



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint *may differ* from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Author(s): Leonov, Gennady A.; Alexeeva, Tatyana A.; Kuznetsov, Nikolay

Title:Analytic Exact Upper Bound for the Lyapunov Dimension of the Shimizu–Morioka
System

Year: 2015

Version:

Please cite the original version:

Leonov, G. A., Alexeeva, T. A., & Kuznetsov, N. (2015). Analytic Exact Upper Bound for the Lyapunov Dimension of the Shimizu–Morioka System. Entropy, 17(7), 5101-5116. https://doi.org/10.3390/e17075101

All material supplied via JYX is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.



Article

Analytic Exact Upper Bound for the Lyapunov Dimension of the Shimizu–Morioka System

Gennady A. Leonov¹, Tatyana A. Alexeeva² and Nikolay V. Kuznetsov^{1,3,*}

¹ Mathematics and Mechanics Faculty, St. Petersburg State University, 198504 Peterhof, Saint-Petersburg, Russia; E-Mail: g.leonov@spbu.ru

² National Research University Higher School of Economics, Soyusa Pechatnikov ul. 16, 190008 Saint-Petersburg, Russia; E-Mail: tatyanalexeeva@gmail.com

³ Department of Mathematical Information Technology, University of Jyväskylä, 40014 Jyväskylä, Finland

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: nkuznetsov239@gmail.com.

Academic Editors: Guanrong Chen, C.K. Michael Tse, Mustak E. Yalcin and Hai Yu

Received: 26 May 2015 / Accepted: 17 July 2015 / Published: 22 July 2015

Abstract: In applied investigations, the invariance of the Lyapunov dimension under a diffeomorphism is often used. However, in the case of irregular linearization, this fact was not strictly considered in the classical works. In the present work, the invariance of the Lyapunov dimension under diffeomorphism is demonstrated in the general case. This fact is used to obtain the analytic exact upper bound of the Lyapunov dimension of an attractor of the Shimizu–Morioka system.

Keywords: Lyapunov exponent; Lyapunov dimension; Shimizu-Morioka system

1. Introduction

Two well-known definitions of Lyapunov exponents are the upper bounds of the exponential growth rate of the norms of linearized system solutions (LCEs) [1] and the upper bounds of the exponential growth rate of the singular values of the fundamental matrix of a linearized system (LEs) [2]. These definitions usually give the same values (e.g., for a "typical" regular system that satisfies the conditions of the Oseledets theorem). For a particular system, the LCEs and LEs may be different. Lyapunov [1] considered linearizations with bounded coefficients and introduced the class of regular linearizations: for regular linearization, the sum of LCEs equals the lower bound of the exponential growth rate of the

normal fundamental matrix determinant (otherwise, the linearization is called irregular). Any regular linearization has exact LCEs (*i.e.*, upper and lower bounds in the definition coincide), which are equal to LEs. In general, it can be shown that the ordered LEs are majorized by the ordered LCEs. More detailed discussions and examples can be found in [3–5]. Various characteristics of chaotic behavior are based on Lyapunov exponents. The sum of positive Lyapunov exponents is used [6,7] as the characteristic of the Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy rate [8,9]. Another measure of chaotic behavior is the Kaplan–Yorke or Lyapunov dimension, which is defined via the singular values or LEs [10–12].

It is well known that a homeomorphism can change dimensions based on the space metric [13]. Thus, the question arises whether the Lyapunov dimension is invariant under changes (see, e.g., [14], "*Is the Dimension of Chaotic Attractors Invariant under Coordinate Changes*?"; and [15–17]). Since the singular values and LEs are defined via the linearization of a system, the smooth changes of variables are of interest.

In the applied investigations, the invariance of the Lyapunov dimension under diffeomorphism is often used. However, in the case of irregular linearization, this fact is not strictly considered (see, e.g., the surveys [18,19]). In the present work, it is demonstrated in the general case that while singular values may not be invariant under changes of coordinates, the Lyapunov dimension of an attractor is invariant under diffeomorphism. This fact is used to obtain the exact formula of the Lyapunov dimension of an attractor of the Shimizu–Morioka system.

2. Lyapunov Dimension

Consider a continuously-differentiable mapping F defined on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by $T_x F$ the Jacobian matrix of the mapping F at the point x. The continuous differentiability of F implies that:

$$F(x+h) - F(x) = (T_x F)h + o(h)$$
(1)

By assumption, $K \subset U$ is a bounded invariant set: FK = K.

Denote by $\alpha_j(A)$ the singular numbers of $(n \times n)$ -matrix A, such that $\alpha_1(A) \ge \alpha_2(A) \ge \ldots \ge \alpha_n(A)$. Consider the relation:

$$\omega_d(A) = \alpha_1(A)\alpha_2(A)\dots\alpha_j(A)\alpha_{j+1}^s(A),$$

where $d = j + s, s \in [0, 1], j \in \mathbb{N}, j \in [1, n].$

We also write a well-known Horn inequality:

$$\omega_d(AB) \le \omega_d(A)\omega_d(B). \tag{2}$$

Definition 1. We say that a local Lyapunov dimension of the mapping F at the point $x \in K$ is a number:

$$\dim_L(F, x) = j + s_j$$

where j is the largest integer number from [0, n], such that:

$$\alpha_1(T_xF)\alpha_2(T_xF)\dots\alpha_j(T_xF) \ge 1$$

and a number value $s \in [0, 1)$ is as follows:

$$\alpha_1(T_xF)\alpha_2(T_xF)\dots\alpha_j(T_xF)\alpha_{j+1}^s(T_xF) = 1.$$

By definition, $\dim_L(F, x) = 0$ if $\alpha_1(T_xF) < 1$, and $\dim_L(F, x) = n$ if $\alpha_1(T_xF)\alpha_2(T_xF)$ $\ldots \alpha_n(T_xF) \ge 1$.

Definition 2. [20,21] The Lyapunov dimension of the mapping F on the set K is a number:

$$\dim_L(F,K) = \sup_K \dim_L(F,x).$$

Definition 3. A local Lyapunov dimension of a one-parameter group of mappings F^t at the point $x \in K$ is a number:

$$\dim_L x = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} \dim_L(F^t, x)$$

Definition 4. The Lyapunov dimension of mappings F^t on the set K is a number:

$$\dim_L K = \sup_K \dim_L x.$$

Consider a differential equation:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(3)

with a continuously-differentiable vector-function f(x). Suppose that for any initial value x_0 , there exists a solution of Equation (3) $x(t, x_0)$, defined on $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Here, $x(0, x_0) = x_0$.

Denote by $F^t(x_0) = x(t, x_0)$ a shift operator along the solutions of Equation (3) and suppose that the set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded and invariant: $F^t K = K$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1$. $T_x F^t$ is the Jacobian matrix of the mapping F^t at the point x.

Consider a differential equation:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = g(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{4}$$

with a continuously-differentiable vector function g(y). Suppose that for any initial value y_0 , there exists a solution of Equation (4) $y(t, y_0)$, defined on $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Here, $y(0, y_0) = y_0$.

Denote by $\tilde{F}^t(y_0) = y(t, y_0)$ a shift operator along the solutions of Equation (4) and suppose that the set $\tilde{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded and invariant: $\tilde{F}^t \tilde{K} = \tilde{K}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^1$. $T_y \tilde{F}^t$ is the Jacobian matrix of the mapping \tilde{F}^t at the point y.

Consider a transformation of coordinates of system (3) $Q: x \mapsto y$:

$$y = Q(x).$$

Suppose that Q is a diffeomorphism between the set K and the set \tilde{K} , *i.e.*, $x \in K$, $y \in \tilde{K}$.

Hence, for a certain point y, there exists an inverse mapping $Q^{-1}: y \mapsto x$ that is continuously differentiable in the neighborhood of y.

$$x = Q^{-1}(y).$$

Under the above assumptions, we state the following assertions.

Lemma 1. If for $T_x F^t \sup_{x \in K} \omega_{d_0}(T_x F^t) < 1$, then for the Lyapunov dimension of mapping F^t of the set K, the following estimate:

$$\dim_L(F^t, K) \le j + s,\tag{5}$$

is satisfied. Here, $j + s = d < d_0$, $s = \sup_{x \in K} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j} \mu_i}{|\mu_{j+1}|}$, $0 < s \le 1$, j is the largest integer: $j \in [1, n]$; $\mu_i = LE_i(x)$, $LE_i(x)$ are Lyapunov exponents (LEs), $\sum_{i=1}^{j} \mu_i > 0$, $\mu_{j+1} < 0$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary number $s_0: 0 < \sup_{x \in K} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j} \mu_i}{|\mu_{j+1}|} < s_0 \le 1.$ Recall that:

$$\mu_i = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln |\alpha_i(T_x F^t)|$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \ge \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \omega_k(T_x F^t)$$

Here, $\omega_k(T_xF^t) = \alpha_1(T_xF^t)\alpha_2(T_xF^t)\dots\alpha_k(T_xF^t).$

Using the well-known relation $\omega_d(T_xF^t) = \omega_j^{1-s}(T_xF^t)\omega_{j+1}^s(T_xF^t)$, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} &\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln(\omega_j^{1-s_0}(T_x F^t) \omega_{j+1}^{s_0}(T_x F^t)) \\ &\leq \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln(\omega_j^{1-s_0}(T_x F^t)) + \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln(\omega_{j+1}^{s_0}(T_x F^t)) \\ &\leq (1-s_0) \sum_{i=1}^j \mu_i + s_0 \sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \mu_i \\ &= \mu_{j+1} (s_0 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j \mu_i}{-\mu_{j+1}}) < 0. \end{split}$$

It follows that the inequality:

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \omega_{d_0}(T_x F^t) < 0$$

is satisfied for:

$$\omega_{d_0}(T_x F^t) < 1, \ \forall x \in K.$$

Thus, the assertion of the lemma $\sup_{x \in K} \omega_{d_0}(T_x F^t) < 1$ holds true. From the definition of the Lyapunov dimension, we obtain that for all $d < d_0$, Relation (5) is satisfied. \Box

Theorem 1. The Lyapunov dimension of the mapping F^t of the set K is invariant with respect to the diffeomorphism $Q: K \longrightarrow \tilde{K}$. Namely,

$$\dim_L(F^t, K) = \dim_L(\tilde{F}^t, \tilde{K}).$$
(6)

Proof. For the mappings F^t and \tilde{F}^t (t > 0), Relation (1) is satisfied.

Then, the continuous differentiability of \tilde{F}^t and the properties of diffeomorphism Q imply the following chain of relations:

$$T_{y_0}\tilde{F}^t(\tilde{y}-y_0) + o(h_y) = \tilde{F}^t(\tilde{y}) - \tilde{F}^t(y_0) = Q[F^t(Q^{-1}(\tilde{y}))] - Q[F^t(Q^{-1}(y_0))] = T_{y_0}Q(\tilde{y}-y_0) + o(h_y),$$
(7)

where $\tilde{y} = y_0 + h_y$, $\tilde{x} = x_0 + h_x$, $Q^{-1}(y_0) = x_0$, $Q^{-1}(\tilde{y}) = \tilde{x}$,

$$T_{y_0}Q = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \bigg|_{F(x_0)} T_x F^t \bigg|_{Q^{-1}(y_0)} \frac{\partial Q^{-1}}{\partial y}\bigg|_{y_0}.$$
(8)

$$\left. \frac{\partial Q^{-1}}{\partial y} \right|_{y_0} = \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \right)^{-1} \bigg|_{Q^{-1}(y_0) = x_0}.$$
(9)

Taking into account (9) and (8) in Relation (7), we obtain:

$$T_{y_0}\tilde{F}^t = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \bigg|_{F(x_0)} T_{x_0} F^t \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}\right)^{-1} \bigg|_{x_0}.$$
(10)

Thus, for the nonsingular matrix $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$ $(det(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}) \neq 0)$, Relation (10) is satisfied.

Denote
$$\bar{\omega}_d(T_uF^t) = \sup_{u \in K} \omega_d(T_uF^t), Q_x = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}, (Q_x)^{-1} = \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}\right)^{-1}$$
. Consider the following relations:

$$T_y \tilde{F}^T = T_y \tilde{F}^{k\tau} = (T_{\tilde{F}^{(k-1)\tau}} \tilde{F}^t) (T_{\tilde{F}^{(k-2)\tau}} \tilde{F}^t) \dots (T_y \tilde{F}^t),$$
(11)

where $\tau = \frac{T}{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

With provision for (10), Relation (11) can be rewritten as:

$$T_y \tilde{F}^{k\tau} = \left(Q_{F^{k\tau}} T_{F^{(k-1)\tau}} F^t \Big(Q_{F^{(k-1)\tau}} \Big)^{-1} \right) \left(Q_{F^{(k-1)\tau}} T_{F^{(k-2)\tau}} F^t \Big(Q_{F^{(k-2)\tau}} \Big)^{-1} \right) \dots \Big(Q_{F(x)} T_y F^t Q_x^{-1} \Big).$$

Applying Horn Inequality (2) to the last relation, we obtain the estimate:

$$\omega_d(T_y \tilde{F}^{k\tau}) \le \omega_d \left(Q_{F^{k\tau}}\right) \omega_d \left(T_{F^{(k-1)\tau}} F^t\right) \omega_d \left(T_{F^{(k-2)\tau}} F^t\right) \dots \omega_d \left(T_y F^t\right) \omega_d \left(Q_x^{-1}\right)$$

This implies that:

$$\bar{\omega}_d(T_y \tilde{F}^{k\tau}) \le \bar{\omega}_d \left(Q_{F^{k\tau}}\right) \left[\bar{\omega}_d \left(T_x F^t\right)\right]^k \bar{\omega}_d(Q_x^{-1}).$$
(12)

In the last inequality, the following estimates $\bar{\omega}_d(Q_x^{-1}) \leq m_1$, $\bar{\omega}_d(Q_{F^{k\tau}}) \leq m_2$, $\bar{\omega}_d(T_xF^t) < 1$, where $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, are satisfied.

Repeating all of the above operations for the inverse transformation $x = Q^{-1}(y)$, we obtain relations similar to (10):

$$T_{x_0}F^t = \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}\right)^{-1} \bigg|_{F(x_0)} T_{y_0}\tilde{F}^t \left.\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}\right|_{x_0}$$

and (12):

$$\bar{\omega}_d(T_x F^{k\tau}) \le \bar{\omega}_d\left(\left(Q_{F^{k\tau}}\right)^{-1}\right) \left[\bar{\omega}_d(T_y \tilde{F}^t)\right]^k \bar{\omega}_d(Q_x),\tag{13}$$

where $\bar{\omega}_d(Q_x) \leq l_1$, $\bar{\omega}_d((Q_{F^{k\tau}})^{-1}) \leq l_2$, $\bar{\omega}_d(T_y\tilde{F}^t) < 1$, $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Suppose that $M = \max(m_1, m_2, l_1, l_2)$.

Then, Relations (12) and (13) take the form:

$$\bar{\omega}_d(T_y \tilde{F}^{k\tau}) \le M \left[\bar{\omega}_d \left(T_x F^t \right) \right]^k.$$
(14)

and:

$$\bar{\omega}_d(T_x F^{k\tau}) \le M \left[\bar{\omega}_d(T_y \tilde{F}^t) \right]^k,\tag{15}$$

respectively. Taking into account the assertion of the lemma, we obtain:

$$\sup_{y\in\tilde{K}}\omega_d(T_y\tilde{F}^t)\Big|_{t\to\infty}\to 0, \ \lim_{t\to\infty}\sup_{y\in\tilde{K}}\omega_d(T_y\tilde{F}^t)=0,$$

and:

$$\sup_{x \in K} \omega_d(T_x F^t) \Big|_{t \to \infty} \to 0, \ \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \omega_d(T_x F^t) = 0,$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Denote dim_L(F^t , K) = D_1 , dim_L(\tilde{F}^t , \tilde{K}) = D_2 .

Suppose that $D_1 < D_2$ and that d is an arbitrary number: $D_1 < d < D_2$. Consider the transformation Q. Then, from (14) and the definition of the Lyapunov dimension, it follows that $D_2 \leq d$, but this contradicts the initial assumption. Consequently, the inequality must be valid:

$$D_2 \le D_1. \tag{16}$$

Suppose now that for inverse transformation Q^{-1} , $D_2 < d < D_1$. Then, from (15), it follows that $D_1 \leq d$. This is in contrast with the above assumption. Consequently:

$$D_1 \le D_2. \tag{17}$$

Relations (16) and (17) imply the assertion of the theorem:

$$\dim_L(F^t, K) = \dim_L(\tilde{F}^t, \tilde{K})$$

In addition, this implies that:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \tilde{K}} \omega_d(T_y \tilde{F}^t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \omega_d(T_x F^t) = 0.$$

Thus, if Q is a diffeomorphism, then the change of coordinates of dynamical system y = Q(x) preserves the Lyapunov dimension of mapping F^t on compact sets.

3. Lyapunov Dimension of the Shimizu-Morioka System

Consider the Shimizu–Morioka system [22] of the form:

$$\dot{x} = y,
\dot{y} = x - \lambda y - xz,
\dot{z} = -\alpha z + x^2,$$
(18)

where α , λ are the positive parameters.

5106

Using the diffeomorphism:

$$\begin{array}{l} x \longrightarrow x, \\ y \longrightarrow y, \\ z \longrightarrow z - \frac{x^2}{2}, \end{array}$$
 (19)

System (18) can be reduced to the following system:

$$\dot{x} = y,$$

$$\dot{y} = x - \lambda y - xz + \frac{x^3}{2},$$

$$\dot{z} = -\alpha z + xy + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)x^2,$$
(20)

where α, λ are the positive parameters of System (18). We say that System (20) is a transformed Shimizu–Morioka system.

The following assertion is valid [23–27].

Theorem 2. Suppose that for the integers $j \in [1, n]$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, there exist a continuously differentiable function v(x) and a nonsingular matrix S, such that:

$$\lambda_1(x,S) + \lambda_2(x,S) + \ldots + \lambda_j(x,S) + s\lambda_{j+1}(x,S) + \dot{\upsilon}(x) < 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(21)

Then, $\dim_L K \leq j + s$.

Here, $\dot{\upsilon} = L_f \upsilon$, $\upsilon(x)$ is a Lyapunov function. Further, for System (20), the following will be proven.

Theorem 3. Suppose that K is a bounded invariant set of System (20): $(0,0,0) \in K$ and that the following relations:

$$\lambda - 4 \le \sqrt{10 + \frac{3}{\alpha} - 13\alpha}, \lambda < \frac{1}{\alpha} - \alpha, 4 - \lambda \le \sqrt{\frac{8 + 15\alpha - 8\alpha^2 - 24\alpha^3}{2\alpha(\alpha + 1)}}$$
(22)

are satisfied. Then:

$$\dim_L K = 3 - \frac{2(\lambda + \alpha)}{\lambda + \sqrt{4 + \lambda^2}}.$$
(23)

Proof. Consider a nonsingular matrix:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{k} & 0 & 0\\ \lambda - \alpha & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha(\alpha - \lambda)}}$. Then:

$$SJS^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha - \lambda & -\frac{1}{k} & 0\\ -k(\frac{3}{2}x^2 - z) - \frac{1}{k} & -\alpha & -x\\ -k(ax + y) & x & -\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ \frac{3}{2}x^2 + 1 - z & -\lambda & -x\\ (2+\alpha)x + y & x & -\alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

where J is the Jacobian matrix of the right-hand side of System (20), $a = 2 + 2\alpha - \lambda$.

The characteristic polynomial of the matrix:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(SJS^{-1} + \left(SJS^{-1} \right)^* \right)$$
(24)

takes the form:

$$(\alpha + \Lambda) \Big[\Lambda^2 + \lambda \Lambda - \frac{k^2}{16} \Big(3x^2 - 2z + \frac{4}{k^2} \Big)^2 - \frac{k^2}{4} (ax + y)^2 - 1 - \frac{1}{k^2} \Big].$$

Here, * denotes a transposition sign. This implies that the eigenvalues of Matrix (24) are the numbers:

$$\lambda_2 = -\alpha, \lambda_{1,3} = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{w_1(x, y, z)},$$

where $w_1(x, y, z) = k^2 (ax + y)^2 + \frac{k^2}{4} (3x^2 - 2z + \frac{4}{k^2})^2 + (2\alpha - \lambda)^2$. Obviously, $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3$.

We choose the Lyapunov function to be of the form:

$$\upsilon(x, y, z) = \frac{1-s}{4\sqrt{4+\lambda^2}}V(x, y, z),$$

where:

$$V(x, y, z) = \mu_1(2y^2 - 2xy - x^4 + 2x^2z) + \mu_2 x^2 - \frac{4}{\alpha}z + \mu_3(z^2 - x^2z + \frac{x^4}{4} + xy) + \mu_4(z^2 + y^2 - \frac{x^4}{4} - x^2)$$

Here, $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4$ are running parameters, $s \in [0, 1)$.

Inequality (21) takes the form:

$$2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + s\lambda_3 + \dot{v}) = -(1+s)\lambda - 2\alpha + (1-s)\sqrt{4+\lambda^2 + w(x,y,z)} + \frac{(1-s)V}{2\sqrt{4+\lambda^2}} < 0,$$

where $w(x, y, z) = k^2(ax + y)^2 + 6x^2 + \frac{k^2}{4}(3x^2 - 2z)^2 - 4z$.

Using the inequality:

$$\sqrt{p+q} \le \sqrt{p} + \frac{q}{2\sqrt{p}}, \forall p > 0, p+q > 0,$$

we obtain the estimate:

$$2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + s\lambda_3 + \dot{v}) = -(1+s)\lambda - 2\alpha + (1-s)\sqrt{4+\lambda^2} + \frac{1-s}{2\sqrt{4+\lambda^2}}(w(x,y,z) + \dot{V}) < 0.$$
(25)

We have:

$$w + \dot{V} = B_1 x^2 + B_2 x y + B_3 y^2 + C_1 x^4 + C_2 x^2 z + C_3 z^2,$$

where:

$$B_{1} = 4 - \frac{4}{\alpha} + k^{2}a^{2} - 2\mu_{1} + \mu_{3},$$

$$B_{2} = 2ak^{2} - \frac{4}{\alpha} + 2(2+\lambda)\mu_{1} + 2\mu_{2} - \lambda\mu_{3},$$

$$B_{3} = k^{2} - 2(2\lambda+1)\mu_{1} + \mu_{3} - 2\lambda\mu_{4},$$

$$C_{1} = \frac{9}{4}k^{2} + (\alpha+1)\mu_{1} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)\mu_{3},$$

$$C_{2} = -3k^{2} + 2(1-\alpha)\mu_{1} + (2\alpha+1)\mu_{3} + (2+\alpha)\mu_{4},$$

$$C_{3} = k^{2} - 2\alpha\mu_{3} - 2\alpha\mu_{4}.$$

Condition:

$$w + \dot{V} \le 0 \tag{26}$$

is satisfied for all x, y, z, if and only if the following inequalities hold true:

$$B_1 \le 0, 4B_1B_3 - B_2^2 \ge 0, \tag{27}$$

$$C_1 \le 0, 4C_1C_3 - C_2^2 \ge 0.$$
⁽²⁸⁾

We represent the second inequality from (27) in the form:

$$4B_1B_3 \ge (\tilde{B}_2 + 2\mu_2)^2,\tag{29}$$

where $\tilde{B}_{2} = 2ak^{2} - \frac{4}{\alpha} + 2(2+\lambda)\mu_{1} - \lambda\mu_{3}$.

Inequality (29) is solvable with respect to μ_2 if $B_1B_3 \ge 0$. This implies that (27) is equivalent to the system of inequalities:

$$B_1 \le 0,$$

$$B_3 \le 0.$$
(30)

The second inequality in (30) is valid under certain $\mu_4 > 0$ if $2\mu_1 \ge \frac{1}{2\lambda+1}(k^2 + \mu_3)$. Then, from (30), we obtain:

$$2\mu_{1} \ge 4 - \frac{4}{\alpha} + k^{2}a^{2} + \mu_{3},$$

$$2\mu_{1} \ge \frac{1}{2\lambda + 1}(k^{2} + \mu_{3}).$$
(31)

Now, we represent the second inequality from (28) as:

$$4C_1(\tilde{C}_3 - 2\alpha\mu_4) - \left[\tilde{C}_2 + (2+\alpha)\mu_4\right]^2 \ge 0,$$
(32)

where:

$$\tilde{C}_2 = -3k^2 + 2(1-\alpha)\mu_1 + (2\alpha+1)\mu_3, \tilde{C}_3 = k^2 - 2\alpha\mu_3$$

Inequality (32) is solvable with respect to μ_4 if the discriminant of its left-hand side is nonnegative. This implies that:

$$\frac{4\alpha^2}{(2+\alpha)^2}C_1^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{2+\alpha}C_1\tilde{C}_2 + C_1\tilde{C}_3 \ge 0.$$

Since $C_1 \leq 0$, for the last inequality to be valid, it is sufficient that:

$$\frac{4\alpha^2}{(2+\alpha)^2}C_1 + \frac{2\alpha}{2+\alpha}\tilde{C}_2 + \tilde{C}_3 \le 0$$

is satisfied. The latter is equivalent to:

$$2\mu_1 \le \mu_3 - \frac{k^2 (1-\alpha)^2}{\alpha}.$$
(33)

For the sake of convenience, we assume $\tilde{\mu}_1 = \frac{2\mu_1}{k^2}$, $\tilde{\mu}_3 = \frac{\mu_3}{k^2}$. Then, from the first inequality in (28) and Inequalities (31) and (33), we obtain a system of linear inequalities with respect to $\tilde{\mu}_1$ and $\tilde{\mu}_3$:

$$\widetilde{\mu_1} \ge \frac{4\alpha - 4}{\alpha k^2} + a^2 + \widetilde{\mu_3},\tag{34}$$

$$\widetilde{\mu}_1 \ge \frac{1}{2\lambda + 1} (1 + \widetilde{\mu}_3),\tag{35}$$

$$\widetilde{\mu_1} \le -\frac{9}{2(\alpha+1)} + \widetilde{\mu_3},\tag{36}$$

$$\widetilde{\mu_1} \le -\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{\alpha} + \widetilde{\mu_3}.$$
(37)

Suppose $\widehat{\mu}_3 = \frac{\frac{1}{2\lambda+1} - \frac{4\alpha-4}{\alpha k^2} - a^2}{1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda+1}}$. Then, from (36) and (37), we obtain:

$$\widetilde{\mu_1} - \widehat{\mu_3} \le -\frac{9}{2(\alpha+1)}$$
$$\widetilde{\mu_1} - \widehat{\mu_3} \le -\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{\alpha}.$$

This implies two cases:

$$1) - \frac{9}{2(\alpha+1)} \le -\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{\alpha}, \text{ if } \frac{3-\sqrt{7}}{2} \le \alpha \le \frac{3+\sqrt{7}}{2}$$
$$2) - \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{\alpha} \le -\frac{9}{2(\alpha+1)}, \text{ if } 0 < \alpha \le \frac{3-\sqrt{7}}{2}.$$

From (34) and (36), it follows that:

$$\frac{4\alpha - 4}{\alpha k^2} + a^2 + \frac{9}{2(\alpha + 1)} \le 0$$

Then:

$$4 - \sqrt{\frac{8 + 15\alpha - 8\alpha^2 - 24\alpha^3}{2\alpha(\alpha + 1)}} \le \lambda \le 4 + \sqrt{\frac{8 + 15\alpha - 8\alpha^2 - 24\alpha^3}{2\alpha(\alpha + 1)}}.$$
(38)

From (34) and (37), it follows that:

$$\frac{4\alpha - 4}{\alpha k^2} + a^2 + \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{\alpha} \le 0.$$

Then:

$$4 - \sqrt{10 + \frac{3}{\alpha} - 13\alpha} \le \lambda \le 4 + \sqrt{10 + \frac{3}{\alpha} - 13\alpha}$$

This means that (26) is valid if (38) and the inequality:

$$\lambda \le 4 + \sqrt{10 + \frac{3}{\alpha} - 13\alpha}$$

are satisfied. Thus, this implies that Inequality (26) is satisfied if and only if System (34)–(37) is solvable with respect to $\tilde{\mu}_1$ and $\tilde{\mu}_3 = \hat{\mu}_3$, where $\tilde{\mu}_1 \ge 0$, $\hat{\mu}_3 \ge 0$, $\tilde{\mu}_1 \le \hat{\mu}_3$.

In turn, (25) is satisfied if (26) is valid, *i.e.*, when $\lambda < \frac{1}{\alpha} - \alpha$ and:

$$s > \frac{\sqrt{4+\lambda^2} - (\lambda + 2\alpha)}{\lambda + \sqrt{4+\lambda^2}}.$$
(39)

Thus, under Condition (22), we obtain the matrix S, the function v(x, y, z) and a number s, satisfying (39), such that (25) is valid.

$$\dim_L K \le 3 - \frac{2(\lambda + \alpha)}{\lambda + \sqrt{4 + \lambda^2}}.$$
(40)

At the same time, considering the linearization of System (20) in a neighborhood of the points (0, 0, 0), we obtain:

$$\dim_L(0,0,0) = 3 - \frac{2(\lambda+\alpha)}{\lambda+\sqrt{4+\lambda^2}}.$$

This relation and (40) yield Relation (23). \Box







(b)

Figure 1. Self-excited local attractors in: (a) System (18) for $\alpha = 0.375, \lambda = 0.81$; (b) System (20) for $\alpha = 0.375, \lambda = 0.81$.

For $\alpha = 0.375$, $\lambda = 0.81$, classical Shimizu–Morioka System (18) possesses a strange self-excited local attractor of the Lorenz type (Figure 1a); for $\alpha = 0.191450$, $\lambda = 0.81$, it changes to the self-excited local attractor of the Burke and Shaw type (Figure 2a). Transformed System (20) demonstrates similar types of local attractors under the same values of parameters α and λ (Figure 1b and Figure 2b). Here, numerical estimations of the Lyapunov dimension by the code from [28] (2.0749, Figure 1b; 2.0059, Figure 2b) are consistent with the analytic exact upper bound for the Lyapunov dimension given by (40) (the corresponding values are 2.2014 and 2.3251, respectively).



Figure 2. Self-excited local attractors in: (a) System (18) for $\alpha = 0.191450, \lambda = 0.81$, "Burke and Shaw-like"; (b) System (20) for $\alpha = 0.191450, \lambda = 0.81$, "Burke and Shaw-like".

Thus, using the diffeomorphism (19), which saves the Lyapunov dimension of an invariant bounded set K, it is possible to state that for classical Shimizu–Morioka System (18), the set K has the same Lyapunov dimension as System (20).

Remark 1. An oscillation can generally be easily numerically localized if the initial data from its open neighborhood in the phase space lead to a long-term behavior that approaches the oscillation. Therefore, from a computational perspective, it is natural to suggest the following classification of attractors [29–32], which is based on the simplicity of finding their basins of attraction in the phase space: an attractor is called a self-excited attractor if its basin of attractor. For a self-excited attractor, its basin of attractor. For a self-excited attractor, its basin of attractor is connected to an unstable equilibrium, and therefore (standard computational procedure), self-excited attractors can be localized numerically by the standard computational procedure: by constructing a solution using initial data from an unstable manifold in a neighborhood of an unstable equilibrium, observing how it is attracted and visualizing the oscillation. In contrast, the basin of attractors in systems with no equilibria or with only one stable equilibrium (a special case of the multi-stability: the coexistence of attractors in multi-stable systems). Well-known examples of the hidden oscillations are nested limit cycles in the 16th Hilbert problem (see, e.g., [32,33]) and counterexamples to the Aizerman and Kalman conjectures on the absolute stability of nonlinear control systems [32,34–36].

4. Conclusion

In the present work, the invariance of the Lyapunov dimension under diffeomorphism is strictly proven. For the attractor of the Shimizu–Morioka system, a smooth change of coordinates is suggested and a Lyapunov function constructed, which allow one to obtain the analytic exact upper bound for the Lyapunov dimension. Similar results for some other Lorenz-like systems can be found, e.g., in [28,37,38].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Russian Scientific Foundation (14-21-00041, Sections 1-2) and the Saint-Petersburg State University (6.38.505.2014, Section 3).

Author Contributions

Gennady Leonov, Tatyana Alexeeva and Nikolay Kuznetsov did the analytical estimates. Tatyana Alexeeva and Nikolay Kuznetsov made numerical experiments and wrote the paper. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of the data; in the writing of the manuscript; nor in the decision to publish the results

References

- 1. Lyapunov, A.M. *The General Problem of the Stability of Motion*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1992.
- 2. Oseledec, V.I. Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem: Characteristic Lyapunov Exponents of Dynamical Systems. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **1968**, *19*, 179–210.
- 3. Leonov, G.A.; Kuznetsov, N.V. Time-Varying Linearization and the Perron Effects. *Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos* **2007**, *17*, 1079–1107.
- Kuznetsov, N.V.; Mokaev, T.N.; Vasilyev, P.A. Numerical Justification of Leonov Conjecture on Lyapunov Dimension of Rossler Attractor. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 2014, 19, 1027–1034.
- Kuznetsov, N.V.; Alexeeva, T.; Leonov, G.A. Invariance of Lyapunov Characteristic Exponents, Lyapunov Exponents, and Lyapunov Dimension for Regular and Non-regular Linearizations. 2014, arXiv:1410.2016v2.
- 6. Millionschikov, V.M. A Formula for the Entropy of Smooth Dynamical Systems. *Differencial'nye Uravenija* **1976**, *12*, 2188–2192.
- 7. Pesin, Y. Characteristic Lyapunov Exponents and Smooth Ergodic Theory. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **1977**, *32*, 55–114.
- 8. Kolmogorov, A. On Entropy per Unit Time as a Metric Invariant of Automorphisms. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **1959**, *124*, 754–755.
- 9. Sinai, Y. On the Notion of Entropy of Dynamical Systems. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 1959, 124, 768–771.
- Kaplan, J.L.; Yorke, J.A. Chaotic Behavior of Multidimensional Difference Equations. *Functional Differential Equations and Approximations of Fixed Points*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1979; pp. 204–227.
- 11. Douady, A.; Oesterle, J. Dimension de Hausdorff des Attracteurs. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris* **1980**, 290, 1135–1138.
- 12. Ledrappier, F. Some Relations between Dimension and Lyapounov Exponents. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1981**, *81*, 229–238.
- Pontrjagin, L.; Schnirelmann, L. Sur une Propriété Métrique de la Dimension. Ann. Math. 1932, 156–162.
- 14. Ott, E.; Withers, W.; Yorke, J. Is the Dimension of Chaotic Attractors Invariant under Coordinate Changes? J. Stat. Phys. **1984**, *36*, 687–697.
- 15. Dettmann, C.; Frankel, N.; Cornish, N. Chaos and Fractals around Black Holes. *Fractals* **1995**, *3*, 161–181.
- 16. Hoover, W.; Hoover, C. Why Instantaneous Values of the "Covarian" Lyapunov Exponents Depend upon the Chosen State-Space Scale. *Fractals* **2014**, *20*, 5–8.
- Sprott, J.; Hoover, W.; Hoover, C. Heat Conduction, and the Lack Thereof, in Time-Reversible Dynamical Systems: Generalized Nosé-Hoover Oscillators with a Temperature Gradient. *Phys. Rev. E* 2014, 89, 042914.

5115

- Gelfert, K.; Motter, A. (Non)Invariance of Dynamical Quantities for Orbit Equivalent Flows. Commun. Math. Phys. 2010, 300, 411–433.
- 19. Barreira, L.; Gelfert, K. Dimension Estimates in Smooth Dynamics: A Survey of Recent Results. *Ergod. Theor. Dyn. Syst.* **2011**, *31*, 641–671.
- 20. Temam, R. Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, 2nd ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1997.
- 21. Hunt, B. Maximum Local Lyapunov Dimension Bounds the Box Dimension of Chaotic Attractors. *Nonlinearity* **1996**, *9*, 845–853.
- 22. Shimizu, T.; Morioka, N. On the Bifurcation of a Symmetric Limit Cycle to an Asymmetric One in a Simple Model. *Phys. Lett. A* **1980**, *76*, 201–204.
- 23. Leonov, G.A. On Estimations of the Hausdorff Dimension of Attractors. *Vestnik Leningradskogo Gos. Univ. Ser.* **1991**, *1*, 41–44.
- 24. Leonov, G.A.; Boichenko, V.A. Lyapunov's Direct Method in the Estimation of the Hausdorff Dimension of Attractors. *Acta Appl. Math.* **1992**, *26*, 1–60.
- 25. Boichenko, V.A.; Leonov, G.A.; Reitmann, V. *Dimension Theory for Ordinary Differential Equations*; Vieweg Teubner Verlag: Wiesbaden, Germany, 2005.
- Leonov, G.A. Strange Attractors and Classical Stability Theory. *Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theor.* 2008, 8, 49–96.
- Leonov, G.A. Lyapunov Functions in the Attractors Dimension Theory. J. Appl. Math. Mech. 2012, 76, 129–141.
- 28. Leonov, G.; Kuznetsov, N.; Mokaev, T. Homoclinic Orbits, and Self-excited and Hidden Attractors in a Lorenz-Like System Describing Convective Fluid Motion. *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics* **2015**, *224*, 1421-1458.
- 29. Kuznetsov, N.V.; Leonov, G.A.; Vagaitsev, V.I. Analytical-Numerical Method for Attractor Localization of Generalized Chua's System. *IFAC Proc. Vol.* **2010**, *4*, 29–33.
- 30. Leonov, G.A.; Kuznetsov, N.V.; Vagaitsev, V.I. Localization of Hidden Chua's Attractors. *Phys. Lett. A* **2011**, *375*, 2230–2233.
- Leonov, G.A.; Kuznetsov, N.V.; Vagaitsev, V.I. Hidden Attractor in Smooth Chua Systems. *Physica D* 2012, 241, 1482–1486.
- Leonov, G.A.; Kuznetsov, N.V. Hidden Attractors in Dynamical Systems. From Hidden Oscillations in Hilbert-Kolmogorov, Aizerman, and Kalman Problems to Hidden Chaotic Attractors in Chua Circuits. *Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos* 2013, 23, 1330002.
- Kuznetsov, N.V.; Kuznetsova, O.A.; Leonov, G.A. Visualization of Four Normal Size Limit Cycles in Two-Dimensional Polynomial Quadratic System. *Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst.* 2013, 21, 29–34.
- 34. Leonov, G.A.; Bragin, V.O.; Kuznetsov, N.V. Algorithm for Constructing Counterexamples to the Kalman Problem. *Dokl. Math.* **2010**, *82*, 540–542.
- 35. Leonov, G.A.; Kuznetsov, N.V. Algorithms for Searching for Hidden Oscillations in the Aizerman and Kalman Problems. *Dokl. Math.* **2011**, *84*, 475–481.

- Bragin, V.O.; Vagaitsev, V.I.; Kuznetsov, N.V.; Leonov, G.A. Algorithms for Finding Hidden Oscillations in Nonlinear Systems. The Aizerman and Kalman Conjectures and Chua's Circuits. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci. Int.* 2011, 50, 511–543.
- 37. Leonov, G.A.; Kuznetsov, N.V. On Differences and Similarities in the Analysis of Lorenz, Chen, and Lu Systems. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2015**, *256*, 334–343.
- 38. Leonov, G.; Kuznetsov, N.; Korzhemanova, N.; Kusakin, D. Estimation of Lyapunov Dimension for the Chen and Lu Systems. **2015**, arxiv:1504.04726.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).