

**This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint *may differ* from the original in pagination and typographic detail.**

Author(s): Onninen, Jani; Tengvall, Ville

Title: Mappings of L^p -integrable distortion: regularity of the inverse

Year: 2016

Version:

Please cite the original version:

Onninen, J., & Tengvall, V. (2016). Mappings of L^p -integrable distortion: regularity of the inverse. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics*, 146(3), 647-663. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210515000530>

All material supplied via JYX is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

MAPPINGS OF L^p -INTEGRABLE DISTORTION: REGULARITY OF THE INVERSE

JANI ONNINEN AND VILLE TENGVALL

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{X} be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n and suppose that $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Sobolev homeomorphism. We study the regularity of f^{-1} under the L^p -integrability assumption on the distortion function K_f . First, if \mathbb{X} is the unit ball and $p > n - 1$, then the optimal local modulus of continuity of f^{-1} is attained by a radially symmetric mapping. We show that this is not the case when $p \leq n - 1$ and $n \geq 3$, and answer a question raised by S. Hencl and P. Koskela. Second, we obtain the optimal integrability results for $|Df^{-1}|$ in terms of the L^p -integrability assumptions of K_f .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{X} be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. A Sobolev homeomorphism $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ has *finite distortion* if there is a measurable function $K(x) \geq 1$, finite a.e., such that

$$(1.1) \quad |Df(x)|^n \leq K(x)J_f(x), \quad J_f(x) := \det Df(x).$$

Hereafter $|A|$ is the operator norm of a linear map A . The smallest function $K(x) \geq 1$ for which the distortion inequality (1.1) holds is denoted by K_f . The target of \mathbb{X} under f will be denoted by \mathbb{Y} , i.e. $f: \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{Y}$. The theory of mappings of finite distortion [2, 10, 11] has arisen from a need to extend the ideas and applications of the classical theory of quasiconformal homeomorphisms ($K \in L^\infty(\mathbb{X})$) to the degenerate elliptic setting. However, some bounds on the distortion are needed to obtain a viable theory. There one finds applications in materials science, particularly nonlinear elasticity. The mathematical models of nonlinear elasticity have been pioneered by Antman [1], Ball [3], and Ciarlet [4]. The general law of hyperelasticity tells us that there exists an energy integral functional with given stored-energy function characterizing elastic properties of the material. If the reference configuration is the unit ball one of important problems in nonlinear elasticity is whether or not the radially symmetric minimizers are indeed global minimizers of the given energy. Here we first study optimal modulus of continuity for the inverse in the class of homeomorphisms with L^p -integrable distortion. And, bring into question whether or not such regularity is already obtained within the class of radially symmetric homeomorphisms.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C65; Secondary 28A78, 26B10.

J. Onninen was supported by the Academy of Finland, and V. TENGVALL was supported by the Vilho, Yrjö and Kalle Väisälä foundation.

1.1. Modulus of continuity of the inverse. If $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{X})$ for some $p > n - 1$, then the inverse $f^{-1}: \mathbb{Y} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{X}$ enjoys locally α -logarithmic modulus of continuity with $\alpha = \frac{p(n-1)}{n}$; that is, for $B(y_o, R) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n: |y - y_o| < R\} \subset \subset \mathbb{Y}$ and all points $y \in B(y_o, R)$ we have

$$(1.2) \quad |f^{-1}(y) - f^{-1}(y_o)| \log^\alpha(R/|y - y_o|) \leq C,$$

where C depends only on p, n and the integral of K_f^p . This optimal modulus of continuity was established by Clop and Herron [5] and earlier in dimension two by Koskela and Takkinen [13]. Regardless the optimality, it is natural to examine radially symmetric mappings $f: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(1.3) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} F(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $F: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing continuous function with $F(0) = 0$. Indeed, if we take $F(t) = \exp(-t^{-\frac{1}{\beta}})$ in (1.3), then the corresponding radially symmetric homeomorphism shows the exponent α in (1.2) cannot be replaced by $\beta > \alpha$.

Next, we consider the case $p = n - 1$. On the one hand, the exponent α in (1.2) approaches $(n - 1)^2/n$ as $p \rightarrow n - 1$. In the class of radially symmetric mappings we have such a modulus of continuity.

Proposition 1.1. *Let \mathbb{B} be the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that $f: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a radially symmetric homeomorphism with $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{B})$, for some $p \geq 1$. Then the inverse of f has $\frac{p(n-1)}{n}$ -logarithmic modulus of continuity.*

Again the radial mapping (1.3) with $F(t) = \exp(-t^{-\frac{1}{\beta}})$ and $\beta > \frac{p(n-1)}{n}$ shows that this result is sharp. On the other hand, if we relax the symmetric assumption and just require that $K_f \in L^{n-1}(\mathbb{X})$, then the inverse $f^{-1} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, see [6, 9]. It follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem on spheres [8, 15] that any $W^{1,n}$ -homeomorphism has locally $1/n$ -logarithmic modulus of continuity. Therefore, the inverse f^{-1} satisfies (1.2) with the exponent $1/n$. These two exponents only coincide in the planar case. In their recent monograph Hencl and Koskela raised the question [10, Open problem 13.] as what is the optimal exponent in the borderline case, $p = n - 1$. Next result answers to this question.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $\beta > 1/n$. Then there exists a Sobolev homeomorphism $f: \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{Y}$ with $K_f \in L^{n-1}(\mathbb{X})$ such that for some $y_o \in \mathbb{Y}$ we have*

$$(1.4) \quad \limsup_{y \rightarrow y_o} \left[|f^{-1}(y) - f^{-1}(y_o)| \log^\beta(1/|y - y_o|) \right] = \infty.$$

In summary, let $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be a Sobolev homeomorphism with $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{X})$. Then the inverse f^{-1} satisfies (1.2) with

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{p(n-1)}{n}, & \text{when } p > n-1 \\ \frac{1}{n}, & \text{when } p = n-1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, this exponent is optimal; that is, α in (1.2) cannot be replaced by any $\beta > \alpha$. Furthermore, if $p < n-1$ such an α does not exist. Even more,

Proposition 1.3. *Let $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly increasing continuous function with $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then there exists a homeomorphism $f: \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{Y}$ with $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{X})$ for every $1 \leq p < n-1$ and for some $y_\circ \in \mathbb{Y}$ we have*

$$(1.5) \quad \limsup_{y \rightarrow y_\circ} \frac{|f^{-1}(y) - f^{-1}(y_\circ)|}{\varphi(|y - y_\circ|)} = \infty.$$

TABLE 1. Modulus of Continuity of f^{-1}

$K_f \in L^p$	α in (1.2)	
		$f(x) = F(x)^{\frac{x}{ x }}$
$p > n-1$	$\frac{p(n-1)}{n}$	$\frac{p(n-1)}{n}$
$p = n-1$	$\frac{1}{n}$	$\frac{p(n-1)}{n}$
$p < n-1$	Does not exist	$\frac{p(n-1)}{n}$

1.2. Integrability of $|Df^{-1}|$. As we pointed out earlier the inverse map f^{-1} enjoys the $W^{1,n}$ -regularity provided K_f is L^{n-1} -integrable [6, 9]. Here we obtain a L^p -counterpart of this result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let \mathbb{X} be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that $f: \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{Y}$ is a homeomorphism of finite distortion with $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{X})$ for some $p \geq n-1$. Then*

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{Y}} |Df^{-1}(y)|^n \log^q(e + |Df^{-1}(y)|) dy \leq C \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^p(x) dx,$$

where $q = (n-1)(p-n+1)$ and the finite constant C depends only on n and p .

The simple example $f: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n|x_n|^s)$, $0 < s < 1/(n-1)$ shows that for $f^{-1} \in W^{1,n}(f(\mathbb{B}), \mathbb{R}^n)$ the L^{n-1} -integrability of K_f cannot be relaxed. Even in the class of radially symmetric homeomorphisms this is not possible.

Proposition 1.5. *There exists a radially symmetric homeomorphism $f: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{B})$ for every $1 \leq p < n - 1$ and*

$$\int_{f(\mathbb{B})} |Df^{-1}(y)|^n dy = \infty.$$

Next, we compare Theorem 1.4 with the modulus of continuity results for f^{-1} . It follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem on spheres [8, 15] that a homeomorphism $g: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ whose differential belongs to the Lorentz class $L^n \log^q(\mathbb{X})$ for some $q > -1$; that is, $|Dg|^n \log^q(e + |Dg|) \in L^1(\mathbb{X})$ has locally $(q + 1)/n$ -logarithmic modulus of continuity. Especially, Theorem 1.4 gives that the inverse has locally γ -logarithmic modulus of continuity provided $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{X})$ for some $p \geq n - 1$. Here

$$\gamma = \frac{p(n - 1)}{n} - (n - 2).$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.4 gives the optimal modulus of continuity for the inverse mapping when $p = n - 1$ or $n = 2$. Otherwise, however, the optimal modulus of continuity of f^{-1} does not follow from the integrability estimate (1.6). As we pointed out earlier, the radial mapping (1.3) with $F(t) = \exp(-t^{-\frac{1}{\beta}})$ reaches this optimal modulus of continuity. We further note that the mapping in question has L^p -integrable distortion if and only if $|Df^{-1}|$ belongs to the Lorentz class $L^n \log^{p(n-1)-1}(\mathbb{X})$. In spite of this, we prove that Theorem 1.4 is optimal.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $n \geq 2$, $p \geq n - 1$ and $s > (n - 1)(p - n + 1)$. Then there exists open subsets $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and a Sobolev homeomorphism $f: \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{Y}$, $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{X})$ and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Y}} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^s(e + |Df^{-1}|) = \infty.$$

2. MODULUS OF CONTINUITY OF THE INVERSE

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix $\beta > 1/n$ and choose α so that $\beta > \alpha > 1/n$. We consider the cylindrical domain

$$\mathbb{X} := \{x = (\omega, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : |\omega| < \delta \text{ and } |x_n| < 1\},$$

where $0 < \delta < \exp(-\frac{(n-1)!}{2}(\alpha^{n-1} + 1))$ is fixed, and define

$$L(r) := \begin{cases} \log^{-\alpha} 1/r, & \text{if } 0 < r < \delta \\ 0, & \text{if } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

The mapping we are referring takes the form

$$f(\omega, x_n) = \left(x_1 L(|\omega|), \dots, x_{n-1} L(|\omega|), x_n |\omega| + \frac{x_n}{|x_n|} \int_0^{|x_n|} \exp(-t^{-1/\alpha}) dt \right),$$

where $\omega = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Then, for $x_n > 0$, we have

$$|f(0, x_n) - f(0, 0)| \leq x_n \exp\left(-x_n^{-1/\alpha}\right).$$

Therefore, (1.4) follows with $y_o = 0$. Indeed, let $C > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be given. Set

$$\tau := (2C)^{-1/(\alpha^{-1}-\beta^{-1})} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda := (\log \epsilon^{-2})^{-\alpha}.$$

Then for $0 < x_n < \min\{\tau, \lambda\}$,

$$\log \frac{1}{\epsilon} < \frac{1}{2x_n^{1/\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad Cx_n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\beta}} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

So

$$\exp\left(\frac{C}{x_n^{1/\beta}} - \frac{1}{x_n^{1/\alpha}}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2x_n^{1/\alpha}}\right) < \epsilon.$$

Thus, for any $0 < x_n < \min\{\tau, \lambda, 1\}$

$$|f(0, x_n) - f(0, 0)| \leq x_n \exp\left(-x_n^{-1/\alpha}\right) < \epsilon \exp\left(-\frac{C}{x_n^{1/\beta}}\right).$$

It remains to show that $K_f \in L^{n-1}(\mathbb{X})$. We have

$$Df(x) = L(|\omega|) \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & \cdots & A_{1,n-1} & 0 \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & \cdots & A_{2,n-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ A_{n-1,1} & A_{n-1,2} & \cdots & A_{n-1,n-1} & 0 \\ A_{n,1} & A_{n,2} & \cdots & A_{n,n-1} & A_{n,n} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{\alpha x_i^2}{|\omega|^2} \log^{-1} \frac{1}{|\omega|}, & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ \alpha \frac{x_i x_j}{|\omega|^2} \log^{-1} \frac{1}{|\omega|}, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ \frac{x_n x_j}{|\omega| L(|\omega|)}, & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ \frac{|\omega| + \exp(-|x_n|^{-1/\alpha})}{L(|\omega|)}, & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } j = n. \end{cases}$$

By the definition of $\delta > 0$ we may estimate the Jacobian of f in the set \mathbb{X} as follows

(2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} J_f(x) &\geq A_{n,n}[L(|\omega|)]^n \left(1 - (n-1)! \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha^k \frac{(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |x_i|)^{2k}}{|\omega|^{2k}} \log^{-k} \frac{1}{|\omega|} \right) \\ &\geq A_{n,n}[L(|\omega|)]^n \left(1 - (n-1)! (\alpha^{n-1} + 1) \log^{-1} \frac{1}{|\omega|} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} A_{n,n}[L(|\omega|)]^n = \frac{|\omega| + \exp(-|x_n|^{-1/\alpha})}{2 \log^{\alpha(n-1)}(1/|\omega|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we observe that $|A_{i,j}| < 2$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Therefore, we may conclude that

$$|Df(\omega, x_n)| \leq 2 \max\{L(|\omega|), |x_n|\} \quad \text{for every } (\omega, x_n) \in \mathbb{X},$$

and if we divide \mathbb{X} into two sets

$$\mathbb{X}_1 := \{x \in \mathbb{X} : L(|\omega|) \geq |x_n|\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{X}_2 := \mathbb{X} \setminus \mathbb{X}_1,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^{n-1} &= \int_{\mathbb{X}_1} K_f^{n-1} + \int_{\mathbb{X}_2} K_f^{n-1} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{X}_1} \left(\frac{\log^{-n\alpha} \frac{1}{|\omega|}}{J_f} \right)^{n-1} + \int_{\mathbb{X}_2} \left(\frac{|x_n|^n}{J_f} \right)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper we use the symbols \lesssim to indicate that the inequality holds with certain positive constant in the right hand side. This constant, referred to as *implied constant*, will vary from line to line. Here the implied constant depends only on n .

Employing the polar coordinates and (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^{n-1} &\lesssim \int_0^1 \int_{e^{-\tau}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}^{e^{-1}} \frac{1}{r \log^{\alpha(n-1)}(1/r)} dr d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\tau^n}{\exp(-\tau^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})} \right)^{n-1} \int_0^{e^{-\tau}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}} r^{n-2} \log^{\alpha(n-1)^2}(1/r) dr d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only on n . We have to deal with two different cases:

(1) If $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{n-1}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^{n-1} \lesssim \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - (n-1)}} d\tau + \int_0^1 \tau^{n-1} d\tau < \infty,$$

where the implied constant depends only on n and α . The finiteness of the first integral on the right is guaranteed by the assumption $\alpha > 1/n$. Thus $K_f \in L^{n-1}(\mathbb{X})$.

(2) If $\alpha = \frac{1}{n-1}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^{n-1} \lesssim - \int_0^1 \log \tau \, d\tau + \int_0^1 \tau^{n-1} \, d\tau < \infty,$$

where the implied constant depends only on n and α . Thus, also in this case we have $K_f \in L^{n-1}(\mathbb{X})$.

By (1) and (2) we have $K_f \in L^{n-1}(\mathbb{X})$. \square

2.2. Proof of Proposition 1.3. Consider the cylindrical domain

$$\mathbb{X} := \{x = (\omega, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} : |\omega| < 1 \text{ and } |x_n| < \varphi(1)\},$$

and define $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = f(\omega, x_n) = \left(\omega, x_n |\omega| + \frac{x_n}{|x_n|} \int_0^{|x_n|} \varphi^{-1}(t^\alpha) \, dt \right),$$

where $\alpha > 1$. Then

$$Df(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{x_1 x_n}{|\omega|} & \frac{x_2 x_n}{|\omega|} & \cdots & \frac{x_{n-1} x_n}{|\omega|} & |\omega| + \varphi^{-1}(|x_n|^\alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, for every $p < n-1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^p(x) \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{X}} \frac{1}{|\omega|^p} \, dx \leq 2 \int_0^{\varphi(1)} r^{n-2-p} \, dr < \infty,$$

and therefore $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{X})$.

Next, we define $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(t) = |f(0, \dots, 0, t)|.$$

Then it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g^{-1}(s)}{\varphi(s)} = \infty.$$

We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that there exists constants $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{g^{-1}(s)}{\varphi(s)} < C,$$

for all $0 < s < \delta$. Then for all sufficiently small $t > 0$ we have $\frac{g^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(t))}{t} < C$. Especially

$$\varphi^{-1}(t) < g(Ct)$$

for all small enough $t > 0$. Therefore, for every $0 < s < \min\{C^{-1}, C^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{-1}(s) &< g(Cs) = \int_0^{Cs} \varphi^{-1}(t^\alpha) dt \leq Cs \varphi^{-1}([Cs]^\alpha) \\ &< \varphi^{-1}([Cs]^\alpha) < \varphi^{-1}(s),\end{aligned}$$

which leads to a contradiction. \square

3. INTEGRABILITY OF Df^{-1}

There is a close connection between the integral of $|Df^{-1}|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}|)$ and the inner distortion of the mapping $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$. To see this, we define the co-differential $D^\sharp f$ via the Cramer's rule $D^\sharp f \circ Df = J_f(x)\mathbf{I}$, where \mathbf{I} stands for the identity matrix. The *inner distortion* of a Sobolev mapping $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the smallest function $K_I(x, f) \geq 1$ such that

$$|D^\sharp f(x)|^n \leq K_I(x, f) J_f(x)^{n-1}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n-1}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a homeomorphism with integrable inner distortion. Then for $\delta \geq 0$ we have*

(3.1)

$$\int_{f(\mathbb{X})} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}|) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_I(x, f) \log^\delta \left(e + \left[\frac{K_I(x, f)}{J_f(x)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \right) dx.$$

Proof. By [16, Theorem 1.2] (see also [6]) both the mapping f and its inverse f^{-1} have finite distortion. Furthermore, f and f^{-1} are differentiable almost everywhere. Therefore, the measure of the set

$$F' = \{y \in f(\mathbb{X}) : J_{f^{-1}}(y) > 0 \text{ and } f^{-1} \text{ is differentiable at } y\}$$

equals $|\{y \in f(\mathbb{X}) : Df^{-1}(y) \neq 0\}|$ and so,

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{f(\mathbb{X})} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}|) = \int_{F'} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}|).$$

For every $y \in F'$, f^{-1} is differentiable at y and $J_{f^{-1}}(y) > 0$. Therefore, f is differentiable at $f^{-1}(y)$ and

$$J_f(f^{-1}(y)) = \frac{1}{J_{f^{-1}}(y)}.$$

At this point we recall a version of the area formula. Let $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a homeomorphism and $G \subset \Omega$ a measurable set. Suppose that g is differentiable at every point of G and u is a non-negative Borel-measurable function in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$(3.3) \quad \int_G u(g(x)) |J_g(x)| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y) dy.$$

This follows from [7, Theorem 3.1.8] together with the area formula for Lipschitz mappings. Now, applying (3.3) for f^{-1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F'} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}|) \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Df^{-1}(f(x))|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}(f(x))|) J_f(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the change of variable (3.3) for f gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{f(\mathbb{X})} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}|) \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Df^{-1}(f(x))|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}(f(x))|) J_f(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Above estimates guarantee that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{f(\mathbb{X})} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}|) \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Df^{-1}(f(x))|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}(f(x))|) J_f(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By [12, Theorem 1.2], the Jacobian determinant of f is strictly positive almost everywhere. Here we also used the pointwise inequality $K_f(x) \leq K_I^{n-1}(x, f)$ for f with finite distortion. The familiar Cramer's rule gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Df^{-1}(f(x))|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}(f(x))|) J_f(x) \, dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_I(x, f) \log^\delta \left(e + \left[\frac{K_I(x, f)}{J_f(x)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \right) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The desired identity follows. \square

Since the inner distortion function $K_I(\cdot, f)$ is at least 1, Lemma 3.1 gives

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{f(\mathbb{X})} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}|) \geq n^{-\delta} \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_I(x, f) \log^\delta \left(e + \frac{1}{J_f(x)} \right) \, dx.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad & \int_{f(\mathbb{X})} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^\delta(e + |Df^{-1}|) \leq 2^\delta \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_I(x, f) \log^\delta K_I(x, f) \, dx \\ & + 4^\delta \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_I(x, f) \log^\delta \left(e + \frac{1}{J_f(x)} \right) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4. Denote $q = (n-1)(p-n+1)$. By applying (3.5) and the pointwise inequality $K_I(x, f) \leq K_f^{n-1}(x)$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{f(\mathbb{X})} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^q(e + |Df^{-1}|) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^p + \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_I \log^q \left(e + \frac{1}{J_f} \right),$$

where the implied constant depends only on n and p .

On the other hand, Hölder's inequality and [14, Theorem 1.1] gives us

(3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_I \log^q \left(e + \frac{1}{J_f} \right) &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} K_I^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} \log^{(n-1)p} \left(e + \frac{1}{J_f} \right) \right)^{\frac{p-n+1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^p \right)^{\frac{n-1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^p \right)^{\frac{p-n+1}{p}} = \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^p(x). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows by combining (3.6) and (3.7). \square

Hereafter, the symbol $a \approx b$ means that $b \lesssim a \lesssim b$. In the proof of Theorem 1.6 we will apply the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that a, b, c are given positive numbers such that $a \geq c$ and $a \geq bx_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, where $0 \leq x_i < 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, are some given numbers. Define*

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_1 b \\ 0 & a & 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_2 b \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a & x_{n-1} b \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & c \end{pmatrix},$$

and suppose that $\lambda_1^2 \geq \lambda_2^2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n^2 > 0$ are the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix $A = DD^T$. Then $|\lambda_n| \approx c$, and $|\lambda_1| \approx |\lambda_2| \approx \cdots \approx |\lambda_{n-1}| \approx a$, where the implied constants depends only on n .

Proof. By applying Gaussian elimination, we may show that

(3.8)

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (a^2 - \lambda)^{n-2} \left(\lambda^2 - \lambda(a^2 + b^2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 \right] + c^2) + a^2 c^2 \right).$$

It follows from (3.8) that at least $n-2$ of the eigenvalues $\lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_n^2$ equals to a^2 . Next, fix $\hat{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ such that for every $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ we have $0 \leq x_i < 1$ and $a \geq bx_i$. Then for the polynomial

$$P_{\hat{x}}(\lambda) := \lambda^2 - \lambda(a^2 + b^2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 \right] + c^2) + a^2 c^2,$$

we have

$$0 \leq P_{\hat{x}}(c^2/(n+1)) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\hat{x}}(c^2) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, by applying the continuity of $P_{\hat{x}}$ we have that the equation $P_{\hat{x}}(\lambda) = 0$ has a solution $\lambda \in [c^2/(n-1), c^2]$. Especially, this implies that $\lambda_n^2 \approx c^2$ where the implied constants depends only on n .

We know now the size of $n - 1$ of the eigenvalues. The size of the last eigenvalue can be solved by applying the fact $|\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n| = \det(A) = a^{n-1}c$, and thus the claim follows. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6. First we suppose that $p = n - 1$. Let $s > 0$ and $0 < \epsilon < s$. We define a smooth function $h : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$h(t) = t^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \log^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{n-1}}(1/t),$$

and our domain takes the form

$$\mathbb{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < x_n < c, 0 < x_i < 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1\},$$

where the constant $c > 0$ is so small that the function h is strictly increasing on the interval $(0, c)$. We define a mapping $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$f(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, h(x_n)).$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^{n-1} = \int_0^c \left[\frac{1}{h'(x_n)} \right]^{n-1} dx_n \lesssim \int_0^c \frac{dx_n}{x_n \log^{1+\epsilon}(1/x_n)} < \infty,$$

where the implied constant depends only on n , and therefore $K_f \in L^{n-1}(\mathbb{X})$.

On the other hand, for the inverse we have

$$f^{-1}(y) = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, h^{-1}(y_n)).$$

We observe that $h^{-1}(s) \approx s^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \log^{-\frac{1+\epsilon}{n}}(1/s)$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{d}{ds} h(h^{-1}(s)) \lesssim (h^{-1})'(s) (h^{-1}(s))^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \log^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{n-1}}(1/h^{-1}(s)) \\ &\approx (h^{-1})'(s) s^{\frac{1}{n}} \log^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{n}}(1/s), \end{aligned}$$

where all the implied constants depends only on n . Especially, we get

$$(3.9) \quad (h^{-1})'(s) \gtrsim s^{-\frac{1}{n}} \log^{-\frac{1+\epsilon}{n}}(1/s),$$

where the implied constant depends only on n . By applying (3.9) and the assumption $0 < \epsilon < s$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Y}} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^s(e + |Df^{-1}|) = \infty.$$

This gives the proof in the case $p = n - 1$.

Next we assume that $p > n - 1$. Let us denote $\beta = \frac{1}{(n-1)(p-1)}$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ small enough such that $(1 + \epsilon)\beta - 1 < 0$. We define a smooth function $\psi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$\psi(t) = t^{n\beta} \log^{(1+\epsilon)\beta}(1/t),$$

and smooth functions $g, h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(t) = \exp(-1/\psi(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad h(t) = \int_0^t g(s) \psi(s)^{n-1} ds.$$

Suppose that $c_1 > 0$ is so small that $\log^{(1+\epsilon)\beta-1}(1/t) < \frac{n\beta}{2}$ for all $0 < t < c_1$. We may assume that c_1 does not depend on ϵ by assuming $\epsilon > 0$ to be sufficiently small. Then we have

$$(3.10) \quad g'(t) \approx \frac{g(t)}{t\psi(t)},$$

for all $0 < t < c_1$, where the implied constants depends only on n and p .

We fix a constant $0 < c < e^{-1}$ so small that functions ψ , g and h are strictly increasing and the condition (3.10) holds on the interval $(0, c)$. Then our domain \mathbb{X} takes the form

$$\mathbb{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < x_n < c, 0 < x_i < g(x_n)/g'(x_n) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1\},$$

and we define a mapping $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ as

$$f(x) = (x_1 g(x_n), \dots, x_{n-1} g(x_n), h(x_n)).$$

Then we have

$$Df(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g(x_n) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_1 g'(x_n) \\ 0 & g(x_n) & \cdots & 0 & x_2 g'(x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g(x_n) & x_{n-1} g'(x_n) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & h'(x_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Next, at each point $x \in \mathbb{X}$ we define a symmetric matrix $S(x) = Df(x) Df(x)^T$, and denote its eigenvalues as

$$\lambda_1^2(x) \geq \lambda_2^2(x) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n^2(x) > 0.$$

By applying Lemma 3.2, we get

$$|\lambda_1(x)| \approx \cdots \approx |\lambda_{n-1}(x)| \approx g(x_n), \text{ and } |\lambda_n(x)| \approx h'(x_n),$$

where the implied constants depends only on n . Therefore

$$|Df(x)| \approx g(x_n), \quad J_f(x) = g(x_n)^{n-1} h'(x_n),$$

where the implied constants depends only on n . Especially, for the distortions we have

$$K_f(x) \approx \frac{g(x_n)}{h'(x_n)} \quad \text{and} \quad K_I(x, f) \approx \left(\frac{g(x_n)}{h'(x_n)} \right)^{n-1},$$

with implied constants depending only on n . By Fubini's theorem and (3.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_f^p(x) dx &\approx \int_0^c \int_0^{g(x_n)/g'(x_n)} \cdots \int_0^{g(x_n)/g'(x_n)} \left(\frac{g(x_n)}{h'(x_n)} \right)^p dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1} \\ &= \int_0^c \left(\frac{g(x_n)}{g'(x_n)} \right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{g(x_n)}{h'(x_n)} \right)^p dx_n \approx \int_0^c \frac{dx_n}{x_n \log^{1+\epsilon}(1/x_n)} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constants depends only on n and p . Thus $K_f \in L^p(\mathbb{X})$. On the other hand, by (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{Y}} |Df^{-1}|^n \log^s(e + |Df^{-1}|) \geq n^{-s} \int_{\mathbb{X}} K_I(x, f) \log^s \left(e + \frac{1}{J_f(x)} \right) dx \\
 &= n^{-s} \int_0^c \int_0^{g(x_n)/g'(x_n)} \cdots \int_0^{g(x_n)/g'(x_n)} K_I(x, f) \log^s \left(e + \frac{1}{J_f(x)} \right) dx \\
 &\gtrsim n^{-s} \int_0^c \int_0^{g(x_n)/g'(x_n)} \cdots \int_0^{g(x_n)/g'(x_n)} \left(\frac{g(x_n)}{h'(x_n)} \right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{|\psi(x_n)|} \right)^s dx \\
 &= n^{-s} \int_0^c \left(\frac{g(x_n)}{g'(x_n)} \right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{g(x_n)}{h'(x_n)} \right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{|\psi(x_n)|} \right)^s dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
 &\approx n^{-s} \int_0^c \frac{dx_n}{x_n^{s_1} \log^{s_2}(1/x_n)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $s_1 = \frac{(n-1)(p-1+n(n-1-p))+ns}{(n-1)(p-1)}$ and $s_2 = \frac{(1+\epsilon)((n-1)(n-2)+s)}{(n-1)(p-1)}$. Above, the implied constants depends on n . Therefore, this integral can be finite only if $s_1 \leq 1$, which is equivalent with the condition

$$s \leq (n-1)(p-n+1),$$

and the claim follows. \square

4. RADially SYMMETRIC HOMEOMORPHISMS

4.1. Proof of Proposition 1.1. When $n = 2$ the claim follows from [13].

Next, assume $n \geq 3$, and denote $\alpha := p(n-1) - 1 \geq 1$. We follow the notation in (1.3) and write

$$f(x) = F(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|},$$

where F is a strictly increasing, continuous function such that $F(0) = 0$. Then $f^{-1}(y) = F^{-1}(|y|) \frac{y}{|y|}$. Let us denote $H := F^{-1}$. It suffices to prove that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{H^n(|y|)}{|y|^n} \log^\alpha \left(1/\sqrt{|y|} \right) dy < \infty.$$

Indeed, suppose that (4.1) is true, and let $0 < r < 1$. Then Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^n(r) \log^{\alpha+1}(1/r) &\leq H^n(r) \left(\int_r^1 \frac{1}{t} dt \right)^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_r^1 \frac{1}{t} \log(1/t) dt \right)^\alpha \\
 &\leq H^n(r) \int_r^1 \frac{1}{t} \log^\alpha(1/t) dt \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{H^n(|y|)}{|y|^n} \log^\alpha \left(1/\sqrt{|y|} \right) dy < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

and the claim will follow.

For verifying (4.1) we define the sets

$$B_1 = \{y \in \mathbb{B} : H^n(|y|) \leq |y|^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\}, \quad B_2 = \left\{y \in \mathbb{B} \setminus B_1 : \frac{H(|y|)}{|y|} \leq H'(|y|)\right\},$$

$$B_3 = \left\{y \in \mathbb{B} \setminus B_1 : \frac{H(|y|)}{|y|} > H'(|y|)\right\}.$$

We have

$$\int_{B_1} \frac{H^n(|y|)}{|y|^n} \log^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|y|}} \right) dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|y|}} \log^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|y|}} \right) dy \lesssim 1,$$

where the implied constant depends only on n . On the other hand, it follows from [14] that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_2} \frac{H^n(|y|)}{|y|^n} \log^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|y|}} \right) dy &\leq \int_{B_2} \frac{H^n(|y|)}{|y|^n} \log^\alpha \left(e + \frac{H^n(|y|)}{|y|^n} \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\{F(|x|)/|x| \geq F'(|x|)\}} \frac{|x|F'(|x|)}{F(|x|)} \log^\alpha \left(e + \frac{|x|^n}{F^n(|x|)} \right) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}} \log^\alpha \left(e + \frac{1}{J_f(x)} \right) dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}} K_f^{\frac{\alpha}{n-1}}(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_3} \frac{H^n(|y|)}{|y|^n} \log^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|y|}} \right) dy &\leq \int_{B_3} \frac{H^n(|y|)}{|y|^n} \log^\alpha \left(e + \frac{H^n(|y|)}{|y|^n} \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\{F(|x|)/|x| < F'(|x|)\}} \frac{|x|F'(|x|)}{F(|x|)} \log^\alpha \left(e + \frac{|x|^n}{F^n(|x|)} \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}} K_f^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \log^\alpha \left(e + \frac{K_f}{J_f} \right) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}} K_f^p, \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends on n and p . Above, the last inequality follows from the Hölder's inequality and [14]. By combining the estimates above (4.1) follows. This ends the proof. \square

4.2. Proof of Proposition 1.5. For a given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_i > 1$, we set $I_i = [2^{-i}, 2^{-(i-1)})$ and define a function $P_{\alpha_i} : I_i \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} I_i$ as

$$P_{\alpha_i}(s) = (2^{-(i-1)} - 2^{-i}) \left(\frac{s - 2^{-i}}{2^{-(i-1)} - 2^{-i}} \right)^{\alpha_i} + 2^{-i}.$$

Then

$$P'_{\alpha_i}(s) = \alpha_i \left(\frac{s - 2^{-i}}{2^{-(i-1)} - 2^{-i}} \right)^{\alpha_i - 1}.$$

Next, suppose that $s_i \in I_i$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$P'_{\alpha_i}(s) = 1.$$

More precisely, $s_i = 2^{-i}(\alpha_i^{-\frac{1}{\alpha_i-1}} + 1)$. Define a linear function $L_{i+1} : [2^{-(i+1)}, s_i) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} [2^{-(i+1)}, P_{\alpha_i}(s_i))$ as

$$L_{i+1}(s) = (s - 2^{-(i+1)})S_i + 2^{-(i+1)},$$

where

$$S_i = \frac{P_{\alpha_i}(s_i) - P_{\alpha_{i+1}}(2^{-(i+1)})}{s_i - 2^{-(i+1)}}.$$

Then $\frac{1}{3} \leq S_i \leq 1$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We define $f^{-1} : \mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{B}$ by

$$f^{-1}(y) = \frac{y}{|y|} \rho^{-1}(|y|),$$

where the function $\rho^{-1} : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is defined as

$$\rho^{-1}(s) = \begin{cases} P_{\alpha_i}(s), & \text{if } s \in [s_i, 2^{-(i-1)}) \text{ and } i \text{ is odd} \\ L_{i+1}(s), & \text{if } s \in [2^{-(i+1)}, s_i) \text{ and } i \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$|Df^{-1}(y)| \approx \begin{cases} P'_{\alpha_i}(|y|), & \text{if } |y| \in [s_i, 2^{-(i-1)}) \text{ and } i \text{ is odd} \\ 1, & \text{if } |y| \in [2^{-(i+1)}, s_i) \text{ and } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases},$$

where the implied constants depends only on n . Therefore, by using the change of variables with $h = \frac{s-2^{-i}}{2^{-(i-1)}-2^{-i}}$, and then applying the binomial expansion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}} |Df^{-1}(y)|^n dy &\gtrsim \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \int_{I_i} s^{n-1} P'_{\alpha_i}(s)^n ds \approx \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_i^n}{2^{in}} \int_0^1 h^{n(\alpha_i-1)+k} dh \\ (4.2) \quad &= \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_i^n}{2^{in} (n(\alpha_i-1) + k + 1)} \gtrsim \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \frac{\alpha_i^{n-1}}{2^{in}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constants depends only on n .

Next, we approximate the integral of the distortion K_f . For this, we notice that $f : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ can be written as

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{|x|} \rho(|x|),$$

where the function $\rho : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is defined by

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} P_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(t), & \text{if } t \in [P_{\alpha_i}(s_i), 2^{-(i-1)}) \text{ and } i \text{ is odd} \\ L_{i+1}^{-1}(t), & \text{if } t \in [2^{-(i+1)}, P_{\alpha_i}(s_i)) \text{ and } i \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases}$$

We recall that

$$K_f(x) = \max \left\{ \frac{\rho(|x|)}{|x|\rho'(|x|)}, \left[\frac{\rho'(|x|)|x|}{\rho(|x|)} \right]^{n-1} \right\},$$

see [10, Lemma 2.1]. Therefore, for each $x \in B(0, 2^{-(i-1)}) \setminus \overline{B(0, P_{\alpha_i}(s_i))}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ odd, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_f(x) &= \max \left\{ \frac{P_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(|x|)}{|x|(P_{\alpha_i}^{-1})'(|x|)}, \left[\frac{(P_{\alpha_i}^{-1})'(|x|)|x|}{P_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(|x|)} \right]^{n-1} \right\} = \frac{P_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(|x|)}{|x|(P_{\alpha_i}^{-1})'(|x|)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_i}{|x|} \left[|x| - 2^{-i} + (2^{-i})^{\frac{1}{\alpha_i}} [|x| - 2^{-i}]^{\frac{\alpha_i-1}{\alpha_i}} \right] \leq \alpha_i, \end{aligned}$$

and for each $x \in B(0, P_{\alpha_i}(s_i)) \setminus \overline{B(0, 2^{-(i+1)})}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ odd, we have

$$(4.4) \quad K_f(x) = \max \left\{ \frac{L_{i+1}^{-1}(|x|)}{|x|(L_{i+1}^{-1})'(|x|)}, \left[\frac{(L_{i+1}^{-1})'(|x|)|x|}{L_{i+1}^{-1}(|x|)} \right]^{n-1} \right\} \leq 3^{n-1}.$$

If we now set $\alpha_i = \left[\frac{2^{in}}{i} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, then (4.2) implies

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}} |Df^{-1}(y)|^n dy \gtrsim \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{i} = \infty.$$

Moreover, it follows from (4.3) and (4.4) that for every $0 < p < n-1$ we have

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}} K_f^p(x) dx &\leq \sum_{i \text{ odd}} \int_{B(0, 2^{-i})} (\alpha_i^p + 3^{p(n-1)}) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[2^{-in} \left[\frac{2^{in}}{i} \right]^{\frac{p}{n-1}} + \frac{3^{p(n-1)}}{2^{in}} \right] < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends only on n . The claim follows from (4.5) and (4.6). \square

REFERENCES

1. S. S. Antman, *Fundamental mathematical problems in the theory of nonlinear elasticity*, Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., (1975), 35–54.
2. K. Astala, T. Iwaniec, and G. Martin, *Elliptic partial differential equations and quasiconformal mappings in the plane*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
3. J. M. Ball, *Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **63** (1978), 337–403.
4. P. G. Ciarlet, *Mathematical elasticity*. Vol. I. Three-dimensional elasticity. Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, 20. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam 1988.
5. A. Clop and D. A. Herron, *Mappings with finite distortion in L_{loc}^p : Modulus of continuity and compression of Hausdorff measure*, Israel J. Math., to appear.
6. M. Csörnyei, S. Hencl, and J. Malý *Homeomorphisms in the Sobolev space $W^{1,n-1}$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **644** (2010), 221–235.
7. H. Federer, *Geometric measure theory*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 153 Springer-Verlag, New York (1969).
8. F. W. Gehring, *Rings and quasiconformal mappings in space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1962) 353–393.
9. S. Hencl and P. Koskela, *Regularity of the inverse of a planar Sobolev homeomorphism*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **180** (2006), no. 1, 75–95.

10. S. Hencl and P. Koskela, *Lectures on mappings of finite distortion*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2096. Springer, Cham, (2014).
11. T. Iwaniec and G. Martin, *Geometric Function Theory and Non-linear Analysis*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 2001.
12. P. Koskela and J. Malý, *Mappings of finite distortion: The zero set of the Jacobian*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **5** no. 2, (2003) 95–105.
13. P. Koskela and J. Takinen, *Mappings of finite distortion: formation of cusps. III*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **26** (2010), no. 5, 817–824.
14. P. Koskela, J. Onninen and K. Rajala, *Mappings of finite distortion: decay of the Jacobian*, Mappings of finite distortion: decay of the Jacobian. J. Geom. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 4, 964–976.
15. G. D. Mostow, *Quasi-conformal mappings in n -space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **34** (1968) 53–104.
16. V. Tengvall, *Differentiability in the Sobolev space $W^{1,n-1}$* , Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **51** (2014), no. 1-2, 381–399.

UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O.
Box 35 (MAD), FI-40014 UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, FINLAND
E-mail address: jani.onninen@jyu.fi

UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, P.O.
Box 35 (MAD), FI-40014 UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ, FINLAND
E-mail address: ville.tengvall@jyu.fi