The lower relative abundance of methylotrophs in the cooler reactor AQUAR_C
356	than in AQUAR_T can be first explained by the saccharose addition in 2008 leading to
357	over-competition of non-methylotrophic organisms in AQUAR_C. In 2010, the difference
358	can be attributed to the lower availability of methanol (lower $Met_f$ and methanol: $NO_x^-$
359	inflow) in AQUAR_C. Variation in the availability of methanol can also explain the lower
360	abundance of Hyphomicrobium and Methylophaga and the higher abundance of Fil I
361	bacteria in AQUAR_C than in AQUAR_T. However, differences in temperature could

362 also play a role here since the lowest limits of the growth temperature ranges of 363 *Methylophaga (M. nitratireducenticrescens,* 15–37 °C) [42] and *Hyphomicrobium (H.* 364 nitrativorans, 15–35 °C) [29] are higher than that of Filomicrobium (e.g., F. insigne, 4–45 365 °C) [45], which indicates that *Filomicrobium*-related Fil I bacteria grew better in the 366 cooler AQUAR\_C reactor. The growth temperature range of *M. thiooxydans*, the closest 367 cultured relative of *Methylophaga* in AQUAR C, is not known. However, the absence of 368 *M. thiooxydans* in the previously studied sea water reactor with a slightly lower 369 temperature, 16–18 °C [3], than in AQUAR C, 18–19 °C, suggests that temperature 370 variations do not explain the differences in the Methylophaga species between AQUAR\_C 371 and AQUAR\_T. *M. thiooxydans* can grow on a wider range of carbon substrates 372 (methanol, fructose, monomethylamine) than *M. nitratireducenticrescens* (methanol) [42]. 373 Thus, differences in the *Methylophaga* species between the reactors could be explained by 374 *M. thiooxydans* surviving better with lower methanol availability. 375 Many other detected phyla, that is, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, 376 Chloroflexi, Deferribacteres, Planctomycetes and Proteobacteria (other than the detected 377 methylotrophs), contain species capable of either partial or complete denitrification [11, 378 27, 37, 39, 44] suggesting that they contribute to the overall denitrification performance of 379 the AQUAR reactors and utilize the organic compounds produced by methylotrophs. 380 However, recent cultivation studies have shown that methylotrophy is present within 381 Bacteroidetes [28] and Actinobacteria [15]. In addition, DNA stable isotopic probing 382 (SIP) analyses, although not able to distinguish between direct C1 utilization and cross-383 feeding, raise speculation about the existence of unclassified methylotrophic 384 Gammaproteobacteria [31], as well as methylotrophic members within Bacteroidetes, 385 Deferribacteres [16] and Acidobacteria [34]. Further studies are needed to show whether 386 members of these groups couple methylotrophy with denitrification in saline water

387 systems and could also be considered potential target taxonomic groups for monitoring388 purposes.

389

### 390 Conclusions

391

392 This study confirmed that NO<sub>3</sub> was transformed into N<sub>2</sub> in a functioning saline water 393 methanol-fed denitrification system. Combining the results of this study with those of 394 previous studies show that *Methylophaga* and *Hyphomicrobium* can serve as target genera 395 in monitoring the function of saline water methanol-utilizing denitrification systems. 396 However, species-level differences among the systems, stemming from differences in the 397 physicochemical and biological conditions, prevent the determination of individual 398 Methylophaga or Hyphomicrobium species to be used as general target species in process 399 monitoring. Interestingly, other bacteria with potential methylotrophic and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-reducing 400 metabolism, within Filomicrobium and Fil I and Fil II clusters, were found, suggesting 401 they also contributed to saline water methylotrophic denitrification. Whether bacteria 402 within *Methylophaga*, *Filomicrobium*, Fil I and Fil II clusters can only reduce  $NO_3^{-1}$  to 403  $NO_2^-$  or reduce  $NO_2^-$ , NO and  $N_2O$ , and whether other detected bacteria are able to couple 404 methylotrophy with denitrification in the saline water denitrification bioreactors, is still to 405 be resolved. These studies should employ high-throughput culturing methods to isolate 406 target organisms, as well as metagenomics and metatranscriptomics. 407

408

409 **Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

410	Refe	rences
411		
412	1.	Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ
413		(1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database
414		search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389-3402
415	2.	Auclair J, Lépine F, Parent S, Villemur R (2010) Dissimilatory reduction of nitrate
416		in seawater by a Methylophaga strain containing two highly divergent narG
417		sequences. ISME J 4:1302-1313
418	3.	Auclair J, Parent S, Villemur R (2012) Functional diversity in the denitrifying
419		biofilm of the methanol-fed marine denitrification system at the Montreal Biodome.
420		Microb Ecol 63:726-735
421	4.	Baytshtok V, Lu H, Park H, Kim S, Yu R, Khandran K (2009) Impact of varying
422		electron donors on the molecular microbial ecology and biokinetics of
423		methylotrophic denitrifying bacteria. Biotechnol Bioeng 102:1527-1536
424	5.	Beck DAC, McTaggart TL, Setboonsarng U, Vorobev A, Kalyuzhnaya MG,
425		Ivanova N, Goodwin L, Woyke T, Lidstrom ME, Chistoserdova L (2014) The
426		expanded diversity of <i>Methylophilaceae</i> from Lake Washington through cultivation
427		and genomic sequencing of novel ecotypes. PLoS ONE 9:e102458
428	6.	Bernet N, Delgenes N, Akunna JC, Delgenes JP, Moletta R (2000) Combined
429		anaerobic-aerobic SBR for the treatment of piggery wastewater. Water Res 34:611-
430		619
431	7.	Boden R, Ferriera S, Johnson J, Kelly DP, Murrell JC, Schäfer H (2011) Draft
432		genome sequence of the chemolithoheterotrophic, halophilic methylotroph
433		Methylophaga thiooxydans DMS010. J Bacteriol 193:3154-3155
434	8.	Chistoserdova L, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Lidstrom ME (2009) The expanding world of
435		methylotrophic organisms. Annu Rev Microbiol 63:477-499
436	9.	Dernjatin M (2008) Leijuva-alustaisen denitrifikaatioprosessin optimointi
437		suljetussa kiertovesijärjestelmässä. MsC thesis, University of Helsinki, Helsinki,
438		Finland (in Finnish)
439	10	. Gentile ME, Nyman JL, Criddle CS (2007) Correlation of patterns of denitrification
440		instability in replicated bioreactor communities with shifts in the relative abundance
441		and the denitrification patterns of specific populations. ISME J 1:714-728
442	11	. Gittel A, Kofoed MVW, Sørensen KB, Ingvorsen K, Schramm A (2012) Succession
443		of Deferribacteres and Epsilonproteobacteria through a nitrate-treated high-
444		temperature oil production facility. Syst Appl Microbiol 35:165-174
445	12	. Hagman M, Nielsen JL, Nielsen PH, Jansen J la C (2008) Mixed carbon sources for
446		nitrate reduction in activated sludge-identification of bacteria and process activity
447		studies. Water Res 42:1539-1546
448	13	. Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) PAST: paleontological statistics software
449		package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron 4
450	14	. Henriques AC, De Marco P (2015) Complete genome sequences of two strains of
451		"Candidatus Filomicrobium marinum," a methanesulfonate-degrading species.
452		Genome Announc 3:e00160-15
453	15	. Hung WL, Wade WG, Boden R, Kelly DP, Wood AP (2011) Facultative
454		methylotrophs from the human oral cavity and methylotrophy in strains of
455		Gordonia, Leifsonia, and Microbacterium. Arch Microbiol 193:407-417
456	16	Jensen S, Neufeld JD, Birkeland NK, Hovland M, Murrell JC (2008) Methane
457		assimilation and trophic interactions with marine Methylomicrobium in deep-water
458		coral reef sediment off the coast of Norway. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 66:320-330

459 17. Kampschreur MJ, Temmink H, Kleerebezem R, Jetten MSM, van Loosdrecht, MCM (2009) Nitrous oxide emission during wastewater treatment. Water Res 460 461 43:4093-4103 462 18. Koch G, Siegrist H (1997) Denitrification with methanol in tertiary filtration. Water Res 31:3029-3038 463 464 19. Kolb S (2009) Aerobic methanol- oxidizing Bacteria in soil. FEMS Microbiol Lett 465 300:1-10 466 20. Kumaresan D, Wischer D, Hillebrand-Voiculescu AM, Murrell JC (2015) Draft genome sequences of facultative methylotrophs, Gemmobacter sp. strain LW1 and 467 468 Mesorhizobium sp. strain 1M-11, isolated from Movile Cave, Romania. Genome 469 Announc 3:e01266-15 470 21. Labbé N, Juteau P, Parent S, Villemur R (2003) Bacterial diversity in a marine methanol-fed denitrification reactor at the Montreal Biodome, Canada. Microb Ecol 471 472 46:12-21 473 22. Labbé N, Laurin V, Juteau P, Parent S, Villemur R (2007) Microbiological community structure of the biofilm of a methanol-fed, marine denitrification system, 474 and identification of the methanol-utilizing micro-organisms. Microb Ecol 53:621-475 476 630 477 23. Labbé N, Parent S, Villemur R (2003) Addition of trace metals increases 478 denitrification rate in closed marine systems. Water Res 37:914-920 24. Labelle M-A, Juteau P, Jolicoeur M, Villemur R, Parent S, Comeau Y (2005) 479 Seawater denitrification in a closed mesocosm by a submerged moving bed biofilm 480 reactor. Water Res 39:3409-3417 481 482 25. Lee PG, Lea RN, Dohmann E, Prebilsky W, Turk PE, Ying H, Whitson JL (2000) 483 Denitrification in aquaculture systems: an example of a fuzzy logic control problem. 484 Aquacult Eng 23:37-59 26. Lemmer H, Zaglauer A, Metzner G (1997) Denitrification in a methanol-fed fixed-485 486 bed reactor. Part 1: Physico-chemical and biological characterization. Water Res 487 31:1897-1902 27. Lu H, Chandran K, Stensel D (2014) Microbial ecology of denitrification in 488 489 biological wastewater treatment. Water Res 64:237-254 28. Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Lee J-S, Lee KC, Sundaram S (2010) Flavobacterium 490 491 glycines sp. nov., a facultative methylotroph isolated from the rhizosphere of soybean. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 60:2187-2192 492 493 29. Martineau C, Villeneuve C, Mauffrey F, Villemur R (2013) Hyphomicrobium nitrativorans sp. nov., isolated from the biofilm of a methanol-fed denitrification 494 495 system treating seawater at the Montreal Biodome. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 496 63:3777-3781 497 30. Martineau C, Mauffrey F, Villemur R (2015) Comparative analysis of denitrifying 498 activities of Hyphomicrobium nitrativorans, Hyphomicrobium denitrificans and 499 Hyphomicrobium zavarzinii. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:5003-5014 500 31. Neufeld JD, Schäfer H, Cox MJ, Boden R, McDonald IR, Murrell JC (2007) Stable-501 isotope probing implicates Methylophaga spp and novel Gammaproteobacteria in 502 marine methanol and methylamine metabolism. ISME J 1:480-491 503 32. Osaka T, Shirotani K, Yoshie S, Tsuneda S (2008) Effects of carbon source on 504 denitrification efficiency and microbial community structure in a saline wastewater 505 treatment process. Water Res 42:3709-3718 506 33. Peura S, Eiler A, Bertilsson S, Nykänen H, Tiirola M, Jones RI (2012) Distinct and diverse anaerobic bacterial communities in boreal lakes dominated by candidate 507 508 division OD1. ISME J 6:1640-1652

- 34. Radajewski S, Webster G, Reay DS, Morris SA, Ineson P, Nedwell DB, Prosser JI,
  Murrell JC (2002) Identification of active methylotroph populations in an acidic
  forest soil by stable-isotope probing. Microbiology 148:2331-2342
- 512 35. Rainey FA, Ward-Rainey N, Gliesche CG, Stackebrandt, E (1998) Phylogenetic
  513 analysis and intrageneric structure of the genus and the related genus *Filomicrobium*.
  514 Int J Syst Bacteriol 48:635-639
- 515 36. Rissanen AJ, Kurhela E, Aho T, Oittinen T, Tiirola M (2010) Storage of
  516 environmental samples for guaranteeing nucleic acid yields for molecular
  517 microbiological studies. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 88:977–984
- 518 37. Scheuner C, Tindall BJ, Lu M, Nolan M, Lapidus A, Cheng J-F, Goodwin L, Pitluck 519 S, Huntemann M, Liolios K, Pagani I, Mavromatis K, Ivanova N, Pati A, Chen A, 520 Palaniappan K, Jeffries CD, Hauser L, Land M, Mwrichia R, Rohde M, Abt B, Detter JC, Woyke T, Eisen JA, Markowitz V, Hugenholtz P, Göker M, Kyrpides 521 522 NC, Klenk H-P (2014) Complete genome sequence of Planctomyces brasiliensis 523 type strain (DSM 5305T), phylogenomic analysis and reclassification of Planctomycetes including the descriptions of Gimesia gen. nov., Planctopirus gen. 524 nov. and Rubinisphaera gen. nov. and emended descriptions of the order 525 526 Planctomycetales and the family Planctomycetaceae. Stand Genomic Sci 9:10 527
  - 38. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF (2009) Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:7537-7541

530

531

532

533

534

535 536

537

538 539

540 541

542

543

544 545

- 39. Shoun H, Kano M, Baba I, Takaya N, Matsuo M (1998) Denitrification by Actinomycetes and purification of dissimilatory nitrite reductase and azurin from *Streptomyces thioluteus*. J Bacteriol 180:4413-4415
- 40. Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol 28:2731-2739
- 41. Tiirola MA, Rissanen AJ, Sarpakunnas M, Arvola L, Nykänen H (2011) Stable isotope profiles of nitrogen gas indicate denitrification in oxygen-stratified humic lakes. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 25:1497-1502
- 42. Villeneuve C, Martineau C, Mauffrey F, Villemur R (2013) *Methylophaga nitratireducenticrescens* sp. nov. and *Methylophaga frappieri* sp. nov., isolated from the biofilm of the methanol-fed denitrification system treating the seawater at the Montreal Biodome. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 63:2216-2222
- 43. Wagner M, Loy A, Nogueira R, Purkhold U, Lee N, Daims H (2002) Microbial community composition and function in wastewater treatment plants. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 81:665–680
- 548 44. Ward NL, Challacombe JF, Janssen PH, Henrissat B, Countinho PM, Wu M, Xie G, Haft DH, Sait M, Badger J, Barabote RD, Bradley B, Brettin TS, Brinkac LM, Bruce 549 D, Creasy T, Daugherty SC, Davidsen TM, DeBoy RT, Detter JC, Dodson RJ, 550 Durkin AS, Ganapathy A, Gwinn-Giglio M, Han CS, Khouri H, Kiss H, Kothari SP, 551 552 Madupu R, Nelson KE, Nelson WC, Paulsen I, Penn K, Ren Q, Rosovitz MJ, Selengut JD, Shrivastava S, Sullivan SA, Tapia R, Thompson LS, Watkins KL, 553 554 Yang Q, Yu C, Zafar N, Zhou L, Kuske CR (2009) Three genomes from the phylum 555 Acidobacteria provide insight into the lifestyles of these microorganisms in soils. 556 Appl Environ Microbiol 75:2046-2056
- 45. Wu X-L, Yu S-L, Gu J, Zhao G-F, Chi C-Q (2009) *Filomicrobium insigne* sp. nov.,
  isolated from an oil-polluted saline soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 59:300-305

- 46. Zhu J, Wang Q, Yuan M, Tan GYA, Sun F, Wang C, Wu W, Lee PH (2016)
  Microbiology and potential applications of aerobic methane oxidation coupled to
  denitrification (AME-D) process: A review. Water Res 90:203-215

**Fig. 1** Concentration of  $NO_x$ <sup>-</sup> in the inflow and the outflow and the relative  $NO_x$ <sup>-</sup> reduction in the denitrification reactors, AQUAR\_T and AQUAR\_C of the sea water aquarium from 24 September 2008 to 28 December 2010. The sampling dates for the microbial studies (10 November 2008 and 8 September 2010) are indicated with arrows

571

572 Fig. 2 Concentrations (average +/- standard deviation) and estimated production rates of N<sub>2</sub> gas

573 and excess <sup>15</sup>N (in N<sub>2</sub> gas) in incubation bottles after 2 h (n = 4), 6 h (n = 4) and 21 h (n = 3) of

574 incubation (at room temperature) of the carrier material and water from AQUAR\_T with methanol

575 and <sup>15</sup>N-labeled NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. One non-incubated water sample taken from the reactor before the

576 incubation served as the 0 time point control (n = 1). The standard deviations of the N<sub>2</sub> and <sup>15</sup>N

577 concentrations at time point 2 h are very low and masked behind the symbol

578

579 Fig. 3 Phylogenetic tree (neighbor-joining method) of Hyphomicrobiaceae based on a comparative

580 analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences in clone libraries showing the phylogenetic position of the

581 operational taxonomic units (OTUs; at 97 % sequence similarity) in AQUAR\_C and AQUAR\_T

582 in 2008 and 2010 (symbols). *Hyphomicrobium* clusters I and II were previously defined by Rainey

583 et al. [35]. The numbers in the parentheses after the OTU number indicate the number of

sequences within that OTU. The numbers at the nodes indicate the percentage of occurrence in

585 1000 bootstrapped trees (bootstrap values > 50 % are shown)

586

587 **Fig. 4** Phylogenetic tree (neighbor-joining method) of *Methylophaga* based on a comparative

analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences in clone libraries showing the phylogenetic position of the

- 589 operational taxonomic units (OTUs; at 97 % sequence similarity) in AQUAR\_C and AQUAR\_T
- at 2008 and 2010 (symbols). The numbers in the parentheses after the OTU number indicate the

591	number of sequences within that OTU. The numbers at the nodes indicate the percentage of
592	occurrence in 1000 bootstrapped trees (bootstrap values $> 50$ % are shown)
593	
594	
595	Online Resource figure captions
596	
597	Online Resource 1 Hierarchical clustering analysis (UPGMA) of relative abundance of OTUs
598	(using Bray-Curtis distances) in 16S rRNA gene clone library analyses of AQUAR_T and
599	AQUAR_C in 2008 and 2010
600	
601	
602	
603	
604	
605	
606	
607	
608	
609	
610	
611	
612	
613	
614	
615	
616	
617	
618	

**Table 1** Average (range) (n = 3 in both years) in the actual NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction rates, NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> load rate ( $_{L}NO_{x}^{-}inflow$ ) and inflow methanol:NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup>-N ratio as well as

operator-controlled rates of methanol addition (Met<sub>f</sub>), saccharose addition and water flow (W<sub>f</sub>) in the denitrification reactors of AQUAR\_T and AQUAR\_C in

621 2008 and 2010 for the 1.5 to 2 month periods before sampling for bacterial DNA (24 September to 10 November 2008 and 13 July to 8 September 2010)

677	
$0 \angle 2$	

	AQUAR_T		AQUAR_C	
Year	2008	2010	2008	2010
Actual NO <sub>x</sub> <sup>-</sup> reduction (µmol N/L <sub>car</sub> /h)	580 <sup>a</sup> (60–1020)	890 (740–1090)	540 <sup>b</sup> (290–700)	910 (880–950)
Met <sub>f</sub> (mmol C/h)	158	98	185	85
Saccharose addition (mmol C/h)			67	
W <sub>f</sub> (L/h)	120	70	110	93
LNO <sub>x inflow</sub> (mmol N/h)	76 (70–80)	66 (54–76)	51 (47–54)	66 (63–68)
Methanol:NO <sub>x</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N <sub>inflow</sub> (mol:mol)	2.1 (2.0–2.2)	1.5 (1.3–1.8)	3.6 (3.4–3.9)	1.3 (1.3–1.4)

 $^{a}$  Excluding values on 4 November 2008 (pumping and carbon dosage problems), the average actual NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction rate is 840  $\mu$ mol N/L<sub>car</sub>/h (range 660–

625 1020)

 $^{b}$  Excluding values on 4 November 2008 (pumping and carbon dosage problems), the average actual NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction rate is 660 µmol N/L<sub>car</sub>/h (range 620–700) 627

Table 2 Bacterial community composition (% of sequences) in denitrifying reactors of sea water aquarium (AQUAR\_T and AQUAR\_C) based on 16S rRNA
 gene clone libraries and 454 pyrosequencing. Methanol was used as the sole external carbon source except for AQUAR\_C in 2008, when a mixture of
 methanol and saccharose was used during the period before microbial sampling

	AQUAR_T	$AQUAR_T (454)^a$	AQUAR_T	AQUAR_C	AQUAR_C
Year (number of sequences)	2008 (72)	2008 (1849)	2010 (67)	2008 (59)	2010 (63)
Frequency (%) <sup>b</sup> :					
Putative methylotrophic	74	38	56	15	30
Alphaproteobacteria	64	35	46	69	30
Hyphomicrobiaceae	60	22	31	20	27
Hyphomicrobium	53	_	25	5	8
Filomicrobium cluster	3	_	3	_	_
Fil I cluster	_	_	3	7	17
Fil II cluster	4	_	_	3	2
Maritalea cluster	_	_	_	2	_
Mar I cluster	_	_	_	3	_
Rhodobacteraceae	1	2	_	32	_
Phyllobacteriaceae	_	4	9	2	3
Gammaproteobacteria	22	29	28	7	8
Piscirickettsiaceae	14	16	25	_	3
Methylophaga	14	16	25	_	3
incertae sedis	_	<1	_	_	3
unclassified	8	13	3	7	_
Deltaproteobacteria	3	2	7	_	5
Acidobacteria	_	_	_	_	3
Actinobacteria	1	<1	1	3	2
Bacteroidetes	3	7	6	19	14
Chloroflexi	3	3	1	_	19
Deferribacteres	_	_	_	_	2
Planctomycetes	_	4	_	_	10
Spirochaetes	_	<1	_	_	2
Unclassified + others	4	20	11	2	5

<sup>a</sup> Libraries generated using 454 pyrosequencing

639 640	<sup>b</sup> Classification was performed using the RDP database in Mothur and with phylogenetic tree analysis (Fig. 3). Assignment to methylotrophic
040	function was based on previous inerature. Frequencies are given as percentages (%) of the total number of sequences in a sample
041	
04 <i>2</i>	
643	
644	
645	
646	
647	
648	
649	
650	
651	
652	
653	
654	
655	
656	
657	
658	
659	
660	
661	
662	
663	
664	
665	
666	
667	
668	
669	
670	
671	
672	

673 Figure 1 





704 Figure 3 







733	Online Resources
734	
735	Journal: J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol
736	
737	Title: <i>Methylophaga</i> and <i>Hyphomicrobium</i> can be used as target genera in monitoring
738	saline water methanol-utilizing denitrification
739	
740	Authors: Antti J. Rissanen <sup>1, 2</sup> *, Anne Ojala, Markus Dernjatin, Jouni Jaakkola & Marja
741	Tiirola
742	
743	<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry and Bioengineering, Tampere University of Technology, P.O.
744	Box 541, FI-33101 Tampere, Finland
745	<sup>2</sup> Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box
746	35, FI-40014 Jyväskylä, Finland
747	
748	*Corresponding author
749	email-address: antti.rissanen@tut.fi
750	
751	
752	Online Resource 1
753	



755 **Online Resource 1** Hierarchical clustering analysis (UPGMA) of relative abundance of OTUs

756 (using Bray-Curtis distances) in 16S rRNA gene clone library analyses of AQUAR\_T and

757 AQUAR\_C in 2008 and 2010