



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint *may differ* from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Author(s): Soini, Anne; Tammelin, Tuija; Sääkslahti, Arja; Watt, Anthony; Villberg, Jari; Kettunen,

Tarja; Mehtälä, Anette; Poskiparta, Marita

Title: Seasonal and daily variation in physical activity among three-year-old Finnish

preschool children

Year: 2014

Version:

Please cite the original version:

Soini, A., Tammelin, T., Sääkslahti, A., Watt, A., Villberg, J., Kettunen, T., Mehtälä, A., & Poskiparta, M. (2014). Seasonal and daily variation in physical activity among three-year-old Finnish preschool children. Early Child Development and Care, 184(4), 589-601. https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2013.804070

All material supplied via JYX is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

ORIGINAL PUBLICATIONS

Ι

SEASONAL AND DAILY VARIATION IN PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AMONG THREE-YEAR-OLD FINNISH PRESCHOOL CHILDREN

by

Soini, A., Tammelin, T., Sääkslahti, A., Watt, A., Villberg, J., Kettunen, T., Mehtälä, A., & Poskiparta, M. (2014).

Early Child Development and Care, 184(4), 586-601. doi: 10.1080/03004430.2013.804070

Reproduced with kind permission by Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Seasonal and daily variation in physical activity among 3-year-old Finnish preschool
8	children

Running Head: PHYSICAL ACTIVITY OF 3-YEAR-OLDS

10	Abstract
11	The purposes of this study were to assess seasonal, daily, and gender variations in children's
12	physical activity (PA). ActiGraph GT3X accelerometers were used to record the 3 year-old
13	children's PA levels for five consecutive days in autumn and winter. Complete data for both
14	seasons were obtained for 47 children. Despite a significant difference in seasonal
15	temperatures ($p < .001$), differences were only found for weekdays light PA ($p = .021$). No
16	difference in PA was observed between weekdays and weekend days. Only 20% of the
17	sample had ≥ 120 minutes light-to-vigorous PA (LMVPA), and 46% of children had ≥ 60
18	minutes moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA). Boys spent more minutes in LMVPA ($p = .001$)
19	and MVPA ($p = .004$) than girls. The current findings indicated that season and day of the
20	week only minimally influence children's PA levels, whereas gender continues to be a
21	significant factor.
22	Keywords: accelerometer; childcare; early childhood; physical activity

Introduction

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

Early childhood has been identified as an important time for the development of healthy behaviours such as physical activity (PA) (Timmons, Naylor, & Pfeiffer, 2007). Children's engagement in PA plays a key role in their physical growth and biological maturation (Strong et al., 2005) and exerts a positive influence on their cognitive, social, and psychological development (Timmons et al., 2007). Previous studies have demonstrated that PA not only appears to track reasonably well over time (Strong et al., 2005), but that physical inactivity (Telama, 2009) and obesity (Moore et al., 2003) demonstrate even stronger consistency in the transition from childhood to adulthood. In light of this trend, the enhancement of PA and reduction in sedentary behaviour in children are genuinely important from a public health perspective (Tremblay et al., 2011). Preschool children's (3–5 years) PA may be described as "play" and occurs at various levels of intensity (Timmons et al., 2007). The assessment of young children's PA is demanding, primarily because their behaviour is intermittent and sporadic. Objective measures such as accelerometers can detect these short spurts of activity and determine frequencies, intensities, and duration of PA (Cliff, Reilly, & Okely, 2009; Oliver, Schofield, & Kolt, 2007; Pate, O'Neill, & Mitchell, 2010). Accelerometers have become one of the most widely used methods for assessing preschool-aged children's PA (Pate et al., 2010). Although the use of accelerometers to assess PA in preschool children has increased over the past decade (Bornstein, Beets, Byun, & McIver, 2011), Carson and Spence (2010) reported that there was only a small set of studies where preschool-aged children's PA levels have been determined with accelerometers across different seasons. Carson and Spence found that 29 out of a total of 35 studies assessed seasonal variations in PA among children and/or adolescents, but that only six exclusively examined preschool-aged groups, in which the pattern of findings were less clear. For example, in Scotland (Fisher et al., 2005), Canada

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

(Carson, Spence, Cutumisu, Boule, & Edwards, 2010) and the United States (Poest, Williams, Witt, & Atwood, 1989) children were less physically active in wintertime compared to summertime, whereas Finn, Johannsen, & Specker (2002) found no seasonal variations in their US study. Burdette, Whitaker, and Daniels (2004) reported that the highest levels of outdoor playtime occurred in the summer and the lowest in the winter and that seasonal differences in children's PA levels, as measured by accelerometers, were less pronounced compared to children's parents' proxy reports. Differences in children's PA have related more to time spent outdoors than to season or weather conditions (Baranowski, Thompson, DuRant, Baranowski, & Puhl, 1993). Seasonality merits study in young preschool children, as lifelong patterns of PA participation throughout the year are adopted in the early years of life (Poest et al., 1989). Because so few of these earlier studies were conducted in locations characterized by very cold winter temperatures, such as experienced in Finland, more knowledge is needed about seasonal variation in young children's PA in environmental conditions of this kind. In Europe, the average enrolment rate of children aged 3 years in childcare and early education services is 69% (OECD Family Database, 2008). During weekdays, children attend childcare approximately 6–9 hours/day, while on weekend days they spend the whole day typically engaged in activities based within the home setting. There is evidence that children's attendance at childcare influences their levels of PA (Finn et al., 2002; Pate, McIver, Dowda, Brown, & Addy, 2008; Pate, Pfeiffer, Trost, Ziegler, & Dowda, 2004), thereby underlining the importance of examining and comparing children's PA levels during weekdays and weekend days.

To date, studies of objectively measured PA and sedentary behaviour in preschoolaged children have drawn attention to the fact that levels of PA are typically low and sedentary behaviour high (Oliver et al., 2007; Reilly, 2010). On the basis of their

accelerometer-derived meta-analysis, Bornstein et al. (2011), concluded that preschool 74 children accumulate anywhere from 40 to 100 minutes of MVPA daily. Previous early 75 childhood studies have investigated whether preschoolers are meeting PA guidelines, 76 meaning at least 60 minutes of MVPA (Beets, Bornstein, Dowda, & Pate, 2011; Cardon & 77 De Bourdeaudhuij, 2008; Reilly, 2010; Tucker, 2008). Despite the recommendations to 78 engage in PA and its indisputable benefits, many young people do not achieve the guidelines 79 for daily PA (Reilly, 2010; Tucker, 2008). For example, a review of studies from seven 80 different countries found that nearly half of preschool-aged children did not engage in 81 sufficient PA, and only 54% achieved the minimum of 60 minutes of PA daily (Tucker, 82 2008). Few earlier PA studies have focused exclusively on 3-year-olds, and therefore more 83 research is needed to reach a comprehensive understanding of PA levels and sedentary 84 85 behaviour during the very early preschool years. The main purposes of this study were to assess the PA levels and sedentary time of 3-86 year-old children, paying special attention to the variation in PA and sedentary behaviour 87 between boys and girls, weekdays and weekend days, and the autumn and winter seasons. A 88 secondary purpose was to ascertain whether preschool children achieve the recommended 89 levels of PA proposed within national and international current guidelines (Australian 90 Government, Department of Health and Ageing, 2010; Canadian Society for Exercise 91 Physiology, 2012; Department of Health. UK physical activity guidelines, 2011; Institute of 92 Medicine [IOM], 2011; The National Association for Sport and Physical Education [NASPE], 93

2009; Recommendations for Physical Activity in Early Childhood Education, 2005; World

96 **Method**

94

95

97 **Participants**

Health Organization [WHO], 2010).

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

Principals of childcare centres in Jyväskylä were provided with information regarding the study at a regional administrative meeting. A total of 14 childcare centres volunteered to be involved in the study. All the families of the 3-year-old children (year of birth 2007) attending the participating childcare centres were invited to participate. One hundred and two (57%) parents of 179 families provided informed consents. The PA data on the children were collected in two phases. The first data collection was in autumn (August to October) and the second during the winter (January to February).

A total of 96 children (48 boys and 48 girls) participated in the data collection in autumn and 94 children (50 boys and 44 girls) took part in winter. Before analysis, the data on 16 children from the autumn sub-sample, and 34 children from winter sub-sample were discarded, as 6 children (autumn) and 14 children (winter) were in homecare, and the remaining 30 participants did not have sufficient complete data. The minimum requirement for valid PA data was at least 8 hours of monitored PA per day (from 7 am to 9 pm) for at least 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day. Complete data were obtained for 81 children (41 boys and 40 girls) during autumn and for 60 children (33 boys and 27 girls) during winter. Complete data for both seasons were obtained for 47 children (26 boys and 21 girls). Body weight and height were measured at the time of each PA data collection and body mass index (BMI: kg/m²) was calculated for each child. Demographic characteristics of the sample by gender and season are shown in Table 1. Results for BMI indicated, in accordance with the International Obesity Task Force BMI definition, four children (9%) during the autumn assessments and three children (7%) during the winter assessments were evaluated as overweight. All other children were in the normal BMI range (Cole, Bellizzi, Flegal, & Dietz, 2000). [Table 1 near here]

Instruments

PA was quantified with ActiGraph GT3X accelerometers on five consecutive days (from Wednesday to Sunday), which were programmed to save data in 5-s intervals (epochs) as proposed for children this age (Cliff et al., 2009). In the present study, total physical activity (TPA) was expressed as mean counts per minute (cpm). To analyse the amount of the time children spent at different intensity levels, the separate count cut points for preschool-aged children established recently by Van Cauwenberghe, Labarque, Trost, De Bourdeaudhuij, and Cardon, (2011) were adapted for this study. The following cut-points were used: sedentary (≤ 1491 cpm); light (14922339 cpm); moderate (23403523 cpm); vigorous (≥ 3524 cpm); light-to-vigorous physical activity (LMVPA) (≥ 1492 cpm); and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) (≥ 2340 cpm) (Van Cauwenberghe et al., 2011).

Procedures

Before the data collection, all the participants were familiarized with the accelerometer. The children received an accelerometer on the first morning of the study, and all the children, together with their parents, were instructed to wear the accelerometer on an adjustable elastic belt over their right hip for as long as possible during all waking hours, removing it only for water-based activities and sleeping. Parents and early educators were informed about the correct procedures and proper accelerometer use via an information letter.

Parents were asked to record the times at which children woke up, went to bed, and their childcare attendance times. Additionally, parents were asked to report any abnormalities in daily routines, for example, long periods spent sitting (e.g., in a car), swimming, bathing and if the child falls ill during the measurement time. Receptivity to wearing the instrument was rated by the parent on a five-point scale (from very pleasant to very unpleasant). Outdoor times were recorded by the researchers during attendance at childcare. The ethics committee of the University of Jyväskylä, and the Social Affairs and Health officer in city of Jyväskylä approved the study.

Environmental conditions

The city of Jyväskylä is located in central Finland (62° 15' 36"N, 25° 45'E). The suburbs of the city of Jyväskylä are in close proximity to forests, hills and lakes, with good opportunities for active commuting and leisure time activities. The region experiences four distinct seasons. The average maximum air temperature in autumn (August to October) is around 13.0°C, average precipitation 66 mm/month and duration of sunshine approximately 255 hours/month. During the winter months (January and February) the average air temperature is around - 8.4°C, average precipitation of 39 mm/month, duration of sunshine approximately 51 hours/month and average snow depth 36 cm (Climatological Statistics of Finland, 1981–2010). In this study, the findings showed significant seasonal variation in mean daily temperature (9.4°C in autumn vs. -13.1°C in winter; p < .001). These temperatures were lower than normally recorded for these seasons.

Statistical analyses

All data were checked for normality before statistical analysis. Periods of non-wear time (defined as 20 consecutive minutes of '0' counts) and an upper range of biological plausibility (defined as no more than 15 000 cpm) were removed from the data (Cliff et al. 2009). The data reduction was done with using self-customized software.

The data were analysed using SPSS for Windows (version 18.0). Means and standard deviations (SD) were calculated for daily TPA expressed as cpm, and time spent (minutes per day) at different intensity levels (sedentary, light, moderate, vigorous, LMVPA and MVPA) to show the extent of activity behaviour for the independent variables of gender and season. Nonparametric tests (Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney) and General Linear Models (GLM) for repeated measures (MANOVA) were used to analyse gender and seasonal differences in children's PA on weekdays and weekend days. To compare PA levels on weekdays and weekend days, paired-samples *t*-tests were conducted. Gender differences in TPA and in

engagement at different intensity levels were analysed using independent-samples *t*-test. Effect size was determined using the Cohen's *d* formula. Crosstabs utilizing Pearson Chisquare were used to determine the percentages of children who reached the current recommended levels of PA. Statistical significance was set at an alpha level of .05 for all analyses.

Results

The results showed a significant seasonal variation in mean outdoor time during childcare attendance (178 minutes in autumn vs. 116 minutes in winter; p = .002). During the data collection periods, the participants attended childcare settings for an average of 7.6 hours/day. Accelerometers were worn for an average of 4.6 days and 692 minutes/day.

The results indicated that the children engaged in sedentary activity for 85% of the time, in light activity for 6% of the time, and in MVPA for 9% of the time monitored. Mean TPA for the whole sample was 632 cpm (SD = 145), boys showing significantly higher TPA than girls (673 vs. 580 cpm; p = .001, d = 0.70). A paired-samples t-test indicated no significant differences in TPA or in PA levels between weekdays and weekend days, except in winter, when the children engaged significantly more in sedentary behaviour on weekdays compared to weekend days (596 vs. 570 min/day; p = .019, d = 0.37).

No seasonal difference was observed in children's PA levels, except for minor variation on weekdays light PA (p = .021; see Table 2). Boys were more physically active than girls. Between-subjects comparisons in PA on weekdays and weekend days indicated significant gender differences for all the dependent variables, except for sedentary time on weekend days (see Table 2). Results of the independent-samples t-tests for the comparison of PA levels by gender revealed that especially during winter weekdays boys were physically more active than girls (see Table 3). Seasonal variations between boys and girls were also analysed with GLM for repeated measures. No significant differences were observed for any

of six variables. Due to small sample size, the power of the tests was minimal on all occasions, and therefore the results of the MANOVA are merely indicative. [Tables 2 and 3 near here]

The proportions of children engaging in LMVPA were 1% (under 60 minutes/day), 36% (6089 minutes/day), 43% (90119 minutes/day), 20% (120 minutes or more/day). The proportions of children engaging in MVPA were 53% (3059 minutes/day), 40% (6089 minutes/day, and 6% (90119 minutes/day). None of the children engaged in MVPA 120 minutes or more/day. Pearson Chi-Square tests confirmed the gender differences in the time spent in LMVPA (p = .010) and MVPA (p = .002). According to parents' reports of their children's receptivity to wearing the accelerometer, only 3% of the children reported the experience as "unpleasant" and none as "very unpleasant".

Discussion

The main purposes of this study were to assess seasonal, daily, and gender variations in 3-year-old preschool children's PA and sedentary behaviour. The results indicated only minor seasonal variations in the children's light PA on weekdays, and no difference was observed in PA levels between weekdays and weekend days, except in winter in the children's sedentary behaviour. Boys were more active than girls, particularly in winter and during weekdays. The findings indicated that the children's PA levels were very low and sedentary time very high. Overall, the children did not meet the recommendations of three hours of daily LMVPA (Australian Government, Department of Health and Ageing, 2010; Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology, 2012; Department of Health. UK physical activity guidelines, 2011; IOM, 2011), or two hours of daily brisk PA (Recommendations for Physical Activity in Early Childhood Education, 2005). Approximately 20% of the present sample engaged in at least two hours of daily LMVPA (NASPE, 2009) and 46% fulfilled the requirement of at least 60 minutes of MVPA daily (WHO, 2010).

The mean TPA scores for the children in the present study were slightly lower than those reported in earlier similar studies (Cardon & De Bourdeaudhuij, 2008; Fisher et al., 2005; Jackson et al., 2003). For example, Jackson et al. (2003) found total activity counts of 669 cpm for 3-year-old Scottish children compared to the mean of 632 cpm found in this study. Cardon and De Bourdeaudhuij (2008) reported that a sample of 4- and 5-year-old Belgian children engaged in 9.6 hours per day of sedentary behaviour and in MVPA for only 34 minutes per day. The present sample was similarly sedentary for 9.9 hours per day, although the children also engaged in MVPA for 61 minutes per day. Based on a meta-analysis of accelerometer based studies, Bornstein et al. (2011) indicated substantial variations in children's MVPA times, with no clear pattern emerging on the typical PA levels of preschool children. The pattern of low levels of PA and high levels of sedentary time reported for the present Finnish children as well as in comparable studies, underlines a worrying trend among preschool-aged children regarding their failure to engage in sufficient levels of PA.

Limited previous research has examined seasonal variations in younger age groups, and incorporated the use of accelerometers to evaluate PA (Carson & Spence, 2010). Several studies have shown seasonal variation in young children's PA, with PA levels typically higher and sedentary time lower in summertime (Carson et al., 2010; Fisher et al., 2005; Poest et al., 1989). The highest levels of outdoor playtime occurred in the summer and the lowest in the winter (Burdette et al., 2004). Baranowski et al. (1993) also found seasonal variation in outdoor activity, with all the children showing lower outdoor activity levels during the summer months. Finn et al. (2002) found no effect for season. Similarly, the present study found only minor seasonal variations in children's PA levels. The data revealed that on weekdays in autumn the children engaged significantly more in light PA than on weekdays in winter. Generally, childcare centres' daily schedules do not vary within seasons.

However, in cold weather, such as -20°C or colder, it is possible, that children do not participate in outdoor activities, or recess periods are shorter than normally. Significant seasonal variations in mean temperatures could explain why the average outdoor time during childcare attendance in winter (116 min) was significantly less than in autumn (178 min). Furthermore, in winter, shorter outdoor activity times may explain children's lower engagement in light PA on weekdays. In addition, the amount of daylight hours during the winter months (51 hours/month) is much shorter than in autumn (255 hours/month), and might have an influence on children's outdoor times after childcare attendance. Given the considerable contrast in environmental conditions, such as temperature and the presence of snow, the results were surprisingly similar for the two seasons.

Aside from Finn et al. (2002), who concluded that attendance at the childcare centre was the strongest predictor of activity levels, with more than 50% of the daily activity counts performed during childcare hours, and Strong et al. (2005), who reported that preschools should provide opportunities for children to accumulate 60 minutes and more of MVPA each day, earlier studies have typically indicated that physical activity levels are very low among preschool children during their time in childcare settings (Pate et al., 2008; Reilly, 2010). Cardon and De Bourdeaudhuij (2008) reported higher levels of sedentary behaviour on weekdays compared to weekend days, although MVPA was as low during the weekend days as during the weekdays. In the present study, in wintertime, the children engaged more in sedentary behaviour on weekdays than weekend days. Childcare attendance and outdoor times may in part explain children's greater engagement in sedentary behaviours during weekdays compared to weekend days. The descriptive results revealed that the children tended to be more active on weekdays, although no significant difference in the time spent in different intensity levels was found between weekdays and weekend days. Similarly, Jackson et al. (2003) found no differences in activity levels between weekdays and weekend days.

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

Interestingly, the present results showed that the variation in PA time (described by standard deviation and range) on weekend days was somewhat higher than on weekdays. In the present study, there were boys and girls who were physically very active, and others who were very inactive. This finding should encourage early educators and parents to make extra effort to promote a healthy lifestyle in their daily activities with children.

Previous preschool PA research has shown boys to be more active than girls (Finn et al., 2002; Hinkley, Crawford, Salmon, Okely, & Hesketh, 2008; Jackson et al., 2003; Pate et al., 2004). In the present study, boys' TPA was significantly higher than girls', and boys also spent significantly more minutes in LMVPA and MVPA. On weekdays, girls spent significantly more minutes sedentary than boys. The gender differences were more pronounced on weekdays and during wintertime. It is possible that boys are more interested in rough and tumble play and winter-oriented physical activities (e.g., snow-based play) or enjoy more time in outdoor environments than girls. One potential explanation may be found in parents' and early educators' attitudes, which may affect children's PA. Boys are regularly encouraged to engage in more physically active play and games, whereas girls are exposed to stationary activities and expected to behave in a calmer manner (Pellegrini & Smith, 1998). Although it is not clear whether the gender difference in PA is biologically based or environmentally determined, it is most likely a combination of both (Timmons et al., 2007). The present findings provide further support for the recommendations that more attention and encouragement are needed to promote PA throughout the year for preschool-age girls (Pate et al., 2004).

Systematic reviews of previous population surveys have shown that many young children do not meet the international guidelines for PA (Bornstein et al., 2011; Reilly, 2010; Tucker, 2008); the results of the present study were in line with these findings.

Approximately half of the children engaged in MVPA for at least 60 minutes per day. Only

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

20% of children reached the NASPE standard of at least 120 minutes of PA per day, when light PA was included. In addition, none of the present sample engaged in LMVPA for 180 minutes or more (Australian Government, Department of Health and Ageing, 2010; Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology, 2012; Department of Health. UK physical activity guidelines, 2011; IOM, 2011), or achieved the Finnish Recommendations for Physical Activity in Early Childhood Education (2005) of at least 120 minutes of daily brisk PA (defined as MVPA (≥ 2340 cpm)). The current sample of 3 year-olds was sedentary for nearly 10 hours per day. Finnish recommendations for preschool children's PA currently do not include limitations on sedentary time, whereas international guidelines (Australian Government, Department of Health and Ageing, 2010; Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology, 2012; Department of Health. UK physical activity guidelines, 2011; IOM, 2011; NASPE, 2009) specifically state that children should not be sedentary for more than one hour at a time except when sleeping. More research is needed to evaluate the effects of PA on children's health and wellness in the early years of life and what constitutes sufficient levels of health-enhancing PA (Beets et al., 2011). In particular, it would be very important to determine the quantity and quality of daily PA required to ensure children's optimal growth and maturation.

A major strength of this study was the repeated-measure design, where the same 3-year-old children were measured with accelerometers during two distinct seasons. However, caution should be exercised when comparing PA levels over short time periods (e.g., 3–6 months) because children's normal growth and maturation may influence their physical abilities and motor skills in relation to their engagement in physically active play (Fisher et al., 2005). Children's PA was measured over five days in both the childcare and home settings, including weekdays and weekend days. Anecdotal evidence derived from the implementation of the study suggests that childcare centres are suitable places to reach

families with 3-year-old children. Moreover, the children were co-operative and eager to take part in this study. Proxy reports by parents of their child's receptivity to wearing the accelerometer clearly indicated that it was a positive experience for the majority of the children. Although previous data on the receptivity of preschoolers to wearing accelerometers is relatively limited and not well understood (Oliver et al., 2007), the present results are in line with those of earlier studies (Cardon & De Bourdeaudhuij, 2008; Pate et al., 2004).

The present study was limited by the relatively small sample size, although the sample was focused exclusively on 3-year-old children. Furthermore, the generalizability of the findings could be limited by the fact that all the participating childcare centres and children were located in the same city. It is noteworthy that a large number of children did not achieve the required 8 hours of daily data for at least two weekdays and one weekend day during the winter. This may partly have been due to the effect of the cold weather conditions on the functioning of the accelerometers.

A disadvantage of accelerometers is that they do not provide information on the type or context of PA (Pate et al., 2010). In addition, accelerometers are limited in their ability to measure non-weight-bearing activities, such as swimming, cycling, and skating or upper limb movements, (e.g., digging, carrying and pushing objects). They are not able to account for the increased energy cost associated with walking up stairs, on an incline or on soft surfaces (Oliver et al., 2007; Pate et al., 2010; Trost, 2007). Children playing outdoors in Finland during wintertime often climb up and slide down mounds of snow, pushing or pulling sleds, walking in soft snow, or skating on ice. Also, accelerometers do not detect movements, which are sedentary but need balance and/or concentration in order to develop motor skills or are integral to certain low intensity activities (e.g., singing, drawing and completing puzzles), which are particularly important for young preschool children (Cliff et al., 2009).

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

Although previous research has found that triaxial accelerometers generate data with a higher level of validity than uniaxial accelerometers (Rowlands, 2007), conjecture remains as to whether triaxial accelerometers detect PA better than uniaxial accelerometers in children (Oliver et al., 2007). In this study, we analysed acceleration in the vertical plane, which has been shown to provide the most important assessment of ambulatory movement (Oliver et al., 2007). The choice of cut points significantly influences the amount of PA reported across different intensity levels (Bornstein et al., 2011). Investigators in the field of PA need to resolve the issue of what accelerometer cut points are the most appropriate (Beets et al., 2011; Bornstein et al., 2011) and continue to focus on standardizing methods for the collection, cleaning, analysing and reporting of accelerometer data (De Vries et al., 2009). To date, the majority of validation and calibration studies have reported a strong positive correlation between ActiGraph accelerometer output and intensity of PA in children (Pate et al., 2010; Rowlands, 2007; Trost, 2007). Strong evidence also exists for good reproducibility of the data generated by ActiGraph accelerometers in samples of preschool-aged children (De Vries et al., 2009). Although the strengths and limitations of accelerometers are widely discussed in the literature, accelerometers remain a necessary tool for measuring PA and sedentary behaviour in free-living preschool children (Pate et al., 2010; Trost, 2007; Van Cauwenberghe et al., 2011).

Conclusion

The present findings have valuable implications for developing interventions that could contribute to improvements in preschool children's PA both in the home and childcare setting. Based on the current results the influence of season and day of the week is minimal. However, consistent with previously reported research, gender is shown to be a critical variable in relation to children's PA levels. Finnish children appear to achieve recommended guidelines regarding PA levels and sedentary behaviour, in a similar distribution to other studies (e.g.,

Reilly, 2010; Tucker, 2008). The levels of sedentary behaviour observed in current sample may stimulate early educators and parents to work towards reducing the time children spend in sedentary behaviour and increase time and opportunity for engaging in the recommended levels of PA. This change in practice should particularly target girls. In future research, larger and more heterogeneous samples are required to determine key characteristics of children's PA such as type and context. This could be achieved through combining accelerometer information with other methods, such as direct observation, that describe where and how PA takes place among preschool-aged children.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the children, childcare centre staff and parents for their enthusiastic collaboration that made this research possible.

383	References
384	Australian Government, Department of Health and Ageing. Physical activity
385	recommendations for children 0-5 years. Published 2010. Retrieved August 28, 2012
386	from http://www.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/content/health-pubhlth-
387	strateg-phys-act-guidelines#rec_0_5.
388	Baranowski, T., Thompson, W.O., DuRant, R.H., Baranowski, J., & Puhl. J. (1993).
389	Observations on physical activity in physical locations: age, gender, ethnicity, and
390	month effects. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 64(2), 127–133.
391	Beets, M.W., Bornstein, D., Dowda, M., & Pate, R.R. (2011). Compliance with national
392	guidelines for physical activity in U.S. preschoolers: measurement and interpretation.
393	Pediatrics, 127, 658–664.
394	Bornstein, D.B., Beets, M.W., Byun, W. & McIver, K. (2011). Accelerometer-derived
395	physical activity levels of preschoolers: A meta-analysis. Journal of Science and
396	Medicine in Sport, 14, 504–511.
397	Burdette, H.L., Whitaker, R.C., & Daniels, S.R. (2004). Parental report of outdoor playtime
398	as a measure of physical activity in preschool-aged children. Archives of Pediatrics &
399	Adolescent Medicine, 158, 353–357.
400	Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology. Canadian physical activity guidelines and
401	Canadian sedentary behavior guidelines. Published 2012. Retrieved August 28, 2012
402	from http://www.csep.ca/CMFiles/Guidelines/CSEP-InfoSheets-early-years-ENG.pdf
403	Cardon, G., & De Bourdeaudhuij, I. (2008). Are preschool children active enough?
404	Objectively measured physical activity levels. Research Quarterly for Exercise and
405	Sport, 79, 326–332.

Carson, V., & Spence, J.C. (2010). Seasonal variation in physical activity among children and

adolescents: a review. Pediatric Exercise Science, 22, 81-92.

406

407

408	Carson, V., Spence, J.C., Cutumisu, N., Boule, N., & Edwards, J. (2010). Seasonal variation
409	in physical activity among preschool children in a northern Canadian city. Research
410	Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 81, 392–399.
411	Cliff, D.P., Reilly, J.J., & Okely, A.D. (2009). Methodological considerations in using
412	accelerometers to assess habitual physical activity in children aged 0-5 years. Journal
413	of Science and Medicine in Sport, 12, 557–567.
414	Climatological Statistics of Finland (1981-2010). Tilastoja Suomen ilmastosta 1981-2010.
415	Finnish Meteorological Institute. Statistical report. Retrieved February 17, 2012 from
416	http://ilmatieteenlaitos.fi/ilmastollinen-vertailukausi-1981–2010
417	Cole, T. J., Bellizzi, M. C., Flegal, K. M., & Dietz, W. H. (2000). Establishing a standard
418	definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. British
419	Medical Journal, 320, 1240.
420	De Vries, S.I., Van Hirtum, H.W.J.E.M., Bakker, I., Hopman-Rock, M., Hirasing, R.A., &
421	Van Mechelen, W. (2009). Validity and reproducibility of motion sensors in youth: A
422	systematic update. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 41, 818-827.
423	Department of Health. UK physical activity guidelines. Published July 2011. Retrieved
424	August 28, 2012 from
425	http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAn
426	dGuidance/DH_127931.
427	Finn, K.J., Johannsen, N., & Specker, B. (2002). Factors associated with physical activity in
428	preschool children. The Journal of Pediatrics, 140, 81-85.
429	Fisher, A., Reilly, J.J., Montgomery, C., Kelly, L.A., Williamson, A., Jackson, D.M.,
430	Grant, S. (2005). Seasonality in physical activity and sedentary behavior in young
431	children. Pediatric Exercise Science, 17, 31-40.

432	Hinkley, T., Crawford, D., Salmon, J., Okely, A.D., & Hesketh, K. (2008). Preschool
433	children and physical activity. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 34, 435-441.
434	Institute of Medicine (IOM). Early childhood obesity prevention: policies goals,
435	recommendations, and potential actions. Published June 2011. Retrieved September 4,
436	2012 from http://www.iom.edu/Reports/2011/Early-Childhood-Obesity-Prevention-
437	Policies/Recommendations.aspx.
438	Jackson, D.M., Reilly, J.J., Kelly, L.A., Montgomery, C., Grant, S., & Paton, J.Y. (2003).
439	Objectively measured physical activity in a representative sample of 3- to 4-year-old
440	children. Obesity Research, 11, 420-425.
441	Moore, L.L., Gao, D., Bradlee, M.L., Cupples, L.A., Sundarajan-Ramamurti, A., Proctor,
442	M.H., Ellison, R.C. (2003). Does early physical activity predict body fat change
443	throughout childhood? Preventive Medicine, 37, 10–17.
444	National Association for Sport and Physical Education (NASPE) (2009). Active Start: A
445	Statement of Physical Activity Guidelines for Children from Birth to Age 5, 2 nd
446	edition. American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation, and Dance.
447	OECD Family Database 2008. Last updated June 2011. Retrieved June 2, 2012 from
448	http://www.oecd.org/els/social/family/ database.
449	Oliver, M., Schofield, G.M., & Kolt, G.S. (2007). Physical activity in preschoolers.
450	Understanding prevalence and measurement issues. Sports Medicine, 37, 1045–1070.
451	Pate, R.R., McIver, K., Dowda, M., Brown, W.H., & Addy, C. (2008). Directly observed
452	physical activity levels in preschool children. Journal of School Health, 78(8), 438-
453	444.
454	Pate, R.R, O'Neill J.R., & Mitchell, J. (2010). Measurement of physical activity in preschool
455	children. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 42, 508-512.

456	Pate, R. R., Pfeiffer, K. A., Trost, S. G., Ziegler, P., & Dowda, M. (2004). Physical activity
457	among children attending preschools. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 114, 1258–1263.
458	Pellegrini, A.D., & Smith, P.K. (1998). Physical active play: the nature and function of a
459	neglected aspect of play. Child Development, 69, 577-598.
460	Poest, C.A., Williams, J.J., Witt, D.D., & Atwood, M.E. (1989). Physical activity patterns of
461	preschool children. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 4, 367–376.
462	Recommendations for Physical Activity in Early Childhood Education (2005). Handbooks o
463	the Ministry of Social Affairs and Health 2005:17.
464	Reilly, J.J. (2010). Low levels of objectively measured physical activity in preschoolers in
465	child care. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 42, 502-507.
466	Rowlands, A.V. (2007). Accelerometer assessment of physical activity in children: An
467	Update. Pediatric Exercise Science, 19, 252–266.
468	Strong, W.B., Malina, R.M., Blimkie, C.R., Daniels, S.R., Dishman, R.K., & Gutin, B.
469	(2005). Evidence based physical activity for school-age youth. Journal of Pediatrics,
470	146, 732–737.
471	Telama, R. (2009). Tracking of physical activity from childhood to adulthood: a review.
472	Obesity Facts. The European Journal of Obesity, 3: 000-000. Published online.
473	Timmons, B.W., Naylor, P.J., & Pfeiffer, K.A. (2007). Physical activity for preschool
474	children – how much and how? Applied Physiology, Nutrition and Metabolism, 32,
475	122–134.
476	Tremblay, M.S., LeBlanc, A.G., Kho, M.E., Saunders, T.J., Larouche, R., Colley, R.C.,
477	Gorber, S.C. (2011). Systematic review of sedentary behavior and health indicators in
478	school-aged children and youth. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and
479	Physical Activity, 8. Downloaded 17.11.2011. http://www.ijbnpa.org/content/8/1/98

480	Trost, S.G. (2007). Measurement of physical activity in children and adolescents. <i>American</i>
481	Journal of Lifestyle Medicine, 1, 299–314.
482	Tucker, P. (2008). The physical activity levels of preschool-aged children: A Systematic
483	Review. Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 23, 547-558.
484	Van Cauwenberghe, E., Labarque, V., Trost, S., De Bourdeaudhuij, I., & Cardon, G. (2011).
485	Calibration and comparison of accelerometer cut points in preschool children.
486	International Journal of Pediatric Obesity, 6, e582–e589.
487	World Health Organization (2010). Global recommendations on physical activity for health.
488	Geneva. Switzerland.

Table 1. Demographic information for boys (n = 26) and girls (n = 21) by season.

	Autu	mn	Win	iter	
	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	
	M SD	M SD	M SD	M SD	
Age (years)	3.3 0.3	3.3 0.3	3.6 0.3	3.7 0.3	
Height (cm)	100.0 5.4	98.4 3.3	102.5 5.5	101.2 3.4	
Weight (kg)	16.1 2.0	15.6 1.4	17.0 2.0	16.4 1.6	
BMI (kg/m^2)	16.2 0.9	16.1 1.2	16.1 0.8	16.0 1.3	
	~~				

Note. M = mean, SD = standard deviation, BMI = body mass index

Table 2. Gender and seasonal differences in children's weekdays and weekend days' physical activity (PA) (minutes/day).

	Tota	1		Season			
Physical activity intensity	Boys $(n = 26)$	Girls $(n = 21)$ p	d^*	Autumn $(n = 47)$	Winter $(n = 47)$	p	d^*
intensity	M SD	M SD		M SD	M SD		
Sedentary time							
Weekdays	585 51	608 54 .04	7 0.44	595 48	596 58	.861	0.02
Weekend days	576 71	589 73 .31	0.18	594 58	570 82	.087	0.34
Light PA							
Weekdays	43 8	37 6 .00	0.85	41 7	39 8	.021	0.27
Weekend days	41 12	36 9 .03	0.47	39 10	38 11	.378	0.10
Moderate PA							
Weekdays	34 8	29 6 .00	0.71	32 7	31 8	.668	0.13
Weekend days	33 12	28 8 .02	28 0.50	30 10	31 11	.866	0.10
Vigorous PA							
Weekdays	32 12	27 9 .02	0.48	31 12	29 11	.331	0.17
Weekend days	33 16	26 12 .03	6 0.50	29 14	30 16	.767	0.07
LMVPA							
Weekdays	109 26	92 18 .00	0.77	104 24	100 24	.204	0.17
Weekend days	106 37	90 27 .02	0.50	99 32	99 36	.970	0.00
MVPA							
Weekdays	67 19	55 14 .00	0.73	62 18	61 18	.328	0.06
Weekend days	65 27	54 19 .02	9 0.48	60 23	61 26	.707	0.04

Note. *Effect size (Cohen's *d*)

Table 3. Time (minutes/day) spent in different intensities of physical activity (PA) during weekdays and weekend days for each seasons in boys and girls.

Autumn						Wir	nter		
701 · 1 · · · · ·	Boys	Girls			Boys	Girls			
Physical activity	(n = 26)	(n = 21)	t p	$o d^*$	(n = 26)	(n = 21)	t	p	d^*
intensity	M SD	M SD			M SD	M SD			
Sedentary time									
Weekdays	587 48	604 48	1.22 .23	30 0.35	584 54	612 60	1.69	.097	0.49
Weekend days	594 56	594 62	0.01 .99	92 0.00	557 80	585 83	1.16	.252	0.34
Light PA									
Weekdays	44 8	39 6	2.36 .02	23 0.71	42 8	36 5	2.88	.006	0.92
Weekend days	41 11	37 8	1.66 .10	05 0.42	40 12	36 10	1.14	.260	0.36
Moderate PA									
Weekdays	34 7	30 6	2.00 .0:	52 0.62	35 9	27 5	3.46	.001	1.14
Weekend days	33 11	28 7	1.91 .00	63 0.56	33 13	28 9	1.54	.131	0.46
Vigorous PA									
Weekdays	33 13	28 10	1.53 .13	33 0.44	32 12	26 8	2.14	.038	0.60
Weekend days	32 15	25 11	1.74 .03	88 0.54	33 17	27 14	1.32	.192	0.39
LMVPA									
Weekdays	110 25	96 20	2.11 .04	41 0.62	108 27	89 15	3.09	.004	0.91
Weekend days	106 36	89 23	1.94 .0:	59 0.58	106 40	91 30	1.43	.160	0.43
MVPA									
Weekdays	66 19	57 16	1.80 .0	79 0.51	67 20	53 11	2.84	.007	0.90
Weekend days	65 26	53 17	1.93 .00	60 0.56	66 29	55 22	1.46	.152	0.43
<i>Note.</i> *Effect size (Cohen's <i>d</i>)									